JKMS

Original Article Psychiatry & Psychology

Check for updates

Association Between Receipt of General Anesthesia During Childhood and Attention Deficit Hyperactive Disorder and Neurodevelopment

Joo Young Song ,¹' Hye Ryeong Cha ,²' Seung Won Lee ,³ Eun Kyo Ha ,⁴ Ju Hee Kim ,⁵ and Man Yong Han ⁶

¹Department of Pediatrics, CHA Gangnam Medical Center, CHA University School of Medicine, Seoul, Korea ²Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon, Korea ³Department of Precision Medicine, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Suwon, Korea ⁴Department of Pediatrics, Hallym University Kangnam Sacred Heart Hospital, Seoul, Korea ⁵Department of Pediatrics, Hallym University Kangdong Sacred Heart Hospital, Seoul, Korea ⁶Department of Pediatrics, CHA Bundang Medical Center, CHA University School of Medicine, Seongnam, Korea

ABSTRACT

Background: There are inconsistent reports regarding the association between general anesthesia and adverse neurodevelopmental and behavioral disorders in children. Methods: This nationwide administrative cohort study included children born in Korea between 2008 and 2009, and followed until December 31, 2017. The cohort included 93,717 participants who received general anesthesia with endotracheal intubation (ETI) who were matched to unexposed subjects in a 1:1 ratio. General anesthesia was defined by National Health Insurance Service treatment codes with intratracheal anesthesia, and the index date was the first event of general anesthesia. The primary outcome was attention deficit hyperactive disorder (ADHD), which was defined as at least a principal diagnosis of 10th revision of the International Classification of Diseases code F90.X after the age of 72 months. Neurodevelopment, which was assessed using a developmental screening test (Korean-Ages and Stages Questionnaire [K-ASQ]), was a secondary outcome. The K-ASQ is performed annually from 1 to 6 years of age and consists of 5 domains. The association between general anesthesia and ADHD was estimated using a Cox hazard model, and its association with neurodevelopment was estimated using a generalized estimation equation, with control for multiple risk factors beyond 1 year after the index date.

Results: The median age at the index date was 3.8 (95% confidence interval [CI], 1.7–5.8) years, and there were 57,625 (61.5%) men. During a mean follow-up period of 5 years, the incidence rate of ADHD was 42.6 and 27.7 per 10,000 person-years (PY) in the exposed and unexposed groups, respectively (absolute rate difference 14.9 [95% CI, 12.5–17.3] per 10,000 PY). Compared to the unexposed group, the exposed group had an increased risk of ADHD (adjusted hazard ratio, 1.41 [95% CI, 1.30–1.52]). In addition, a longer duration of anesthesia with ETI and more general anesthesia procedures with ETI were associated with greater risk of ADHD. General anesthesia with ETI was also associated with poorer results in the K-ASQ. **Conclusion:** Administration of general anesthesia with ETI to children is associated with an increased risk of ADHD and poor results in a neurodevelopmental screening test.

Keywords: General Anesthesia; Attention Deficit Hyperactive Disorder; Neurodevelopment; Children

OPEN ACCESS

Received: Aug 11, 2022 Accepted: Nov 14, 2022 Published online: Jan 30, 2023

Address for Correspondence: Man Yong Han, MD

Department of Pediatrics, CHA Bundang Medical Center, CHA University School of Medicine, 59 Yatap-ro, Bundang-gu, Seongnam 13496, Republic of Korea. Email: drmesh@gmail.com

Ju Hee Kim, MD

Department of Pediatrics, Hallym University Kangdong Sacred Heart Hospital, 150 Seongan-ro, Gangdong-gu, Seoul 05355, Republic of Korea. Email: 2004052@gmail.com

*Joo Young Song and Hye Ryeong Cha equally contributed to this study as the first authors.

© 2023 The Korean Academy of Medical Sciences.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ORCID iDs

Joo Young Song https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8635-8681 Hye Ryeong Cha https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0459-7655 Seung Won Lee 问

https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5632-5208 Eun Kyo Ha https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8863-5729 Ju Hee Kim https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4945-0753 Man Yong Han https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9077-5779

Funding

This work was supported by a National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korean government (MSIT) (NRF2020R1F1A1076452) and grant from the Kangdong Sacred Heart Hospital Fund (No. 2022-04).

Disclosure

The authors have no potential conflicts of interest to disclose.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Song J, Lee SW, Han MY. Data curation: Lee SW, Cha HR, Kim JH, Han MY. Formal analysis: Cha HR, Ha EK, Han MY. Funding acquisition: Han MY. Investigation: Cha HR, Kim JH, Han MY. Methodology: Song J, Cha HR, Kim JH. Software: Lee SW. Supervision: Kim JH, Han MY. Validation: Lee SW, Kim JH. Writing - original draft: Ha EK, Kim JH. Writing - review & editing: Song J, Lee SW, Cha HR, Ha EK, Kim JH, Han MY.

INTRODUCTION

Exposure of young children to general anesthesia is a considerable concern for parents, physicians, and researchers. Since 2017, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration has warned of potential neuronal damage from repeated and lengthy exposure to general anesthesia in children younger than 3 years.¹ In the United States, 14.9% of children under the age of 3 were exposed to general anesthesia more than once a year, and in the United Kingdom, 5% of children under the age of 6 received general anesthesia every year.^{2,3} In addition, according to Statistics Korea, about 0.5% of children younger than 5 years-old received one of 26 major types of surgery during 2019 (836.19 per 100,000 children aged 0 to 1 years-old; 456.24 per 100,000 for children aged 1 to 4 years-old), including heart surgery, hernia surgery, and tonsillectomy.⁴ The use of anesthetics is typically necessary in these important medical procedures.

In several preclinical studies, general anesthesia induced neuronal damage and developmental neurotoxicity in the developing brain from the third stage of gestation to approximately 3 years old in humans.^{5,6} Changes in brain tissue structure have been explained by the maturational-stage vulnerability that suppression of neurotrophic synaptic signaling leads to apoptosis.⁷ The impairment of the hippocampus and frontal cortex could induce memory and behavioral problems, including attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD).⁸ However, clinical studies have shown conflicting results between early exposure to general anesthesia and neurodevelopmental or behavioral outcomes, depending on the number of exposures, study design, and measuring tools for neurodevelopmental status.⁹⁻¹¹ In South Korea, a developmental screening test has been conducted annually for all children aged 1 to 6 years from 2007 to the present. Furthermore, since health insurance has been implemented for the entire nation, we aimed to assess the association between exposure to general anesthesia from infant to childhood and ADHD using national health administrative data and neurodevelopmental screening tests of approximately 900,000 children born in 2008 and 2009 in South Korea.

