# Knowledge of Non-Invasive Biomarkers of Breast Cancer, Risk Factors, and BSE Practices Among Nursing Undergraduates in Farasan Island, KSA

SAGE Open Nursing Volume 10: 1–15 © The Author(s) 2024 Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/23779608241248519 journals.sagepub.com/home/son



Shabihul Fatma Sayed, PhD, PDF<sup>1</sup>, Hamad G. Dailah, PhD<sup>2</sup>, Sumathi Nagarajan, PhD<sup>1</sup>, Siddig Ibrahim Abdelwahab, PhD<sup>3</sup>, Shaived S. Hasan Abadi, PhD<sup>4</sup>, Nida Akhtar, PhD<sup>5</sup>, Gulrana Khuwaja, PhD<sup>6</sup> and Wadeah Ali DA Malham, BSc<sup>7</sup>

#### Abstract

**Background of the Study:** Mammograms are sometimes met with issues of overdiagnosis and underdiagnosis; therefore, they are less reliable in identifying cancer in women with dense breasts. As a result, it is critical to be aware of other sensitive screening techniques for the early diagnosis of breast cancer.

**Aim:** The ultimate objective of this study was to assess the knowledge of nursing undergraduates regarding non-invasive biomarkers, such as volatile organic compounds in breath, nipple aspirate fluid, sweat, urine, and tears, for the early detection of breast cancer to help improve patient care, determine the risk factors, and encourage practice of breast self-examination.

**Methods:** Cross-sectional research was done in the Department of Nursing at Farasan campus using a self-structured questionnaire as the study tool. A total of 260 students willingly participated. The study tool had evaluation questions focused on the non-invasive biomarkers of breast cancer, risk factors, and breast self-examination practices to collect data. The data were subjected to descriptive and inferential statistics. The statistical significance was calculated at P < .05. Data analyses were done using Microsoft Excel (2013).

**Results:** A significant knowledge gap existed among the study participants about the non-invasive biomarkers of breast cancer. A lesser percentage of students (25%) stated that they do breast self-examination on a monthly basis. The most common reasons for not doing the breast self-examination were "not knowing how to do the breast self-examination" (77.3%), fear of a positive diagnosis (53.9%), thinking that they are not at risk as all were in their teens and hence not required (44.7%), and lack of time (48.7%). Age and frequency of breast self-examination were significantly associated (P < .05) as those few students (22.7%) who were doing breast self-examination practices every 2–4 months belonged to a higher study year. Furthermore, knowledge regarding incidence rates and health care expenditure by the government on breast cancer was also significantly low (P < .05). **Conclusions:** Outcomes would help prioritize actions to help future nurses better understand breast cancer, allowing them to extend patient care in the best way possible.

#### Keywords

breast cancer, non-invasive biomarkers, nursing undergraduates, BSE, risk factors

Received 27 October 2023; Revised 18 February 2024; accepted 3 April 2024

<sup>2</sup>Department of Nursing, College of Nursing, Jazan University, Jazan, Saudi Arabia

#### **Corresponding Author:**

Creative Commons Non Commercial CC BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the SAGE and Open Access page (https://us.sagepub.com/enus/nam/open-access-at-sage).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Department of Nursing, Farasan University College, Jazan University, Jazan, KSA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Medical Research Centre, Jazan University, Jazan, Saudi Arabia

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Department of Pharmacy, Apeejay Stya University, Gurugram, Haryana, India

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Department of Nursing, Al-Dayer College, Jazan University, Jazan, Saudi Arabia

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, College of Pharmacy, Jazan University, Jazan, Saudi Arabia

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>Department of Nursing, Farasan University College, Jazan University, Jazan, Saudi Arabia

Shabihul Fatma Sayed, Department of Nursing, Farasan University College, Jazan University, Jazan, KSA. Emails: ftm77@rediffmail.com; Ssaid@jazanu.edu.sa

### Introduction

After lung cancer, breast cancer (BC) is the most common malignancy in women worldwide and the second-leading cause of cancer-related mortality in developed countries (WHO, 2012). In 2020, approximately 68,500 people died from breast cancer globally, and approximately 2.3 million women received diagnoses. By the end of 2020, BC had become the most common disease in the world, with 7.8 million women staying alive after being diagnosed with breast cancer in the preceding 5 years (WHO, 2021).

Breast cancer is very common, thereby putting a lot of strain on healthcare resources and significantly raising prices (Cocco et al., 2022). The financial burden of managing breast cancer in Saudi Arabia is high and dramatically escalates as the disease progresses. In 2018, an estimated \$13.345 million USD was spent to treat breast cancer in Saudi Arabia, with Stage I patients paying an average of \$14,249 USD and Stage IV patients paying an average of \$81,489 USD. One of the major factors influencing the total healthcare costs was the price of medications, hospitalization, and diagnostic testing. The majority of trastuzumabbased regimens accounted for 67% of the overall cost of medications in the targeted therapy category (Alghamdi et al., 2021).

Early BC diagnosis programs, assessment of costly interventions, and consideration of non-invasive biomarkers of BC and biosimilars are some of the ways that could lead to cost savings (Cesarec et al., 2007). According to estimates, there were 3,629 cases of breast cancer in Saudi Arabia in 2018. By 2040, that number is expected to rise to 6,886 (Alghamdi et al., 2021). Additionally, it has been noted that the bulk of Saudi Arabian patients with breast cancer receives a diagnosis at an advanced stage, which could significantly raise resource consumption and cost (Alghamdi et al., 2021; Bcheraoui et al., 2015; Cesarec & Likić, 2017; Cocco et al., 2022; WHO, 2021). Age, family history of the disease, hormone problems, alcoholism, stress, and other factors are established risk factors for breast cancer development. However, it's crucial to remember that 75% of breast cancer cases in women have an unknown cause. Breast density genes may possibly be linked to an increased risk of breast cancer.

Breast cancer could happen to any woman, irrespective of her education, social, and cultural background. Therefore, a woman's awareness of breast cancer is crucial. The ability to identify the difference between normal and abnormal breasts, to know what to look for during breast selfexamination (BSE) practices, and identify the appropriate time for breast cancer screening may help detect the early stage of breast cancer (Almuhlim et al., 2018; Yousuf, 2010). BSE increases women's awareness of their breast health, potentially resulting in the early diagnosis of breast cancer. BSE and adherence to this practice, at least on a monthly basis, are gateways to health promotion behaviors. Mammograms have been one of the most effective screening methods and a crucial component of early breast cancer identification in recent years (Guide to Mammography and Breast Imaging, 2012; Ponraj et al., 2011). They also expose the breast to substantially lower radiation doses than previous devices (Rangayyan et al., 2006). However, mammography does not always offer a conclusive diagnosis regarding the existence or absence of cancer, particularly in situations with dense breast tissue, which is defined as the quantity of fibro-glandular tissue in the breast that is radiologically dense (Boyd et al., 2007; Johns, 1987). Therefore, mammographic screening is frequently unreliable in differentiating between people with breast cancer and healthy individuals, in addition to being costly, uncomfortable, and sometimes hazardous for sensitive patients.

The search for disease markers in unconventional bodily fluids is becoming increasingly popular as biomarker identification methods continue to progress. Breast cancerassociated biomarkers have been identified in urine (Beretov et al., 2015), nipple fluid aspirate (Shaheed et al., 2018), and breast milk (Aslebagh et al., 2022). The knowledge of breast cancer markers in fluids other than those traditionally associated with cancer diagnosis could improve nurses' ability to identify the disease and create community awareness for diagnosis. Tears also contain hundreds of proteins/peptides, lipids, glycoproteins, hormones, and smallmolecule metabolites (Barmada & Shippy, 2020). The changing expression levels of ocular proteins in response to systemic disease have been well established in the literature. In a study conducted by Daily et al. (2022), ocular proteomes were examined to identify protein biomarkers with altered expression levels in women diagnosed with breast cancer.

Tears, sweat, urine, nipple aspirates, and exhaled breath are examples of circulatory, non-invasive biomarkers that may be used to detect therapeutically relevant mutations and tumor features. These non-invasive biomarkers may also offer important insights regarding the course of the disease and its response to treatment (Barmada and Shippy, 2020; Bohm et al., 2012; Chen et al., 2016; Deutscher et al., 2010; Erbes et al., 2015; Hanna et al., 2019; Hermann et al., 2018; Li et al., 2020; Patel & Shah, 2022). Compared to imaging or tissue samples, these non-invasive biomarkers can be gathered at a higher frequency, which may enable more intelligent early diagnoses and management of the disease (Barmada and Shippy, 2020; Bohm et al., 2012; Chen et al., 2016; Deutscher et al., 2010; Erbes et al., 2015; Hanna et al., 2019; Hermann et al., 2018; Li et al., 2020; Patel & Shah, 2022).

#### Literature Review

#### Global Incidence

The patterns and trends of breast cancer vary in different countries. There were approximately 2.3 million new breast

cancer cases and 685,000 breast cancer deaths worldwide in 2020 (Lei et al., 2021). Its incidence and mortality vary among countries, with the age-standardized incidence ranging from the highest of 112.3 per 100,000 of the population in Belgium to the lowest of 35.8 per 100,000 of the population in Iran and the age-standardized mortality from the highest of 41.0 per 100,000 of the population in Fiji to the lowest of 6.4 per 100,000 of the population in South Korea. Breast cancer is the most common type of cancer in women in the United States (Yıldırım et al., 2022). As per the estimates of the American Cancer Society, approximately 4 million women in the country had a history of breast cancer as of early 2019 (DeSantis et al., 2019). Additionally, approximately 50,000 women in the US receive a diagnosis of breast ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS), one of the most common precancers in all tissues (American Cancer Society, Breast Cancer Facts & Figures, 2019). In several Asian and African nations, the peak age of breast cancer is more than 10 years younger than in European or American nations (Sung et al., 2021).

The incidence of breast cancer is significantly higher in developed countries than in developing nations. This is because of a long-standing higher prevalence of risk factors, including decreased fertility rates, a high percentage of oral contraceptive or hormonal menopausal therapy use, shorter breastfeeding durations, and higher body weights (Cancer CGoHFiB, 2002; Hu et al., 2013; Kim et al., 2019; Møller et al., 2002; Nguyen et al., 2020; Pfeiffer et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2012).

#### National Incidence

In 2018, breast cancer was the most commonly diagnosed type of cancer and the second-leading cause of death after leukemia in Saudi Arabia (Alqahtani et al., 2020). In recent years, the KSA has seen an increase in the incidence of breast cancer, with instances rising from 1,152 per 100,000 people in 2008 to 1,473 per 100,000 people in 2010 and 1.826 per 100,000 people in 2014 (Alothaibi, 2018; Basudan, 2022). According to the KSA Health Council's 2014 cancer registry, breast cancer accounts for 28.7% of all cancer cases in women. However, a different study found that breast cancer causes 13.08% of all cancer-related fatalities, with 98% of cases affecting women and 12% affecting males (Alothaibi, 2018). Scientific studies from different regions in the KSA have reported the prevalence of breast cancer among females (Alothaibi et al., 2018; Heena et al., 2019; Yakout et al., 2014), with three to eight confirmed cases of breast cancer per 1,000 patients from 2010 to 2017. Moreover, the highest incidence rates of breast cancer were reported in 2017.

Two distinct patterns of breast cancer were also observed, with the most common type being ductal carcinoma with an incidence percentage of 81.80%, followed by lobular carcinoma with a 3.40% incidence rate (Asiri et al., 2020).

These data indicate that further steps are still needed to identify breast cancer at an early stage.

## Opportunities for Control and Intervention

One of the most successful programs that have been implemented by the Saudi government is the breast cancer screening program that offers mammography, which is provided for free at all government facilities, and malls. Despite this, the incidence remains high. Sometimes, extensive mammogram use may also lead to high incidence rates of breast cancer. Mammography screening is the best way to discover breast cancer early, but it can also lead to overdiagnosis, which can increase the incidence of breast cancer. The rate of overdiagnosis varies from 5% to 50% (Srivastava et al., 2019).

The Center for Disease Control stated that early detection is the best defense against the morbidity and mortality of breast cancer (Srivastava et al., 2019). In line with this, the best way to reduce incidence is to know the noninvasive biomarkers of breast cancer, identify the risk factors, and encourage the practice of breast selfexamination (BSE) that may help in the early detection of breast cancer.

# Significance of the Study

Nurses comprise the largest proportion of the Saudi health care system (MOH, 2014). Female nurses can positively impact the attitudes and beliefs of female patients. They are also the first point of contact for female patients and their families/friends. In order to do this, it would be crucial to make sure that these young nurses have the necessary knowledge to share with their patients, family members, and friends.

Breast cancer awareness includes knowledge of the risk factors, signs, symptoms, and screening methods for breast cancer. Knowledge and awareness play a vital role in the early detection and optimal treatment of breast cancer. Creating awareness among female health workers could go a long way in enhancing awareness and early diagnosis of breast cancer. Identification of breast cancer at an early stage is the best way to prevent it and is of utmost importance in saving lives and improving the quality of life. These noninvasive methods of breast cancer detection using tears, sweat, and nipple aspirates are relatively convenient, simple, inexpensive, and free from risk or harm; hence, nurses should have the relevant knowledge and skills, such that they may create awareness at the community level. Studies on the knowledge of non-invasive breast cancer biomarkers, risk factors, and BSE practice in future nursing professionals in the age group of 18 to 23 years old are not yet available, despite the fact that numerous studies about breast cancer knowledge and BSE practice in female university students have already been presented (Hadi et al., 2010;

Nemenqani et al., 2014; Yousuf, 2010). To assess the level of knowledge and skills among nursing students, KAP studies are required. The present study was conducted to assess KAP of nursing undergraduates about the non-invasive biomarkers of breast cancer in view of the increasing incidence of breast cancer in KSA.

The knowledge of nurses on the non-invasive biomarkers of breast cancer, such as nipple aspirates, breath, tears, and urine, are important determinants for the early diagnosis of breast cancer and for the reduction of the economic burden of the disease on the health care system.

Overdiagnosis leads to overtreatment due to mammographic screening in the case of dense breasts and inflicts considerable physical, psychological, and economic harm on many women (Autier & Boniol, 2018). The dense breast tissue is linked to a markedly reduced mammographic sensitivity and a higher interval cancer rate (Boyd et al., 2007; Bodewes, 2022; Kerlikowski 2007; Mandelson et al., 2000); thus, an increased understanding of breast cancer with relation to knowledge on non-invasive biomarkers, risk factors, and breast self-examination (BSE) practices is important to enable future nurses to extend better patient care and disease management by helping them in the early diagnosis of breast cancer and may reduce the mortality rate globally. To the best of our knowledge and based on a PubMed search, this is the first study dealing with the above mentioned issue in the XXX and XXX provinces in Saudi Arabia. Therefore, the present study was undertaken to assess the knowledge of non-invasive biomarkers of breast cancer, associated risk factors, and attitudes toward BSE among nursing undergraduates.

#### Methodology

Setting. Department of Nursing, Farasan Island.

Study design. A descriptive cross-sectional study.

*Study sample.* Female nursing undergraduates of the Department of Nursing.

Sampling procedure. Simple random sampling.

*Study tool.* A questionnaire was used as the research tool for data collection from the study participants.

There were nine sections in the questionnaire:

Section 1: *Demographic Information*. It included eight items (i.e., age, gender, marital status, residence, living with parents, study year, family's educational background, and family history of breast cancer)

Section 2: The *Knowledge Evaluation Questions* included 16 items.

Section 3: The domain for knowledge *regarding non-invasive biomarkers of breast cancer* had seven evaluation items.

Section 4: The domain for *Awareness of Risk Factors* had 12 items.

Section 5: *Source of Information on Breast Cancer* had six evaluation questions

Section 6: BSE practices and barriers to breast selfexamination had three evaluation questions.

Section 7: Lifestyle characteristics had eight items.

Section 8 had six evaluation questions on the *Knowledge* of *Treatment*.

Section 9 had three items on knowledge *regarding inci*dence rates and expenditures on breast cancer in KSA.

*Ethical considerations.* Sufficient research information was provided to the participants with the help of full informed consent. This was achieved via a consent form attached to the questionnaire. Full confidentiality was ensured. The rights of the participants were protected by the Helsinki Code of Ethics. The study was approved by the Head of Ethics Committee of the College (No. 1/1/1444H, 2022).

Data collection procedure. Formal approval was used to conduct this research. Consent was obtained from all the participants, and a free hand was given to the participants to participate in the study or refuse to participate.

*Study timeline*. Data collection was conducted from February to March 2022.

*Inclusion and exclusion criteria*. Regular students enrolled with a university during the academic year 2021–2022, and those who were not willing to participate, were excluded from the study.

#### Definitions

Breast self-examination. One's self-examination of her breasts to identify any changes.

Breast self-Examination performed. BSE done at least once within the duration of 12 months.

Sample size and sampling procedure. A sample size of 260 students was determined using Cochran's formula (1964):  $n = z^2 pq/e^2$ , where *p* is the proportion of knowledge on breast cancer among female students (22%); *e* is the desired level of precision (5%); and z = 1.95 at CI 95% (Cochran, 1963). The calculation also included a 5% nonresponse rate. Simple random sampling was done. Reliability was calculated to check the internal consistency among items of the questionnaire using Cronbach's alpha ( $\alpha$ ) and was 0.875, which was considered within the acceptable range.

*Data analyses.* Data analyses were done using Microsoft Excel software. Descriptive statistics were used to summarize

the data, which were then displayed in the tables and figures. The study's hypothesis was either accepted or rejected using inferential analysis and the *t*-test. Based on prior research on breast cancer risk factors, the most important risk factors for breast cancer were found to be breast density, obesity, age, menarche and menopause at different times, lifestyle, and diet (Engin, 2017). The current study used these risk factors to determine the participants' knowledge of breast cancer and the risk factors. Correct responses were summed up to get the total knowledge scores for each participant. The connections between the sociodemographic characteristics of the participants and their understanding of the most significant risk factors for breast cancer were examined using Pearson's Chi-squared test. The threshold for significance was established at a *P*-value < .05.

# Results

## Demographic Features of the Study Participants

The participants were first- to fourth-year female nursing undergraduates, the majority of which constituted third-year study groups, followed by fourth-year study groups. The participants' mean age was 21 years (SD =  $21.3 \pm 3.2$ ; ranging from 18 to 25 years). Eighty seven percent of the study participants were unmarried, and 1% reported having a family history of breast cancer (Table 1).

## General Knowledge of Breast Cancer

General knowledge of breast cancer was significantly high (P <.05) among the respondents. Table 2 shows a comparison of the knowledge regarding the signs and symptoms of breast cancer among the participants. The participants belonging to higher study years were found to have more knowledge of breast cancer symptoms than those in the lower study years. The participants were found to be well-aware of the breast pain symptom (63.1%). A higher number of the study participants also recognized change in breast shape (88.8%) and painless lumps (55.8%) as breast cancer symptoms. However, some respondents were still unaware of nipple discharge (42.1%) and inverted nipples (16.9%) as breast cancer symptoms (Table 2). There was a strong correlation among the knowledge, study year, and marital status of the study participants (Table 3).

## General Breast Density Knowledge and Importance

Most participants were unaware that having dense breasts raises a woman's risk of breast cancer and can conceal tumors on mammograms (65%). The other risk factors mostly recognized by the study participants were advanced age (76.2%), genetic mutation (66.9%), smoking (86.9%), alcoholism (88.1%), stress (69%), obesity (47.7%), and early or late onset of menstruation and menopause (48.1%).

Table 1. Study Samples' Distribution According to Their   Demography Knowledge Lifestyle and Distant Habits $(N = 260)$			
Parameters	Frequency (N) Section 1: Demographic information	Percentage (%)	*P-value
Mean age $(21.3 \pm 3.2)$			P<.04
years)			
$\leq 20$ years	44	17.1	
>20 years	216	82.9	
Gender			
Female	260	100	P<.07
Male	-	-	
Marital status			
Married	34	13	P<.06
Unmarried	226	87	
Residence			
Farasan	147	56.6	P<.02
Jazan	96	36.8	
Other cities	17	6.6	
1 • • • • •			

5

Married	34	13	P<.06
Unmarried	226	87	
Residence			
Farasan	147	56.6	P<.02
Jazan	96	36.8	
Other cities	17	6.6	
Living with parents			
Yes	171	65.8	P<.04
No	89	34.2	
Study year			
First year	32	12.3	P<.02
Second year	59	22.7	
Third year	74	28.5	
Fourth year	95	36.5	
Family's educational backs	ground		
Educated in school/ college	244	94	P<.01
Educated at home	16	6	
Family history of breast c	ancer		
Yes	3	I	P<.04
No	231	89	
Don't know	26	10	
Section 2: Kno	wledge evo	aluation questic	ons
Have you heard about bro	east cancer	before?	
Yes	209	80.3	P<.04
No	51	19.7	
When does the signs and	symptoms	of breast cancer	start?
Before puberty	68	26.3	P<.01
After puberty	113	43.4	
After child birth	17	6.6	
After menopause	62	23.7	
Does breast cancer change	ge the size a	nd shape of the	breast?
Yes	229	88.2	P<.04
No	31	11.8	
What is the level of pain i	in breast in	case of breast ca	ancer?
0–2	24	9.2	P<.01
2–4	89	34.2	
5	51	19.7	
None	96	36.8	
Early detection of breast	cancer help	s in treatment p	rotocol
Yes	233	89.5	P<.07
No	27	10.5	

(continued)

## Table I. Continued.

_	Frequency	Percentage	
Parameters	(N)	(%)	*P-value
	Section 1:		
	Demographic information		
Do you know about m	ammograms?		
Yes	209	80.3	P<.02
No	51	19.7	
Where can you do ma	mmogram?		
, Hospitals	178	68.4	P<.05
Shopping malls	17	6.6	
Schools	21	7.9	
Don't know	44	17.1	
ls it free at all governm	nent centres?		
Yes	202	77.6	P<.04
No	58	22.4	
Do you know women	with dense breast t	issue are at hig	her risk of
developing breast car	ncer?	-	
Yes	89	34.3	P<.01
No	84	32.3	
Maybe	85	32.7	
Do you know about th	e severity of breas	t cancer if deve	eloped at
young age?			
Yes	32	12.3	P<.03
No	65	25	
Maybe	163	62.7	
Do you think that if w	omen have the kno	wledge of their	r breast
density, it would help	in early diagnosis	of disease and	could be
useful to prevent mo	rtality?		
Yes	77	29.6	P<.09
No	90	34.6	
Maybe	93	35.8	
Do you know about th	e signs and sympto	oms related to	breast
cancer?			
Yes	211	81.6	P<.01
No	48	18.4	
Maybe		_	
Does breast cancer ch	ange your dietary h	nabits?	
Yes	112.3	43.4	P<.04
No	62	23.7	
Maybe	86	32.9	
Does there occur som	e nipple discharges	in breast canc	er?
Yes	109	42.0	P<.03
No	100	38.5	
Maybe	49	18.9	
is there palpable painle	ess lump?	FF <b>3</b> 7	
fes	145	55.//	P<.01
No	50	19.2	
I*laybe	65	25.0	
is there paipable auxilia	ary iymph nodes?	7 7 7	
ies	אט סר	3/./	P < .05
INO Mawka	35	13.3	
	127	48.7	
Are there deviated/inv	ercea nippies in bre	ast cancer?	0~ 00
ies	44 50	ל.סו ד רכ	r < .02
INO	27	22.1	

SAGE Open Nursing

Table I. Continued.			
Parameters	Frequency (N) Section 1: Demographic information	Percentage (%)	*P-value
Mavbe	157	60.4	
Does breast cancer affe	ct male as well?	00.1	
Yes	89	34.2	
No	51	19.7	
Maybe	117	44.8	
Section 3: Knowledge	e regarding non-	-invasive biom	arkers of
-	breast cancer		-
Do you think breast car	ncer could be dia	gnosed by swea	t/apocrine
sweat?			
Yes	133	51.1	P<.03
No	39	15	
Maybe	87	33.5	
Do you think breast car	ncer could be dia	gnosed by nipp	le aspirate?
Yes	29	11.1	P<.02
No	57	21.9	
Maybe	174	66.9	
Do you think urine cou	ld be a biomarke	r for breast car	ncer?
Yes	49	18.8	P<.04
No	98	37.7	
Maybe	113	43.5	
Do you think tears can	serve as ideal dia	gnostic fluid fo	r breast
cancer?			
Yes	35	13.5	P<.02
No	100	38.5	
Maybe	125	48.1	
Do you think there could	d be a typical chai	nge in exnaled b	reath odor
Ver	E 4	20.9	
No	00 00	20.0	P \.01
No Mayba	70 109	37.7 41 5	
Do you think saliva could	l be potential bio	markers for bre	ast cancer?
Yos	133	511	$P < \Omega$
No	8	31	1 4.05
Mayhe	117	45	
Do you think circulating	cell-free tumor	DNA and othe	r
metabolites as lipids, e	tc., could be bior	narkers for bre	ast cancer?
Yes	24	9.2	P<.02
No	59	22.7	
Maybe	177	68.1	
, Section 4	: Awareness of	risk factors	
Early or late onset of m	enstruation and	menopause	
Yes	125	48.1	P<.05
No	12	4.6	
Maybe	123	43.3	
Advanced age			
Yes	198	76.2	P<.02
No	62	23.9	
Maybe	-		
High breast density			
Yes	56	21.5	P<.053
No	145	55.8	

(continued)

(continued)

Table I. Continued.

	Frequency	Percentage	
Parameters	(N)	(%)	*P-value
	Section 1:		
	Demographic		
	information		
Mavbe	59	22.7	
Genetic mutations or pos	itive family histo	ory of breast ca	ncer
Yes	174	66.9	P<.061
No	22	8.5	
Maybe	64	24.61	
, High fat diet			
Yes	135	51.9	P<.03
No	68	26.2	
Maybe	57	21.9	
Smoking			
Yes	226	86.9	P<.01
No	15	5.8	
Maybe	19	7.3	
Alcoholism			
Yes	229	88. I	P<.02
No	3	1.2	
Maybe	28	10.7	
Stress			
Yes	179	69.0	P<.01
No	56	21.5	
Maybe	26	9.6	
Do you think obesity cou	ld be a risk facto	or for breast ca	incer?
Yes	124	47.7	P<.04
No	77	29.61	
Maybe	59	22.7	
Endocrine/Hormones. Do	you think it is	related to a cer	rtain
hormone?			
Yes	177	68.I	P<.02
No	45	17.4	
Maybe	36	13.9	
Could exposure to plastic	pollutants make	hormonal issue	es that may
cause breast cancer?			
Yes	137	52.9	P<.01
No	41	15.8	
Maybe	81	31.2	
Do you think alcohol con	taining perfume	s may cause ho	rmonal
issues related to breast	cancer?		
Yes	232	89.1	P<0.03
No	12	4.5	
Maybe	14	5.2	
Section 5: Source	of information	on breast car	ncer
What is the source of info	ormation for bro	east cancer?	_
Internet	85	32.7	P<.03
Government	51	19.6	
awareness Program			
regarding breast			
cancer			
Family and friends	48	18.5	
Lecture sessions in	35	13.5	
college			

Table I. Continued.

	Frequency	Percentage	
Parameters	(N)	(%)	*P-value
	Section 1:		
	Demographic		
	information		
Course/curricula	17	6.5	
Primary health care	23	89	
center	20	0.7	
Section 6: Breast self-exa	imination prac	tices and barri	ers to BSE
Do you know how to do	breast self-exa	mination?	
Yes	59	22.7	P<.05
No	147	56.5	
Maybe	54	20.8	
If yes then how frequently	you do the BS	SE?	
Monthly	13	5.0	P<.02
Every 2–3 months	25	9.6	
Every 4 months	9	3.46	
Once in 6 months	19	7.3	
Once in year	57	21.9	
Never practiced	137	52.7	
Barriers to self-examination	on of breast		
Lack of time	30	11.54	P<.01
Thinking that not at	71	27.3	
risk and hence not			
required			
Do not know how to	118	45.4	
perform BSE			
Fear of positive	71	27.3	
diagnosis			
Section 7:	Lifestyle char	acteristics	
Do you have an active life	style?		
Yes	181	69.7	P<.02
No	78	30.3	
Do you have a regular bre	eakfast?		
Yes	90	34.7	P<.073
No	155	59.7	
Sometimes	14.0	5.5	
Do you prefer junk food?			
Yes	185	71.1	P<.09
No	75	28.9	
Do you take energy drink	s?		
Yes	241	92.7	P<.06
No	19	7.3	
If yes, then how frequent	y?		
Once/day	85	32.7	P<.03
Twice/day	108	41.5	
After every meal	39	15.0	
More than 3 times/day	25	9.6	
Do you wear tight clothin	g?		
Yes	38	14.6	P<.04
No	197	75.8	
Maybe	22	8.5	
Do you prefer non-veg?			
Yes	252	96.8	P<.03
No	8	3.1	

(continued)

(continued)

Table I. Continued

	Frequency	Percentage	
Parameters	(N)	(%)	*P-value
	Section 1:		
	Demographic		
	information		
Do you think good hygie	ene practices may	v reduce the risl	< of breast
Yes	232	893	P< 01
No	19	71	1 ~ .01
Maybe	8	3.1	
Section 8	· Knowledge of	J.Z	
BC could be treated by:	. Kilowicage of	acathene	
Surgery	120	46 1	P< 05
Chemotherapy	58	22.4	1 1.05
Medicines	34	13.2	
Radiotherapy	17	66	
Hormone therapy	14	53	
Biological treatment	16	61	
Section 9: Knowle	dae reaardina i	ncidence rates	and
expenditu	re on breast ca	ncer in KSA	
Had knowledge about th	ne incidence of b	reast cancer all	over the
world?			
Yes	44	16.92	P<.01
No	194	74.61	
Maybe	22	8.46	
Had knowledge about th	ne incidence of b	reast cancer in	Saudi
Arabia?			
Yes	39	15	P<.04
No	117	45	
Maybe	104	40	
Did you have any knowl	edge about the p	ercentage of go	vernment
expenditure on breast	cancer in Saudi A	Arabia?	
Yes	13		P<.02
No	215	82.69	
Maybe	32	12.31	

The participants were unaware that breast cancer can happen at a young age and has the worst prognosis (12.3%).

#### Knowledge Regarding Non-Invasive Biomarkers

The knowledge of the study participants about non-invasive biomarkers was significantly low (P < .05) as a very low percentage of the study participants (27%) responded that they were aware of these non-invasive biomarkers (Figure 1). The majority of the participants marked this as 'No' and were found to be confused. They marked it 'Maybe' as a response to these evaluation questions.

### Knowledge Regarding Risk Factors

The majority of the participants (78.5%) were not aware of breast density as a risk factor for breast cancer. However,

Table 2.	Participants'	Responses in	Relation	to Signs	and
Symptom	s of Breast C	ancer ( $n = 26$	0).		

Breast cancer signs and symptoms	Frequency	Percentage	*P-value
Palpable, painless lump	145	55.8	0.01
Changes in breast shape	229	88.2	0.04
Palpable axillary lymph nodes	23	8.85	0.05
Deviated nipples	44	16.9	0.02
Nipple discharge	109	42.0	0.03

Significant at P value < .05; \*Significant at P value < 0.05 based on Chi-squared test.

Table 3. Correlation Between Study Years in the Program, Age, Marital Status, and Level of Knowledge of Study Participants (n =260).

Variables	Correlation coefficient	*P-value
First year	.894	.321
Mid year	.837	.045
Final year	.859	.001
Áge	.789	.021
Marital status	.009	.064

Significant at P value < .05; \*Significant at P value < .05 based on the Chi-squared test.

the study participants identified alcohol containing perfumes (89.1%), excessive alcohol consumption (88.1%), genetic mutation and positive family history of breast cancer (66.9%), and waist circumference/obesity (61.2%) as established and most important risk factors of breast cancer. The participants also identified stress, poor dietary habits, and fewer physical activities as the major modifiable risk factors. Accordingly, 47.7% of the participants identified no breastfeeding as one of the significant risk factors for breast cancer. However, their knowledge of the other associated risk factors of breast cancer was poor.

Majority of the study participants (69.7%) identified age as the major non-modifiable risk factor for breast cancer. However, very few participants were aware of the risk of breast cancer due to disruption in biological clock like early menarche, late menopause, and hormonal therapy. It was also found that their knowledge of the modifiable and non-modifiable risk factors was unsatisfactory.

# Knowledge of BSE Practices

The study participants had less knowledge about BSE practices, with the majority responding that they did not know how to perform BSE (77.3%). In fact, 52.7% of the participants responded that they had never done BSE (Table 4). There was a significant correlation between breast selfexamination practice and age (P < .05) as those few



Non-invasive biomarkers of non-blood origin

Figure 1. Participant for Knowledge of Non-invasive biomarkers of Non-blood origin.

**Table 4.** Examination Practice Among Study Participants (n = 260).

	Frequencies of BSE (N)	Percentage of BSE (%)
Monthly	13	5.0
Every 2–3 months	25	9.6
Every 4 months	9	3.46
Once in 6 months	19	7.3
Once in a year	57	21.9
Never practiced	137	52.7

students (22.7%) who were doing breast self-examination practices every 2–4 months belonged to a higher study year.

# Barriers of BSE Practices

The study participants cited "Not knowing how to perform breast self-examination" as the most common reason for not performing the breast self-examination (45.4%, Table 1), fear of a positive diagnosis (27.3%), thinking they were not at risk as all were in their teens and hence not required (27.3%), and lack of time (11.54%).

# Knowledge of Source of Information Regarding Breast Cancer

Regarding the source of information, the majority of the participants identified the internet as the major source of information, followed by government health programs.

**Table 5.** Knowledge Regarding Incidence Rates and Expenditure on Breast Cancer in the KSA (n = 260).

Variables	Frequency	Percentages	*P-value
Did you have knowledge about the incidence of breast cancer all over the world?	44	16.92	.01
Did you have knowledge about the incidence of breast cancer in Saudi Arabia?	39	15.0	.04
Did you have knowledge about the percentage of government expenditure on breast cancer in Saudi Arabia?	13	05.0	.02

Significant at P value < .05; \*Significant at P value < .05 based on the Chi-squared test.

# Knowledge of Global and National Incidence Rates and Epidemiology of BC

The majority of the participants did not have sufficient knowledge regarding the epidemiology, incidence, and current trends of breast cancer at the global and national levels (Table 5). Furthermore, knowledge regarding the incidence rates and health care expenditure by the government on breast cancer was also significantly low (P < .05).

# Discussion

The high incidence rates of breast cancer could be caused by a number of factors, including an aging population, an increase in risk factors, the widespread use of mammography screening (Srivastava et al., 2019) for detection, age (Britt et al., 2020; NCD, 2017), and changes in population and epidemiology (Blüher, 2019; Hu et al., 2013; Møller et al., 2002; NCD, 2017; Zhang et al., 2012). The most crucial steps to reducing breast cancer-related mortality are acquiring knowledge and performing skill-based interventions required for early diagnosis.

Nevertheless, mammography has certain drawbacks, one of which is its inability to identify breast cancer. The range of mammographic sensitivity for identifying breast cancer in a randomized, controlled mammography screening experiment was 71% to 96% (Humphrey et al., 2002). Mammographic sensitivity decreased even further in patients with dense breast tissue, that is, from 48% to 70% (Humphrey et al., 2002; Pisano et al., 2005). For women with thick breasts, ultrasonography is more sensitive than mammography in identifying lesions (Skaane, 1999; Zonderland et al., 1999). Nevertheless, the majority of microcalcifications, which are characteristic findings in ductal carcinoma in situ, are also missed by ultrasonography. Ductal carcinoma in situ accounts for 75% of the tumors that ultrasonography misses, while invasive carcinomas account for 25% (Nemec et al., 2007).

In the KSA, despite the healthcare facilities being free of charge with widespread screening services, even in shopping malls, as community service activities, the utilization of breast cancer screening methods, including mammography, and screening rates is not optimal and are very low, respectively, with one study reporting that for women 50 years of age or older, 89% reported not having a clinical breast examination, and 92% reported never having a mammogram in the past year (Bcheraoui et al., 2015).

Studies have reported that ease of collection, high protein concentration, and lower complexity of the tear fluid compared to blood make tears an ideal diagnostic fluid (Ponzini et al., 2022; Ravishankar & Daily, 2022; Zhou & Beuerman, 2017). Additionally, low-molecular weight proteins are easily accessible in tear fluids and can aid in identifying crucial cancer biomarkers (Hagan et al., 2016). The study conducted by Leemans et al. (2022) demonstrated that volatile organic compounds in sweat differ between healthy individuals and breast cancer patients, suggesting the possibility of using these compounds as novel breast cancer biomarkers in non-invasive screening tools.

Phillips et al. (2003), Peng et al. (2010), Phillips et al. (2010), and Patterson et al. (2011) reported that breath analysis exhibits potential in cancer screening and early diagnosis because it is an easy, safe, painless, and non-invasive procedure that poses no risks to patients. Increased oxidative stress and the induction of cytochrome P450 enzymes are

associated with BC. This leads to the lipid peroxidation of polyunsaturated fatty acids in cell membranes, which increases the production of volatile alkanes and alkane derivatives in the breath and ultimately affects the amount of volatile organic compounds in exhaled breath (Patterson et al., 2011; Peng et al., 2010; Phillips et al., 2003, 2010). Peng et al. (2010) also demonstrated that women with breast cancer have increased levels of 3,3-dimethyl pentane in their breath. However, the participants of this study had very little knowledge about the typical changes in breath odor in breast cancer patients.

Human urine is also one of the most useful biofluids of non-blood origin, which serves as an important biomarker of various diseases, including breast cancer. Studies have demonstrated increases in phosphotidylcholine and phosphotidylethanolamine in the urine samples of breast cancer patients (Beretov et al., 2015). Fifty-nine urinary proteins were differentially detected in breast cancer patients (Beretov et al., 2015). Furthermore, the biomarkers in nipple aspirate fluids could also be a better source for the identification of breast cancer as they contain exfoliated breast epithelial cells from which breast cancer originates (Sauter et al., 1997).

However, the study participants, who are future nursing professionals, had almost negligible knowledge regarding these noninvasive biomarkers that could be utilized as sensitive tools for creating awareness, which could help in early diagnosis and reduce the severity and mortality due to knowledge gaps.

Moreover, the participants reported breast cancer risk factors that were almost similar to those reported in various previous studies, such as older age, high body mass index or obesity, exposure to tobacco, physical inactivity, highfat diets, early-age menarche, late age at first full-term pregnancy, shorter breastfeeding periods, use of hormonal menopausal therapy or oral contraceptives, breast density, and family history of breast cancer (Kushi et al., 2012).

Most of the research participants were unaware that dense breasts have a masking effect on mammographic screening, increasing their intrinsic risk of developing breast cancer. Therefore, more work has to be done to increase the students' understanding of the various types of breast tissue and the increased risks of breast cancer.

The study participants were also almost unaware of the severity of breast cancer at such a young age. Several studies have shown that breast cancer arising at a young age is linked with a worse prognosis. Various studies have suggested that BC at a young stage is enriched with more aggressive breast cancer subtypes, particularly triple-negative or basal-like tumors (Azim & Partridge, 2014; Azim et al., 2020). In the study of Heena et al. (2019) among health professionals, the study participants were also found to have less knowledge and awareness about breast cancer, screening, and attitude.

The inadequate breast cancer knowledge determined in this study is in line with the results obtained for a study conducted among female university students in Saudi Arabia, which reported that only 4.2% of the study participants have adequate knowledge about BSEs (Albeshan et al., 2023). In another study conducted among Palestinian females by Jobran et al. (2023), the majority of females (68.7%) scored poorly on their knowledge of BC considering possible risk, methods of detection, methods of diagnosis, methods of treatment, signs and symptoms, information about mammography, and other knowledge questions. Only 31.7% scored well.

In contrast to this, the study conducted on female university students in Gambia by Kinteh et al. (2023) revealed that they had good knowledge regarding breast cancer screening. Abo Al-Shiekh et al. (2021), in their study on female university students in Gaza, found that the majority of students (80.2%) had acquired previous information about breast cancer from different sources, including university studies (57%), the internet (45%), and social media (41%). Findings showed good scores ( $\geq$ 70%) for the signs and symptoms and risk factors of breast cancer. Roughly, all students (96.5%) have heard about BSE, and 69.8% knew the time to do BSE; however, only 31.4% of them practice it regularly.

Similar to our study, the work of Abo Al-Shiekh et al. (2021) also reported that the three main barriers to BSE practice were students who do not have a breast problem (39.7%), do not know how to do it (37.9%), and are busy (31%). More than three-quarters (76.6%) of the respondents had never practiced any form of breast cancer screening. Kinteh et al. (2023) revealed almost similar results, where more than three-quarters (76.6%) of the respondents had never practiced any form of breast cancer screening. Alotaibi et al. (2018) reported that most of the participating students had never performed BSE (82%). Other researchers, however, who investigated the reasons for not practicing BSE, found that a lack of knowledge was the main reason. Alzabadi et al. (2017), Birhane et al. (2017), and Hassan et al. (2017), for example, reported that most students did not perform BSE because of insufficient information and skills about its steps. Findings of our study on reasons for the majority of the study participants not doing BSE practices also corresponded to the results of these studies.

None of the studies investigated the specific knowledge of the non-invasive biomarkers of breast cancer among female nursing undergraduates. The results of the present study revealed inadequate knowledge of the non-invasive biomarkers of BC, such as sweat, urine, breath odor, and nipple aspirates, for breast cancer detection.

The study findings confirmed that the study population had inadequate knowledge of the non-invasive biomarkers of breast cancer at baseline. A training program should be implemented to increase the level of knowledge about the non-invasive biomarkers of BC and BSE practice because, when educated youth are well aware of the disease and its early diagnosis procedures, they can create community awareness about the easy and early diagnosis of breast cancer using breath, sweat, and other non-invasive biomarkers discussed in this study. The outcomes of this study could be useful for policymakers to chalk out policies by focusing more on knowledge-building measures about the noninvasive biomarkers of breast cancer and knowledge of the breast self-examination technique, which would help in controlling the incidence of the disease and preventing its adverse outcomes on the quality of life.

## **Conclusion and Recommendations**

In summary, there appears to be a broad general awareness about breast cancer among the study participants. However, only a small portion have a thorough understanding and knowledge of breast cancer, despite the fact that most of them have heard of the disease. Programs for educating nursing professionals about breast cancer symptoms, noninvasive biomarkers, and prevention should be launched, especially at the university level.

Knowledge- and skill-based interventions are required for early diagnosis using sensitive non-invasive biomarkers, such as changes in the smell of sweat, breath, or discharge from the nipples. This would help in better managing the disease, reducing the psychological load, and improving the quality of life of affected people. In view of the lesser percentage of study participants with knowledge of BSE practice, it is advisable that BSE knowledge and practice be incorporated into the nursing program.

#### Limitations

The main limitation of the study was the small sample size. A cross-sectional study sample should be large so as to get accurate findings from the study participants.

The self-report questions for the young nurses were another limitation of this study because of their bias.

# Strength

The published data on breast cancer knowledge among nursing undergraduates are totally lacking from this very important island, which is now registered under UNESCO for its immense underwater wealth; hence, the study outcomes will advance our understanding of the existing knowledge on breast cancer and will add to the global efforts of the WHO to better understand and manage the disease.

#### Acknowledgments

The authors are highly grateful to the dean of the college, Dr. Afaf Mohammad Babeeir, for providing the necessary facilities for the electronic submission of the manuscript and providing a highly conducive work environment. The authors gratefully acknowledge the Writefull Tool from Hindawi, which was used for language check/editing prior to the submission of the manuscript. The authors are also grateful to the dean of the main campus' College of Nursing, Dr. Mohammad Arishi, for promoting research and development activities for the nursing program. Thanks are also due to the participants who willingly participated in the study. They highly appreciate the active participation of Ms. Samar Ageely and Ms. Mead, the graduates of the Department of Nursing, Farasan Campus, Jazan University in the KSA in the data collection.

#### **Authors' Contributions**

Dr. Shabihul Fatma Sayed conceptualized and designed the study and performed sampling and analyses, statistical analyses of the data, and manuscript writing. Dr. Hamad G. Dailah and Dr. Sumathi Nagarajan participated in data analyses and manuscript reviews. Dr. Siddig Ibrahim Abdelwahab and Dr. Shaived Shabeehul Hasan Abadi took part of the statistical analyses and manuscript writing. The manuscript was critically reviewed by Dr. Shabihul Fatma Sayed. All authors have given final approval of the manuscript.

#### **Declaration of Conflicting Interests**

The authors declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

#### **Ethical Considerations**

The study has been conducted in full accordance with the ethical guidelines of Jazan University, and has been approved by the College Ethical Committee (No. 1/1/1444H, 2022). Sufficient research information was provided to the participants with the help of full informed consent, and this was achieved via a consent form attached to the questionnaire. Full confidentiality was ensured. The rights of the participants, were protected by the Helsinki Code of Ethics.

#### Funding

The authors received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

#### **ORCID** iD

Shabihul Fatma Sayed: D https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0115-9446

#### References

- Abo Al-Shiekh, S. S., Ibrahim, M. A., & Alajerami, Y. S. (2021). Breast cancer knowledge and practice of breast self-examination among female university students, Gaza. *Scientific World Journal*, 2021, 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/6640324
- Albeshan, S., Shubayr, N., & Alashban, Y. (2023). Assessment of knowledge and awareness about breast self-examination among university female students in Saudi Arabia. *Breast Cancer: Targets and Therapy- Dove Medical Press*, 1(15), 91–99. PMID: 36755689; PMCID: PMC9900142. https://doi. org/10.2147/BCTT.S396903
- Alghamdi, A., Bander, B., Alqahtani, S., & Almotairi, H. (2021). The economic burden associated with the management of different stages of breast cancer: A retrospective cost of illness analysis in Saudi Arabia. *Healthcare (Basel)*, 9(7), 907. https://doi. org/10.3390/healthcare9070907
- AL-Mulhim, F., Bakr, R., Almedallah, D., Alkaltham, N., Alotaibi, A., & Alnoaim, S. (2018). Screening mammography and breast

self-examination: Attitudes and practices of women in the Eastern Province of Saudi Arabia. *Saudi Journal for Health Sciences*, 7, 89–100. https://doi.org/10.4103/sjhs.sjhs\_4\_18

- Alothaibi, R. M. (2018). Breast cancer mortality in Saudi Arabia: Modelling observed and unobserved factors. *PLoS One*, 13(10), e0206148. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0206148.
- Alqahtani, W. S., Almufareh, N. A., Domiaty, D. M., Albasher, G., Alduwish, M. A., Alkhalaf, H., et al. (2020). Epidemiology of cancer in Saudi Arabia thru 2010–2019: A systematic review with constrained meta-analysis. *AIMS Public Health*, 7, 679– 696. https://doi.org/10.3934/publichealth.2020053
- Alzabadi, H., Ahmad, B., Kathem, W., Kokash, E., Saed, M., & Husean, M. (2017). Knowledge, awareness and practice of breast self-examination among AlNajah National University female students. *Palestinian Medical and Pharmaceutical Journal*, 2(1), 45–54. https://doi.org/10.59049/2790-0231.1036
- American Cancer Society. Breast Cancer Facts & Figures 2019– 2020. (2019). https://www.cancer.org/content/dam/cancer-org/ research/cancer-facts-and-statistics/breast-cancer-facts-and-figures/ breast-cancer-facts-and-figures-2019-2020.pdf, 2019. American Cancer Society.
- Asiri, S., Asiri, A., Ulahannan, S., Alanazi, M., Humran, A., & Hummadi, A. (2020). Incidence rates of breast cancer by age and tumor characteristics among Saudi women: Recent trends. *Cureus*, 12(1), e6664. https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.6664
- Aslebagh, R., Whitham, D., Channaveerappa, D., Mutsengi, P., Pentecost, B. T., Arcaro, K. F., & Darie, C. C. (2022). Mass spectrometry-based proteomics of human milk to identify differentially expressed proteins in women with breast cancer versus controls. *Proteomes*, 10(4), 36. https://doi.org/10.3390/proteomes 10040036
- Autier, P., & Boniol, M. (2018). Mammography screening: A major issue in medicine. *European Journal of Cancer*, 90, 34–62. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejca.2017.11.002
- Azim, H. A., Shohdy, K. S., Kaldas, D. F., Kassem, L., & Azim, H. A. Jr. (2020). Adjuvant ovarian function suppression and tamoxifen in premenopausal breast cancer patients: A meta-analysis. *Current Problems in Cancer*, 44(6), 100592.. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.currproblcancer.2020.100592
- Azim Hatem, A., & Partridge, A. H. (2014). Biology of breast cancer in young women. Breast Cancer Research: Breast Cancer Research, 16(4), 427. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13058-014-0427-5.
- Barmada, A., & Shippy, S. A. (2020). Tear analysis as the next routine body fluid test. *Eye*, 34(10), 1731–1733. https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41433-020-0930-0
- Basudan, A. M. (2022). Breast cancer incidence patterns in the Saudi female population: A 17-year retrospective analysis. *Medicina*, 58(11), 1617. https://doi.org/10.3390/medicina58111617
- Bcheraoui, E. I., S. Basulaiman, W. Daoud, F. M. Tuffaha, M. A. Al Mazroa, Z. A. Memish, & J Al Saeedi., & M. A. H. Mokdad (2015). Breast cancer screening in Saudi Arabia: Free but almost no takers, *PLoS One*, *10*(3), e0119051. https://doi.org/ 10.1371/journal.pone.0119051
- Beretov, J., Wasinger, V. C., Millar, E. K. A., Schwartz, P., Graham, P. H., & Li, Y. (2015). Proteomic analysis of urine to identify breast cancer biomarker candidates using a label-free LC-MS/ MS approach. *PLoS One*, 10(11), e0141876. https://doi.org/10. 1371/journal.pone.0141876
- Birhane, K., Alemayehu, M., Anawte, B., Gebremariyam, G., Daniel, R., Addis, S., & Negash, W. (2017). Practices of breast

self-examination and associated factors among female Debre Berhan university students. *International Journal of Breast Cancer*, 2017, 1–6. https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/8026297

- Blüher, M. (2019). Obesity: Global epidemiology and pathogenesis. *Nature Reviews Endocrinology*, 15(5), 288–298. https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41574-019-0176-8
- Bodewes, F. T. H., Van Asselt, A. A., Dorrius, M. D., Greuter, M. J. W., & de Bock, G. H. (2022). Mammographic breast density and the risk of breast cancer: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *The Breast*, 66, 62–68. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. breast.2022.09.007
- Böhm, D., Keller, K., Pieter, J., et al. (2012). Comparison of tear protein levels in breast cancer patients and healthy controls using a de novo proteomic approach. *Oncology Reports*, 2(28), 429–438. https://doi.org/10.3892/or.2012.1849
- Boyd, N. F., Guo, H., Martin, L. J., & Sun, L. (2007). Mammographic density and the risk and detection of breast cancer. *The New England Journal of Medicine*, 356(3), 227– 236. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa062790
- Britt, K. L., Cuzick, J., & Phillips, K. A. (2020). Key steps for effective breast cancer prevention. *Nature Reviews Cancer*, 20(8), 417–436. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41568-020-0266-x
- Cancer CGoHFiB (2002). Breast cancer and breastfeeding: Collaborative reanalysis of individual data from 47 epidemiological studies in 30 countries, including 50302 women with breast cancer and 96973 women without the disease. *Lancet*, *360*(9328), 187–195. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(02) 09454-0
- Cesarec, A., & Likić, R. (2017). Budget impact analysis of biosimilar trastuzumab for the treatment of breast cancer in Croatia. *Applied Health Economics and Health Policy*, 2(15), 277–286. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40258-016-0285-7
- Chen, X., Chen, H., Dai, M., et al. (2016). Plasma lipidomics profiling identified lipid biomarkers in distinguishing early-stage breast cancer from benign lesions. *Oncotarget*, 24(7), 36622– 36631. https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.9124
- Cocco, L. D., A. F Chiaparini, M. A. L Saffi, & T. L. L Leiria. (2022). Global longitudinal strain for the early detection of chemotherapy-induced cardiotoxicity. A Systematic Review in Clinical Oncology (R Coll Radiol), 34(8), 514–525. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.clon.2022.05.001
- Cochran, W. G. (1963). *Sampling techniques* (2nd ed.). John Wiley and Sons, Inc.
- Daily, A., Ravishankar, P., Harms, S., & Klimberg, V. S. (2022). Using tears as a non-invasive source for early detection of breast cancer. *PLoS One*, 17(4), e0267676. https://doi.org/10. 1371/journal.pone.0267676
- DeSantis, C. E., Ma, J., Gaudet, M. M., Newman, L. A., Miller, K. D., Sauer, A. G., Jemal, A., & Siegel, R. L. (2019). Breast cancer statistics, 2019. CA. A Cancer Journal for Clinicians, 69(6), 438–451. https://doi.org/10.3322/caac.21583
- Deutscher, S. L., Dickerson, M., Gui, G., et al. (2010). Carbohydrate antigens in nipple aspirate fluid predict the presence of atypia and cancer in women requiring diagnostic breast biopsy. *BMC Cancer*, 10, 519. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2407-10-519
- Engin, A. (2017). Obesity-associated breast cancer: Analysis of risk factors. Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology, 960, 571–606. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-48382-5\_25
- Erbes, T., Hirschfeld, M., Rücker, G., et al. (2015). Feasibility of urinary microRNA detection in breast cancer patients and its

potential as an innovative non-invasive biomarker. *BMC Cancer*, *15*, 193. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12885-015-1190-4

- *Guide to Mammography and Other Breast Imaging Procedures.* (2012). National Council on Radiation.
- Hadi, M. A., Hassali, M. A., Shafie, A. A., & Awaisu, A. (2010). Evaluation of breast cancer awareness among female university students in Malaysia. *Pharmacy Practice (Granada)*, 8(1), 29– 34. www.pharmacypractice.org
- Hagan, S., Martin, E., & Enríquez-de-Salamanca, A. (2016). Tear fluid biomarkers in ocular and systemic disease: Potential use for predictive, preventive and personalized medicine. *EPMA Journal*, 7(1), 15. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13167-016-0065-3
- Hanna, G. B., Boshier, P. R., Markar, S. R., & Romano, A. (2019). Accuracy and methodologic challenges of volatile organic compound–based exhaled breath tests for cancer diagnosis: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA Oncology* 1 (5), 182815. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaoncol.2018.2815
- Hassan, M. R., Ghazi, H. F., Mohamed, A. S., & Jasmin, S. J. (2017). Knowledge and practice of breast self-examination among female non-medical students in Universiti Kebangasaan Malaysia (UKM) in bangi. *Malaysian Journal of Public Health Medicine*, 17(1), 51–58. https://www.researchgate.net/ publication/316765097
- Heena, H., Durrani, S., Riaz, M., AlFayyad, I., Tabasim, R., Parvez, G., & Abu-Shaheen, A. (2019). Knowledge, attitudes, and practices related to breast cancer screening among female health care professionals: A cross sectional study. *BMC Women's Health*, *1*(19), 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12905-019-0819-x
- Herman,-Saffar, O., Boger, Z., Libson, S., et al. (2018). Early noninvasive detection of breast cancer using exhaled breath and urine analysis. *Computers in Biology and Medicine*, 96, 227– 232. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compbiomed.2018.04.002
- Hu, X. F., Jiang, Y., Qu, C. X., Wang, J. B., Chen, W. Q., Li, H., & Qiao, Y. L. (2013). Population-attributable risk estimates for breast cancer in Chinese females. *Zhonghua Zhong Liu ZaZhi*, 35(10), 796–800. Chinese PMID: 24378106
- Humphrey, L. L., Helfand, M., Chan, B. K., & Woolf, S. H. (2002). Breast cancer screening: A summary of the evidence for the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, *137*(5 Part 1), 347–360. https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-137-5\_Part\_1-200209030-00012
- Jobran, A. W. M., Banat, M. A., Awad, B. Y., & Warasna, H. J. (2023). Breast cancer knowledge and practice of breast selfexamination among Palestinian female, West Bank: A crosssectional study. *Health Science Reports*, 6(11), e1678.. PMID: 37927537; PMCID: PMC10620115. https://doi.org/10.1002/ hsr2.1678
- Johns, P. C., & Yaffe, M. J. (1987). X-ray characterization of normal and neoplastic breast tissues. *Physics in Medicine & Biology*, 32(6), 675–695. https://doi.org/10.1088/0031-9155/ 32/6/002
- Kerlikowske, K. (2007). The mammogram that cried Wolfe. *The New England Journal of Medicine*, 356(3), 297–300. https:// doi.org/10.1056/NEJMe068244
- Kim, Y. Y., Kang, H. J., Ha, S., & Park, J. H. (2019). Effects of living in the same region as one's workplace on the total fertility rate of working women in Korea. *Epidemiology and Health*, 41, e2019043. https://doi.org/10.4178/epih.e2019043
- Kinteh, B., Kinteh, S. L. S., Jammeh, A., Touray, E., & Barrow, A. (2023). Breast cancer screening: Knowledge, attitudes, and

practices among female university students in The Gambia. *Biomed Research International*, 2023, 1–8, https://doi.org/10.1155/2023/9239431.

- Kushi, L. H., Doyle, C., McCullough, M., Rock, C. L., Demark-Wahnefried, W., Bandera, E. V., Gapstur, S., Patel, A. V., Andrews, K., & Gansler, T. (2012). American cancer society 2010 nutrition and physical activity guidelines advisory committee. American Cancer Society Guidelines on nutrition and physical activity for cancer prevention: Reducing the risk of cancer with healthy food choices and physical activity. *CA: A Cancer Journal for Clinicians*, 62(1), 30–67. https://doi.org/ 10.3322/caac.20140
- Leemans, M., Bauër, P., Cuzuel, V., Audureau, E., & Fromantin, I. (2022). Volatile organic compounds analysis as a potential novel screening tool for breast cancer: A systematic review. *Biomarker Insights*, 17, 11772719221100709. https://doi.org/10.1177/ 11772719221100709
- Lei, S., Zheng, R., Zhang, S., Wang, S., Chen, R., et al. (2021). Cancer communication. Global patterns of breast cancer incidence and mortality: A population-based cancer registry data analysis from 2000 to 2020. *Cancer Communications* (*Lond*), 41(11), 1183–1194. https://doi.org/10.1002/cac2. 12207
- Li, J., Xin, G., Zhimin, F., Ching, L.-M., Li, Y., Wang, X., Cao, W.-M., & Liu, D.-X. (2020). Non-invasive biomarkers for early detection of breast cancer. *Cancers*, 12(10), 2767. https:// doi.org/10.3390/cancers12102767
- Mandelson, M. T., Oestreicher, N., Porter, P. L., White, D., Finder, C. A., Taplin, S. H., & White, E. (2000). Breast density as a predictor of mammographic detection: Comparison of interval- and screen-detected cancers. *The Journals of the National Cancer Institute*, 19(92), 1081–1087. https://doi.org/10.1093/jnci/92. 13.1081
- MOH. Use of Screening Strategies for Detection of Breast Cancer. (Kingdom of Saudi Arabia): MOH, The Saudi Center for EBHC Clinical Practice Guideline; April 2014. [Accessed 2018 Dec 19] https://www.moh.gov.sa/depten/TCP/Documents/88.%20Breast %20Cancer%20-%20Use%20of%20Screening%20Strategies% 20for%20the%20Detection%20of%20Breast%20Cancer.pdf
- Møller, B., Fekjaer, H., Hakulinen, T., Tryggvadóttir, L., Storm, H. H., Talbäck, M., & Haldorsen, T. (2002). Prediction of cancer incidence in the Nordic countries up to the year 2020. *The European Journal of Cancer Prevention*, 11(1), 1–96. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2354.2002.00305.x
- NCD Risk Factor Collaboration (NCD-RisC) (2017). Worldwide trends in body-mass index, underweight, overweight, and obesity from 1975 to 2016: A pooled analysis of 2416 population-based measurement studies in 128-9 million children, adolescents, and adults. *Lancet*, 390(10113), 2627–2642. https:// doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(17)32129-3
- Nemec, C. F., Listinsky, J., & Rim, A. (2007). How should we screen for breast cancer? Mammography, ultrasonography, MRI. *Cleveland Clinic Journal of Medicine*, 12(74), 897–904. https://doi.org/10.3949/ccjm.74.12.897
- Nemenqani, D. M., Abdelmaqoud, S. H., Al-Hanouf, A., Al-Malki, Oraija, A.A., & Al-Otaibi, E. M. (2014). Knowledge, attitude and practice of breast self-examination and breast cancer among female medical students in Taif, Saudi Arabia. *Saudi Journal for Health Sciences*, 2(4), 69–77. https://doi.org/ 10.4236/ojpm.2014.42011

- Nguyen, T., Li, L., Dite, S., Aung, G. S., Evans, Ye, K., Trinh, C. F., Ho, N. T., Baglietto, L., Stone, J., Song, Y. M., Sung, J., English, D.R., Jenkins, M. A., Duque, P. A., Milne, R. L., Southey, M. C., Giles, G. G., Pike, M.C., & Hopper, J. L. (2020). Interval breast cancer risk associations with breast density, family history and breast tissue aging. *International Journal of Cancer*, 147(2), 375–382. https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.32731
- Patel, A., & Shah, S. (2022). Noninvasive biomarkers: Emerging trends in early detection of breast cancer. In: S. Shakil Malik, & N. Masood (Eds) *Breast cancer: From bench to personalized medicine* (pp. 125–143). Springer.
- Patterson, S. G., Bayer, C. W., Hendry, R. J., Sellers, N., Lee, K. S., Vidakovic, B., Mizaikoff, B., & Gabram-Mendola, S. G. (2011). Breath analysis by mass spectrometry: A new tool for breast cancer detection? *The American Journal of Surgery*, 77(6), 747–751. doi:10.1177/000313481107700632
- Peng, G., Hakim, M., Broza, Y. Y., Billan, S., Abdah-Bortnyak, R., Kuten, A., Tisch, U., & Haick, H. (2010). Detection of lung, breast, colorectal, and prostate cancers from exhaled breath using a single array of nanosensors. *British Journal of Cancer*, 103(4), 542–551. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.bjc.6605810
- Pfeiffer, R. M., Webb-Vargas, Y., Wheeler, W., & Gail, M. H. (2018). Proportion of U.S. trends in breast cancer incidence attributable to long-term changes in risk factor distributions. *Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention*, 27(10), 1214–1222. https://doi.org/10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-18-0098
- Phillips, M., Cataneo, R. N., Ditkoff, B. A., Fisher, P., Greenberg, J., Gunawardena, R., Kwon, C. S., Rahbari-Oskoui, F., & Wong, C. (2003). Volatile markers of breast cancer in the breath. *The Breast Journal*, 9(3), 184–191. https://doi.org/10. 1046/j.1524-4741.2003.09309.x
- Phillips, M., Cataneo, R. N., Saunders, C., Hope, P., Schmitt, P., & Wai, J. (2010). Volatile biomarkers in the breath of women with breast cancer. *Journal of Breath Research*, 4(2), 026003. https:// doi.org/10.1088/1752-7155/4/2/026003
- Pisano, E. D., Gatsonis, C., Hendrick, E., Yaffe, M., Baum, J. K., Acharyya, S., et al. (2005). Digital Mammographic Imaging Screening Trial (DMIST) Investigators Group. Diagnostic performance of digital versus film mammography for breast-cancer screening. *The New England Journal of Medicine*, 353(17), 1773–1783. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa052911
- Ponraj, D. N., Jenifer, M. E., Poongodi, D. P., & Manoharan, J. S. (2011). A survey on the preprocessing techniques of mammogram for the detection of breast cancer. *Journal of Emerging Trends in Computing and Information Sciences*, 2(12), 656– 664. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/266489422
- Ponzini, E., Santambrogio, C., De Palma, A., Mauri, P., Tavazzi, S., & Grandori, R. (2022). Mass spectrometry-based tear proteomics for noninvasive biomarker discovery. *Mass Spectrometry Reviews*, 41(5), 842–860. https://doi.org/10.1002/mas.21691
- Rangayyan, R. M., Ayres, F. J., & Leo Desautels, J. E. (2006). A review of computer-aided diagnosis of breast cancer: Toward the detection of subtle signs. *Journal of Franklin Institute*, 344(3-4), 312–348. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfranklin.2006.09.003
- Ravishankar, P., & Daily, A. (2022). Tears as the next diagnostic biofluid: A comparative study between ocular fluid and blood. *Applied Sciences*, 12(6), 2884. https://doi.org/10.3390/ app12062884
- Sauter, E. R., Ross, E., Daly, M., Klein-Szanto, A., Engstrom, P. F., Sorling, A., Malick, J., & Ehya, H. (1997). Nipple aspirate fluid:

A promising non-invasive method to identify cellular markers of breast cancer risk. *British Journal of Cancer*, 76(4), 494–501. https://doi.org/10.1038/bjc.1997.415

- Shaheed, S. U., Tait, C., Kyriacou, K., Linforth, R., Salhab, M., & Sutton, C. (2018). Evaluation of nipple aspirates fluid as a diagnostic tool for early detection of breast cancer. *Clinical Proteomics*, 11(15), 3. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12014-017-9179-4
- Skaane, P. (1999). The additional value of US to mammography in the diagnosis of breast cancer. A prospective study. *Acta Radiologica*, 40(5), 486–490. https://doi.org/10.3109/02841859909175572
- Srivastava, S., Koay, E. J., Borowsky, A. D., Marzo, M., Ghosh, S., Wagner, P. D., Kramer, B. S., et al. (2019). Cancer overdiagnosis: A biological challenge and clinical dilemma. *Nature Reviews Cancer*, 19(6), 349–358. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41568-019-0142-8
- Sung, H., Ferlay, J., Siegel, R. L., Laversanne, M., Soerjomataram, I., Jemal, A., & Bray, F. (2021). Global cancer statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA A Cancer Journal for Clinicians*, 71(3), 209–249. https://doi.org/10.3322/caac.21660
- World Health Organization. Cancer Country Profiles Saudi Arabia 2020/ICCP Portal. (KSA): 2021/03/26 [accessed 2023 January 22]. WHO https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/ breast-cancer
- World Health Organization. (2012). Breast cancer: Prevention and control. WHO 2012. 2013 [accessed 2022 November 12]. http://www.who.int/cancer/detection/breastcancer/en/

- Yakout, S. M., El-shatby, Moursy, A. M., Moawad, S., & Salem, O. (2014). Awareness, knowledge and practice of breast selfexamination among groups of female nursing students, Riyadh, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. *International Journal Of Scientific Research In Biological Science*, 3(2), 58–63. https:// www.isca.in/IJBS/Archive/v3/i2/10.ISCA-IRJBS-2013-252.pdf
- Yıldırım, H. C., Mutulu, E., Chalabiyey, E., Ozen, M., Keskinkilic, M., et al. (2022). Clinical outcomes of cyclin-dependent kinase 4–6 (CDK 4–6) inhibitors in patients with male breast cancer: A multicenter study. *The Breast*, *66*, 85–88. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.breast.2022.09.009
- Yousuf, S. A. (2010). Breast cancer awareness among Saudi nursing students JKAU. *Medical Sciences*, 17(3), 67–78. DOI: 10.4197/ Med. 17-3.6
- Zhang, Q., Liu, L. Y., Wang, F., Mu, K., & Yu, Z. G. (2012). The changes in female physical and childbearing characteristics in China and potential association with risk of breast cancer. *BMC Public Health*, 12, 368. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-12-368
- Zhou, L., & Beuerman, R. W. (2017). The power of tears: How tear proteomics research could revolutionize the clinic. *Expert Review of Proteomics*, 14(3), 189–191. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 14789450.2017.1285703
- Zonderland, H. M., Coerkamp, E. G., Hermans, J., Vijver, M. J., & Voorthuisen, A. E. (1999). Diagnosis of breast cancer: Contribution of US as an adjunct to mammography. *Radiology*, 2(213), 413– 422. https://doi.org/10.1148/radiology.213.2.r99nv05413