Sleep Medicine: X 5 (2023) 100064



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Sleep Medicine: X



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/sleep

Relationship of sleep duration and sleep quality with health-related quality of life in patients on hemodialysis in Neyshabur



Minasadat Hosseini ^a, Maryam Nasrabadi ^b, Ensiyeh Mollanoroozy ^c, Fatemeh Khani ^a, Zahra Mohammadi ^a, Faeze Barzanoni ^a, Asieh Amini ^d, Ali Gholami ^{c, e, *}

^a Student Research Committee, Neyshabur University of Medical Sciences, Neyshabur, Iran

^b Public Health Department, School of Public Health, Neyshabur University of Medical Sciences, Neyshabur, Iran

^c Noncommunicable Diseases Research Center, Neyshabur University of Medical Sciences, Neyshabur, Iran

^d Ph.D. Candidate in English Language Teaching, Razi University, Kermanshah, Iran

^e Epidemiology & Biostatistics Department, School of Public Health, Neyshabur University of Medical Sciences, Neyshabur, Iran

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 10 September 2022 Received in revised form 24 January 2023 Accepted 26 January 2023 Available online 11 February 2023

Keywords: Sleep quality Pittsburgh sleep quality index (PSQI) Quality of life Dialysis

ABSTRACT

Background: As a public health priority, health-related quality of life (HRQoL) is associated with some factors like sleep disorders. Taking this into consideration, this study aimed at investigating the relationship between sleep duration and sleep quality with HRQoL in patients on hemodialysis. *Methods:* This cross-sectional study was carried out among 176 patients on hemodialysis who were

admitted to the dialysis ward of 22 Bahman hospital and a private renal clinic in Neyshabur (a city in North-East of Iran) in 2021. Sleep duration and quality were measured using an Iranian version of Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI) and HRQoL was evaluated with the Iranian version of a 12-Item Short Form Survey (SF-12). To analyze the data and examine the independent association of sleep duration and quality with HRQoL, multiple linear regression model was performed.

Results: The mean age of the participants was 51.6 ± 16.4 and 63.6% were male. Moreover, 55.1% and 5.7% of subjects reported a sleep duration shorter than 7 h and equal to or more than 9 h, respectively, and the value prevalence of poor sleep quality was reported as 78.2%. Furthermore, the reported overall score of HRQoL was 57.6 ± 17.9 . According to the adjusted models, poor sleep quality was negatively associated with the total HRQoL score (B = -14.5, P < 0.001). Shedding light on sleep duration and Physical Component Summary (PCS), the result indicated that insufficient sleep duration (<7 h) had a borderline negative association with PCS (B = -5.96, p = 0.049).

Conclusions: Sleep duration and quality have important effects on HRQoL in patients on hemodialysis. Therefore, in line with improving sleep quality and HRQoL among these patients, essential interventions should be planned and performed.

© 2023 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Health-related quality of life (HRQoL) is considered a public health priority, which deals with how individuals detect their physical and mental health status [1,2]. In this regard, HRQoL is generally assessed by various indicators of self-perceived health status, physical, and emotional functioning [3]. Reviewing the literature, researchers found that sociodemographic variables,

* Corresponding author. Noncommunicable Diseases Research Center, Neyshabur University of Medical Sciences, Janbazan Ave, Neyshabur, Iran. Tel.: +98 51 42632470; fax: +98 51 43348895.

E-mail address: aagholami80@yahoo.com (A. Gholami).

chronic illnesses, psychiatric and physical conditions, sleep quality, and sleep-related disorders may affect HRQoL levels [4,5].

Currently, chronic diseases have been supposed to be the most common physical dysfunctions that potentially aggravate patients' HRQoL by imposing a high economic burden and functional limitation [6]. Due to the fact that patients with renal disease have to receive treatments like hemodialysis when they encounter end-stage kidney failure, renal diseases have been turned into a worldwide public health problem. Research has shown that nearly 50–80% of patients on hemodialysis experience a lower level of HRQoL [1,7].

As a health epidemic issue, sleep disorders such as sleep deprivation, insomnia, short or long sleep duration, and poor sleep

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sleepx.2023.100064

2590-1427/© 2023 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

quality have turned into health problems and garnered the attention of world health agencies [8]. Evidences from previous studies have shown that both long and short-sleepers are prone to a higher risk of mortality and disability. Moreover, in comparing long and short-sleep duration with average sleep duration, research findings delineated that excessive or insufficient sleep duration may contribute to health disorders [9,10]. In spite of highlighting the aforementioned problems, getting adequate sleep seems to be vital for all body processes, keeping the mind and body healthy, and affecting the overall quality of life as well [6]. Quality of sleep refers to having enough energy to start a new day, and it is affected by some factors such as social, economic, lifestyle, and general health Relying on study findings, good sleep quality potentially enhances functional capacity and maintenance of kidneys hemostasis through keeping the amount of water balanced, whereas chronic insomnia may increase the risk of chronic kidney disease [11]. Similar to the general population, boosted stress, anxiety, and depression are associated with poor sleep quality in patients on hemodialysis. Casting much light, the adverse state of poor sleep quality negatively affects the immune response and can cause the introduction of cardiovascular diseases, which are the first cause of death in all patients with renal disease [12]. Therefore, concerning findings and evidences from recent studies, short or long sleep duration as well as poor sleep quality impact HRQoL [13]. Consistent with these explanations, well-being assists patients to perform their normal activities appropriately [14]. Highlighting this matter, clinical researchers demonstrated that measuring level of HRQoL can lead to identify poor perceived health and physical dysfunctions in individuals and thus help them in providing the required interventions and strategies to prevent more detrimental consequences [15]. Delineating the significance of HRQoL, we can assert thatunderstanding HRQoL and its contributing factors, including sleep disorders and ways to improve it, enables health providers to perform an appropriate examination on patients and access more acceptable and proper medical treatments and services. Given the above and the importance of HRQoL, this study set out to examine how sleep duration and sleep quality are associated with HRQoL in patients on hemodialysis in the context of Neyshabur, Iran.

