

G OPEN ACCESS

Citation: Bi X, Zhai J, Xia Y, Li H (2020) Analysis of genetic information from the antlers of *Rangifer tarandus* (reindeer) at the rapid growth stage. PLoS ONE 15(3): e0230168. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0230168

Editor: Marc Robinson-Rechavi, Universite de Lausanne Faculte de biologie et medecine, SWITZERLAND

Received: June 12, 2019

Accepted: February 21, 2020

Published: March 13, 2020

Copyright: © 2020 Bi et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative</u> Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All reindeer antler transcriptome sequcing files are available from the SRA database (accession numbers SRR9051566, SRR9051567).

Funding: This work was supported by the Rare and Dangerous Wild Animals Protecting and Breeding Program of the National Forestry Bureau of China (grant no.: 2572016AA42).

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Analysis of genetic information from the antlers of *Rangifer tarandus* (reindeer) at the rapid growth stage

Xiaodan Bi^{1,2°}, Jiancheng Zhai^{1,3°}, Yanling Xia^{1,3°}, Heping Li¹*

1 College of Wildlife and Protected Area, Northeast Forestry University, Xiangfang District, China, 2 College of Chemistry and Life Science, Chifeng University, Hongshan District, China, 3 School of Earth Sciences, East China University of Technology, China

These authors contributed equally to this work.

* lihepinghrb2002@nefu.edu.cn

Abstract

Reindeer is the only deer species in which both males and females regularly grow antlers, providing an excellent model for studying the rapid growth and annual regeneration of antlers. The study of genetic information from reindeer is the basis for revealing the unique mechanism of antler growth. In the present study, we obtained 18.86 GB of clean reads, which were assembled to obtain 94,575 unigenes (average length: 704.69). Among these reads, 30,980 sequences were identified by searching a database of known proteins and then annotated with Gene Ontology (GO) terms, Clusters of Orthologous Groups (COG) classifications and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathways. All 7,480 simple sequence repeats (SSRs) were detected. A total of 84,435 and 82,226 high-quality single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) were identified in male and female reindeer, respectively. We identified 31 genes that were highly expressed in reindeer antlers. These genes regulate cell activities that are closely associated with the process of rapid tissue growth. Our results provide a basis for studying reindeer antlers and for further studying the molecular genetics, population genetics, and functional genomics of reindeer.

Introduction

Deer antlers are appendages that undergo annual regeneration [1]. These structures are located on the fore frontal bone of animals of the Cervidae family. In general, deer antlers regenerate annually in spring, when the hard horn is cast, and then grow rapidly in summer and undergo ossification in autumn, after which the antler skin is shed, and the antlers regenerate completely in the following spring. The entire process of antler growth can be divided into the rapid growth stage and the ossification stage [2]. The rapid growth stage occurs approximately 60 days after the antler starts to grow. The extremely high rate of antler growth can reach 2 cm/day, which is one of the fastest rates of organ development in the animal kingdom [3, 4].

The growth center responsible for antler growth, located in the antler tip region [5], determines the rapid rate of antler growth and is elegantly regulated without becoming cancerous. This region was referred to be as the proliferation zone [4]. The proliferation zone consists of

three layers. From distal to the proximal, these layers are the reserve mesenchyme, precartilage, and cartilage. The reserve mesenchyme is enriched with tightly packed, spindle-shaped, actively dividing cells [6, 7]. Rapid antler growth is mainly achieved through the activity of the cells residing in the proliferation zone [8]. Prince et al. found that cells in the reserve mesenchyme are in a higher undifferentiated state than those in other proximal regions [9, 10]. These cells will differentiate into chondroblasts toward the proximal region (precartilage and cartilage) [11]. Finally, bone tissues are formed through intramembranous ossification and endochondral ossification [12].

At present, little is known about the molecular mechanisms of antler regeneration and rapid growth. According to previous studies, some factors that are likely responsible for antler growth include insulin-like growth factor (IGF)-I, IGF-II and their receptors [13]; fibroblast growth factor 2 (FGF-2); transforming growth factor beta 1 (TGFβ-1); and bone morphogenetic protein (BMP)-2, BMP-4 and BMP-14 and their receptors [4, 14, 15]. IGF-I, IGF-II and the IGF receptors were first found to stimulate mesenchymal cell proliferation and were hypothesized to act as the antler growth 'stimulus' [13]. Members of the FGF family and their receptors have also been identified in the primary antler (first antler growth). Fibroblast growth factor 2 has been found to stimulate the proliferation of mesenchymal cells from regenerating antlers. Barling and colleagues identified BMP-2, BMP-4, BMP-14 and their receptors in the primary antler. Faucheux et al. performed immunolocalization of TGF-^β in regenerating antlers. Some studies have shown that BMP-2 and TGFβ-1 inhibit the proliferation of mesenchymal cells, but other functionally significant components require further study. A previous study presented several lines of evidence showing that retinoic acid (RA) also plays a role in controlling mesenchymal cell growth and differentiation; sequence information related to antler growth needs to be further examined.

Reindeer are a unique cervid species in which both males and females annually regenerate antlers from the permanent cranial bony protuberance (pedicle). In reindeer antlers, the histologically classified zones are similar to those of other major deer species, including white-tailed deer, mule deer, fallow deer, red deer, American elk, caribou, and sika deer [11]. However, the reindeer antler growth process is poorly understood, and there has been no correlational research on the molecular mechanism underlying the rapid growth of reindeer antlers. Sequencing the transcriptomes of tissues is an effective way to generate useful sequence information to further reveal the molecular mechanisms underlying antler growth and development. In this study, we used RNA-Seq technology to obtain genetic information on reindeer antlers in the rapid growth stage. This investigation on the antlers of reindeer will greatly enhance our understanding of the molecular mechanisms underlying antler growth.

Materials and methods

Ethics statement

All procedures used in this study were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Northeast Forestry University (Harbin, China) (UT-31; 90 20 June 2014).

Sample collection and preparation

Antler tips were collected from three anesthetized 6-year-old female and male reindeer (over 60 days) at the Liaoyang deer farm in Liaoning Province. These reindeer were introduced from the Ewenki reindeer herd of Aoluguya, Inner Mongolia, China. The antler mesenchyme layer (Fig 1) was cut into small pieces according to a previously reported method [8], and the samples were immediately placed in RNA storage solution. Subsequently, the samples were stored at -80°C in the laboratory until further use.



Fig 1. Identification of the proliferative zone in a growing reindeer antler tip. D, dermis; RM, reserve mesenchyme; PC, precartilage; C, cartilage. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0230168.g001

Total RNA preparation

Three samples of antler mesenchyme were pooled into one sample for extraction of total RNA using Trizol reagent (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's protocol. RNA concentration was measured using the QubitTM RNA Assay Kit in a Qubit[®]2.0 fluorometer (Life Technologies, CA, USA). RNA integrity was assessed using the RNA Nano 6000 Assay Kit and an Agilent Bioanalyzer 2100 system (Agilent Technologies, CA, USA).

Library preparation and Illumina sequencing

Sequencing libraries were generated using the NEBNext[®]Ultra[™] RNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina[®] (NEB, USA) following the manufacturer's recommendations. First, mRNA was purified from total RNA using oligo(dT) magnetic beads and fragmented into small pieces in NEBNext First Strand Synthesis Reaction Buffer (5X). First-strand cDNA was synthesized using random hexamer primers and M-MuLV reverse transcriptase (RNase H-). Second-strand cDNA synthesis was subsequently performed using DNA polymerase I and RNase H. NEBNext Adaptor with hairpin loop structures were ligated to prepare for hybridization. The library fragments were purified with the AMPure XP system (Beckman Coulter, Beverly, USA). PCR was then performed with Phusion high-Fidelity DNA polymerase, universal PCR primers and the Index (X) primer. Finally, the PCR products were purified (AMPure XP system), and library quality was assessed in an Agilent Bioanalyzer 2100 system. The library preparations were sequenced on the Illumina HiSeq platform, and paired-end reads were generated.

Data quality control

In this step, clean data (clean reads) were obtained by removing reads containing adapters, reads containing poly-N sequences and low-quality reads from the raw data. All downstream analyses were based on clean data with high quality.

Transcriptome assembly

Clean short reads were used for the de novo assembly of reindeer unigenes. De novo assembly was conducted using Trinity software. The longest transcript from the potential alternative splicing transcripts was selected as the sample unigene. The unigenes of two samples were combined to create a unigene database.

Functional annotation of unigenes

The general unigene sequences were subjected to BLAST searches against the NCBI nonredundant (Nr) protein database and the SwissProt, Gene Ontology (GO), Clusters of Orthologous Groups (COG), Eukaryotic Orthologous Groups (KOG), EggNOG, and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) databases. Using KOBAS2.0 software, annotation of unigenes according to KEGG Orthology was performed. After amino sequence prediction, annotation of unigenes was performed using HMMER software against the Pfam database.

Structural annotation of unigenes

a) SSR identification. Unigenes ≥1 kb were subjected to simple sequence repeat (SSR) analysis with the microsatellite identification tool MISA (http://pgrc.ipk-gatersleben.de/misa/). The detection criteria were constrained to perfect repeat motifs of 1–6 bp for mono-, di-, tri-, tetra-, penta- and hexa-nucleotide microsatellites, respectively.

b) SNP calling. Picard Tools v1.41 and SAMtools v0.1.18 were used for sorting, removal of duplicated reads and merging of the BAM alignment results of each sample. GATK2 software was used to perform single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) calling. Raw vcffiles were filtered with the GATK standard filter method and the following parameter settings: clusterWindowSize: 35; MQ0 \geq 4 and (MQ0/ (1.0*DP)) > 0.1; QUAL < 10; QUAL < 30.0, QD < 5.0 or Hrun > 5. Only SNPs with a distance > 5 were retained.

Calculation of gene expression levels

The reads obtained from sequencing were compared with the unigene library using Bowtie [16], and expression levels were estimated using RSEM [17] according to the comparison results. Gene expression levels were expressed as FPKM (fragments per kilobase of transcript per million mapped reads) values using the formula FPKM = 10^{9} C/NL. In this equation, C is the number of mappable fragments that were uniquely aligned to a unigene; N is the total number of mappable fragments that were uniquely aligned to all unigenes; and L is the length of a unigene in base pairs.

Quantitative real-time PCR analysis

Real-time PCR (qPCR) assays were performed by using the One-Step SYBR method in a 7500 Real-Time PCR system to test the gene expression levels. The expression levels of target genes were normalized against the internal reference gene β -actin. Relative gene expression was calculated using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method [18].

Results

Illumina sequencing, assembly, and sequence analysis

cDNA samples were extracted from a male reindeer antler (SRR9051566) and a female reindeer antler (SRR9051567). Using the Illumina sequencing platform, a number of raw reads were generated from the two samples (S1 Table). Clean reads were obtained by removing lowquality reads and adaptor sequences. The amount of total clean data for the assembly of unigenes was 18.86 GB. These clean data were assembled to obtain 124,139 transcripts and 94,575 unigenes. The mean lengths of the transcripts and unigenes were 911.37 nt and 704.69 nt, respectively (Table 1).

Annotation of predicted proteins

These sequences were first searched using BLASTx against the NCBI nonredundant (Nr), SwissProt, GO, COG, KOG, EggNOG, and KEGG protein databases with a cutoff E value of 10–5. A total of 30,980 unigene annotations were obtained (<u>Table 2</u>). The Nr database queries revealed that the highest percentages of matched sequences were 18.63% for *Bos taurus*, 12.83% for *Bubalus bubalis*, 8.55% for *Bos mutus*, 8.13% for *Pantholops hodgsonii*, 6.47% for *Capra hircus*, 6.14% for *Bison bison* and 6.08% for *Ovis aries*. The percentages of sequences matched to other species did not total 35% (Fig 2).

| Transcripts | Unigenes | |
|-----------------|--|--|
| 38,259 (30.82%) | 35,811 (37.87%) | |
| 30,083 (24.23%) | 25,666 (27.14%) | |
| 25,025 (20.16%) | 17,505 (18.51%) | |
| 16,323 (13.15%) | 8,712 (9.21%) | |
| 14,449 (11.64%) | 6,881 (7.28%) | |
| 124,139 | 94,575 | |
| 113,136,185 | 66,645,778 | |
| 1,768 | 1,193 | |
| 911.37 | 704.69 | |
| | Transcripts 38,259 (30.82%) 30,083 (24.23%) 25,025 (20.16%) 16,323 (13.15%) 14,449 (11.64%) 124,139 113,136,185 1,768 911.37 | Transcripts Unigenes 38,259 (30.82%) 35,811 (37.87%) 30,083 (24.23%) 25,666 (27.14%) 25,025 (20.16%) 17,505 (18.51%) 16,323 (13.15%) 8,712 (9.21%) 14,449 (11.64%) 6,881 (7.28%) 124,139 94,575 113,136,185 66,645,778 1,768 1,193 911.37 704.69 |

Table 1. Statistics of unigene assembly.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0230168.t001

Table 2. Annotation of unigenes.

| Anno Database | Annotated Number | 300≤Length<1000 | Length≥1000 |
|----------------------|------------------|-----------------|-------------|
| COG Annotation | 7,648 | 2,732 | 4,093 |
| GO Annotation | 14,618 | 4,949 | 8,298 |
| KEGG Annotation | 15,167 | 5,820 | 7,608 |
| KOG Annotation | 18,602 | 7,268 | 8,817 |
| Pfam Annotation | 18,928 | 6,931 | 10,138 |
| SwissProt Annotation | 15,612 | 5,535 | 8,650 |
| EggNOG Annotation | 27,389 | 11,407 | 11,697 |
| Nr Annotation | 25,555 | 10,320 | 11,813 |
| All Annotated | 30,980 | 13,491 | 12,156 |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0230168.t002

Gene functional annotation

Based on the GO classifications, 14,618 sequences were categorized into 60 functional groups. In the three main categories of the GO classification, namely, biological process, cellular component and molecular function, the predominant terms were "cell part (10,368 members)", "cellular process (9,375 members)", and "binding (8,839 members)", respectively. The terms "single-organism process (8,454 members)", "organelle (7,900 members)", "catalytic activity (5,158 members)" and "metabolic process (7,174 members)" were associated with the highest percentages of genes. Few genes were found in the clusters of "morphogen activity (1 member)", "protein tag (1 member)", "virion part (2 members)", "chemorepellent activity (3 members)" and "metallochaperone activity (5 members)" (S1 Fig).

We also classified the 7,648 annotated sequences into 25 COG categories. The cluster for "general function prediction only (2,509 members)" was the largest group, followed by "transcription (938 members)", "replication, recombination and repair (909 members)", and "translation, ribosomal structure and biogenesis (721 members)". The categories representing extracellular structures did not contain any members, and nuclear structure (4 members) was the smallest group (S2 Fig). We also annotated 30,980 sequences to the reference pathways in the KEGG database. There were 15,167 sequences mapped to 292 KEGG pathways (S2 Table).

Nr Homologous Species Distribution





https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0230168.g002

| SSR type | Repeat motif | Number | All/ frequency |
|-------------|---|--|-------------------|
| С | | 491 | 491/6.40% |
| C* | | 7 | 7/0.09% |
| P1 | A/T /G /C | 2019/2008/202/160 | 4389/ 58.70% |
| P2 | AC/AT/TG/CA/GT/TA/TC/AG/GA/CT/GC/ CG/ | 152/146/144/143/127/103/62/53/52/39/29/20 | 1070/ 14.30% |
| P3 | GGC/GCC/GCG/ CCG/CGC/ CGG/ GAG/ CAG/GCT/GCA/CTG/GGA/TCC/AGC/ CCT/AGG/TGC/CTC/CTT/TCT/TTG/AAT/ TTC/ CCA /AAG/ GGT/GTG/CAC/GAA/AAC/TCA/ TGA/ AGA/TGG/ GAT/ATC/ATT/TTA/ACC/ CAA/TAA/TAT/ATA/ATG/CAT/ GTT/ TGT/ GAC/ACA/CGT/ACG/ /CGA/TAG/ TCG | 164/138/116/88/83/83/60/55/55/47/46/40/40/ 38/37/32/30/29/17/14/14/14/13/12/12/12/12/ 11/10/9/9/9/9/8/8/7/6/6/5/5/5/5/4/4/4/3/3/2/ 2/2/1/1/1/ | 1446/ 19.30% |
| P4 | TGAA/TTTC/TTTG/AAAC/AATA/AGAC/ CAGA/GGAC/TTTA//GGGA/AACA/ AACC/ AAGG/ACAA/AGCC/AGGA/AGGC/ ATAA/ATCC/ATGG/ATTT/CAAA/ CTGC /CTGG/GAAT/GACA/GATG/GCAG/ GCCA/GCCT/GCTC/GGAC/ GAG/GGCA/ GGCG/GGCT/GGGC/GTGA/GTGC/GTTG /GTTT/TAAA/TATG/TATT/TCTG/TCGC/ TGAC/TGAG/TGTA/TGTC/TGTT/TTCA/ TTCC/TTGG | 3/3/3/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/ | 68/0.90% |
| P5 | AAACT/AGGGG/CCGCC/GCGCC/ GGGCC/TGCCC | 1/1/1/1/1/1 | 6/0.08% |
| P6 | AGGACG | 1 | 1/0.01% |
| Total | | 7,480 | |

Table 3. Summary of simple sequence repeat (SSR) types in the reindeer transcriptome.

Note: C and C*: compound SSRs, P1: mononucleotide SSR, P2: dinucleotide SSR, P3: trinucleotide SSR, P4: tetranucleotide SSR, P5: pentanucleotide SSR, P6: hexanucleotide SSR; Total, total number of SSRs.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0230168.t003

Analysis and statistics of SSRs

Using MISA software, SSR analysis of unigenes with lengths greater than 1 kb was performed, and the results are shown in Table 3. We identified 7,480 SSRs distributed in 5,955 sequences, accounting for 5.91% of the total number of unigenes. Mononucleotide repeats were the most common microsatellite form present (58.70%), followed by trinucleotide repeats (19.30%) and dinucleotide repeats (14.30%). Among the mononucleotide repeats, the (A)n and (G)n types were the most common repeats. The (AC)n motif was the most frequently recovered dinucleotide repeat. The numbers of GGC/GCC/GCG/ CCG/CGC/ CGG-type repeats were greater than those of other trinucleotide repeats, and these motifs are rich in GC base repeats. The percentages of other types of repeats (compound formation, tetranucleotide, pentanucleotide, hexanucleotide) among all SSRs did not reach 1%.

SNP calling analysis

The sequencing reads were named according to the SNP locus allele numbers (Allele). SNP loci can be divided into homozygous-type SNP loci (only one allele) and heterozygous-type SNP loci (two or more alleles) (Table 4). The GATK2 calls generated a total of 84,435 and

Table 4. Quantitative SNP statistics.

| Samples | HomoSNP | HeteSNP | AllSNP |
|---------|---------|---------|--------|
| Male | 26,818 | 57,617 | 84,435 |
| Female | 31,272 | 50,954 | 82,226 |

Note: HomoSNP: homozygous-type SNPs; HeteSNP: heterozygous-type SNPs; AllSNP: homozygous-and heterozygous-type SNPs.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0230168.t004

Table 5. Summary of genes with signal transduction functions involved in rapid growth.

| Gene name | RPKM | | |
|---|----------|----------|--|
| | Male | Female | |
| Cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 1B (CDKN1B) | 3004.986 | 2536.775 | |
| Cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 1C (CDKN1C) | 489.1891 | 167.1021 | |
| Pleiotrophin (PTN) | 383.7536 | 778.8729 | |
| Gap junction protein, alpha 1, 43 kDa (<i>GJA1</i>) | 382.4876 | 486.3553 | |
| Mortality factor 4-like protein 2 (MORF4L2) | 191.064 | 221.8099 | |
| Indian hedgehog protein (IHH) | 200.3888 | 66.47308 | |
| Midkine (MDK) | 111.7767 | 235.8324 | |
| Endoglin (ENG) | 96.55723 | 105.1112 | |
| Transforming growth factor beta 1 (TGFB1) | 28.85541 | 22.0079 | |
| | | | |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0230168.t005

Table 6. Summary of genes with transcription factor functions involved in rapid growth.

| Gene name | RPKM | |
|--|----------|----------|
| | Male | Female |
| Cyclic AMP-dependent transcription factor ATF-4 (ATF4) | 174.4363 | 217.905 |
| Transcription factor AP-1 (AP-1) | 64.64342 | 102.5571 |
| Runt-related transcription factor 2 (RUNX2) | 83.96631 | 98.85752 |
| Homeobox protein DLX-5 (DLX5) | 62.46803 | 62.99823 |
| Transcription factor SOX-9 (SOX-9) | 24.72796 | 17.72542 |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0230168.t006

82,226 high-quality SNPs for the male and female reindeer, respectively. All 50,100 SNPs were shared by the male and female reindeer and were classified into 3 types: 4,689 homozygous-type SNP loci in both males and females, 25,110 that were homozygous in males and heterozygous in females, and 20,301 that were heterozygous in males and homozygous in females.

Detection of gene sequences involved in rapid antler growth

By calculating gene expression levels, we characterized a large number of genes that were highly expressed during the rapid growth stage. The results of the real-time PCR (qPCR) assays were consistent with the transcriptome sequencing results (S3 Fig). These highly expressed genes were related to rapid tissue growth and included genes encoding signaling molecules, transcription factors and extracellular matrix (ECM) components (Tables <u>5–7</u>).

Discussion

Reindeer are the only species in which females regularly grow antlers. The Aoluguya reindeer represents one of the southernmost reindeer species in the world and the only reindeer

| Gene name | RPKM | | |
|--|----------|----------|--|
| | Male | Female | |
| Tenascin-N (TNN) | 2797.14 | 1421.071 | |
| Collagen alpha-1(V) chain (COL5A1) | 1445.031 | 1075.73 | |
| Galectin-1 (LGALS1) | 1386.429 | 1491.172 | |
| Collagen alpha-1(II) chain (COL2A1) | 1096.858 | 78.31919 | |
| Collagen alpha-1(VI) chain (COL6A1) | 1008.863 | 1083.736 | |
| Collagen alpha-3(VI) chain (COL6A3) | 750.9724 | 922.2885 | |
| Collagen alpha-2(V) chain (COL5A2) | 618.4498 | 482.3582 | |
| Bone sialoprotein II (<i>IBSP</i>) | 300.7032 | 101.6669 | |
| Collagen alpha-1(IV) chain (COL4A1) | 228.0083 | 237.0309 | |
| Collagen alpha-2(IX) chain (COL9A2) | 117.0704 | 11.9906 | |
| Collagen alpha-1(XVIII) chain (COL18A1) | 72.6827 | 97.51243 | |
| Collagen alpha-1(XV) chain (COL15A1) | 68.00377 | 50.56384 | |
| WNT1-inducible-signaling pathway protein 1 (WISP1) | 47.55491 | 38.82326 | |
| Collagen alpha-1(XXVII) chain (COL27A1) | 36.42511 | 27.65785 | |
| Collagen alpha-1(III) chain (COL3A1) | 25.04221 | 30.17576 | |
| Collagen alpha-1(XIII) chain (COL13A1) | 20.18198 | 12.05025 | |
| Osteopontin (OPN) | 15.52438 | 17.39749 | |

Table 7. Summary of genes encoding extracellular matrix (ECM) components involved in rapid growth.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0230168.t007

population in China; this species is limited to a small region in the northeastern part of the Greater Khingan Mountains and numbers less than 1000 individuals [19]. Such small groups are threatened with extinction. It is necessary to carry out research on reindeer in China to protect and utilize reindeer populations. However, little is known about the underlying genetic basis of some traits of reindeer. In 2017, the reindeer genome size was published (2.64 G), and the clustering results showed that reindeer, domestic cattle and sheep share a common ancestor [20]. Our results show that the gene sequences of reindeer share the highest homology with those of B. taurus. There are a large number of SSRs and SNPs distributed in reindeer genes, and the numbers of SNPs distributed in male and female reindeer genes are different. These SSRs and SNPs are expected to serve as molecular markers for studying the genetic diversity and genetic structure of reindeer populations, which will provide guidelines for the conservation of reindeer populations. Our sequence annotation showed that 14,618 sequences were categorized into 60 GO classifications; 7,648 sequences were categorized into 25 COG classifications; and 15,167 sequences were categorized into 292 KEGG pathways. There are 4 pathways related to cellular activities, including pathways associated with cancer (88 members), the PI3K-Akt signaling pathway (427 numbers), focal adhesion (338 members) and the MAPK signaling pathway (322 members). The annotation information provides a reference for further study of the genes that play a role in the rapid growth of reindeer antlers.

Recently, comparative genomic studies have shown that there are gene mutants that are specifically expressed in the reindeer genome [21]. Research shows that tumor suppressor genes and proto-oncogenes are strongly positive for selection in cervids and exhibit strong specific expression in the antlers (e.g., *ADAMTS18*, *FOS*, *REL*, and *FAM83A*), also find that the fast-growing antlers present a more osteosarcoma-like profile than normal bone tissue [22]. In this study, we observed that a number of genes were highly expressed in the reserve mesenchyme of reindeer antlers, including nine genes encoding signaling proteins (Table 5). Five of these signaling proteins (CDKN1B, CDKN1C, PTN, GJA1, and MORF4L2) can act as tumor suppressors. The CDKN1B gene exhibited the highest expression level. The major functions of

CDKN1B and CDKN1C are to stop or slow down the cell division cycle and control cell cycle progression at G1 [23]. The PTN gene is highly expressed in several tumor cell types [24] involved in tumor angiogenesis and presents mitogenic activity for fibroblasts [25]. GJA1 can regulate cell death, proliferation, and differentiation [26]. MORF4L2 may be required for replicative senescence, apoptosis, and DNA repair. The other four genes (IHH, MK or MDK, ENG, and TGF- β s) perform many cellular functions, including controlling cell growth, cell proliferation, cell differentiation, apoptosis, migration and adhesion [27–29].

Five transcription factor genes (ATF4, AP-1, RUNX2, DLX-5, and SOX-9) were identified (Table 6). ATF4 was the most highly expressed transcription factor, similar to the findings of a study on the antler tips of Chinese sika deer [30]. Wang W et al. found that ATF4 is expressed in growth plate chondrocytes and controls chondrocyte proliferation and differentiation. AP-1 has been shown to control cellular processes, including differentiation, proliferation, and apoptosis [31–33]. RUNX2 plays a cell proliferation-related regulatory role in cell cycle entry and exit in osteoblasts. This protein suppresses preosteoblast proliferation by affecting cell cycle progression in the G1 phase and inhibits osteoblastic differentiation via DNA binding [34]. DLX-5 is necessary for osteoblast differentiation as an early BMP-responsive transcriptional activator [35]. The transcription factor SOX-9 is crucial for chondrocyte differentiation [36].

We also observed seventeen ECM protein genes that were highly expressed (Table 7), mainly including TN-N, COLA, Gal-1, WISP-1, OPN, and BSPII. TN-N was the most highly expressed ECM protein gene. Previous research has shown that TN-N is able to induce the migration of tumor cells as well as endothelial cells [37]. Gal-1 is a lectin with a broad range of biological activities [38]. Recently, a study suggested that total absence of Gal-1 in the bone microenvironment allows rapid development of bone tumors [39]. Increased expression of Gal-1 has been correlated with a variety of processes in cancer progression, including cellular aggregation, tumor formation, the metastatic spread of cancer, angiogenesis, and apoptosis [40]. OPN and BSPII belong to a family of glycoproteins that have been linked to cancer metastasis and progression and are overexpressed in a variety of cancers, including lung cancer, breast cancer, colorectal cancer, stomach cancer, ovarian cancer, papillary thyroid carcinoma, melanoma and pleural mesothelioma [41, 42]. WISP-1 promotes mesenchymal cell proliferation and osteoblastic differentiation and represses chondrocytic differentiation [43, 44]. Among these ECM protein genes, 10 types of COLA genes were highly expressed, namely, type II, III, IV, V, VI, IX, XIII, XV, XVIII, and XXVII genes. In our laboratory, using the differential display reverse transcription PCR method, Zhai Jiancheng et al. previously found that COL6A3 exhibits a high expression level in the mesenchymal layer of male and female reindeer antlers [45]. In the present study, COL6A3 was found to be widely expressed in both male and female antlers. Notably, collagen II, which is a marker of mature cartilage, was shown to be highly expressed in the reindeer antler during the period of rapid antler growth (60 days) in the present study. Rucklidge GJ et al. previously demonstrated that collagen type II is expressed in young antlers (6 weeks) in small quantities and is not expressed in old antlers (5 months) [46]. The collagen II expression pattern in reindeer antlers needs to be explored in further detail. The composition and function of the ECM play an important role in anticancer effects in naked mole rats [47]. The antler mesenchymal layer expresses a large number of ECM genes, the functions of which need to be further studied.

Conclusions

Our results are identical to those of a previous study showing that the gene sequences of reindeer share higher homology with those of *B. taurus* than with those of other species. The number and distribution of SNPs are different in male and female reindeer. In the mesenchymal layer of the antler tip in male and female reindeer, a large number of highly expressed genes may be related to rapid antler growth. Perhaps these genes are commonly involved in activities such as cell proliferation and differentiation control. Some tumor suppressors are highly expressed during rapid antler growth. The study of the correlation between rapid antler growth and tumor progression may provide a new perspective on the mechanism of antler development.

Supporting information

S1 Fig. GO categories of the unigenes. The results are summarized in three main categories: biological process, cellular component a molecular function. The righty-axis indicates the number of genes in a category. The lefty-axis indicates the percentage of a specific category of genes in that main category. (TIF)

S2 Fig. COG categories of the unigenes. The histogram shows the distribution of sequences among different COG categories: 7,648 sequences have a COG classification among the 25 categories.

(TIF)

S3 Fig. Validation of gene expressed level by Real-time PCR. The x-axis indicates genes names. The y-axis indicates the fold changes of the genes expression. Y axis in the left (blue bars) presented the fold change of transcritome sequencing and y axis in the right (orange bars) presented the fold change of Real-time PCR. (TIF)

S1 Table. Summary for raw reads of two samples. (XLSX)

S2 Table. KEGG annotation of unigenes. (XLSX)

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to the Liaoyang deer farm at Liaoning province in China for their assistance to collect samples. We would like to express gratitude to the reviewers for their thoughtful comments and constructive suggestion.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Heping Li. Formal analysis: Xiaodan Bi. Methodology: Xiaodan Bi. Software: Jiancheng Zhai. Visualization: Yanling Xia. Writing – original draft: Xiaodan Bi. Writing – review & editing: Xiaodan Bi.

References

- Li C, Littlejohn RP, Corson ID, Suttie JM. Effects of testosterone on pedicle formation and its transformation to antler in castrated male, freemartin and normal female red deer (Cervus elaphus). General and Comparative Endocrinology. 2003; 131(1):21–31. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0016-6480(02)00625-1 PMID: 12620243
- Zhao Y, Yao B, Zhang M, Wang S, Zhang H, Xiao W. Comparative analysis of differentially expressed genes in Sika deer antler at different stages. Molecular biology reports. 2013; 40(2):1665–76. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s11033-012-2216-5 PMID: 23073784.
- Picavet P, Balligand M. Organic and mechanical properties of Cervidae antlers: a review Veterinary Research Communications. 2016; 40. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11259-016-9663-8 PMID: 27618827
- Price JS, Allen S, Faucheux C, Althnaian T, Mount JG. Deer antlers: a zoological curiosity or the key to understanding organ regeneration in mammals? Journal of Anatomy. 2005; 207(5):603–18. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1469-7580.2005.00478.x PMID: 16313394
- 5. Chapman DI. Antlers-bones of contention. Mammal Review. 1975; 5(4):121-72. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2907.1975.tb00194.x</u>
- Faucheux C, Nicholls BM, Allen S, Danks JA, Horton MA, Price JS. Recapitulation of the parathyroid hormone-related peptide–Indian hedgehog pathway in the regenerating deer antler. Developmental Dynamics. 2004; 231(1):88–97. https://doi.org/10.1002/dvdy.20117 PMID: 15305289
- Matich J, Basford Nicholson LF, Barling PM. Mitotic activity in the growing red deer antler. Cell Biology International. 2003; 27(8):625–32. https://doi.org/10.1016/s1065-6995(03)00118-5 PMID: 12867154
- Li C, Clark DE, Lord EA, Stanton JA, Suttie JM. Sampling technique to discriminate the different t issue layers of growing antler tips for gene discovery. The Anatomical record. 2002; 268(2):125–30. https:// doi.org/10.1002/ar.10120 PMID: 12221718.
- 9. Price J, Faucheux C, Allen S. Deer Antlers as a Model of Mammalian Regeneration. Current topics in developmental biology. 2005; 67:1–48. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0070-2153(05)67001-9 PMID: 15949530
- Price JS, Oyajobi BO, Oreffo RO, Russell RG. Cells cultured from the growing tip of red deer antler express alkaline phosphatase and proliferate in response to insulin-like growth fa ctor-I. The Journal of endocrinology. 1994; 143(2):R9–16. Epub 1994/11/01. <u>https://doi.org/10.1677/joe.0.143r009</u> PMID: 7829985.
- Li C, Suttie J. Morphogenetic aspects of deer antler development. Frontiers in bioscience (Elite edition). 2012; 4:1836–42. Epub 2011/12/29. https://doi.org/10.2741/505 PMID: 22202000.
- Li C, Suttie JM, Clark DE. Histological examination of antler regeneration in red deer (Cervus elaphus). The anatomical record Part A, Discoveries in molecular, cellular, and evolutionary biology. 2005; 282 (2):163–74. https://doi.org/10.1002/ar.a.20148 PMID: 15641024.
- Sadighi M, Haines SR, Skottner A, Harris AJ, Suttie JM. Effects of insulin-like growth factor-I (IGF-I) and IGF-II on the growth of antler cells in vitro. The Journal of endocrinology. 1994; 143(3):461–9. Epub 1994/12/01. https://doi.org/10.1677/joe.0.1430461 PMID: 7836891.
- Allen SP, Maden M, Price JS. A Role for Retinoic Acid in Regulating the Regeneration of Deer Antlers. Developmental Biology. 2002; 251(2):409–23. https://doi.org/10.1006/dbio.2002.0816 PMID: 12435367
- Lai AKW, Hou WL, Verdon DJ, Nicholson LFB, Barling PM. The distribution of the growth factors FGF-2 and VEGF, and their receptors, in growing red deer antler. Tissue and Cell. 2007; 39(1):35–46. <u>https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.tice.2007.01.001</u> PMID: 17316726
- Langmead B, Trapnell C, Pop M, Salzberg SL. Ultrafast and memory-efficient alignment of short DNA sequences to the human genome. Genome biology. 2009; 10(3):R25. Epub 2009/03/06. https://doi.org/ 10.1186/gb-2009-10-3-r25 PMID: 19261174; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2690996.
- Li B, Dewey CN. RSEM: accurate transcript quantification from RNA-Seq data with or without a reference genome. BMC bioinformatics. 2011; 12:323–. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-12-323</u> PMID: 21816040.
- Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD. Analysis of Relative Gene Expression Data Using Real-Time Quantitative PCR and the 2-ΔΔCT Method. Methods. 2001; 25(4):402–8. https://doi.org/10.1006/meth.2001.1262 PMID: 11846609
- Ju Y, Liu H, Rong M, Zhang R, Dong Y, Zhou Y, et al. Genetic diversity and population genetic structure of the only population of Aoluguya Reindeer (Rangifer tarandus) in China. Mitochondrial DNA Part A, DNA mapping, sequencing, and analysis. 2019; 30(1):24–9. https://doi.org/10.1080/24701394.2018. 1448081 PMID: 29658380.
- Li Z, Lin Z, Ba H, Chen L, Yang Y, Wang K, et al. Draft genome of the reindeer (Rangifer tarandus). GigaScience. 2017; 6(12):1–5. https://doi.org/10.1093/gigascience/gix102 PMID: 29099922; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5726476.

- Lin Z, Chen L, Chen X, Zhong Y, Yang Y, Xia W, et al. Biological adaptations in the Arctic cervid, the reindeer (Rangifer tarandus). Science. 2019; 364(6446). https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aav6312 PMID: 31221829.
- Wang Y, Zhang C, Wang N, Li Z, Heller R, Liu R, et al. Genetic basis of ruminant headgear and rapid antler regeneration. Science. 2019; 364(6446). <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aav6335</u> PMID: 31221830.
- Chu IM, Hengst L, Slingerland JM. The Cdk inhibitor p27 in human cancer: prognostic potential and relevance to anticancer therapy. Nature Reviews Cancer. 2008; 8:253. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nrc2347</u> https://www.nature.com/articles/nrc2347#supplementary-information. PMID: 18354415
- YunchaoChang TFD. Chapter 16. Growth Factors Principles of Tissue Engineering (Fourth Edition). 2014: 291–308.
- Li YS, Milner PG, Chauhan AK, Watson MA, Hoffman RM, Kodner CM, et al. Cloning and expression of a developmentally regulated protein that induces mitogenic and neurite outgrowth activity. Science (New York, NY). 1990; 250(4988):1690–4. Epub 1990/12/21. <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/science.2270483</u> PMID: 2270483.
- McLachlan E, Shao Q, Wang HL, Langlois S, Laird DW. Connexins act as tumor suppressors in threedimensional mammary cell organoids by regulating differentiation and angiogenesis. Cancer research. 2006; 66(20):9886–94. Epub 2006/10/19. <u>https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-05-4302</u> PMID: 17047050.
- Ikematsu S, Yano A, Aridome K, Kikuchi M, Kumai H, Nagano H, et al. Serum midkine levels are increased in patients with various types of carcinomas. British journal of cancer. 2000; 83(6):701–6. Epub 2000/08/23. https://doi.org/10.1054/bjoc.2000.1339 PMID: 10952771; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2363529.
- Kato M, Maeta H, Kato S, Shinozawa T, Terada T. Immunohistochemical and in situ hybridization analyses of midkine expression in thyroid papillary carcinoma. Modern pathology: an official journal of the United States and Canadian Academy of Pathology, Inc. 2000; 13(10):1060–5. Epub 2000/10/26. https://doi.org/10.1038/modpathol.3880195 PMID: 11048798.
- Lee NY, Blobe GC. The interaction of endoglin with beta-arrestin2 regulates transforming growth factorbeta-mediated ERK activation and migration in endothelial cells. J Biol Chem. 2007; 282(29):21507–17. Epub 2007/06/02. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M700176200 PMID: 17540773.
- Yao B, Zhao Y, Wang Q, Zhang M, Liu M, Liu H, et al. De novo characterization of the antler tip of Chinese Sika deer transcriptome and analysis of gene expression related to rapid growth. Molecular and cellular biochemistry. 2012; 364(1–2):93–100. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11010-011-1209-3</u> PMID: 22198337.
- Karin M, Liu Z-g, Zandi E. AP-1 function and regulation. Current Opinion in Cell Biology. 1997; 9 (2):240–6. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0955-0674(97)80068-3 PMID: 9069263
- Ameyar M, Wisniewska M, Weitzman JB. A role for AP-1 in apoptosis: the case for and against. Biochimie. 2003; 85(8):747–52. Epub 2003/10/31. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biochi.2003.09.006</u> PMID: 14585541.
- Shaulian E, Karin M. AP-1 as a regulator of cell life and death. Nature cell biology. 2002; 4(5):E131–6. Epub 2002/05/04. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncb0502-e131 PMID: 11988758.
- Lucero CMJ, Vega OA, Osorio MM, Tapia JC, Antonelli M, Stein GS, et al. The cancer-related transcription factor Runx2 modulates cell proliferation in human osteosarcoma cell lines. Journal of cellular physiology. 2013; 228(4):714–23. https://doi.org/10.1002/jcp.24218 PMID: 22949168.
- Xu J, Testa JR. DLX5 (distal-less homeobox 5) promotes tumor cell proliferation by transcriptionally regulating MYC. The Journal of biological chemistry. 2009; 284(31):20593–601. Epub 06/04. https://doi. org/10.1074/jbc.M109.021477 PMID: 19497851.
- Hattori T, Müller C, Gebhard S, Bauer E, Pausch F, Schlund B, et al. SOX9 is a major negative regulator of cartilage vascularization, bone marrow formation and endochondral ossification. Development. 2010; 137(6):901–11. https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.045203 PMID: 20179096
- Brösicke N, Faissner A. Role of tenascins in the ECM of gliomas. Cell adhesion & migration. 2015; 9(1– 2):131–40. https://doi.org/10.1080/19336918.2014.1000071 PMID: 25695402.
- Camby I, Le Mercier M, Lefranc F, Kiss R. Galectin-1: a small protein with major functions. Glycobiology. 2006; 16(11):137r–57r. Epub 2006/07/15. https://doi.org/10.1093/glycob/cwl025 PMID: 16840800.
- **39.** Muller J, Duray E, Lejeune M, Dubois S, Plougonven E, Léonard A, et al. Loss of Stromal Galectin-1 Enhances Multiple Myeloma Development: Emphasis on a Role in Osteoclasts. Cancers. 2019; 11(2). https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers11020261 PMID: 30813402

- Cousin JM, Cloninger MJ. The Role of Galectin-1 in Cancer Progression, and Synthetic Multivalent Systems for the Study of Galectin-1. International journal of molecular sciences. 2016; 17(9):1566. https:// doi.org/10.3390/ijms17091566 PMID: 27649167.
- Adwan H, Bauerle TJ, Berger MR. Downregulation of osteopontin and bone sialoprotein II is related to reduced colony formation and metastasis formation of MDA-MB-231 human breast cancercells. Cancer gene therapy. 2004; 11(2):109–20. Epub 2003/12/03. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.cgt.7700659</u> PMID: 14647232.
- Wang KX, Denhardt DT. Osteopontin: Role in immune regulation and stress responses. Cytokine & Growth Factor Reviews. 2008; 19(5):333–45. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cytogfr.2008.08.001.
- French DM, Kaul RJ, D'Souza AL, Crowley CW, Bao M, Frantz GD, et al. WISP-1 is an osteoblastic regulator expressed during skeletal development and fracture repair. The American journal of pathology. 2004; 165(3):855–67. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0002-9440(10)63348-2 PMID: 15331410.
- 44. Jun JI, Lau LF. Taking aim at the extracellular matrix: CCN proteins as emerging therapeutic targets. Nature reviews Drug discovery. 2011; 10(12):945–63. Epub 2011/12/02. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nrd3599</u> PMID: 22129992; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3663145.
- Zhai J, Gao L, Xia Y, Li H. A Study on Differentially Expressed Genes in Reserve Mesenchyme of Male and Female Reindeer Antler Tip. Pakistan Journal of Zoology. 2017; 49(3):889–95. https://doi.org/10. 17582/journal.pjz/2017.49.3.889.895
- 46. Rucklidge GJ, Milne G, Bos KJ, Farquharson C, Robins SP. Deer Antler Does Not Represent a Typical Endochondral Growth System: Immunoidentification of Collagen Type X but Little Collagen Type II in Growing Antler Tissue. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part B: Biochemistry and Molecular Biology. 1997; 118(2):303–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0305-0491(97)00171-5.
- Tian X, Azpurua J, Hine C, Vaidya A, Myakishev-Rempel M, Ablaeva J, et al. High-molecular-mass hyaluronan mediates the cancer resistance of the naked mole rat. Nature. 2013; 499(7458):346–9. Epub 2013/06/21. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12234 PMID: 23783513; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3720720.