# Review Article

# Association between ADAM12 Single-Nucleotide Polymorphisms and Knee Osteoarthritis: A Meta-Analysis

# Zheng-tao Lv, Shuang Liang, Xiao-jian Huang, Peng Cheng, Wen-tao Zhu, and An-min Chen

Department of Orthopedics, Tongji Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, Hubei 430030, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Wen-tao Zhu; wentao-zhu@hotmail.com and An-min Chen; anminchen@hust.edu.cn

Received 2 March 2017; Accepted 19 June 2017; Published 8 August 2017

Academic Editor: Li Wu Zheng

Copyright © 2017 Zheng-tao Lv et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

*Objective.* ADAM12 polymorphisms may be associated with the risk of knee osteoarthritis (KOA), but currently available evidence remains controversial. We performed this meta-analysis to confirm whether ADAM12 polymorphisms were associated with susceptibility of KOA. *Methods.* A comprehensive literature search in PubMed, EMBASE, and ISI Web of Science was conducted to identify observational studies assessing the association between ADAM12 polymorphisms and susceptibility of KOA. The strength of association was indicated as odds ratio (OR) and the corresponding 95% confidence interval (95%CI). Four types of genetic model (additive model, dominant model, recessive model, and allele model) were evaluated for each included study. Subgroup analysis by ethnicity was performed. *Results.* Seven case-control studies comprising a total of 3512 KOA patients and 5405 healthy controls were included in the meta-analysis. A significant association between rs1871054 and increased KOA risk was found in each genetic model. No significant association was found between KOA and rs3740199, rs1044122, or rs1278279 in any genetic model. *Conclusion.* Based on the findings of our study, there was a modest but statistically significant association between rs1871054 and risk of KOA in Asian population, while other polymorphisms (rs3740199, rs1044122, or rs1278279) in ADAM12 were not associated with KOA in any population.

#### 1. Introduction

Osteoarthritis (OA) is the most common chronic and progressive musculoskeletal disease of the elderly all around the world and has been identified in all ages. It is characterized by synovitis, thickening of the joint capsule, progressive degradation of articular cartilage, joint space narrowing, osteophyte formation, and subchondral sclerosis, resulting in chronic joint pain and loss of function of the elderly individuals [1, 2]. The risk factors of OA are multifactorial, like age, sex, body weight, hormonal status, trauma, family history, selected activation, and so forth [1, 3]. In addition to the risk factors above, numerous genome-wide association studies have suggested that a mass of genes contribute to the occurrence of OA in recent years [4].

ADAM (a disintegrin and metalloprotease), a kind of type-I transmembrane and soluble glycoproteins, is involved

in the process of signal transduction, cell adhesion, migration, and proteolysis [5–7]. As one of the members of ADAM family, ADAM12 is associated with the pathological processes of a variety of cancers [8–17], liver fibrosis [18], asthma [19], and hypertension and cardiac hypertrophy [20]. Several studies have demonstrated that ADAM12 played a pivotal role in chondrocyte proliferation and maturation, osteoclast formation, and bone formation [21, 22]. Additionally, the serum levels of ADAM12-S protein have high relationship with the degree of knee osteoarthritis (KOA) [23], indicating the potential relationship of them.

The single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) within the coding region can alter the amino acid sequence of a protein and influence the function of the corresponding protein. The association of ADAM12 SNPs with the susceptibility to the KOA could provide a new direction in KOA research. Although several studies have suggested that ADAM12 SNPs

can influence the susceptibility to knee osteoarthritis but no consensus have been achieved. To our knowledge, no metaanalysis has been carried out to assess the correlation between ADAM12 polymorphisms and susceptibility to KOA. Therefore, we conducted this meta-analysis aiming to assess the association between the ADAM12 SNPs and KOA.

# 2. Methods

This meta-analysis was performed complying with the PRISMA guideline [24].

2.1. Literature Search. Eligible studies were retrieved by searching PubMed, EMBASE, and ISI Web of Science. All the online databases were searched up to October 2016 without language restriction. A combination of Medical Subject Heading (MeSH) and free text words was used: (osteoarthritis or osteoarthrosis or osteoarthritides or "Osteoarthritis" [Mesh]) and ("ADAM12 Protein" [Mesh] or ADAM12 or meltrin-alpha) and ("Polymorphism, Single Nucleotide" [Mesh] or Single Nucleotide Polymorphism or SNP or SNPs). In addition, we screened the reference lists of relevant reviews to avoid missing eligible studies.

2.2. Inclusion Criteria. Data were collected from published articles, and conference and meeting abstracts were consequently excluded. The studies were included only if they met the following criteria: (1) case-control or cohort studies investigating the association between ADAM12 polymorphism and KOA; (2) KOA should be diagnosed according to the American College of Rheumatology criteria, imaging records or total knee replacement due to primary KOA; (3) healthy controls were required to have no symptoms or signs of KOA, and the genotype distribution of control population should meet the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE); (4) included studies should provide odds ratio (OR) and the associated 95% confidence interval (95% CI) or detailed information about genotype frequency. If studies with overlapping population were identified, the most complete one was included in our meta-analysis.

2.3. Data Extraction. Two investigators (Z. Lv and S. Liang) screened each article independently and were blinded to the results of the other reviewer. Two reviewers performed a stringent screening to determine eligible studies independently based on the predesigned inclusion criteria. Data were extracted independently by two reviewers from these selected articles using a standardized collection form, which included first author, country, year of the publication, study design, ethnicity, sample sizes, genotyping in case and control groups, and HWE of control groups. Any disagreement between the two reviewers was resolved through discussion until a consensus was reached. The third review author (A. Chen) was consulted if a consensus could not be reached.

2.4. Quality Assessment. The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) [25] for the assessment of nonrandomized studies was used to assess the methodological qualities of case-control and cohort

studies. Two reviewers assessed the methodological qualities of included studies independently, and the results of risk of bias judgement were compared afterwards.

2.5. Statistical Analysis. For the observed genotype frequencies in control group of each included study, Chi<sup>2</sup> test was applied for the HWE. The OR of ADAM12 polymorphisms (rs3740199, rs1871054, rs1044122, and rs1278279) and OA risk was evaluated for each study, and the strength of association was indicated as OR and the corresponding 95% CI. The additive model was selected as the primary genetic model because it is a robust screen of a variety of other genetic models. Additionally, we determined the association for other possible genetic models: dominant model, recessive model, and allele model. Heterogeneity among studies was estimated using a *Q*-test and the Higgins  $I^2$  test (*P* > 0.1 and  $I^2$  < 50% indicate acceptable heterogeneity). We combined the OR of each study using fixed-effect model if there was no evident between-study heterogeneity across the eligible comparisons. Otherwise, a random-effect model was employed.

