

# Factor Analysis of Biochemical Markers Associated with Bone Mineral Density in Adults

JAE-HWAN CHO, PhD<sup>1)</sup>, MIN-TAE KIM, PhD<sup>1)</sup>, HAE-KAG LEE, PhD<sup>2)\*</sup>, IN-SIK HONG, PhD<sup>2)</sup>,  
HYON-CHOL JANG, PhD<sup>3, 4)</sup>

<sup>1)</sup> Department of International Radiological Science, Hallym University of Graduate Studies, Republic of Korea

<sup>2)</sup> Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Soonchunhyang University: Asan, Chungnam 336-745, Republic of Korea

<sup>3)</sup> Department of Radiological Science, Suseong College, Republic of Korea

<sup>4)</sup> Department of Public Health, Hanyang University, Republic of Korea

**Abstract.** [Purpose] The aim of this study was to find biochemical markers related to low bone mineral density in Korean adults. [Subjects and Methods] From August 1 to September 15, 2013, subjects receiving medical checkups were classified as lumbar spine bone normal, osteopenic, or osteoporotic using a bone mineral densitometer. Next, age, body mass index, and biochemical parameter differences were compared among the three groups. [Results] The results revealed that, the relevant factors were maximum blood pressure, minimum blood pressure, bone mineral density, total bilirubin, alkaline phosphatase (ALP), fasting blood glucose, iron, neutrophils, monocytes, and eosinophils. The bone mineral density of patients with osteoporosis was 0.763 times lower than that of normal subjects. The total bilirubin level of patients with osteoporosis was 0.45 times lower than that of normal subjects. The alkaline phosphatase level of patients with osteopenia was 1.059 times higher than that of normal subjects, and that in patients with osteoporosis was 1.088 times higher than that in normal subjects. The fasting blood glucose level of patients with osteoporosis was 0.963 times lower than that of normal subjects. The iron level of patients with osteoporosis was 0.986 times lower than that of normal subjects. [Conclusion] In conclusion, osteoporosis is a representative disease in elderly women due to aging and menopause, and more active interest should be taken for prevention and treatment.

**Key words:** Osteopenia, Osteoporosis, Bone mineral density

(This article was submitted Jan. 17, 2014, and was accepted Feb. 16, 2014)

## INTRODUCTION

The aging of modern society due to cultural improvements and the development of medical technology, has resulted in an increase in age-related disease, which in turn has resulted in serious medical cost problems. In particular, osteoporosis is a representative age-related disease that is impacting society in terms of socioeconomics as well as quality of life in the elderly<sup>1-3)</sup>. Osteoporosis is the most common metabolic bone disease in the elderly, and the World Health Organization (WHO) reported that susceptibility to fracture increases with decreased bone mass<sup>4-6)</sup>. The US National Institutes of Health reported that osteoporosis is characterized as an increased fracture risk due to decreased bone strength in their recent NIH Consensus Statement and highlighted the relative importance of bone strength compared with bone mass<sup>7)</sup>. In the USA, 8 million

women are assumed to have osteoporosis, and 22 million people are assumed to have osteopenia. In the Republic of Korea, the prevalence of osteoporosis after menopause is 10%, and that of osteopenia is ~30%<sup>8-10)</sup>. In addition, fractures of the spine, hip, and wrist are common in the case of severe osteoporosis. In particular, treatment and recovery from fractures are difficult in the elderly; thus, it is important to diagnose and treat osteoporosis early<sup>11)</sup>. Weight is as an important factor in determination of bone mineral density (BMD) and the frequency of fractures<sup>12, 13)</sup>. Other factors affecting BMD include deficient calcium intake, amount of exercise, hyperthyroidism affecting bone metabolism, hyperparathyroidism, and endocrine and metabolic diseases such as diabetes and chronic renal failure<sup>14, 15)</sup>. However, studies on biochemical bone metabolism factors are lacking. Osteoporosis is usually diagnosed using BMD measurements. The quantitative measurement methods are radiographic absorptiometry (RA), dual energy X-ray absorptiometry (DEXA), quantitative computed tomography (QCT)/peripheral QCT (pQCT), quantitative ultrasound, and quantitative magnetic resonance<sup>16)</sup>. Among the many methods, DEXA is mainly used for BMD measurement and is the most sensitive and appropriate standard method for osteoporosis assessments<sup>17, 18)</sup>. In this study, BMD was

\*Corresponding author. Hae-Kag Lee (E-mail: lkh7083@hanmail.net)

**Table 1.** Values of the three groups of normal subjects and patients with osteopenia and osteoporosis

Variable	Mean	Variable	Mean		
BMI (kg/m) <sup>1</sup>	Normal	23.89±2.53	Uric acid (mg/dl)	Normal	5.20±1.40
	Osteopenia	23.01±2.84		Osteopenia	4.96±1.08
	Osteoporosis	22.12±2.29		Osteoporosis	4.69±1.37
Total protein (g/dl)	Normal	7.09±0.30	LDH (u/L) <sup>6</sup>	Normal	194.83±29.25
	Osteopenia	7.22±0.38		Osteopenia	188.98±30.64
	Osteoporosis	7.26±0.31		Osteoporosis	198.05±37.56
Albumin (g/dl)	Normal	4.21±0.18	Cholesterol (mg/dl)	Normal	192.44±39.05
	Osteopenia	4.20±0.22		Osteopenia	197.70±38.14
	Osteoporosis	4.31±0.27		Osteoporosis	201.35±31.50
Globulin (g/dl)	Normal	2.89±0.23	Triglyceride (mg/dl)	Normal	118.56±48.14
	Osteopenia	3.02±0.36		Osteopenia	114.74±45.65
	Osteoporosis	2.95±0.21		Osteoporosis	118.68±49.82
Albumin/globulin ratio (%)	Normal	1.48±0.13	FPG (mg/dl) <sup>7</sup>	Normal	100.89±12.81
	Osteopenia	1.41±0.19		Osteopenia	99.88±19.18
	Osteoporosis	1.77±1.90		Osteoporosis	92.62±14.71
Total bilirubin (mg/dl)	Normal	1.03±0.31	Amylase (mg/dl)	Normal	60.06±16.18
	Osteopenia	0.88±0.40		Osteopenia	64.19±23.81
	Osteoporosis	0.73±0.22		Osteoporosis	66.84±25.19
AST (u/L) <sup>2</sup>	Normal	22.28±6.29	Blood urea nitrogen (mg/dl)	Normal	14.61±3.60
	Osteopenia	22.95±10.06		Osteopenia	14.67±3.92
	Osteoporosis	21.51±5.63		Osteoporosis	13.84±3.12
ALT (u/L) <sup>3</sup>	Normal	24.33±8.79	Creatinine (mg/dl)	Normal	0.85±0.15
	Osteopenia	22.26±11.50		Osteopenia	0.81±0.14
	Osteoporosis	19.43±7.16		Osteoporosis	0.78±0.13
GGT (u/L) <sup>4</sup>	Normal	36.56±29.14	BUN/Cr ratio (%) <sup>8</sup>	Normal	18.26±4.84
	Osteopenia	39.95±39.74		Osteopenia	18.60±5.84
	Osteoporosis	23.65±14.48		Osteoporosis	18.34±5.58

measured using DEXA and biochemical markers associated with BMD in adult males and females were confirmed.

