RESEARCH ARTICLE



Open Access

MetaboHunter: an automatic approach for identification of metabolites from ¹H-NMR spectra of complex mixtures

Dan Tulpan^{1,4*}, Serge Léger¹, Luc Belliveau¹, Adrian Culf^{2,3} and Miroslava Čuperlović-Culf^{1,3}

Abstract

Background: One-dimensional ¹H-NMR spectroscopy is widely used for high-throughput characterization of metabolites in complex biological mixtures. However, the accurate identification of individual compounds is still a challenging task, particularly in spectral regions with higher peak densities. The need for automatic tools to facilitate and further improve the accuracy of such tasks, while using increasingly larger reference spectral libraries becomes a priority of current metabolomics research.

Results: We introduce a web server application, called MetaboHunter, which can be used for automatic assignment of ¹H-NMR spectra of metabolites. MetaboHunter provides methods for automatic metabolite identification based on spectra or peak lists with three different search methods and with possibility for peak drift in a user defined spectral range. The assignment is performed using as reference libraries manually curated data from two major publicly available databases of NMR metabolite standard measurements (HMDB and MMCD). Tests using a variety of synthetic and experimental spectra of single and multi metabolite mixtures show that MetaboHunter is able to identify, in average, more than 80% of detectable metabolites from spectra of synthetic mixtures and more than 50% from spectra corresponding to experimental mixtures. This work also suggests that better scoring functions improve by more than 30% the performance of MetaboHunter's metabolite identification methods.

Conclusions: MetaboHunter is a freely accessible, easy to use and user friendly ¹H-NMR-based web server application that provides efficient data input and pre-processing, flexible parameter settings, fast and automatic metabolite fingerprinting and results visualization via intuitive plotting and compound peak hit maps. Compared to other published and freely accessible metabolomics tools, MetaboHunter implements three efficient methods to search for metabolites in manually curated data from two reference libraries.

Availability: http://www.nrcbioinformatics.ca/metabohunter/

Background

High throughput metabolic profiling has been performed for over 40 years [1] on tissue extracts and biofluids. However, due to recent analytical and computational advances, metabolomics, as is now known, is an increasingly popular approach for monitoring multi-parametric responses in complex biological systems with applications ranging from the analysis of unicellular samples all the way to the analysis of

* Correspondence: dan.tulpan@nrc-cnrc.gc.ca

¹Institute for Information Technology, National Research Council of Canada, Moncton, New Brunswick, E1A 7R1, Canada

complex systems such as plants and mammals. By definition, metabolomics is a comprehensive qualitative and quantitative study of small molecules composition of organisms [2]. NMR spectroscopy is one of the most widely used methods for analytical measurement of metabolic profiles in systems particularly because of its reliability, reproducibility, speed and low cost [3,4].

One of the major challenges in NMR analysis of metabolic profiles is the automatic metabolite assignment from spectra. Current approaches include manual assignment based on user experience and the assignment based on binning, curve-fitting and direct comparison of 1D and 2D NMR measurements [5-7] with and



© 2011 Tulpan et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Bio Med Central Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

without reference library support. Although the two approaches have their merit, the manual assignment is highly biased towards user knowledge and expectations and 2D methods can be time consuming and yet still insufficient for direct assignment [7]. At the same time, unlike the classical NMR applications in molecular structure identification, in metabolomic applications, molecular structures of common metabolites are already known and thus assignment of spectra can be done by direct comparison with reference libraries, when these become available.

Various approaches were described in previous publications, including: (i) binning approaches [8,9] where a spectrum is typically divided into equally or variable sized bins and the intensities in each bin are qualified and quantified via integration techniques; (ii) curve fitting without reference library support, where de-convoluting highly overlapped linearly mixed individual metabolite spectra is achieved via various methodologies ranging from Bayesian decompositions [10,11] and least squares-based non-negative matrix factorization [12] to shape fitting techniques [13-17]; (iii) curve fitting with reference library support, where least squares strategies [18-20], Bayesian model selection [21], and genetic algorithms [22,23] are employed, and (iv) direct comparison methods that calculate the overlap of known peaks with peaks from query spectra [22]. More comprehensive descriptions of methods and practical aspects of applied metabolomics are described in a number of recent publications [24-27].

Two large collections of ¹H-NMR spectra of known metabolites are already available as part of the Human Metabolome Database - HMDB [28] and Madison Metabolomics Consortium Database - MMCD [29]. Thus, for experiments performed under similar conditions as the ones used for creating the reference library, a necessary step for automatic assignment is the development of an efficient bioinformatic tool for comparison, identification and assignment directly from the spectra.

In this paper we introduce MetaboHunter - an efficient automatic metabolite identification approach that provides three distinct methods and two reference libraries wrapped up in an intuitive, flexible and user friendly web server application. The three methods include: (i) a novel scoring function that outperforms the simpler peaks match percentages employed by other tools such as HMDB NMR Search [28] and MetaboMiner [6], (ii) an iterative greedy selective approach that minimizes the number of false positives at the expense of slightly increasing the number of false negatives and, (iii) selection approaches with a user adjustable chemical peak drift parameter that allows the identification of mixture metabolites in spectra obtained under slightly different conditions than the ones used for the measurement of reference library compounds. In addition to what other metabolite selection strategies have to offer, our approach includes a simple and intuitive graphical user interface, two manually curated reference libraries of metabolite spectra, a better metabolite scoring function and a set of three selection strategies that, in average, outperform existing methods provided by other publicly available metabolite identification software.

Results and Discussion System Architecture

MetaboHunter is a web-server application for identification of metabolites in ¹H-NMR spectra of complex mixtures based on two manually curated reference libraries. The individual spectra of metabolites from the reference libraries and the additional metabolite information are stored in plain text files and are also indexed based on their peak locations and original IDs for faster access. The user interaction is performed via an intuitive and user-friendly graphical interface powered by PHP and JavaScript routines. MetaboHunter takes as input a full spectrum or a list of peaks and their corresponding measured amplitudes, which can be either provided as text files or pasted directly into a text box. Once the input is provided, a session is created for each user and the index files are uploaded. The full spectrum input files are pre-screened based on the noise threshold using custom-built Perl scripts and one of the three metabolite selection methods are executed. All three methods are also implemented in Perl. The output consisting of a list of potential metabolite matches is presented to the user and further functionality is provided to visualize, compare and download the results. More details regarding the pre-screening process, the metabolite selection methods and the reference libraries are presented in the Methods Section.

MetaboHunter Testing

Different NMR spectra, combinations of molecular spectra as well as spectra of a chemical mixture were used for testing the methods provided as part of MetaboHunter. Validation was performed in all cases by analyzing results of searches using complete, not binned spectra as well as externally determined peak lists. Peaks from FID files were determined using MNova software by Mestrelab Research http://www.mestrec.com. The Human Metabolomics Database (HMDB) contains different information about many metabolites including their NMR chemical shifts and these were used for the majority of tests presented here. In the test examples shown below we have included metabolites with large and small number of spectral peaks where some peaks were non-unique. Results of the search for spectra of several individual metabolites (SYN1 - SYN4) are shown in Additional file 1, Table 1S. For the analysis of MetaboHunter's ability to assign metabolites from the spectra of mixtures we have combined free induction decay (FID) measurements of 13 metabolites provided by HMDB into one NMR spectrum. Assuming that there are no chemical interactions between molecules, the NMR spectrum of a mixture is a direct sum of spectra of the components. For this test we have selected 13 water soluble, i.e. hydrophilic metabolites that were consistently observed in standard 1D ¹H-NMR of human cell lines [30-34]. The results of assignments for this spectrum are presented in Additional file 1, Table 2S. Finally, MetaboHunters's assignment power was investigated against the NMR experimental measurements of a spiked-in urine sample (EXP1) measured on a 500 MHz Bruker Avance NMR spectrometer and a mixture of 5 metabolites (EXP2) performed in house on a 270 MHz JEOL JNM-GSX Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectrometer (Mount Allison University). The peak databases used by MetaboHunter include measurements from 400 MHz, 500 MHz and 600 MHz instruments. Thus validation with spectra from the 500 MHz and the 270 MHz shows the possibility of using methods provided under MetaboHunter platform for assignment of data coming from different NMR field strength measurements. The results of this analysis are shown in Additional file 1, Tables 3S and 5S. The results reported in Additional file 1, Table 5S show prediction accuracy for the spiked metabolites and also include a list of 10 additional metabolites, such as Creatinine, Glycine and Lysine, commonly found in urine [35], that were correctly identified with our methods.

Analysis of metabolite recovery for MH1_HMDB, MH1_MMCD, MH3_HMDB and MH3_MMCD from simulated mixtures of randomly selected library metabolites

A test of the metabolite fingerprinting engine employed in MetaboHunter for the analysis of NMR peak information was performed first by automatic search of peak list mixtures for n metabolites, where n = 1:10. Each result was averaged over 100 runs, each run consisting of randomly selecting and mixing the peaks for n metabolites from HMDB and MMCD. This controlled approach can evaluate the ability of the MH1 and MH3 methods to efficiently recover randomly selected metabolites from the supporting HMDB and MMCD libraries present in various mixtures.

The accuracy of this search, *i.e.* its ability to return metabolites present in the mixtures, is automatically determined from investigating whether the metabolites are included: a) in the complete list and b) in the top n listed metabolites (Figures 1 and 2). When the complete

lists of proposed assignments are analyzed, 100% (MH1_HMDB) and respectively 98% (MH3_HMDB) of metabolites (n = 1) in the single component mixture are found, while for mixtures with more than one metabolite (n > 1, Figures 1 and 2) the decrease in the average percentage of correctly identified metabolites is insignificant for MH1_HMDB (98.8% for n = 10) but becomes more drastic for MH3 HMDB (76.7% for n = 10). The same effect is observed for MH1_MMCD and MH3_MMCD. The lower percentage obtained with MH3_HMDB for increasingly large numbers of metabolites in mixtures is caused by the high overlaps in shared peaks among library metabolites and the mechanism for selecting metabolites with disjoint peaks adopted in MH3 (details in Methods). The same analysis reveals that HMDB NMR Search is able to identify only 35% of the metabolites for n = 1 and only 26% for n = 10.

If only the top *n* scored assignments are included, we observe a minor decrease in accuracy of MH1 and MH3 with the increase of the number of metabolites in the mixture. In this case, over 98% of the time (MH1_HMDB and MH3_HMDB), the top metabolite corresponds to the peak list for n = 1 and the accuracy decreases to 75.3% (MH1_HMDB) and 77.9% (MH3_HMDB) for mixtures of n = 10 metabolites. For the same type of analysis and the same benchmark data, HMDB NMR Search is able to retrieve 18% of the metabolites for n = 1 and only 5.4% when n = 10.

The effects of spectral noise originated from partial peak information and chemical shift variations on metabolite identification

We estimated the performance of the proposed methods using data from both spectral libraries under two types of noise - missing peaks (MH1, MH3) and chemically shifted peaks (MH1, MH2, MH3). Following the methodology described by Xia et al. [6], we simulated missing peaks by removing from each spectral compound uniformly at random between 0% and 50% of the peaks. The chemical shift variations were simulated by adding random values of \pm 0.01, \pm 0.02, \pm 0.03, \pm 0.04 and \pm 0.05 ppm to each ¹H chemical shift. As a first step towards the evaluation of our methods, we applied an exhaustive approach where peaks for each individual metabolite from both reference libraries (HMDB, MMCD) were subject to noise adjustments as described above. We repeated the process 5 times per metabolite and reported the average value. When peaks were removed at random from each metabolite (Figure 3), on average, no major loss of performance is observed (performance is around 95%) for up to 20% of peaks removed. The percentage of correctly identified metabolites decreases with removing more peaks, down to approximately 60% assignment accuracy when half of



average percentages of correctly matched metabolites over 100 runs using MH1 and MH3, when only top n matches were selected, where n = 1:10. The "HMDB NMR Search ALL" and "HMDB NMR Search TOPN" curves represent average percentages of correctly matched metabolites over 100 runs using the HMDB NMR Search option available online. These curves are not present in the MMCD plot since metabolites have different names and the database does not have a 100% overlap with HMDB.





the peaks are removed from each metabolite spectrum. We also notice that both, MH1 and MH3 methods using HMDB and MMCD reference libraries fare equally well in this case.

Nevertheless, the performance of MH1 and MH3 methods is clearly affected by noise originated from chemical shift variations (Figure 4). For a chemical shift variation of \pm 0.01 ppm, MH1 with HMDB and MMCD reference libraries suffers a performance drop from above 90% (no shift) to below 40% (34% for MH1_HMDB, 16% for MH1_MMCD). When the chemical shift variation increases up to \pm 0.05 ppm, the performance of MH1 (HMDB and MMCD) drops below 5% (1% and respectively 2%). A similar but not so dramatic drop in performance is also noticed for the MH3 method with HMDB and MMCD reference libraries. For a \pm 0.05 ppm chemical shift variation, MH3's performance drops down to 6% (HMDB) and respectively 14% (MMCD).

Figure 4 shows that a large proportion of the performance loss due to chemical shift changes could be recovered if MH2 method is used instead of MH1. Assuming that the chemical shift variation parameter approximates well the true chemical shift change, MH2 recovers the performance lost by MH1 on average up to 30.2% of the time when HMDB is used as reference library and up to 41% when MMCD is the reference library.

As a second evaluation step, we applied the random pooling technique described in [6], where we generated synthetic mixtures by pooling uniformly at random 50 metabolites from each of the two metabolite reference libraries (HMDB and MMCD). After injecting chemical noise in each metabolite spectrum via chemical shift alterations and random peaks removal, the mixtures were searched against the reference libraries using MH1, MH2 and MH3 and a successful match is recorded if the mixture metabolite was reported within the first 50 results produced by each method. Here, our reporting procedure is more stringent and differs from [6], since they adopted a threshold based approach (75%) for their perfect match method, which in turn does not set a limit on the total number of reported matches. The process was repeated 50 times for each chemical shift variation and peak removal percentage and the average value was reported (Figures 5 and 6). Since the MH2 method was proposed to alleviate the insufficiencies of MH1 caused by chemical shift variations, MH2 was only applied to data that suffered chemical shift modifications.

