Increased listening effort and cochlear neural degeneration underlie behavioral deficits in speech perception in noise in normal hearing middle-aged adults.

Abbreviated title: CND and listening effort

Maggie E. Zink^{1*}, Leslie Zhen^{1*}, Jacie R. McHaney^{1*+}, Jennifer Klara¹, Kimberly Yurasits¹, Victoria Cancel¹, Olivia Flemm¹, Claire Mitchell¹, Jyotishka Datta², Bharath Chandrasekaran¹⁺, Aravindakshan Parthasarath $v^{1,3,4}$

¹Department of Communication Science and Disorders, School of Health and Rehabilitation Sciences, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh PA

²Department of Statistics, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, VA

³Department of Bioengineering, Swanson School of Engineering, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA ⁴Department of Otolaryngology, School of Medicine, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA

+Present address: Department of Communication Sciences and Disorders, Northwestern University, Evanston, IL

* Equal contributions

Corresponding author:

Aravindakshan Parthasarathy Department of Communication Science and Disorders University of Pittsburgh Pittsburgh, PA 15213 Aravind Partha@pitt.edu

Number of figures: 4 **Number of words**: Abstract (209), Introduction (505), Discussion (1215), Total w/o methods (3426)

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Institute on Deafness and Other Communication Disorders-National Institutes of Health Grants R21DC018882 to A.P, T32DC011499 to K. Kandler and B. yates (Trainee: M.E.Z) and F31DC020085 to J.R.M., and the PNC-Trees Charitable Trust (PNC to B.C. and A.P.). We thank Dr. Carl Snyderman for collaboration on the PNC-Trees grant, and Megan Hallihan, Kathryn Bergstrom, Sarah Anthony, and Shaina Wasileski for their assistance with participant recruitment and data collection.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: AP; Methodology: AP, BC, JM, JD; Data collection: MEZ, JK, KY, VC, OF, CM; Data analysis: MEZ, LZ, JRM, KY, VC, OF, CM; Statistical analysis: JRM, MEZ, LZ, JD; Writing: MEZ, JRM; Editing: AP, JD, BC; Supervision, Project administration: AP, BC; Funding acquisition: AP, BC

Abstract

Middle-age is a critical period of rapid changes in brain function that presents an opportunity for early diagnostics and intervention for neurodegenerative conditions later in life. Hearing loss is one such early indicator linked to many comorbidities later in life. However, current clinical tests fail to capture hearing difficulties for ~10% of middle-aged adults seeking help at hearing clinics. Cochlear neural degeneration (CND) could play a role in these hearing deficits, but our current understanding is limited by the lack of objective diagnostics and uncertainty regarding its perceptual consequences. Here, using a cross-species approach, we measured envelope following responses (EFRs) – neural ensemble responses to sound originating from the peripheral auditory pathway – in young and middle-aged adults with normal audiometric thresholds, and compared these responses to young and middle-aged Mongolian gerbils, where CND was histologically confirmed. We observed near identical changes in EFRs across species that were associated with CND. Perceptual effects measured as behavioral readouts showed deficits in the most challenging listening conditions and were associated with CND. Additionally, pupil-indexed listening effort increased even at moderate task difficulties where behavioral outcomes were matched. Our results reveal perceptual deficits in middle-aged adults driven by CND and increases in listening effort, which may result in increased listening fatigue and conversational disengagement.