### SUBJECTS AND METHODS

In this study, subjects receiving physical checkups were studied for an association between a physical examination, blood results, and BMD. From August 1 to September 15, 2013, 100 subjects were selected from among those who underwent a physical checkup including BMD measurement and a blood test and who were  $\geq 50$  years or menopausal women who had osteoporosis, osteopenia, or were normal as determined by their T-score. The study included 20 men and 80 women. Subjects with diabetes were excluded. The mean age of the men was  $56.72 \pm 6.06$  years, and their mean height was  $168.97 \pm 6.02$  cm. The mean age of the women was  $58.21 \pm 5.96$  years, and mean height was  $154.31 \pm 5.37$  cm. All participants signed a written informed consent form approved by the Institutional Review Board at Soonchunhyang University Hospital. The images were acquired using existing data.

BMD was measured using DXA (Lunar Bravo, Lunar Prodigy, or Lunar Advance; GE Healthcare Technologies, San Francisco, CA, USA) of the lumbar spine: L1–L4 were

major markers of BMD. To improve test precision, the mean BMD for the 1st lumbar vertebra to the 4th lumbar vertebra (L1–L4) was used, and the left femur test was excluded due to low accuracy. According to the International Society for Clinical Densitometry (ISCD) classification, if the lumbar spine T-score was  $> -1.0$ , subjects were classified as normal; if it was  $-1.0$  to  $-2.4$ , subjects had osteopenia, if it was  $< -2.5$ , subjects had osteoporosis. In addition to the physical examination, body weight and height were measured using an automatic height and weight scale. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight (kg)/height squared ( $m^2$ ). Biochemical parameters were measured after at least a 12 h fast through blood testing and urinalysis. Thirty-five items were collected as study variables, including maximum blood pressure, minimum blood pressure; minimum blood pressure; albumin, globulin, and total protein levels, albumin/globulin ratio (AG ratio); aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alanine aminotransferase (ALT), gamma-glutamyl transferase (GGT), alkaline phosphatase (ALP), uric acid, lactate dehydrogenase, cholesterol, triglyceride, fasting blood glucose, urea nitrogen, creatinine, and total bilirubin levels; blood urea nitrogen / creatinine ratio (BUN:CR ratio); iron, cell, and hemoglobin levels; hematocrit; mean corpuscular volume; mean corpuscular he-

Table 1. Continue

Variable	Mean	Variable	Mean		
ALP (u/L) <sup>5</sup>	Normal	61.06±14.22	Fe (mg/dl)	Normal	127.00±32.45
	Osteopenia	75.67±19.80		Osteopenia	122.53±49.73
	Osteoporosis	88.38±24.52		Osteoporosis	103.59±40.96
Red blood cell count (10 <sup>6</sup> /um)	Normal	4.57±0.36	MPV (fl) <sup>13</sup>	Normal	9.82±0.56
	Osteopenia	4.48±0.35		Osteopenia	10.10±0.77
	Osteoporosis	4.46±0.41		Osteoporosis	10.19±0.61
Hemoglobin (g/dl)	Normal	14.10±1.01	PDW (%) <sup>14</sup>	Normal	10.77±0.99
	Osteopenia	13.89±1.20		Osteopenia	11.41±1.49
	Osteoporosis	13.56±1.31		Osteoporosis	11.45±1.23
Hematocrit (%)	Normal	41.12±2.62	White blood cell count (10 <sup>3</sup> /um)	Normal	5.23±1.29
	Osteopenia	40.69±3.00		Osteopenia	5.52±1.46
	Osteoporosis	40.25±3.36		Osteoporosis	5.44±1.41
MCV (fl) <sup>9</sup>	Normal	90.21±3.40	Neutrophils (%)	Normal	47.28±6.39
	Osteopenia	90.89±4.02		Osteopenia	51.40±10.30
	Osteoporosis	90.30±3.72		Osteoporosis	52.70±8.30
MCH (uug) <sup>10</sup>	Normal	30.91±1.11	Lymphocytes (%)	Normal	40.22±6.45
	Osteopenia	31.00±1.47		Osteopenia	36.67±10.34
	Osteoporosis	30.39±1.42		Osteoporosis	37.51±8.46
MCHC (%) <sup>11</sup>	Normal	34.29±0.59	Monocytes (%)	Normal	7.94±1.43
	Osteopenia	34.11±0.81		Osteopenia	7.18±1.84
	Osteoporosis	33.65±0.70		Osteoporosis	6.89±1.68
RDW (%) <sup>12</sup>	Normal	12.75±0.63	Eosinophils (%)	Normal	3.72±2.97
	Osteopenia	12.90±0.54		Osteopenia	3.02±1.88
	Osteoporosis	12.85±0.59		Osteoporosis	2.41±1.67
Platelet count (10 <sup>3</sup> /um)	Normal	231.83±40.43			
	Osteopenia	238.86±51.16			
	Osteoporosis	239.68±48.67			

<sup>1</sup>Body mass index, <sup>2</sup>aspartate aminotransferase, <sup>3</sup>alanine aminotransferase, <sup>4</sup>gamma-glutamyl transferase, <sup>5</sup>alkaline phosphatase, <sup>6</sup>lactate dehydrogenase, <sup>7</sup>fasting plasma glucose, <sup>8</sup>blood urea nitrogen / creatinine ratio, <sup>9</sup>mean corpuscular volume, <sup>10</sup>mean corpuscular hemoglobin, <sup>11</sup>mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration, <sup>12</sup>red cell distribution width, <sup>13</sup>mean Platelet Volume, <sup>14</sup>platelet distribution width

moglobin volume; red blood cell size distribution; platelet count; mean platelet volume; platelet and white blood cell, neutrophil, lymphocyte, monocyte, and eosinophil counts. distribution factor; white blood cell count; and neutrophil, lymphocyte, monocyte, and eosinophil percentages.

Lumbar spine BMD was classified into three groups, normal, osteopenia, and osteoporosis, and the characteristics were compared. First, differences in age, BMI, and biochemical markers were tested among the three groups by ANOVA. After the univariate analysis, factors showing significant relevance to BMD were evaluated by multivariate logistic regression analysis. The statistical analysis was performed using the SPSS ver. 18.0 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). A  $p < 0.05$  was considered significant.

## RESULTS

BMI was  $22.12 \pm 2.28$  kg/m<sup>2</sup> in patients with osteoporosis and  $23.89 \pm 2.52$  kg/m<sup>2</sup> in the normal group ( $p < 0.05$ ). The total bilirubin level was  $0.73 \pm 0.22$  mg/dl in patients with osteoporosis and  $1.03 \pm 0.31$  mg/dl in the normal group.

( $p < 0.05$ ). The GGT level of patients with osteoporosis was  $23.64 \pm 14.47$  u/L and was significantly lower ( $p < 0.05$ ) than that of the normal group. No differences were observed in the remaining blood parameters (Table 1). Next, factors showing significant relevance to BMD were evaluated in a univariate analysis. Factors showing relevance were/ maximum blood pressure; minimum blood pressure; BMI; ALP, fasting blood glucose, iron, and total bilirubin levels; and neutrophil, monocyte, and eosinophil counts ( $p < 0.05$ ). The maximum blood pressure in patients with osteopenia was 1.167 times higher than that in patients with osteoporosis and 1.203 times higher than that in normal subjects. The minimum blood pressure in patients with osteopenia was 0.812 times lower and that in patients with osteoporosis and 0.834 times lower than that in normal subjects. The BMI of patients with osteoporosis was 0.763 times lower than that of normal subjects. The total bilirubin level in patients with osteoporosis was 0.45 times lower than that of normal subjects. The ALP level of patients with osteopenia was 1.059 times higher than that of normal subjects, and ALP of patients with osteoporosis was 1.088 times higher than that of