METHODS

Study design and setting

This nationwide administrative cohort included the data of 917,707 children who were born between January 1, 2008 and December 31, 2009.¹² All participants were followed until they were ineligible for health care services or until December 31, 2017. The National Health Insurance Service (NHIS) provides approximately 97% of the residents with healthcare access. The National Health Screening Program for Infants and Children (NHSPIC) is a population surveillance program of 7 rounds for all health insurance subscribers from 4 to 72 months old. The index date for each participant was defined as the date of surgery upon receipt of general anesthesia with endotracheal intubation (ETI). The window period was set at 12 months after the index date.

Data sources

All data were obtained from the NHIS and NHSPIC. The NHIS includes information on healthcare utilization, residential area at birth, and economic status. The NHSPIC included a general health questionnaire answered by parents and physical examination in all rounds and a developmental screening test of the Korean-Ages and Stages Questionnaire (K-ASQ) in the second to seventh rounds (annually from the age of 1 to 6 years). The status of prematurity

and birth weight were acquired from the parent-answered questionnaire of the NHSPIC. The 10th revision of the International Classification of Diseases (ICD-10 code) of perinatal conditions, diagnosed disease before the index date, and prescribed drug classification codes were obtained from the NHIS.

Study population

Among the 917,707 children, those who died and those who were diagnosed with behavioral and emotional disorders before their index date were excluded. The 915,637 eligible children were divided into those who received surgery under general anesthesia with ETI (exposed group, n = 93,717) and those who never received surgery (unexposed group, n = 821,920) (Fig. 1). For analysis of the main cohort, we conducted a comparison using a 1:1 matched unexposed cohort with density sampling. Overall, there were 93,717 children in each group. For analysis of a secondary cohort, 1:1 propensity score (PS) matching was performed using 30,341 children in each group (**Supplementary Fig. 1**).

Exposure

The exposure of interest was the first event of general anesthesia with ETI during surgery, which was recorded from treatment codes in the NHIS. To estimate the effect of the intensity of general anesthesia with ETI and a participant's condition after induction, exposure was stratified according to: 1) duration of general anesthesia (less than 1 hour, 1–2 hours, 2–3 hours, or more than 3 hours); 2) number of general anesthesia procedures (1, 2, 3 or more); 3) duration of admission after receipt of general anesthesia (less than 3 days [median] or 3 days or more); and 4) admission to an intensive care unit (ICU) after receipt of general anesthesia (yes or no).

Outcomes

All outcomes were prespecified. The primary outcome of this study was ADHD. Based on previous validation studies, ADHD was defined as at least a principal diagnosis of ICD-10



Fig. 1. Study design.

ICD-10 = 10th revision of the International Classification of Diseases.

code F90.X after 72 months old. Using a principal diagnosis based on the ICD-10 code to define ADHD had high sensitivity (0.96 to 0.97), specificity (0.98 to 0.99), and positive predictive value (0.83 to 0.98).¹³

For the sensitivity analysis, alternative definitions of ADHD were defined. First, a recurrent ADHD 1 year apart was defined as 2 principal diagnoses of ICD-10 codes F90.X after 72 months old that were 1 year apart. Second, a wide-ranging ADHD was defined as at least a principal or second diagnosis of ICD-10 code F90.X after 72 months old. Third, ADHD with medication was defined as at least a principal diagnosis of ICD-10 code F90.X after 72 months old with a prescription of methylphenidate.

In addition, the secondary outcome was the association with the K-ASQ results to estimate neurodevelopment after exposure to general anesthesia with ETI. The K-ASQ consists of 5 domains: communication, gross motor skills, fine motor skills, problem-solving, and personal-social interrelation. The results of each domain of the K-ASQ were stratified as appropriate, need for follow-up, and recommendations for further evaluation. The results of need for follow-up and recommendation for further evaluation mean scores below –1 standard deviation (SD) for age and below –2 SD for age, respectively. For analysis of the K-ASQ results, the two outcomes of interest were: 1) recommendations for further evaluation and 2) the sum of the need for follow-up and recommendation for further evaluation.

All outcomes were identified for at least 1 year (window period) after the index date.

Covariates

Sociodemographic variables included age, sex, birth weight, residential area at birth, and economic status. Residential areas at birth were divided into Seoul, metropolitan (Busan, Daegu, Incheon, Gwangju, Daejeon, and Ulsan), urban, and rural areas. Economic status was estimated by using health insurance premiums. Information about any perinatal diseases (including disorders related to length of gestation and fetal growth [ICD-10 code P05.X to P08.X], birth trauma [P10.X to P15.X], infections specific to the perinatal period [P35.X to P39.X], and congenital malformations, deformations, and chromosomal abnormalities [Q00.X to Q99.X]) were assessed.

Statistical analysis

For population-based matching in a main cohort, a subject unexposed to general anesthesia and with ADHD was randomly selected for each exposed subject, and the year of birth and sex of both subjects were individually matched. Matched individuals in the unexposed group were followed-up to investigate whether they were subsequently exposed to general anesthesia. If they were exposed to general anesthesia, they were transferred to the exposed group. In addition, a second cohort was established using 1:1 PS matching to balance the baseline characteristics of the exposed and unexposed groups. The PS was estimated using multivariable logistic regression with baseline variables of sex, year of birth, birth residence, economic status, perinatal diseases, and birth weight. The 1:1 PS matching was performed using the Mahalanobis algorithm with a caliper of 0.01. Differences between the two groups were evaluated using standardized differences, and a standardized difference greater than 10% was considered significant.

We excluded the first year of follow-up from the analysis to minimize the possibility of inverse causality or surveillance bias, because participants who receive anesthesia typically utilize more health care resources during the first month after this procedure.