Introduction

Age-related hearing loss, defined as declines in hearing sensitivity, is exceedingly common; according to some estimates, ~45 million adults in the United States over 50 years of age have age-related hearing loss that is significant enough to interfere with communication (1). Untreated hearing loss decreases quality of life and is thought to be the single-largest modifiable risk factor in middle-age for other age-related comorbidities such as cognitive impairment and dementia (2). However, current measures of hearing sensitivity fail to capture critical aspects of real-world hearing difficulties in this population (3, 4). Hearing difficulties experienced by up to 10% of adults seeking help in the hearing clinic are 'hidden' to current diagnostic procedures (3–6). Peripheral deafferentation caused by cochlear neural degeneration (CND) may underlie many of these perceptual difficulties (7, 8). Anatomical evidence for progressive CND with aging is clear – postmortem studies using human temporal bones estimate a 40% deafferentation caused by CND by the fifth decade of life (9–11). CND causes neural coding deficits in the peripheral auditory pathway, affecting the faithful representation of spectrotemporally complex auditory stimuli (12–14). But the evidence linking CND with perceptual deficits is mixed - current assessments of perceptual deficits associated with CND primarily focus on behavioral measures of speech in noise, with mixed evidence of deficits in individuals with putative CND (15–18).

Two challenges impede our understanding of the perceptual consequences of CND. First, while many noninvasive markers of CND have been proposed and validated in animal models (7, 14, 19, 20), non-invasive estimates of putative CND in humans cannot be confirmed with histological assessment of synapses in the same participants. Cross-species comparative studies and computational modeling provide promising avenues for overcoming this gap (21, 22). Secondly, behavioral readouts of perceptual difficulties in humans show mixed results with putative CND depending on the specific test used and degree of spectrotemporal and contextual information provided in that test (17, 23, 24). The most promising tests for CND are ones with no linguistic context and short spectrotemporal processing windows (24, 25). However, these behavioral readouts may minimize subliminal changes in perception that are reflected in listening effort but *not* in accuracies (26–28). Here, we used a cross-species approach, combined with simultaneous measurements of behavior and listening effort, to show that CND is associated with decreased neural coding fidelity and increased listening effort in middle-aged adults with normal audiometric thresholds. We measured putative CND using the envelope following response (EFR) to rapid (~1000Hz) modulation frequencies – a suggested marker for CND (12, 14). Cross-species comparisons with identical recordings in a low-frequency hearing animal model, the Mongolian gerbil, confirmed that decreases in EFRs were selective only for responses with generators in the auditory nerve. These EFRs were also associated with histologically-confirmed CND. In the human model, we simultaneously measured pupil-indexed listening effort in participants as they performed a speech-in-noise task and show that increased listening effort was present despite matched behavioral accuracies. These results point to hitherto underexplored aspects of auditory perceptual difficulties associated with listening effort and CND.

Results

"Normal" hearing middle-aged adults show evidence of peripheral neural coding deficits that are associated with CND

Figure 1. Age-related CND occurs prior to overt changes in hearing thresholds and can be assessed non-invasively by measuring phase-locked neural envelope following responses. (A) Thirty middle-aged (MA, 40-55 yrs, mean = 46.1+4.6 yrs) and 36 young adults (YA, 18-25 years, mean = 21.17+ 1.8yrs) participated in this study. **(B)** All participants had clinically normal hearing thresholds with some evidence of threshold losses at extended high frequencies above 8 kHz typically not tested in the clinic. Hearing thresholds in dB HL are shown on the Y axis and frequency in kHz is plotted on the X axis. **(C)** Outer hair cell function assessed using DPOAEs is comparable between YA and MA up to 4kHz and showed age-related decreases at higher frequencies. Both cohorts show no evidence of self-reported tinnitus **(D)** or hyperacusis measured as LDLs **(E)**, have comparable self-reported noise exposure levels **(F),** and comparable working memory scores assessed using OSPAN **(G). (H)** EFRs to modulation frequencies of 1024Hz can be reliably recorded in young and middle-aged adults using 'tiptrodes'. The panel shows grand-averaged FFT traces for YA and MA. **(I)** Middle-aged adults showed significant declines in EFR amplitudes at 1024Hz AM, with putative neural generators in the auditory nerve. **(J)** Signal-to-noise ratios were 8dB on average for YA and 4dB for MA. **(K)** Statistically significant decreases in EFR amplitudes were selective for 1024Hz AM, the modulation frequency with putative generators in the auditory nerve. All panels: Error bars and shading represent standard error of the mean (SEM). Asterisks represent p<0.05, ANOVA.

Middle-aged (MA, 40-55 years) listeners were recruited to participate in this study, and their responses were compared to that of young adult (YA, 18-25 years) listeners (Fig. 1A). All participants had clinically normal hearing thresholds and spoke fluent American English. Participants had normal otoscopy by visual examination and air conduction thresholds below 25dB HL for octave frequencies between 250Hz to 8 kHz (Fig. 1B, Table 1), consistent with WHO guidelines for normal hearing (29). Threshold differences were exaggerated in MAs at extended high frequencies (>8kHz) that are seldom clinically measured but may be a marker for accumulated lifetime noise damage ((17, 30–32), Fig. 1B, Table 2). Outer hair cell function, assessed using distortion product otoacoustic emissions (DPOAEs), were comparable between young adult and middle-aged listeners up to 4 kHz, the frequency regionsthat contains most of the spectral information in speech (Fig. 1C, Table 3). Participants also had no severe symptoms of tinnitus (Fig. 1D) assessed using the Tinnitus Handicap Inventory (THI; (33)) and Loudness Discomfort Levels (LDLs; (32)) above 80 dB SPL for frequencies up to 3 kHz (Fig. 1E, Table 4). Self-reported noise exposure using the Noise

Exposure Questionnaire (NEQ; (35)) was not significantly different between age groups (Fig. 1F, Table 4). Participants also had normal cognitive function indexed by the Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA \geq 25; (36)) and comparable working memory scores assessed using the operation span task (OSPAN) ((37), Fig. 1G, Table 4). Hence, the middle-aged adults recruited for this study were all "normal" by currently administered behavioral and audiological assessments in the hearing clinic, while exhibiting some subclinical outer hair cell dysfunction, especially at frequencies above 4kHz.

We then measured putative CND using neural ensemble responses from the auditory periphery phase-locked to the stimulus amplitude envelope (Envelope following response, EFR). EFRs can be used to emphasize neural generators in the auditory periphery by exploiting divergent phase-locking abilities along the ascending auditory pathway. EFRs, especially at rapid amplitude modulation (AM) frequencies above 600Hz, have been shown to relate to underlying CND in animal models (12, 14) and in humans (25). Here, we measured EFRs to AM frequencies that have putative neural generators in the central auditory pathway such as the cortex (40Hz AM; (12, 38), as well as faster modulation rates (110Hz, 512Hz, and 1024Hz AM) that emphasize progressively peripheral auditory regions (12). We were able to reliably record EFRs, even to modulation frequencies up to 1024Hz, by using gold-foil tipped electrodes ('tiptrodes') placed in the ear canal, closer to the presumptive neural generators in the auditory nerve (Fig. 1H). EFR peaks analyzed in the spectral domain were above noise floor, with average signal to noise ratios (SNRs) of 8dB in YA and 4dB in MA (Fig. I, J). Statistically significant decreases in EFR amplitudes were only present for EFRs to the 1024Hz AM rate, with putative generators in the auditory nerve (12, 14) and were not present for slower AM rates with generators in the midbrain or cortex (Fig. 1K, Table 5).

Figure 2. Cross-species experiments in a rodent model show that EFRs are a sensitive biomarker for histologically confirmed CND. (A) Cross-species comparisons were made with young (22+ 0.86 weeks, n = 14) and middle-aged (80+ 0.76 weeks, n = 13) Mongolian gerbils, with identical stimuli, recording, and analysis parameters. **(B)** Middleaged gerbils did not show any age-related decreases in hearing thresholds. **(C)** Age-related decreases in EFR amplitudes were isolated to the 1024Hz modulation frequency, similar to middle-aged humans in Fig1K. **(D)** CND was quantified for a subset of these gerbils (n = 10 young and 10 middle-aged) using immunostained organ of Corti whole mounts, where afferent excitatory synapses were quantified using 3D reconstructed images. **(E)** Cochlear synapse counts at the 3kHz cochlear region corresponding to the carrier frequency for the EFRs was significantly decreased in middle-aged gerbils, despite matched auditory thresholds. **(F)** EFR amplitudes at 1024Hz AM were significantly correlated with the number of remaining cochlear synapses, suggesting that these EFRs are a sensitive metric for CND with age. All panels: Error bars and shading represent standard error of the mean (SEM). Asterisks represent p<0.05, ANOVA.

