



RE: Identification of Preoperative Magnetic Resonance Imaging Features Associated with Positive Resection Margins in Breast Cancer?

Dongzhi Cen, MD¹, Wanyan Hu, MD²,
 Xuelin Wang, MD², Xiaohuan Wu, MD²

¹Department of Nuclear Medicine and Radiation Oncology, The Third Affiliated Hospital of Guangzhou Medical University, Guangzhou, China; ²The Third Clinical School of Guangzhou Medical University, Guangzhou, China

Keywords: *Comments; Positive resection margins; Breast cancer*

Dear Editor:

With great interest, we read the article "Identification of preoperative magnetic resonance imaging features associated with positive resection margins in breast cancer: a retrospective study" by Kang et al. (1). We would like to thank the authors for this highly useful work, which raises a few points worthy of discussion.

Discrimination and calibration have been two major components in the evaluation of model performance (2). Model building strategies commonly rely on an Events Per Variable (EPV) criterion to determine the minimal sample

size required and the maximum number of candidate predictors that can be examined (3, 4). A very small ratio of EPV can affect the accuracy and precision of the regression coefficients and the tests of their statistical significance (5). In this work, the multivariate logistic regression prediction model (EPV = 5.2) included at least 6 variables (univariate analysis: tumor size $p = 0.015$, multifocality $p = 0.047$, size on magnetic resonance $p = 0.048$, patterns of enhancing lesions $p < 0.001$, distribution $p = 0.024$, and breast parenchymal enhancement $p = 0.087$; sample size = 120, events = 31). Additionally, the model confirmed that patterns of enhancing lesions (non-mass enhancement with or without mass) and distribution (segmental distribution) were predictors of positive resection margins. The results should be interpreted with caution (low EPV may lead to an overfitting of the model). Therefore, higher EPV (EPV ≥ 10) is recommended to fit prediction models in clustered data using logistic regression (4, 5). Further study with a large cohort is required. We thank the authors for their insights on this important problem.

ORCID iDs

Dongzhi Cen

<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4044-0353>

Wanyan Hu

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3594-4867>

Xuelin Wang

<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4194-2718>

Xiaohuan Wu

<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8750-2430>

REFERENCES

1. Kang JH, Youk JH, Kim JA, Gweon HM, Eun NL, Ko KH, et al. Identification of preoperative magnetic resonance imaging features associated with positive resection margins in breast cancer: a retrospective study. *Korean J Radiol* 2018;19:897-904
2. Chi YY, Zhou XH. The need for reorientation toward cost-effective prediction: comments on 'Evaluating the added predictive ability of a new marker: from area under the ROC curve to reclassification and beyond' by Pencina et al., statistics in medicine (DOI: 10.1002/sim.2929). *Stat Med* 2008;27:182-184
3. van Smeden M, Moons KG, de Groot JA, Collins GS, Altman

Received January 17, 2019; accepted after revision February 21, 2019.

This study was supported by Guangdong science and technology project (2016ZC0142).

Corresponding author: Dongzhi Cen, MD, Department of Nuclear Medicine and Radiation Oncology, The Third Affiliated Hospital of Guangzhou Medical University, Guangzhou 510150, China.

• Tel: (86) 13660672836 • Fax: (86) 13660672836
 • E-mail: dongzhicen@gzhmu.edu.cn

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0>) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

- DG, Eijkemans MJ, et al. Sample size for binary logistic prediction models: beyond events per variable criteria. *Stat Methods Med Res* 2018 Jan 1 [Epub ahead of print]. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0962280218784726>
4. Wynants L, Bouwmeester W, Moons KG, Moerbeek M, Timmerman D, Van Huffel S, et al. A simulation study of sample size demonstrated the importance of the number of events per variable to develop prediction models in clustered data. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2015;68:1406-1414
5. Concato J, Peduzzi P, Holford TR, Feinstein AR. Importance of events per independent variable in proportional hazards analysis. I. Background, goals, and general strategy. *J Clin Epidemiol* 1995;48:1495-1501