A Cox proportional hazards model was used to assess the association between general anesthesia with ETI and development of ADHD in the main cohort. Hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) of ADHD in relation to previous exposure, using time after the index date as the underlying time scale, were calculated. All participants were traced from the index date, and censored on the first diagnosis of ADHD, death, or the end of the study (December 31, 2017), whichever came first. The absolute rate differences with 95% CIs were also calculated. All analyses were adjusted for age at the index date; calendar year of birth (2008 or 2009); birth weight; birth residence (Seoul, metropolitan, city, or rural area); economic status (low, middle, or high); and perinatal diseases. In addition, the HRs were separately calculated for sex, age group at index date (48 months), time since index date (5 years), calendar year at index date, calendar year at birth date, birth residence, income quintile, perinatal status (ICD-10 P or O code), history of admission during the first year after study entry, and frequency of outpatient visits within a year after the index date. We assessed differences in HRs by introducing an interaction term to the Cox model. In the second cohort, modified Poisson regression were used to estimate crude risk ratios (RRs) and their 95% CIs of ADHD in the exposed group compared to the unexposed group.

To assess the association between general anesthesia with ETI and results of the K-ASQ in children, odds ratios (ORs) and their 95% CIs were calculated by using generalized estimated equations with the logit link function. For intra-subgroup OR comparisons, the log OR difference between the strata was used to calculate the z-score and *P* values. Two-tailed *P* values of less than 0.01 were interpreted as statistically significant. All statistical analyses were performed using SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC, USA).

Ethics statement

This study was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Korea National Institute for Bioethics Policy (P01-201603-21-005). No informed consent was required from patients due to the nature of the public data from NHIS of the use of deidentified individual data for research purposes.

RESULTS

Participants

In the main cohort, there were 93,717 participants each in the exposed and unexposed groups. The basic characteristics of the patients are listed in **Table 1**. Overall, there were 57,625 (61.5%) men. At the index date, the median age of the participants was 3.75 years (interquartile range, 1.67 to 5.83); 49,360 (52.7%) were less than 47 months old, while 44,357 (47.3%) were over 48 months old. The mean birthweight was 3.13 kg (SD, 0.54) in the exposure group and 3.20 kg (SD, 0.45) in the unexposed group; there were more participants with a birthweight of \leq 2.5 kg in the exposure group (8,911, 9.5%) than in the unexposed group (5,481, 5.9%). Birth residence and economic status showed similar distributions between the exposed and unexposed groups. The mean follow-up period was 4.93 years (SD, 2.57) in the exposed group and 5.03 years (SD, 2.55) in the unexposed group. There were more individuals who had been diagnosed with any perinatal disease in the exposed group (38,958, 41.6%) than in the unexposed group (22,260, 23.8%); all disorders related to length of gestation and fetal growth, birth trauma, infections specific to the perinatal period, and congenital malformation were more common in the exposed group than in the unexposed group.

Table 1. Basic characteristics of the participants in the main cohort

Characteristics	Population-mat	llation-matched cohort	
	Exposed group	Unexposed group	
No. of participants	93,717	93,717	
Men	57,625 (61.5)	57,625 (61.5)	
Calendar year at birth date			
2008	50,140 (53.5)	50,140 (53.5)	
2009	43,577 (46.5)	43,577 (46.5)	
Age at index date, ^a yr	3.75 (1.67-5.83)	3.75 (1.67-5.83)	
Age group at index date, a mon			
≤ 47	49,360 (52.7)	49,360 (52.7)	
> 48	44,357 (47.3)	44,357 (47.3)	
Birth weight, kg	3.13 ± 0.54	3.20 ± 0.45	
≤ 2.5	8,911 (9.5)	5,481 (5.9)	
> 2.6	78,134 (83.4)	80,914 (86.3)	
Birth residence			
Seoul/Metropolitan	45,252 (48.3)	44,980 (48.0)	
City	36,056 (38.5)	36,148 (38.6)	
Rural	11,446 (12.2)	11,610 (12.4)	
Economic status ^b			
Low	9,735 (10.4)	9,850 (10.5)	
Middle	57,429 (61.3)	56,898 (60.7)	
High	23,117 (24.7)	23,693 (25.3)	
Follow-up time, yr	4.93 ± 2.57	5.02 ± 2.55	
Admission events during the first year after study entry $^{\circ}$			
None	72,798 (77.7)	81,995 (87.5)	
≥ 1 event	20,919 (22.3)	11,722 (12.5)	
The number of outpatient hospital visit during the first year after study entry	28 ± 20	24 ± 18	
Any perinatal status	38,958 (41.6)	22,260 (23.8)	
Disorders related to length of gestation and fetal growth	7,028 (7.5)	3,441 (3.7)	
Birth trauma	1,126 (1.2)	919 (1.0)	
Infections specific to the perinatal period	16,998 (18.1)	13,737 (14.7)	
Congenital malformation	25,897 (27.6)	8,140 (8.7)	

Values are presented as number (%), median (interquartile range), or mean ± standard deviation.

^aThe index date for each participant was defined as the date of surgery under general anesthesia in the exposed group. The index date of each participant in the unexposed group was randomly assigned to each participant in the exposed group.

^bEconomic status was estimated from health insurance premium and was categorized into tertiles on the index date.

°The first month after study entry was removed from this calculation.

In the second cohort, 30,341 children were allocated to each group. These groups had no differences in sex ratio, birth year, birth region, economic level, or birth weight due to PS matching (all SDs were less than 10%). These two groups also had similar rates of perinatal diseases (**Supplementary Table 1**).

Association between general anesthesia with ETI and ADHD

In the main cohort, during a mean follow-up period of 5 years, we identified 1,994 individuals in the exposed group (incidence rate, 42.6 per 10,000 person-years [PY]) and 1,318 individuals in the unexposed group (incidence rate, 27.7 per 10,000 PY) who were newly diagnosed with ADHD (**Table 2**). The absolute rate difference was 14.88 (95% CI, 12.49–17.28) per 10,000 PY between the exposed and the unexposed groups. The risk of ADHD was higher by 52% in the exposed group than in the unexposed group (HR [95% CI], 1.52 [1.42–1.63]). After adjusting for confounders, the risk for ADHD was still higher by 41% in the exposed group than in the unexposed group (HR [95% CI], 1.52 [1.42–1.63]).