To evaluate the ethnicity-specific effect on the association between ADAM12 polymorphisms and OA risk, subgroup analysis by ethnicity (Asian/Caucasian) was subsequently performed. Begg's rank correlation test and Egger's linear regression test using Stata version 12.0 (Stata Corp LP, USA) were used to assess the publication bias if the number of included studies were larger than five. Publication bias was considered present with P < 0.05.

#### 3. Results

*3.1. Literature Search Results.* The literature selection process was presented in Figure 1. The preliminary search yielded 35 potentially eligible records including 9 from PubMed, 13 from EMBASE, and 13 from ISI Web of Science. 20 records were removed because they were duplicated for retrieval, and the remaining 15 studies were screened with titles and abstracts. Three studies were removed because they were conference abstracts, and five records were deleted because they were not relevant to ADAM12 or OA. Finally, seven studies [3, 26–31] entered the full-text screen stage, all of which were included in our meta-analysis.

3.2. Main Characteristics of Included Studies. Seven casecontrol studies [3, 26–31] involving a total of 3512 KOA patients and 5405 healthy controls were included in our study. There were four studies [3, 27, 29, 31] on Asian population and three studies [26, 28, 30] in Caucasian population. As expected, the genotypes distribution of SNP rs3740199, rs1871054, rs1044122, and rs1278279 was in agreement of HWE in all included studies. The main characteristics and genotypes distribution of ADAM12 polymorphisms were listed in Table 1.

3.3. Quality Assessment. The NOS based on eight items was employed to assess the methodological quality of included studies. The OA cases were diagnosed according to ACR criteria or radiographical examination, and patients that



FIGURE 1: Flow chart of literature search.

TABLE 1: Allele and genotypes distribution of ADAM12 polymorphisms in cases and controls.

Study	Country	Ethnicity	Study design	Case-control		Case			Contro	1	HWE
rs3740199	1	1	7 0		CC	CG	GG	CC	CG	GG	
Kerna et al., 2009	Estonia	Caucasian	Case-control	66/123	81	66	16	106	89	20	0.37
Lou et al., 2014	China	Asian	Case-control	152/179	32	78	42	42	93	44	0.60
Poonpet et al., 2016	Thailand	Asian	Case-control	200/200	56	102	42	46	100	54	0.98
Rodriguez-Lopez et al., 2009	Spain	Caucasian	Case-control	1602/2370	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	>0.05
Shin et al., 2012	Korea	Asian	Case-control	725/1737	147	364	214	350	863	524	0.88
Valdes et al., 2006	UK	Caucasian	Case-control	603/596	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	>0.10
Wang et al., 2015	China	Asian	Case-control	164/200	36	84	44	47	102	51	0.77
rs1871054					CC	TC	ΤT	CC	TC	ΤT	
Kerna etal., 2009	Estonia	Caucasian	Case-control	66/123	42	79	42	50	111	54	0.63
Lou et al., 2014	China	Asian	Case-control	152/179	69	57	26	44	88	47	0.83
Valdes et al., 2006	UK	Caucasian	Case-control	603/596	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	>0.10
Wang et al., 2015	China	Asian	Case-control	164/200	76	59	29	49	99	52	0.89
rs1044122					CC	TC	ΤT	CC	TC	ΤT	
Lou et al., 2014	China	Asian	Case-control	152/179	24	81	47	31	92	56	0.52
Valdes et al., 2006	UK	Caucasian	Case-control	603/596	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	>0.10
Wang et al., 2015	China	Asian	Case-control	164/200	25	88	51	37	101	62	0.71
rs1278279					AA	AG	GG	AA	AG	GG	
Lou et al., 2014	China	Asian	Case-control	152/179	9	59	84	13	60	106	0.32
Valdes et al., 2006	UK	Caucasian	Case-control	603/596	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	>0.10
Wang et al., 2015	China	Asian	Case-control	164/200	10	62	92	15	64	121	0.12

NA: not available; HWE: Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium.

have underwent total knee replacement were also included. However, representativeness of cases in our selected studies was not sufficient because only one study [27] recruited consecutive patients. The definition of controls was reported in detail by all studies. Regarding the comparability of cases and controls on the basis of the design or analysis, three studies [27, 30, 31] enrolled age-matched healthy controls. The detailed information about quality assessment was listed in Table 2.

*3.4. Meta-Analysis Results.* The meta-analysis findings of the association between ADAM12 SNPs and KOA risk were presented in Figures 2, 3, 4 and 5. The pooled ORs were calculated for additive model contrast, dominant model contrast,

Study or subgroup	log[odds ratio]	<b>SE</b>	Waight	Odds ratio	Odds ratio						
Study of subgroup	log[odds fatio]	3E	weight	IV, fixed, 95% CI		IV, fix	ed, 95% (	CI			
1.3.1 Asian											
Lou et al., 2014	-0.14	0.263	9.6%	0.87 [0.52, 1.46]			•				
Poonpet et al., 2016	0.262	0.229	12.6%	1.30 [0.83, 2.04]							
Shin et al., 2012	0.01	0.113	51.9%	1.01 [0.81, 1.26]							
Wang et al., 2015	-0.08	0.253	10.4%	0.92 [0.56, 1.52]							
Subtotal (95% CI)			84.5%	1.02 [0.86, 1.21]			•				
Heterogeneity: $\chi^2 = 1.65$ , df = 3 ( $\lambda$	$P = 0.65$ ; $I^2 = 0\%$										
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.22$ ( $P$	= 0.82)										
1.3.2 Caucasian											
Kerna et al., 2009	0.02	0.207	15.5%	1.02 [0.68, 1.53]		-	-				
Subtotal (95% CI)			15.5%	1.02 [0.68, 1.53]		-					
Heterogeneity: not applicable											
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.10$ (P	= 0.92)										
Total (95% CI)			100.0%	1.02 [0.87, 1.20]			•				
Heterogeneity: $\gamma^2 = 1.65$ , df = 4 (	$P = 0.80$ ; $I^2 = 0\%$				·		1				
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.24$ (P	= 0.81)				0.2	0.5	1	2	5		
Test for subgroup differences: $\chi^2$ =	$0.00,  \mathrm{df} = 1  (P = 1.00),$	$I^2 = 0\%$			Favo	ours contr	ol Favo	ours KC	DA		

$\langle \rangle$	
(a)	