2. Materials & methods

2.1. Participants

This cross-sectional study was conducted in 2021. The participants included all the patients on hemodialysis (n = 191) of the dialysis ward of 22 Bahman hospital and a private renal clinic in Neyshabur (Razavi Khorasan province, northeastern Iran). Among the 191 participants available to participate in the current study, 15 were not eligible to participate in the study. All the participants resided in the region and received dialysis for at least 6 months The study population was enrolled based on the access list in the two mentioned settings (the dialysis ward of Bahman 22 hospital and the private renal clinic). Prior to completing the qualified interviewer-administered survey questions, the participants were explained their rights and the purpose and procedures of the study. The ethical approval for this study was obtained from the Ethical committee of Neyshabur University of Medical Sciences (IR.NUMS.REC.1398.024).

2.2. Measurements

In order to collect data, Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI) questionnaire and a twelve-item Short-Form Health Survey (SF-12) along with a checklist were administrated.

2.3. Sleep duration and quality assessment

Given that the participants of this study were Iranian patients with renal disorders, the Persian version of the PSQI questionnaire validated by Farrahi Moghadam et al. was employed to check their sleep duration and quality over the past month (Cronbach's alpha = 0.77) [16]. This nineteen-item questionnaire adapted from Buysse consists of seven components of subjective sleep quality, sleep latency, sleep duration, sleep efficiency, sleep disturbances, use of sleep medications, and daytime dysfunction [17]. Each component was assigned a score ranging from 0 (no difficulty) to 3 (severe difficulty), and the total score ranged from 0 to 21 which was considered the global PSQI score. According to Chiu and Hsu, the quality of sleep is significantly lowered when the global PSQI scores are over 5 [18]. Another component of PSQI in the current study is sleep duration, which is classified into insufficient (<7 h), sufficient (\geq 7 to <9), and excessive (\geq 9 h) [19].

2.4. Health-related quality of life (HRQoL)

As one of the most widely used instruments, SF-12 was employed to measure the self-reported HRQoL. This questionnaire is a valid and reliable tool that encompasses all the dimensions related to HRQoL. SF-12 includes eight health dimensions namely physical functioning (PF), limitations related to role-playing due to physical problems (RP), bodily pain) BP), general health (GH), vitality (VT, (social functioning (SF), limitations due to role-emotional problems (RE), and mental health (MH), adapted based on a 36item Short-Form Health Survey (SF-36) [20]. The first four dimensions (PF, RP, BP, and GH) and the remaining dimensions (RE, VT, SF, and MH) are aggregated to assess the Physical Component Summary (PCS) and Mental Component Summary (MCS), respectively. The questionnaire enjoys parallelism and internal consistency. The reliability of the questionnaire and its subscales were calculated with Cronbach's alpha in the Iranian context (Cronbach's alpha for PCS and MCS were 0.89 and 0.90, respectively). The validity of the questionnaire for the Iranian sample was confirmed by Pakpour et al. [21]. To show the respondents' health status, the score ranged from zero (worst) to 100 (best) [22].

2.5. Covariates

Sociodemographic and clinical data included age (<54 vs \geq 54 years, the median value), gender (male vs female), marital status (single vs married), BMI (<25 vs \geq 25 kg/m²), family local residency (urban vs rural), education (<diploma vs \geq diploma), smoking status (no vs yes), chronic diseases (no vs yes), alcohol consumption (no vs yes), history of drug use (no vs yes), and wealth index (WI) (poorest, poor, moderate, rich and the richest) and were considered covariates in this study. Drug use included illegal drugs (such as opioid, hashish, marijuana and other illegal drugs that mentioned by participants). The data on WI were collected using easy-to-collect in terms of properties belonging to patients' families including telephone, mobile phone, fridge, microwave, personal computer, washing machine, bathroom, kitchen, toilet, car, motorcycle, house, and the number of rooms per capita as well as the infrastructure of the house [23,24].

2.6. Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using STATA, version 14. Descriptive statistics included frequencies, percentages, means, and standard deviations (SD). In line with assessing the relationship between patients' HRQoL and their characteristics, the univariate linear regression model was used. Having used the multiple linear regression model, the association of sleep duration and quality with HRQoL and its dimensions was established after adjusting for the studied covariates. The variance inflation factor (VIF) was used to check multicollinearity amongst the independent variables and all the VIF values were less than 3. The significant level for the statistical tests was set at P < 0.05.

3. Results

A total of 176 patients on hemodialysis were examined for the HRQoL level and its association with sleep duration and quality. All characteristics of the recruited patients in the study are presented in Table 1. The mean age of the participants was 51.6 ± 16.4 and 63.6% of the participants were male. Three-quarters (75.0%) were married and 53.9% reported a chronic disease history. Of the total number, 58.3% reported moderate to the richest level of WI, while the rest had poor or the poorest level of WI. The prevalence of poor sleep quality among the patients was 78.2%. It was established that most of the participants (55.1%) reported a sleep duration of less than 7 h; however, those who got an average of 7-9 h and greater than or equal to 9 h of sleep made up about 39.2% and 5.7% of the participants, respectively.

The overall score of HRQoL was reported in the range of 57.6 \pm 17.9. Table 2 shows the mean scores of HRQoL dimensions involved in the study population based on sex, age, marital status, education, family residency, smoking, chronic diseases history, BMI, history of drug use, alcohol consumption, WI, sleep duration, and sleep quality. Compared to other participants, those with no history of chronic disease had higher HRQoL scores. Concerning some subscales and components of HRQoL including PF, RE, MCS, and PCS (p < 0.05), results of the analysis indicated a significant difference between females and males. Besides, the patients with poorer sleep quality scores suffered lower scores of HRQoL. Interestingly,

Table 1

Descriptive	analysis	of the	variables.
-------------	----------	--------	------------

