**RESEARCH ARTICLE** 

# Role of noble metal-coated catheters for short-term urinary catheterization of adults: A meta-analysis

# Yan Sun<sup>1</sup>, Ping Ren<sup>2</sup>, Xuan Long<sup>3</sup>\*

1 Department of Encephalopathy Rehabilitation, Zaozhuang Traditional Chinese Medicine Hospital, Zaozhuang, Shandong, P.R. China, 2 Drug Distribution Center, Zaozhuang Traditional Chinese Medicine Hospital, Zaozhuang, Shandong, P.R. China, 3 Department of Infectious Diseases, Zaozhuang Municipal Hospital, Zaozhuang Shandong, P.R. China

\* Longx\_123@163.com

# Abstract

# Objective

To evaluate the efficacy of noble-metal coated catheters in reducing catheter-associated urinary tract infections (CAUTI) in adult patients requiring short term catheterization.

# Methods

An electronic literature search of PubMed, BioMed Central, Embase, Scopus, CENTRAL (Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials) and Google scholar was carried out from inception to 10th October 2019. Any prospective study or randomized controlled trial (RCT) on adult patients comparing noble-metal coated urinary catheters with any standard catheter and evaluating the incidence of CAUTI or bacteriuria was included.

### Results

A total of 13 studies were included in the systematic review. 12 were RCTs and one was a prospective cross-over trial. Catheters employed in the study group were grouped into two sub-groups: Silver alloy coated or Noble metal alloy-coated (Gold, Silver, and Palladium) catheters. Bacteriuria was the most commonly studied outcome variable across trials. Meta-analysis indicated that silver alloy-coated catheters (RR 0.63, 95%CI 0.44–0.90, P = 0.01; I2 = 72%) and noble metal alloy catheters (RR 0.58, 95%CI 0.41–0.81, P = 0.001; I2 = 0%) significantly reduce the risk of bacteriuria. Sub-group analysis based on the duration of catheterization demonstrated that silver alloy catheters reduce the risk of bacteriuria with >1week of catheterization (RR 0.46, 95%CI 0.26–0.81, P = 0.007; I2 = 63\%). Symptomatic CAUTI was evaluated only in four studies with variable results. The quality of the included studies was not high.

# Conclusions

Our review indicates that bacteriuria may be reduced with the use of noble metal-coated catheters during short-term catheterization of adults, however, the quality of evidence is not



# GOPEN ACCESS

**Citation:** Sun Y, Ren P, Long X (2020) Role of noble metal-coated catheters for short-term urinary catheterization of adults: A meta-analysis. PLoS ONE 15(6): e0233215. https://doi.org/10.1371/ journal.pone.0233215

Editor: Mark Simmonds, University of York, UNITED KINGDOM

Received: December 5, 2019

Accepted: April 21, 2020

Published: June 10, 2020

**Copyright:** © 2020 Sun et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All files are available from the PubMed, BioMed Central, Embase, Scopus, CENTRAL (Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials) and Google scholar database

**Funding:** The authors received no specific funding for this work

**Competing interests:** The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

high. It is not clear if these catheters reduce the risk of symptomatic CAUTI. Further homogenous RCTs are needed to provide clarity.

### Introduction

Urinary tract infections (UTIs) are amongst the most common nosocomial infections with indwelling catheters contributing to an estimated 80% of these disorders [1,2]. Catheter-associated UTIs (CAUTIs) can lead to significant morbidity with prolonged hospital stays and escalation in treatment costs in both high-income and low-income countries. Umscheid et al in a review have demonstrated that approximately 65–70% of CAUTIs may be preventable with current infection-control strategies. [3]

In recent times, nursing personnel are being increasingly involved in the prevention of hospital-acquired infections. A number of nurse-led protocols, with greater participation of nurses in monitoring and timely removal of urinary catheters, have been reported [4,5]. Nurses are not only involved in daily catheter care but also in the selection of appropriate catheters to reduce the incidence of CAUTIS [6]. While standard uncoated catheters are frequently employed by health-care providers, several anti-microbial and anti-septic coated catheters are available which may reduce the risk of CAUTIS. Catheters have been coated with silver alloy, noble metal alloy, chlorhexidine and nitrofurazone with the sole objective of reducing the risk of CAUTIS [7,8].

The anti-septic properties of noble metals consisting of gold, silver, palladium have been utilized in numerous fields of medicine [9]. Thin-coatings of silver in the form of silver oxide or silver alloy and noble metal alloy (Gold, silver, and palladium) have been applied on urinary catheters to reduce bacterial adherence and theoretically decrease the risk of CAUTIs. Several clinical trials have compared the use of these noble metal-coated catheters with standard catheters but with conflicting results [10,11]. In a Cochrane review by Lam et al, the authors had evaluated evidence on the efficacy of such noble-metal coated catheters in 2014 [8]. Since then many new trials have been published and there is a need for updated evidence on this subject, to provide guidelines to health-care providers [12–15]. Hence, the primary objective of this review was to perform a systematic literature search and conduct a meta-analysis to answer the clinical question: Does the use of noble-metal coated urinary catheters for short-term catheter-ization of adults reduce the incidence of CAUTI?

#### Materials and methods

#### Criteria for study inclusion

The review was performed following the PRISMA statement (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses) [16] and the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Intervention [17]. Following the PICOS (Population, Intervention, Comparison, Outcome, and Study design) outline, we included any prospective study or RCT carried out on a *Population* of adult patients (>18years) requiring short-term urinary tract catheterization for any reason. *The intervention* was to be the use of a noble-metal coated urinary catheter, *Compared* with any standard catheter not coated with noble-metal or any antimicrobial agent. *The outcome* of the study was to be the incidence of CAUTI or bacteriuria. Short-term catheterization was preferably defined as the use of the catheter for  $\leq 14$  days. However, studies were also included if some patients were catheterized for >14 days but the mean duration of catheterization was  $\leq 14$  days. We excluded the following studies: 1. Studies using silver-oxide coated

catheters (as these are no more manufactured) and utilising any other anti-microbial coated catheters 2. Conducted on patients requiring chronic/long-term catheterization 3. Utilizing supra-pubic catheter 4. Retrospective studies, case series, and case reports.