For sensitivity analysis, we included the alternative definitions of ADHD, including recurrent ADHD, wide-ranging ADHD, and ADHD with medication (**Fig. 2**). The associations between general anesthesia with ETI and alternative ADHD definitions were consistent with the main

Table 2. The risk of ADHD^a among exposed participants compared to matched unexposed participants

Outcome	No. of ADHD /No. of 10,000 (incidenc	of accumulated PY × e rate/10,000 PY)	Absolute rate difference/10,000 PY	HR (95% CI) ^b	aHR (95% CI) ^{b,c}	aHR (95% CI) ^{b,d}	
	Exposed group	Unexposed group	(95% CI)				
ADHD	1,994/46.8 (42.6)	1,318/47.6 (27.7)	14.88 (12.49-17.28)	1.519 (1.416-1.628)	1.490 (1.387-1.601)	1.406 (1.302–1.519)	

ADHD = attention deficit hyperactive disorder, PY = person-years, HR = hazard ratio, CI = confidence interval, aHR = adjusted hazard ratio.

^aADHD was defined as at least a principal diagnosis of 10th revision of the International Classification of Diseases code F90.X after 72 months of age.

^bA Cox proportional hazards model was used to assess the relationship between general anesthesia and later development of ADHD. HRs and 95% CIs of ADHD in relation to previous general anesthesia exposure, using time after the index date as the underlying time scale, were calculated.

^cAdjusted for sex, age at index date, calendar year of birth (2008 or 2009), birth residence (Seoul/metropolitan, city, or rural area), and economic status (low, middle, or high).

^dAdjusted for sex, age at index date, calendar year of birth (2008 or 2009), birth weight, birth residence (Seoul/metropolitan, city, or rural area), economic status (low, middle, or high), and perinatal disease.



Fig. 2. Forest plot for sensitivity analysis of alternative definitions of ADHD. HRs and 95% CIs were calculated using a Cox proportional hazards model to assess the relationship between general anesthesia and various definitions of ADHD, adjusting for age at the index date, sex, calendar year of birth (2008 or 2009), birth weight, birth residence (Seoul/metropolitan, city, or rural area), economic status (low, middle, or high), and perinatal diseases. Recurrent ADHD 1 year apart was defined as two principal diagnoses of ICD-10 code F90.X after 72 months of age and 1 year apart between diagnoses. Wide-ranging ADHD was defined as at least a principal or second diagnosis of ICD-10 code F90.X after 72 months of age. ADHD with medication was defined as at least a principal diagnosis of ICD-10 code F90.X after 72 months of age. ADHD with medication was defined as at least a principal diagnosis of ICD-10 code F90.X after 72 months of age. ADHD with medication was defined as at least a principal diagnosis of ICD-10 code F90.X after 72 months of age. ADHD with medication was defined as at least a principal diagnosis of ICD-10 code F90.X after 72 months of age. ADHD with medication was defined as at least a principal diagnosis of ICD-10 code F90.X after 72 months of age. ADHD with medication was defined as at least a principal diagnosis of ICD-10 code F90.X after 72 months of age. ADHD with medication was defined as at least a principal diagnosis of ICD-10 code F90.X after 72 months of age. ADHD with medication was defined as at least a principal diagnosis of ICD-10 code F90.X after 72 months of age with a prescription of methylphenidate. All outcomes were identified for at least one year (window period) after the index date. Filled rounds indicate aHRs and black lines indicate 95% CIs.

ADHD = attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, PY = person-years, CI = confidence interval, HR = hazard ratio, aHR = adjusted hazard ratio, ICD-10 = 10th revision of the International Classification of Diseases.

result. The adjusted HRs (aHRs) of general anesthesia with ETI for recurrent ADHD, wideranging ADHD, and ADHD with medication were 1.392 (95% CI, 1.252–1.547), 1.415 (95% CI, 1.319–1.518), and 1.441 (95% CI, 1.324–1.569), respectively.

The risk for ADHD was also assessed after stratification of the participants based on their characteristics (**Table 3**). All associations between general anesthesia with ETI and ADHD were significant and consistent with the main results, but there were no statistically significant differences according to their characteristics. In addition, the incidence rate of ADHD in children with congenital malformations was 43.3 per 10,000 PY in the exposed group and 36.9 per 10,000 PY in the unexposed group; the aHR of general anesthesia exposure for ADHD was 1.34 (95% CI, 1.11–1.62). In children without congenital malformations, the association of general anesthesia exposure for ADHD was 1.44 (95% CI, 1.32–1.56). The risk of ADHD between the 2 groups was not significantly different (P = 0.33).

We examined the association of ADHD with the intensity of general anesthesia with ETI (procedure duration and number of procedures) and patient status after this procedure (duration of admission and ICU admission) (**Fig. 3**, **Supplementary Table 2**). As the duration of general anesthesia increased, the strength of its association with ADHD also increased (*P* for interaction < 0.001). Similarly, the risk of ADHD increased as the number of general anesthesia procedures increased (one: aHR [95% CI], 1.35 [1.24–1.46]; two: (1.54 [1.36–1.75]; three or more: 1.67 [1.38–2.03]), also indicating a dose-dependent effect (*P* for interaction < 0.001). In addition, there was an interaction effect for the duration of hospitalization (*P* < 0.001) and ICU treatment (*P* < 0.001) on the association between general anesthesia and ADHD.