Variables	Number		Percent
Gender	Female	64	36.36
	Male	112	63.64
Age (years)	<54	88	50.00
	\geq 54	88	50.00
Marital Status	Single	44	25.0
	Married	132	75.0
BMI	<25	112	63.64
	≥ 25	64	36.36
Family local residency	Urban	124	70.45
	Rural	52	29.55
education	<diploma< td=""><td>126</td><td>71.59</td></diploma<>	126	71.59
	>=diploma	50	28.41
Smoking	No	149	84.66
-	Yes	27	15.34
Chronic disease	No	81	46.02
	Yes	95	53.9
History of drug use	No	156	88.64
	Yes	20	11.36
Alcohol consumption	No	164	93.18
-	Yes	12	6.82
WI	Poorest	35	20.23
	Poor	37	21.3
	Moderate	32	18.5
	Rich	35	20.2
	Richest	34	19.6
Sleep quality	Good	38	21.7
	Poor	137	78.2
Sleep Duration (hours)	<7	97	55.1
	\geq 7 to <9	69	39.2
	>9	10	5.68

Abbreviations: Body Mass Index (BMI), and Wealth Index (WI).

considering patients' education, BMI, family residency, smoking, history of drug use, WI, and sleep duration (p < 0.05), no significant differences were found between HRQoL scores. Finally, the analysis revealed that patients aged 54 and older had lower SF scores (p < 0.05). The second phase of the analysis included the results of multiple linear regression models which are presented in Table 3. According to the adjusted models for other variables, compared to good sleep quality, poor sleep quality was negatively associated with RP (B = -26.1, p < 001), RE (B = -19.8, p = 0.008), VT (B = -16.0, P = 0.009), MH (B = -13.0, P = 0.023), BP(B = -19.6 P = 0.001), MSC (B = -15.0, P = 0.001), PCS (B = -13.8, P = 0.001)P < 0.001), and total HRQoL score (B = -14.5, P < 0.001). In addition, in comparing the sufficient (7-<9 h) and insufficient (<7 h) sleep duration, insufficient sleep duration had a borderline negative association with PCS (B = -5.96, p = 0.049), though insufficient sleep duration was not associated with other subscales of HROoL and its total score (p > 0.05). At the final stage of statistical analysis, in comparing the long sleep duration (≥ 9 h) and sufficient sleep duration (7-<9 h), results of multiple linear regression models indicated that longer sleep duration was not associated with the subscales of HRQoL and its total score (p > 0.05).

4. Discussion

The primary purpose of the present study was to examine the association between both duration and quality of sleep and HROoL in patients on hemodialysis. Fulfilling the aim of the study, the participants were administrated two questionnaires (SF-12 and PSOI) as well as a checklist to report their sociodemographic characteristics. By analyzing the data, the study found several findings. A major finding of this study is that poor sleep quality is negatively associated with total HRQoL, its components, and most of the dimensions. Also, sleep duration had a borderline negative association with PCS. Complementary to the study findings, the findings showed that the mean score of HRQoL was 57.6; however, existing research findings have documented better total scores for HROoL [25,26]. Finding academic traces to confirm our findings, in a study conducted on adult patients dealing with type-2 diabetes, the total score of HRQoL was reported as 51.2 [27]. Likely, results from a study on 245 patients on hemodialysis in Iran indicated a total HRQoL score of 56.6, which is consistent with our study finding [6]. Contrary to the reported total score of HRQoL which was 57.6, there are studies in which the HRQoL scored lower [28,29]. These discrepancies for HRQoL can be attributed to the differences in the standard indicators of wealth, employment, the environment, physical and mental health, education, social belonging, religious beliefs, safety, and security.

Regarding the classification of sleep duration, a considerably higher portion of participants (55.1%) reported having short sleep duration (<7 h), which is consistent with the results of a study on New Zealanders that examined the association between short sleep duration and psychological well-being [30]. In a similar vein, our finding can be supported by previous research conducted on Chinese adults, which found the same percentages of sleep duration [31]. On contrary, our findings indicated a small percentage (5.6%) of longer sleepers (\geq 9 h) as compared to the percentage found in the study by Leger et al. [32].

To date, the association between the duration of sleep and HRQoL in patients on hemodialysis has been scarcely reported in the related literature. Referring to Table 3, this study didn't identify any associations between sleep duration with all dimensions and components of HRQoL except in PCS (p = 0.049). This differs considerably from previous studies, where a relationship was found between sleep duration and HRQoL [33,34]. A survey on Korean adults with Chronic Kidney Disease (CKD) suggested a strong

Table 2

The mean scores of quality of life subscales according to the characteristics of dialysis patients.