#### Search strategy

A systematic literature search of various electronic databases including PubMed, BioMed Central, Embase, Scopus, CENTRAL (Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials) and Google scholar was carried. We searched all databases from their inception to 10<sup>th</sup> October 2019. No restriction was placed on the language of publication. Two independent reviewers performed the literature search using the MeSH terms and free-text keywords. "Noble metal catheter", "Silver", "Silver alloy", "Silver oxide", "urinary catheter", "Foleys catheter", "infection", and "urinary tract infection" were used in various combinations. We manually checked the reference lists of all included studies and review articles for any additional references. The literature search results were screened by their titles and abstracts by two independent reviewers for every database. Potentially relevant articles were then extracted and subsequently screened by their full text. Both the reviewers assessed individual studies based on inclusion criteria and resolved any disagreement, by discussion.

### Data extraction and risk of bias assessment

A data abstraction form was used by the reviewers to source data from the selected studies. Details of authors, publication year, inclusion/exclusion criteria, sample size, demographic data, types of catheters used, duration of catheterization, outcomes, and study results were extracted. The outcomes of interest were to assess the difference in the incidence of symptomatic/asymptomatic CAUTI and bacteriuria between the two study groups.

Two review authors independently assessed the risk of bias in included studies using the Cochrane Collaboration risk assessment tool for RCTs [18]. Every study was assessed on the following domains: random sequence generation, allocation concealment, blinding of participants and personnel, blinding of outcome assessment, incomplete outcome data, selective reporting, and other biases. Each domain was graded as high risk, low risk or unclear risk of bias and results presented pictorially in a *Risk if bias* figure.

#### Statistical analysis

Anticipating methodological heterogeneity in the included studies, a random-effects model was preferred to calculate the pooled effect size. We summarized categorical data using the Mantel-Haenszel Risk Ratio (RR) with 95% confidence intervals (CI). Heterogeneity was calculated using the I<sup>2</sup> statistic. I<sup>2</sup> values of 25–50% represented low, values of 50–75% medium and more than 75% represented substantial heterogeneity. Sub-group analysis was conducted for different noble-metal coatings and different duration of catheterization (>1 week or <1week). A sensitivity analysis was carried out to assess the influence of each study on the pooled effect size. As the pooled outcome of each sub-group did not include more than 10 trials, we could not create a funnel plot to explore possible small study and publication biases. The software "Review Manager" (RevMan, version 5.3; Nordic Cochrane Centre [Cochrane Collaboration], Copenhagen, Denmark; 2014) was used for the meta-analysis.

### Results

A comprehensive literature search revealed a total of 370 unique records (Fig 1). The search strategy for PubMed database is presented in S1 Table. A total of 21 articles were analyzed by



their full-texts of which 8 were excluded [19-26]. Three employed silver-oxide catheters [19,21,22], two studies were only in abstract form [20,23], two studied the effects of long-term catheterization [25,26] while one study compared two different types of silver-coated catheters [24]. A total of 13 studies were included in the review [10–15,27–33]. Details of the included studies are presented in Table 1. One study [10] did not report data as the number of events (CAUTI or bacteriuria)/ total number of patients, and hence was not included in the metaanalysis. One paper had two sub-reports in the same article which were analyzed separately [30]. Except for one prospective cross-over trial [15], all were RCTs. There was a large variation in the sample size in the studies included in the meta-analysis, varying from 11 to 2144 participants per group. The largest sample size was, however, of the study not included in the meta-analysis with >13900 patients per group [10]. Different types of noble metal-coated, as well as standard catheters, were used across studies. Catheters employed in the study group were grouped into two sub-groups: Silver alloy coated or Noble metal alloy-coated (Gold, Silver, and Palladium) catheters. For the comparative arm, studies described the catheters as standard/regular catheter, standard silicone catheter, standard latex catheter, standard silicone latex catheter, polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) coated latex catheter, teflonised latex catheter, standard hydrogel coated catheter and standard hydrogel-coated latex catheter. The mean, median or total duration of catheterization was  $\leq$ 14 days for all studies except one study where catheterization was done up to 22 days [12]. However, the authors reported outcomes of bacteriuria at frequent intervals from 2-22 days. Outcome data up to 14 days was extracted from this study.

#### Outcomes

Outcomes and their definitions varied across studies (Table 2). Bacteriuria was the most commonly studied outcome variable across trials. While majority studies defined bacteriuria as  $\geq 10^5$  Colony-forming units (CFU)/ml, one study considered bacteriuria as  $\geq 100$  CFU/ml [27]. Combined clinical and microbiological criteria to define symptomatic CAUTI were used only in four studies [10,11,13,15], which too were variable.

Data of bacteriuria (till the last follow-up) was pooled for a meta-analysis based on the type of noble metal coating (Fig 2). Seven studies [11,12,27,28,30,31,34] with eight reports were pooled for silver alloy catheter vs standard catheter. Results indicated a significant decrease in the risk of bacteriuria with silver alloy-coated catheters (RR 0.63, 95%CI 0.44–0.90, P = 0.01;  $I^2 = 72\%$ ). Similarly, when data of four trials [13,14,32,33] was pooled for comparing bacteriuria with noble metal alloy catheters vs standard catheters, there was a significantly reduced risk of bacteriuria with noble metal alloy catheters (RR 0.58, 95%CI 0.41–0.81, P = 0.001;  $I^2 = 0\%$ ).

Data from studies reporting bacteriuria after catheterization of <1week and >1week were pooled separately. As all studies for noble metal alloy catheters reported data of <1week, the results were the same as prior analysis (Fig.3). The results were still significant for silver-alloy catheters (RR 0.46, 95%CI 0.26–0.81, P = 0.007;  $I^2 = 63\%$ ) when used for a catheterization period of <1week (Fig.4). A total of three trials [11,12,30,31] with four reports were pooled for the incidence of bacteriuria after >1week of indwelling silver alloy catheter vs standard catheter. Our results indicated significant difference between the two groups (RR 0.71, 95%CI 0.55– 0.92, P = 0.01;  $I^2 = 0\%$ ) (Fig.4).

Data for symptomatic CAUTI based on combined clinical and microbiological criteria was available from three studies [11,13,15] (Fig 5). In one large RCT [11] comparing silver alloy catheters vs standard catheters, there was no significant difference in symptomatic CAUTI between the two groups (RR 1.08, 95%CI 0.83–1.42, P = 0.55). Similarly, pooled data from two studies [13,15] did not demonstrate any significant difference between noble metal alloy

Author/Year	Study Type	Study population	Sample	e size (n)	Male g	ender (n)	Study group catheter	Control group catheter	Duration of catheterization
			Study	Control	Study	Control			
Lundeberg et al [ <u>27</u> ]/ 1986	RCT*	NR	51	51	NR	NR	Silver coated catheter	Standard catheter	NA
Liedberg et al-1 [ <u>34</u> ]/ 1990	RCT	Patients requiring hemodynamic monitoring or post- operative drainage	30	60	NA	NA	Silver alloy catheters	Standard hydrogel coated or Standard non-coated catheter	5 days <sup>#</sup>
Liedberg et al-2 [ <u>28</u> ]/ 1990	RCT	Patients requiring hemodynamic monitoring or post- operative drainage	60	60	43	40	Silver alloy catheters	Teflonised latex catheter	6 days <sup>#</sup>
Verleyen et al-1 [ <u>30</u> ]/ 1999	RCT	Post radical prostatectomy patients	12	15	12	15	Silver alloy hydrogel catheters	Standard catheter (silicone)	14 days <sup>#</sup>
Verleyen et al-2 [ <u>30</u> ]/ 1999	RCT	Urological surgery	79	101	47	55	Silver alloy hydrogel catheters	Standard catheter (Latex)	5 days (median)
Karchmer et al [ <u>10</u> ]/ 2000	RCT	Patients requiring hemodynamic monitoring or post- operative drainage	13945	13933	NR	NR	Silver alloy hydrogel latex catheters	Standard hydrogel-coated latex catheter	NA
Thibon et al [ <u>31</u> ]/ 2000	RCT	ICU and neurosurgery patients	90	109	NA	NA	Silver alloy hydrogel catheters	Standard catheter (silicone)	Study: 5.8±2.5 days Control: 5.9±2.3 days (mean±SD)
Stenzelius et al [32]/ 2011	RCT	Orthopedic surgery patients	222	217	96	82	Gold, silver, and palladium coated latex catheter	Standard catheter (silicone)	2 days (mean)
Pickard et al [11]/ 2012	RCT	Patients requiring hemodynamic monitoring or post- operative drainage	2097	2144	778	815	Silver alloy hydrogel-coated latex catheter	Polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) coated latex catheter	$\leq$ 14 days <sup>#</sup>
Fabrellas et al [ <u>14</u> ]/ 2013	RCT	Post-operative cardiac surgery patients	58	58	35	39	Gold, silver, and palladium coated catheter	Standard catheter (Latex)	4 days (mean)
Aljohi et al [ <u>13</u> ]/ 2016	RCT	ICU patients	30	30	14	16	Latex gold, silver, palladium and hydrogel layer coated catheter	Standard catheter (silicone latex)	3 days <sup>#</sup>
Stenzelius et al [ <u>15</u> ]/ 2016	Prospective cross-over	Stroke or acute neurological condition	151	171	84	82	Latex gold, silver, palladium and hydrogel layer coated catheter	Standard catheter (silicone)	Study: 8.8±11.1 Control: 9.1±8.2 (mean±SD)
Akcam et al [ <u>12</u> ]/ 2019	RCT	ICU patients	28	26	16	11	Silver-coated silicone catheters	Standard catheter (silicone)	Up to 22 days in both groups <sup>@</sup>
Ardehali et al [ <u>33</u> ]/ 2019	RCT*	NR	157	157	NR	NR	Gold, silver, and palladium coated catheter	Regular catheter	2–7 days <sup>#</sup>

\*published as letter to editor <sup>#</sup>total length of catheterization <sup>@</sup>Data available for up to 14 days.

NR, Not reported; NA, Data not extractable (not available); SD, Standard Deviation; ICU, intensive care unit.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0233215.t001

catheters and standard catheters for the same outcome (RR 0.41, 95%CI 0.04–4.68, P = 0.47;  $I^2 = 83\%$ ).

Author/Year	Outcomes and definitions	Results
Lundeberg et al [27]/ 1986	Bacteriuria: ≥100 CFU/ml	Significant difference between study and control groups
Liedberg et al-1 [ <u>34</u> ]/ 1990	Bacteriuria: $\geq 10^5$ CFU/ml	Significant difference between study and control groups
Liedberg et al-2 [28]/ 1990	Bacteriuria: $\geq 10^5$ CFU/ml	Significant difference between study and control groups
Verleyen et al-1 [30]/ 1999	Bacteriuria: $\geq 10^5$ CFU/ml	Non-significant difference between study and control groups
Verleyen et al-2 [ <u>30</u> ]/ 1999	Bacteriuria: $\geq 10^5$ CFU/ml	Significant delay in the onset of bacteriuria with silver catheters
Karchmer et al [ <u>10</u> ]/ 2000	UTI: defined according to a pre-specified clinical and microbiological criteria Asymptomatic Bacteriuria: $\geq 10^5$ CFU/ml with no more than 2 species of organism and after >7days of indwelling catheter	A significant 21% reduction of risk of infection per 1000 patient-days in study group
Thibon et al [ <u>31</u> ]/ 2000	UTI: Bacteriuria of $\geq 10^5$ CFU/ml and $> 10$ leucocytes/mm <sup>3</sup>	Non-significant difference between study and control groups
Stenzelius et al [32]/2011	Bacteriuria: $\geq 10^5$ CFU/ml	Significant difference between study and control groups
Pickard et al [11]/ 2012	Symptomatic UTI: presence of participant-reported symptoms of urinary tract infection and clinician prescription of antibiotic for a urinary tract infection at any time up to 6 weeks	Non-significant difference between study and control groups
	Microbiologically confirmed symptomatic UTI: symptomatic UTI and a positive urine culture	
	Symptomatic or asymptomatic bacteriuria: $\geq 10^5$ CFU/ml	
	Urethral discomfort with catheter	
Fabrellas et al [14]/ 2013	Bacteriuria: ≥10 <sup>5</sup> CFU/ml	Significant difference between study and control groups
Aljohi et al [ <u>13</u> ]/ 2016	UTI: based on definition from Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) - National Healthcare Safety Network criteria	Significant difference between study and control groups
	Catheter associated Asymptomatic Bacteriuria: urinary catheter within 48 h before the specimen collection, a positive urine culture of $\geq 10^3$ CFU/ml or $\geq 10^5$ CFU/ml with no more than two organisms, one laboratory evidence if $< 10^5$ CFU/ml	
	Asymptomatic Bacteriuria: $\geq 10^5$ CFU/ml with no more than 2 species of organism, no signs and symptoms of UTI, positive blood culture with at least one matching bacteria to the urine culture	
	Symptomatic Bacteriuria: same as above with symptoms of UTI	
Stenzelius et al [15]/2016	UTI: symptoms of the urinary tract (lower and/or upper) combined with the presence of bacterial growth in urine (> $10^4$ CFU/ml), and prescription of urinary tract antimicrobial agent	Non-significant difference between study and control groups
Akcam et al [12]/ 2019	Bacteriuria: ≥10 <sup>5</sup> CFU/ml	Non-significant difference between study and control groups
Ardehali et al [33]/ 2019	Symptoms of UTI Bacteriuria: >10 <sup>5</sup> CFU/ml	Non-significant difference between study and control groups
		1