Figure 5 depicts the same performance decreasing trend of the proposed methods with the increase of the percentage of removed peaks, as it was observed when single metabolites with noisy peak values were searched against reference libraries. In contrast with the results obtained by Xia *et al.* [6], whose percentage match







method using complex and more complete 2D spectral information exhibited a sharp decrease in performance (70%), when the percentage of removed peaks varies from 0% to 50%, we notice an average performance decrease of less than 20% for both MH1 and MH3 methods regardless of the reference library being used. As clearly shown in Figure 5, the performance decrease is less stringent for MH1 and MH3 using HMDB spectral data; although the initial performance (35% for 0% peaks removal) is 25% lower compared to the performance when using MMCD spectral data (64% for 0% peaks removal).

Similarly as for the case of single metabolite identification against reference libraries, the noise introduced by chemical shift variations affects the performance of the proposed methods more. Figure 6 shows that 47% performance loss (from 63% to 16%) is recorded for MH1_MMCD, while only 20% performance loss (from 28% to 8%) was recorded for MH3_HMDB. The best performing method overall is MH2_MMCD, which improves the performance of MH1_MMCD with up to 10% (for \pm 0.01 chemical shift variation). When compared to the results obtained with MetaboMiner [6], our percentage match - based method, MH1, seems to be affected earlier by smaller chemical shift changes (\pm 0.01 ppm). Nevertheless its performance does not deteriorate almost at all when larger deviations are applied on the peak locations. This is in stark contrast to the PM method implemented in MetaboMiner, which loses only 20% in performance for deviations in chemical shift of \pm 0.02 ppm, but drops by more than 70% down to 10% performance for chemical shift changes of \pm 0.04 ppm and \pm 0.05 ppm.

Compared to the performance of our methods when single metabolite spectra (noise adjusted) are searched against reference libraries, the relatively large size (50 metabolites) of the mixture combined with the large size of the reference spectral libraries, adds complexity and difficulty to the metabolite identification problem.

The selection of optimal cut-offs

When a mixture with an unknown number of metabolites is analyzed, the selection of an optimal cut-off point for the metabolite list that will balance specificity and sensitivity and optimize accuracy is important. Here we use Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curves to shed light on cut-offs that seem reasonable for both, synthetic and experimental data.

Each cut-off corresponds to a point on a ROC curve. The ROC curve has the sensitivity plotted vertically and the reversed scale of the specificity on the horizontal axis. The scale of the horizontal axis is also called the false positive rate. The sensitivity and specificity, and therefore the performance of the system, vary with the cut-off. When comparing ROC curves of different methods, good curves lie closer to the top left corner with the diagonal line representing the random solution.

When synthetic data sets containing mixtures of 13 metabolites are considered (SYN5_s, SYN5_f, SYN5_p), the highest accuracies (0.99) are obtained with MH1_HMDB (see Table 1 and Additional file 2, Figures 1S and 2S) with different cut-offs ranging from 8 to 13. The second best results were obtained with MH3_HMDB, while the metabolite identification method employed by HMDB NMR Search ranks third (0.98). When the cut-off is set to be equal with the number of metabolites in the mixture (13), the same method (MH1_HMDB) marginally outperforms the other four (see Additional file 1, Table 6S).

For experimental data sets EXP1 and EXP2, HMDB NMR Search, MH1_HMDB and MH3_HMDB perform equally well with accuracies above 0.98, although in this case, the optimal cut-off is uniformly low (cut-off = 1) except for MH1_MMCD (cut-off = 2).

The AUC values for synthetic data sets (Table 2) are highest for the MH1_HMDB method (between 0.83 and 0.89), and they decrease to 0.51 and 0.48 for HMDB NMR Search and MH3_MMCD. The AUC values for experimental data sets drop dramatically below 0.5 (see Additional file 2, Figures 3S and 4S), given that the number of false positives is much higher due to unknown exact metabolite content (EXP1) and partially due to measurement of spectra using NMR spectrometers operating at much lower frequencies (270 MHz for EXP2) and thus lower resolution than the instruments used to acquire reference metabolite spectra (400-600 MHz).

Metabolite identification and results ranking

The practical utility of a metabolite identification method ultimately resides in its ability to identify as many metabolites as possible in a given mixture with as many valid matches as possible ranked closer to the top of the results list. In an ideal world, we would like to see the first 13 matches for data set SYN5_s as being the exact 13 metabolites from the mixture.

Here we investigate and compare the ability of the proposed methods (Tables 3 and 4) to find highly ranked correctly identified metabolites using two metrics, namely the percentage of correctly identified metabolites in top 100 results and the average rank of the identified metabolites. The first metric will quantify the solution while the second will qualify each result.

For experimental mixture EXP1, the highest percentage of metabolites out of top 100 was obtained with MH1_HMDB with an average rank of 58.5, while the best average rank (12.50) was obtained with MH3_MMCD. For this data set, the HMDB NMR Search identified only 25% (1 out of 4) of the metabolites with an average rank of 91. The NMR search tools employed by BMRB [36] and MMCD also identify up to 2 metabolites with lower average ranks compared to HMDB NMR Search.

For experimental mixture EXP2, the highest percentage of correctly identified metabolites (100%) was obtained with two methods, namely MH1_MMCD and MH2_HMDB with a chemical shift variation of \pm 0.01 ppm, the latter having the lowest average ranking (18.00). Nevertheless, the best two average rankings were obtained with MH3_MMCD (2.00) and MH3_HMDB (5.67). HMDB NMR Search identified 4 out of 5 (80%) metabolites with an average ranking of 15.5, while the search tools of BMRB and MMCD identified only 1 out of 5 metabolites.

For synthetic mixtures (SYN5_s, SYN5_f, SYN5_p), the MH1_HMDB method consistently outperforms all the other methods, with match percentages of above 92% and average ranking below 13. For the same data sets, the HMDB NMR Search method correctly identifies up to 11 out of 13 metabolites (84.62%) for 2 out of three data sets with the best ranking of 21.17. For the

Table 1	Optimal	cut-offs	corresponding	to maximal	accuracies (in	parentheses)	for all 5 methods.
---------	---------	----------	---------------	------------	----------------	--------------	--------------------

				-	
Data set	MH1_HMDB	MH1_MMCD	MH3_HMDB	MH3_MMCD	HMDB NMR Search
SYN5_s	8	4	8	1	1
	(0.994)	(0.971)	(0.992)	(0.969)	(0.985)
SYN5_f	9	1	5	1	1
	(0.995)	(0.973)	(0.991)	(0.973)	(0.985)
SYN5_p	13	14	7	7	1
	(0.998)	(0.984)	(0.993)	(0.982)	(0.987)
EXP1	1	1	1	1	1
	(0.984)	(0.969)	(0.984)	(0.969)	(0.985)
EXP2	1	2	1	1	1
	(0.986)	(0.973)	(0.987)	(0.973)	(0.985)

Data sets SYN5_s, SYN5_f and SYN5_p are synthetic mixtures and EXP1 and EXP2 are experimental mixtures. Each table cell contains the optimal K cut-off value (top K) and the corresponding maximal accuracy value (in parentheses).