Total 45.1 24.7 52.2 7.5 58.2 7.2 58.8 68.2 6.5.6 49.6 57.6 Sex - - - - - - - - - - - 52.9 74.4 61.3 77.6 48.6 63.2 60.3 45.5 52.9 Male >2.6.0 0.72.2 52.9 74.4 61.3 77.6 64.7 71.0 68.7 51.9 60.3 Age - - - - - - - - 0.002 0.000 0.008 0.224 61.7 65.4 63.3 55.3 53.3 81.2 57.5 67.7 65.4 45.3 55.8 P-value 0.002 -0.010 0.325 0.202 0.202 0.202 0.202 0.202 0.202 0.202 0.202 0.202 0.202 0.202 0.202 0.202 0.202 0.202 0.202 0.202
Sex Sex <thsex< th=""> <thsex< th=""> <thsex< th=""></thsex<></thsex<></thsex<>
Female 32.0 20.5 51.1 78.6 52.7 76.5 48.6 6.3.2 60.3 45.5 52.9 Male 52.6 27.2 52.9 74.4 61.3 77.6 64.7 71.0 68.7 51.9 60.3 0.030 0.030 0.030 P-value 0.001 0.195 0.734 0.192 0.091 0.828 0.010 0.096 0.022 0.030 0.030 S49 pars 36.3 16.1 55.9 76.3 53.3 81.2 75.5 67.7 65.4 46.3 55.8 P-value 0.002 -0.001 0.1030 0.825 0.250 0.0168 0.658 0.827 0.51 Single 47.1 22.2 52.9 76.4 60.0 78.2 61.5 69.4 67.3 49.0 55.1 P-value 0.697 0.781 53.3 81.1 55.2 66.6 64.6 94.05 56.8 P-value
Mate 5.2b 2.72 5.29 7.44 61.3 7.7b 64.7 7.10 68.7 51.9 00.3 Age
P-value Agec.50010.1950.7340.1920.0910.8280.0100.0960.0220.0200.00300.008<5446.253.933.548.575.661.073.260.268.7565.852.953.3254 years36.316.155.976.355.381.257.567.765.446.355.8P-value0.002-0.0010.1300.8250.2500.1060.6580.8270.9200.0200.197Marital starusSingle47.122.252.274.552.874.450.864.760.751.558.1Married44.532.352.276.460.078.261.569.467.349.056.1P-value0.07810.7810.000.0000.2070.5070.5761.857.957.940.140.4950.3110.8010.0630.0120.0580.2660.4449.0556.8>=diploma5277.053.376.456.667.56872.268.351.1559.7P-value0.1440.4950.3310.6110.4090.7940.9020.8220.7200.2130.666Family residencyU0.3870.5610.57160.575.466.766.547.256.8P-value0.3870.2390.5610.6710.4090.794 <td< th=""></td<>
Age $\leq 54 years$ 53.933.548.575.661.073.260.268.7565.852.99.3 $\geq 54 years$ 36.316.155.976.355.381.257.567.765.446.355.8Pvalue0.002<0.0010.1300.8250.2500.1060.6580.8270.9200.0200.197Marital status52.274.552.874.450.864.760.751.558.1Pvalue0.6970.0781.0000.6000.2070.5070.1250.1080.43110.528education0.0781.0000.6000.2070.5070.1270.3750.1080.43110.528education0.0781.0600.65567.56872.268.351.1559.7Pvalue0.1440.4950.310.0100.0120.0880.2660.3470.5070.31Pvalue0.1440.4950.3375.0760.978.159.367.566.547.268.8<25241.720.850.375.0760.978.159.367.566.547.256.8Pvalue0.3870.2390.5610.6170.4990.7940.920.8220.7200.2130.666Family residency0.67167.575.460.5
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
Description Data
Marital status Conce
Single 47.1 22.2 52.2 74.5 52.8 74.4 50.8 64.7 60.7 51.5 58.1 Married 44.5 32.3 52.2 76.4 60.0 78.2 61.5 69.4 67.3 49.0 56.1 P-value 0.697 0.078 1.000 0.600 0.207 0.507 0.127 0.375 0.108 0.431 0.528 education
Married44.532.352.276.460.078.261.569.467.349.056.1P-value0.0780.0780.0070.02070.5070.1270.3750.1080.4310.528education </th
P-value0.6970.0781.0000.6000.2070.5070.1270.3750.1080.4310.528ediucation
editectionedition42.423.753.775.755.381.155.266.664.649.0556.8>=diploma27.548.576.665.567.568.772.268.351.1559.7P-value0.4440.4950.3310.8010.0630.0120.0580.2660.3470.5070.331BM
-diploma 224 237 337 75.7 55.3 81.1 52.2 66.6 64.6 49.05 56.8 >=diploma 52 27.5 48.5 76.6 65.5 67.5 68 72.2 68.3 51.15 59.7 P-value 0.449 0.495 0.331 0.801 0.063 0.012 0.058 0.266 0.347 0.507 0.507 0.507 BMI $$
>−diploma5227.548.576.665.567.56872.268.351.1559.7P-value0.4440.4950.3310.8010.0630.0120.0580.2660.3470.5070.331BMI
P-value 0.144 0.495 0.331 0.801 0.063 0.012 0.058 0.266 0.347 0.507 0.331 BMI
Mil< <225
<2.5
2.2.5 41.7 20.8 50.3 75.07 60.9 78.1 55.3 67.3 60.3 47.2 50.6 P-value 0.387 0.239 0.561 0.671 0.409 0.794 0.902 0.822 0.720 0.213 0.666 Family residency
Prank 6.3.0 6.3.0 6.3.01 6.3.01 6.3.02 6.3.0 6.3.0 6.3.0 6.3.0 6.3.0 6.3.0 6.3.0 6.3.0 6.3.0 6.3.0 6.3.0 6.3.0 6.3.0 <th< th=""></th<>
Urban 44.5 24.2 52.2 74.8 57.2 78.02 62.5 69.9 66.9 51.2 57.9 Rural 46.6 25.9 52.4 78.7 60.5 75.4 50.2 64.1 62.6 48.9 56.8 P-value 0.748 0.761 0.972 0.262 0.540 0.639 0.065 0.244 0.267 0.459 0.717 Smoking V V V V V V V V V V No 44.2 25.1 51.3 76.3 56.8 76.1 57.3 66.7 64.3 49.4 56.8 Yes 50 22.6 57.4 74.07 65.7 83.3 67.1 76.3 73.1 51.0 62.0 P-value 0.486 0.721 0.371 0.607 0.196 0.133 0.248 0.126 0.072 0.678 0.161 Chronic disease V V V V V V V V V V No
Rural46.625.952.478.760.575.450.264.162.648.956.8P-value0.7480.7610.9720.2620.5400.6390.0650.2440.2670.4590.717SmokingNo44.225.151.376.356.876.157.366.764.349.456.8Yes0.4860.7210.3710.60765.783.367.176.373.151.062.0P-value0.4860.7210.3710.6070.1960.1330.2480.1260.0720.6780.161Chronic diseaseNo58.635.455.273.963.277.762.673.169.255.862.5Yes33.615.649.777.753.976.855.664.062.644.353.4P-value<0.011<0.0230.0590.8500.2510.0640.0010.011 </th