Study on subsystem	امترا معام المعانية الم	CE.	Maight	Odds ratio		Odd	ls ratio	
Study of subgroup	log[odds ratio]	3E	weight	IV, fixed, 95% CI		IV, fixe	d, 95% CI	
1.2.1 Asian								
Lou et al., 2014	-0.16	0.251	9.7%	0.85 [0.52, 1.39]			+	
Poonpet et al., 2016	0.329	0.233	11.3%	1.39 [0.88, 2.19]			+	
Shin et al., 2012	0.03	0.098	63.6%	1.03 [0.85, 1.25]			- <b>1</b> -	
Wang et al., 2015	-0.07	0.241	10.5%	0.93 [0.58, 1.50]			•	
Subtotal (95% CI)			95.1%	1.04 [0.89, 1.21]			٠	
Heterogeneity: $\chi^2 = 2.39$ , df = Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.44$	$3 (P = 0.50); I^2 = 0\%$ (P = 0.66)							
1.2.2 Caucasian								
Kerna et al., 2009	-0.06	0.354	4.9%	0.94 [0.47, 1.88]				
Subtotal (95% CI)			4.9%	0.94 [0.47, 1.88]		$\sim$		
Heterogeneity: not applicable							T	
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.17$	(P = 0.87)							
Total (95% CI)			100.0%	1.03 [0.88, 1.20]			♦	
Heterogeneity: $\chi^2 = 2.46$ , df =	$4 (P = 0.65); I^2 = 0\%$				·		-[	1
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.39$	(P = 0.70)				0.2	0.5	1 2	5
Test for subgroup differences: $\chi$	$\dot{P}^2 = 0.07, df = 1 \ (P = 0.79),$	$I^2 = 0\%$			Favo	ours contro	l Favou	rs KOA

Study or subgroup	log[odds ratio]	CE	Weight	Odds ratio	Odds ratio
Study of subgroup	log[ouds fatio]	3E	weight	IV, fixed, 95% CI	IV, fixed, 95% CI
1.1.1 Asian					
Lou et al., 2014	-0.22	0.317	2.1%	0.80 [0.43, 1.49]	
Poonpet et al., 2016	0.451	0.29	2.5%	1.57 [0.89, 2.77]	
Shin et al., 2012	0.03	0.129	12.6%	1.03 [0.80, 1.33]	_ <b>_</b>
Wang et al., 2015	-0.12	0.304	2.3%	0.89 [0.49, 1.61]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			19.4%	1.04 [0.85, 1.28]	<b>•</b>
Heterogeneity: $\chi^2 = 2.96$ , df = 3 (P	$= 0.40$ ; $I^2 = 0\%$				
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.38$ ( $P =$	0.70)				
1.1.2 Caucasian					
Kerna et al., 2009	-0.04	0.364	1.6%	0.96 [0.47, 1.96]	
Rodriguez-Lopez et al., 2009	-0.08	0.065	49.4%	0.92 [0.81, 1.05]	
Valdes et al., 2006	0.113	0.084	29.6%	1.12 [0.95, 1.32]	+=-
Subtotal (95% CI)			80.6%	0.99 [0.90, 1.10]	<b>•</b>
Heterogeneity: $\chi^2 = 3.31$ , df = 2 ( <i>P</i> Test for overall effect: <i>Z</i> = 0.16 ( <i>P</i> =	= 0.19); $I^2 = 40\%$ 0.87)				
Total (95% CI)			100.0%	1.00 [0.92, 1.09]	
Heterogeneity: $\chi^2 = 6.45$ , df = 6 ( <i>P</i>	$= 0.38$ ; $I^2 = 7\%$				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.02$ ( $P =$	0.98)				0.2 0.5 1 2 5
Test for subgroup differences: $\chi^2 = 0$	$0.17,  \mathrm{df} = 1 \; (P = 0.68),$	$I^2 = 0\%$			Favours control Favours KOA

Study or subgroup	log[odds ratio]	SE	Weight	Odds ratio	Odds ratio
study of subgroup	log[ouus ratio]	31	weight	IV, fixed, 95% CI	IV, fixed, 95% CI
1.4.1 Asian					
Lou et al., 2014	-0.11	0.158	9.7%	0.90 [0.66, 1.22]	
Poonpet et al., 2016	0.223	0.145	11.5%	1.25 [0.94, 1.66]	+ <b>-</b>
Shin et al., 2012	0.02	0.064	58.9%	1.02 [0.90, 1.16]	+
Wang et al., 2015	-0.06	0.15	10.7%	0.94 [0.70, 1.26]	<b>_</b>
Subtotal (95% CI)			90.7%	1.02 [0.92, 1.13]	•
Heterogeneity: $\gamma^2 = 2.92$ , df = 3 (P =	$(0.40): I^2 = 0\%$				
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.43$ ( $P = 0.4$	66)				
1.4.2 Caucasian					
Kerna et al., 2009	0	0.161	9.3%	1.00 [0.73, 1.37]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			9.3%	1.00 [0.73, 1.37]	
Heterogeneity: not applicable					
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.00 (P = 1.0)$	00)				
Total (95% CI)			100.0%	1.02 [0.93, 1.12]	•
Heterogeneity: $\chi^2 = 2.94$ , df = 4 (P = 4)	$0.57$ ; $I^2 = 0\%$				r
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.41$ ( $P = 0.41$	68)				0.2 0.5 1 2 5
Test for subgroup differences: $\chi^2 = 0.02$	2, df = 1 ( $P$ = 0.89),	$I^2 = 0\%$			Favours control Favours KOA

(d)

FIGURE 2: Forest plots of rs3740199 and knee osteoarthritis, subgroup by ethnicity: (a) additive model; (b) dominant model; (c) recessive model; and (d) allele model.

TABLE 2: Quality assessment of included studies.

Item/study	Kerna et al., 2009	Lou et al., 2014	Poonpet et al., 2016	Rodriguez-Lopez et al., 2009	Shin et al., 2012	Valdes et al., 2006	Wang et al., 2015
Adequate definition of cases	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Representativeness of cases	_	*	_	_		—	—
Selection of control subjects	_	_	—	_	_	—	_
Definition of control subjects	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Control for important factor or additional factor	_	*	_	_		*	*
Exposure assessment	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Same method of ascertainment for all subjects	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Nonresponse rate	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

A study could be awarded a maximum of one \* for each item except for the item "control for important factor or additional factor." The definition/explanation of each column of the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale is available from http://www.ohri.ca/programs/clinical\_epidemiology/oxford.asp.

recessive model contrast, and allelic contrast. The ADAM12 SNP rs1871054 was found to be significantly associated with increased KOA risk in additive model (OR 1.70, 95% CI 1.03, 2.83;  $I^2 = 80\%$ ), dominant model (OR 1.36, 95% CI 1.01, 1.81;  $I^2 = 39\%$ ), recessive model (OR 2.00 95%, CI 1.18, 3.37;  $I^2 = 74\%$ ), and allele model (OR 1.52, 95% CI 1.04, 2.24;  $I^2 = 80\%$ ). The polymorphisms rs3740199, rs1044122, and rs1278279 were found with no statistical association with KOA risk no matter for which genetic model.

3.5. Subgroup Analysis and Publication Bias. Subgroup analysis by ethnicity was undertaken to determine whether there was a population-dependent effect on the association between ADAM12 SNP and KOA risk. There was no significant association between polymorphisms rs3740199, rs1044122, rs1278279, and OA risk in all populations. In

subgroup analysis based on ethnicity, no statistically significant association was found between rs3740199, rs1044122, rs1278279, and OA risk in either Caucasian or Asian populations. With regard to rs1871054, subgroup analysis by ethnicity revealed that rs1871054 was significantly associated with OA risk among Asian populations: additive model (OR 2.80, 95% CI 1.84, 4.26;  $I^2 = 0\%$ ), dominant model (OR 1.68, 95% CI 1.16, 2.44;  $I^2 = 0\%$ ), recessive model (OR 2.61, 95% CI 1.89, 3.59;  $I^2 = 0\%$ ), and allele model (OR 1.85, 95% CI 1.49, 2.30;  $I^2 = 0\%$ ), but not among Caucasian populations.

Publication bias was only assessed in polymorphism rs3740199 because the number of included studies was greater than five. Begg's test (additive model: z = 0.00, P = 1.00; dominant model: z = 0.73, P = 0.46; recessive model: z = 0.73, P = 0.46; allele model: z = 0.73, P = 0.46) and Egger's test (additive model: t = 0.89, P = 0.42; dominant model: t = -0.03, P = 0.98; recessive model: t = 0.06, P = 0.96; allele

Study or subgroup	log[odds ratio]	SE	Weight	Odds ratio	Odds ratio						
Study of subgroup	log[odds ratio]	3E	weight	IV, random, 95% CI		IV, random	1, 95% C	Ι			
2.1.1 Asian											
Lou et al., 2014	1.04	0.31	22.2%	2.83 [1.54, 5.19]				<u> </u>			
Wang et al., 2015	1.022	0.295	22.9%	2.78 [1.56, 4.95]				<u> </u>			
Subtotal (95% CI)			45.2%	2.80 [1.84, 4.26]							
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.00$ ; $\chi^2$	$^{2} = 0.00, df = 1 (P = 0.97)$	; $I^2 = 0\%$									
Test for overall effect: $Z = 4$	A.82 (P < 0.00001)										
2.1.2 Caucasian											
Kerna et al., 2009	0.077	0.291	23.1%	1.08 [0.61, 1.91]			<b></b>				
Valdes et al., 2006	0.157	0.085	31.7%	1.17 [0.99, 1.38]		ł	-				
Subtotal (95% CI)			54.8%	1.16 [0.99, 1.36]			•				
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.00$ ; $\chi^2$	$^{2} = 0.07, df = 1 (P = 0.79)$	; $I^2 = 0\%$									
Test for overall effect: $Z = 1$	.85 (P = 0.06)										
Total (95% CI)			100.0%	1.70 [1.03, 2.83]							
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.20$ ; $\chi^2$	$^2 = 14.87, df = 3 (P = 0.00)$	02); $I^2 = 80\%$			· · · · ·						
Test for overall effect: $Z = 2$	2.07 (P = 0.04)				0.1 0.2	0.5 1	2	5	10		
Test for subgroup difference	es: $\chi^2 = 14.79$ , df = 1 (P =	0.0001), $I^2 =$	93.2%		Favours	s control	Favou	rs KOA	ł		

			(a)			
Study or subgroup	log[odds ratio]	SE	Weight	Odds ratio IV, fixed, 95% CI	Odds ratio IV, fixed, 95% CI	
2.2.1 Asian						
Lou et al., 2014	0.548	0.275	29.1%	1.73 [1.01, 2.97]		
Wang et al., 2015	0.495	0.263	31.8%	1.64 [0.98, 2.75]		
Subtotal (95% CI)			60.9%	1.68 [1.16, 2.44]	•	
Heterogeneity: $\chi^2 = 0.02$ , d	$f = 1 (P = 0.89); I^2 = 0\%$					
Test for overall effect: $Z = 2$	$2.74 \ (P = 0.006)$					
2.2.2 Caucasian	0.02	0.227	20.10/			
Kerna et al., 2009	-0.03	0.237	39.1%	0.97 [0.61, 1.54]		
Subtotal (95% CI)	_		39.1%	0.97 [0.61, 1.54]		
Heterogeneity: not applicab	le					
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0$	$0.13 \ (P = 0.90)$					
Total (95% CI)			100.0%	1.36 [1.01, 1.81]	•	
Heterogeneity: $\chi^2 = 3.30$ , d	$f = 2 (P = 0.19); I^2 = 39\%$	)				-
Test for overall effect: $Z = 2$	2.06 (P = 0.04)				0.1 0.2 0.5 1 2 5	10
Test for subgroup difference	es: $\chi^2 = 3.28$ , df = 1 (P = 0)	$(0.07), I^2 = 69.5$	%		Favours control Favours KOA	

			(b)								
Study or subgroup	log[odds ratio]	SE	Weight	Odds ratio IV, random, 95% CI		I	Od V, rand	ds rat	tio 95% CI	[	
2.3.1 Asian											
Lou et al., 2014	0.936	0.238	33.2%	2.55 [1.60, 4.07]							
Wang et al., 2015	0.978	0.225	34.2%	2.66 [1.71, 4.13]							
Subtotal (95% CI)			67.4%	2.61 [1.89, 3.59]					-	•	
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.00; \chi^2$	$^{2} = 0.02, df = 1 (P = 0.90)$	; $I^2 = 0\%$									
Test for overall effect: $Z = 5$	1.86 (P < 0.00001)										
2.3.2 Caucasian											
Kerna et al., 2009	0.14	0.246	32.6%	1.15 [0.71, 1.86]				+∎-			
Subtotal (95% CI)			32.6%	1.15 [0.71, 1.86]							
Heterogeneity: not applicab	le										
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0$	0.57 (P = 0.57)										
Total (95% CI)			100.0%	2.00 [1.18, 3.37]				-		•	
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.16$ ; $\chi^2$	$^{2} = 7.69, df = 2 (P = 0.02)$	; $I^2 = 74\%$						$\rightarrow$			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 2$	.58 (P = 0.010)				0.1	0.2	0.5	1	2	5	10
Test for subgroup difference	es: $\chi^2 = 7.67$ , df = 1 (P = 0	$0.006$ ), $I^2 = 87.0\%$			Fa	avours	contro	l	Favour	rs KOA	A

FIGURE 3: Continued.

Study or subgroup	log[odds ratio]	SE	Weight	Odds ratio IV, random, 95% CI	]	Odds rat IV, random, 9			atio 95% CI		
2.4.1 Asian				, ,							
Lou et al., 2014	0.615	0.161	32.7%	1.85 [1.35, 2.54]		-	-				
Wang et al., 2015	0.621	0.152	33.5%	1.86 [1.38, 2.51]		-	-				
Subtotal (95% CI)			66.1%	1.86 [1.49, 2.30]			•				
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.00; \chi$	$^{2} = 0.00, df = 1 (P = 0.98)$	; $I^2 = 0\%$									
Test for overall effect: $Z = 5$	5.59 (P < 0.00001)										
2.4.2 Caucasian											
Kerna et al., 2009	0.039	0.147	33.9%	1.04 [0.78, 1.39]		_ <b>_</b>					
Subtotal (95% CI)			33.9%	1.04 [0.78, 1.39]		•					
Heterogeneity: not applicab	le										
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0$	0.27 (P = 0.79)										
Total (95% CI)			100.0%	1.52 [1.04, 2.24]							
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.09$ ; $\chi$	$^2 = 9.92, df = 2 (P = 0.007)$	7); $I^2 = 80\%$			· · · · ·		- -		_		
Test for overall effect: $Z = 2$	P.14 (P = 0.03)				0.1 0.2	0.5 1	2	5	10		
Test for subgroup difference	es: $\chi^2 = 9.92$ , df = 1 (P = 0	$(0.002), I^2 = 89.$	.9%		Favours	control	Favour	s KOA			
			(d)								

FIGURE 3: Forest plots of rs1871054 and knee osteoarthritis, subgroup by ethnicity: (a) additive model; (b) dominant model; (c) recessive model; and (d) allele model.

model: t = 0.00, P = 1.00) showed no significant publication bias.

#### 4. Discussion

In this meta-analysis we collected seven case-control studies with a total of 3512 KOA patients and 5405 healthy controls, and no restriction on ethnicity and language was imposed. Meanwhile, we performed subgroup analyses to identify population-dependent effect on the association between ADAM12 SNPs and KOA risk. The analysis results of this study indicated a modest but statistically significant association between rs1871054 in ADAM12 and KOA in Asian population but not in Caucasian population. In addition, no significant correlation was found between risk of KOA and rs3740199, rs1044122, or rs1278279 in any population. No evidence was found for publication bias in the meta-analysis for rs1871054 in any genetic model. But, due to the limited number of included studies, funnel plots were not generated for meta-analyses of rs3740199, rs1044122, and rs1278279.

ADAM12, located on chromosome 10q26.2, is a member of the  $Zn^{2+}$  dependent metalloproteinase superfamily that have been implicated in a variety of biological processes involving cell-cell and cell-matrix interactions [32, 33], cell adhesion and fusion [33–35], and extracellular matrix restructuring [33]. It was demonstrated that ADAM12 plays an important role in introducing the formation of giant cell and osteoclast, and this may affect the progress of bone remodeling in KOA development. And the addition of antisense ADAM12 messenger RNA caused a 70% inhibition of osteoclast-like cells [36], which may explain the correlation between this gene and the osteophyte formation. It was reported that the expression of ADAM12 may be involved in the cleavage of insulin-like growth factor binding protein 5 (IGFBP-5), which decreases bioavailability of insulin-like growth factor-1 (IGF-1), resulting in the inhibition of chondrocyte proliferation [37]. Therefore, the change of ADAM12 SNPs may contribute to the loss of articular cartilage. Moreover, ADAM12 has been shown to be important in mediating growth factor shedding and cell adhesion and fusion [33, 35], which also indicates its role in the synovitis of KOA. Meanwhile, animal studies suggested that the overexpression of ADAM12 could promote the inflammatory response and accelerate synovial fibrosis [38]. These evidences all indicated that the polymorphisms of ADAM12 may be important in the progress of KOA.

ADAM12 gene contains multiple SNPs including rs1871054, rs3740199, rs1044122, and rs1278279. As indicated above, only SNP rs1871054 was confirmed to be associated with a modestly increased risk of KOA in Asian but not Caucasian population. The pooled ORs for additive model contrast, dominant model contrast, recessive model contrast, and allelic contrast all suggested that rs1871054 was associated with an increased risk for KOA. When we restricted the ethnicity to Asian ethnicity, the between-study heterogeneity was low in any genetic model, suggesting that ethnicity might be one of the potential sources of heterogeneity among studies. And the difference of genetic effects between Caucasian and Asian populations may be the outcomes of gene-environment or gene-gene interactions, suggesting that the genetic effect of rs1871054 polymorphisms is stronger in Asia than in Caucasian population. Additionally, different criteria were used to define respective cases, which could also increase the heterogeneity in different ethnic populations.

Several potential limitations in our meta-analysis should not be ignored. Firstly, only seven case-control studies were included in this meta-analysis. The sample size was not large enough to exactly validate the correlation between the ADAM12 polymorphisms and susceptibility to KOA. Therefore, more studies with larger sample sizes are required,

Study or subgroup	log[odds ratio]	SE	Weight	Odds ratio		ratio		
	01 1			IV, fixed, 95% CI		IV, fixed,	95% CI	
3.1.1 Asian								
Lou et al., 2014	-0.08	0.332	7.0%	0.92 [0.48, 1.77]				
Wang et al., 2015	-0.2	0.318	7.6%	0.82 [0.44, 1.53]				
Subtotal (95% CI)			14.6%	0.87 [0.55, 1.36]				
Heterogeneity: $\chi^2 = 0.07$ , df = 1 ( $P = 0.79$ ) Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.62$ ( $P = 0.53$ )	); $I^2 = 0\%$							
3.1.2 Caucasian								
Valdes et al., 2006	-0.06	0.095	85.4%	0.94 [0.78, 1.13]		-	-	
Subtotal (95% CI)			85.4%	0.94 [0.78, 1.13]				
Heterogeneity: not applicable								
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.63$ ( $P = 0.53$ )								
Total (95% CI)			100.0%	0.93 [0.78, 1.11]		•		
Heterogeneity: $\chi^2 = 0.18$ , df = 2 ( <i>P</i> = 0.91)	); $I^2 = 0\%$							
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.82$ ( $P = 0.41$ )					0.2	0.5	1 2	5
Test for subgroup differences: $\chi^2 = 0.11$ , df	$I = 1 (P = 0.74), I^2 = 0.74$	0%			Fav	ours control	Favours K	OA

(a)

Study or subgroup	log[odds ratio]	SE	Weight	Odds ratio IV, fixed, 95% CI	Odo IV, fixe	ls ratio d, 95% CI
3.2.1 Asian						
Lou et al., 2014	0.02	0.238	47.9%	1.02 [0.64, 1.63]		<b>.</b>
Wang et al., 2015	0	0.228	52.1%	1.00 [0.64, 1.56]		<b>_</b>
Subtotal (95% CI)			100.0%	1.01 [0.73, 1.39]	•	•
Heterogeneity: $\chi^2 = 0.00$ , df = 1 ( $P = 0.95$ ); Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.06$ ( $P = 0.95$ )	$I^2 = 0\%$					
3.2.2 Caucasian						
Subtotal (95% CI)				Not estimable		
Heterogeneity: not applicable						
Test for overall effect: not applicable						
<i>Total (95% CI)</i>			100.0%	1.01 [0.73, 1.39]	•	
Heterogeneity: $\chi^2 = 0.00$ , df = 1 ( <i>P</i> = 0.95);	$I^2 = 0\%$				r	
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.06 (P = 0.95)$					0.2 0.5	1 2 5
Test for subgroup differences: not applicable					Favours control	Favours KOA