Table 2. Outcomes of included studies.

CFU, Colony forming units; UTI, urinary tract infection.

	Noble n	netal	Stand	ard		<b>Risk Ratio</b>		Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	Year	M-H, Random, 95% Cl
1.1.2 Silver alloy								
Lundeberg et al	6	51	17	51	9.7%	0.35 [0.15, 0.82]	1986	
Liedberg et al-1	3	30	25	60	6.9%	0.24 [0.08, 0.73]	1990	
Liedberg et al-2	6	60	22	60	9.9%	0.27 [0.12, 0.62]	1990	
Verleyen et al-1	6	12	8	15	11.2%	0.94 [0.45, 1.96]	1999	
Verleyen et al-2	28	79	60	101	17.9%	0.60 [0.43, 0.84]	1999	
Thibon et al	9	90	13	109	10.3%	0.84 [0.38, 1.87]	2000	
Pickard et al	310	1785	321	1839	20.7%	0.99 [0.86, 1.15]	2011	+
Akcam et al	12	28	12	26	13.4%	0.93 [0.51, 1.69]	2019	
Subtotal (95% CI)		2135		2261	100.0%	0.63 [0.44, 0.90]		$\bullet$
Total events	380		478					
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	= 0.16; Ch	$i^2 = 25$	.36, df =	7 (P =	0.0007);	$l^2 = 72\%$		
Test for overall effect	Z = 2.51	(P = 0.	01)					
1.1.3 Noble metal all	oy							
Stenzelius et al-1	3	202	12	199	7.3%	0.25 [0.07, 0.86]	2011	
Fabrellas et al	18	58	29	58	53.3%	0.62 [0.39, 0.99]	2013	
Aljohi et al	0	30	1	30	1.1%	0.33 [0.01, 7.87]	2016	
Ardehali et al	18	157	29	157	38.3%	0.62 [0.36, 1.07]	2019	
Subtotal (95% CI)		447		444	100.0%	0.58 [0.41, 0.81]		•
Total events	39		71					
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	= 0.00; Ch	$i^2 = 2.1$	3, df = 3	B (P = 0)	.55); I <sup>2</sup> =	0%		
Test for overall effect	Z = 3.20	(P = 0.)	001)					
								0.01 0.1 1 10 100
	_							Favours [Noble metal] Favours [Standard]
Test for subgroup dif	ferences:	$Chi^2 = 0$	0.14, df =	= 1 (P =	= 0.71), l <sup>2</sup>	= 0%		o provi o postal - so non par a annonen i postalizzativa

#### Fig 2. Forrest plot of bacteriuria.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0233215.g002

	Noble n	netal	Stand	ard		<b>Risk Ratio</b>		Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% CI	Year	M–H, Random, 95% Cl
1.2.2 Silver alloy								
Lundeberg et al	6	51	17	51	16.8%	0.35 [0.15, 0.82]	1986	<b>_</b>
Liedberg et al-2	6	60	22	60	17.1%	0.27 [0.12, 0.62]	1990	_ <b>.</b>
Liedberg et al-1	3	30	25	60	13.2%	0.24 [0.08, 0.73]	1990	
Verleyen et al-2	8	79	31	101	18.8%	0.33 [0.16, 0.68]	1999	
Thibon et al	7	90	10	109	15.7%	0.85 [0.34, 2.14]	2000	
Akcam et al	11	28	8	26	18.5%	1.28 [0.61, 2.67]	2019	
Subtotal (95% CI)		338		407	100.0%	0.46 [0.26, 0.81]		$\bullet$
Total events	41		113					
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	= 0.31; Ch	$i^2 = 13$	.35, df =	5 (P =	0.02); I <sup>2</sup>	= 63%		
Test for overall effect	Z = 2.69	(P = 0.	007)					
1 2 3 Noble metal all	ov							
Stenzelius et al_1	<b>,</b>	202	12	100	7 3%	0 25 [0 07 0 86]	2011	
Fabrollas et al	18	58	20	58	53 3%	0.62 [0.39, 0.90]	2011	
Aliohi et al	10	30	29	30	1 1%	0.33 [0.01 7.87]	2015	
Ardehali et al	18	157	20	157	38.3%	0.62 [0.36 1.07]	2010	
Subtotal (95% CI)	10	447	25	444	100.0%	0.58 [0.41, 0.81]	2015	▲
Total events	39		71					
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	= 0.00; Ch	$i^2 = 2.1$	3, df = 3	B (P = 0)	$(.55); I^2 =$	0%		
Test for overall effect	: Z = 3.20	(P = 0.	001)					
								U.UI U.I I IU IUU Eavours [Nobel metal] Eavours [Standard]
Test for subgroup dif	ferences:	$Chi^2 = 0$	0.44, df =	= 1 (P =	= 0.51), I <sup>2</sup>	= 0%		המיסטוש [ויוסטכו וווכומו] המיסטוש נשנחוטמוט]

Fig 3. Forrest plot of bacteriuria(<1week of catheterization).

	Noble n	netal	Standa	ard		<b>Risk Ratio</b>		Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% CI	Year	M-H, Random, 95% Cl
1.3.2 Silver alloy								
Verleyen et al-1	6	12	8	15	12.3%	0.94 [0.45, 1.96]	1999	<b>_</b> _
Verleyen et al-2	28	79	60	101	58.5%	0.60 [0.43, 0.84]	1999	
Thibon et al	9	90	13	109	10.4%	0.84 [0.38, 1.87]	2000	
Akcam et al	12	28	12	26	18.8%	0.93 [0.51, 1.69]	2019	
Subtotal (95% CI)		209		251	100.0%	0.71 [0.55, 0.92]		$\bullet$
Total events	55		93					
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	= 0.00; Ch	$i^2 = 2.5$	0, df = 3	B (P = 0)	.47); I <sup>2</sup> =	0%		
Test for overall effect	: Z = 2.59	(P = 0.	010)					
							H	- $+$ $+$ $+$ $+$ $+$ $+$ $+$ $+$ $+$ $+$
							,	Favours [Noble metal] Favours [Standard]
Test for subgroup diff	ferences:	Not app	licable					ratears [.teste metal] Tatours [standard]

Fig 4. Forrest plot of bacteriuria(>1week of catheterization).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0233215.g004

#### Sensitivity analysis

On the exclusion of the second report of Verleyen et al [30] for bacteriuria with silver alloy catheter after >1week of catheterization, the results became statistically non-significant (RR 0.91, 95%CI 0.61–1.36, P = 0.64;  $I^2 = 0$ %). The results of the two studies pooled for symptomatic CAUTI with noble metal alloy catheters were contrasting. Singularly, Aljohi et al [13] found a reduced risk of symptomatic CAUTI with noble metal alloy catheters while no such difference was seen by Stenzelius et al [15]. There were no other changes of effect direction on sensitivity analysis of the remaining variables.

#### **Risk of bias analysis**

The risk of bias summary of included studies based on the authors' judgment is presented in Fig 6. Adequate method of randomization was described by three studies [11,31,32] and allocation concealment by two trials [11,32]. Blinding of participants and personnel [31,32] and blinding of outcome assessment [15,32] was appropriately clarified in two studies each.

	Noble m	etal	Standa	ard		<b>Risk Ratio</b>		Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	Year	M-H, Random, 95% Cl
1.4.1 Silver alloy								
Pickard et al <b>Subtotal (95% CI)</b>	105	2097 <b>2097</b>	99	2144 <b>2144</b>	100.0% <b>100.0%</b>	1.08 [0.83, 1.42] <b>1.08 [0.83, 1.42]</b>	2011	<b>—</b>
Total events	105		99					
Heterogeneity: Not ap	plicable							
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.59 (	(P=0.	55)					
1 4 2 Noble allov								
Aliobi et al	1	20	10	20	12 20/	0 10 [0 01 0 72]	2016	
Aljoni et al	20	151	10	171	42.2%	0.10[0.01, 0.75]	2010	
Subtotal (95% CI)	29	181 181	59	201	100.0%	0.41 [0.04, 4.68]	2010	
Total events	40		49					
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	2.65; Chi <sup>2</sup>	$^{2} = 5.9$	95, df = 1	I (P = 0)	.01); $I^2 =$	83%		
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.72 (	(P=0.	47)					
								Favours [Noble metal] Favours [Standard]
Test for subgroup diff	ferences: C	$chi^2 = 0$	0.61, df =	= 1 (P =	= 0.43), I <sup>2</sup>	$2^{2} = 0\%$		

Fig 5. Forrest plot of symptomatic CAUTI.

	Random sequence generation (selection bias)	Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias	Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias)	Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias)	Selective reporting (reporting bias)	Other bias
Akcam et al		?	?	?	+	?	?
Aljohi et al	?	?	?	?	+	?	?
Ardehali et al	?	?	?	?	?	?	?
Fabrellas et al	?	?	•	•	+	?	?
Karchmer et al	?	2	2			2	
L'adhan an 1-4		-		•	?	•	
Liedberg et al-1	?	?	?	?	? +	?	?
Liedberg et al-1 Liedberg et al-2	?	• ? ?	• ? ?	? ?	? + +	? ?	? ?
Liedberg et al-1 Liedberg et al-2 Lundeberg et al	? ? ?	• ? ? ?	• ? ? ?	? ? ?	? + + ?	? ? ?	? ? ? ?
Liedberg et al-1 Liedberg et al-2 Lundeberg et al Pickard et al	? ? ?	? ? ? +	• ? ? ?	? ? ? ?	? + ? +	? ? ? •	? ? ? ? ?
Liedberg et al-1 Liedberg et al-2 Lundeberg et al Pickard et al Stenzelius et al-1	? ? +	· ? ? •	• ? ? •	? ? ? ? ? • •	? + ? +	<pre> {     ?     ?     ?     ?     ? </pre>	? ? ? ? ? ? ?
Liedberg et al-1 Liedberg et al-2 Lundeberg et al Pickard et al Stenzelius et al-1 Stenzelius et al-2	? ? + +	· ? ? • •	• ? ? • •	<ul> <li>?</li> <li>?</li> <li>?</li> <li>?</li> <li>?</li> <li>+</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>?</li> <li>+</li> <li>?</li> <li>+</li> <li>+</li> <li>+</li> <li>+</li> <li>+</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>?</li> <li>?</li> <li>?</li> <li>+</li> <li>?</li> <li>?</li> <li>?</li> <li>?</li> <li>?</li> <li>?</li> <li>?</li> <li>?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>?</li> </ul>
Liedberg et al-1 Liedberg et al-2 Lundeberg et al Pickard et al Stenzelius et al-1 Stenzelius et al-2 Thibon et al	? ? + +	· ? ? ? • •	• ? ? • •	<ul> <li>?</li> <li>?</li> <li>?</li> <li>?</li> <li>?</li> <li>+</li> <li>?</li> <li>?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>?</li> <li>+</li> <li>?</li> <li>+</li> <li>+</li> <li>+</li> <li>+</li> <li>+</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>?</li> <li>?&lt;</li></ul>	<ul> <li>?</li> <li>?&lt;</li></ul>
Liedberg et al-1 Liedberg et al-2 Lundeberg et al Pickard et al Stenzelius et al-1 Stenzelius et al-2 Thibon et al Verleyen et al-1	? ? + + + +	· ? ? • •	• ? ? • • • •	<ul> <li>?</li> <li>?</li> <li>?</li> <li>?</li> <li>+</li> <li>+</li> <li>?</li> <li>?</li> <li>?</li> <li>?</li> <li>?</li> <li>?</li> <li>?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>?</li> <li>+</li> <li>?</li> <li>+</li> <li>+</li> <li>+</li> <li>+</li> <li>+</li> <li>+</li> <li>+</li> <li>+</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>?</li> <li>?&lt;</li></ul>	<ul> <li>?</li> <li>?&lt;</li></ul>

# Discussion

CAUTIs contributed to a significant load of hospital-acquired infections worldwide. Several strategies to reduce CAUTIs have been proposed, which include limiting the use of catheter itself, minimizing the duration of catheterization, use of anti-septic methods during insertion and maintenance, use of disposable equipment, closed system catheterization and training of nursing staff and other personnel involved in patient care [5-7,33]. Another method involves coating the surface of the catheter with an anti-septic or antimicrobial like silver, noble metal alloy, nitrofurazone and chlorhexidine [7,8]. This technique is postulated to act directly against the primary mode of the pathogenesis of CAUTIs- the biofilm. The biofilm over an indwelling catheter consists of a reservoir of microbes and their extra-cellular products along with host-components. It offers a distinct survival advantage to pathogenic bacteria as the catheter surface does not have an inherent defense mechanism [35]. It is thought that coating the catheter surface with an agent acting against the colonizing microbes, may help reduce the incidence of CAUTIs.

Silver is a non-toxic noble metal and has been used as a bactericidal agent for burn wounds [9]. In 1979, Akiyama and Okamoto [36] devised the first urinary catheter coated with silver which was used in 102 patients. They found no incidence of bacteriuria ( $>10^5$  CFU/ml) in the study group while all 20 patients in the control group had bacteriuria in four days of catheterization. In the past decade, Bactiguard catheters (BIP Foley Catheter, Bactiguard AB, Stockholm, Sweden) have been introduced with an assertion of reduced CAUTIs [32]. In these catheters, in addition to silver, a very thin layer of other noble metals like gold and palladium are added to the surface coating. Since there have been no comparative studies of silver and noble metal alloy catheters to date, the results of these two devices were analyzed separately in our review.

In a systematic literature search, we found a total of seven studies [11,12,27–31] with eight reports comparing silver alloy catheters to standard catheters. Four reports [27-30] found a significant difference in the incidence of bacteriuria with silver-alloy catheters while the remaining four did not report any such difference. The overall results of our study indicate that silver-alloy catheters do reduce the incidence of bacteriuria for short-term catheterization (RR 0.63, 95%CI 0.44–0.90). Many of the included studies were however of a small sample size with none recruiting more than 100 participants in each group. Pickard et al [11], in the largest RCT included in the meta-analysis with 1785 patients in the study group and 1839 in the control group, found no significant reduction of bacteriuria with silver alloy catheters (RR 0.99, 95%CI 0.86-1.15). However, it is important to note that the median duration of catheterization in this trial was only 2 days (Inter-quartile range (IQR): 1-3 days) for both study and control groups which may have contributed to the non-significant result. On the other hand, while adequate methods of randomization and allocation concealment were employed in this study, the quality of four trials [27–30] reporting a significant difference in bacteriuria with silver alloy catheters was questionable. Similarly, on pooling data from four studies [13,14,32,33], we found a significant reduction of bacteriuria with the noble metal alloy catheters as compared to standard catheters. Our results concur with the previous meta-analysis of Lam et al [8] which reported similar results with both silver-alloy and noble metal alloy catheters. However, in comparison were able to add three more studies [13,14,33] evaluating noble alloy catheters and one more study [12] of silver alloy catheter to the previous review, thereby presenting updated evidence.

One important risk factor of CAUTI and bacteriuria is the duration of catheterization [32]. While it is hypothesized that noble metal coating may reduce the biofilm formation, no material has been found to date which eliminates bacterial colonization and biofilm formation; and

bacteriuria may only be delayed with such catheters [35]. In a multicentric RCT, Bonfil et al [25] have compared silver-alloy catheters with standard catheters when used for a median time of 4 weeks. They found no significant difference between the two groups and did not recommend silver alloy-coated catheters for long-term use. While our study did not include trials utilizing catheters for more than 2 weeks, to test the validity of our results, we analyzed studies based on the duration of catheterization (more or less than 1 week). Our results indicated a significant reduction of bacteriuria with silver alloy catheters with more than one week of catheterization. However, the results should be interpreted with caution as they were from a small pool of studies with limited sample size and were not stable on sensitivity analysis. Since all studies using noble alloy catheters employed it for less than a week; it is not known if such catheters reduce bacteriuria with more than 1 week of catheterization.

The presence of bacteriuria, however, does not confirm CAUTI [15]. In patients with an indwelling catheter, a daily acquisition rate of bacteriuria is estimated to be 3-10%, with most cases of bacteriuria being asymptomatic and requiring no treatment [37]. A combined clinical and microbiological definition of CAUTI as suggested by the Centre for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) is a better outcome variable rather than relying on bacteriuria alone for differences between noble metal-coated catheters and standard catheters [38]. Two large studies evaluating symptomatic CAUTI with silver alloy catheters presented conflicting results. While the results of Pickard et al [11] have already been discussed, Karchmer et al [10] in a large study on 27878 patients reported an estimated 21% reduction of risk of infection per 1000 patient-days and 32% reduction of risk of CAUTI per 100 silver-alloy catheters. The authors, however, used a combination of symptomatic UTI and asymptomatic bacteriuria to define CAUTI and data was not presented separately. For noble metal alloy catheters, pooled results of the two studies demonstrated a significant reduction of CAUTI with noble metal alloy catheters (RR 0.41, 95%CI 0.04–4.68). The results of the two studies [13,15] were conflicting with significant results seen only in the smaller trial of Aljohi et al [13] with 30 patients in each group.

Several limitations of our review need to be elaborated. Foremost, the quality of included studies was not high with only a few studies reporting adequate randomization, allocation concealment and blinding of personnel and outcome assessors. Secondly, there was wide methodological variability amongst studies with differences in the patient population studied, type of uncoated standard catheter, duration of catheterization, etc. Thirdly, the majority of included studies restricted the outcomes to the measurement of bacteriuria with only a few studies focusing on symptomatic CAUTI. Adverse events with the use of noble metal catheters were also not reported. Lastly, due to lack of data, a sub-group analysis based on variables like gender, use of antibiotics, method of specimen collection, etc could not be carried out. The strengths of our review include the updated evidence presented with the inclusion of four more studies from the last review. A sensitivity analysis was carried out to validate our results which were stable for the major outcome variable.

The involvement of nursing personnel in the prevention of hospital-acquired infection is continuously increasing, and the prevention of CAUTI is an integral component of this process. Since nurses have been independently managing urinary catheters in many hospitals worldwide, it is important that the selection and routine care of catheters is based on highquality evidence. The aim of our review was to provide such level-1 evidence on the use of noble metal alloy catheters in adults. Our review indicates that bacteriuria may be reduced with the use of noble metal-coated catheters during short-term catheterization of adult, however, the quality of evidence is not high. It is not clear if these catheters reduce the risk of symptomatic CAUTI as evidence in this regard is conflicting. Further, homogenous trials may provide further clarity on this debatable topic.

# Supporting information

**S1** Table. Search strategy and results from PubMed database. (DOCX)

S1 Data. (DOC)

#### **Author Contributions**

Conceptualization: Yan Sun, Xuan Long.

Data curation: Yan Sun.

Formal analysis: Yan Sun, Ping Ren.

Investigation: Yan Sun, Ping Ren.

Methodology: Yan Sun, Ping Ren, Xuan Long.

Project administration: Xuan Long.

Resources: Ping Ren, Xuan Long.

Software: Yan Sun, Ping Ren.

Supervision: Xuan Long.

Validation: Yan Sun, Ping Ren, Xuan Long.

Visualization: Yan Sun, Ping Ren, Xuan Long.

Writing - original draft: Yan Sun.

Writing - review & editing: Xuan Long.

#### References

- Smyth ETM, McIlvenny G, Enstone JE, Emmerson AM, Humphreys H, Fitzpatrick F, et al. Four country healthcare associated infection prevalence survey 2006: overview of the results. The Journal of hospital infection. 2008; 69: 230–48. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2008.04.020 PMID: 18550218
- Turck M, Stamm W. Nosocomial infection of the urinary tract. The American journal of medicine. 1981; 70: 651–4. https://doi.org/10.1016/0002-9343(81)90590-8
- Umscheid CA, Mitchell MD, Doshi JA, Agarwal R, Williams K, Brennan PJ. Estimating the proportion of healthcare-associated infections that are reasonably preventable and the related mortality and costs. Infection control and hospital epidemiology. 2011; 32: 101–14. https://doi.org/10.1086/657912 PMID: 21460463
- Fuchs MA, Sexton DJ, Thornlow DK, Champagne MT. Evaluation of an evidence-based, nurse-driven checklist to prevent hospital-acquired catheter-associated urinary tract infections in intensive care units. Journal of nursing care quality. 2011; 26: 101–9. <u>https://doi.org/10.1097/NCQ.0b013e3181fb7847</u> PMID: 21037484
- Meddings J, Rogers MAM, Krein SL, Fakih MG, Olmsted RN, Saint S. Reducing unnecessary urinary catheter use and other strategies to prevent catheter-associated urinary tract infection: an integrative review. BMJ quality & safety. 2014; 23: 277–89. <u>https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjqs-2012-001774</u> PMID: 24077850
- 6. Buchmann B, Stinnett G. Reducing rates of catheter-associated urinary tract infection. The Alabama nurse. 2011; 38: 5.
- Tenke P, Köves B, Johansen TEB. An update on prevention and treatment of catheter-associated urinary tract infections. Current opinion in infectious diseases. 2014; 27: 102–7. <u>https://doi.org/10.1097/ QCO.000000000000031 PMID: 24345923</u>

- Lam TBL, Omar MI, Fisher E, Gillies K, MacLennan S. Types of indwelling urethral catheters for shortterm catheterisation in hospitalised adults. The Cochrane database of systematic reviews. 2014; CD004013. https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD004013.pub4 PMID: 25248140
- Medici S, Peana M, Nurchi VM, Lachowicz JI, Crisponi G, Zoroddu MA. Noble metals in medicine: Latest advances. Coordination Chemistry Reviews. 2015; 284: 329–350. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CCR. 2014.08.002
- Karchmer TB, Giannetta ET, Muto CA, Strain BA, Farr BM. A randomized crossover study of silvercoated urinary catheters in hospitalized patients. Archives of Internal Medicine. 2000; 160: 3294–3298. https://doi.org/10.1001/archinte.160.21.3294 PMID: 11088092
- Pickard R, Lam T, MacLennan G, Starr K, Kilonzo M, McPherson G, et al. Antimicrobial catheters for reduction of symptomatic urinary tract infection in adults requiring short-term catheterisation in hospital: A multicentre randomised controlled trial. The Lancet. 2012; 380: 1927–1935. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/ S0140-6736(12)61380-4</u>
- Akcam FZ, Kaya O, Temel EN, Buyuktuna SA, Unal O, Yurekli VA. An investigation of the effectiveness against bacteriuria of silver-coated catheters in short-term urinary catheter applications: A randomized controlled study. Journal of Infection and Chemotherapy. 2019; 25: 797–800. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jiac.2019.04.004</u> PMID: 31030965
- Aljohi A, Hassan H, Gupta R. The efficacy of noble metal alloy urinary catheters in reducing catheterassociated urinary tract infection. Urology Annals. 2016; 8: 423–429. <u>https://doi.org/10.4103/0974-7796.192099</u> PMID: 28057985
- Hidalgo Fabrellas I, Rebollo Pavón M, Planas Canals M, Barbero Cabezas M, Sirvent-Calvera JM, García-Cuesta MG, et al. Incidencia de la infección urinaria en pacientes postoperados de cirugía cardiaca: Estudio comparativo según el dispositivo de sondaje. Enfermeria Intensiva. 2015; 26: 54–62. <u>https://</u> doi.org/10.1016/j.enfi.2014.10.004 PMID: 25804335
- Stenzelius K, Laszlo L, Madeja M, Pessah-Rasmusson H, Grabe M. Catheter-associated urinary tract infections and other infections in patients hospitalized for acute stroke: A prospective cohort study of two different silicone catheters. Scandinavian Journal of Urology. 2016; 50: 483–488. https://doi.org/10. 1080/21681805.2016.1194459 PMID: 27328334
- Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, PRISMA Group. Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses: The PRISMA Statement. PLoS Medicine. 2009; 6: e1000097. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1000097</u> PMID: 19621072
- 17. Higgins J, Green S. Cochrane Handbook for Systemic Reviews of Interventions. Version 5. The Cochrane Collaboration; 2011.
- Higgins J, Altman D, Sterne J. Cochrane Statistical Methods Group and the Cochrane Bias Methods Group. Chapter 8: assessing risk of bias in included studies. Version 5. Cochrane Handbook for Systemic Reviews of Interventions. Version 5. The Cochrane Collaboration; 2011.
- Johnson JR, Roberts PL, Olsen RJ, Moyer KA, Stamm WE. Prevention of catheter-associated urinary tract infection with a silver oxide-coated urinary catheter: Clinical and microbiologic correlates. Journal of Infectious Diseases. 1990; 162: 1145–1150. https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/162.5.1145 PMID: 2230239
- Liedberg H, Lundeberg T. Prospective study of incidence of urinary tract infection in patients catheterized with Bard hydrogel and silver-coated catheters or Bard hydrogel- coated catheters. Journal of Urology. 1993; 149: 405A.
- Takeuchi H, Hida S, Yoshida O, Ueda T. [Clinical study on efficacy of a Foley catheter coated with silver-protein in prevention of urinary tract infections]. Hinyokika kiyo Acta urologica Japonica. 1993; 39: 293–8.
- Riley DK, Classen DC, Stevens LE, Burke JP. A large randomized clinical trial of a silver-impregnated urinary catheter: Lack of efficacy and staphylococcal superinfection. The American Journal of Medicine. 1995; 98: 349–356. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0002-9343(99)80313-1
- Maki D, Knasinski V, Halvorson K, Tambyah P. A novel silver- hydrogel-impregnated indwelling urinary catheter reduces CAUTIs: A prospective double-blind trial. Infection Control and Hospital Epidemiology. 1998; 1992: 682.
- Leuck A-M, Johnson JR, Hunt MA, Dhody K, Kazempour K, Ferrieri P, et al. Safety and efficacy of a novel silver-impregnated urinary catheter system for preventing catheter-associated bacteriuria: a pilot randomized clinical trial. American journal of infection control. 2015; 43: 260–5. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.ajic.2014.11.021 PMID: 25728152
- 25. Bonfill X, Rigau D, Esteban-Fuertes M, Barrera-Chacón JM, Jáuregui-Abrisqueta ML, Salvador S, et al. Efficacy and safety of urinary catheters with silver alloy coating in patients with spinal cord injury: a multicentric pragmatic randomized controlled trial. The ESCALE trial. The spine journal: official journal of the North American Spine Society. 2017; 17: 1650–1657. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spinee.2017.05.025</u> PMID: 28578163

- 26. Chung PH, Wong CW, Lai CK, Siu HK, Tsang DN, Yeung KY, et al. A prospective interventional study to examine the effect of a silver alloy and hydrogel-coated catheter on the incidence of catheter-associated urinary tract infection. Hong Kong medical journal = Xianggang yi xue za zhi. 2017; 23: 239–45. https://doi.org/10.12809/hkmj164906 PMID: 28211358
- Lundeberg T. Prevention of catheter-associated urinary-tract infections by use of silver-impregnated catheters. Lancet (London, England). 1986; 2: 1031. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(86)92629-2
- Liedberg H, Lundeberg T. Silver Alloy Coated Catheters Reduce Catheter-associated Bacteriuria. British Journal of Urology. 1990; 65: 379–381. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1464-410X.1990.tb14760.x PMID: 2187551
- Liedberg H, Lundeberg T, Ekman P. Refinements in the coating of urethral catheters reduces the incidence of catheter-associated bacteriuria. An experimental and clinical study. European urology. 1990; 17: 236–40. https://doi.org/10.1159/000464046 PMID: 2190840
- Verleyen P, De Ridder D, Van Poppel H, Baert L. Clinical application of the Bardex IC foley catheter. European Urology. 1999; 36: 240–246. https://doi.org/10.1159/000068005 PMID: 10450010
- Thibon P, Le Coutour X, Leroyer R, Fabry J. Randomized multi-centre trial of the effects of a catheter coated with hydrogel and silver salts on the incidence of hospital-acquired urinary tract infections. Journal of Hospital Infection. 2000; 45: 117–124. https://doi.org/10.1053/jhin.1999.0715 PMID: 10860688
- Stenzelius K, Persson S, Olsson UB, Stjrneblad M. Noble metal alloy-coated latex versus silicone Foley catheter in short-term catheterization: A randomized controlled study. Scandinavian Journal of Urology and Nephrology. 2011; 45: 258–264. https://doi.org/10.3109/00365599.2011.560007 PMID: 21452931
- **33.** Ardehali SH, Sedaghatmanesh M, Fatemi A. The Role of Foley Catheter Coated with Gold, Silver, and Palladium in Decreasing Urinary Tract Infections in the Intensive Care Unit; a Letter to Editor. Archives of academic emergency medicine. 2019; 7: 40.
- Liedberg H, Lundeberg T, Ekman P. Refinements in the coating of urethral catheters reduces the incidence of catheter-associated bacteriuria. An experimental and clinical study. European urology. 1990; 17: 236–40. https://doi.org/10.1159/000464046 PMID: 2190840
- Trautner BW, Darouiche RO. Role of biofilm in catheter-associated urinary tract infection. American journal of infection control. 2004; 32: 177–83. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2003.08.005</u> PMID: 15153930
- H A, S O. Prophylaxis of Indwelling Urethral Catheter Infection: Clinical Experience With a Modified Foley Catheter and Drainage System. The Journal of urology. 1979;121. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-5347(17)56652-5</u>
- Saint S, Lipsky BA, Goold SD. Indwelling urinary catheters: a one-point restraint? Annals of internal medicine. 2002; 137: 125–7. https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-137-2-200207160-00012 PMID: 12118969
- CDC/NHSN surveillance definition of health care-associated infection and criteria for specific types of infections in the acute care setting. 2017 [cited 3 Oct 2019]. Available: http://www.cdc.gov/nhsn/