

Nonlinear relationship between serum total bilirubin levels and initial ischemic stroke in patients with non-valvular atrial fibrillation

Journal of International Medical Research 48(10) 1–14 © The Author(s) 2020 Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/0300060520962347 journals.sagepub.com/home/imr



Ying Liu¹, Jie Wang^{2,3}, Wen-zhen Zeng² and Qing-shan Lyu²

Abstract

Objective: This study aimed to examine the relationship between total bilirubin levels and initial ischemic stroke in patients with non-valvular atrial fibrillation.

Methods: This was a retrospective study. Atrial fibrillation was diagnosed by 24-hour Holter electrocardiography and serum total bilirubin levels were divided into quintiles. Ischemic stroke was diagnosed by symptoms, signs, and a medical image examination. The multivariate Cox proportional hazards model and survival analysis were used to estimate the association of total bilirubin with initial ischemic stroke.

Results: We studied 316 patients with non-valvular atrial fibrillation. During follow-up, there were 42 (13.29%) first ischemic strokes. After multivariate adjustment, for each 1 μ mol/L increase in total bilirubin, the risk of first ischemic stroke increased by 4% (95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.01, 1.07). When using the first quintile as the reference, from the second to fifth quintiles, the risks of first ischemic stroke were 0.52 (95% CI: 0.17, 1.65), 0.23 (95% CI: 0.06, 0.87), 0.92 (95% CI: 0.32, 2.67), and 1.33 (95% CI: 1.09, 4.41), respectively. The optimal cut-off point of total bilirubin for the lowest risk of ischemic stroke was 17.0 μ mol/L.

Conclusions: Total bilirubin levels are nonlinearly associated with initial ischemic stroke in patients with non-valvular atrial fibrillation.

²Department of Cardiology, The Central Hospital of Yongzhou, Yongzhou, Hunan, China ³Jishou University, Jishou, Hunan, China

Corresponding author:

Qing-shan Lyu, Department of Cardiology, The Central Hospital of Yongzhou, No. 396 Yiyun Road, Lengshuitan District, Yongzhou City, Hunan Province 425000, China. Email: lvqs@outlook.com

Creative Commons Non Commercial CC BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the SAGE and Open Access pages (https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/open-access-at-sage).

¹Key Laboratory of Molecular Epidemiology of Hunan Province, School of Medicine, Hunan Normal University, Changsha, China ²Department of Cardiology, The Cantral Hospital of

Total bilirubin, ischemic stroke, non-valvular atrial fibrillation, Holter electrocardiogram, antiplatelet drug, lipoprotein

Date received: 21 April 2020; accepted: 8 September 2020

Introduction

Stroke is one of the most common and undertreated chronic diseases, and is the second most common cause of death worldwide.^{1,2} In China, ischemic stroke is the most common type of stroke.^{3,4} Stroke is a disease with multiple factors. Although some certain factors, such as atrial thrombosis and hypertension, are closely related to the occurrence of stroke, its mechanism is still unclear.5,6 Previous studies have shown that excessive oxidative stress causes structural and functional damage to the brain, which is an important pathophysiological process of stroke.^{7–9} Bilirubin, which is a major metabolite of iron porphyrin compounds in the body, is also an important indicator for clinical judgment of jaundice and abnormal liver function.¹⁰ Studies have also demonstrated that bilirubin causes irreversible damage to the brain and nervous system with high concentrations,11 but also has antioxidant functions that can inhibit oxidation of linoleic acid and phospholipids,¹² which are related to stroke. Although bilirubin is considered to have a protective effect on ischemic diseases owing to its strong antioxidant properties,¹³ in recent years, studies have indicated that the relationship between bilirubin and stroke is controversial. Mendelian randomization study on A Koreans showed that bilirubin levels were not a risk determinant of stroke, and there was no obvious causal effect of serum total bilirubin levels on stroke.¹⁴ However, a twosample summary Mendelian randomization study provided clear evidence that there was a significant causal relationship between elevated bilirubin levels and reduced stroke.¹⁵ This finding suggests that total bilirubin could serve as a therapeutic target for oxidative stress-related diseases, such as stroke. Additionally, a meta-analysis of 11 observational studies involving 131,450 subjects showed that there was an inverse association between circulating total bilirubin levels and a risk for ischemic stroke.¹⁶

Stroke is a major public health problem.¹⁷ Early prevention and early warning for stroke are necessary and important, especially for the population at high risk of stroke, such as those with atrial fibrillation.^{18,19} A large number of clinical or cohort studies have shown that atrial fibrillation is a most common risk factor for stroke owing to atrial thrombus formation and embolization,²⁰ and it is also a common arrhythmia.^{21,22} Identifying stroke-related risk factors and early warning in patients with atrial fibrillation are necessary. At present, the relationship between serum bilirubin levels and stroke in patients with atrial fibrillation is unclear. Therefore, this study aimed to examine the relationship between serum total bilirubin levels and the occurrence of initial ischemic stroke in patients with non-valvular atrial fibrillation.

Methods

Study population

We performed a retrospective single-center study. A total of 2756 patients were consecutively enrolled from the Central Hospital of Yongzhou, China between September 2014 and November 2019. Participants who completed 24-hour Holter monitoring and were aged ≥ 18 years were enrolled. The exclusion criteria mainly included non-atrial fibrillation, valvular atrial fibrillation, missing bilirubin data, and having a previous history of stroke. A flow diagram of patients who were included for analysis is shown in Figure 1. This retrospective cohort study was approved by the Ethical Committee of Yongzhou Central Hospital (approval No. 2019-17), Yongzhou, China, with a waiver of informed consent. During the study, information and materials related to the specific identity of the participants were completely and strictly concealed.

Assessment of atrial fibrillation

All patients underwent standard 24-hour Holter electrocardiographic (DMS 300-4A; DM software, Inc., Stateline, NV, USA) monitoring to detect atrial fibrillation. An experienced professional cardiologist who had no information about the characteristics of the patients evaluated the occurrence of atrial fibrillation on the basis of records of the 24-hour Holter electrocardiogram. Atrial fibrillation was defined as an irregular atrial rhythm with a rate of > 300 beats/ minute lasting longer than 30 s. Nonsustained ventricular tachycardia was defined as a ventricular rhythm of > 120beats/minute lasting for >three beats



Figure 1. Flow chart of selection of the patients.

and < 30 s. Supraventricular or ventricular tachycardia lasting < 30 s was not considered a diagnostic finding, unless a clear chronological relationship with the clinical symptoms was established.^{23,24} Valvular atrial fibrillation was defined as rheumatic heart valve disease and a type of atrial fibrillation after cardiac valve surgery.²⁵

Assessment of other confounding factors

Demographic and laboratory data of the patients were recorded. Demographic data included age, sex, race, smoking, and drinking status. A physical examination included measurement of height, weight, systolic blood pressure (SBP), and diastolic blood pressure (DBP). Laboratory data included creatinine, uric acid, total bilirubin, indirect bilirubin, direct bilirubin, total cholesterol (TC), triglyceride (TG), low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), alanine aminotransferase (AST), and aspartate aminotransferase (ALT) levels, and the international standardized ratio. We also collected the medication history (anticoagulant and antiplatelet drugs) and a history of combined diseases (e.g., stroke, hypertension, diabetes, heart failure, and coronary heart disease). The left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) and left atrial diameter (LAD) were measured by twodimensional echocardiography during 1 month of the Holter register. We calculated the congestive heart failure, hypertension, age > 75 years, diabetes, and previous stroke/transient ischemic attack (CHADS) 2 score (1 point each: age [>75 years], congestive heart failure, hypertension, and diabetes mellitus; and a history of stroke or transient ischemic attack: 2 points). The estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) (mL/minute/1.73 m²) was calculated using the Chronic Kidney Disease-Modification of Diet in Renal Disease formula: $175 \times$

(serum creatinine level)^{-1.234} × (age)^{-0.179} × (0.79 for women).