Characteristics	No. of participants		No. of ADHD/No. of accumulated PY × 10,000 (incidence rate/10,000 PY)		Absolute rate difference/10,000 PY	aHR (95% CI) ^b	<i>P</i> value ^c
	Exposed group	Unexposed group	Exposed group	Unexposed group	(95% CI)		
Gender							0.059
Women	36,092	36,092	302/17.1 (17.6)	172/17.4 (9.9)	7.76 (5.28-1.23)	1.61 (1.61-1.99)	
Men	57,625	57,625	1,692/29.7 (57.0)	1,146/30.1 (38.0)	18.97 (15.47-22.47)	1.38 (1.27-1.50)	
Age group at index date, mon							0.026
≤ 47	49,360	49,360	1,300/34.4 (37.8)	888/35.1 (25.3)	12.46 (9.81-15.10)	1.26 (1.15-1.39)	
> 48	44,357	44,357	694/12.4 (55.9)	430/12.5 (34.4)	21.52 (16.24-26.80)	1.58 (1.39-1.79)	
By time since index date, yr							0.173
Less than 5	61,123	61,123	1,572/39.7 (39.6)	1,051/40.4 (26.0)	13.60 (11.08-16.11)	1.33 (1.22-1.46)	
5 and over	32,594	32,594	422/7.1 (59.1)	267/7.2 (37.2)	21.92 (14.73-29.11)	1.54 (1.31-1.82)	
Calendar year at index date							0.219
2008 to 2013	61,918	62,048	1,587/39.8 (39.9)	1,058/40.6 (26.1)	13.78 (11.26-16.29)	1.34 (1.26-1.46)	
2014 to 2018	31,799	31,669	407/7.0 (58.3)	260/7.0 (37.3)	21.01 (13.75-28.26)	1.54 (1.30-1.82)	
Calendar year at birth date							0.684
2008	50,140	50,140	1,150/24.3 (47.3)	767/24.7 (31.1)	16.21 (12.70-19.72)	1.38 (1.24-1.52)	
2009	43,577	43,577	844/22.5 (37.5)	551/22.9 (24.1)	13.42 (10.19-16.65)	1.45 (1.29-1.63)	
Birth residence							0.672
Seoul/Metropolitan	45,252	224,632	1,081/22.5 (48.1)	703/22.8 (30.9)	17.23 (13.56-20.90)	1.45 (1.31-1.61)	
City	36,056	181,604	675/18.2 (37.2)	466/18.4 (25.3)	11.82 (8.19-15.44)	1.37 (1.20-1.56)	
Rural	11,446	57,219	203/5.7 (35.5)	133/5.9 (22.4)	13.06 (6.87-19.26)	1.30 (1.02-1.66)	
Economic status							0.148
Low	9,735	9,850	251/4.8 (52.1)	175/5.0 (35.3)	16.90 (8.59-25.20)	1.40 (1.13-1.73)	
Middle	57,429	56,898	1,198/28.7 (41.8)	817/28.8 (28.4)	13.40 (10.34-16.47)	1.35 (1.23-1.49)	
High	23,117	23,693	436/11.6 (37.5)	274/12.1 (22.6)	14.89 (10.47-19.30)	1.58 (1.34-1.86)	
Any perinatal status							0.806
Yes	38,958	22,260	1,018/22.8 (44.7)	374/11.4 (32.8)	11.92 (7.61-16.23)	1.40 (1.22-1.59)	
No	54,759	71,457	976/24.0 (40.6)	944/36.2 (26.1)	14.51 (11.47-17.55)	1.43 (1.30-1.57)	
Disorders related to length of ges	station and fet	al growth					0.633
Yes	7,028	3,441	212/4.1 (52.0)	65/1.8 (36.7)	15.24 (3.89-26.58)	1.41 (1.05-1.91)	
No	86,689	90,276	1,782/42.7 (41.7)	1,253/45.8 (27.4)	14.34 (11.88-16.79)	1.41 (1.30-1.53)	
Birth trauma							0.259
Yes	1,126	919	35/0.6 (57.9)	12/0.5 (25.7)	32.80 (8.67-56.93)	2.27 (1.14-4.52)	
No	92,591	92,798	1,959/46.2 (42.4)	1,306/47.1 (27.7)	14.67 (12.26-17.07)	1.40 (1.29-1.51)	
Infections specific to the perinata	al period						0.720
Yes	16,998	13,737	437/8.9 (49.0)	226/7.0 (32.2)	16.75 (10.52-22.98)	1.41 (1.18-1.68)	
No	76,719	79,980	1,557/37.9 (41.1)	1,092/40.6 (26.9)	14.16 (11.57-16.75)	1.41 (1.29-1.54)	
Congenital malformation 0.332							
Yes	25,897	8,140	728/16.8 (43.3)	156/4.2 (36.9)	6.48 (0.11-13.07)	1.34 (1.11-1.62)	
No	67,820	85,577	1,266/30.0 (42.2)	1,162/43.3 (26.8)	15.35 (12.57-18.14)	1.44 (1.32-1.56)	
Admission during the first year after index date 0.233							
Yes	20,919	11,722	577/12.3 (46.9)	251/7.4 (33.8)	13.09 (7.43-18.76)	1.24 (1.04-1.46)	
No	72,798	81,995	1,417/34.5 (41.1)	1,067/40.1 (26.6)	14.48 (11.81-17.15)	1.42 (1.30-1.55)	
Outpatient visits during the first v	/ear after inde	ex date, day	, , , ,		, ,	. /	0.561
≥ 25 (median)	40,707	53,120	577/15.6 (35.8)	530/22.3 (22.8)	11.92 (8.36-15.55)	1.34 (1.18-1.53)	
< 24	53,010	40,597	1,437/31.2 (46.0)	788/25.3 (31.1)	14.86 (11.64-18.07)	1.32 (1.20-1.46)	

Table 3. Risk of ADHD^a in the exposed group compared with matched unexposed group

ADHD = attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, PY = person-years, HR = hazard ratio, CI = confidence interval, aHR = adjusted hazard ratio. ^aADHD was defined as at least a principal diagnosis of 10th revision of the International Classification of Diseases code F90.X after 72 months of age. ^bA Cox proportional hazards model was used to assess the relationship between general anesthesia and later development of ADHD. HRs and 95% CIs of ADHD in relation to previous general anesthesia exposure, using time after the index date as the underlying time scale, were calculated, adjusting for sex, age at the index date, calendar year of birth (2008 or 2009), birth weight, birth residence (Seoul/metropolitan, city, or rural area), economic status (low, middle, or high), and perinatal diseases. The first year of follow-up was excluded from all analyses.

°P value was derived from the interaction test by incorporating an interaction term into the Cox model.

Analysis of the second cohort indicated the associations between general anesthesia with ETI and ADHD were consistent with those in the main cohort (**Fig. 4**, **Supplementary Table 3**). Even after matching for baseline characteristics, including perinatal diseases, the exposed group had an increased risk of ADHD as main outcome (RR [95% CI], 1.20 [1.07–1.33]),

JKMS



Fig. 3. Forest plot of the association between GA and ADHD according to the intensity of GA and participant's condition after GA. HRs and 95% CIs were calculated using a Cox proportional hazards model, adjusting for age at the index date, sex, calendar year of birth (2008 or 2009), birth weight, birth residence (Seoul, metropolitan, city, or rural area), economic status (low, middle, or high), and perinatal diseases. To estimate the intensity of GA and participants' condition after GA, the exposure was divided according to the duration of GA (less than 1 hour, 1-2 hours, 2-3 hours, or over 3 hours), number of GA exposures (once, twice, or thrice or over), duration of admission at the time of GA (less than 3 days [median] or 3 days or over), and admission to the ICU (yes or no). All outcomes were identified for at least one year (window period) after the index date. Filled rounds indicate aHRs and black lines indicate 95% CIs. ADHD = attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, CI = confidence interval, aHR = adjusted hazard ratio, ICU = intensive care unit, GA = general anesthesia.



Fig. 4. Sensitivity analysis for the association between general anesthesia and ADHD based on the 1:1 propensity score matching method. RRs and 95% CIs were calculated using a modified Poisson regression to assess the relationship between general anesthesia and ADHD in the second cohort. ADHD as main outcome was defined as at least a principal diagnosis of ICD-10 code F90.X after 72 months old. Recurrent ADHD 1 year apart was defined as two principal diagnoses of ICD-10 code F90.X after 72 months of age and 1 year apart between diagnoses. Wide-ranging ADHD was defined as at least a principal or second diagnosis of ICD-10 code F90.X after 72 months of age and 1 year apart between diagnoses. Wide-ranging ADHD was defined as at least a principal or second diagnosis of ICD-10 code F90.X after 72 months of age. ADHD with medication was defined as at least a principal diagnosis of ICD-10 code F90.X after 72 months of age with a prescription of methylphenidate. All outcomes were identified for at least one year (window period) after the index date. Filled rounds indicate aRRs and black lines indicate 95% CIs.

ADHD = attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, CI = confidence interval, RR = risk ratio, aRR = adjusted risk ratio, ICD-10 = 10th revision of the International Classification of Diseases.

recurrent ADHD diagnoses 1 year apart (RR [95% CI], 1.26 [1.06–1.49]), wide-ranging ADHD (RR [95% CI], 1.15 [1.04–1.27]), and ADHD with medication (RR [95% CI], 1.21 [1.07–1.36]).

Association between general anesthesia with ETI and neurodevelopment screening test in children

We also examined the association between general anesthesia with ETI and the K-ASQ results as a secondary outcome (**Table 4**). There were 3,460 subjects (3.69%) in the exposed group and 2,200 (2.35%) in the unexposed group who needed follow-up and recommendation for further evaluation of the K-ASQ results. Receipt of general anesthesia

General Anesthesia, ADHD, and Neurodevelopment

Table 4. Association between general anesthesia with endotracheal intubation and neurodevelopment screening results (K-ASQ)

Results of the total score of the K-ASQ	No. (%)°		OR ^a (95% CI)	aOR ^b (95% CI) ^{a,c}
	Exposed group	Unexposed group		
	(n = 93,717)	(n = 93,717)		
Need for follow up and recommendation for further evaluation	3,460 (3.7)	2,200 (2.4)	2.093 (1.734-2.527)	1.909 (1.590-2.293)
Recommendation for further evaluation	2,176 (2.3)	939 (1.0)	2.571 (2.383-2.774)	1.958 (1.630-2.353)

K-ASQ = Korean-Ages and Stages Questionnaire, CI = confidence interval, OR = odds ratio, aOR = adjusted odds ratio.

^aORs and 95% CIs of results of the total Korean Developmental Screening Test score in relation to previous general anesthesia with endotracheal intubation exposure were calculated using a generalized estimated equation.

^bAdjusted for sex, age at index date, calendar year of birth (2008 or 2009), birth weight, birth residence (Seoul/metropolitan, city, or rural area), economic status (low, middle, or high), and perinatal disease.

"The K-ASQ results at 1 year after the index date were assessed. If a result in any of the six domains was needed for follow-up or recommended for further evaluation, the total K-ASQ score was classified as needing follow-up or recommendation for further evaluation.

with ETI was significantly associated with the need for follow-up and recommendation for further evaluation (adjusted OR, aOR [95% CI], 1.91 [1.59–2.29]). Furthermore, the need for recommendation and further evaluation was greater in the exposed group than in the unexposed group (2.32% vs. 1.00%), and the aOR for recommendation and further evaluation was greater in the exposed group was 1.96 (95% CI, 1.63–2.35).

We then examined the association between general anesthesia with ETI and the results of each domain of the K-ASQ (**Fig. 5**). The results indicated that the associations were significant in all five domains: communication (aOR [95% CI], 2.32 [2.08–2.59]), gross motor (aOR [95% CI], 2.37 [2.15–2.61]), fine motor (aOR [95% CI], 2.01 [1.86–2.17]), problem solving (aOR [95% CI], 1.94 [1.78–2.12]), and personal-social interrelation domains (aOR [95% CI], 2.04 [1.85–2.26]).

DISCUSSION

Our results showed that general anesthesia with ETI during childhood was associated with an increased risk of ADHD and was potentially associated with adverse neurodevelopment in preschoolers based on screening test results. In addition, we found no difference in the effects of general anesthesia with ETI on ADHD in subjects who had different demographic characteristics, in that the associations were statistically significant in all demographic groups. We also assessed the association of ADHD with the duration of general anesthesia



Fig. 5. Forest plot for the association between general anesthesia and each domain of K-ASQ. ORs and their 95% CIs were calculated using generalized estimated equations with the logit link function to assess the associations between general anesthesia exposure and adverse results of the K-ASQ in children. The results of each domain were stratified into appropriate, need for follow-up, and recommendations for further evaluation categories. The results of need for follow-up and recommendation for further evaluation, which mean a score below –1 and –2 standard deviations for age, were considered adverse results. All outcomes were identified for at least one year (window period) after the index date. Filled rounds indicate aORs and black lines indicate 95% CIs. K-ASQ = Korean-Ages and Stages Questionnaire, CI = confidence interval, OR = odds ratio, aOR = adjusted odds ratio.

with ETI, number of general anesthesia with ETI procedures, duration of admission after general anesthesia with ETI, and ICU admission after general anesthesia with ETI. These results indicated that a longer exposure to general anesthesia with ETI, more procedures of general anesthesia with ETI, longer hospital stay, and the need for ICU treatment after general anesthesia with ETI led to stronger associations with ADHD. Furthermore, based on the K-ASQ screening test, general anesthesia with ETI was also associated with a need for follow-up or recommendation for further evaluation.

Since the 2010s, the association between general anesthesia exposure and ADHD has been reported in clinical studies; however, the reported associations have been inconsistent. In a U.S. cohort of 185,002 children, anesthesia exposure was associated with an increased incidence of ADHD in children under 5 years old, with more exposures associated with greater risk.¹⁴ In addition, a study in Taiwan reported no increased risk of ADHD in children under 3 years-old following general anesthesia (aHR [95% CI], 1.10 [0.80-1.51]) after adjusting for gestational age, sex, living area, parents' economic status, parents' occupations, and comorbid health conditions. However, male sex, living in an urban area, congenital abnormalities, multiple exposures to general anesthesia, and receipt of general anesthesia for more than 3 hours were significantly related to ADHD.¹⁵ Other research from Taiwan showed that general anesthesia was not associated with later development of ADHD in children under 3 years even after multiple exposures.¹⁶ Even when using the same database, different results were shown based on the study setting or definition of outcomes. While the reported effects of a single exposure to general anesthesia in young children on ADHD development were inconclusive, most clinical studies have shown consistent results that multiple exposures to general anesthesia was associated with ADHD in young children.

In a meta-analysis of the association between general anesthesia and neurodevelopment in children, the risk of neurodevelopmental impairment was increased following general anesthesia exposure in children under 5 years old (pooled HR [95% CI], 1.25 [1.13–1.38]). However, a recent systematic review reported that there is no conclusive evidence that a single and short anesthesia exposure in infancy had an effect on neurodevelopment.¹⁷ An international randomized controlled trial, which is the most robust study to date, assessed the associations between adverse neurodevelopment at 2 and 5 years of age and general anesthesia exposure and compared them to those after awake-regional anesthesia exposure for inguinal hernia repair. In that study, neurodevelopment was assessed by the Bayley Scales of Infant Development-III at 2 years old and the Wechsler Preschool and Primary Scale of Intelligence-III Full Scale Intelligence Quotient score at 5 years old. Their results showed no significant association between a single general anesthesia procedure lasting less than 1 hour for herniorrhaphy and neurodevelopment compared to awake-regional anesthesia at the age of 2 years-old and 5 years-old.^{9,18} In contrast, our study showed that receipt of general anesthesia with ETI was potentially associated with adverse results in a developmental screening test at the preschool age. Further studies will be needed to confirm the effect of general anesthesia on neurodevelopment in children.

Brain structure and function develop and mature throughout childhood. Specifically, the first 2 years are critical for sensory development, the period before the age of 5 years is important for language and motor development, and later periods are important for development of higher cognition.¹⁹ A previous animal study found that administration of general anesthesia during a period analogous to infancy and young childhood altered the prefrontal cortex and reduced axonal connections,²⁰ and that these effects persisted into the period analogous to adulthood.¹⁵

The prefrontal cortex plays an important role in the pathophysiology of ADHD, and the effects of general anesthesia on the prefrontal cortex are apparently dose-dependent and persist into adulthood. Therefore, although the results of this animal study cannot be verified in humans, they provide a possible explanation for the relationship between receipt of general anesthesia during childhood and ADHD. In addition, exposure to anesthetic agents might induce developmental neurotoxicity and accelerate neurodegeneration in young children. In vitro and in vivo studies have reported that exposure to anesthetic agents have dose-dependent effects on various neuronal transmission systems and increase neuronal cell death.²¹ Furthermore, excitotoxicity is regarded as one of the mechanisms of neuronal cell death caused by anesthetic agents. The Na⁺-K⁺-2Cl⁻ cotransporter isoform 1 (NKCC1) generates a chloride influx that leads to depolarization of γ-aminobutyric acid (GABA_A) receptor-mediated responses in immature neurons, and is immature until the first year after birth. Although GABA is an inhibitory agent in the mature brain, it is an excitatory agent during the developmental period when the chloride transporter is immature.^{22,23} Therefore, anesthetic agents could stimulate rather than inhibit immature neurons in young children, and this could lead to excitotoxicity. Lastly, exposure to anesthetic agents has an effect on epigenetic modulation of transcription, which could globally affect neurodevelopment and synaptogenesis.24

The risk for the neuropsychiatric disorders after general anesthesia is similar for different age groups from birth to the age of 5 years-old.²⁵ Most studies that examined the effects of general anesthesia in children under the age of 3 to 5 years reported neurodevelopmental alterations or neuropsychiatric disorders²⁶ because this period is a critical time for neurodevelopment. However, the period after the age of 5 years is also important for higher cognition, sensitivity, and neurologic plasticity.¹⁹ The present study showed that receipt of general anesthesia with ETI before or after the age of 4 years was associated with a subsequent diagnosis of ADHD. Future studies will be needed to confirm this association.

The present study is a nationwide general pediatric population study in which data had representative characteristics and the results can be generalized. Furthermore, the K-ASQ is a validated developmental screening test for Korean children; therefore, this database was appropriate for assessing the association between general anesthesia exposure and adverse neurodevelopment in children. In addition, we analyzed the associations between general anesthesia and ADHD according to demographic and clinical characteristics and the concentration of general anesthesia.

However, our study had some limitations. First, this study had no information regarding the type and dosage of anesthetic agents that might affect neurodevelopmental risk. To evaluate the risk depending on the concentration of anesthesia, we analyzed data from insurance receipts for procedures, including intubation for general anesthesia that determined the duration of exposure. In addition, we relied on the definitions of ADHD according to ICD-10 and drug classification codes from the administrative data. Thus, we attempted to confirm the results using various definitions of ADHD. Second, the developmental outcomes from the K-ASQ are screening data that recommend further developmental tests. This score cannot show the diagnostic information of neurodevelopment, such as the intelligence quotient or developmental age. Third, we could not assess potential unmeasured confounders that may have affected the development of ADHD or neurodevelopment, such as parental education level, genetic background, or family history. Finally, because this was an observational study, we could not infer causal relationships.

In conclusion, exposure to general anesthesia with ETI in children is associated with an increased risk of ADHD and adverse results of neurodevelopmental screening test. We must recognize the possible neurodevelopmental risk resulting from general anesthesia exposure, inform patients and parents regarding this risk, and emphasize the importance of close monitoring of mental health. However, the risk from anesthesia exposure is not superior to the importance of medical procedures. Specific research is needed for the development of safer anesthetic drugs and doses.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

Supplementary Table 1

Basic sociodemographic characteristics of cohorts before and after 1:1 PS matching

Click here to view

Supplementary Table 2

Risk of ADHD^a in the exposed group compared with the matched unexposed group according to the intensity of general anesthesia with endotracheal intubation and patient status after this procedure

Click here to view

Supplementary Table 3

Sensitivity analysis of cohorts before and after 1:1 PS matching

Click here to view

Supplementary Fig. 1

Study design of the sensitivity analysis based on a 1:1 PS matching.

Click here to view

REFERENCES

- U.S. Food and Drug Administration. FDA Drug Safety Communication: FDA Review Results in New Warnings About Using General Anesthetics and Sedation Drugs in Young Children and Pregnant Women. Silver Spring, MD, USA: U.S. Food and Drug Administration; 2017.
- Shi Y, Hu D, Rodgers EL, Katusic SK, Gleich SJ, Hanson AC, et al. Epidemiology of general anesthesia prior to age 3 in a population-based birth cohort. *Paediatr Anaesth* 2018;28(6):513-9.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Walkden GJ, Gill H, Davies NM, Peters AE, Wright I, Pickering AE. Early childhood general anesthesia and neurodevelopmental outcomes in the Avon Longitudinal Study of parents and children birth cohort. *Anesthesiology* 2020;133(5):1007-20.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 4. Korean Statistical Information Service. KOSIS statistical database. https://kosis.kr/eng/. Updated 2022. Accessed August 10th, 2022.
- Sanders RD, Hassell J, Davidson AJ, Robertson NJ, Ma D. Impact of anaesthetics and surgery on neurodevelopment: an update. *Br J Anaesth* 2013;110 Suppl 1(Suppl 1):i53-72.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF

- Walters JL, Paule MG. Review of preclinical studies on pediatric general anesthesia-induced developmental neurotoxicity. *Neurotoxicol Teratol* 2017;60:2-23.
 PUBMED I CROSSREF
- Andersen SL. Trajectories of brain development: point of vulnerability or window of opportunity? *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 2003;27(1-2):3-18.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Lin EP, Lee JR, Lee CS, Deng M, Loepke AW. Do anesthetics harm the developing human brain? An integrative analysis of animal and human studies. *Neurotoxicol Teratol* 2017;60:117-28.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 9. McCann ME, de Graaff JC, Dorris L, Disma N, Withington D, Bell G, et al. Neurodevelopmental outcome at 5 years of age after general anaesthesia or awake-regional anaesthesia in infancy (GAS): an international, multicentre, randomised, controlled equivalence trial. *Lancet* 2019;393(10172):664-77. PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Warner DO, Zaccariello MJ, Katusic SK, Schroeder DR, Hanson AC, Schulte PJ, et al. Neuropsychological and behavioral outcomes after exposure of young children to procedures requiring general anesthesia: the Mayo Anesthesia Safety in Kids (MASK) study. *Anesthesiology* 2018;129(1):89-105.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Hu D, Flick RP, Zaccariello MJ, Colligan RC, Katusic SK, Schroeder DR, et al. Association between exposure of young children to procedures requiring general anesthesia and learning and behavioral outcomes in a population-based birth cohort. *Anesthesiology* 2017;127(2):227-40.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Kim JH, Lee JE, Shim SM, Ha EK, Yon DK, Kim OH, et al. Cohort profile: national investigation of birth cohort in Korea study 2008 (NICKs-2008). *Clin Exp Pediatr* 2021;64(9):480-8.
- Gruschow SM, Yerys BE, Power TJ, Durbin DR, Curry AE. Validation of the use of electronic health records for classification of ADHD status. *J Atten Disord* 2016;23(13):1647-55.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Shi Y, Dykhoff HJ, Guevara LR, Sangaralingham LR, Schroeder DR, Flick RP, et al. Moderators of the association between attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder and exposure to anaesthesia and surgery in children. *Br J Anaesth* 2021;127(5):722-8.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Tsai CJ, Lee CT, Liang SH, Tsai PJ, Chen VC, Gossop M. Risk of ADHD after multiple exposures to general anesthesia: a nationwide retrospective cohort study. *J Atten Disord* 2018;22(3):229-39.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Ko WR, Liaw YP, Huang JY, Zhao DH, Chang HC, Ko PC, et al. Exposure to general anesthesia in early life and the risk of attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder development: a nationwide, retrospective matchedcohort study. *Paediatr Anaesth* 2014;24(7):741-8.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Grabowski J, Goldin A, Arthur LG, Beres AL, Guner YS, Hu YY, et al. The effects of early anesthesia on neurodevelopment: a systematic review. *J Pediatr Surg* 2021;56(5):851-61.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Davidson AJ, Disma N, de Graaff JC, Withington DE, Dorris L, Bell G, et al. Neurodevelopmental outcome at 2 years of age after general anaesthesia and awake-regional anaesthesia in infancy (GAS): an international multicentre, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2016;387(10015):239-50.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- McCann ME, Soriano SG. Does general anesthesia affect neurodevelopment in infants and children? *BMJ* 2019;367:16459.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Briner A, De Roo M, Dayer A, Muller D, Habre W, Vutskits L. Volatile anesthetics rapidly increase dendritic spine density in the rat medial prefrontal cortex during synaptogenesis. *Anesthesiology* 2010;112(3):546-56.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Vutskits L. General anesthesia: a gateway to modulate synapse formation and neural plasticity? *Anesth Analg* 2012;115(5):1174-82.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Zhang LL, Pathak HR, Coulter DA, Freed MA, Vardi N. Shift of intracellular chloride concentration in ganglion and amacrine cells of developing mouse retina. *J Neurophysiol* 2006;95(4):2404-16.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Edwards DA, Shah HP, Cao W, Gravenstein N, Seubert CN, Martynyuk AE. Bumetanide alleviates epileptogenic and neurotoxic effects of sevoflurane in neonatal rat brain. *Anesthesiology* 2010;112(3):567-75.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF

- Dalla Massara L, Osuru HP, Oklopcic A, Milanovic D, Joksimovic SM, Caputo V, et al. General anesthesia causes epigenetic histone modulation of c-Fos and brain-derived neurotrophic factor, target genes important for neuronal development in the immature rat hippocampus. *Anesthesiology* 2016;124(6):1311-27.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Ing C, Sun M, Olfson M, DiMaggio CJ, Sun LS, Wall MM, et al. Age at exposure to surgery and anesthesia in children and association with mental disorder diagnosis. *Anesth Analg* 2017;125(6):1988-98.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Clausen NG, K\u00e4hler S, Hansen TG. Systematic review of the neurocognitive outcomes used in studies of paediatric anaesthesia neurotoxicity. *Br J Anaesth* 2018;120(6):1255-73.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF