Hindawi The Scientific World Journal Volume 2020, Article ID 7484631, 7 pages https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/7484631

Research Article

Practice and Barriers toward Breast Self-Examination among Palestinian Women in Gaza City, Palestine

Suha Baloushah , Waliu Jawula Salisu , Aymen Elsous , Aymen Elsous

Correspondence should be addressed to Suha Baloushah; sbaloushah@gmail.com

Received 5 August 2019; Revised 19 September 2019; Accepted 2 March 2020; Published 29 March 2020

Academic Editor: Jose Guilherme Cecatti

Copyright © 2020 Suha Baloushah et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Breast self-examination (BSE) is one of many first-line screening practices aimed at early detection and prevention of fatal outcomes of breast cancer. The present study aimed to identify Palestinian women's practices, awareness, and barriers to BSE. Using descriptive-analytical methods, a previously validated questionnaire was administered to a conveniently selected sample of women. The study was conducted among women who visited primary health clinics (PHCs) in Gaza City. A total of 390 participants who met the selection criteria answered the self-administered questionnaires. We used SPSS version 24.0 to analyze the data. The findings suggest that the practice of BSE among women in Gaza is low, with only 40% of the study participants reporting that they never practiced BSE before, even though 76.7% reported that they were aware of BSE. In general, the main barriers to BSE practices were that participants had wrong perceptions and lacked knowledge about BSE. Others also reported fear of detecting breast cancer as a barrier. The practice of BSE among Palestinian women in the Gaza Strip is low and marred with trivial issues as barriers that could be eliminated with dedicated and extensive educational campaigns in the area.

1. Introduction

Breast cancer (BC) is the leading cause of cancer mortality among women in the world [1, 2], accounting for 25.1% of all cancers [3]. However, its incidence in developed countries is high, while relative mortality is most considerable in less developed countries [3]. In Palestine, BC is the leading cause of cancer-related deaths among women: its mortality rate is 9.8% [4]. In the Gaza Strip alone, it accounts for 31.3% of all reported cancers occurring among Palestinian women with a prevalence of 149.1 per 100.000. BSE is considered one of the screening methods in addition to clinical breast examination

and X-ray mammography that are aimed at early detection of BC [5]. The American Cancer Society recommended education and early commencement of BSE by the age of 20 years [6]. BSE is a patient-centered, inexpensive, and noninvasive method of screening for BC, which improves the chances of early BC detection [7, 8]. Statistics on the practice of BSE remains low in many countries. For example, it was reported in a study conducted in Kuwait that 12% practiced BSE [9].

Similarly, in Nigeria, 18.1% was reported [10]. Previous studies revealed several barriers to the practice of BSE, such as a previous family history of BC and the lack of knowledge

¹Department of Reproductive Health, School of Nursing and Midwifery, Tehran University of Medical Science, Tehran 1419733171, Iran

²Department of Medical-Surgical Nursing, School of Nursing and Midwifery, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran 1419733171, Iran

³Faculty of Medical Sciences, Israa University-Gaza, Gaza Strip, State of Palestine

⁴Unit of Planning and Policy Formulation, Ministry of Health, Gaza Strip 79702, State of Palestine

⁵Palestinian Ministry of Health, Gaza Strip 79702, State of Palestine

about the practice of BSE [11–13]. There exists a dearth of literature on the practices and barriers to BSE among women of reproductive age in Palestine.

The present study aimed to identify the practice attitudes, awareness, and barriers of BSE among Palestinian women of reproductive age in Gaza City.

2. Materials and Methods

- 2.1. Study Design and Setting. This descriptive-analytical study was conducted at three selected PHCs out of six centers that provide a variety of primary health care services for women of all age groups in Gaza City of Palestine. The centers were selected purposively to include the ones with the highest attendance to ensure unrestricted participation and to capture a wide variety of the populace. The selected centers were Alzaytoun Center, Remal Martyr's Center, and Alfalah Center.
- 2.2. Study Sample and Sampling. A convenience sampling method was used from March 2017 to Jun 2017 to recruit 390 women who attended a maternal and child health clinic at any of the selected PHCs. The inclusion criterion was women aged 18 years and above, irrespective of their marital status. Three of the authors administered the questionnaires to women who reported to any of the three centers and willing to participate in the study. The women were approached to be included in the study as and when they arrived at the center for maternal and child health care services. The answered questionnaires were entered into SPSS immediately to avoid errors and for future analysis.
- 2.3. Study Instrument. In this present study, we used a selfadministered questionnaire, which was developed based on extensive literature review and previous literature [14-16]. The validity and reliability were confirmed. The internal consistency was measured with Cronbach coefficient alpha and was <0.7. The content validity was measured using the conventional approaches of assessing both the item content validity index (I-CVI) and the scale content validity index (S-CVI). The I-CVI involves the measurement of the content validity of individual items while the S-CVI involves measuring the content validity of the overall scale. After the assessment, the results of the I-CVI and S-CVI showed to be above the recommended cut-offs. The questionnaire consisted of four parts: the first part sought participant's sociodemographic characteristics; the second part aimed to understand the participant's awareness and knowledge of breast cancer; BSE practices and reasons for performing BSE was the third part; and barriers toward performing BSE constituted the final part.
- 2.4. Sample Size. We used the traditional formula for calculating the sample size of cross-sectional studies [17] and an estimated sample size of 385 with a margin of error of 5% and confidence level of 95%. The sample size was increased by five, to 390 to overcome issues of missing data and

nonresponse. In the end, all the participants that we invited to participate in the study responded; however, some parts of the questionnaires were left unanswered.

- 2.5. Data Analysis. The analysis was performed using SPSS version 24.0. Descriptive and analytical statistics were applied to all the variables in the study. Chi-Square test and simple logistic regression were used to test for the association between BSE practice and other categorical variables in the study. Bivariate binary logistic regression analysis was conducted to identify potential barriers to the practice of BSE. Findings were presented as crude odds ratios and 95% CI. All independent variables with P value <0.05 were considered statistically significant and chosen for logistic regression analysis. In the logistic regression, independent variables with P < 0.05 were noted as the main barriers to BSF
- 2.6. Ethical Approval. We obtained ethical approval for this study from the Health Research Department of the Palestinian Ministry of Health.

3. Results

- 3.1. Characteristics of Respondents. A significant number of study participants, 340 (87.7%), were married, and most, 221 (56.7%), were below 30 years old. The majority, 369 (94.6%), reported that they have never suffered any breast disease in the past; 345 (88.5%) did not have a family history of BC as presented in Table 1.
- 3.2. Awareness of BC and BSE. There was a high level of awareness of BC and BSE among a good number of the participants, accounting for 352 (90.3%) and 299 (76.7%), respectively. The majority of the participants, 359 (92.1%), agreed that BSE was essential to them, yet, only 179 (45.9%) could perform BSE appropriately. Health care providers were the source of information regarding BSE to 138 (46.2%) of the participants; see Table 2.
- 3.3. Practice of BSE. Most of the study participants, 235(60.0%), had never practiced BSE Table 3. In total, 155 (40%) confirmed they had never performed BSE before; 115 (74.2%) of them indicated they first performed BSE after age 20. Only 27 (17.4%) reported they practiced BSE monthly. Of this, 94 (60.6%) performed it using three fingers, while 54 (34.8%) spend within 3–5 minutes performing BSE. Out of the 155 participants who confirmed they had never performed BSE before, 130 (83.9%) reported that they perform BSE for purposes of early detection. In total, 235 (60%) of the study participants do not perform the BSE.
- 3.4. Barriers to BSE. Regarding the barriers to the practice of BSE, 94 (40%) had the perception that they had no disease and therefore did not need a BSE. Other barriers included the lack of knowledge, 89 (37.9%); the fear of detecting cancer, 23 (9.8%); not thinking it is necessary, 15 (6.4%); the

TABLE 1: Biographical data and cancer history.

| Items | Categories | Frequency (%) |
|-------------------------------------|----------------|---------------|
| | 18-30 | 221 (56.7%) |
| Participant's age | 31-40 | 119 (30.5%) |
| | 41-65 | 50 (12.8%) |
| Occumation | Have a job | 170 (43.6%) |
| Occupation | Jobless | 220 (56.4%) |
| | Primary | 48 (12.3%) |
| Education | Secondary | 193 (49.5%) |
| Education | University | 138 (35.4%) |
| | Postgraduate | 11 (2.8%) |
| | Single | 40 (10.3%) |
| Marital status | Married | 340 (87.2%) |
| | Others | 10 (2.5%) |
| | Financial aid | 162 (41.5%) |
| I | 1000-2000 | 160 (41.0%) |
| Income | 2000-3000 | 42 (10.8%) |
| | More than 3000 | 26 (6.7%) |
| Family history of BC | Yes | 45 (11.5%) |
| Family history of BC | No | 345 (88.5%) |
| Duraniana armanuma ta huanet dianan | Yes | 21 (5.4%) |
| Previous exposure to breast disease | No | 369 (94.6%) |

TABLE 2: Awareness of breast cancer and knowledge of BSE.

| Variables | Categories | Frequency (%) |
|---|------------|---------------|
| Have ever heard about breast cancer | Yes | 352 (90.3%) |
| have ever heard about breast cancer | No | 38 (9.7%) |
| TT 1 1 1 4 1 4 10 10 10 11 | Yes | 299 (76.7%) |
| Have ever heard about breast self-examination | No | 91 (23.3%) |
| Knows how to do breast self-examination | Yes | 179 (45.9%) |
| Knows now to do breast sen-examination | No | 211 (54.1%) |
| D . 16 | Yes | 359 (92.1%) |
| Breast self-examination is important | No | 31 (7.9%) |
| Source of information $(n = 299)$ | | |
| , , | Yes | 58 (19.4) |
| TV | No | 241 (80.6%) |
| 1. | Yes | 30 (9.7%) |
| Radio | No | 269 (90.3%) |
| T., 4 4 | Yes | 67 (22.5%) |
| Internet | No | 232 (77.5%) |
| Poten 1 | Yes | 64 (21.5%) |
| Friend | No | 235 (78.5%) |
| Hoolth care marridan | Yes | 138 (46.2%) |
| Health care provider | No | 161 (53.8%) |

perception that it is time consuming, 6 (2.5%); the feeling that it deviates privacy, 6 (2.5%); and the feeling of embarrassment, 2 (0.9%); see Table 4.

3.5. Multivariate Analysis to Predict Barriers to BSE. The study findings showed that the respondents with a family history of BC (60%) practiced BSE more frequently than their counterparts who do not have any family history of BC (37.2%) (OR: 2.543, CI = 1.347-4.799, P=0.003), see Table 5. Also, those respondents with the previous history of exposure to breast disease (5.4%) practiced BSE more than those who did not have any previous history of exposure

(94.6%) (OR: 2.59, CI: 1.05–6.42, P=0.033). Participants aged over 35 years (59.7%) practiced BSE more than those who were 35 years or less (33.1%) (OR: 0.33, CI: 0.20–0.53, P=0.000). The income status of participants directly affected their practice of BSE, with those earning a monthly income of 1500NIS or more practicing BSE more frequently (51.9%) than earners of 1500NIS or less (33.5%) (OR: 0.46, CI: 0.30–0.72, P=0.000). A logistic regression analysis was employed to identify the determining factors associated with BSE. After controlling other factors, only three factors were statistically significant with BSE; see Table 6.

Respondent's age, income, and previous family history of BC were the variables entered into multiple logistic

TABLE 3: Respondents practice and reasons for doing BSE.

| Serial | Items | Categories | Frequency (%) |
|--------|--|--|---------------|
| | Have you area monformed DCE2 | Yes | 155 (40.0%) |
| 1 | Have you ever performed BSE? | No | 235 (60.0%) |
| | If yes $(n = 155)$ | | |
| 2 | And of initial DCE | 20 or less | 40 (25.8%) |
| | Age of initial BSE | More than 20 | 115 (74.2%) |
| 3 | | Monthly | 27 (17.4%) |
| | Pattern of BSE | Once in two months | 15 (9.7%) |
| | | Once in 3–5 months | 16 (10.3%) |
| | | Once a year | 11 (7.1%) |
| | | Irregularly | 86 (55.5%) |
| | How is BSE performed? | By using one finger | 17 (11%) |
| 4 | | By using three fingers and palms of the hand | 94 (60.6%) |
| | - | No specific methods | 44 (28.4%) |
| | How much time do you spend during the BSE? | Equal to or less than 3 minutes | 85 (54.84%) |
| 5 | | 3–5 minutes | 54 (34.84%) |
| | | More than five minutes | 16 (10.32%) |
| 6 | | Early detection | 130 (83.9%) |
| | Reasons for regular BSE | Because there is a previous history of breast cancer | 22 (14.2%) |
| | C | Other reasons | 3 (1.9%) |

TABLE 4: Barriers toward performing BSE.

| Respondent's practice and reasons for performing BSE | Frequency (%) |
|---|---------------|
| Lack of knowledge | 89 (37.9%) |
| It needs time | 6 (2.5%) |
| I do not have a disease that requires breast self-examination | 94 (40%) |
| Fear of detecting cancer | 23 (9.8%) |
| I do not think it is necessary | 15 (6.4%) |
| It deviates my privacy | 6 (2.5%) |
| It is embarrassing | 2 (0.9%) |

Table 5: Bivariate analysis: association between breast self-examination (BSE) and sociodemographic variables.

| | Variable | | Practicing BSE | Not practicing BSE | OR | CI | P value |
|---|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-------|---------------|----------------------|
| 1 | Age | 40 and less | 122 | 218 | 0.288 | 0.154-0.539 | 0.000* † ‡ \$ |
| | 0 | More than 40 | 33 | 17 | | | |
| 2 | Employment | Have a job | 52 (43%) | 69 (57%) | 1.251 | 0.785-1.878 | 0.382* |
| | | Jobless | 103 (38.3%) | 166 (61.7%) | | | 0.302 |
| 3 | Incomo | 1500 or less | 87 (33.6%) | 172 (66.4%) | 0.460 | 0.305-0.720 | 0.000*†‡\$ |
| 3 | Income | More than 1500 | 68 (51.9%) | 63 (48.1%) | 0.469 | | |
| 4 | M. 10 1 | Married | 140 (41.2%) | 200 (58.8%) | 0.610 | 0.322-1.164 | 0.132 ^{‡§} |
| | Marital status | Other | 15 (30%) | 35 (70%) | 0.612 | | |
| | | Primary and secondary | 29 | 41 | 1.089 | 0.644-1.842 | 0.75 ^{‡§} |
| 5 | Education | University and higher education | 126 | 194 | | | |
| 6 | Family history of BC | Yes | 27 (60%) | 18 (40%) | 2.543 | 1.347-4.799 | 0.003**5 |
| | | No | 128 (37.1%) | 217 (62.9) | | | |
| 7 | Previous exposure to breast | Yes | 13 (61.9%) | 8 (38.1%) | | 1.051-6.424 | 0.033**\$ |
| | disease | No | 142 (38.5%) | 227 (61.5%) | 2.598 | | |
| 8 | Family history of cancer | Yes | 40 (46%) | 47 (54%) | 1.391 | 1 0.860-2.251 | 0.178 ^{\$‡} |
| | | No | 115 (38%) | 188 (62%) | | | |

P value <0.001 † , Pearson Chi-Square ‡ , and Fisher's Exact Test $^{\$}$.

| | Variable | | P value | AOR | CI | |
|---------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|---------|------|-------|-------|
| | | | | | Lower | Upper |
| 1 | Eamily, history of PC | Yes | 0.019* | 0.45 | 0.34 | 0.83 |
| 1 | Family history of BC | No^\dagger | _ | Ref | _ | _ |
| 2 | 2 | More than 40 | 0.001* | 0.32 | 0.16 | 1.18 |
| 2 Age | 40 and less [†] | _ | Ref | _ | _ | |
| 2 | 2 In | More than 1500 NIS | 0.006* | 0.53 | 0.34 | 0.83 |
| <i></i> | Income | 1500 or less [†] NIS | _ | Ref | _ | _ |

TABLE 6: Binary logistic regression of intimate partner violence with associated risk factors.

 $P < 0.05^*$, reference category, AOR: adjusted odds ratio, CI: confidence interval.

regressions. BSE is more likely to be performed among respondents who are more than 35 years old (OR: 0.36, CI: 0.22–0.59, P=0.000) or have a family history of BC (OR: 2.36, CI: 1.22–4.85, P=0.01) and income more than 1500NIS per month (OR: 53, CI: 0.43–0.84, P=0.007).

4. Discussion

The BSE is an effective and cost-free technique to detect breast anomalies, which occasionally result in BC [18]. In low resourced areas such as the Gaza Strip in Palestine, access to advanced imaging technologies is a challenge. The BSE serves as an essential alternative and is critical in the early detection of breast tumors. The current study explored the awareness, practice, and barriers toward BSE among Palestinian Women in the Gaza Strip, Palestine. Perhaps, due to the destructive nature of breast cancer, most of the participants were much aware of the disease and the practice of self-breast examination. This is similar to findings reported by previous studies [19–21]. This finding may be inferred to the characteristic of our study participants which is young and educated.

Around 40% of respondents in this study practiced BSE; however, only 17.4% of them do so monthly. Even though the majority of the participants were aware of the BSE, only a few of them knew the techniques of BSE. Most women, as reported in earlier studies [16, 22], are often aware of cancer screening methods yet do not practice [23]. The possible explanation of the minimal rate of the regular monthly practice of BSE is the fewer rate of the previous history of exposure to breast disease among our study participants which is 5.4%. As is proved in the literature, women with a history of breast disease practice BSE more than their counterparts with no history of the disease [24].

As per the findings of this current study, the primary source of information about BSE to most of the participants is care providers (46.2%), followed by the Internet (22.5%), friends (21.5%), TV (19.4%), and radio (9.7%). In a similar study, TV and radio were the primary sources of information to 95.5% of the study participants [25], contrary to health care providers which we found in this study.

In this study, we identified many barriers for practicing BSE such as the perception of no disease threat, the lack of knowledge, the fear of detecting cancer, among others, that prevented participants from performing the BSE. These could have led to the low practice attitude among most of the participants who reported they had never practiced the

BSE. However, it is interesting to note that a more significant proportion (83.9%) of the participants who practiced BSE did so with the intention of early detection, while only a few (17.4%) practiced monthly. These findings are congruent with that of previous studies which identified similar barriers such as lack of knowledge, lack of symptoms, fear of detecting cancer, lack of time, and lack of privacy among Malaysian women [7, 16], Emirati university students [26], and Jordanian female university students [27]. In another study conducted in Iran [28], Naghibi and colleagues reported that negative sociocultural behaviors contributed to the nonperformance of BSE. Society and cultural norms have often influenced women's health-seeking behaviors negatively. For example, Elobaid and colleagues, in their study, which was conducted in the United Arab Emirates, explored the factors influencing delays in presentation and health-seeking behaviors of BC patients. They reported that culture is keen on the decisionmaking process among BC patients [29]. The identified barriers in this current study are widespread and not specific to the current study setting.

Similar to previous studies [9, 30], we found that most of the participants had no family history of BC or previous exposure to breast disease. Although a first-line family history of BC is widely known as a strong predisposing factor [31], a growing body of evidence suggests that environmental factors [32] and lifestyle [33] are equally critical. Concerning this, it is difficult to exclude a population based on a single predisposing factor such as family history. In line with this, we believe that although most of the participants in this study had no family history, it does not exclude them from the risk zone of developing BC later in the future. Further analysis of our results, however, shows that the majority of the participants with a family history of BC practiced BSE more frequently than those without any family history. This is consistent with results of previous studies [7, 34]. Such occurrence is not surprising because once a family member is affected by a disease such as breast cancer, it is only reasonable that others take caution. In our investigation, age is one predictor of BSE. Women with the age of 40 years and above practiced BSE more frequently than those with the age lower than 40, which is in line with a previous study [35]. Working status and income limits play an essential role in access to the various resources of information regarding BC screening practice. In this study, family income is the third predictor. The study suggests that women with higher incomes were more likely to perform

BSE than other women, which is consistent with the results of similar studies [36, 37].

The BSE as a primary preventive method is widely recommended as a way of early detection and, subsequently, early treatment [38]. It is therefore vital that efforts be put in place to ensure that women are encouraged, supported, and taught how to properly examine themselves in a quest for early detection of tumors. As well, policymakers must work tirelessly to put stringent measures in place to overcome the barriers outlined in this study. The early detection of BC followed by prompt treatment significantly reduces morbidity [7, 21]; hence, any obstacle to early detection must be encountered with urgency.

5. Conclusions

Although health care providers are the primary source of information about BSE, the practice among Palestinian women in the Gaza Strip is low and marred with trivial issues as barriers. These could be eliminated with dedicated and extensive educational campaigns in the area. The Palestinian Ministry of Health should lead these campaigns in teaching women how to effectively perform BSE and related benefits through such sources of information as TV, Radio, and the Internet due to the widespread access of these media outlets by a significant number of the target population.

Data Availability

The data used to support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon request.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank all the women who willingly participated in this study.

References

- [1] L. A. Torre, F. Islami, R. L. Siegel, E. M. Ward, and A. Jemal, "Global cancer in women: burden and trends," *Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers & Prevention*, vol. 26, no. 4, pp. 444–457, 2017.
- [2] World Health Organization, Breast Cancer: Prevention and Control, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland, 2014, https://www.who.int/cancer/detection/breastcancer/en/
- [3] M. Ghoncheh, N. Mahdavifar, E. Darvishi, and H. Salehiniya, "Epidemiology, incidence and mortality of breast cancer in asia," *Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention*, vol. 17, no. sup3, pp. 47–52, 2016.
- [4] P. M. Salehiniya, Annual Report for Healthcare in the Gaza-Strip, Palestinian Ministry of Health, Nablus, Palestinian, 2016.

- [5] R. Dikshit, P. C. Gupta, C. Ramasundarahettige et al., "Cancer mortality in India: a nationally representative survey," *The Lancet*, vol. 379, no. 9828, pp. 1807–1816, 2012.
- [6] American Institute for Cancer Research, Continuous Update Project Report. Food, Nutrition, Physical Activity, and the Prevention of Breast Cancer, American Institute for Cancer Research, Washington, DC, USA, 2010.
- [7] R. A. Al-Naggar, Y. V. Bobryshev, and K. Al-Jashamy, "Practice of breast self-examination among women in Malaysia," *Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention*, vol. 13, no. 8, pp. 3829–3833, 2012.
- [8] L. Nekhlyudov and S. W. Fletcher, "Is it time to stop teaching breast self-examination?" *CMAJ: Canadian Medical Association Journal*, vol. 164, no. 13, pp. 1851-1852, 2001.
- [9] S. F. Alazmi, A Alkhabbaz, H. A. Almutawa, A. E. Ismaiel, G. Makboul, and M. K. El-Shazly, "Practicing breast selfexamination among women attending primary health care in Kuwait," *Alexandria Journal of Medicine*, vol. 49, no. 3, pp. 281–286, 2013.
- [10] K. Birhane, M. Alemayehu, B. Anawte et al., "Practices of breast self-examination and associated factors among female debre berhan university students," *International Journal of Breast Cancer*, vol. 2017, Article ID 8026297, 6 pages, 2017.
- [11] M. Dahlui, D. E. H. Gan, N. A. Taib, and J. N. W. Lim, "Breast screening and health issues among rural females in Malaysia: how much do they know and practice?" *Preventive Medicine*, vol. 57, pp. S18–S20, 2013.
- [12] M. Moodi, M. B. Moodi, G. R Sharifirad, H Shahnazi, and G Sharifzadeh, "Evaluation of breast self-examination program using Health Belief Model in female students," *Journal* of Research in Medical Sciences: The Official Journal of Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, vol. 16, no. 16, pp. 316–322, 2011.
- [13] T. T. Donnelly, A.-H. Al Khater, S. B. Al-Bader et al., "Factors that influence awareness of breast cancer screening among Arab women in Qatar: results from a cross sectional survey," *Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention*, vol. 15, no. 23, pp. 10157–10164, 2014.
- [14] S. A. R. Al-Dubai, K. Ganasegeran, A. M. Alabsi, M. R. A. Manaf, S. Ijaz, and S. Kassim, "Exploration of barriers to breast-self examination among urban women in shah alam, Malaysia: a cross sectional study," *Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention*, vol. 13, no. 4, pp. 1627–1632, 2012.
- [15] R. A. Al-Naggar, D. H. Al-Naggar, Y. V. Bobryshev, R. Chen, and A. Assabri, "Practice and barriers toward breast selfexamination among young Malaysian women," *Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention*, vol. 12, no. 5, pp. 1173–1178, 2011.
- [16] M. Akhtari-Zavare, M. H. Juni, I. Z. Ismail, S. M. Said, and L. A. Latiff, "Barriers to breast self-examination practice among Malaysian female students: a cross-sectional study," *Springerplus*, vol. 4, p. 692, 2015.
- [17] J. Charan and T. Biswas, "How to calculate sample size for different study designs in medical research?" *Indian Journal of Psychological Medicine*, vol. 35, no. 2, pp. 121–126, 2013.
- [18] E. Mohammadi, E. P. Dadios, L. A. Gan Lim et al., "Real-time evaluation of breast self-examination using computer vision," *International Journal of Biomedical Imaging*, vol. 2014, Article ID 924759, 12 pages, 2014.
- [19] E. Kudzawu, F. Agbokey, and C. S. K. Ahorlu, "A cross sectional study of the knowledge and practice of self-breast examination among market women at the makola shopping mall, accra, Ghana," *Advances in Breast Cancer Research*, vol. 05, no. 03, pp. 111–120, 2016.

- [20] N. Erbil and N. Bolukbas, "Health beliefs and breast self-examination among female university nursing students in Turkey," Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention, vol. 15, no. 16, pp. 6525–6529, 2014.
- [21] S. H. Ewaid, A. M. Shanjar, and R. H. Mahdi, "Knowledge and practice of breast self-examination among sample of women in Shatra/Dhi-Qar/Iraq," *Alexandria Journal of Medicine*, vol. 54, no. 4, pp. 315–317, 2018.
- [22] R. Nilaweera, S. Perera, N. Perera, and A. Anushyanthan, "Knowledge and practices on breast and cervical cancer screening methods among female health care workers: a Sri Lankan experience," *Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention*, vol. 13, no. 4, pp. 1193–1196, 2012.
- [23] L. A. Gutnik, B. Matanje-Mwagomba, V. Msosa et al., "Breast cancer screening in low- and middle-income countries: a perspective from Malawi," *Journal of Global Oncology*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 4–8, 2016.
- [24] S. E. Bennett, "Profile of women practicing breast self-examination," *JAMA: The Journal of the American Medical Association*, vol. 249, no. 4, pp. 488–491, 1983.
- [25] A. Gonzales, M Alzaatreh, M Mari, A Saleh, and A Alloubani, "Beliefs and behavior of Saudi women in the university of tabuk toward breast self examination practice," *Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention: APJCP*, vol. 19, no. 19, pp. 121–126, 2018.
- [26] S. S. Al-Sharbatti, R. B. Shaikh, E. Mathew, and M. A. S. Al-Biate, "Breast self examination practice and breast cancer risk perception among female university students in ajman," *Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention*, vol. 14, no. 8, pp. 4919–4923, 2013.
- [27] L. A. Sharour, S. Al-Ghabeesh, K. Suleiman, A. B. Salameh, S. Jacoob, and M. Al-Kalaldeh, "Predictors of breast selfexamination performance among Jordanian university female students," *European Journal of Cancer Care*, vol. 26, no. 6, p. e12622, 2017.
- [28] S. A. Naghibi, D. Shojaizadeh, A Montazeri, and J Yazdani Cherati, "Sociocultural factors associated with breast self-examination among Iranian women," *Acta Medica Iranica*, vol. 53, no. 1, pp. 62–68, 2015.
- [29] Y. Shojaizadeh, T.-C. Aw, J. N. W. Lim, S. Hamid, and M. Grivna, "Breast cancer presentation delays among Arab and national women in the UAE: a qualitative study," SSM-Population Health, vol. 2, pp. 155–163, 2016.
- [30] L. A. Fondjo, O. Owusu-Afriyie, S. A. Sakyi et al., "Comparative assessment of knowledge, attitudes, and practice of breast self-examination among female secondary and tertiary school students in Ghana," *International Journal of Breast Cancer*, vol. 2018, Article ID 7502047, 10 pages, 2018.
- [31] Y. Hamdi, V. Soucy, V. Adoue et al., "Association of breast cancer risk with genetic variants showing differential allelic expression: identification of a novel breast cancer susceptibility locus at 4q21," *Oncotarget*, vol. 7, no. 49, pp. 80140–80163, 2016.
- [32] J. M. Gray, S. Rasanayagam, C. Engel, and J. Rizzo, "State of the evidence 2017: an update on the connection between breast cancer and the environment," *Environmental Health*, vol. 16, no. 1, p. 94, 2017.
- [33] M. Picon-Ruiz, C. Morata-Tarifa, J. J. Valle-Goffin, E. R. Friedman, and J. M. Slingerland, "Obesity and adverse breast cancer risk and outcome: mechanistic insights and strategies for intervention," CA: A Cancer Journal for Clinicians, vol. 67, no. 5, pp. 378–397, 2017.

- [34] G. Ertem and A. Kocer, "Breast self-examination among nurses and midwives in Odemis health district in Turkey," *Indian Journal of Cancer*, vol. 46, no. 3, p. 208, 2009.
- [35] A. Bener, R. Alwash, C. J. Miller, S. Denis, and E. V. Dunn, "Knowledge, attitudes, and practices related to breast cancer screening: a survey of Arabic women," *Journal of Cancer Education*, vol. 16, no. 4, pp. 215–220, 2001.
- [36] H. Rastad, L. Shokohi, S. L. Dehghani, and M. M. Jahromi, "Assessment of the awareness and practice of women vis-à-vis breast self-examination in fasa in 2011," *Journal of Fasa University of Medical Sciences*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 75–80, 2013.
- [37] M. N. Okobia, C. H. Bunker, F. E. Okonofua, and U. Osime, "Knowledge, attitude, and practice of Nigerian women towards breast cancer: a cross-sectional study," World Journal of Surgical Oncology, vol. 4, no. 1, p. 11, 2006.
- [38] M. A. Suh, J. Atashili, E. Fuh, and V. Eta, "Breast self-examination and breast cancer awareness in women in developing countries: a survey of women in Buea, Cameroon," BMC Research Notes, vol. 5, no. 1, p. 627, 2012.