Outcome

The outcome of this study was the first ischemic stroke. All outcome events were obtained by doctors or trained nurses via telephone or outpatient follow-up until January 2020. Ischemic stroke was diagnosed by symptoms, signs, and medical image examinations. Briefly, initial ischemic stroke was confirmed by professional neurologists through a series of standardized diagnostic procedures. Diagnosis of stroke was made from hospitalization or discharge data. When the patient was hospitalized, stroke was diagnosed by a professional neurologist on the basis of a sequence of examinations, including a neurological examination, stroke-related scale assessment, and cranial computed tomographic or magnetic resonance imaging scans.^{26,27} When the patient was discharged from hospital, the patient's hospitalization data were obtained during follow-up and then confirmed by the clinical event committee. The Data Monitoring Committee validated all stroke events.

Statistical analysis

Baseline variables are shown as mean \pm standard deviation (continuous variables) or percentage (categorical variables) as appropriate. Levels of total bilirubin were divided into five groups (quintile [Q1]–Q5). Comparison between two groups was performed by using the Student's t test or Mann–Whitney U test for continuous variables, and the chi-square test or Fisher's test for categorical variables. For nonnormally distributed variables, the Mann–Whitney U test with Bonferroni correction was used. One-way ANOVA was used for comparison between multiple groups.

The relationship of total bilirubin levels with the first ischemic stroke was estimated by multivariate Cox proportional hazards models. The results are presented as hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs), and the lowest quintile (Q1) were used as the reference. Model 1 did not have adjustment for any variables. Model 2 was adjusted for age, sex, and body mass index (BMI). Model 3 was further adjusted for SBP, the CHADS2 score, LVEF, LAD, AST, ALT, uric acid, TC, LDL-C, HDL-C, TGs, eGFR, heart failure, CAD, hypertension, diabetes, drinking, smoking, the international standardized ratio, and taking oral anticoagulants and antiplatelet drugs. The shape of the relationship between total bilirubin levels with the first ischemic stroke was examined by multivariate adjusted restricted cubic spline regression models. We also used the generalized additive model to examine the nonlinear relationship between total bilirubin levels and first ischemic stroke.²⁸ If a nonlinear relationship was discovered, a multivariate adjusted two-piecewise linear regression model was performed to calculate the threshold effect of total bilirubin levels on first ischemic stroke.²⁹ The recursive method was used to calculate the inflection point automatically. Survival analysis was also performed using Kaplan-Meier curves and the log-rank test was performed. A two-sided P < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. All statistical analyses were performed using R V.3.3.2 (www.rproject.org).

Results

Baseline characteristics

In this study, 316 patients with non-valvular atrial fibrillation were included (mean age: 70.36 ± 10.06 years, 135 [42.72%] men) (Table 1). New ischemic stroke occurred at a mean follow-up of

 22.69 ± 16.98 months, with 42 (13.29%) ischemic strokes. Patients with ischemic stroke had significantly higher serum total bilirubin levels (P = 0.006), and a lower proportion of patients were taking antiplatelet and anticoagulant drugs (both P < 0.05) compared with patients without ischemic stroke. However, there were no significant differences in age, LVEF, LAD, levels of AST, ALT, direct bilirubin, indirect bilirubin, uric acid, TC, TGs, HDL-C, and LDL-C, eGFR, SBP, DBP, BMI, international standardized ratio, the CHADS2 score, the rates of heart failure, hypertension, diabetes, and coronary heart disease, sex, smoking, and drinking status between the two groups.

When the levels of total serum bilirubin were divided into quintiles (Q1–Q5), from the first to the fifth quintiles, the incidence of initial ischemic stroke was 9 (14.29%), 7 (11.11%), 6 (9.38%), 8 (12.90%), and 12 (18.75%) (P=0.028), respectively (Table 2). Among the five groups (Q1– Q5), there were significant differences in the LVEF, LAD, direct bilirubin levels, indirect bilirubin levels, the international standardized ratio, and the CHADS2 score (all P < 0.05).

Relationship between total bilirubin levels and first ischemic stroke

When total serum bilirubin levels were regarded as a continuous variable, in Model 1, total bilirubin levels were significantly associated with the initial ischemic stroke (HR = 1.02, 95% CI: 1.00, 1.03; P = 0.025) (Table 3). In Model 3, multivariate Cox proportional hazards analysis showed that total bilirubin levels (HR: 1.04, 95% CI: 1.01, 1.07, P = 0.018) were still an independent risk factor for initial ischemic stroke after adjustment for many factors. Additionally, when total serum bilirubin levels were regarded as a category variable with the first quintile (Q1) as a

