

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Data in Brief

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/dib

Data Article

Satellite data for Singapore, Manila and Kuala Lumpur city growth analysis



Mukesh Singh Boori ^{a,b,d,*,1}, Komal Choudhary ^a, Alexander Kupriyanov ^{a,c}, Viktor Kovelskiy ^a

^a Samara National Research University, Samara, Russia

^b American Sentinel University, CO, USA

^c Image Processing Systems Institute, Samara, Russia

^d Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan

A R T I C L E I N F O

Article history: Received 25 February 2016 Received in revised form 8 April 2016 Accepted 12 April 2016 Available online 22 April 2016

Keywords: Urban growth City density Landsat satellite data Change detection Remote sensing GIS Singapore Manila Kuala Lumpur

Specifications Table

ject area

Subject areaEarth Science and Geo-informaticsMore specific sub-Remote Sensing and GIS

¹ Mobile: +7 9874329875.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2016.04.028

2352-3409/© 2016 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

ABSTRACT

This data article presents satellite data related to city growth of Singapore, Manila and Kuala Lumpur cities. The data were collected from NASA and USGS websites. A method has been developed for city built-up density from city center to outward till 50 km by using satellite data. These data sets consists three decade Landsat images. A detailed description is given to show how to use this data to produce urban growth maps. The urban growth maps have been used to know the changes and growth pattern in the Southeast Asia Cities. © 2016 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license

(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).



^{*} Corresponding author at: Scientific Research Laboratory of Geo-informatics and Information Security (SRL-55), Samara State Aerospace University, Russia. Tel.: +7 8463323799, +1 800 729 2427.

E-mail addresses: msboori@gmail.com, mukesh.boori@americansentinel.edu (M.S. Boori).

| Type of data How data was acquired | Satellite image, table, figure, graph Collect from field and download from NASA and USGS website |
|--|--|
| Data format | Analyzed |
| Experimental factors | Image processing |
| Experimental features | Image classification, combined satellite data and socio-economic data in GIS with the help of ArcGIS 10.2 software |
| Data source location | Scientific Research Laboratory of Automated Systems of Scientific Research (SRL-35), Samara State Aerospace University, Samara, Russia |
| Data accessibility | Data is in this data article |

Value of the data

- City growth and land use/cover data are utilize in maximum type of remote sensing data applications such as hydrology, agriculture, forest, urban growth/city planning, vulnerability, natural resources and sustainable development etc.
- Socio-economic or secondary data such a general amenities, facilities and field data are useful to verify the satellite data and to know the changes of an area.
- Data of urban expansion, land use/cover are very useful to local government and urban planners for the future plans to sustainable development of the city.

1. Data

The following multi-sensor, multi-resolution and multi-temporal satellite data were used: Landsat 5 TM (Thematic Mapper) for 1989, Landsat 7 ETM+ (Enhanced Thematic Mapper plus) for 2001 and Landsat 8 OLI (Operational Land Imager) for 2014, an image captured by a different type of sensors with less than 20% cloud cover. In addition, Landsat images are frequently updated and are available free of charge through the Global Land Cover Facility repository [1–3]. All data were downloaded free of charge from NASA and USGS website. Fig. 1 presents city growth of Singapore, Manila and Kuala Lumpur in the last three decades.

2. Experimental design, materials and methods

To convert all row satellite data into meaningful data, first rectified and georeferenced the data in UTM projection (WGS 84 datum). To increase the quality of satellite data, all data processed through band ratio, classification and in last change detection techniques [4–6]. All satellite data were preferred to retain the spatial details such as original pixel value and size. Therefore the satellite data were kept without changing their pixel sizes despite the possible varying accuracy level of classification with the different spatial, spectral and radiometric resolutions. All nine satellite images were classified through maximum likelihood supervised classification in ArcGIS 10.2 software [7–9]. To create a closer correspondence between the produced output data maps, the classification was done by only considering four main classes: urban/built-up area, agriculture land, forest land (tree/park) and water body [10–12]. The produced data maps were presented in Fig. 2.

After classification multi-buffer rings were created for every 1 km distance from 1 to 50 km from city center to outward. Than intersect with classified data maps (land cover) for all three dates [13–15]. Later on all land cover classes area were measured from 1 to 50 km distance and derive urban



Fig. 1. Singapore, Manila and Kuala Lumpur city growth from 1989, 2001 and 2014.



Fig. 2. Land cover and multi-buffer ring zones around city center of Singapore, Manila and Kuala Lumpur for the year of 1989, 2001 and 2014.

density according to following formula.

$$Urbandensity = \frac{Sattlement area/ring}{Total ring area}$$
(1)

In all buffer rings only urban/built-up area was calculated in place of whole ring area. After producing complete land use data maps, the total coverage of different classes were determined. Using this information, we calculated the water, forest, vegetation and built-up area per capita for all the study years (Table 1).

In order to evaluate the spatial distribution of urban expansion intensity, we adapted an indicator called annual urban growth rate (AGR) for evaluating the 'urbanization' speed of per unit area [16,17]. AGR is defined as follows:

$$AGR = \frac{UA_{n+i} - UA_i}{nTA_{n+i}} \times 100\%$$
⁽²⁾

Table 1

Singapore, Manila and Kuala Lumpur land use/cover classes for 1989, 2001 and 2014.

| | Area_1989 | % | Area_2001 | % | Area_2014 | % |
|--------------|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|
| Singapore | | | | | | |
| Settlements | 196.88 | 66.25 | 383.23 | 69.71 | 464.69 | 70.19 |
| Agriculture | 34.67 | 11.67 | 80.26 | 14.60 | 80.84 | 12.21 |
| Forest | 60.74 | 20.44 | 62.58 | 11.38 | 89.65 | 13.54 |
| Water | 4.89 | 1.65 | 23.64 | 4.30 | 26.87 | 4.06 |
| Total | 297.18 | 100.00 | 549.71 | 100.00 | 662.05 | 100.00 |
| Manila | | | | | | |
| Settlements | 189.61 | 96.70 | 416.87 | 77.08 | 776.65 | 69.12 |
| Agriculture | 6.37 | 3.24 | 110.14 | 20.37 | 243.89 | 21.71 |
| Forest | 2.19 | 1.11 | 9.44 | 1.75 | 98.59 | 8.77 |
| Water | 0.1 | 0.05 | 4.36 | 0.81 | 4.42 | 0.39 |
| Total | 196.08 | 100.00 | 540.81 | 100.00 | 1123.55 | 100.00 |
| Kuala Lumpur | | | | | | |
| Settlements | 456.99 | 51.81 | 1098.48 | 60.22 | 1663.23 | 64.36 |
| Agriculture | 345.46 | 39.16 | 520.40 | 28.53 | 699.21 | 27.06 |
| Forest | 70.50 | 7.99 | 188.74 | 10.35 | 209.99 | 8.13 |
| Water | 9.18 | 1.04 | 16.54 | 0.91 | 11.68 | 0.45 |
| Total | 882.13 | 100.00 | 1824.16 | 100.00 | 2584.11 | 100.00 |



Fig. 3. Singapore, Manila and Kuala Lumpur city density from 1 to 50 km distance for the year of 1989, 2001 and 2014.

where TA_{n+i} is the total land area of the target unit to be calculated at the time point of i+n; UA_{n+i} and UA_i the urban area or built-up area in the target unit at time i+n and i, respectively and n is the interval of the calculated period (in years).

2.1. Urban/built-up area, city density and landscape

With the help of ArcGIS 10.2 software, urban/built up area data were created. After creation of built-up data, city density data were generated by Eq. (1) from city center to 50 km outward the city for Singapore, Manila and Kuala Lumpur cities (Fig. 3).

As Fig. 3 represents three dates data of urban density so this data can be utilize as urban growth rate data of Singapore, Manila and Kuala Lumpur city.



Fig. 4. Singapore, Manila and Kuala Lumpur land cover class density from 1 to 50 km distance for the year of 1989, 2001 and 2014.

With the help of supervised classification, previous knowledge and experience, landscape data were created for all three cities for the year of 1989, 2001 and 2014. Here a trimble hand-held GPS with an accuracy of 10 m was used to map and collect the coordinates of important landscape features during pre- and post-classification field visits in order to prepare land-use and land-cover datasets. Fig. 4 shows landscape data with urban growth and urban density datasets for Singapore, Manila and Kuala Lumpur cities from 1989 to 2014.

Acknowledgments

This data work is financially supported by the Russian Scientific Foundation (RSF), Grant no. 14-31-00014 "Establishment of a Laboratory of Advanced Technology for Earth Remote Sensing".

References

- [1] Kai-ya Wu, Z. Hao, Land use dynamics, built-up land expansion patterns, and driving forces analysis of the fast-growing Hangzhou metropolitan area, eastern China (1978–2008), Appl. Geogr. 34 (2012) 137–145.
- [2] M.S. Boori, R.R. Ferraro, Global land cover classification based on microwave polarization and gradient ratio (MPGR), in: I. Ivan, I. Benenson, B. Jiang, J. Horák, J. Haworth, T. Inspektor (Eds.), Geo-informatics for Intelligent Transportation, Springer International Publishing, Switzerland, vol. 71, 2015, pp. 17–37, http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-11463-7-2.
- [3] A.M. Dewan, Y. Yamaguchi, M.Z. Rahman, Dynamics of land use/cover changes and the analysis of landscape fragmentation in Dhaka metropolitan, Bangladesh, GeoJ. 77 (3) (2012) 315–330.
- [4] M.S. Boori, V. Vozenilek, K. Choudhary, Land use/cover disturbances due to tourism in Jeseniky Mountain, Czech Republic: a remote sensing and GIS based approach, Egypt. J. Remote Sens. Space Sci. 17 (3) (2015) 01–10. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. ejrs.2014.12.002.
- [5] T.M. Lillesand, R.W. Kiefer, Remote Sensing and Image Interpretation, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1999.
- [6] J.R. Anderson, E. Hardey, J. Roach, R.E. Witmer, A land use and land cover classification system for use with remote sensor data, US geological survey professional paper, Washington, DC, vol. 964, 1976, p. 28.

- [7] M.S. Boori, V. Vozenilek, K. Choudhary, Exposer intensity, vulnerability index and landscape change assessment in Olomouc, Czech Republic, ISPRS: Int. Arch. Photogramm. Remote Sens. Spat. Inf. Sci. XL-7/W3 (2015) 771–776. http://dx.doi. org/10.5194/isprsannals-II-8-77-2014.
- [8] J.R. Jensen, J. Im, Remote sensing change detection in urban environments, in: R.R. Jensen, J.D. Gatrell, D. McLean (Eds.), Geo-Spatial Technologies in Urban Environments: Policy, Practice and Pixels, 2nd ed., Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 2007, pp. 7–30.
- [9] M.S. Boori, V. Vozenilek, Land-cover disturbances due to tourism in Jeseniky mountain region: a remote sensing and GIS based approach, SPIE: Remote Sensing, vol. 9245, 924507, 2014, pp. 01–11, http://dx.doi.org/10.1117/12.2065112.
- [10] United Nations, World urbanization prospects, The 2014 Revision, New York, 2014.
- [11] M.S. Boori, K. Choudhary, A. Kupriyanoy, V. Kovelskiy, Four decades urban growth and land use change in Samara Russia through remote sensing and GIS techniques, SPIE: Remote Sensing and Image Formation, vol. 9817, 2015, pp. 01–07, http:// dx.doi.org/10.1117/12.2227992.
- [12] D. Haiyong, W. Shi, Land-use/land-cover change and its influence on surface temperature: a case study in Beijing City, Int. J. Remote. Sens. 34 (15) (2013) 5503–5517.
- [13] M.S. Boori, A.V. Kuznetsov, K. Choudhary, A. Kupriyanoy, Satellite image analysis to evaluate the urban growth and land use changes in the city of Samara from 1975 to 2015, Comput. Opt. 39 (5) (2015) 818–822. http://dx.doi.org/10.18287/ 0134-2452-2015-39-5-818-822.
- [14] B. Feizizadeh, H. Helali, Comparison pixel-based, object based image analysis and effective parameters in classification land cover e land use of west province Azerbaijan, J. Geogr. Res. 47 (2010) 73–84.
- [15] M.S. Boori, M. Netzband, V. Vozenilek, K. Choudhary, Urban growth in last three decades in Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia IEEE: Urban Remote Sensing Event (JURSE), 2015 Joint, 2015e, pp. 01–04, http://dx.doi.org/10.1117/12.222799210.1109/JURSE. 2015.7120536.
- [16] K.O. Were, Ø.B. Dick, Remotely sensing the spatial and temporal landcover changes in Eastern Mau forest reserve and Lake Nakuru drainage basin, Appl. Geogr. 41 (2013) 75–86.
- [17] M.S. Boori, K. Choudhary, A. Kupriyanoy, V. Kovelskiy, Urbanization data of Samara City, Russia, Data Brief 6 (2016) 885-889. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-11463-7-2.