Data set	MH1_HMDB	MH1_MMCD	MH3_HMDB	MH3_MMCD	HMDB NMR Search
SYN5_s	0.835	0.636	0.653	0.484	0.516
SYN5_f	0.839	0.689	0.701	0.551	0
SYN5_p	0.890	0.849	0.663	0.589	0.739
EXP1	0.065	0.054	0.067	0.137	0.007
EXP2	0.170	0.171	0.221	0.153	0.264

Table 2 Area Under Curves (AUCs) for all 5 methods.

Data sets SYN5_s, SYN5_f and SYN5_p are synthetic mixtures and EXP1 and EXP2 are experimental mixtures. Each table cell contains the Area Under Curve (AUC) value calculated using the trapezoid rule [37].

third data set (SYN5_f), the same method is not able to identify any of the 13 metabolites, while the other methods seem to perform relatively well. When spectra of single metabolites are queried against the reference libraries (see Additional file 1, Table 1S), all of our methods clearly outperform HMDB NMR Search, BMRB, MMCD and Chenomx Profiler, both in terms of percentage of correctly identified metabolites and average ranking.

Overall, we notice that MH3_HMDB and MH3_MMCD produce the lowest average rankings regardless of the data set, at the expense of increasing the number of false negatives, while MH1_HMDB and MH1_MMCD typically identify a higher percentage of metabolites, but with slightly lower rankings.

The effect of scoring functions on metabolite identification

Our modified scoring function (Equation 1) for metabolite identification was developed to insure that metabolites with larger numbers of peaks that have identical percentages of matched peaks as those with smaller number of peaks, should score higher. We prove that our novel scoring function was valid by performing an exhaustive search of single metabolites queried against the corresponding reference libraries (HMDB and MMCD). Figure 7 shows that MetaboHunter's metabolite identification accuracy averages 95% when the new scoring function (f2) is used, compared to 59% when the simpler percentage-based function used in HMDB NMR Search and other NMR metabolite fingerprinting tools is used.

Conclusions

MetaboHunter provides an excellent tool for spectral assignment from ¹H-NMR data with a novel and efficient scoring function and several different search methods. In the outlined examples MetaboHunter's search methods found metabolites included in the spectra with good accuracy. In many cases metabolites in question

Table 3 Metabolite identification and results ranking for experimental mixtures.

Method	Sample	Total # metabolites	# Correctly identified (top 100)	%	AVG_Rank
MH1_HMDB	EXP1	4	2	50.00	58.50
MH2_HMDB (0.01 ppm)	EXP1	4	0	0.00	100.00
MH3_HMDB	EXP1	4	1	25.00	14.00
MH1_MMCD	EXP1	5	2	40.00	65.50
MH2_MMCD	EXP1	5	2	40.00	82.00
MH3_MMCD	EXP1	5	2	40.00	12.50
HMDB NMR Search	EXP1	4	1	25.00	91.00
BMRB	EXP1	5	1	20.00	26.00
MMCD	EXP1	5	2	40.00	20.50
MH1_HMDB	EXP2	5	3	60.00	27.33
MH2_HMDB (0.01 ppm)	EXP2	5	5	100.00	18.00
MH3_HMDB	EXP2	5	3	60.00	5.67
MH1_MMCD	EXP2	3	3	100.00	27.00
MH2_MMCD	EXP2	3	2	66.67	40.50
MH3_MMCD	EXP2	3	2	66.67	2.00
HMDB NMR Search	EXP2	5	4	80.00	15.50
BMRB	EXP2	5	1	20.00	22.00
MMCD	EXP2	5	1	20.00	54.00

BMRB and MMCD results were obtained using the following parameter settings: MMCD (method: NMR_based Search, H_tol = 0.05 ppm, Results to be shown: 100, Sample condition: D2O pD7.4 (273)), BMRB (method: NMR Peaks Query, Database to search: 1 H, H Range: 0.005).

Method	Sample	Total # metabolites	# Correctly identified (top 100)	%	AVG Rank
MH1_HMDB	SYN5_s	13	12	92.31	10.92
MH2_HMDB (0.01 ppm)	SYN5_s	13	12	92.31	34.50
MH3_HMDB	SYN5_s	13	9	69.23	7.00
MH1_MMCD	SYN5_s	13	11	84.62	26.09
MH2_MMCD	SYN5_s	13	10	76.92	18.20
MH3_MMCD	SYN5_s	13	7	53.85	11.43
HMDB NMR Search	SYN5_s	13	11	84.62	40.09
BMRB	SYN5_s	13	3	23.08	50.00
MMCD	SYN5_s	13	6	46.15	64.50
MH1_HMDB	SYN5_f	13	12	92.31	10.50
MH2_HMDB (0.01 ppm)	SYN5_f	13	12	92.31	34.25
MH3_HMDB	SYN5_f	13	10	76.92	10.20
MH1_MMCD	SYN5_f	13	11	84.62	19.82
MH2_MMCD	SYN5_f	13	11	84.62	28.64
MH3_MMCD	SYN5_f	13	8	61.54	11.88
HMDB NMR Search	SYN5_f	13	0	0.00	100.00
BMRB	SYN5_f	13	5	38.46	54.00
MMCD	SYN5_f	13	7	53.85	54.71
MH1_HMDB	SYN5_p	13	13	100.00	12.31
MH2_HMDB (0.01 ppm)	SYN5_p	13	13	100.00	19.31
MH3_HMDB	SYN5_p	13	9	69.23	5.67
MH1_MMCD	SYN5_p	13	12	92.31	9.42
MH2_MMCD	SYN5_p	13	12	92.31	20.08
MH3_MMCD	SYN5_p	13	8	61.54	5.75
HMDB NMR Search	SYN5_p	13	11	84.62	21.17
BMRB	SYN5_p	13	10	76.92	36.40
MMCD	SYN5_p	13	4	30.77	56.75

Table 4 Metabolite identification and results ranking for synthetic mixtures.

BMRB and MMCD results were obtained using the following parameter settings: MMCD (method: NMR_based Search, H_tol = 0.05 ppm, Results to be shown: 100, Sample condition: D20 pD7.4 (273)), BMRB (method: NMR Peaks Query, Database to search: 1 H, H Range: 0.005).

were obtained with the top, highest score, in the list, however, in some cases they were still listed but with lower scores.

Due to the large peak overlaps between different metabolites and the relatively large number of spectra present in the reference libraries, this can be expected and can only be dealt with either by doing multidimensional NMR or by utilizing a spectrum modelling from a more accurate and more focused metabolite database.

We expect that the accuracy of our methods will increase once metabolite spectral libraries obtained with higher resolution spectrometers (≥ 800 MHz) will become available. Such spectra typically contain more detailed peak resolutions, thus reducing the peak overlaps and increasing the ability of selection methods to choose among potential metabolite candidates present in a mixture.

We also acknowledge that, while MetaboHunter typically provides high quality results for synthetic and experimental input spectra of ¹H-NMR metabolite mixtures with up to 13 components, more testing using spectra of mixtures with more components are necessary.

Availability and Requirements

Project name: MetaboHunter

Project home page: http://www.nrcbioinformatics.ca/ metabohunter

Application type: web server (browser independent)

Methods

Data collection, curation and pre-processing

The reference libraries information used in this study was collected from two publicly available resources, namely 876 metabolite peak lists (experimental) from the Human Metabolome Database, version 2.5 [28], and 448 metabolite peak lists from Madison Metabolomics Consortium Database [29]. The data was curated and re-formatted. The curation process consisted of manually adjusting the formatting inconsistencies (mostly found in the NMR Peaklist HMDB data files), that were further automatically processed and summarized into indexed tables for fast



access. Specific metabolite data extracted from HMDB *metabocard* files was also re-organized and indexed to further facilitate its usage on the web server.

The data has been re-formatted as follows: (i) a set of peaks (ppm and height pairs) has been re-formatted with a 0.01 ppm precision and assigned to each metabolite. These pieces of information are subsequently used for metabolite identification and, (ii) each metabolite has been assigned a source of provenience (HMDB, MMCD), a type (drug, food additive, mammalian, microbial, plant, synthetic/industrial chemical), the pH of the sample in which it was measured (3.00 - 10.00), the solvent (water, CDCl3, CD3OD, 5% DMSO), and the frequency of the NMR machine (400 MHz, 500 MHz, 600 MHz).

Figures 8, 9, 10 and 11 show the frequencies of metabolites from HMDB and MMCD data that share the same peak coordinates and the distribution of the number of peaks for all metabolites. The number of metabolites sharing the same peak coordinate is much higher for HMDB reference metabolites than for the ones in MMCD, while the number of metabolites in HMDB is roughly only about two times higher than MMCD.

Datasets

The datasets used in this study consist of both, synthetic and experimental data. The synthetic data can be categorized as: ¹H-NMR spectra (_s), list of peaks extracted externally (with MNova) from spectra (_f) and mixtures

of peaks obtained directly from HMDB peak lists (_p). The following **synthetic data sets** are considered:

Single metabolites

- SYN1_s: Acetylcholine HMDB spectrum,
- **SYN1_f**: Acetylcholine HMDB spectral peaks,
- SYN1_p: Acetylcholine HMDB database peaks,
- SYN2 s: 17a-Estradiol HMDB spectrum,
- **SYN2 f**: 17a-Estradiol HMDB spectral peaks,
- SYN2_p: 17a-Estradiol HMDB database peaks,
- SYN3_s: Cholesterol HMDB spectrum,
- SYN3_f: Cholesterol HMDB spectral peaks,
- SYN3_p: Cholesterol HMDB database peaks,
- SYN4_s: D-Glucose HMDB spectrum,
- SYN4_f: D-Glucose HMDB spectral peaks,
- SYN4_p: D-Glucose HMDB database peaks.

Multiple metabolites

- SYN5_s: Mixture of 13 metabolites spectrum,
- **SYN5_f**: Mixture of FIDs for 13 metabolites from HMDB spectral peaks,
- SYN5_p: Mixture of 13 metabolites HMDB peaks.

The 13 metabolites included in SYN5 are: Choline, Glutathione, L-Alanine, L-Glutamic Acid, L-Glutamine, L-Leucine, L-Valine, L-Asparagine, L-Isoleucine, L-Lactic acid, L-Proline, Succinic acid and Taurine.

The experimental data includes:



- **EXP1**: ¹H-NMR spectrum of a spiked-in urine sample from a healthy individual obtained from Zheng *et al.* [21]. The spectrum was measured on a 500 MHz Bruker Avance NMR spectrometer. The

five spiked-in metabolites in this sample are: Taurine, Hippuric acid, Nicotinate, Malic acid and Oxoglutaric acid. The remaining metabolites in the mixture are not known.





- **EXP2**: An experimental mixture of 5 metabolites measured on a 270 MHz Jeol spectrometer at 25°C. Mixture was prepared under N_2 atmosphere, in 99.8% D_2O with reference substance (3-

(trimethylsilyl)propionic-2,2,3,3-d4 acid, sodium salt, 98% atom% D, TMSP, at 0.6 mM). The five metabolites included in this sample are: Creatine, D-Glucose, Citric acid, Phosphocholine and Accetylcholine.



Peak identification and noise removal

The user input for MetaboHunter consists of a complete spectrum in ASCII format structured on two columns, first one representing the spectral point position (ppm) and the second column representing the corresponding intensity of the signal. Based on a user-provided threshold for noise level, i.e. the minimum intensity level above which peaks should be considered, the data is pre-processed so that data points with intensity below the threshold are removed and the peaks are automatically identified (see Figure 12).

Exact and variable peaks matching

In MetaboHunter, a metabolite is considered to be present if at least one of its peaks matches a peak in the test sample and the computed significance score is greater than a user-set threshold (default is 0.5).

While the significance score proposed in [6] computes the ratio between the number of matched peaks and the total number of peaks for each metabolite, here we propose a metabolite significance score that is able to differentiate between matches of metabolites with low number of peaks as opposed to those with greater number of peaks. The significance score is computed as the ratio between: (i) the number of matched metabolite peaks and (ii) one plus the total number of metabolite peaks (Equation 1). For example, one metabolite with 2 out of 4 peaks matching a sample gets a score of 0.4, while another metabolite with 5 out of 10 peaks matching the same sample will get a higher score of 0.45, thus being ranked higher.

Equation 1. A novel scoring function used by all three methods implemented in MetaboHunter.

significance score =
$$\frac{\text{total number of matched peaks}}{1 + \text{total number of peaks}}$$

Exact matches for metabolite peak locations can be considered when chemical shifts of metabolites in the sample are assumed to be the same as in the reference database. Thus we provide an implementation of this approach based on the significance score described above. Methods MH2 and MH3 allow variations in chemical shifts and take as input a user-set parameter τ representing the maximal range allowed. Each metabolite peak is matched against all sample peaks *p* that fall within the interval $[p-\tau, p+\tau]$ and the corresponding significance score is calculated and reported.

Metabolite fingerprinting methods

For the detection of metabolites using ¹H-NMR spectra of sample mixtures, we use three methods.

The first method (**MH1**) relies on exact matching of peaks from the mixture with reference metabolite spectrum peaks collected and carefully curated from two publicly available databases (HMDB and MMCD). The method starts by computing and sorting initial scores using Equation 1 based on peak matches between the



test spectrum and all the library spectra. Next, the matched metabolites are then screened based on the desired user features, such as metabolite type, sample pH, solvent, and NMR frequency and only those with scores larger than the desired confidence threshold are displayed. The method is more accurate if the spectral measurements of the mixture and of the metabolites in the database are performed under the same NMR and sample conditions, otherwise, peak position changes can lead to false metabolite identifications.

Pseudo-code for MH1

Input: A spectrum (or set of peaks) *S* of a mixture of metabolites $M = \{m_1, m_2, ..., m_n\}$, a library *L* with *k* metabolites $\{l_1, l_2, ..., l_k\}$, a noise threshold η , a ranking threshold *r*, and a set of metabolite features *F*.

Output: A set of metabolites predicted to be in the mixture.

Method:

1. Pre-process *S*: remove all peaks in *S*, whose amplitudes are below η .

2. **for** i = 1:k

3. Compute significance score S_i for each library metabolite l_i without horizontal peak drift

4. Output metabolite l_i if $S_i \ge r$ and l_i features obey *F*.

5. end for

To partially alleviate this inconvenience, a second method (MH2) was proposed that allows inexact one dimensional match of peak chemical shifts (measured in parts per million - ppm) via horizontal peak drifts by a not constrained user-defined margin (e.g. a small chemical shift variation of \pm 0.005 ppm or no variation at all). This feature offers more flexibility than HMDB NMR Search [28] and MetaboMiner [6] towards counter-acting the effects of measurement and pre-processing variability introduced by factors such as: different instruments, pH values and solvents. In this case, the number of matches typically increases with increased tolerance level around a given peak location, thus increasing also the number of false positives. The main difference in functionality between MH2 and MH1 resides in an adjusted matching criterion for computing the scores obtained with Equation 1. Two peaks are considered to match if their shift position is within the given "shift tolerance" parameter value, and thus the scores obtained with MH2 are typically higher than those computed with MH1.

Pseudo-code for MH2

Input: A spectrum (or set of peaks) *S* of a mixture of metabolites $M = \{m_1, m_2, ..., m_n\}$, a library *L* with *k* metabolites $\{l_1, l_2, ..., l_k\}$, a noise threshold η , a ranking threshold *r*, a user-set peak drift margin δ , and a set of metabolite features *F*.

Method:

mixture

1. Pre-process S: remove all peaks in S, whose amplitudes are below η .

2. for i = 1:k

3. Compute significance score S_i for each library metabolite l_i with δ horizontal peak drift

4. Output metabolite l_i if $S_i \ge r$ and l_i features obey *F*.

5. end for

The third method (MH3) consists of a greedy selection approach that enforces mutual exclusion of peaks via an iterative coordinate removal for each selected peak. In the same fashion as for MH1, MH3 starts by computing and sorting initial scores using Equation 1 based on peak matches between the test spectrum and all the library spectra. Next, it proceeds in an iterative manner by selecting the library metabolite with the highest score and highest number of peaks (in case of a tie) and then removing the corresponding peaks for the selected metabolite from the remaining pool of unassigned peaks. The method stops when no spectral peaks remain to be assigned. This approach favours the early selection of metabolites with higher scores and higher number of peaks, thus decreasing the number of false positives (through iterative removal of remaining peak coordinates) at the cost of increasing the number of false negatives. False negatives typically happen in this method for metabolites in the mixture with a high degree of overlapping peak coordinates. MH3 also allows a user-defined shift tolerance around peak locations (not evaluated here and thus experimental). We also note that MH3 could be further improved so that to better mimic the processing of human experts, if after iteratively removing the corresponding spectrum of one metabolite, the remaining spectrum will be further adjusted to take into account the effect of the removed components on the mixture spectrum. Nevertheless, supporting information that could help with this step is not available at this time.

Pseudo-code for MH3

Input: A spectrum (or set of peaks) *S* of a mixture of metabolites $M = \{m_1, m_2, ..., m_n\}$, a library *L* with *k* metabolites $\{l_1, l_2, ..., l_k\}$, a noise threshold η , a ranking threshold *r*, a user-set peak drift margin δ , and a set of metabolite features *F*.

Output: A set of metabolites predicted to be in the mixture.

Method:

1. Pre-process S: remove all peaks in S, whose amplitudes are below η .

2. **for** i = 1:k

3. Compute significance score S_i for each library metabolite l_i with δ horizontal peak drift

4. end for

5. Sort metabolites in reverse order based on their significance scores

6. while (number of peaks in $S \neq 0$)

7. **for** i = 1:k

8. Select metabolite l_i with highest significance score with/without δ horizontal peak drift

9. Output metabolite l_i if $S_i \ge r$ and l_i features obey *F*.

10. Remove all peaks from *S* corresponding to metabolite l_i

11. end for

12. Re-sort metabolites in reverse order based on their updated significance scores

13. end while

Given the two reference libraries used as support for the proposed methods, we append the suffixes "_HMDB" and "_MMCD" to the corresponding method names.

Results evaluation

Similarly to the methodology described in [6], we perform our analysis by defining the confusion matrix (Figure 13), which includes:

-**True Positives (TP)**: The number of metabolites correctly identified as being present in the mixture.

-**True Negatives (TN)**: The number of metabolites correctly identified as not being present in the mixture. This includes the total number of metabolites in the library minus TP, FP and FN.

-False Positives (FP): The number of metabolites incorrectly identified as being part of the mixture.

-False Negatives (FN): The number of metabolites being present in the mixture but unidentified by the method.

Each of the measures defined above requires a cut-off threshold defined as the first N metabolites predicted to be in the mixture. The size of the metabolite libraries, upon which the TN is defined, is 876 for our HMDB library, 448 for our MMCD library and 916 for the online HMDB NMR Search DB. The following statistics are used for performance evaluation of the peak identification methods proposed in this article:

Specificity :
$$\frac{TN}{TN + FP}$$

Sensitivity : $\frac{TP}{TP + FN}$
Accuracy : $\frac{TP + TN}{TP + TN + FP + FN}$

Unless otherwise specified, all results obtained with MetaboHunter and reported in this article were obtained using water as solvent and zero shift tolerance.

Run times and computational settings

The absolute CPU time for each method was measured on a PC with one 2.8 GHz Intel Pentium 4 CPU, 512 KB cache and 1 GB RAM running Mandriva Linux release 2010.2 (kernel 2.6.33.7). The obtained run time values for MH3_HMDB range from 2.1 seconds for input peak list files with 5 metabolites to 7 seconds for files with 100 metabolites, while for MH1_HMDB the run times range from 3.4 seconds to 5.7 seconds for the same input files. The run time estimates were averaged over 10 runs for each method and for each input peak list file. When MMCD is used as reference library, the average run times decrease in average with 3.5 seconds for input files with peak lists corresponding to mixtures of 100 metabolites. The decrease in run time is caused by the reduced size of the MMCD reference library (448 spectra) compared to HMDB (867 spectra).

Throughout the paper we used default settings for all publicly available software, such as HMDB NMR Search, BMRB, and Chenomx Profiler, when not specified otherwise. In the case of the free evaluation copy of Chenomx Profiler, we could only test it on data sets where FID files were accessible (SYN1-SYN4), since the software does not accept lists of peaks as input.

Web user interface

MetaboHunter was implemented in Perl and its graphical user interface was developed in PHP, thus being browser independent. There are 4 functional views in MetaboHunter: (i) a Processing View, (ii) a Search Results View, (iii) a Plot View and, (iv) a Peaks Hit Map



view. When the user accesses MetaboHunter web site, the Processing View (Figure 14) is the default view. The user can provide its input as a 2 column full spectrum or list of peaks, which can be uploaded as a text file or be copied and pasted in a text box.

MetaboHunter has a comprehensive output for identified metabolites in input spectra or lists of peaks. The output is depicted in the Search Results View (Figure 15), which includes a ranked list of metabolite IDs, names and taxonomic origin, direct links to their descriptive original web pages, scoring information, and links to spectral plots and peaks hit map visualization of selected results. The results displayed in the Search Results View can be downloaded as text.

The Plot and Peaks Hit Map Views depicted in Figures 16 and 17 permit users to visualize the overlap between selected peaks corresponding to specific metabolites and the input data, as well as, the shared and disjoint peak locations for selected metabolites in the output. The plots are automatically generated using the

nal Research Co 🔇 Microsoft Exchange	🚼 Google 🔇 benasque.aragob.es: 🛛 Vahoo! Mail 🔇 Metabolite Finder - Luc 🔇 CSPS - Ir	formation M
etaboHunter - identify individua	Il metabolites in ¹ H-NMR spectra of complex mixtures	
ome About Contact		
Input type	Peaks	()
Input file (2 columns text)	Choose File No file chosen Sample file [.txt]	(?)
Peaks list (2 columns text)		3
Database	Human Metabolome Database (HMDB)	(?)
Type of metabolite	All	(?)
Sample pH	All	(?)
Solvent	All	(?)
NMR Frequency	All	()
Matching method	MH1: Highest number of matched peaks	(?)
Noise threshold	0	()
Confidence threshold (score %)	0.5	()
Shift tolerance (ppms)	0	()
Find matches Sample run		

drop-down selection lists for input type, reference library (database), metabolite type, pH, solvent, NMR frequency, matching method, noise threshold, confidence threshold and shift tolerance.

	ch Co (Microsoft Excha	nge 🚼 Google 🔘 benaso	ue.aragob.es: 😢! Yahoo! Mail	Netabolite Finder - Luc	🔇 CSPS - I	nformation M	
letabol	Hunter	- identify in	dividual metabolites in '	H-NMR spectra of comple	ex mixtures			
lome	About	Contact						
Output	for inp	out file: HM	DB00001_HMDB00002	2_HMDB00005_HMDB00	0008_peaks.txt			
Rank	Plot	ID	Metabolite name	Matching peaks score	Taxonomic origin	pН	Solvent	NMR Freq
1	Пн	MDB00008	2-Hydroxybutyric acid	0.94 (15/15)	Mammalian	7.02	Water	600
2	Пн	MDB00001	1-Methylhistidine	0.93 (14/14)	Mammalian	7.02	Water	600
3	Пн	MDB00002	1,3-Diaminopropane	0.90 (9/9)	Mammalian	7.02	Water	600
4	н	MDB00005	2-Ketobutyric acid	0.88 (7/7)	Mammalian	7.02	Water	600
Plot selec	ted snect	ra Plot neak	s hit man Download table	of hits (txt)				
		Co	pyright (C) Knowledge Discover	y Group. Disclaimer - <i>Page</i> /	ast modified on Mar 28, 20	11 at 3:38 P.	м.	



Co. O Microsoft Exchan	ne - 💦 Google 🔘 benasque arac	robjes: 🛛 🖓 Xabool Mail 🧖 Me	tabolite Finder - Luc 🖉 CSPS - Inform	nation M
incom Windoord Excitation	ge 🍯 doogle 🕥 benasquenarag			
2 04 000 04 06				
lunter - identify ind	ividual metabolites in ¹ H-NM	AR spectra of complex mi	xtures	
bout Contact				
		- 1 0		
		Peaks-hit map		
	Sample peaks (ppm) HMDE	300001 HMDB00002 HMDB00	005 HMDB00008	
	0.87			
	0.89			
	1.06			
	1.00			
	1.07			
	1.62			
	1.64			
	1.65			
	1.66			
	1.71			
	1.72			
	1.73			
	1.74			
	1.75			
	2.04			
	2.05			
	2.06			
	2.07			
	2.08			
	2.09			
	2.74			
	2.75			
	2.77			
	2.78			
	3.04			
	3.07			
	3.09			
	3.10			
	3.11			
	3.12			
	3.14			
	3.15			
	3.17			
	3.18			
	3.68			
	3.95			
	3.96			
Con	yright (C) Knowledge Discovery Group	p. Disclaimer - Page last m	odified on Mar 28, 2011 at 3:38 PM	

Highcharts interactive JavaScript library and can be exported as PDF files.

Additional material

Additional file 1: Supplemental information. The file contains tables with detailed performance results for all experimental (EXP1, EXP2) and synthetic data sets (SYN1 - SYN5).

Additional file 2: Supplemental information. The file contains additional pictures representing ROC curves and accuracy variability depending on result list cut-offs for experimental data sets EXP1 and EXP2 and for synthetic data sets SYN5_s, SYN5_f and SYN5_p.

Acknowledgements and Funding

We thank our colleagues from the Knowledge Discovery Group for valuable feedback, editors and anonymous reviewers for excellent comments. We thank Chenomx for providing us with a free evaluation copy of their software.

Funding

The work was supported by the National Research Council of Canada.

Author details

¹Institute for Information Technology, National Research Council of Canada, Moncton, New Brunswick, E1A 7R1, Canada. ²Atlantic Cancer Research Institute, Moncton, New Brunswick, E1C 8X3, Canada. ³Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Mount Allison University, Sackville, New Brunswick, E4L 1G8, Canada. ⁴Department of Biology, Université de Moncton, New Brunswick, E1A 3E9, Canada.

Authors' contributions

MCC and DT conceived, designed and coordinated the study and helped to draft the manuscript. SL, LB and DT participated in the programming of the application and methods. AC and MCC performed the experimental measurements. MCC and DT participated in the evaluation of the methods. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Received: 22 June 2011 Accepted: 14 October 2011 Published: 14 October 2011

References

- Pauling L, Robinson AB, Teranishi R, Cary P: Quantitative analysis of urine vapor and breath by gas-liquid partition chromatography. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1971, 68(10):2374-2376.
- Oliver SG, Winson MK, Kell DB, Baganz F: Systematic functional analysis of the yeast genome. *Trends Biotechnol* 1998, 16(9):373-378.
- Beckonert O, Keun HC, Ebbels TM, Bundy J, Holmes E, Lindon JC, Nicholson JK: Metabolic profiling, metabolomic and metabonomic procedures for NMR spectroscopy of urine, plasma, serum and tissue extracts. Nat Protoc 2007, 2:2692-2703.
- Viant MR, Bearden DW, Bundy JG, Burton IW, Collette TW, Ekman DR, Ezernieks V, Karakach TK, Lin CY, Rochfort S, de Ropp JS, Teng Q, Tjeerdema RS, Walter JA, Wu H: International NMR-based Environmental Metabolomics Intercomparison Exercise. Environ Sci Technol 2009, 43:219-225.
- Robinette SL, Zhang F, Brüschweiler-Li L, Brüschweiler R: Web Server based complex mixture analysis by NMR. Anal Chem 2008, 80(10):3606-3611.
- Xia J, Bjorndahl TC, Tang P, Wishart DS: MetaboMiner semi-automated identification of metabolites from 2D NMR spectra of complex biofluids. BMC Bioinformatics 2008, 9:507.
- Pontoizeau C, Herrmann T, Toulhoat P, Elena-Herrmann B, Emsley L: Targeted projection NMR spectroscopy for unambiguous metabolic profiling of complex mixtures. Magn Reson Chem 2010, 48(9):727-733.
- Alves AC, Rantalainen M, Holmes E, Nicholson JK, Ebbels TM: Analytic properties of statistical total correlation spectroscopy based information recovery in 1H NMR metabolic data sets. Anal Chem 2009, 81:2075-2084.

- De Meyer T, Sinnaeve D, Van Gasse B, Tsiporkova E, Rietzschel ER, De Buyzere ML, Gillebert TC, Bekaert S, Martins JC, Van Criekinge W: NMRbased characterization of metabolic alterations in hypertension using an adaptive, intelligent binning algorithm. *Anal Chem* 2008, 80:3783-3790.
- Ochs MF, Stoyanova RS, Arias-Mendoza F, Brown TR: A new method for spectral decomposition using a bilinear Bayesian approach. J Magn Reson 1999, 137:161-176.
- Stoyanova R, Nicholson JK, Lindon JC, Brown TR: Sample classification based on Bayesian spectral decomposition of metabonomic NMR data sets. Anal Chem 2004, 76:3666-3674.
- Eads CD, Furnish CM, Noda I, Juhlin KD, Cooper DA, Morrall SW: Molecular factor analysis applied to collections of NMR spectra. *Anal Chem* 2004, 76:1982-1990.
- 13. Alsmeyer F, Marquardt W: Automatic generation of peak-shaped models. Appl Spectrosc 2004, **58**:986-994.
- Anderson PE, Raymer ML, Kelly BJ, Reo NV, DelRaso NJ, Doom TE: Characterization of 1H NMR spectroscopic data and the generation of synthetic validation sets. *Bioinformatics* 2009, 25:2992-3000.
- Laatikainen R, Niemitz M, Malaisse WJ, Biesemans M, Willem R: A computational strategy for the deconvolution of NMR spectra with multiplet structures and constraints: analysis of overlapping 13c - 2 h multiplets of 13c enriched metabolites from cell suspensions incubated in deuterated media. *Magn Reson Med* 1996, 36:359-365.
- Soininen P, Haarala J, Vepsalainen J, Niemitz M, Laatikainen R: Strategies for organic impurity quantification by 1H NMR spectroscopy: constrained total-line-shape fitting. Anal Chim Acta 2005, 542:178-185.
- Weljie AM, Newton J, Mercier P, Carlson E, Slupsky CM: Targeted Profiling: Quantitative Analysis of 1H NMR Metabolomics Data. Anal Chem 2006, 78:4430-4442.
- Mercier P, Lewis MJ, Chang D, Baker D, Wishart DS: Towards automatic metabolomic profiling of high-resolution one-dimensional proton NMR spectra. J Biomol NMR 2011, 49:307-323.
- Crockford DJ, Keun HC, Smith LM, Holmes E, Nicholson JK: Curve-fitting method for direct quantitation of compounds in complex biological mixtures using 1H NMR: application in metabonomic toxicology studies. *Anal Chem* 2005, 77:4556-4562.
- 20. Gipson GT, Tatsuoka KS, Sweatman BC, Connor SC: Weighted least-squares deconvolution method for discovery of group differences between complex biofluid 1H NMR spectra. J Magn Reson 2006, 183:269-277.
- Zheng C, Zhang S, Ragg S, Raftery D, Vitek O: Identification and quantification of metabolites in 1H NMR spectra by Bayesian model selection. *Bioinformatics* 2011, 27(12):1637-1644.
- Forshed J, Schuppe-Koistinen I, Jacobsson SP: Peak alignment of NMR signals by means of a genetic algorithm. *Analytica Chimica Acta* 2003, 487(2):189-199.
- Schleif FM, Riemer T, Börner U, Schnapka-Hille L, Cross M: Genetic algorithm for shift-uncertainty correction in 1-D NMR-based metabolite identifications and quantifications. *Bioinformatics* 2011, 27(4):524-533.
- 24. Kim HK, Choi YH, Verpoorte R: NMR-based metabolomic analysis of plants. *Nat Protoc* 2010, **5(3)**:536-49.
- 25. Spratlin JL, Serkova NJ, Eckhardt SG: Clinical applications of metabolomics in oncology: a review. *Clin Cancer Res* 2009, **15(2)**:431-40.
- 26. Viant MR: Environmental metabolomics using 1H-NMR spectroscopy. Methods Mol Biol 2008, 410:137-50.
- Wishart DS: Quantitative metabolomics using NMR. Trends in Analytical Chemistry 2008, 27:228-237.
- 28. Wishart DS, Knox C, Guo AC, Eisner R, Young N, Gautam B, Hau DD, Psychogios N, Dong E, Bouatra S, Mandal R, Sinelnikov I, Xia J, Jia L, Cruz JA, Lim E, Sobsey CA, Shrivastava S, Huang P, Liu P, Fang L, Peng J, Fradette R, Cheng D, Tzur D, Clements M, Lewis A, De Souza A, Zuniga A, Dawe M, Xiong Y, Clive D, Greiner R, Nazyrova A, Shaykhutdinov R, Li L, Vogel HJ, Forsythe I: HMDB: a knowledgebase for the human metabolome. Nucleic Acids Res 2009, 37 Database: D603-610.
- Cui Q, Lewis IA, Hegeman AD, Anderson ME, Li J, Schulte CF, Westler WM, Eghbalnia HR, Sussman MR, Markley JL: Metabolite identification via the Madison Metabolomics Consortium Database. *Nature Biotechnology* 2008, 26:162.
- 30. Duarte IF, Lamego I, Rocha C, Gil AM: NMR metabonomics for mammalian cell metabolism studies. *Bioanalysis* 2009, 1(9):1597-1614.

- Griffin JL, Bollard M, Nicholson JK, Bhakoo K: Spectral profiles of cultured neuronal and glial cells derived from HRMAS 1H NMR spectroscopy. NMR Biomed 2002, 15:375.
- 32. Yang C, Richardson AD, Smith JW, Osterman A: **Comparative** metabolomics of breast cancer. *Pacif Symp Biocomp* 2007, **12**:181.
- Gottschalk M, Ivanova G, Collins DM, Eustace A, O'Connor R, Brougham DF: Metabolomic studies of human lung carcinoma cell lines using in vitro 1H NMR of whole cells and cellular extracts. NMR Biomed 2008, 21:809.
- Tiziani S, Lodi A, Khanim FL, Viant MR, Bunce CM, Günther UL: Metabolomic Profiling of Drug Response in Acute Myeloid Leukaemia Cell Lines. *PLoS ONE* 2009, 4(1):e4251.
- Gronwald W, Klein MS, Kaspar H, Fagerer SR, Nürnberger N, Dettmer K, Bertsch T, Oefner PJ: Urinary metabolite quantification employing 2D NMR spectroscopy. Anal Chem 2008, 80(23):9288-97.
- Seavey BR, Farr EA, Westler WM, Markley JL: A relational database for sequence-specific protein NMR data. J Biomol NMR 1991, 1(3):217-36.
- Rahman QI, Schmeisser G: Characterization of the speed of convergence of the trapezoidal rule. Numerische Mathematik 1990, 57(1):123-138.

doi:10.1186/1471-2105-12-400

Cite this article as: Tulpan *et al.*: **MetaboHunter:** an automatic approach for identification of metabolites from ¹H-NMR spectra of complex mixtures. *BMC Bioinformatics* 2011 **12**:400.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

BioMed Central

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit