Biological impact of an enhanced recovery after surgery programme in liver surgery

S. Gonvers, J. Jurt, G.-R. Joliat, N. Halkic, E. Melloul, M. Hübner, N. Demartines 🝺 * and I. Labgaa 🝺

Department of Visceral Surgery, Lausanne University Hospital, University of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland

*Correspondence to: Department of Visceral Surgery, Lausanne University Hospital (CHUV), Rue du Bugnon 46, CH-1011 Lausanne, Switzerland (e-mail: Demartines@chuv.ch)

Abstract

Background: The clinical and economic impacts of enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) programmes have been demonstrated extensively. Whether ERAS protocols also have a biological effect remains unclear. This study aimed to investigate the biological impact of an ERAS programme in patients undergoing liver surgery.

Methods: A retrospective analysis of patients undergoing liver surgery (2010–2018) was undertaken. Patients operated before and after ERAS implementation in 2013 were compared. Surrogate markers of surgical stress were monitored: white blood cell count (WBC), C-reactive protein (CRP) level, albumin concentration, and haematocrit. Their perioperative fluctuations were defined as Δvalues, calculated on postoperative day (POD) 0 for Δalbumin and Δhaematocrit and POD 2 for ΔWBC and ΔCRP.

Results: A total of 541 patients were included, with 223 and 318 patients in non-ERAS and ERAS groups respectively. Groups were comparable, except for higher rates of laparoscopy (24.8 versus 11.2 per cent; P < 0.001) and major resection (47.5 versus 38.1 per cent; P = 0.035) in the ERAS group. Patients in the ERAS group showed attenuated Δ WBC (2.00 versus 2.75 g/l; P = 0.013), Δ CRP (60 versus 101 mg/l; P < 0.001) and Δ albumin (12 versus 16 g/l; P < 0.001) compared with those in the no-ERAS group. Subgroup analysis of open resection showed similar results. Multivariable analysis identified ERAS as the only independent factor associated with high Δ WBC (odds ratio (OR) 0.65, 95 per cent c.i. 0.43 to 0.98; P = 0.038), Δ CRP (OR 0.41, 0.23 to 0.73; P = 0.003) and Δ albumin (OR 0.40, 95 per cent c.i. 0.22 to 0.72; P = 0.002).

Conclusion: Compared with conventional management, implementation of ERAS was associated with an attenuated stress response in patients undergoing liver surgery.

Introduction

Implementation of enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) programmes in digestive surgery has been associated with substantial clinical and economic benefits^{1–6}. Whether the application of ERAS protocols also induces a biological modulation of the stress response remains to be demonstrated, as data on the biological effect of ERAS programmes remain sparse. As ERAS implementation reduces complication rates and length of stay, it was assumed, or even speculated, that the physiological stress induced by surgery may also be reduced. Most studies have analysed cohorts of patients undergoing laparoscopic colorectal surgery, and included restraint panels of biomarkers, essentially C-reactive protein (CRP) and interleukin (IL) 6⁷.

This study aimed to determine the biological impact of an ERAS programme by analysing and comparing biomarkers of stress response in patients undergoing liver surgery before and after ERAS implementation.

Methods

This retrospective study was conducted in the Department of Visceral Surgery at Lausanne University Hospital (CHUV)

between 2010 and 2018. The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board (CER-VD #2017-01169).

Patients, groups and the enhanced recovery after surgery protocol

Consecutive patients undergoing liver surgery during the study period were included. Patients aged less than 18 years and those with no written consent were excluded. In the authors' institution, ERAS was initially implemented for colorectal surgery (on 20 May 2011) and subsequently for liver surgery (on 5 July 2013)⁸. Patients operated on before and after implementation of the ERAS protocol in liver surgery were included in non-ERAS and ERAS groups respectively. For additional subgroup analyses, patients in the non-ERAS group operated on before and after ERAS implementation in colorectal surgery were further divided into pre-ERAS and intermediate groups respectively (Fig. S1)⁸. Subgroup analyses comparing open and laparoscopic resections were also conducted.

Details of the ERAS protocol have been published previously^{8,9}. Briefly, it includes preoperative, intraoperative and postoperative items such as preoperative counselling, carbohydrate drinks, prevention of hypothermia, no routine abdominal drainage or gastric tube, early mobilization, and systematic audit.

© The Author(s) 2020. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of BJS Society Ltd.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Received: July 12, 2020. Accepted: August 27, 2020

Table 1 Patient and surgical characteristics

	Non-ERAS (<i>n</i> =223)	ERAS (<i>n</i> =318)	P [†]
Patient demographics			
No. of women	85 (38.1)	129 (40.6)	0.593
Age (years) [*]	64 (57–72)	63 (54–70)	0.067 [‡]
ASA grade III–IV	67 (30.0)	83 (26.1)	0.330
BMI (kg/m²)*	24.8 (22.4–27.8)	25.3 (22.8–28.7)	0.167 [‡]
Smoking	61 (27.4)	93 (29.2)	0.699
Diabetes	41 (18.4)	52 (16.4)	0.564
Cirrhosis	23 (10.3)	20 (6.3)	0.106
Cancer	179 (81)	237 (74.5)	0.118
Preoperative chemotherapy	110 (50)	132 (41.5)	0.069
Surgery			
Laparoscopic approach	25 (11.2)	79 (24.8)	< 0.001
Major resection (\geq 3 segments)	85 (38.1)	151 (47.5)	0.035
Additional procedure	26 (11.7)	32 (10.1)	0.574
Duration (min)*	285 (213–360)	282 (192-363)	0.397 [‡]
Blood loss (ml)*	500 (300–1000)	600 (300–1000)	0.394 [‡]

Values in parentheses are percentages unless indicated otherwise; * values are median (i.q.r.). ERAS, enhanced recovery after surgery. $+\chi^2$ or Fisher's exact test, except. \pm Mann–Whitney U test.

Biomarkers and endpoints

A panel of blood biomarkers including white blood cell count $(WBC)^{10}$, $CRP^{10,11}$, albumin¹² and hamatocrit¹³ were selected for their capacity to reflect the amplitude of a surgical stress response. Perioperative levels of these markers were monitored via blood samples analyses. Blood draws were performed daily by nurses, before and after surgery. Preoperative and postoperative fluctuations of these markers were calculated and defined as Δ values. Time points for determining these Δ values relied on available data from the literature: Δ albumin and Δ haematocrit were calculated on postoperative day (POD) 0 (4–8 h after surgery)^{12,13}, whereas Δ WBC and Δ CRP were determined on POD 2^{10,11}. For multivariable analysis, each Δ value was dichotomized according to its median value.

Statistical analysis

According to their pattern of distribution, continuous variables were provided either as median (i.q.r.) or mean(s.d.) values and compared with the Mann–Whitney U test or Student's t test. Categorical variables were provided as frequencies with valid percentages, and compared with the χ^2 test or Fisher's exact test. The median value was used to dichotomize each Δ value. Univariable and multivariable analyses were performed by logistic regression, integrating multiple potential confounding factors into the model. Variables with P < 0.050 in univariable analysis were tested further in a multivariable model. Statistical significance was defined as a two-tailed P value below 0.050. IBM SPSS[®] statistics 25.0 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA) was used to perform all analyses.

Results

ERAS and non-ERAS groups included 223 and 318 patients respectively. Patient and surgical characteristics are detailed in *Table 1*. Groups were comparable, except for higher rates of laparoscopy (24.8 *versus* 11.2 per cent; P < 0.001) and major resection (47.5 *versus* 38.1 per cent; P = 0.035) in the ERAS group.

Impact of ERAS on perioperative profiles of stress markers

Perioperative levels of the selected markers of the stress response were monitored. Their profiles are illustrated in Fig. 1.

The difference between preoperative and postoperative values of these markers (Δ values) in ERAS and non-ERAS groups are compared in Fig. 2. The ERAS group showed attenuated Δ WBC (median 2.00 g/l versus 2.75 g/l for the non-ERAS group; P = 0.013), Δ CRP (60 versus 101 mg/l respectively; P <0.001) and Δ albumin (12 versus 16 g/l; P <0.001), whereas Δ haematocrit showed no difference (7 versus 6 per cent; P = 0.059).

Subgroup and multivariable analyses

Subgroup analysis of open surgery was conducted first (Fig. S2), and showed an impact for the ERAS protocol on Δ CRP (median 68 mg/l versus 108 mg/l for the non-ERAS group; P < 0.001), Δ albumin (13 versus 16 g/l respectively; P = 0.009) and Δ haematocrit (8 versus 6 per cent, p = 0.028), whereas Δ WBC was unchanged (2.4 versus 3.0 g/l; P = 0.191).

Multivariable analyses were then performed for each marker to assess the independent association between their perioperative fluctuation and potential confounders. Table 2 summarizes the identified independent predictors of increased Δ values for each marker. Overall, the ERAS protocol was the only factor associated with a decrease in each marker, except Δ haematocrit.

Finally, as the implementation of ERAS is intrinsically associated with time (patients in the ERAS group had surgery more recently than those in the non-ERAS group), it could be argued that the biological effect attributed to ERAS is due to the chronology. Subgroup analyses of the non-ERAS group aimed to exclude this bias, allowing further analysis of the pattern of markers over time. the intermediate group corresponded to patients undergoing surgery before the formal implementation of the ERAS protocol specific for liver surgery, but after the ERAS implementation in colorectal surgery (Fig. S1). As demonstrated previously⁸, implementation of the ERAS protocol in colorectal surgery also had an impact in liver surgery (intermediate group). A progressive biological impact was also observed in these three groups: pre-ERAS, intermediate and ERAS groups. When pre-ERAS (before any implementation of ERAS) and ERAS groups were compared, a strong difference was identified for Δ WBC (median 4.3 versus 2.0 g/l respectively; P = 0.003), ΔCRP (121 versus 60 mg/l; P < 0.001) and Δ albumin (18 versus 12 g/l; P=0.002), whereas Δ haematocrit showed no difference (6.5 versus 7 per cent; P = 0.269) (Fig. S3).



Fig. 1 Perioperative profiles of the four biomarkers

a White blood cell count (WBC); b C-reactive protein (CRP); c albumin; d haematocrit. Median (i.q.r.) values are shown.





(Ahaematocrit) on POD 0 in enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) and non-ERAS groups. Median values, interquartile ranges and ranges (excluding outliers) are denoted by horizontal bars, boxes and error bars respectively. *a P=0.013, b P<0.001, c P<0.001, d P=0.059 (Mann-Whitney U test).

m 11 osc 1.1 · 11			c · · · .
Table 7 Multivariable ana	lusis of high	changes in markei	s of surgical stress
Tuble 2 Manuvallable and	LYDID OI IIIGII	chunges in marker	5 of Surgicul Sucos

	$\Delta { m WBC} \ge$ 2.4 g/l		Δ CRP \geq 71 mg/l		∆Albumin ≥13 g/l		∆Haematocrit ≥7%	
	OR	Р	OR	Р	OR	Р	OR	Р
Female sex		n.s.	0.55 (0.32, 0.94)	0.028	2.95 (1.66, 5.22)	<0.001		n.s.
Age		n.s.		n.s.		n.s.		n.s.
ASA score III– IV	1.92 (1.21, 3.05)	0.006		n.s.		n.s.		n.s.
BMI		n.s.	1.05 (0.99, 1.12)	0.101		n.s.		n.s.
Smoking		n.s.		n.s.		n.s.		n.s.
Diabetes	0.45 (0.26, 0.79)	0.005	2.43 (1.2, 4.94)	0.014		n.s.		n.s.
Cirrhosis		n.s.		n.s.		n.s.		n.s.
Cancer		n.s.		n.s.		n.s.		n.s.
Preoperative chemother- apy		n.s.		n.s.		n.s.		n.s.
Laparoscopic approach		n.s.	3.17 (1.34, 7.5)	0.009	3.24 (1.28, 8.22)	0.013		n.s.
Major resec- tion (≥3 segments)	1.10 (0.69, 1.74)	0.689		n.s.	0.84 (0.46, 1.52)	0.562	1.07 (0.66, 1.73)	0.80
Additional pro- cedure		n.s.		n.s.		n.s.		n.s.
Duration of surgery	1.00 (1.00, 1.01)	0.003	1.00 (1.00, 1.00)	0.670	1.00 (1.00, 1.00)	0.539	1.00 (0.99, 1.00)	0.338
Blood loss ERAS protocol	1.00 (1.00, 1.00) 0.65 (0.43, 0.98)	0.086 0.038	0.41 (0.23, 0.73)	n.s. 0.003	1.00 (1.00, 1.00) 0.40 (0.22, 0.72)	<0.001 0.002	1.00 (1.00, 1.00) 1.16 (0.75, 1.80)	<0.001 0.502

Values in parentheses are 95 per cent confidence intervals. WBC, white blood cell count; CRP, C-reactive protein; OR, odds ratio; n.s., not statistically significant in univariable analysis; ERAS, enhanced recovery after surgery.

Discussion

These results suggest a biological impact for the ERAS protocol during the early postoperative phase, with an attenuated stress response in comparison to that for non-ERAS management.

Defining the design of a study aiming to explore the biological effect of ERAS is a critical and challenging step. RCTs are commonly considered to be of higher quality than retrospective studies, and should therefore be chosen whenever possible in clinical research. However, ERAS application cannot be considered as a standard treatment, so that ERAS versus non-ERAS and the typical 'new drug versus placebo' are not similar comparisons. This is because application of an ERAS programme is a multimodal approach that requires to be understood, assimilated and applied by a dedicated medical staff team. Teams that become familiar with ERAS management will subsequently apply its principles, consciously or sometimes unconsciously. Hence, designing an RCT comparing ERAS with non-ERAS does not preclude or reduce the risk of bias, compared with the design of a retrospective study. This point was demonstrated by a previous study⁸, which showed that ERAS implementation in colorectal surgery had a significant impact on conventional liver surgery.

The study design entailed using a comprehensive panel of biomarkers indicating the magnitude of surgical stress. These markers were selected based on their clinical relevance: they were easy to measure, commonly used in practice, reproducible, and inexpensive. In addition, Δ values were calculated and established as the primary endpoint, as they reflect advantageously the dynamic perioperative fluctuation of these biomarkers. These Δ values were calculated at early time points (POD 0 and POD 2) in order to show better the biological reaction during the very early postoperative phase. The cohort of 541 patients was sufficient for the required analyses, and comprised a larger sample size than in the vast majority of comparable studies^{14–23}.

Thorough and comprehensive statistical analyses were performed to minimize the risk of potential bias. The two groups had different laparoscopy and major resection rates, which would have opposing consequences: the higher incidence of laparoscopy in the ERAS group may have attenuated the stress response, whereas the increased rate of major resection would rather intensify it. It may be argued that detected differences in the selected biomarkers were due to the higher rate of laparoscopic resection in the ERAS group. However, subgroup analyses of patients undergoing open resection showed similar findings. One of the strengths of the study is the multivariable analysis performed for each marker. The fact that ERAS implementation is intrinsically associated with time may be considered a potential bias, as it may be hypothesized that the delay between non-ERAS and ERAS cohorts could be associated with technical improvements and explain the biological difference detected between the two groups. The subgroup analysis of the three groups (pre-ERAS, intermediate and ERAS) provided a unique opportunity to assess the effect of the ERAS protocol over time, and showed a progressive reduction in the stress response with ERAS implementation. In addition, the similarity between the two groups (ERAS and non-ERAS) for duration of surgery, blood loss and Δ haematocrit does no support this argument.

Besides meta-analyses^{7,24–26}, comparable original studies have involved smaller sample sizes. In 2012, Ren and colleagues²⁷ published a large RCT including 597 patients (299 ERAS and 298 non-ERAS) in whom inflammatory biomarkers were measured: IL-1 β , IL-6, interferon- γ and tumour necrosis factor- α . The study was not designed specifically to investigate the biological impact of ERAS, as the primary endpoint was length of hospital stay. In addition, although the results appeared to show a reduced stress response in the ERAS group, the selected biomarkers were of limited interest as they are not commonly used in clinical practice. Most other comparable studies^{7,14,20,23,25,26} have analysed CRP and IL-6. Although CRP is widely monitored after surgery, IL-6 is rarely measured in daily practice, probably owing to its limited performance as well as its high \cos^{28-30} . Furthermore, none of these studies used Δ values, but rather isolated values of biomarkers at heterogeneous time points.

The main limitation of the present study is its single-centre design. These results need to be validated further in an independent cohort. Of note, there is no evidence to indicate that these findings would be specific to liver surgery. Hence, they may reasonably be extrapolated to other types of surgery, although future data are needed.

From a clinical perspective, these results may help to design future studies assessing the impact ERAS programmes. Modulated biomarkers, namely Δ WBC, Δ CRP and Δ albumin, may be used as endpoints. In addition, the clinical significance of specific ERAS items may be assessed via these markers. More importantly, these results stress the need to integrate perioperative monitoring with laboratory investigations in ERAS guidelines, an important point that has been lacking to date⁹.

Acknowledgements

S.G. and J.J. contributed equally to this publication.

Disclosure. The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Supplementary material

Supplementary material is available at BJS Open online.

References

- Xiong J, Szatmary P, Huang W, de la Iglesia-Garcia D, Nunes QM, Xia Q et al.. Enhanced recovery after surgery program in patients undergoing pancreaticoduodenectomy: a PRISMA-compliant systematic review and meta-analysis. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2016;**95**:e3497
- Hughes MJ, McNally S, Wigmore SJ. Enhanced recovery following liver surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *HPB (Oxford)* 2014;**16**:699–706
- Greco M, Capretti G, Beretta L, Gemma M, Pecorelli N, Braga M. Enhanced recovery program in colorectal surgery: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *World J Surg* 2014;38:1531–1541
- Joliat GR, Labgaa I, Hubner M, Blanc C, Griesser AC, Schafer M *et al.* Cost–benefit analysis of the implementation of an enhanced recovery program in liver surgery. *World J Surg* 2016;40:2441–2450
- Joliat GR, Labgaa I, Petermann D, Hubner M, Griesser AC, Demartines N *et al.*. Cost–benefit analysis of an enhanced recovery protocol for pancreaticoduodenectomy. *Br J Surg* 2015;**102**: 1676–1683
- Joliat GR, Hubner M, Roulin D, Demartines N. Cost analysis of enhanced recovery programs in colorectal, pancreatic, and hepatic surgery: a systematic review. *World J Surg* 2020;44:647–655
- Crippa J, Mari GM, Miranda A, Costanzi AT, Maggioni D. Surgical stress response and enhanced recovery after laparoscopic surgery a systematic review. *Chirurgia (Bucur)* 2018;**113**:455–463
- Labgaa I, Jarrar G, Joliat GR, Allemann P, Gander S, Blanc C *et al.* Implementation of enhanced recovery (ERAS) in colorectal surgery has a positive impact on non-ERAS liver surgery patients. *World J Surg* 2016;40:1082–1091

- Melloul E, Hubner M, Scott M, Snowden C, Prentis J, Dejong CH et al. Guidelines for perioperative care for liver surgery: Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS) Society recommendations. World J Surg 2016;40:2425–2440
- Nishiguchi K, Okuda J, Toyoda M, Tanaka K, Tanigawa N. Comparative evaluation of surgical stress of laparoscopic and open surgeries for colorectal carcinoma. *Dis Colon Rectum* 2001;44: 223–230
- Warschkow R, Tarantino I, Torzewski M, Naf F, Lange J, Steffen T. Diagnostic accuracy of C-reactive protein and white blood cell counts in the early detection of inflammatory complications after open resection of colorectal cancer: a retrospective study of 1187 patients. *Int J Colorectal Dis* 2011;**26**:1405–1413
- Labgaa I, Joliat GR, Demartines N, Hubner M. Serum albumin is an early predictor of complications after liver surgery. *Dig Liver Dis* 2016; 48:559–561
- Torzilli G, Gambetti A, Del Fabbro D, Leoni P, Olivari N, Donadon M et al. Techniques for hepatectomies without blood transfusion, focusing on interpretation of postoperative anemia. Arch Surg 2004;139: 1061–1065
- Liu G, Jian F, Wang X, Chen L. Fast-track surgery protocol in elderly patients undergoing laparoscopic radical gastrectomy for gastric cancer: a randomized controlled trial. *Onco Targets Ther* 2016;9: 3345–3351
- Xu D, Li J, Song Y, Zhou J, Sun F, Wang J *et al.* Laparoscopic surgery contributes more to nutritional and immunologic recovery than fasttrack care in colorectal cancer. *World J Surg Oncol* 2015;**13**:18
- Guan X, Liu L, Lei X, Zu X, Li Y, Chen M *et al.*. A comparative study of fast-track *versus* [corrected] conventional surgery in patients undergoing laparoscopic radical cystectomy and ileal conduit diversion: Chinese experience. *Sci Rep* 2014;**4**:6820
- Maria K, Evangelos KA, Dimitris KP, Maria K, Ioannis K, Margarita G. Postoperative stress and pain response applying fast-track protocol in patients undergoing hepatectomy. *J Perioper Pract* 2019;**29**:368–377
- Wang G, Jiang Z, Zhao K, Li G, Liu F, Pan H *et al.* Immunologic response after laparoscopic colon cancer operation within an enhanced recovery program. *J Gastrointest Surg* 2012;**16**:1379–1388
- Veenhof AA, Vlug MS, van der Pas MH, Sietses C, van der Peet DL, de Lange-de Klerk ES *et al.* Surgical stress response and postoperative immune function after laparoscopy or open surgery with fast track or standard perioperative care: a randomized trial. *Ann Surg* 2012;255:216–221
- Mari G, Crippa J, Costanzi A, Mazzola M, Rossi M, Maggioni D. ERAS protocol reduces IL-6 secretion in colorectal laparoscopic surgery: results from a randomized clinical trial. *Surg Laparosc Endosc Percutan Tech* 2016;**26**:444–448
- Wang WK, Tu CY, Shao CX, Chen W, Zhou QY, Zhu JD *et al.*. Impact of enhanced recovery after surgery on postoperative rehabilitation, inflammation, and immunity in gastric carcinoma patients: a randomized clinical trial. *Braz J Med Biol Res* 2019;**52**:e8265
- Tang C, Xu Z, Yi X, Li P, He H, Zhang Z *et al.*. Fast track surgery vs. conventional management in the perioperative care of retroperitoneal laparoscopic adrenalectomy. *Int J Clin Exp Med* 2015;8: 16 207–16 214
- Chen L, Sun L, Lang Y, Wu J, Yao L, Ning J *et al.*. Fast-track surgery improves postoperative clinical recovery and cellular and humoral immunity after esophagectomy for esophageal cancer. *BMC Cancer* 2016;**16**:449
- Li Z, Wang Q, Li B, Bai B, Zhao Q. Influence of enhanced recovery after surgery programs on laparoscopy-assisted gastrectomy for gastric

cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized control trials. *World J Surg Oncol* 2017;**15**:207

- Wang LH, Zhu RF, Gao C, Wang SL, Shen LZ. Application of enhanced recovery after gastric cancer surgery: an updated meta-analysis. *World J Gastroenterol* 2018;24:1562–1578
- Watt DG, McSorley ST, Horgan PG, McMillan DC. Enhanced recovery after surgery: which components, if any, impact on the systemic inflammatory response following colorectal surgery?: A systematic review. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2015;**94**:e1286
- 27. Ren L, Zhu D, Wei Y, Pan X, Liang L, Xu J et al. Enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) program attenuates stress and accelerates recovery

in patients after radical resection for colorectal cancer: a prospective randomized controlled trial. *World J Surg* 2012;**36**:407–414

- Rettig TC, Verwijmeren L, Dijkstra IM, Boerma D, van de Garde EM, Noordzij PG. Postoperative interleukin-6 level and early detection of complications after elective major abdominal surgery. *Ann Surg* 2016;**263**:1207–1212
- Labgaa I, Demartines N, Hubner M. Biomarkers capable to early predict postoperative complications: the grail. Ann Surg 2017;266: e91–e92
- Rettig TCD, Verwijmeren L, Van de Garde EMW, Boerma D, Noordzij PG. Biomarkers capable to early predict postoperative complications: the grail. *Ann Surg* 2017;**266**:e92