Number31627442Age, years70.36 \pm 10.0670.36 \pm 10.1270.36 \pm 9.760.99LVEF,%53.90 \pm 9.4553.99 \pm 9.2553.27 \pm 10.750.64LAD, mm37.16 \pm 8.6237.26 \pm 8.6636.48 \pm 8.380.58AST, U/L42.09 \pm 86.1743.07 \pm 91.7235.73 \pm 31.360.60ALT, U/L32.87 \pm 73.5932.74 \pm 77.7733.73 \pm 36.610.93Diract bilirubin, µmol/L6.53 \pm 5.376.44 \pm 4.937.09 \pm 7.690.46Indirect bilirubin, µmol/L11.49 \pm 5.9211.55 \pm 6.0211.14 \pm 5.270.67Uric acid, µmol/L1.42 \pm 1.181.43 \pm 1.221.39 \pm 0.850.86LDL-C, mmol/L1.42 \pm 1.181.43 \pm 1.221.39 \pm 0.850.86LDL-C, nmol/L1.32 \pm 0.371.29 \pm 0.390.58TGs, mmol/L1.32 \pm 0.381.32 \pm 0.371.29 \pm 0.390.58TGs, mmol/L4.05 \pm 1.084.03 \pm 1.074.14 \pm 1.170.56SBP, mmHg133.55 \pm 20.81133.50 \pm 20.95133.93 \pm 20.080.90DBR, mmHg60.87 \pm 1.34681.22 \pm 1.367.85 \pm 12.000.23eGFR, mL/minute/1.73 m²61.74 \pm 27.1962.45 \pm 26.4957.17 \pm 31.360.24BMI, kg/m²23.09 \pm 3.4223.18 \pm 3.4422.50 \pm 3.30.32Follow-up time (minutes)1.26 \pm 1.6922.65 \pm 16.4322.90 \pm 20.470.92INR value1.09 \pm 0.341.08 \pm 0.29		Total	Non-ischemic stroke	lschemic stroke	P value
Age, years70.36 \pm 10.0670.36 \pm 10.1270.36 \pm 9.760.99IVEF,%53.90 \pm 9.4553.99 \pm 9.2553.27 \pm 10.750.64LAD, mm37.16 \pm 8.6237.26 \pm 8.6636.48 \pm 8.380.58AST, U/L42.09 \pm 86.1743.07 \pm 91.7235.73 \pm 31.360.60AIT, U/L32.87 \pm 73.5932.74 \pm 77.7733.73 \pm 36.610.93Total bilirubin, µmol/L15.70 \pm 10.0519.22 \pm 11.210.00Direct bilirubin, µmol/L6.53 \pm 5.376.44 \pm 4.937.09 \pm 7.690.46Indirect bilirubin, µmol/L11.49 \pm 5.9211.55 \pm 6.0211.14 \pm 5.270.67Uric acid, µmol/L1.42 \pm 1.181.43 \pm 1.221.39 \pm 0.850.86LDL-C, mmol/L2.43 \pm 0.892.42 \pm 0.862.56 \pm 1.020.34HDL-C, mmol/L1.32 \pm 0.381.32 \pm 0.371.29 \pm 0.390.58TGs, mmol/L4.05 \pm 1.084.03 \pm 1.074.14 \pm 1.170.56SBP, mmHg80.87 \pm 1.34681.22 \pm 1.36678.55 \pm 12.000.23eGFR, mL/minute/1.73 m²61.74 \pm 27.1962.45 \pm 26.4957.17 \pm 31.360.24BMI, kg/m²23.09 \pm 3.4223.18 \pm 3.4422.50 \pm 3.330.32Follow-up time (minutes)22.69 \pm 16.5922.65 \pm 16.4322.90 \pm 20.470.92INR value1.09 \pm 0.341.08 \pm 0.291.13 \pm 0.560.40Sex, n (%)	Number	316	274	42	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Age, years	$\textbf{70.36} \pm \textbf{10.06}$	$\textbf{70.36} \pm \textbf{10.12}$	$\textbf{70.36} \pm \textbf{9.76}$	0.998
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	LVEF,%	$\textbf{53.90} \pm \textbf{9.45}$	$\textbf{53.99} \pm \textbf{9.25}$	53.27 ± 10.75	0.646
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	LAD, mm	$\textbf{37.16} \pm \textbf{8.62}$	$\textbf{37.26} \pm \textbf{8.66}$	$\textbf{36.48} \pm \textbf{8.38}$	0.584
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	AST, U/L	$\textbf{42.09} \pm \textbf{86.17}$	$\textbf{43.07} \pm \textbf{91.72}$	$\textbf{35.73} \pm \textbf{31.36}$	0.608
Total bilirubin, µmol/L 17.77 \pm 10.20 15.70 \pm 10.05 19.22 \pm 11.21 0.00 Direct bilirubin, µmol/L 6.33 \pm 5.37 6.44 \pm 4.93 7.09 \pm 7.69 0.46 Indirect bilirubin, µmol/L 11.49 \pm 5.92 11.55 \pm 6.02 11.14 \pm 5.27 0.67 Uric acid, µmol/L 133.57 \pm 132.44 397.11 \pm 127.48 370.45 \pm 160.98 0.22 TC, mmol/L 1.42 \pm 1.18 1.43 \pm 1.22 1.39 \pm 0.85 0.86 LDL-C, mmol/L 1.32 \pm 0.38 1.32 \pm 0.37 1.29 \pm 0.39 0.58 TGs, mmol/L 4.05 \pm 1.08 4.03 \pm 1.07 4.14 \pm 1.17 0.56 SBP, mmHg 133.55 \pm 20.81 133.50 \pm 20.95 133.93 \pm 20.08 0.90 DBR mmHg 80.87 \pm 13.46 81.22 \pm 13.66 78.55 \pm 12.00 0.23 GGFR, mL/minute/l.73 m ² 61.74 \pm 27.19 62.45 \pm 26.49 57.17 \pm 31.36 0.24 BMI, kg/m ² 23.09 \pm 3.42 23.18 \pm 3.44 22.50 \pm 3.33 0.32 Follow-up time (minutes) 22.69 \pm 16.98 22.65 \pm 16.43 22.90 \pm 20.47 0.92 INA value 1.09 \pm 0.34 1.0	ALT, U/L	$\textbf{32.87} \pm \textbf{73.59}$	$\textbf{32.74} \pm \textbf{77.77}$	$\textbf{33.73} \pm \textbf{36.61}$	0.935
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Total bilirubin, μmol/L	$\textbf{17.77} \pm \textbf{10.20}$	15.70 ± 10.05	19.22 ± 11.21	0.006
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Direct bilirubin, µmol/L	$\textbf{6.53} \pm \textbf{5.37}$	$\textbf{6.44} \pm \textbf{4.93}$	$\textbf{7.09} \pm \textbf{7.69}$	0.466
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Indirect bilirubin, µmol/L	11.49 ± 5.92	11.55 ± 6.02	11.14 ± 5.27	0.676
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Uric acid, µmol/L	$\textbf{393.57} \pm \textbf{132.44}$	397.11 ± 127.48	$\textbf{370.45} \pm \textbf{160.98}$	0.225
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	TC, mmol/L	1.42 ± 1.18	$\textbf{1.43} \pm \textbf{1.22}$	1.39 ± 0.85	0.866
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	LDL-C, mmol/L	$\textbf{2.43} \pm \textbf{0.89}$	$\textbf{2.42} \pm \textbf{0.86}$	2.56 ± 1.02	0.345
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	HDL-C, mmol/L	$\textbf{1.32}\pm\textbf{0.38}$	1.32 ± 0.37	$\textbf{1.29} \pm \textbf{0.39}$	0.586
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	TGs, mmol/L	$\textbf{4.05} \pm \textbf{1.08}$	$\textbf{4.03} \pm \textbf{1.07}$	4.14 ± 1.17	0.566
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	SBP, mmHg	133.55 ± 20.81	133.50 ± 20.95	$\textbf{133.93} \pm \textbf{20.08}$	0.900
eGR, mL/minute/1.73 m² 61.74 ± 27.19 62.45 ± 26.49 57.17 ± 31.36 0.24 BMI, kg/m² 23.09 ± 3.42 23.18 ± 3.44 22.50 ± 3.33 0.32 Follow-up time (minutes) 22.69 ± 16.98 22.65 ± 16.43 22.90 ± 20.47 0.92 INR value 1.09 ± 0.34 1.08 ± 0.29 1.13 ± 0.56 0.40 Sex, n (%)000.98Men 135 (42.72) 117 (42.70) 18 (42.86)Women 181 (57.28) 157 (57.30) 24 (57.14)CHADS2 score, n (%)00.66110 (3.16)9 (3.28)1 (2.38)243 (13.61)39 (14.23)4 (9.52)3263 (83.23)226 (82.48)37 (88.10)Heart failure, n (%)0.40No192 (60.76)164 (59.85)28 (66.67)Yes124 (39.24)110 (40.15)14 (33.33)Hypertension n (%)0.67No249 (78.80)213 (77.74)36 (85.71)Yes67 (21.20)61 (22.26)6 (14.29)Diabetes, n (%)0.05No149 (47.15)135 (49.27)14 (33.33)Yes167 (52.85)139 (50.73)28 (66.67)Drinking, n (%)0.275 (87.03)240 (87.59)35 (83.33)Yes41 (12.97)34 (12.41)7 (16.67)Smoking, n (%)0.97No278 (87.97)241 (87.96)No278 (87.97)241 (87.96)37 (88.10)	DBP, mmHg	$\textbf{80.87} \pm \textbf{13.46}$	$\textbf{81.22} \pm \textbf{13.66}$	$\textbf{78.55} \pm \textbf{12.00}$	0.231
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	eGFR, mL/minute/1.73 m ²	$\textbf{61.74} \pm \textbf{27.19}$	$\textbf{62.45} \pm \textbf{26.49}$	$\textbf{57.17} \pm \textbf{31.36}$	0.242
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	BMI, kg/m ²	$\textbf{23.09} \pm \textbf{3.42}$	$\textbf{23.18} \pm \textbf{3.44}$	$\textbf{22.50} \pm \textbf{3.33}$	0.322
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Follow-up time (minutes)	$\textbf{22.69} \pm \textbf{16.98}$	$\textbf{22.65} \pm \textbf{16.43}$	$\textbf{22.90} \pm \textbf{20.47}$	0.929
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	INR value	1.09 ± 0.34	$\textbf{1.08} \pm \textbf{0.29}$	1.13 ± 0.56	0.404
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Sex, n (%)				0.985
Women181 (57.28)157 (57.30)24 (57.14)CHADS2 score, n (%)0.66110 (3.16)9 (3.28)243 (13.61)39 (14.23)4 (9.52)33263 (83.23)226 (82.48)3263 (83.23)226 (82.48)Heart failure, n (%)0.40No192 (60.76)164 (59.85)28 (66.67)Yes124 (39.24)110 (40.15)14 (33.33)Hypertension n (%)0.67No141 (44.62)Yes175 (55.38)153 (55.84)22 (52.38)CAD, n (%)0.23No249 (78.80)Yes6 (14.29)Diabetes, n (%)0.05No149 (47.15)No149 (47.15)No149 (47.15)No275 (87.03)240 (87.59)35 (83.33)Yes41 (12.97)No275 (87.03)No278 (87.97)241 (87.96)37 (88.10)	Men	135 (42.72)	7 (42.70)	18 (42.86)	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Women	181 (57.28)	157 (57.30)	24 (57.14)	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	CHADS2 score, n (%)	()	()	()	0.662
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		10 (3.16)	9 (3.28)	(2.38)	
3 263 (83.23) 226 (82.48) 37 (88.10) Heart failure, n (%) 0.40 No 192 (60.76) 164 (59.85) 28 (66.67) Yes 124 (39.24) 110 (40.15) 14 (33.33) Hypertension n (%) 0.67 No 141 (44.62) 121 (44.16) 20 (47.62) Yes 175 (55.38) 153 (55.84) 22 (52.38) CAD, n (%) 0.23 No 249 (78.80) 213 (77.74) 36 (85.71) Yes 67 (21.20) 61 (22.26) 6 (14.29) Diabetes, n (%) 0.05 0.05 No 149 (47.15) 135 (49.27) 14 (33.33) Yes 167 (52.85) 139 (50.73) 28 (66.67) Drinking, n (%) 0.44 0.44 No 275 (87.03) 240 (87.59) 35 (83.33) Yes 41 (12.97) 34 (12.41) 7 (16.67) Smoking, n (%) 0.97 0.97 No 278 (87.97) 241 (87.96) 37 (88.10)	2	43 (13.61)	39 (14.23)	4 (9.52)	
Heart failure, n (%)0.40No192 (60.76)164 (59.85)28 (66.67)Yes124 (39.24)110 (40.15)14 (33.33)Hypertension n (%)0.67No141 (44.62)121 (44.16)20 (47.62)Yes175 (55.38)153 (55.84)22 (52.38)CAD, n (%)0.230.67No249 (78.80)213 (77.74)36 (85.71)Yes67 (21.20)61 (22.26)6 (14.29)Diabetes, n (%)0.050.05No149 (47.15)135 (49.27)14 (33.33)Yes167 (52.85)139 (50.73)28 (66.67)Drinking, n (%)0.40 (87.59)35 (83.33)Yes41 (12.97)34 (12.41)7 (16.67)Smoking, n (%)0.970.97No278 (87.97)241 (87.96)37 (88.10)	3	263 (83.23)	226 (82.48)	37 (88.10)	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Heart failure, n (%)				0.400
Yes124 (39.24)110 (40.15)14 (33.33)Hypertension n (%)0.67No141 (44.62)Yes175 (55.38)153 (55.84)22 (52.38)CAD, n (%)0.23No249 (78.80)213 (77.74)36 (85.71)Yes67 (21.20)61 (22.26)6 (14.29)Diabetes, n (%)0.05No149 (47.15)Yes167 (52.85)167 (52.85)139 (50.73)28 (66.67)0.44No275 (87.03)240 (87.59)35 (83.33)Yes41 (12.97)34 (12.41)7 (16.67)Smoking, n (%)0.97No278 (87.97)241 (87.96)37 (88.10)	No	192 (60.76)	64 (59.85)	28 (66.67)	
Hypertension n (%) 0.67 No 141 (44.62) 121 (44.16) 20 (47.62) Yes 175 (55.38) 153 (55.84) 22 (52.38) CAD, n (%) 0.23 No 249 (78.80) 213 (77.74) 36 (85.71) Yes 67 (21.20) 61 (22.26) 6 (14.29) Diabetes, n (%) 0.05 No 149 (47.15) 135 (49.27) 14 (33.33) Yes 167 (52.85) 139 (50.73) 28 (66.67) Drinking, n (%) 0.44 0.44 No 275 (87.03) 240 (87.59) 35 (83.33) Yes 41 (12.97) 34 (12.41) 7 (16.67) Smoking, n (%) 0.97 0.97 No 278 (87.97) 241 (87.96) 37 (88.10)	Yes	124 (39.24)	110 (40.15)	14 (33.33)	
No 141 (44.62) 121 (44.16) 20 (47.62) Yes 175 (55.38) 153 (55.84) 22 (52.38) CAD, n (%) 0.23 No 249 (78.80) 213 (77.74) 36 (85.71) Yes 67 (21.20) 61 (22.26) 6 (14.29) Diabetes, n (%) 0.05 No 149 (47.15) 135 (49.27) 14 (33.33) Yes 167 (52.85) 139 (50.73) 28 (66.67) Drinking, n (%) 0.44 0.44 No 275 (87.03) 240 (87.59) 35 (83.33) Yes 41 (12.97) 34 (12.41) 7 (16.67) Smoking, n (%) 0.97 0.97 No 278 (87.97) 241 (87.96) 37 (88.10)	Hypertension n (%)				0.675
Yes 175 (55.38) 153 (55.84) 22 (52.38) CAD, n (%) 0.23 No 249 (78.80) 213 (77.74) 36 (85.71) Yes 67 (21.20) 61 (22.26) 6 (14.29) Diabetes, n (%) 0.05 No 149 (47.15) 135 (49.27) 14 (33.33) Yes 167 (52.85) 139 (50.73) 28 (66.67) Drinking, n (%) 0.44 0.44 No 275 (87.03) 240 (87.59) 35 (83.33) Yes 41 (12.97) 34 (12.41) 7 (16.67) Smoking, n (%) 0.97 0.97 No 278 (87.97) 241 (87.96) 37 (88.10)	No	4 (44.62)	2 (44, 6)	20 (47.62)	
CAD, n (%) 0.23 No 249 (78.80) 213 (77.74) 36 (85.71) Yes 67 (21.20) 61 (22.26) 6 (14.29) Diabetes, n (%) 0.05 No 149 (47.15) 135 (49.27) 14 (33.33) Yes 167 (52.85) 139 (50.73) 28 (66.67) Drinking, n (%) 0.44 No 275 (87.03) 240 (87.59) 35 (83.33) Yes 41 (12.97) 34 (12.41) 7 (16.67) Smoking, n (%) 0.97 0.97 No 278 (87.97) 241 (87.96) 37 (88.10)	Yes	175 (55.38)	153 (55.84)	22 (52.38)	
No 249 (78.80) 213 (77.74) 36 (85.71) Yes 67 (21.20) 61 (22.26) 6 (14.29) Diabetes, n (%) 0.05 No 149 (47.15) 135 (49.27) 14 (33.33) Yes 167 (52.85) 139 (50.73) 28 (66.67) Drinking, n (%) 0.44 0.44 No 275 (87.03) 240 (87.59) 35 (83.33) Yes 41 (12.97) 34 (12.41) 7 (16.67) Smoking, n (%) 0.97 0.97 No 278 (87.97) 241 (87.96) 37 (88.10)	CAD. n (%)	()	()		0.239
Yes 67 (21.20) 61 (22.26) 6 (14.29) Diabetes, n (%) 0.05 No 149 (47.15) 135 (49.27) 14 (33.33) Yes 167 (52.85) 139 (50.73) 28 (66.67) Drinking, n (%) 0.44 0.44 No 275 (87.03) 240 (87.59) 35 (83.33) Yes 41 (12.97) 34 (12.41) 7 (16.67) Smoking, n (%) 0.97 No 278 (87.97) 241 (87.96) 37 (88.10)	No	249 (78.80)	213 (77.74)	36 (85.71)	
Diabetes, n (%) 0.05 No 149 (47.15) 135 (49.27) 14 (33.33) Yes 167 (52.85) 139 (50.73) 28 (66.67) Drinking, n (%) 0.44 No 275 (87.03) 240 (87.59) 35 (83.33) Yes 41 (12.97) 34 (12.41) 7 (16.67) Smoking, n (%) 0.97 No 278 (87.97) 241 (87.96) 37 (88.10)	Yes	67 (21.20)	61 (22.26)	6 (14.29)	
No 149 (47.15) 135 (49.27) 14 (33.33) Yes 167 (52.85) 139 (50.73) 28 (66.67) Drinking, n (%) 0.44 No 275 (87.03) 240 (87.59) 35 (83.33) Yes 41 (12.97) 34 (12.41) 7 (16.67) Smoking, n (%) 0.97 No 278 (87.97) 241 (87.96) 37 (88.10)	Diabetes, n (%)				0.054
Yes 167 (52.85) 139 (50.73) 28 (66.67) Drinking, n (%) 0.44 No 275 (87.03) 240 (87.59) 35 (83.33) Yes 41 (12.97) 34 (12.41) 7 (16.67) Smoking, n (%) 0.97 No 278 (87.97) 241 (87.96) 37 (88.10)	No	49 (47, 5)	135 (49.27)	14 (33.33)	
Drinking, n (%) 0.44 No 275 (87.03) 240 (87.59) 35 (83.33) Yes 41 (12.97) 34 (12.41) 7 (16.67) Smoking, n (%) 0.97 No 278 (87.97) 241 (87.96) 37 (88.10)	Yes	167 (52.85)	139 (50.73)	28 (66.67)	
No 275 (87.03) 240 (87.59) 35 (83.33) Yes 41 (12.97) 34 (12.41) 7 (16.67) Smoking, n (%) 0.97 No 278 (87.97) 241 (87.96)	Drinking, n (%)	()	()	()	0.444
Yes 41 (12.97) 34 (12.41) 7 (16.67) Smoking, n (%) 0.97 No 278 (87.97) 241 (87.96) 37 (88.10)	No. (73)	275 (87.03)	240 (87.59)	35 (83.33)	•••••
Smoking, n (%) 0.97 No 278 (87.97) 241 (87.96) 37 (88.10)	Yes	41 (12.97)	34 (12.41)	7 (16.67)	
No 278 (87.97) 241 (87.96) 37 (88.10)	Smoking, n (%)		- · (·-··)	()	0.979
	No	278 (87 97)	241 (87.96)	37 (88.10)	0.777
Y_{es} 38 (12.03) 33 (12.04) 5 (11.90)	Yes	38 (12 03)	33 (12 04)	5 (11 90)	