**Table 2.** An analysis of factors showing significant relevance to the normal, osteopenia, and osteoporosis groups

	Division	OR	OR (95% CI)	
			Minimum	Maximum
Osteopenia	Normal	1		
	BMI (kg/m)	0.876	0.707	1.087
Osteoporosis	Normal	1		
	BMI (kg/m)	0.763	0.606	0.962
Osteopenia	Normal	1		
	Total bilirubin (mg/dl)	0.346	0.078	1.539
Osteoporosis	Normal	1		
	Total bilirubin (mg/dl)	0.045	0.006	0.358
Osteopenia	Normal	1		
	ALP	1.059	1.016	1.105
Osteoporosis	Normal	1		
	ALP	1.088	1.041	1.137
Osteopenia	Normal	1		
	FPG (mg/dl)	0.997	0.967	1.028
Osteoporosis	Normal	1		
	FPG (mg/dl)	0.963	0.924	1.003
Osteopenia	Normal	1		
	Fe	0.998	0.987	1.009
Osteoporosis	Normal	1		
	Fe	0.986	0.972	1
Osteopenia	Normal	1		
	Neutrophils (%)	1.055	0.989	1.125
Osteoporosis	Normal	1		
	Neutrophils (%)	1.072	1.003	1.147
Osteopenia	Normal	1		
	Monocytes (%)	0.777	0.562	1.073
Osteoporosis	Normal	1		
	Monocytes (%)	0.697	0.494	0.984
Osteopenia	Normal	1		
	Eosinophils (%)	0.881	0.698	1.113
Osteoporosis	Normal	1		
	Eosinophils (%)	0.723	0.536	0.974

<sup>1</sup>Body mass index, <sup>2</sup>aspartate aminotransferase, <sup>3</sup>alanine aminotransferase, <sup>4</sup>gamma-glutamyl transferase, <sup>5</sup>alkaline phosphatase, <sup>6</sup>lactate dehydrogenase, <sup>7</sup>fasting plasma glucose, <sup>8</sup>blood urea nitrogen / creatinine ratio, <sup>9</sup>mean corpuscular volume, <sup>10</sup>mean corpuscular hemoglobin, <sup>11</sup>mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration, <sup>12</sup>red cell distribution width, <sup>12</sup>mean Platelet Volume, <sup>13</sup>platelet distribution width

normal subjects. The fasting blood glucose level in patients with osteoporosis was 0.963 times lower than that in normal subjects. The iron level in patients with osteoporosis was 0.986 times lower than that in normal subjects. The neutrophil count in patients with osteoporosis was 1.072 times higher than that in normal subjects. The monocyte count in patients with osteoporosis was 0.697 times lower than that in normal subjects. The eosinophil count in patients with osteoporosis was 0.723 times lower than that in normal subjects ( $p < 0.05$ ) (Table 2).

## DISCUSSION

Osteoporosis is defined as “a musculoskeletal disease with an increased risk of fractures due to bone strength

weakness” by the NIH<sup>19</sup>) and is mainly expressed by BMD<sup>19</sup>). The T-score is calculated as: (measured value in patient – mean value in young population)/standard deviation and is compared to the BMD in the young population showing the highest bone mass to reveal relative risks for fracture<sup>19</sup>). Osteoporosis is a disease of decreasing bone mass due to decreased bone formation and bone destruction resulting from various localized factors and systemic factors that modulate bone cell function. In addition, many hormones, cytokines, and genes are involved in this mechanism<sup>20</sup>). Unlike the above factors, studies on biochemical bone metabolic markers are lacking. In the present study, BMD was measured by DEXA and biochemical markers were checked in adult male and female subjects. The factors that showed relevance were BMI; maximum blood pressure;

minimum blood pressure; ALP, fasting blood glucose, iron, and total bilirubin levels; and neutrophil, monocyte, and eosinophil counts. Patients with osteoporosis had a 0.763 times lower BMI than that of normal subjects. Weight and bone mass are closely related, so bone mass is low and bone loss increases in postmenopausal women with a low BMI, but BMD tends to be high in obese women<sup>21</sup>). As weight increases, the load on the muscles increases and more mechanical stress is loaded, so bone mass is maintained<sup>22</sup>). Blood bilirubin is negatively associated with smoking, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, diabetes, and obesity<sup>23, 24</sup>). Maugeri et al.<sup>25</sup>) reported that the BMD in a diabetic group was lower than that in a nondiabetic group of 60- to 70-year-old patients. As a result, bilirubin is associated with diabetes, which was identified to affect BMD. Biochemistry biomarkers reflect the dynamic process of bone metabolism. Products of bone formation and bone absorption are released into the circulatory system, such as bone-specific ALP and osteocalcin<sup>26</sup>). ALP showed negative relevance in a domestic study<sup>27</sup>), and we showed the same result. Fasting blood glucose is also associated with diabetes and affects BMD. Goo et al.<sup>28</sup>) reported that osteoporosis results in significantly lower iron values compared with those in a normal group. We found a similar result. In addition, Goo et al.<sup>28</sup>) reported the results of an analysis of the prevalence of hypertension showing that 64.1% of their normal group had a normal blood pressure and 18.5% had hypertension; the values for their osteopenia group were 45.0% and 34.3%, respectively, and those for their osteoporosis group were 23.5% and 63.5%, respectively. So the ratio of hypertension seems to be increasing toward the osteoporosis group<sup>28</sup>). Na<sup>28</sup>) studied adult women in the Seoul area and reported that blood pressure in a bone risky group was higher than that in a normal group. Lee<sup>29</sup>) studied the correlation between bone density and blood pressure and reported that systolic blood pressure and diastolic blood pressure are correlated. Results from these studies were consistent with our results. Taken together, the factors predictive of BMD in the present study were BMI; maximum blood pressure; minimum blood pressure; ALP, fasting blood glucose, iron, and total bilirubin levels; and neutrophil, monocyte, and eosinophil counts. As osteoporosis is a representative disease that occurs in elderly women due to ageing and menopause, more attention should be paid to prevention and treatment. We did not identify a direct cause for the decreased BMD in the subjects; thus, large-scale prospective studies are required.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Jae-Hwan Cho and Min-Tae Kim equally contributed to this work. They are co-authors of first. This work was supported in part by the Soonchunhyang University Research Fund.

## REFERENCES

- Riggs BL, Melton LJ 3rd: Involutional osteoporosis. *N Engl J Med*, 1986, 314: 1676–1686. [[Medline](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
- Jung YJD, Yoo BW, Cho YJ, et al.: The analysis of multiple factors that influence to bone mineral density in women. *J Soonchunhyang Med Coll*, 2008, 14: 65–74.
- Kwon DJ, Yoo YO, Kim JH, et al.: Pre- and postmenopausal bone mineral density of the spine in normal Korean women. *Obstet Gynecol Sci*, 1994, 37: 2395–2399.
- Kanis JA: Osteoporosis and osteopenia. *J Bone Miner Res*, 1990, 5: 209–211. [[Medline](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
- Kanis JA, Melton LJ 3rd, Christiansen C, et al.: The diagnosis of osteoporosis. *J Bone Miner Res*, 1994, 9: 1137–1141. [[Medline](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
- Kanis JA: Assessment of fracture risk and its application to screening for post menopausal osteoporosis synopsis of a WHO report. WHO Study Group Int, 1994, 4: 368–381.
- Park JS, Joo YS, Choi YS, et al.: Frequency of vertebral compression fractures in spinal osteoarthritis patients in relation to bone mineral density. *J Rheum Dis*, 2003, 10: 45–52.
- Kim YI, Park JH, Lee JS, et al.: Prevalence and risk factors of the osteoporosis of perimenopausal women in the community population. *Korean J Med*, 2002, 62: 11–24.
- Yoon HK, Kim SW, Yim CH, et al.: Metabolic characteristics and prevalence of osteoporosis among women in Tae-An area. *J Korean Med Sci*, 2001, 16: 323–327. [[Medline](#)]
- Kim CH, Kim YI, Choi CS, et al.: Prevalence and risk factors of low quantitative ultrasound values of calcaneus in Korean elderly women. *Ultrasound Med Biol*, 2000, 26: 35–40. [[Medline](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
- Lemke DM: Vertebroplasty and kyphoplasty for treatment of painful osteoporotic compression fractures. *J Am Acad Nurse Pract*, 2005, 17: 268–276. [[Medline](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
- Nishizawa Y, Koyama H, Shoji T, et al.: Obesity as a determinant of regional bone mineral density. *J Nutr Sci Vitaminol (Tokyo)*, 1991, 37: S65–S70. [[Medline](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
- Kreiger N, Kelsey JL, Holford TR, et al.: An epidemiologic study of hip fracture in postmenopausal women. *Am J Epidemiol*, 1982, 116: 141–148. [[Medline](#)]
- Kanders B, Dempster DW, Lindsay R: Interaction of calcium nutrition and physical activity on bone mass in young women. *J Bone Miner Res*, 1988, 3: 145–149. [[Medline](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
- McCulloch RG, Bailey DA, Houston CS, et al.: Effects of physical activity, dietary calcium intake and selected lifestyle factors on bone density in young women. *CMAJ*, 1990, 142: 221–227. [[Medline](#)]
- Liang C, Gao DY: [Instrumentation and techniques in bone density measurement]. *Zhongguo Yi Liao Qi Xie Za Zhi*, 2002, 26: 59–62, 64. [[Medline](#)]
- Boone JM, Shaber GS, Tecotzky M: Dual-energy mammography: a detector analysis. *Med Phys*, 1990, 17: 665–675. [[Medline](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
- Lenchik L, Leib ES, Hamdy RC, et al.: International Society for Clinical Densitometry Position Development Panel and Scientific Advisory Committee: Executive summary International Society for Clinical Densitometry position development conference Denver, Colorado July 20–22, 2001. *J Clin Densitom*, 2002, 5: S1–S3. [[Medline](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
- Chung HY: Osteoporosis diagnosis and treatment. *J Korean Soc Endocrinol*, 2008, 23: 76–108. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Yu CH, Lee YS, Lee L, et al.: Nutritional factors related to bone mineral density in the different age groups of Korean women. *Korean J Nutr*, 2002, 35: 779–790.
- Pacifici R: Estrogen, cytokines, and pathogenesis of postmenopausal osteoporosis. *J Bone Miner Res*, 1996, 11: 1043–1051. [[Medline](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
- Felson DT, Zhang Y, Hannan MT, et al.: Effects of weight and body mass index on bone mineral density in men and women: the Framingham study. *J Bone Miner Res*, 1993, 8: 567–573. [[Medline](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
- Schwertner HA, Jackson WG, Tolan G: Association of low serum concentration of bilirubin with increased risk of coronary artery disease. *Clin Chem*, 1994, 40: 18–23. [[Medline](#)]
- Schwertner HA: Association of smoking and low serum bilirubin antioxidant concentrations. *Atherosclerosis*, 1998, 136: 383–387. [[Medline](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
- Maugeri D, Panebianco P, Destro G, et al.: Senile diabetes and bone mineral density. *Arch Gerontol Geriatr*, 1995, 20: 241–248. [[Medline](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
- Oh KW: Osteoporosis. *Korean J Med*, 2008, 75: 267–273.
- Lee SW, Lee SH, Kweon YR, et al.: Factors relating to bone mineral density of adult man in Korea. *J Korean Acad Fam Med*, 2003, 24: 158–165.
- Na NS: A study on factors related to bone density of women living in Seoul. MS thesis, Korea National Open University, 2009, 36–50.
- Lee KG: The Correlation between bone mineral status and body composition, muscle strength, blood biochemical, and blood pressure in Korean women. PhD dissertation, Kyung Hee University, 2007, 14–49.