

Article

# Synthesis, Characterization, and Catalytic Application of Palladium Complexes Containing Indolyl-NNN-Type Ligands

Pang-Chia Lo, Chun-Wei Yang, Wen-Kai Wu and Chi-Tien Chen \*

Department of Chemistry, National Chung Hsing University, Taichung 402, Taiwan;  
k101312001@gmail.com (P.-C.L.); g104051082@mail.nchu.edu.tw (C.-W.Y.);  
g103051018@mail.nchu.edu.tw (W.-K.W.)

\* Correspondence: ctchen@dragon.nchu.edu.tw

**Abstract:** In this study, a series of *N*-heterocyclic indolyl ligand precursors 2-Py-Py-IndH, 2-Py-Pz-IndH, 2-Py-7-Py-IndH, 2-Py-7-Pz-IndH, and 2-Ox-7-Py-IndH (L<sup>1</sup>H-L<sup>5</sup>H) were prepared. The treatment of ligand precursors with 1 equivalent of palladium acetate affords palladium complexes 1–5. All ligand precursors and palladium complexes were characterized by NMR spectroscopy and elemental analysis. The molecular structures of complexes 3 and 5 were determined by single crystal X-ray diffraction techniques. The application of those palladium complexes 1–5 to the Suzuki reaction with aryl halide substrates was examined.

**Keywords:** palladium complexes; *N*-heterocyclic; indolyl; Suzuki reaction



**Citation:** Lo, P.-C.; Yang, C.-W.; Wu, W.-K.; Chen, C.-T. Synthesis, Characterization, and Catalytic Application of Palladium Complexes Containing Indolyl-NNN-Type Ligands. *Molecules* **2021**, *26*, 4426. <https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules26154426>

Academic Editor: Shih-Tzung Liu

Received: 29 June 2021

Accepted: 21 July 2021

Published: 22 July 2021

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

## 1. Introduction


Transition metal-catalyzed cross coupling reactions have been attractive for decades since they are powerful in the formation of various coupling products [1–3]. Due to their well-development and broad application in synthetic method, cross coupling was the subject of the Nobel Prize for Chemistry in 2010 [4,5]. Recently, some palladium pincer complexes have been designed and applied in cross coupling reactions [6,7]. This encourages us to develop palladium complexes bearing pincer ligands, which could be applied in cross coupling reactions. Owing to the success in preparation of some metal complexes containing the indole ring system reported by us [8,9] and other groups [10–12], introduction of the indole ring system into the pincer ligand precursors will be explored. In this paper, we intended to introduce the *N*-heterocyclic substituents, such as pyridine, pyrazole, or oxazoline as pendant functionalities into the indole ligands in different positions. We hoped the combination of pyridine, pyrazole or oxazoline and indole groups could be the candidates for ligand precursors. The palladium complexes incorporating pyridine-, pyrazole- or oxazoline-indolyl ligands will be reported. Their catalytic activities toward Suzuki reaction are also investigated.

## 2. Results and Discussion

### 2.1. Syntheses and Characterization of Ligand Precursors and Palladium Compounds

In order to prepare the ligand precursors, several bromo-indolyl precursors were synthesized by Fischer-indole synthesis first, followed by Stille reaction (for 2-Py-Py-IndH (L<sup>1</sup>H), 2-Py-7-Py-IndH (L<sup>3</sup>H) and 2-Ox-7-Py-IndH (L<sup>5</sup>H)) or Ullman coupling reaction (for 2-Py-Pz-IndH (L<sup>2</sup>H) and 2-Py-7-Pz-IndH (L<sup>4</sup>H)). The signals of –NH on <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra for those indole derivatives were observed around  $\delta$  9.36–11.99 ppm. They were characterized by elemental analyses as well. Treatment of these ligand precursors with 1.0 equivalent of Pd(OAc)<sub>2</sub> in toluene or THF (for 2) afforded the mono-indolyl palladium acetate complexes 1–5, as shown in Scheme 1.

The disappearance of the N-H signal of functionalized indoles and the appearance of OAc signal are consistent with the proposed structures. The stack  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectra for  $\text{L}^3\text{H}$  and  $[\text{L}^3]\text{Pd}(\text{OAc})$  are shown in Figure 1.



Scheme 1. Preparation of ligand precursors and palladium complexes.





Figure 1.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectra for  $\text{L}^3\text{H}$  (upper) and  $[\text{L}^3]\text{Pd}(\text{OAc})$ .


Compounds 1–5 were all characterized by NMR spectroscopy as well as elemental analyses. Suitable crystals of 3 and 5 for structural determination were obtained from  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ /hexane solution by the two layers method. The molecular structures are depicted in Figures 2 and 3.

Compounds 3 and 5 demonstrate mono-nuclear form, the bond angles (from  $78.0(2)^\circ$  to  $96.0(2)^\circ$  for 3, from  $79.33(11)^\circ$  to  $97.83(10)^\circ$  for 5) around Pd metal centers indicate complexes having a slightly distorted square planar geometry, in which each palladium metal center is coordinated with one indolyl nitrogen atom, two N-heterocyclic nitrogen

atoms (two pyridinyl for **3**, one pyridinyl and one oxazolinyll for **5**) and one acetate oxygen atom. Comparisons of some bite angles ( $^{\circ}$ ) and bond distances ( $\text{\AA}$ ) are given in Table 1.



**Figure 2.** Molecular structure of **3** with thermal ellipsoids drawn at the 30% probability level. Selected bond lengths ( $\text{\AA}$ ) and bond angles ( $^{\circ}$ ): Pd-N(1), 2.043(4); Pd-N(2), 1.941(6); Pd-N(3), 2.055(5); Pd-O(1), 2.045(4); N(2)-Pd-N(1), 78.0(2); N(2)-Pd-N(3), 90.8(2); N(1)-Pd-N(3), 168.7(2); N(1)-Pd-O(1), 95.22(18); N(2)-Pd-O(1), 173.23(18); O(1)-Pd-N(3), 96.0(2). Hydrogen atoms omitted for clarity.



**Figure 3.** Molecular structure of **5** with thermal ellipsoids drawn at the 30% probability level. Selected bond lengths ( $\text{\AA}$ ) and bond angles ( $^{\circ}$ ): Pd-N(1), 2.087(3); Pd-N(2), 1.923(3); Pd-N(3), 2.050(3); Pd-O(2), 2.048(2); N(2)-Pd-N(1), 89.01(11); N(2)-Pd-N(3), 79.33(11); N(3)-Pd-N(1), 168.21(10); O(2)-Pd-N(1), 97.83(10); O(2)-Pd-N(2), 171.82(10); O(2)-Pd-N(3), 93.66(10). Hydrogen atoms omitted for clarity.

**Table 1.** Bite angles ( $^{\circ}$ ) and bond distances ( $\text{\AA}$ ) compared with literature.

| $N_{E1}\text{-Pd-}N_{E2}$ <sup>a</sup> | Ref.               | Pd- $N_{\text{indolyl}}$ | Ref.     | Pd- $N_{\text{oxazoliny}}$ | Ref.     |
|--|--------------------|--------------------------|----------|----------------------------|----------|
| (5-membered ring)                      |                    | 1.941(6)                 | <b>3</b> | 2.050(3)                   | <b>3</b> |
|  | 78.0(2)            | 1.923(3)                 | <b>5</b> | 2.144(3)                   | [13]     |
|  | 79.33(11)          | 2.032(3) to 2.040(4)     | [11]     | 2.0254(14)                 | [14]     |
|  | 81.14(12)          | 2.012(3)                 | [12]     | 2.011(3) to 2.052(8)       | [15]     |
|  | 79.89(7), 80.06(9) |                          |          | 1.972(2) to 2.055(4)       | [17]     |
|  | 81.78(8)           | [18]                     |          |                            |          |
| 81.37(11)                              | [13]               |                          |          |                            |          |
| (6-membered ring)                      |                    |                          |          |                            |          |
|  | 81.39(12)          | 2.043(4), 2.055(5)       | <b>3</b> | 2.045(4)                   | <b>3</b> |
|  | 81.76(6)           | 2.087(3)                 | <b>5</b> | 2.048(2)                   | <b>5</b> |
|  |                    | 2.021(3)                 | [12]     | 2.035(2)                   | [12]     |
|  |                    | 2.1244(17)               | [16]     | 2.0558(18)                 | [16]     |
|  | 90.8(8)            | 2.113(2)                 | [18]     | 2.0545(16)                 | [18]     |
| 89.01(11)                              | <b>5</b>           | 2.119(3)                 | [13]     | 2.064(3) to 2.045(2)       | [13]     |
|  |                    |                          |          | 2.021(4)                   | [17]     |
|  |                    |                          |          | 2.0412(18), 2.054(3)       | [20]     |
|  |                    |                          |          | 2.036(2)                   | [21]     |

<sup>a</sup>  $N_{E1}$ :  $N_{\text{indolyl}}$ ;  $N_{E2}$ :  $N_{\text{pyridinyl}}$  or  $N_{\text{oxazoliny}}$ .


The bite angles of five-membered ring  $N_{\text{indolyl}}\text{-Pd-}N_{\text{pyridinyl}}$  or  $N_{\text{indolyl}}\text{-Pd-}N_{\text{oxazoliny}}$  ( $78.0(2)^{\circ}$  for **3** and  $79.33(11)^{\circ}$  for **5**) are similar to those ( $81.14(12)^{\circ}$  for  $N_{\text{indolyl}}\text{-Pd-}N_{\text{pyridinyl}}$  [12];  $79.89(7)^{\circ}$  or  $80.06(9)^{\circ}$  for  $N_{\text{imino}}\text{-Pd-}N_{\text{pyridinyl}}$  [16];  $81.78(8)^{\circ}$  or  $81.37(11)^{\circ}$  for  $N_{\text{imino}}\text{-Pd-}N_{\text{pyridinyl}}$  [13,18];  $81.39(12)^{\circ}$  for  $N_{\text{amido}}\text{-Pd-}N_{\text{quinoliny}}$  [19];  $81.76(6)^{\circ}$  for  $N_{\text{amido}}\text{-Pd-}N_{\text{pyridinyl}}$  [14]) found in the literature. The bite angles of six-membered ring  $N_{\text{indolyl}}\text{-Pd-}N_{\text{pyridinyl}}$  ( $90.8(8)^{\circ}$  for **3** and  $89.01(11)^{\circ}$  for **5**) are larger than those found in five-membered ring. The bond lengths of Pd- $N_{\text{indolyl}}$  ( $1.941(6)\text{\AA}$  for **3** and  $1.923(3)\text{\AA}$  for **5**) are slightly shorter than those ( $2.032(3)\text{\AA}$  to  $2.040(4)\text{\AA}$  [11] and  $2.012(3)\text{\AA}$  [12]) found for some palladium indolyl complexes. This might result from less steric hindrance of ligands in this work. The bond lengths of Pd- $N_{\text{pyridinyl}}$  ( $2.043(4)\text{\AA}$  and  $2.055(5)\text{\AA}$  for **3** and  $2.087(3)\text{\AA}$  for **5**) are similar to those ( $2.021(3)\text{\AA}$  [12];  $2.1244(17)\text{\AA}$  [16];  $2.113(2)\text{\AA}$  [18];  $2.119(3)\text{\AA}$  [13]) reported in the literature. The bond length of Pd- $N_{\text{oxazoliny}}$  ( $2.050(3)\text{\AA}$  for **3**) is within the range of those ( $2.0254(14)\text{\AA}$  [14];  $2.011(3)\text{\AA}$  to  $2.052(8)\text{\AA}$  [15];  $2.144(3)\text{\AA}$  [13] or  $1.972(2)\text{\AA}$  to  $2.055(4)\text{\AA}$  [17]) found for some palladium pybox, palladium pendant benzamidinate or palladium anilido-oxazolinato complexes. The bond lengths of Pd- $O_{\text{OAc}}$  ( $2.045(4)\text{\AA}$  for **3** and  $2.048(2)\text{\AA}$  for **5**) are comparable to those ( $2.035(2)\text{\AA}$  [12];  $2.0558(18)\text{\AA}$  [16];  $2.0412(18)\text{\AA}$  and  $2.054(3)\text{\AA}$  [20];  $2.036(2)\text{\AA}$  [21];  $2.021(4)\text{\AA}$  [17];  $2.0545(16)\text{\AA}$  [18];  $2.064(3)$  to  $2.045(2)\text{\AA}$  [13]) found in the literature.

## 2.2. Catalytic Studies

In our previous work, some palladium complexes bearing different functionalities have been reported and exhibited catalytic activities in cross-coupling reactions [13,16–18,20,21]. The palladium complexes discussed above are expected to catalyze the carbon-carbon coupling reactions. For the purpose of comparing reactivity with other corresponding palladium complexes, Suzuki reaction was chosen to demonstrate the catalytic activities. Potential candidates **1–5** as catalyst precursors were introduced in the coupling of 4-bromoacetophenone with phenylboronic acid at  $70^{\circ}\text{C}$  on a 1.0 mol% Pd scale, as shown in Scheme 2. Selected results are listed in Table 2.

The optimized conditions for the reaction were found to be  $\text{K}_2\text{CO}_3$ /toluene after several trials with the combination of bases ( $\text{Cs}_2\text{CO}_3$ ,  $\text{K}_2\text{CO}_3$  and  $\text{K}_3\text{PO}_4$ ) and solvents (DMSO, DMA, toluene, DMF, THF and EtOH). Higher activities were observed for **3** and **4** with conversion up to 98% and 94%, respectively (entries 1–14). Due to the better activities performed by **3** and **4**, lower concentrations were investigated using 0.5 mol% of catalysts. The reactions gave degrees of conversion to 96% within 1 h at  $70^{\circ}\text{C}$  for **3**, whereas 53% for

**4** (entries 15–16). Complex **3** was tested using 0.5 mol% of the catalyst within 0.5 h, giving a degree of conversion up to 94% (entry 17). Optimized conditions were investigated at room temperature, which gave the degree of conversion to 87% for **3**, and 3% for **4** (entries 18–19). These results demonstrate that the presence of pyridinyl functionalities on 2- and 7-positions of the indole ring shows a better activity in this system for Suzuki coupling reaction. However, poor catalytic activities were observed for the coupling of 4-bromoanisole with phenylboronic acid within 1–2 h (entries 20–21). Complex **3** was tested using more changing substrate 4-chloroacetophenone with phenylboronic acid on 1 mol% Pd scale with K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>/toluene at 70 °C. The reactions exhibited a trace amount of the product within 1–2 h (entries 22–23).



[cat] = palladium indolyl complexes

**Scheme 2.** Application of the palladium indolyl complexes in the Suzuki reaction.

**Table 2.** Suzuki coupling reaction catalyzed by new palladium complexes <sup>a</sup>.

| Entry | Catalyst | Aryl Halide          | Base                            | Solvent | [Pd] (mol%) | T/°C       | t/h | Conversion (%) <sup>b</sup> |
|-------|----------|----------------------|---------------------------------|---------|-------------|------------|-----|-----------------------------|
| 1     | <b>1</b> | 4-bromoacetophenone  | Cs <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> | DMSO    | 1           | 70         | 1   | 83                          |
| 2     | <b>2</b> | 4-bromoacetophenone  | Cs <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> | DMA     | 1           | 70         | 1   | 74                          |
| 3     | <b>1</b> | 4-bromoacetophenone  | K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>  | Toluene | 1           | 70         | 1   | trace                       |
| 4     | <b>2</b> | 4-bromoacetophenone  | K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>  | Toluene | 1           | 70         | 1   | 93(78)                      |
| 5     | <b>3</b> | 4-bromoacetophenone  | K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>  | Toluene | 1           | 70         | 1   | 98(95)                      |
| 6     | <b>4</b> | 4-bromoacetophenone  | K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>  | Toluene | 1           | 70         | 1   | 94                          |
| 7     | <b>5</b> | 4-bromoacetophenone  | K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>  | Toluene | 1           | 70         | 1   | 67                          |
| 8     | <b>3</b> | 4-bromoacetophenone  | Cs <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> | Toluene | 1           | 70         | 1   | 32                          |
| 9     | <b>3</b> | 4-bromoacetophenone  | K <sub>3</sub> PO <sub>4</sub>  | Toluene | 1           | 70         | 1   | 61                          |
| 10    | <b>3</b> | 4-bromoacetophenone  | K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>  | DMF     | 1           | 70         | 1   | 50                          |
| 11    | <b>3</b> | 4-bromoacetophenone  | K <sub>3</sub> PO <sub>4</sub>  | DMF     | 1           | 70         | 1   | 5                           |
| 12    | <b>3</b> | 4-bromoacetophenone  | K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>  | THF     | 1           | 70         | 1   | 4                           |
| 13    | <b>3</b> | 4-bromoacetophenone  | K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>  | EtOH    | 1           | 70         | 1   | trace                       |
| 14    | <b>3</b> | 4-bromoacetophenone  | K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>  | DMA     | 1           | 70         | 1   | 38                          |
| 15    | <b>3</b> | 4-bromoacetophenone  | K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>  | Toluene | 0.5         | 70         | 1   | 96(83)                      |
| 16    | <b>4</b> | 4-bromoacetophenone  | K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>  | Toluene | 0.5         | 70         | 1   | 53                          |
| 17    | <b>3</b> | 4-bromoacetophenone  | K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>  | Toluene | 0.5         | 70         | 0.5 | 94(82)                      |
| 18    | <b>3</b> | 4-bromoacetophenone  | K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>  | Toluene | 1           | room temp. | 1   | 87                          |
| 19    | <b>4</b> | 4-bromoacetophenone  | K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>  | Toluene | 1           | room temp. | 1   | 3                           |
| 20    | <b>3</b> | 4-bromoanisole       | K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>  | Toluene | 1           | 70         | 1   | trace                       |
| 21    | <b>3</b> | 4-bromoanisole       | K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>  | Toluene | 1           | 70         | 2   | 11                          |
| 22    | <b>3</b> | 4-chloroacetophenone | K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>  | Toluene | 1           | 70         | 1   | trace                       |
| 23    | <b>3</b> | 4-chloroacetophenone | K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>  | Toluene | 1           | 70         | 2   | trace                       |

<sup>a</sup> Reaction conditions: 1.0 mmol aryl halide, 1.5 mmol phenylboronic acid, 2.0 mmol base, 2 mL solvent. <sup>b</sup> Determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR. The numbers in parentheses are isolated yields.

In conclusion, five palladium indolyl complexes bearing *N*-heterocyclic functionalities have been prepared and demonstrated their catalytic activities toward Suzuki C-C coupling reaction. Under optimized conditions, compound **3** exhibits better catalytic activity than compound **4** in catalyzing Suzuki coupling reaction. Based on the results discussed above, aromatic *N*-heterocyclic substituents on 2- and 7-positions of indole ring system exhibit better catalytic activities toward Suzuki C-C coupling reaction. The use of pincer ligands containing a central anionic indolyl fragment outperforms those in which the anionic *N*-donor is one of the pendant substituents.

### 3. Materials and Methods

All manipulations were carried out under an atmosphere of dinitrogen using standard Schlenk-line or drybox techniques. Solvents were refluxed over the appropriate drying agent and distilled prior to use. Deuterated solvents were dried over molecular sieves.  $^1\text{H}$  and  $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$  NMR spectra were recorded either on Varian Mercury-400 (400 MHz) or Varian Inova-600 (600 MHz) spectrometers in chloroform-*d* at ambient temperature unless stated otherwise and referenced internally to the residual solvent peak and reported as parts per million relative to tetramethylsilane. Elemental analyses were performed by Elementar Vario ELIV instrument.

2-Acetyl-6-bromopyridine (Ark Pharm, Inc.), phenylhydrazine hydrochloride (Alfa Aesar), (2-bromophenyl)hydrazine hydrochloride (Alfa Aesar), ethyl pyruvate (Alfa Aesar), 2-amino-2-methyl-1-propanol (Fluka), methanesulfonyl chloride (Alfa Aesar), triethylamine (TEDIA), 4-(dimethylamino)pyridine (Alfa Aesar), 2-(tributylstannyl)pyridine (Matrix Scientific), *N,N'*-dimethylethylenediamine (DMEDA, Alfa Aesar), 4-bromoacetophenone (Alfa Aesar), 4-bromotoluene (Acros), 4-chloroacetophenone (Acros), *p*-toluenesulfonic acid (TsOH, SHOWA), polyphosphoric acid (PPA, SHOWA), Eaton's reagent (Alfa Aesar), sodium hydroxide (SHOWA), potassium carbonate (Union Chemical Works), tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(0) (Aldrich), cesium carbonate (Alfa Aesar), tripotassium phosphate (Alfa Aesar), copper(I) iodide (Aldrich), palladium(II) acetate (Aldrich), phenylboronic acid (Matrix Scientific), and pyrazole (Alfa Aesar) were used as supplied. **2-Py-Br-IndH** [22,23], **2-Py-7-Br-IndH** [24,25], and **2-Ox-7-Br-IndH** [26–28] were prepared by the literature's method.

#### 3.1. Preparations

**2-Py-Py-IndH (L<sup>1</sup>H).** To a flask containing **2-Py-Br-IndH** (0.54 g, 2.0 mmol) and Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> (0.12 g, 5 mol%), 0.84 mL 2-(tributylstannyl)pyridine (2.6 mmol), and 5 mL toluene were added under nitrogen. The reaction mixture was heated to 110 °C for two days. All volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (Ethyl acetate/hexane 1:5). The volatiles were removed under vacuum to give a pale-yellow solid; yield 0.356 g, 66%.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz):  $\delta$  7.05(s, 1H, Ar-H), 7.13(t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 7.24(t, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 7.33(t, *J* = 5.6 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 7.45(d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 7.66(d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 7.78–7.87(overlap, 3H, Ar-H), 8.27(d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 8.53(d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 8.71(d, *J* = 4.8 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 9.66(br, 1H, NH).  $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$  NMR (100 MHz):  $\delta$  100.8, 111.3, 111.9, 119.1, 119.4, 119.8, 120.1, 121.1, 121.2, 123.2, 123.8, 136.7, 136.8, 137.5, 149.2(Ar-CH), 129.2, 136.4, 149.6, 155.3, 155.8(*tert*-C). Anal. Calc. for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>13</sub>N<sub>3</sub>: C, 79.68; H, 4.83; N, 15.49. Found: C, 79.58; H, 4.85; N, 15.17.

**2-Py-Pz-IndH (L<sup>2</sup>H).** To a flask containing **2-Py-Br-IndH** (0.54 g, 2.0 mmol), K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (0.27 g, 2.0 mmol), CuI (0.038 g, 10 mol%) and pyrazole (0.15 g, 2.2 mmol), 0.054 mL DMEDA (0.25 mmol) and 5 mL toluene were added under nitrogen. The reaction mixture was heated to 110 °C for five days. After cooling to room temperature, 10 mL ethyl acetate was added and the mixture was washed with deionized water three times. The organic layer was collected and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate/hexane 1:5). The volatiles were removed to give a yellow solid; yield 0.228 g, 44%.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz):  $\delta$  6.53(t, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 7.09(d, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 7.14(t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 7.26(m, 1H, Ar-H), 7.48(d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 7.66–7.70(overlap, 2H, Ar-H), 7.78(d, *J* = 1.6 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 7.83–7.88(overlap, 2H, Ar-H), 8.71(d, *J* = 2.8 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 9.36(br, 1H, NH).  $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$  NMR (150 MHz):  $\delta$  101.5, 107.8, 110.6, 111.3, 117.3, 120.4, 121.3, 123.5, 126.9, 139.3, 142.2(Ar-CH), 129.0, 135.8, 136.4, 149.0, 151.0(*tert*-C). Anal. Calc. for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>12</sub>N<sub>4</sub>: C, 73.83; H, 4.65; N, 21.52. Found: C, 73.76; H, 4.43; N, 21.23.

**2-Py-7-Py-IndH (L<sup>3</sup>H).** To a flask containing **2-Py-7-Br-IndH** (0.54 g, 2.0 mmol) and Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> (0.12 g, 5 mol%), 0.84 mL 2-(tributylstannyl)pyridine (2.6 mmol), and 5 mL toluene were added under nitrogen. The reaction mixture was heated to 110 °C for two

days. All volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate/hexane 1:20). The volatiles were removed under vacuum to give a pale-yellow solid; yield 0.35 g, 65%.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz):  $\delta$  7.06(d,  $J = 2.0$  Hz, 1H, Ar- $H$ ), 7.13(m, 1H, Ar- $H$ ), 7.17–7.22(overlap, 2H, Ar- $H$ ), 7.65(m, 1H, Ar- $H$ ), 7.71–7.79(overlap, 4H, Ar- $H$ ), 7.96(d,  $J = 8.0$  Hz, 1H, Ar- $H$ ), 8.64(d,  $J = 4.8$  Hz, 1H, Ar- $H$ ), 8.83(d,  $J = 4.4$  Hz, 1H, Ar- $H$ ), 11.99(br, 1H, NH).  $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$  NMR (100 MHz):  $\delta$  100.2, 119.8, 119.9, 119.9, 120.5, 121.2, 121.8, 122.6, 136.3, 136.5, 149.0, 149.4(Ar-CH), 121.4, 130.5, 135.1, 137.6, 150.6, 157.5(*tert*-C). Anal. Calc. for  $\text{C}_{18}\text{H}_{13}\text{N}_3$ : C, 79.68; H, 4.83; N, 15.49. Found: C, 79.41; H, 4.43; N, 15.14.

**2-Py-7-Pz-IndH ( $\text{L}^4\text{H}$ ).** To a flask containing **2-Py-7-Br-IndH** (0.54 g, 2.0 mmol),  $\text{K}_2\text{CO}_3$  (0.27 g, 2.0 mmol), CuI (0.038 g, 10 mol%) and pyrazole (0.15 g, 2.2 mmol), 0.054 mL DMEDA (0.25 mmol) and 5 mL toluene were added under nitrogen. The reaction mixture was heated to 110 °C for 10 days. After cooling to room temperature, 10 mL ethyl acetate was added and the mixture was washed with deionized water three times. The organic layer was collected and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate/hexane 1:5). The volatiles were removed to give a yellow solid; yield 0.260 g, 50%.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz):  $\delta$  6.51–6.52(overlap, 1H, Ar- $H$ ), 7.06(d,  $J = 2.4$  Hz, 1H, Ar- $H$ ), 7.12(d,  $J = 7.6$  Hz, 1H, Ar- $H$ ), 7.15–7.19(overlap, 1H, Ar- $H$ ), 7.29(d,  $J = 7.6$  Hz, 1H, Ar- $H$ ), 7.57(d,  $J = 8.0$  Hz, 1H, Ar- $H$ ), 7.71(m, 1H, Ar- $H$ ), 7.80(d,  $J = 8.0$  Hz, 1H, Ar- $H$ ), 7.89(m, 1H, Ar- $H$ ), 8.11(d,  $J = 2.8$  Hz, 1H, Ar- $H$ ), 8.65(m, 1H, Ar- $H$ ), 11.15(br, 1H, NH).  $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$  NMR (100 MHz):  $\delta$  100.4, 106.8, 110.5, 119.1, 119.7, 119.9, 122.1, 126.7, 136.3, 140.6, 149.3(Ar-CH), 125.3, 128.1, 131.7, 137.9, 150.1(*tert*-C). Anal. Calc. for  $\text{C}_{16}\text{H}_{12}\text{N}_4$ : C, 73.83; H, 4.65; N, 21.52. Found: C, 73.95; H, 4.53; N, 21.27.

**2-Ox-7-Py-IndH ( $\text{L}^5\text{H}$ ).** To a flask containing **2-Ox-7-Br-IndH** (0.58 g, 2.0 mmol) and  $\text{Pd}(\text{PPh}_3)_4$  (0.12 g, 5 mol%), 0.84 mL 2-(tributylstannyl)pyridine (2.6 mmol) and 5 mL toluene were added under nitrogen. The reaction mixture was heated to 110 °C for two days. All volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate/hexane 1:3). The volatiles were removed under vacuum to give a pale-yellow solid; yield 0.24 g, 41%.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz):  $\delta$  1.42(s, 6H,  $\text{CH}_3$ ), 4.13(s, 2H,  $\text{CH}_2$ ), 7.11(d,  $J = 2.0$  Hz, 1H, Ar- $H$ ), 7.18–7.25(overlap, 2H, Ar- $H$ ), 7.72–7.75(overlap, 2H, Ar- $H$ ), 7.77–7.81(overlap, 1H, Ar- $H$ ), 7.95(d,  $J = 8.0$  Hz, 1H, Ar- $H$ ), 8.71(m, 1H, Ar- $H$ ), 11.56(br, 1H, NH).  $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$  NMR (100 MHz):  $\delta$  28.4( $\text{CH}_3$ ), 79.0( $\text{CH}_2$ ), 105.6, 119.8, 120.1, 121.4, 121.5, 123.3, 136.5, 148.9(Ar-CH), 67.8, 121.5, 126.2, 129.2, 135.3, 156.7, 157.2 (*tert*-C). Anal. Calc. for  $\text{C}_{18}\text{H}_{17}\text{N}_3\text{O}$ : C, 74.2; H, 5.88; N, 14.42. Found: C, 73.70; H, 5.88; N, 14.15.

**$[\text{L}^1]\text{Pd}(\text{OAc})$  (1).** To a flask containing  $\text{L}^1\text{H}$  (0.27 g, 1.0 mmol) and  $\text{Pd}(\text{OAc})_2$  (0.22 g, 1.0 mmol), 20 mL toluene was added at room temperature. The reaction mixture was heated to 80 °C for 16 h. The resulting mixture was filtered and the precipitate was collected to afford a reddish solid. Yield, 0.28 g, 65%.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (600 MHz,  $\text{DMSO}-d_6$ ):  $\delta$  2.11(s, 3H,  $\text{CH}_3$ ), 6.81(t,  $J = 6.6$  Hz, 1H, Ar- $H$ ), 6.97(t,  $J = 7.8$  Hz, 1H, Ar- $H$ ), 7.15(s, 1H, Ar- $H$ ), 7.18(d,  $J = 7.8$  Hz, 1H, Ar- $H$ ), 7.45(d,  $J = 7.8$  Hz, 1H, Ar- $H$ ), 7.82(t,  $J = 6.0$  Hz, 1H, Ar- $H$ ), 7.99(d,  $J = 7.8$  Hz, 1H, Ar- $H$ ), 8.12(d,  $J = 7.8$  Hz, 1H, Ar- $H$ ), 8.18(t,  $J = 8.4$  Hz, 1H, Ar- $H$ ), 8.31(d,  $J = 5.4$  Hz, 1H, Ar- $H$ ), 8.36(m, 1H, Ar- $H$ ), 8.52(d,  $J = 8.4$  Hz, 1H, Ar- $H$ ).  $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$  NMR (150 MHz,  $\text{DMSO}-d_6$ ):  $\delta$  23.4(O-C(=O) $\text{CH}_3$ ), 104.4, 114.2, 117.9, 118.5, 120.2, 121.2, 122.9, 123.9, 127.5, 140.6, 140.9, 150.0(Ar-CH), 109.5, 128.3, 145.8, 146.1, 153.4, 155.4, 156.8, 176.0(*tert*-C). Anal. Calc. for  $\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{15}\text{N}_3\text{O}_2\text{Pd}$ : C, 55.12; H, 3.47; N, 9.64. Found: C, 53.12; H, 3.30; N, 9.00.

**$[\text{L}^2]\text{Pd}(\text{OAc})$  (2).** To a flask containing  $\text{L}^2\text{H}$  (0.26 g, 1.0 mmol) and  $\text{Pd}(\text{OAc})_2$  (0.22 g, 1.0 mmol), 20 mL THF was added at room temperature. The reaction mixture was heated to 60 °C for 16 h. The resulting mixture was filtered and the precipitate was collected to afford a dark-green solid. Yield, 0.28 g, 66%.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (600 MHz,  $\text{DMSO}-d_6$ ):  $\delta$  2.00(s, 3H,  $\text{CH}_3$ ), 6.77(m, 1H, Ar- $H$ ), 6.86(m, 1H, Ar- $H$ ), 6.92(m, 1H, Ar- $H$ ), 7.11(m, 1H, Ar- $H$ ), 7.34(m, 1H, Ar- $H$ ), 7.40(d,  $J = 7.8$  Hz, 1H, Ar- $H$ ), 7.74(m, 1H, Ar- $H$ ), 7.79(m, 1H, Ar- $H$ ), 8.02(d,  $J = 1.8$  Hz, 1H, Ar- $H$ ), 8.17(t,  $J = 7.8$  Hz, 1H, Ar- $H$ ), 9.04(d,  $J = 3.0$  Hz, 1H, Ar- $H$ ).  $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$

NMR (150 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  23.0(O-C(=O)CH<sub>3</sub>), 104.2, 106.4, 109.9, 114.1, 116.3, 118.1, 121.2, 122.9, 131.7, 142.5, 145.5(Ar-CH), 128.2, 145.3, 145.9, 147.2, 154.1, 176.0(*tert*-C). Anal. Calc. for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>14</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>2</sub>Pd: C, 50.90; H, 3.32; N, 13.19. Found: C, 48.78; H, 3.10; N, 12.47.

**[L<sup>3</sup>]Pd(OAc) (3).** To a flask containing L<sup>3</sup>H (0.27 g, 1.0 mmol) and Pd(OAc)<sub>2</sub> (0.22 g, 1.0 mmol), 20 mL toluene was added at room temperature. The reaction mixture was heated to 80 °C for 16 h. The resulting mixture was filtered and the precipitate was collected to afford a yellow solid. Yield, 0.26 g, 60%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz):  $\delta$  2.26(s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 6.90(s, 1H, Ar-H), 7.05(t,  $J$  = 7.8 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 7.10(m, 1H, Ar-H), 7.16(m, 1H, Ar-H), 7.69(d,  $J$  = 7.8 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.76–7.79(overlap, 2H, Ar-H), 7.86(m, 1H, Ar-H), 8.15(d,  $J$  = 5.4 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 8.33(m, 1H, Ar-H), 8.88(m, 1H, Ar-H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (150 MHz):  $\delta$  24.7(O-C(=O)CH<sub>3</sub>), 101.7, 119.1, 119.4, 121.5, 121.6, 121.9, 122.4, 125.9, 137.8, 138.9, 149.8, 152.3 (Ar-CH), 119.8, 129.1, 134.8, 144.9, 151.2, 156.6, 177.9(*tert*-C). Anal. Calc. for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>15</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>Pd: C, 55.12; H, 3.47; N, 9.64. Found: C, 54.55; H, 3.13; N, 9.92.

**[L<sup>4</sup>]Pd(OAc) (4).** To a flask containing L<sup>4</sup>H (0.26 g, 1.0 mmol) and Pd(OAc)<sub>2</sub> (0.22 g, 1.0 mmol), 20 mL toluene was added at room temperature. The reaction mixture was heated to 80 °C for 16 h. The resulting mixture was filtered and the precipitate was collected to afford a yellow solid. Yield, 0.21 g, 50%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz):  $\delta$  2.24(s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 6.57(t,  $J$  = 3.0 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 6.87(s, 1H, Ar-H), 6.95(t,  $J$  = 8.4 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 7.12(m, 1H, Ar-H), 7.19(d,  $J$  = 7.8 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 7.50(d,  $J$  = 7.8 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 7.69(m, 1H, Ar-H), 7.81(m, 1H, Ar-H), 7.87(m, 1H, Ar-H), 8.17(m, 1H, Ar-H), 8.40(m, 1H, Ar-H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (150 MHz):  $\delta$  24.1(O-C(=O)CH<sub>3</sub>), 100.9, 107.9, 108.5, 118.2, 119.7, 121.4, 121.6, 128.1, 139.1, 142.8, 150.1(Ar-CH), 124.4, 129.3, 130.5, 144.9, 156.5, 178.1(*tert*-C). Anal. Calc. for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>14</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>2</sub>Pd: C, 50.90; H, 3.32; N, 13.19. Found: C, 50.91; H, 3.37; N, 12.93.

**[L<sup>5</sup>]Pd(OAc) (5).** To a flask containing L<sup>5</sup>H (0.29 g, 1.0 mmol) and Pd(OAc)<sub>2</sub> (0.22 g, 1.0 mmol), 20 mL toluene was added at room temperature. The reaction mixture was heated to 80 °C for 16 h. The resulting mixture was pumped to dryness. The residue was washed with 20 mL hexane to afford a yellow solid. Yield, 0.27 g, 60%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz):  $\delta$  1.50(s, 6H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.15(s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 4.50(s, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 6.86(s, 1H, Ar-H), 7.06(t,  $J$  = 7.8 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 7.15(m, 1H, Ar-H), 7.70(d,  $J$  = 8.4 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 7.78(d,  $J$  = 7.8 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 7.84(m, 1H, Ar-H), 8.27(d,  $J$  = 7.8 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 8.71(d,  $J$  = 6.0 Hz, 1H, Ar-H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (150 MHz):  $\delta$  24.3(O-C(=O)CH<sub>3</sub>), 27.0(CH<sub>3</sub>), 83.5(CH<sub>2</sub>), 105.0, 119.4, 121.9, 122.4, 122.9, 126.9, 137.9, 151.8(Ar-CH), 64.5, 119.9, 128.4, 131.0, 135.3, 150.9, 168.4, 178.1(*tert*-C). Anal. Calc. for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>19</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>3</sub>Pd: C, 52.70; H, 4.20; N, 9.22. Found: C, 52.54; H, 4.71; N, 8.91.

General procedure for the Suzuki-type coupling reaction: A prescribed amount of catalyst, aryl halide (1 equiv), phenylboronic acid (1.5 equiv), and base (2 equiv) was placed in a Schlenk tube under nitrogen. The solvent (2 mL) was added by syringe, and the reaction mixture was heated to the prescribed temperature for the prescribed time. A small portion of the resulting mixture was taken and pumped to dryness. The residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate and passed through a short silica gel column. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of filtrate was taken after removal of the solvent. Conversions were determined by the integral intensities between substrates and products on the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra.

### 3.2. Crystal Structure Data

Crystals were grown from CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/hexane solution (3 or 5) by the two layers method and isolated by filtration. Suitable crystals of 3 or 5 were mounted onto glass fiber using perfluoropolyether oil and cooled rapidly in a stream of cold nitrogen gas to collect diffraction data at 150 K using Bruker APEX2 diffractometer, and intensity data were collected with  $\omega$  scans. The data collection and reduction were performed with the SAINT software [29] and the absorptions were corrected by SADABS [30]. The space group determination was based on a check of the Laue symmetry and systematic absences, and was confirmed using the structure solution. The structure was solved and refined with SHELXTL package [31]. All non-H atoms were located from successive Fourier maps, and hydrogen atoms were treated as a riding model on their parent C atoms. Anisotropic thermal parameters were used for all non-H atoms, and fixed isotropic parameters were used for H-atoms. A drawing of the



molecular structure was done by using Oak Ridge Thermal Ellipsoid Plots (ORTEP) [32]. Some details of the data collection and refinement are given in Table 3. Both compounds are disordered. One restraint has been done on the C9 and C13 of compound 3.

**Table 3.** Summary of crystal data for compounds 3 and 5.

|                                       | 3  | 5  |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| Formula                               | C <sub>20</sub> H <sub>15</sub> N <sub>3</sub> O <sub>2</sub> Pd | C <sub>20</sub> H <sub>19</sub> N <sub>3</sub> O <sub>3</sub> Pd |
| Fw                                    | 435.75   | 455.78   |
| T, K                                  | 150(2)   | 150(2)   |
| Crystal system                        | Triclinic  | Monoclinic   |
| Space group                           | <i>P</i> -1  | <i>P</i> 2 <sub>1</sub> / <i>n</i>                               |
| <i>a</i> , Å                          | 8.0571(10)   | 12.0182(14)  |
| <i>b</i> , Å                          | 9.7364(14)   | 12.9950(16)  |
| <i>c</i> , Å                          | 10.8370(16)  | 12.8255(16)  |
| α                                     | 89.045(5)  | 90   |
| β                                     | 85.676(4)  | 117.027(4)   |
| γ                                     | 76.663(4)  | 90   |
| <i>V</i> , Å <sup>3</sup>             | 824.8(2)   | 1784.3(4)  |
| <i>Z</i>                              | 2  | 4  |
| ρ <sub>calc</sub> , Mg/m <sup>3</sup> | 1.754  | 1.697  |
| μ(Mo Kα), mm <sup>-1</sup>            | 1.145  | 1.067  |
| Reflections collected                 | 22,618   | 32,093   |
| No. of parameters                     | 235  | 245  |
| <i>R</i> 1 <sup>a</sup>               | 0.0483   | 0.0318   |
| <i>wR</i> 2 <sup>a</sup>              | 0.137  | 0.0864   |
| GoF <sup>b</sup>                      | 1.008  | 1.182  |

<sup>a</sup> *R*1 = [Σ|F<sub>0</sub> − |F<sub>c</sub>||]/Σ|F<sub>0</sub>|]; *wR*2 = [Σw(F<sub>0</sub><sup>2</sup> − F<sub>c</sub><sup>2</sup>)/Σw(F<sub>0</sub><sup>2</sup>)]<sup>1/2</sup>. <sup>b</sup> GoF = [Σw(F<sub>0</sub><sup>2</sup> − F<sub>c</sub><sup>2</sup>)/(N<sub>reflns</sub> − N<sub>params</sub>)]<sup>1/2</sup>.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, C.-T.C.; methodology, C.-T.C., P.-C.L., W.-K.W. and C.-W.Y., and; validation, P.-C.L.; formal analysis, C.-T.C., P.-C.L.; investigation, C.-T.C., P.-C.L.; resources, C.-T.C.; data curation, C.-T.C., P.-C.L.; writing—original draft preparation, C.-T.C.; writing—review and editing, C.-T.C.; visualization, C.-T.C.; supervision, C.-T.C.; project administration, C.-T.C.; funding acquisition, C.-T.C. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research was funded by the Ministry of Science and Technology of Taiwan (grant number MOST 109-2113-M-005-008).

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** Not applicable.

**Informed Consent Statement:** Not applicable.

**Data Availability Statement:** Crystallographic data for the structure in this paper have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre as supplementary publication numbers, CCDC no. 2092418–2092419 for compounds 3 and 5. These detail crystal data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via [www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data\\_request/cif](http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif) (access date 2021/06/26).

**Acknowledgments:** We thank the Instrument Center at National Chung Hsing University for providing valuable assistance on Varian Inova-600 (600 MHz) spectrometers by Chien Mei-Yueh and X-ray crystallography by Luo Dao-Wen.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

**Sample Availability:** Samples of the compounds are available from the authors.

## References

- Zhang, Y.-F.; Shi, Z.-J. Upgrading Cross-Coupling Reactions for Biaryl Syntheses. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2019**, *52*, 161–169. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
- Korch, K.M.; Watson, D.A. Cross-Coupling of Heteroatomic Electrophiles. *Chem. Rev.* **2019**, *119*, 8192–8228. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]

3. Zhou, T.; Szostak, M. Palladium-catalyzed cross-couplings by C–O bond activation. *Catal. Sci. Technol.* **2020**, *10*, 5702–5739. [[CrossRef](#)]
4. Miyaoura, N.; Suzuki, A. Palladium-Catalyzed Cross-Coupling Reactions of Organoboron Compounds. *Chem. Rev.* **1995**, *95*, 2457–2483. [[CrossRef](#)]
5. Negishi, E.-I. Magical Power of Transition Metals: Past, Present, and Future (Nobel Lecture). *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 6738–6764. [[CrossRef](#)]
6. Selander, N.; Szabó, K.J. Catalysis by Palladium Pincer Complexes. *Chem. Rev.* **2011**, *111*, 2048–2076. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
7. González-Sebastián, L.; Morales-Morales, D. Cross-coupling reactions catalysed by palladium pincer complexes. A review of recent advances. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2019**, *893*, 39–51. [[CrossRef](#)]
8. Peng, K.-F.; Chen, Y.; Chen, C.-T. Synthesis and catalytic application of magnesium complexes bearing pendant indolyl ligands. *Dalton Trans.* **2015**, *44*, 9610–9619. [[CrossRef](#)]
9. Chen, C.-T.; Lin, D.-H.; Peng, K.-F. Magnesium Pyrazolyl-Indolyl Complexes as Catalysts for Ring-Opening Polymerization of L-Lactide. *Polymers* **2015**, *7*, 1954–1964. [[CrossRef](#)]
10. Shimazaki, Y.; Yajima, T.; Takani, M.; Yamauchi, O. Metal complexes involving indole rings: Structures and effects of metal–indole interactions. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2009**, *253*, 479–492. [[CrossRef](#)]
11. Martínez-De-Leon, C.G.; Rodríguez-Alvarez, A.; Flores-Parra, A.; Grevy, J.-M. Palladium(II) complexes of hemilabile NNS and NNSe iminophosphorane ligands: Synthesis, characterization, and reactivity. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **2019**, *495*, 118945–118957. [[CrossRef](#)]
12. Cravotto, G.; Demartin, F.; Palmisano, G.; Penoni, A.; Radice, T.; Tollari, S. Novel cyclometallated Pd(II) and Pt(II) complexes with indole derivatives and their use as catalysts in Heck reaction. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2005**, *690*, 2017–2026. [[CrossRef](#)]
13. Wu, K.-M.; Huang, C.-A.; Peng, K.-F.; Chen, C.-T. Palladacycles bearing pendant benzamidinate ligands as catalysts for the Suzuki and Heck coupling reactions. *Tetrahedron* **2005**, *61*, 9679–9687. [[CrossRef](#)]
14. Decken, A.; Gossage, R.A.; Yadav, R.N. Oxazoline chemistry. Part VIII. Synthesis and characterization of a new class of pincer ligands derived from the 2-(*o*-anilinyloxy)-2-oxazoline skeleton—Applications to the synthesis of group X transition metal catalysts. *Can. J. Chem.* **2005**, *83*, 1185–1189. [[CrossRef](#)]
15. Mazet, C.; Gade, L.H. A Bis(oxazolonyl)pyrrole as a New Monoanionic Tridentate Supporting Ligand: Synthesis of a Highly Active Palladium Catalyst for Suzuki-Type C–C Coupling. *Organometallics* **2001**, *20*, 4144–4146. [[CrossRef](#)]
16. Chen, C.-T.; Chan, Y.-S.; Tzeng, Y.-R.; Chen, M.-T. Palladacyclic complexes bearing CNN-type ligands as catalysts in the Heck reaction. *Dalton Trans.* **2004**, 2691–2696. [[CrossRef](#)]
17. Peng, K.-F.; Chen, C.-T. Synthesis, Structural Studies, and Catalytic Application of Palladium Complexes Containing Anilido-Oxazolate Ligands. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2011**, *2011*, 5182–5195. [[CrossRef](#)]
18. Chen, M.-T.; Wu, K.-M.; Chen, C.-T. C–H Bond Activation of Palladium Complexes That Feature Pendant Benzamidinate Ligands and Their Catalytic Behaviours. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2012**, 720–726. [[CrossRef](#)]
19. Shinde, V.N.; Bhuvanesh, N.; Kumar, A.; Joshi, H. Design and Syntheses of Palladium Complexes of NNN/CNN Pincer Ligands: Catalyst for Cross Dehydrogenative Coupling Reaction of Heteroarenes. *Organometallics* **2020**, *39*, 324–333. [[CrossRef](#)]
20. Wang, W.-C.; Peng, K.-F.; Chen, M.-T.; Chen, C.-T. Palladacycles bearing tridentate CNS-type benzamidinate ligands as catalysts for cross-coupling reactions. *Dalton Trans.* **2012**, *41*, 3022–3029. [[CrossRef](#)]
21. Chen, M.-T.; Huang, C.-A.; Chen, C.-T. Synthesis, Characterization, and Catalytic Applications of Palladacyclic Complexes Bearing C,N,S-Donor Ligands. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2006**, *2006*, 4642–4648. [[CrossRef](#)]
22. Lemster, T.; Pindur, U.; Lenglet, G.; Depauw, S.; Dassi, C.; David-Cordonnier, M.-H. Photochemical electrocycloisomerization of 3-vinylindoles to pyrido[2,3-*a*]-, pyrido[4,3-*a*]- and thieno[2,3-*a*]-carbazoles: Design, synthesis, DNA binding and antitumor cell cytotoxicity. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* **2009**, *44*, 3235–3252. [[CrossRef](#)]
23. Pagano, N.; Maksimoska, J.; Bregman, H.; Williams, D.S.; Webster, R.D.; Xue, F.; Meggers, E. Ruthenium half-sandwich complexes as protein kinase inhibitors: Derivatization of the pyridocarbazole pharmacophore ligand. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2007**, *5*, 1218–1227. [[CrossRef](#)]
24. Zhao, D.; Hughes, D.L.; Bender, D.R.; DeMarco, A.M.; Reider, P.J. Regioselective Fischer indole route to 3-unsubstituted indoles. *J. Org. Chem.* **1991**, *56*, 3001–3006. [[CrossRef](#)]
25. Chu, W.-K.; Lo, L.T.-L.; Yiu, S.-M.; Ko, C.-C. Synthesis, characterization and photophysical study of a series of neutral isocyanato rhodium(I) complexes with pyridylindole ligands. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2011**, *696*, 3223–3230. [[CrossRef](#)]
26. Poriel, C.; Lachia, M.; Wilson, C.; Davies, J.R.; Moody, C.J. Oxidative Rearrangement of Indoles: A New Approach to the EFHG-Tetracyclic Core of Diazonamide A. *J. Org. Chem.* **2007**, *72*, 2978–2987. [[CrossRef](#)]
27. Maiden, T.M.M.; Swanson, S.; Procopiou, P.A.; Harrity, J.P.A. Synthesis of Functionalized Pyridines via a Regioselective Oxazoline Promoted C–H Amidation Reaction. *Org. Lett.* **2016**, *18*, 3434–3437. [[CrossRef](#)]
28. Wang, Y.; Hämäläinen, A.; Tois, J.; Franzén, R. Preparation of indole-phosphine oxazoline (IndPHOX) ligands and their application in allylic alkylation. *Tetrahedron Asymmetry* **2010**, *21*, 2376–2384. [[CrossRef](#)]
29. Bruker, SAINT v7.34A; Bruker AXS Inc.: Madison, WI, USA, 2005.
30. Sheldrick, G.M. SADABS-2012/1. *Bruker Area Detector Absorption Correction Program*; Bruker AXS Inc.: Madison, WI, USA, 2012.
31. Sheldrick, G.M. A short history of SHELX. *Acta Crystallogr. A Found. Crystallogr.* **2008**, *64*, 112–122. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
32. Burnett, M.N.; Johnson, C.K. ORTEP-III; Report ORNL-6895; Oak Ridge National Laboratory: Oak Ridge, TN, USA, 1996.