Table I. Baseline characteristics of patients with ischemic stroke and non-ischemic stroke among those with non-valvular atrial fibrillation.

(continued)

	Total	Non-ischemic stroke	lschemic stroke	P value
Oral anticoagulant, n (%)				<0.001
No	201 (63.61)	164 (59.85)	37 (88.10)	
Yes	115 (36.39)	110 (40.15)	5 (11.90)	
Antiplatelet drug, n (%)	. ,			0.010
No	119 (37.66)	100 (36.50)	19 (45.24)	
Yes	197 (62.34)	174 (63.50)	23 (54.76)	

Table I. Continued.

Data are shown as mean \pm standard deviation or number (%).

LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; LAD, left atrial diameter; AST, alanine aminotransferase; ALT, aspartate aminotransferase; TC, total cholesterol; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; TGs, triglycerides; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; BMI, body mass index; INR, international standardized ratio; CHADS2, congestive heart failure, hypertension, age > 75 years, diabetes, and previous stroke/transient ischemic attack; CAD, coronary heart disease.

reference, the risks of first ischemic stroke were 0.52 (95% CI: 0.17, 1.65), 0.23 (95% CI: 0.06, 0.87), 0.92 (95% CI: 0.32, 2.67) and 1.33 (95%CI: 1.09, 4.41) (P for tend = 0.019) from Q2 to Q5, respectively, after adjusting for multiple potential confounders. The Kaplan–Meier survival curve showed that there was a significant difference in the occurrence of first ischemic stroke from Q1 to Q5 based on serum total bilirubin levels (log-rank, P < 0.001) (Figure 2).

Multivariate adjusted smoothing spline plots showed that serum total bilirubin levels had a nonlinear relationship with first ischemic stroke. The risk of first ischemic stroke was the lowest when the serum total bilirubin level was 17.0 µmol/L (Figure 3). We further examined the association between serum total bilirubin levels and the first ischemic stroke using the Cox proportional hazards model and the twoproportional piecewise Cox hazards model. The inflection point that we identified for serum total bilirubin levels was approximately 17.0 µmol/L. When serum total bilirubin levels were $< 17.0 \,\mu mol/L$, although increased total bilirubin levels were significantly associated with a reduced risk of first ischemic stroke, they were not significantly associated with an increased

risk of first ischemic stroke in twopiecewise linear regression (HR: 0.87, 95% CI: 0.73, 1.02) (Table 4). However, when serum total bilirubin levels were \geq 17.0 µmol/L, they were significantly associated with an increased risk of first ischemic stroke (HR: 1.05, 95% CI: 1.01, 1.08, P = 0.005).

Discussion

In this retrospective single-center study, we found that in patients with non-valvular atrial fibrillation, those with ischemic stroke had higher serum total bilirubin levels compared with non-ischemic stroke patients. Serum total bilirubin levels were closely related to initial ischemic stroke, and may be an independent risk factor for ischemic stroke. Importantly, serum total bilirubin levels had a dose-independent relationship with initial ischemic stroke, which suggested that when total bilirubin levels were $\geq 17.0 \,\mu$ mol/L, the risk of ischemic stroke significantly increased.

Previous studies have indicated that there is a significant relationship between serum bilirubin levels and stroke.^{15,16} Importantly, we found a dose-dependent relationship between total bilirubin levels and ischemic stroke. We found that when

	QI	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	P value
Number	63	63	64	62	64	
Age, years	$\textbf{70.79} \pm \textbf{9.38}$	69.97 ± 7.24	$\textbf{71.95} \pm \textbf{10.23}$	$\textbf{71.06} \pm \textbf{10.73}$	68.05 ± 11.95	0.239
LVEF,%	$\textbf{54.62} \pm \textbf{9.59}$	$\textbf{56.57} \pm \textbf{9.63}$	$\textbf{52.46} \pm \textbf{9.39}$	$\textbf{55.95} \pm \textbf{7.38}$	$\textbf{50.00} \pm \textbf{9.70}$	<0.001
LAD, mm	$\textbf{34.37} \pm \textbf{6.20}$	$\textbf{35.16} \pm \textbf{7.57}$	$\textbf{37.14} \pm \textbf{7.25}$	$\textbf{37.81} \pm \textbf{9.81}$	$\textbf{41.25} \pm \textbf{10.11}$	<0.001
AST, U/L	$\textbf{30.37} \pm \textbf{42.97}$	$\textbf{36.08} \pm \textbf{64.20}$	$\textbf{32.31} \pm \textbf{30.40}$	$\textbf{47.28} \pm \textbf{153.63}$	64.30 ± 81.70	0.148
ALT, U/L	$\textbf{25.51} \pm \textbf{21.25}$	$\textbf{24.65} \pm \textbf{14.33}$	$\textbf{27.39} \pm \textbf{36.59}$	45.18 ± 155.60	$\textbf{41.75} \pm \textbf{35.61}$	0.351
Total bilirubin, μmol/L	$\textbf{8.04} \pm \textbf{2.03}$	11.84 ± 0.74	14.90 ± 1.09	19.72 ± 1.99	$\textbf{34.15} \pm \textbf{9.78}$	<0.001
Direct bilirubin, µmol/L	$\textbf{3.08} \pm \textbf{1.14}$	$\textbf{3.79} \pm \textbf{1.10}$	5.02 ± 1.88	$\textbf{7.04} \pm \textbf{2.55}$	$\textbf{13.61} \pm \textbf{7.67}$	<0.001
Indirect bilirubin, μmol/L	$\textbf{5.76} \pm \textbf{2.28}$	$\textbf{8.26} \pm \textbf{1.57}$	10.02 ± 1.62	12.87 ± 2.64	$\textbf{20.46} \pm \textbf{5.42}$	<0.001
Uric acid, μmol/L	$\textbf{393.44} \pm \textbf{127.35}$	$\textbf{382.70} \pm \textbf{127.93}$	$\textbf{386.97} \pm \textbf{128.45}$	$\textbf{389.47} \pm \textbf{I} \textbf{34.97}$	$\textbf{414.96} \pm \textbf{144.40}$	0.681
TC, mmol/L	$\textbf{1.51} \pm \textbf{1.81}$	$\textbf{1.58} \pm \textbf{1.23}$	1.54 ± 1.00	$\textbf{1.34} \pm \textbf{0.85}$	$\textbf{1.15}\pm\textbf{0.63}$	0.213
LDL-C, mmol/L	$\textbf{2.45} \pm \textbf{0.97}$	$\textbf{2.37} \pm \textbf{0.84}$	$\textbf{2.63} \pm \textbf{0.95}$	$\textbf{2.38} \pm \textbf{0.92}$	$\textbf{2.34} \pm \textbf{0.73}$	0.363
HDL-C, mmol/L	$\textbf{1.33} \pm \textbf{0.40}$	$\textbf{1.33} \pm \textbf{0.32}$	$\textbf{1.33} \pm \textbf{0.38}$	1.31 ± 0.36	$\textbf{1.29} \pm \textbf{0.42}$	0.967
TG, mmol/L	$\textbf{4.06} \pm \textbf{1.27}$	$\textbf{4.02} \pm \textbf{0.97}$	$\textbf{4.29} \pm \textbf{1.14}$	$\textbf{3.98} \pm \textbf{1.06}$	$\textbf{3.89} \pm \textbf{0.94}$	0.308
SBP, mmHg	133.44 ± 20.03	134.84 ± 21.30	135.45 ± 20.82	133.35 ± 22.47	130.69 ± 19.68	0.739
DBP, mmHg	$\textbf{80.22} \pm \textbf{11.21}$	84.38 ± 15.50	$\textbf{81.45} \pm \textbf{13.18}$	80.79 ± 13.80	$\textbf{77.53} \pm \textbf{12.78}$	0.074
eGFR, mL/minute/1.73 m ²	$\textbf{65.03} \pm \textbf{33.89}$	$\textbf{62.94} \pm \textbf{30.46}$	54.33 ± 24.11	65.24 ± 22.75	$\textbf{61.36} \pm \textbf{22.15}$	0.144
BMI, kg/m ²	$\textbf{23.19} \pm \textbf{3.10}$	$\textbf{23.89} \pm \textbf{3.61}$	$\textbf{22.85} \pm \textbf{3.28}$	$\textbf{23.65} \pm \textbf{3.54}$	$\textbf{22.02} \pm \textbf{3.36}$	0.083
INR value	$\textbf{1.04} \pm \textbf{0.19}$	$\textbf{1.03} \pm \textbf{0.19}$	1.05 ± 0.21	1.14 ± 0.49	$\textbf{1.19} \pm \textbf{0.45}$	0.028
Sex, n (%)						0.492
Men	28 (44.44)	25 (39.68)	31 (48.44)	29 (46.77)	22 (34.38)	
Women	35 (55.56)	38 (60.32)	33 (51.56)	33 (53.23)	42 (65.62)	
CHADS2 score, n (%)						0.033
≤ 1	l (l.59)	0 (0.00)	l (l.56)	4 (6.45)	4 (6.25)	
2	7 (11.11)	14 (22.22)	4 (6.25)	6 (9.68)	12 (18.75)	
\geq 3	55 (87.30)	49 (77.78)	59 (92.19)	52 (83.87)	48 (75.00)	
Heart failure, n, (%)						0.550
No	35 (55.56)	40 (63.49)	44 (68.75)	36 (58.06)	37 (57.81)	
Yes	28 (44.44)	23 (36.51)	20 (31.25)	26 (41.94)	27 (42.19)	
Hypertension n, (%)						0.549
No	32 (50.79)	23 (36.51)	27 (42.19)	29 (46.77)	30 (46.88)	
Yes	31 (49.21)	40 (63.49)	37 (57.81)	33 (53.23)	34 (53.12)	
CAD, n (%)						0.365
No	28 (44.44)	26 (41.27)	37 (57.81)	30 (48.39)	28 (43.75)	
Yes	35 (55.56)	37 (58.73)	27 (42.19)	32 (51.61)	36 (56.25)	
Diabetes, n (%)						0.323
No	49 (77.78)	44 (69.84)	51 (79.69)	52 (83.87)	53 (82.81)	
Yes	14 (22.22)	19 (30.16)	13 (20.31)	10 (16.13)	(7.19)	
Drinking, n (%)						0.893
No	54 (85.71)	55 (87.30)	54 (84.38)	56 (90.32)	56 (87.50)	
Yes	9 (14.29)	8 (12.70)	10 (15.62)	6 (9.68)	8 (12.50)	
Smoking, n (%)						0.526
No	55 (87.30)	52 (82.54)	56 (87.50)	56 (90.32)	59 (92.19)	
Yes	8 (12.70)	(7.46)	8 (12.50)	6 (9.68)	5 (7.81)	
Oral anticoagulant, n (%)						0.314
No	43 (68.25)	40 (63.49)	45 (70.31)	33 (53.23)	40 (62.50)	
Yes	20 (31.75)	23 (36.51)	19 (29.69)	29 (46.77)	24 (37.50)	
Antiplatelet drug, n (%)						0.744
No	27 (42.86)	25 (39.68)	27 (42.19)	31 (50.00)	31 (48.44)	
Yes	36 (57.14)	38 (60.32)	37 (57.81)	31 (50.00)	33 (51.56)	
lschemic stroke, n (%)						0.028
No	54 (85.71)	56 (88.89)	58 (90.62)	54 (87.10)	52 (81.25)	
Yes	9 (14.29)	7 (11.11)	6 (9.38)	8 (12.90)	12 (18.75)	

Table 2. Baseline characteristics of the quintile groups of total bilirubin levels.

Data are shown as mean \pm standard deviation or number (%).One-way ANOVA, the Kruskal–Wallis rank sum test, Fisher's test and the chi-square test were used for analysis.

Q, quintile; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; LAD, left atrial diameter; AST, alanine aminotransferase; ALT, aspartate aminotransferase; TC, total cholesterol; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; TGs, triglycerides; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; BMI, body mass index; INR, international standardized ratio; CHADS2, congestive heart failure, hypertension, age > 75 years, diabetes, and previous stroke/transient ischemic attack; CAD, coronary heart disease.

	Model I HR (95% CI), P value	Model 2 HR (95% CI), P value	Model 3 HR (95% CI), P value
Total bilirubin, μmol/L	1.02 (1.00, 1.03), 0.025	1.02 (1.00, 1.04), 0.024	1.04 (1.01, 1.07), 0.018
Total bilirubin	HR (95% CI), P value	HR (95% CI), P value	HR (95% CI), P value
groups, μmol/L			
QI	1.0	1.0	1.0
Q2	0.56 (0.26, 1.20), 0.135	0.53 (0.20, 1.44), 0.213	0.52 (0.17, 1.65), 0.269
Q3	0.46 (0.21, 1.03), 0.060	0.41 (0.14, 1.20), 0.106	0.23 (0.06, 0.87), 0.029
Q4	0.91 (0.45, 1.83), 0.792	0.88 (0.36, 2.19), 0.789	0.92 (0.32, 2.67), 0.885
Q5	1.00 (0.89, 2.06), 0.590	1.16 (1.06, 2.93), 0.046	1.33 (1.09, 4.41), 0.023
P for trend	0.723	0.123	0.019

Table 3. Multivariable Cox regression analyses between total bilirubin levels and ischemic stroke.

HR, hazard ratio; Cl, confidence interval; Q, quintile. Data are shown as HR (95% Cl).

Multivariable Cox regression analyses was used. Model I was not adjusted for any variables. Model 2 was adjusted for age, sex, and body mass index. Model 3 was adjusted for age, sex, body mass index, systolic blood pressure, the congestive heart failure, hypertension, age > 75 years, diabetes, and previous stroke/transient ischemic attack score, left ventricular ejection fraction, left atrial diameter, alanine aminotransferase, aspartate aminotransferase, uric acid, total cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, triglycerides, estimated glomerular filtration rate, heart failure, coronary artery disease, hypertension, diabetes, drinking, smoking, international standardized ratio value, and taking oral anticoagulant and antiplatelet drugs.



Figure 2. Kaplan–Meier curve of serum total bilirubin levels and initial ischemic stroke. Q, quintile.





RR, relative risk.

Table 4. Results of the two-piecewise linear regression model between total bilirubin levels and ischemic stroke.

	HR	95% CI	P value
Cut-off value	17.00		
<cut-off< td=""><td>0.87</td><td>0.73, 1.02</td><td>0.086</td></cut-off<>	0.87	0.73, 1.02	0.086
\geq Cut-off	1.05	1.01, 1.08	0.005
Log likelihood ratio test	0.047		

HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval. The generalized additive model and two-piecewise linear regression model were used for analysis.

Variables included in the regression model were age, sex, body mass index, systolic blood pressure, the congestive heart failure, hypertension, age > 75 years, diabetes, and previous stroke/transient ischemic attack score, left ventricular ejection fraction, left atrial diameter, alanine aminotransferase, aspartate aminotransferase, uric acid, total cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, triglycerides, estimated glomerular filtration rate, heart failure, coronary artery disease, hypertension, diabetes, drinking, smoking, international standardized ratio value, and taking oral anticoagulant and antiplatelet drugs.

bilirubin levels were $< 17 \mu mol/L$, they may be a protective factor for stroke. The results of our study are similar to previous studies, which showed that serum total bilirubin levels were inversely related to stroke.^{15,16} These findings suggest that low total bilirubin levels may have a protective effect on prevention and treatment of stroke.

Additionally, the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 1999 to 2004 suggested that higher serum total bilirubin levels were associated with a reduced prevalence of stroke and improved stroke outcomes.³⁰ This finding supports the hypothesis that bilirubin is a protective biomarker for stroke. A prospective study from Korea showed that the serum bilirubin level might have some protective function against the risk of stroke in the Korean population.³¹ However, we found that when serum total bilirubin levels were >17umol/L, for each 1 unit increase in the total bilirubin level, the risk of stroke increased by 5%. This finding indicated that the total bilirubin level was an independent risk factor for stroke. This finding suggests that high total bilirubin levels may damage blood vessels or tissues, and may be detrimental to the occurrence of stroke. Ayromlou et al.³² showed that when total bilirubin levels were high in the circulation, they could become highly toxic. This finding suggests that an increased level of bilirubin is associated with the severity of stroke and ischemic area. We speculate that the reasons for the difference between the results of our study and most previous studies may be as follows. One reason is that the study population was different among the studies because enrolled subjects in our study had atrial fibrillation and most of the previous studies were on the general population. Another reason is that the analvsis methods were different between studies. In our study, not only correlation of multivariate Cox regression analysis was carried out, but also non-linear analysis was performed to examine the cut-off value of bilirubin and occurrence of stroke.

Although bilirubin plays a vital role in development of stroke and may be related to stroke, its mechanism is still unclear. Bilirubin can prevent formation of atherosclerotic lesions in low-density lipoprotein receptor-deficient mice by inhibiting endothelial vascular cell adhesion molecule and intercellular adhesion molecule 1 1-mediated leukocyte migration.³³ A previous study showed that bilirubin inhibited smooth muscle cell proliferation by regulating growth factor activity.³⁴ Collectively, the potential biological mechanisms of bilirubin during stroke mainly include regulation of lipid oxidation, lipid metabolism, immune cell migration, anti-inflammation and proliferation of vascular cells.35,36 In the future, more basic research is required to clarify the possible mechanisms and roles of circulating bilirubin levels in relation to stroke.

Limitations

This study has some limitations. First, the diagnosis of atrial fibrillation in this study was from 24-hour Holter monitoring. Therefore, some patients with paroxysmal atrial fibrillation and a history of atrial fibrillation may have been excluded. Second, some baseline variables, such as smoking, alcohol consumption, medication history, and a history of comorbidity, were mainly obtained from patients' self-reports. Therefore, there was a reporting bias. Third, although most of the confounding factors related to stroke were adjusted for, other variables, such as the duration of atrial fibrillation, cystatin C, exercise, inflammation biomarkers, and sleep, were missing in this study. Additionally, data on stroke-related scores, the severity of stroke, imaging results, and the time and regularity of taking anticoagulant or antiplatelet drugs were not collected. Finally, because this study was in a single center with small number of samples, the results cannot be extrapolated.

Conclusion

In patients with non-valvular atrial fibrillation, serum total bilirubin levels are an independent risk factor for initial ischemic stroke, and there is a nonlinear relationship between them. The risk of ischemic stroke is lowest when the total bilirubin level is approximately 17.0 μ mol/L. Our research indicates that serum total bilirubin levels may contribute to the risk stratification of atrial fibrillation and detection of bilirubin levels may have a vital role in early warning of ischemic stroke. Furthermore, there is the potential for total bilirubin to serve as a therapeutic target for oxidative stressrelated diseases, such as ischemic stroke.

Author contributions

Ying Liu drafted the manuscript. Jie Wang and Wen-zhen Zeng helped with statistical analysis. Qing-shan Lyu and Ying Liu participated in the design of the study. Jie Wang, Wen-zhen Zeng, and Qing-shan Lyu revised the manuscript. Ying Liu, Jie Wang, Wen-zhen Zeng, and Qing-shan Lyu participated in data collection. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Declaration of conflicting interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

Funding

This study was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Hunan Province (grant number: 2018JJ6079) and the Scientific Research Project of Hunan Health and Family Planning Commission (grant number: B20180462).

ORCID iD

Qing-shan Lyu (b) https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0201-5092

References

1. Fagan SC. Stroke is one of the most common and undertreated diseases in the world, and ischemic stroke makes up more than 85% of all strokes. Introduction. *Pharmacotherapy* 2010; 30: 49S–50S.

- Ayromlou H, Soleimanpour H, Farhoudi M, et al. Eligibility assessment for intravenous thrombolytic therapy in acute ischemic stroke patients; evaluating barriers for implementation. *Iran Red Crescent Med J* 2014; 16: e11284.
- 3. Liu L, Wang D, Wong KS, et al. Stroke and stroke care in China: huge burden, significant workload, and a national priority. *Stroke* 2011; 42: 3651–3654.
- 4. Wu S, Wu B, Liu M, et al. Stroke in China: advances and challenges in epidemiology, prevention, and management. *Lancet Neurol* 2019; 18: 394–405.
- 5. Soleimanpour H, Pashapour A, Mohammadi N, et al. Juvenile ischemic stroke secondary to cardiogenic embolism: a rare case report. *Int J Prev Med* 2014; 5: 117–122.
- 6. Caldwell M, Martinez L, Foster JG, et al. Prospects for the Primary Prevention of Myocardial Infarction and Stroke. *J Cardiovasc Pharmacol Ther* 2019; 24: 207–214.
- 7. Xia D, Zhang Z and Zhao Y. Acteoside Attenuates Oxidative Stress and Neuronal Apoptosis in Rats with Focal Cerebral Ischemia-Reperfusion Injury. *Biol Pharm Bull* 2018; 41: 1645–1651.
- 8. Li P, Stetler RA, Leak RK, et al. Oxidative stress and DNA damage after cerebral ischemia: Potential therapeutic targets to repair the genome and improve stroke recovery. *Neuropharmacology* 2018; 134: 208–217.
- 9. Regenhardt RW, Das AS, Lo EH, et al. Advances in Understanding the Pathophysiology of Lacunar Stroke: A Review. JAMA Neurol 2018; 75: 1273–1281.
- Hamoud AR, Weaver L, Stec DE, et al. Bilirubin in the Liver-Gut Signaling Axis. *Trends Endocrinol Metab* 2018; 29: 140–150.
- Mancuso C. Bilirubin and brain: A pharmacological approach. *Neuropharmacology* 2017; 118: 113–123.
- Maruhashi T, Kihara Y and Higashi Y. Bilirubin and Endothelial Function. *J Atheroscler Thromb* 2019; 26: 688–696.
- 13. Lan Y, Liu H, Liu J, et al. Is serum total bilirubin a predictor of prognosis in arteriosclerotic cardiovascular disease?

A meta-analysis. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2019; 98: e17544.

- Lee SJ, Jee YH, Jung KJ, et al. Bilirubin and Stroke Risk Using a Mendelian Randomization Design. *Stroke* 2017; 48: 1154–1160.
- Choi Y, Lee SJ, Spiller W, et al. Causal Associations Between Serum Bilirubin Levels and Decreased Stroke Risk: A Two-Sample Mendelian Randomization Study. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 2020; 40: 437–445.
- Zhong P, Wu D, Ye X, et al. Association of circulating total bilirubin level with ischemic stroke: a systemic review and meta-analysis of observational evidence. *Ann Transl Med* 2019; 7: 335.
- Yan LL, Li C, Chen J, et al. Prevention, management, and rehabilitation of stroke in low- and middle-income countries. *eNeurologicalSci* 2016; 2: 21–30.
- Kernan WN, Ovbiagele B, Black HR, et al. Guidelines for the prevention of stroke in patients with stroke and transient ischemic attack: a guideline for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/ American Stroke Association. *Stroke* 2014; 45: 2160–2236.
- Nielsen PB, Potpara TS, Lip G. Stroke risk in female patients with atrial fibrillation: Relationship to current guideline recommendations. *Trends Cardiovasc Med* 2019; 29: 150–152.
- Zamani P and Verdino RJ. Management of Atrial Fibrillation. J Intensive Care Med 2015; 30: 484–498.
- Prystowsky EN, Padanilam BJ and Fogel RI. Treatment of Atrial Fibrillation. *JAMA* 2015; 314: 278–288.
- Johnson V, Schmitt J and Hamm CW. Stroke prevention in atrial fibrillation latest guideline recommendations and real world data. *Med Monatsschr Pharm* 2017; 40: 167–170.
- Bazan V, Cediel G, Llibre C, et al. Contemporary Yield of 24-hour Holter Monitoring: Role of Inter-Atrial Block Recognition. J Atr Fibrillation 2019; 12: 2225.
- 24. January CT, Wann LS, Calkins H, et al. 2019 AHA/ACC/HRS Focused Update of

the 2014 AHA/ACC/HRS Guideline for the Management of Patients With Atrial Fibrillation: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines and the Heart Rhythm Society in Collaboration With the Society of Thoracic Surgeons. *Circulation* 2019; 140: e125–e151.

- 25. Fauchier L, Philippart R, Clementy N, et al. How to define valvular atrial fibrillation? *Arch Cardiovasc Dis* 2015; 108: 530–539.
- 26. Powers WJ, Rabinstein AA, Ackerson T, et al. Guidelines for the Early Management of Patients With Acute Ischemic Stroke: 2019 Update to the 2018 Guidelines for the Early Management of Acute Ischemic Stroke: A Guideline for Healthcare Professionals From the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. *Stroke* 2019; 50: e344–e418.
- Chen CL, Huang JY, Liu L, et al. Relationship between diastolic blood pressure and the first ischaemic stroke in elderly patients with hypertension. *Postgrad Med J* 2019.
- Zhang S, Liu L, Huang YQ, et al. A Ushaped association between serum uric acid with all-cause mortality in normal-weight population. *Postgrad Med* 2020; 132: 391–397.
- 29. Li J, Huang JY, Liu L, et al. Relationship between serum uric acid level and all-cause and cardiovascular mortality in population with obesity. *Postgrad Med J* 2020: postgradmedj-2019-137236.
- Perlstein TS, Pande RL, Creager MA, et al. Serum total bilirubin level, prevalent stroke, and stroke outcomes: NHANES 1999–2004. *Am J Med* 2008; 121: 781–788.
- Kimm H, Yun JE, Jo J, et al. Low serum bilirubin level as an independent predictor of stroke incidence: a prospective study in Korean men and women. *Stroke* 2009; 40: 3422–3427.
- 32. Ayromlou H, Soleimanpour H, Farhoudi M, et al. What are the most important barriers for thrombolytic therapy in ischemic stroke patients? *Int J Stroke* 2013; 8: E7.
- Vogel ME, Idelman G, Konaniah ES, et al. Bilirubin Prevents Atherosclerotic Lesion

Formation in Low-Density Lipoprotein Receptor-Deficient Mice by Inhibiting Endothelial VCAM-1 and ICAM-1 Signaling. *J Am Heart Assoc* 2017; 6: e004820.

- Ollinger R, Yamashita K, Bilban M, et al. Bilirubin and biliverdin treatment of atherosclerotic diseases. *Cell Cycle* 2007; 6: 39–43.
- 35. Thakkar M, Edelenbos J and Dore S. Bilirubin and Ischemic Stroke: Rendering

the Current Paradigm to Better Understand the Protective Effects of Bilirubin. *Mol Neurobiol* 2019; 56: 5483–5496.

 Targher G. Risk of ischemic stroke and decreased serum bilirubin levels: is there a causal link? *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 2014; 34: 702–704.