(b)

Study or subgroup	log[odds ratio]	SE	Weight	Odds ratio		Odds	ratio	
	log[odds fatio]	31	weight	IV, fixed, 95% CI		IV, fixed,	95% CI	
3.3.1 Asian								
Lou et al., 2014	-0.11	0.3	47.8%	0.90 [0.50, 1.61]			<u> </u>	
Wang et al., 2015	-0.24	0.287	52.2%	0.79 [0.45, 1.38]				
Subtotal (95% CI)			100.0%	0.84 [0.56, 1.26]				
Heterogeneity: $\chi^2 = 0.10$ , df = 1 ( <i>P</i> = 0.7)	75); $I^2 = 0\%$					-		
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.86$ ( $P = 0.39$	)							
3.3.2 Caucasian								
Subtotal (95% CI)				Not estimable				
Heterogeneity: not applicable								
Test for overall effect: not applicable								
Total (95% CI)			100.0%	0.84 [0.56, 1.26]				
Heterogeneity: $\chi^2 = 0.10$ , df = 1 ( <i>P</i> = 0.7)	75); $I^2 = 0\%$					1		
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.86 (P = 0.39)$	)				0.2	0.5	1 2	5
Test for subgroup differences: not applica	ble				Fav	ours control	Favours K	OA

(c) Figure 4: Continued.

Study or subgroup	log[odds ratio]	SE	Weight	Odds ratio	Odds ratio			
Study of subgroup		31		IV, fixed, 95% CI		IV, fixed,	95% CI	
3.4.1 Asian								
Lou et al., 2014	-0.02	0.157	46.0%	0.98 [0.72, 1.33]			<b>—</b>	
Wang et al., 2015	-0.07	0.145	54.0%	0.93 [0.70, 1.24]				
Subtotal (95% CI)			100.0%	0.95 [0.77, 1.18]				
Heterogeneity: $\chi^2 = 0.05$ , df = 1 ( <i>P</i> = 0.82);	$I^2 = 0\%$							
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.44$ ( $P = 0.66$ )								
3.4.2 Caucasian								
Subtotal (95% CI)				Not estimable				
Heterogeneity: not applicable								
Test for overall effect: not applicable								
Total (95% CI)			100.0%	0.95 [0.77, 1.18]				
Heterogeneity: $\chi^2 = 0.05$ , df = 1 ( <i>P</i> = 0.82);	$I^2 = 0\%$					1		
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.44$ ( $P = 0.66$ )					0.2	0.5 1	1 2	5
Test for subgroup differences: not applicable					Fav	ours control	Favours KO	DA
			(d)					

FIGURE 4: Forest plots of rs1044122 and knee osteoarthritis, subgroup by ethnicity: (a) additive model; (b) dominant model; (c) recessive model; and (d) allele model.

so as to guarantee the stability and reliability of the metaanalysis. Secondly, the association between SNPs in ADAM12 and susceptibility to KOA may be affected by other confounding factors, such as age, gender, and BMI. We are unable to perform further stratified analysis due to the incomplete raw data. For instance, KOA is known to be more prevalent in females than in males, and future studies should provide detailed allele frequencies and genotypes in both genders. Thirdly, KOA is associated with both genic and environmental risk factors, including body weight, hormonal status, trauma, family history, and selected activation. But the studies included in this meta-analysis did not control for these mixed factors.

In conclusion, our meta-analysis suggested that ADAM12 rs1871054 polymorphism is associated with the susceptibility to KOA in Asian but not Caucasian population. There was insufficient evidence to support an association between rs3740199, rs1044122, rs1278279, and KOA. Further case-control studies with large sample size should be performed to confirm our conclusion. Despite the existence of limitation, this study provided an important evidence for the identification of the association between ADAM12 SNPs and KOA.

### 5. Conclusion

In summary, the results of our current study showed that the polymorphism rs1871054 within ADAM12 was significantly associated with increased risk of KOA and the association may be population dependent, currently only observed in Asian population. In either Asian population or Caucasian population, no statistically significant association between rs3740199, rs1044122, rs1278279, and KOA risk was found. Large-scale studies in different populations are encouraged.

#### Disclosure

The funding source had no involvement in study design, data collection, data analysis and interpretation.

#### **Conflicts of Interest**

The authors declared no conflicts of interest.

# **Authors' Contributions**

A. Chen had full access to all of the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. Z. Lv produced the idea to this analysis, did the literature search, analyzed the data, and drafted and revised this paper. W. Zhu, S. Liang, and X. Huang designed the data collection tool, analyzed the data, and revised the manuscript. P. Cheng and X. Huang were responsible for statistical analysis and acquisition of data, and they revised the final manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript. Zheng-tao Lv, Shuang Liang, Xiao-jian Huang, and P. Cheng contributed equally to this work.

### Acknowledgments

The study was sponsored by a grant from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (no. 8167090634, no. 81472082).

5

Study or subgroup	log[odds ratio]	SE	Weight	Odds ratio	Odds ratio		
111 Asian			-	1V, fixed, 95% CI	IV, fixed	1, 95% CI	
Lou et al., 2014	-0.14	0.45	4.2%	0.87 [0.36, 2.10]			
Wang et al., 2015 Subtotal (95% CI)	-0.13	0.428	4.6% 8.7%	0.88 [0.38, 2.03] 0.87 [0.48, 1.60]			
Heterogeneity: $\chi^2 = 0.00$ , df = 7 Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.43$ (	$1 (P = 0.99); I^2 = 0\%$ (P = 0.66)						
4.1.2 Caucasian							
Valdes et al., 2006 Subtotal (95% CI)	0.049	0.096	91.3% 91.3%	1.05 [0.87, 1.27] 1.05 [0.87, 1.27]	-	•	
Heterogeneity: not applicable Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.51$ (	(P = 0.61)						
Total (95% CI)			100.0%	1.03 [0.86, 1.24]	•	•	
Heterogeneity: $\chi^2 = 0.32$ , df = 2 Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.36$ (	$2 (P = 0.85); I^2 = 0\%$ (P = 0.72)				0.2 0.5	1 2	5
Test for subgroup differences: $\chi^2$	$e^2 = 0.32$ , df = 1 (P = 0.57),	$I^2 = 0\%$			Favours control	Favours KOA	
			(a)				
Study or subgroup	log[odds ratio]	SE	Weight	Odds ratio IV, fixed, 95% CI	Odds ratio IV. fixed, 95% CI		
4.2.1 Asian							
Lou et al., 2014	0.166	0.224	47.5%	1.18 [0.76, 1.83]		+	
Wang et al., 2015 Subtotal (95% CI)	0.182	0.213	52.5% 100.0%	1.20 [0.79, 1.82] 1.19 [0.88, 1.61]	-		
Heterogeneity: $\chi^2 = 0.00$ , df = 1 Test for overall effect: $Z = 1.13$ (	$1 (P = 0.96); I^2 = 0\%$ (P = 0.26)						
4.2.2 Caucasian							
Subtotal (95% CI) Heterogeneity: not applicable Test for overall effect: not applic	able			Not estimable			
Total (95% CI) Heterogeneity: $x^2 = 0.00$ df = -	$1 (P - 0.96) \cdot I^2 - 0\%$		100.0%	1.19 [0.88, 1.61]		•	
Test for overall effect: $Z = 1.13$ (Test for subgroup differences: no	(P = 0.26) ot applicable				0.2 0.5 Favours control	1 2 Favours KOA	5
			(b)				
Study or subgroup	log[odds ratio]	SE	Weight	Odds ratio IV fixed 95% CI	Odds ratio		
4.3.1 Asian				1, 11, 11, 12, 10, 10 OI	17, HAC		
Lou et al., 2014	-0.22	0.452	46.6%	0.80 [0.33, 1.95]		+	
Wang et al., 2015	-0.22	0.422	53.4%	0.80 [0.35, 1.84]			

Subtotal (95% CI) Heterogeneity:  $\chi^2 = 0.00$ , df = 1 (P = 1.00);  $I^2 = 0\%$ Test for overall effect: Z = 0.71 (P = 0.48)

4.3.2 Caucasian Subtotal (95% CI) Heterogeneity: not applicable Test for overall effect: not applicable

 Total (95% CI)
 100.0%
 0.80 [0.44, 1.47]

 Heterogeneity:  $\chi^2 = 0.00$ , df = 1 (P = 1.00); I<sup>2</sup> = 0%
 0.2
 0.5
 1
 2

 Test for overall effect: Z = 0.71 (P = 0.48)
 Favours control
 Favours KOA

100.0%

0.80 [0.44, 1.47]

Not estimable

FIGURE 5: Continued.

Study or subgroup	log[odds rotio]	CE	Waight	Odds ratio		00	lds ratio		
	log[ouus ratio]	31	weight	IV, fixed, 95% CI		IV, fix	ed, 95% CI		
4.4.1 Asian									
Lou et al., 2014	0.068	0.181	48.9%	1.07 [0.75, 1.53]		-			
Wang et al., 2015	0.086	0.177	51.1%	1.09 [0.77, 1.54]					
Subtotal (95% CI)			100.0%	1.08 [0.84, 1.38]					
Heterogeneity: $\chi^2 = 0.01$ , df =	1 ( $P = 0.94$ ); $I^2 = 0\%$								
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.61$	(P = 0.54)								
4.4.2 Caucasian									
Subtotal (95% CI)				Not estimable					
Heterogeneity: not applicable									
Test for overall effect: not appli	cable								
Total (95% CI)			100.0%	1.08 [0.84, 1.38]			•		
Heterogeneity: $\chi^2 = 0.01$ , df =	1 ( $P = 0.94$ ); $I^2 = 0\%$				1	1			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.61$	(P = 0.54)				0.2	0.5	1 2		5
Test for subgroup differences: not appli	not applicable				Fav	ours contro	Favou	rs KOA	
			(d)						

FIGURE 5: Forest plots of rs1278279 and knee osteoarthritis, subgroup by ethnicity: (a) additive model; (b) dominant model; (c) recessive model; and (d) allele model.

#### References

- P. A. Dieppe and L. S. Lohmander, "Pathogenesis and management of pain in osteoarthritis," *The Lancet*, vol. 365, no. 9463, pp. 965–973, 2005.
- [2] Q. Zhuo, W. Yang, J. Chen, and Y. Wang, "Metabolic syndrome meets osteoarthritis," *Nature Reviews Rheumatology*, vol. 8, no. 12, pp. 729–737, 2012.
- [3] T. Poonpet, R. Tammachote, N. Tammachote, S. Kanitnate, and S. Honsawek, "Association between ADAM12 polymorphism and knee osteoarthritis in Thai population," *Knee*, vol. 23, no. 3, pp. 357–361, 2016.
- [4] J. Loughlin, "Genome studies and linkage in primary osteoarthritis," *Rheumatic Disease Clinics of North America*, vol. 28, no. 1, pp. 95–109, 2002.
- [5] D. R. Edwards, M. M. Handsley, and C. J. Pennington, "The ADAM metalloproteinases," *Molecular Aspects of Medicine*, vol. 29, no. 5, pp. 258–289, 2009.
- [6] K. Reiss and P. Saftig, "The 'a disintegrin and metalloprotease' (ADAM) family of sheddases: physiological and cellular functions," *Seminars in Cell and Developmental Biology*, vol. 20, no. 2, pp. 126–137, 2009.
- [7] S. Weber and P. Saftig, "Ectodomain shedding and ADAMs in development," *Development (Cambridge)*, vol. 139, no. 20, pp. 3693–3709, 2012.
- [8] R. Roy, U. M. Wewer, D. Zurakowski, S. E. Pories, and M. A. Moses, "ADAM 12 cleaves extracellular matrix proteins and correlates with cancer status and stage," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 279, no. 49, pp. 51323–51330, 2004.
- [9] R. Roy and M. A. Moses, "ADAM12 induces estrogenindependence in breast cancer cells," *Breast Cancer Research and Treatment*, vol. 131, no. 3, pp. 731–741, 2012.
- [10] R. Roy, S. Rodig, D. Bielenberg, D. Zurakowski, and M. A. Moses, "ADAM12 transmembrane and secreted isoforms promote breast tumor growth: a distinct role for ADAM12-S protein in tumor metastasis," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 286, no. 23, pp. 20758–20768, 2011.

- [11] R. Roy, J. Yang, and M. A. Moses, "Matrix metalloproteinases as novel biomarkers and potential therapeutic targets in human cancer," *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, vol. 27, no. 31, pp. 5287– 5297, 2009.
- [12] D. Narita, E. Seclaman, S. Ursoniu, and A. Anghel, "Increased expression of ADAM12 and ADAM17 genes in laser-capture microdissected breast cancers and correlations with clinical and pathological characteristics," *Acta Histochemica*, vol. 114, no. 2, pp. 131–139, 2012.
- [13] C. Fröhlich, C. Nehammer, R. Albrechtsen et al., "ADAM12 produced by tumor cells rather than stromal cells accelerates breast tumor progression," *Molecular Cancer Research*, vol. 9, no. 11, pp. 1449–1461, 2011.
- [14] C. Fröhlich, R. Albrechtsen, L. Dyrskjøt, L. Rudkjær, T. F. Ørntoft, and U. M. Wewer, "Molecular profiling of ADAM12 in human bladder cancer," *Clinical Cancer Research*, vol. 12, no. 24, pp. 7359–7368, 2006.
- [15] N. Rocks, G. Paulissen, F. Quesada Calvo et al., "Expression of a disintegrin and metalloprotease (ADAM and ADAMTS) enzymes in human non-small-cell lung carcinomas (NSCLC)," *British Journal of Cancer*, vol. 94, no. 5, pp. 724–730, 2006.
- [16] N. Rocks, C. Estrella, G. Paulissen et al., "The metalloproteinase ADAM-12 regulates bronchial epithelial cell proliferation and apoptosis," *Cell Proliferation*, vol. 41, no. 6, pp. 988–1001, 2008.
- [17] N. Mino, R. Miyahara, E. Nakayama et al., "A disintegrin and metalloprotease 12 (ADAM12) is a prognostic factor in resected pathological stage I lung adenocarcinoma," *Journal of Surgical Oncology*, vol. 100, no. 3, pp. 267–272, 2009.
- [18] K. Bourd-Boittin, H. Le Pabic, D. Bonnier, A. L'Helgoualc'h, and N. Théret, "RACK1, a new ADAM12 interacting protein: contribution to liver fibrogenesis," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 283, no. 38, pp. 26000–26009, 2008.
- [19] C. Estrella, N. Rocks, G. Paulissen et al., "Role of a disintegrin and metalloprotease-12 in neutrophil recruitment induced by airway epithelium," *American Journal of Respiratory Cell and Molecular Biology*, vol. 41, no. 4, pp. 449–458, 2009.

- [20] X. Wang, F. L. Chow, T. Oka et al., "Matrix metalloproteinase-7 and ADAM-12 (a disintegrin and metalloproteinase-12) define a signaling axis in agonist-induced hypertension and cardiac hypertrophy," *Circulation*, vol. 119, no. 18, pp. 2480–2489, 2009.
- [21] S. Verrier, A. Hogan, N. McKie, and M. Horton, "ADAM gene expression and regulation during human osteoclast formation," *Bone*, vol. 35, no. 1, pp. 34–46, 2004.
- [22] M. Kveiborg, R. Albrechtsen, L. Rudkjær, G. Wen, K. Damgaard-Pedersen, and U. M. Wewer, "ADAM12-S stimulates bone growth in transgenic mice by modulating chondrocyte proliferation and maturation," *Journal of Bone and Mineral Research*, vol. 21, no. 8, pp. 1288–1296, 2006.
- [23] I. Kerna, K. Kisand, P. Laitinen et al., "Association of ADAM12-S protein with radiographic features of knee osteoarthritis and bone and cartilage markers," *Rheumatology International*, vol. 32, no. 2, pp. 519–523, 2012.
- [24] D. Moher, A. Liberati, J. Tetzlaff, and D. G. Altman, "Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement," *PLoS Medicine*, vol. 6, no. 7, Article ID e1000097, 2009.
- [25] G. A. Wells, B. Shea, D. O'Connell et al., "The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) for assessing the quality of nonrandomised studies in meta-analyses," http://www.ohri.ca/programs/clinical\_epidemiology/oxford.asp.
- [26] I. Kerna, K. Kisand, A. E. Tamm, M. Lintrop, K. Veske, and A. O. Tamm, "Missense single nucleotide polymorphism of the ADAM12 gene is associated with radiographic knee osteoarthritis in middle-aged Estonian cohort," *Osteoarthritis and Cartilage*, vol. 17, no. 8, pp. 1093–1098, 2009.
- [27] S. Lou, Z. Zhao, J. Qian, K. Zhao, and R. Wang, "Association of single nucleotide polymorphisms in ADAM12 gene with susceptibility to knee osteoarthritis: a case-control study in a chinese han population," *International Journal of Clinical and Experimental Pathology*, vol. 7, no. 8, pp. 5154–5159, 2014.
- [28] J. Rodriguez-Lopez, M. Pombo-Suarez, J. Loughlin et al., "Association of a nsSNP in ADAMTS14 to some osteoarthritis phenotypes," *Osteoarthritis and Cartilage*, vol. 17, no. 3, pp. 321– 327, 2009.
- [29] M.-H. Shin, S.-J. Lee, S.-J. Kee et al., "Genetic association analysis of *GDF5* and *ADAM12* for knee osteoarthritis," *Joint Bone Spine*, vol. 79, no. 5, pp. 488–491, 2012.
- [30] A. M. Valdes, M. van Oene, and D. J. Hart, "Reproducible genetic associations between candidate genes and clinical knee osteoarthritis in men and women," *Arthritis & Rheumatism*, vol. 54, no. 2, pp. 533–539, 2006.
- [31] L. Wang, L. Guo, F. Tian, R. Hao, and T. Yang, "Analysis of single nucleotide polymorphisms within ADAM12 and risk of knee osteoarthritis in a Chinese han population," *BioMed Research International*, vol. 2015, Article ID 518643, 2015.
- [32] B. J. Gilpin, F. Loechel, M.-G. Mattei, E. Engvall, R. Albrechtsen, and U. M. Wewer, "A novel secreted form of human ADAM 12 (meltrin alpha) provokes myogenesis in vivo," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 273, no. 1, pp. 157–166, 1998.
- [33] K. Iba, R. Albrechtsen, B. J. Gilpin, F. Loechel, and U. M. Wewer, "Cysteine-rich domain of human ADAM 12 (meltrin α) supports tumor cell adhesion," *American Journal of Pathology*, vol. 154, no. 5, pp. 1489–1501, 1999.
- [34] T. Yagami-Hiromasa, T. Sato, T. Kurisaki, K. Kamijo, Y. Nabeshima, and A. Fujisawa-Sehara, "A metalloproteasedisintegrin participating in myoblast fusion," *Nature*, vol. 377, no. 6550, pp. 652–656, 1995.

- [35] C. K. Thodeti, C. Fröhlich, C. K. Nielsen et al., "ADAM12mediated focal adhesion formation is differently regulated by  $\beta$ 1 and  $\beta$ 3 integrins," *FEBS Letters*, vol. 579, no. 25, pp. 5589–5595, 2005.
- [36] E. Abe, H. Mocharla, T. Yamate, Y. Taguchi, and S. C. Manolagas, "Meltrin-α, a fusion protein involved in multinucleated giant cell and osteoclast formation," *Calcified Tissue International*, vol. 64, no. 6, pp. 508–515, 1999.
- [37] A. Okada, S. Mochizuki, and T. Yatabe, "ADAM-12 (Meltrin α) is involved in chondrocyte proliferation via cleavage of insulinlike growth factor binding protein 5 in osteoarthritic cartilage," *Arthritis & Rheumatism*, vol. 58, no. 3, pp. 778–789, 2008.
- [38] L. H. Jørgensen, C. H. Jensen, U. M. Wewer, and H. D. Schrøder, "Transgenic overexpression of ADAM12 suppresses muscle regeneration and aggravates dystrophy in aged mdx mice," *American Journal of Pathology*, vol. 171, no. 5, pp. 1599– 1607, 2007.