P-value 0.748 0.761 0.972 0.262 0.540 0.639 0.065 0.244 0.267 0.459 0.717 Smoking -
SmokingNo44.225.151.376.356.876.157.366.764.349.456.8Yes5022.657.474.0765.783.367.176.373.151.062.0P-value0.4860.7210.3710.6070.1960.1330.2480.1260.0720.6780.161Chronic diseaseNo58.635.455.273.963.277.762.673.169.255.862.5Yes33.615.649.777.753.976.855.664.062.644.353.4P-value<0.001
No 44.2 25.1 51.3 76.3 56.8 76.1 57.3 66.7 64.3 49.4 56.8 Yes 50 22.6 57.4 74.07 65.7 83.3 67.1 76.3 73.1 51.0 62.0 P-value 0.486 0.721 0.371 0.607 0.196 0.133 0.248 0.126 0.072 0.678 0.161 Chronic disease
Yes 50 22.6 57.4 74.07 65.7 83.3 67.1 76.3 73.1 51.0 62.0 P-value 0.486 0.721 0.371 0.607 0.196 0.133 0.248 0.126 0.072 0.678 0.161 Chronic diseaseNo 58.6 35.4 55.2 73.9 63.2 77.7 62.6 73.1 69.2 55.8 62.5 Yes 33.6 15.6 49.7 77.7 53.9 76.8 55.6 64.0 62.6 44.3 53.4 P-value <0.001 0.261 0.233 0.059 0.850 0.251 0.045 0.064 <0.001 0.001 History of drug use $useuseuseuseuseuseuseuseuseuseNo44.52553.275.656.476.660.068.465.349.757.5Yes5023.14578.272.582.55066.867.949.058.5P-value0.5580.8120.2860.6040.0380.4490.2960.8280.6420.8880.814Alcohol consumptoruseuseuseuseuseuseuseuseuseuseNo68.728.154.170.864.575.559.370.067.7<$
P-value 0.486 0.721 0.371 0.607 0.196 0.133 0.248 0.126 0.072 0.678 0.161 Chronic disease
Chronic disease No 58.6 35.4 55.2 73.9 63.2 77.7 62.6 73.1 69.2 55.8 62.5 Yes 33.6 15.6 49.7 77.7 53.9 76.8 55.6 64.0 62.6 44.3 53.4 P-value <0.001
No 58.6 35.4 55.2 73.9 63.2 77.7 62.6 73.1 69.2 55.8 62.5 Yes 33.6 15.6 49.7 77.7 53.9 76.8 55.6 64.0 62.6 44.3 53.4 P-value <0.001 <0.001 0.261 <0.233 0.059 0.850 0.251 <0.064 <0.001 0.001 0.001 History of drug us
Yes 33.6 15.6 49.7 77.7 53.9 76.8 55.6 64.0 62.6 44.3 53.4 P-value <0.001 <0.001 0.261 0.233 0.059 0.850 0.251 0.064 <0.001 0.001 History of drug us 0.064 <0.001 0.001 No 44.5 25 53.2 75.6 56.4 76.6 60.0 68.4 65.3 49.7 57.5 Yes 50 23.1 45 78.2 72.5 82.5 50 66.8 67.9 49.0 58.5 P-value 0.558 0.812 0.286 0.604 0.038 0.449 0.296 0.828 0.642 0.888 0.814 Alcohol consumption 70.8 64.5 75 58.2 72.0 67.7 55.4 57.2
History of drug use No 44.5 25 53.2 75.6 56.4 76.6 60.0 68.4 65.3 49.7 57.5 Yes 50 23.1 45 78.2 72.5 82.5 50 66.8 67.9 49.0 58.5 P-value 0.558 0.812 0.286 0.604 0.038 0.449 0.296 0.828 0.642 0.888 0.814 Alcohol consumption No 68.7 28.1 54.1 70.8 64.5 75 58.2 72.0 67.7 55.4 57.2
No 44.5 25 53.2 75.6 56.4 76.6 60.0 68.4 65.3 49.7 57.5 Yes 50 23.1 45 78.2 72.5 82.5 50 66.8 67.9 49.0 58.5 P-value 0.558 0.812 0.286 0.604 0.038 0.449 0.296 0.828 0.642 0.888 0.814 Alcohol consumption No 68.7 29.1 54.1 70.8 64.5 75 59.2 72.0 67.7 55.4 57.2
Yes 50 23.1 45 78.2 72.5 82.5 50 66.8 67.9 49.0 58.5 P-value 0.558 0.812 0.286 0.604 0.038 0.449 0.296 0.828 0.642 0.888 0.814 Alcohol consumption 54.1 70.8 64.5 75 58.2 72.0 67.7 55.4 57.2
P-value 0.558 0.812 0.286 0.604 0.038 0.449 0.296 0.828 0.642 0.888 0.814 Alcohol consumption
Alcohol consumption
No. 69.7 29.1 54.1 70.9 64.5 75 59.2 72.0 67.7 55.4 57.2
10 08.7 20.1 34.1 70.8 04.3 73 38.3 72.3 07.7 33.4 37.3
Yes 43.4 24.5 52.1 76.3 57.7 77.4 58.9 67.9 65.5 49.2 61.5
P-value 0.030 0.718 0.834 0.376 0.487 0.804 0.961 0.578 0.755 0.268 0.431
WI Dependent 22.5 26.0 54.2 75.7 60 79.5 60.7 65.7 66.2 47.9 56.0
Profilest 35.5 20.0 34.2 75.7 00 78.5 00.7 05.7 05.2 47.8 50.9 Dear 201 22.6 50.6 90.0 55.4 77.0 52.7 62.5 61.0 49.6 55.2
Four 55.1 25.0 50.0 60.5 55.4 77.0 52.7 62.5 01.5 46.0 53.2 Moderate 47.6 17.5 52.3 76.0 54.6 82.0 49.2 68.3 63.5 48.4 55.9
Mich 514 228 557 742 585 764 642 775 691 510 601
Richest 55.8 32.7 48.5 71.4 64.7 74.2 68.0 68.3 68.8 52.1 60.4
P-value 0.104 0.442 0.901 0.41 0.730 0.906 0.272 0.302 0.629 0.853 0.646
Sleep quality
Good 57.8 48.3 65.7 73.02 71.7 84.2 75.9 78.2 77.5 61.2 69.4
Poor 41.9 18.4 48.9 76.7 54.1 75.1 54.5 65.6 62.3 46.6 54.4
P-value 0.025 <0.001 0.001 0.334 0.003 0.133 0.003 0.021 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001
Sleep duration
41.4 21.0 48.7 76.2 50.4 78.0 57.8 66.1 64.6 47.02 55.8 7.7 to 0.6 51.4 27.1 56.1 75.7 60.8 77.5 61.5 70.2 50.2 60.2
2/10 < 311 = 31.4 = 27.1 = 30.1 = 7.7 = 0.08 = 77.5 = 0.1.3 = 70.2 = 0.7.5 = 52.9 = 0.2.2 = 52.9 = 57.5 = 50.5 = 57.5 = 50.5 = 57.5 = 57.5 = 50.5 = 57.5 = 57.5 = 50.5 = 57.5 = 57.5 = 50.5 = 57.5 =
P-value 0.21 0.222 0.901 0.977 0.691 0.620 0.651 0.518 0.664 0.118 0.301

Abbreviations: Health-related quality of life (HRQoL), Physical functioning (PF), Role limitations due to physical problems (RP), Bodily pain (BP), General health (GH), Vitality (VT), Social functioning (SF), Role limitations due to emotional problems (RE), Mental health (MH), Physical Component Summary (PCS), Mental Component Summary (MCS), Body Mass Index (BMI), and Wealth Index (WI).

adverse association between long sleep duration and HRQoL [35]. The results of a study on predialysis CKD showed that short and long sleepers suffered lower HRQoL compared to individuals with a 7-h sleep duration [10]. To the best of our knowledge, as relatively few studies have been conducted to examine the potential association(s)between sleep duration and HRQoL among patients on hemodialysis, there is a lack of enough reliable statistics about the rate of sleep duration among this group of patients.

Concerning the PSQI score (>5), the results demonstrated that the total prevalence of poor sleep quality among the patients

undertaking dialysis was 78.2%. Similar results are found in a study by Parvan et al. suggesting that the prevalence of poor sleep quality in patients undertaking hemodialysis was higher (83.3%) compared to our study.

[6]. Comparable to our findings, a recent study reported that 70% of patients on hemodialysis suffered from poor sleep quality [36]; also poor sleep quality was a stronger predictor of poor HRQoL than seep duration. Simply put, according to the results of the presents study, it seems plausible that the higher rate of poor sleep quality can in turn lead to severe impairment of HRQoL [37]. Along with

Λ.			a of alaam		l	dermation			1. 1		ma a d a l
471	insteri	retationsnit	1 OF SIPPH	(IIIAIIIV)	ana	annanon	nsmo	11111111111	le line,	IF FROTRESSIAN	morier
iu	astea	relationsing	J OI JICCP	quanty	unu	adduction	using	manup	ic mice	II ICGICODIOII	mouci.

HRQoL dimensions	Variables		Beta	SE	Т	P-Value	R ²
PF	Poor Sleep quality		-13.22	6.86	-1.92	0.056	0.21
	Sleep duration	<7 h	-9.01	5.99	-1.51	0.134	0.21
	-	$\geq 9 h$	-22.61	13.16	-1.72	0.088	
RP	Poor Sleep quality		-26.10	5.64	-4.62	<0.001	0.26
	Sleep duration	<7 h	-6.23	5.21	-1.20	0.23	0.17
	•	≥9 h	-1.50	11.45	-0.13	0.896	
RE	Poor Sleep quality		-19.77	7.40	-2.67	0.008	0.15
	Sleep duration	<7 h	-6.01	6.56	-0.92	0.361	0.12
	-	≥9 h	-21.72	14.43	-1.51	0.134	
VT	Poor Sleep quality		-15.99	6.06	-2.64	0.009	0.14
	Sleep duration	<7 h	-4.52	5.39	-0.84	0.402	0.11
	•	≥9 h	-4.16	11.85	-0.35	0.726	
МН	Poor Sleep quality		-13.00	5.66	-2.30	0.023	0.12
	Sleep duration	<7 h	-4.05	4.99	-0.81	0.419	0.10
	-	$\geq 9 h$	4.32	10.98	0.39	0.694	
SF	Poor Sleep quality		-11.37	6.26	-1.82	0.071	0.08
	Sleep duration	<7 h	-1.62	5.49	-0.30	0.76	0.06
	•	≥9 h	-9.33	12.08	-0.77	0.441	
BP	Poor Sleep quality		-19.60	6.03	-3.25	0.001	0.13
	Sleep duration	<7 h	-7.78	5.41	-1.44	0.152	0.09
	-	≥9 h	4.24	11.89	0.36	0.722	
GH	Poor Sleep quality		3.41	4.01	0.85	0.396	0.07
	Sleep duration	<7 h	0.28	3.51	0.08	0.936	0.06
	-	$\geq 9 h$	1.57	7.68	0.20	0.838	
MCS	Poor Sleep quality		-15.03	4.33	-3.47	0.001	0.15
	Sleep duration	<7 h	-4.05	3.89	-1.04	0.300	0.09
	•	≥9 h	7.72	8.56	-0.90	0.368	
PCS	Poor Sleep quality		-13.81	3.28	-4.20	<0.001	0.22
	Sleep duration	<7 h	-5.96	3.00	-1.98	0.049	0.16
	•	≥9 h	-4.69	6.57	-0.71	0.476	
Total HRQoL	Poor Sleep quality	—	-14.45	3.17	-4.56	< 0.001	0.21
-	Sleep duration	<7 h	-4.96	2.92	-1.70	0.092	0.12
	•	$\geq 9 h$	-6.19	6.40	-0.97	0.335	

Abbreviations: Health-related quality of life (HRQoL), Physical functioning (PF), Role limitations due to physical problems (RP), Bodily pain (BP), General health (GH), Vitality (VT), Social functioning (SF), Role limitations due to emotional problems (RE), Mental health (MH), Physical Component Summary (PCS), and Mental Component Summary (MCS).

those reported in the literature, this finding suggested that the prevalence of poor sleep quality and its association with lower HRQoL in patients on hemodialysis was considerable, and those with poor sleep quality were more likely to have lower MCS and PCS scores than those with good sleep quality as well [38].

The results closely matched those obtained by Parvan et al., in which the researchers reported that the presence of poor sleep quality in patients on hemodialysis could degrade the HRQoL and subsequently result in higher mortality [6]. This was explained by Edalat-Nejad (2013) who maintained that HRQoL level, particularly mental dimension decreases as poor sleep quality increases [39]. As statistical results of a survey among 135 patients have shown that probably due to renal treatments, patients experienced poor quality of sleep [40]. The results agreed by and large with those reported by Abdalla et al., this investigation on sleep quality in elders reflected that poor sleep quality remained associated significantly with lower HRQoL [41].

By investigating the sleep quality association with mental and physical health, Carpi found that university students experienced higher HRQoL when they have better sleep quality [42]. Taken together, our examination confirmed that people with poor sleep quality had relatively 15 scores of HRQoL lower than individuals with good sleep quality. Notwithstanding the fact that the methods and measurements concerning sleep quality and HRQoL vary, the conclusions drawn from the analyses of the results were similar. The findings provided conclusive supports to suggest that quality of sleep may be an important contributor to the low HRQoL whilst sleep duration probably can't be an important factor for HRQoL among patients on hemodialysis.

5. Limitations and strengths

As with all such studies, there are limitations that offer opportunities for further research. First and foremost, the data were provided from the self-reports of sleep-related phenomena and HROoL. Sleep quality and duration were assessed most accurately by polysomnography. Nonetheless, we selected a sleep quality measure that has been validated and compared with polysomnography and is widely used in studies in this domain. Second, our study was a cross-sectional study instead of a controlled study or prospective one that can be extracted causative findings. Third, measuring sleep duration is not easy, as discontent between subjective and objective and intraindividual variability of sleep duration has been reported. Fourth, the study population of this study is relatively low, which can affect the obtained results such as the association between sleep duration and HRQoL. Another limitation of the present study is the subjectivity of the Pittsburgh questionnaire. Nevertheless, it should be emphasized that our study enjoys some strengths, by using a multiple linear regression model, we could consider various confounding factors and up to our knowledge few studies that simultaneously examine the duration of sleep, sleep quality, and HRQoL related to patients on hemodialysis.

6. Conclusion

In summary, the results of this study have indicated that there is an inverse association between sleep quality and HRQoL; meaning that, deteriorating sleep quality decreases patients' HRQoL level. The discussion so far has focused on a borderline negative association between sleep duration and HRQoL; thus, it seems necessary to design and implement the essential interventions by the involved officials and healthcare providers to improve sleep quality, sleep duration, and HRQoL in patients on hemodialysis.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Minasadat Hosseini: Writing – Data curation. Ali Gholami: Writing – original draft, Formal analysis, Data curation. Maryam Nasrabadi: Writing – original draft. Ensiyeh Mollanoroozy: Writing – original draft. Fatemeh Khani: Data curation. Zahra Mohammadi: Data curation. Faeze Barzanoni: Data curation. Asieh Amini: Writing – original draft.

Acknowledgments and funding source

We gratefully acknowledge the patients on hemodialysis in this study. We would like to extend our thanks to the Student Research Committee at Neyshabur University of Medical Sciences for its valuable support. This study was funded by Neyshabur University of Medical Sciences.

References

- Bakewell AB, Higgins RM, Edmunds ME. Quality of life in peritoneal dialysis patients: decline over time and association with clinical outcomes. Kidney Int 2002;61(1):239–48.
- [2] Moriarty DG, Zack MM, Kobau R. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's Healthy Days Measures - population tracking of perceived physical and mental health over time. Health Qual Life Outcome 2003;1:37.
- [3] Yin S, Njai R, Barker L, Siegel PZ, Liao Y. Summarizing health-related quality of life (HRQOL): development and testing of a one-factor model. Popul Health Metrics 2016;14:22.
- [4] Megari K. Quality of life in chronic disease patients. Health Psychol Res 2013;1(3):e27.
- [5] Eryilmaz MM, Ozdemir C, Yurtman F, Cilli A, Karaman T. Quality of sleep and quality of life in renal transplantation patients. Transplant Proc 2005;37(5): 2072–6.
- [6] Parvan K, Lakdizaji S, Roshangar F, Mostofi M. Quality of sleep and its relationship to quality of life in hemodialysis patients. J Caring Sci 2013;2(4): 295–304.
- [7] Hand C. Measuring health-related quality of life in adults with chronic conditions in primary care settings: critical review of concepts and 3 tools. Can Fam Physician 2016;62(7):e375–83.
- [8] Cheungpasitporn W, Thongprayoon C, Gonzalez-Suarez ML, Srivali N, Ungprasert P, Kittanamongkolchai W, Caples SM, Erickson SB. The effects of short sleep duration on proteinuria and chronic kidney disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2017;32(6):991–6.
- [9] Hale L, Troxel W, Buysse DJ, Sleep Health. An opportunity for public health to address health equity. Annu Rev Publ Health 2020;41:81–99.
- [10] Sung SA, Hyun YY, Lee KB, Park HC, Chung W, Kim YH, Kim YS, Park SK, Oh KH, Ahn C. Sleep duration and health-related quality of life in predialysis CKD. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 2018;13(6):858–65.
- [11] Lu JL, Freire AX, Molnar MZ, Kalantar-Zadeh K, Kovesdy CP. Association of chronic insomnia with mortality and adverse renal outcomes. Mayo Clin Proc 2018;93(11):1563-70.
- [12] Tang SC, Lai KN. Tired but can't sleep. Perit Dial Int 2007;27(6):647-50.
- [13] Karatas A, Canakci E, Turkmen E. Comparison of sleep quality and quality of life indexes with sociodemographic characteristics in patients with chronic kidney disease. Niger J Clin Pract 2018;21(11):1461–7.
- [14] Sayin A, Mutluay R, Sindel S. Quality of life in hemodialysis, peritoneal dialysis, and transplantation patients. Transplant Proc 2007;39(10):3047–53.
- [15] Hennessy CH, Moriarty DG, Zack MM, Scherr PA, Brackbill R. Measuring health-related quality of life for public health surveillance. Publ Health Rep 1994;109(5):665–72.
- [16] Farrahi Moghaddam J, Nakhaee N, Sheibani V, Garrusi B, Amirkafi A. Reliability and validity of the Persian version of the Pittsburgh sleep quality index (PSQI-P). Sleep Breath 2012;16(1):79–82.
- [17] Buysse DJ, Reynolds 3rd CF, Monk TH, Berman SR, Kupfer DJ. The Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index: a new instrument for psychiatric practice and research. Psychiatr Res 1989;28(2):193–213.
- [18] Chiu N-Y, Hsu W-Y. Sleep disturbances in methadone maintenance treatment (MMT) patients. In: Neuropathology of drug addictions and substance misuse.

Elsevier; 2016. p. 608-15.

- [19] Hirshkowitz M, Whiton K, Albert SM, Alessi C, Bruni O, DonCarlos L, Hazen N, Herman J, Katz ES, Kheirandish-Gozal L, Neubauer DN, O'Donnell AE, Ohayon M, Peever J, Rawding R, Sachdeva RC, Setters B, Vitiello MV, Ware JC, Adams Hillard PJ. National Sleep Foundation's sleep time duration recommendations: methodology and results summary. Sleep Health 2015;1(1): 40-3.
- [20] Ware Jr JE. SF-36 health survey update. Spine 2000;25(24):3130-9.
- [21] Pakpour AH, Nourozi S, Molsted S, Harrison AP, Nourozi K, Fridlund B. Validity and reliability of short form-12 questionnaire in Iranian hemodialysis patients. Iran J Kidney Dis 2011;5(3):175–81.
- [22] Montazeri A, Vahdaninia M, Mousavi S, Omidvari S. The Iranian version of 12item Short Form Health Survey (SF-12): factor structure, internal consistency and construct validity. BMC pub health 2009;9(1):1–10.
- [23] Rutstein SO. The DHS wealth index: approaches for rural and urban areas. Calverton, Maryland, USA: Macro International; 2008.
- [24] Gholami A, Rezaei S, Jahromi LM, Baradaran HR, Ghanbari A, Djalalinia S, et al. Is salt intake reduction a universal intervention for both normotensive and hypertensive people: a case from Iran STEPS survey 2016. N. Eur J Nutr 2020;59(7):3149–61.
- [25] Fagbohun AO, Orimadegun AE, Yaria JO, Falade AG. Obesity affects healthrelated quality of life in schools functioning among adolescents in southwest of Nigeria. Niger J Clin Pract 2021;24(7):1015–21.
- [26] Jalali-Farahani S, Shojaei FA, Parvin P, Amiri P. Comparison of health-related quality of life (HRQoL) among healthy, obese and chronically ill Iranian children. BMC Publ Health 2018;18(1):1337.
- [27] Gebremedhin T, Workicho A, Angaw DA. Health-related quality of life and its associated factors among adult patients with type II diabetes attending Mizan Tepi University Teaching Hospital, Southwest Ethiopia. BMJ Open Diabetes Res Care 2019;7(1):e000577.
- [28] Kim S, Nigatu Y, Araya T, Assefa Z, Dereje N. Health related quality of life (HRQOL) of patients with End Stage Kidney Disease (ESKD) on hemodialysis in Addis Ababa. Ethiopia: a cross-sectional study. BMC Nephrol 2021;22(1):280.
- Addis Ababa, Ethiopia: a cross-sectional study. BMC Nephrol 2021;22(1):280.
 [29] Wassef O, El-Gendy M, El-Anwar R, El-Taher S, Hani B. Assessment of health-related quality of life of hemodialysis patients in Benha City, Qalyubia Governorate. Menoufia Med J 2018;31(4):1414–21.
- [30] Lee CH, Sibley CG. Sleep duration and psychological well-being among New Zealanders. Sleep Health 2019;5(6):606–14.
- [31] Ren Y, Liu Y, Meng T, Liu W, Qiao Y, Gu Y, Li Y, Liu Y, Yu Y, Cheng Y. Socialbiological influences on sleep duration among adult residents of Northeastern China. Health Qual Life Outcome 2019;17(1):47.
- [32] Leger D, Richard JB, Collin O, Sauvet F, Faraut B. Napping and weekend catchup sleep do not fully compensate for high rates of sleep debt and short sleep at a population level (in a representative nationwide sample of 12,637 adults). Sleep Med 2020;74:278–88.
- [33] Dai H, Mei Z, An A, Wu J. Association between sleep problems and healthrelated quality of life in Canadian adults with chronic diseases. Sleep Med 2019;61:26–30.
- [34] Han M, Williams S, Mendoza M, Ye X, Zhang H, Calice-Silva V, Thijssen S, Kotanko P, Meyring-Wösten A. Quantifying physical activity levels and sleep in hemodialysis patients using a commercially available activity tracker. Blood Purif 2016;41(1–3):194–204.
- [35] Lee HJ, Kwak N, Kim YC, Choi SM, Lee J, Park YS, Lee CH, Lee SM, Yoo CG, Cho J. Impact of sleep duration on mortality and quality of life in chronic kidney disease: results from the 2007-2015 KNHANES. Am J Nephrol 2021;52(5): 396–403.
- [36] Hashem R, Abdo T, Sarhan I, Mansour A. Sleep pattern in a group of patients undergoing hemodialysis compared to control. Mid East Cur Psychiatry 2022;29(1):1–8.
- [37] Iliescu EA, Coo H, McMurray MH, Meers CL, Quinn MM, Singer MA, Hopman WM. Quality of sleep and health-related quality of life in haemodialysis patients. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2003;18(1):126–32.
- [38] Shen Q, Huang X, Luo Z, Xu X, Zhao X, He Q. Sleep quality, daytime sleepiness and health-related quality-of-life in maintenance haemodialysis patients. J Int Med Res 2016;44(3):698–709.
- [39] Edalat-Nejad M. Quality of life and sleep in hemodialysis patients. Saudi Journal of Kidney Diseases Transplantation: an Official Publication of the Saudi Center for Organ Transplantation 2014;25(4):884–5. Saudi Arabia.
- [40] Tel H. Determining quality of life and sleep in hemodialysis patients. Dial Transplant 2009;38(6):210–5.
- [41] Abdalla PP, Neto ESQ, de Souza Lage ACS, Gomes S, de Freitas M, Pedro-Costa S, Machado DRL, Oliveira J, Mota J, Bohn L. Sleep quality and quality of life among older adults during COVID-19 pandemic: a cross-sectional study. Curr Aging Sci 2022;15(2):186–96.
- [42] Carpi M, Cianfarani C, Vestri A. Sleep quality and its associations with physical and mental health-related quality of life among university students: a crosssectional study. Int J Environ Res Publ Health 2022;19(5):2874.