To confirm that the EFR parameters used here were indeed sensitive to CND, we measured EFRs using identical stimuli, acquisition, and analysis parameters in young (18wk) and middle-aged (80wk) Mongolian gerbils (Fig. 2A). Gerbils share the same hearing frequency range as humans, making them an ideal animal model for direct comparison in cross-species studies. Middle-aged gerbils showed no loss of hearing thresholds, similar to middle-aged humans (Fig. 2B). Remarkably, gerbils also exhibited a selective decrease in EFR amplitudes for AM rates at 1024Hz, similar to middle-aged adults (Fig. 2C, Table 6). CND was assessed using immunohistological analysis of cochlear whole mounts, where the cell bodies, presynaptic ribbon terminals and the post-synaptic glutamate receptor patches were immunostained, visualized using confocal microscopy, and quantified from 3D reconstructed images (Fig. 2D). Significant decreases in afferent synapse counts were present in middle-aged gerbils, reaching up to 20% losses compared to the young gerbils (Fig. 2E, Table 7). Further, EFR amplitudes were significantly correlated to the number of remaining cochlear synapses(Fig. 2F), thus confirming that our EFRs were a sensitive metric of CND.

Perceptual deficits manifest as increased listening effort prior to behavioral deficits in middle-aged adults

Do middle-aged adults with putative CND experience challenges with hearing in noise despite having clinically normal hearing thresholds? We measured speech perception in noise using the Quick Speech-in-Noise (QuickSIN; (39)) task, to assess hearing in noise changes that were closer to real-world listening scenarios. QuickSIN tests suprathreshold hearing of medium context sentences presented in varying levels of four-talker background babble (Fig. 3A). Further, QuickSIN is a clinically relevant test that we recently identified as being sensitive to detect perceptual deficits in adult populations with normal audiograms (5). Participants are scored on the ability to identify and repeat five key words in each target sentence as the SNR is decreased in 5 dB steps from 25 dB SNR to 0 dB SNR. Clinically, QuickSIN is scored as dB SNR loss, i.e., an estimate of the SNR required to correctly identify key words in noise correctly half the time. No significant age-related decreases were observed in clinically scored QuickSIN measures (Fig. 3B, Table 4). When analyzing performance at each SNR, accuracy was at near-ceiling from 25 dB SNR to 10 dB SNR, but dropped from 5dB SNR in both young and middle-aged adults. Statistically significant behavioral deficits with age were observed on QuickSIN only in the most challenging SNR of 0 dB (Fig. 3C, Table 8).

Are there perceptual deficits experienced by middle-aged adults that are not captured by traditional behavioral readouts? We addressed this question by measuring isoluminous task-related changes in pupil diameter as an index of listening effort (40–42) while participants performed the QuickSIN task (Fig. 3A). Pupillary changes were analyzed using growth curve analysis (GCA, (43)). GCAs provide a statistical approach to modeling changes over time in the timing and shape of the pupillary response and has several advantages to analyzing pupillary response over traditional approaches. First, GCA does not require timebinned samples, thus removing the trade-off between temporal resolution and statistical power, and secondly, GCA can account of individual variability. Two second-order GCAs were fit to different timewindows (Table 9-10, see methods). One time window from the onset of the masker and covering the first 2.8s of the target sentence (listening window), and second, from the end of the target sentence prior to behavioral response (integration window). These two time-windows are hypothesized to represent effort associated with differing sensory and cognitive processes. The listening window reflects linguistic and semantic processing of ongoing speech stimuli and is a physiological response to auditory processing (44). The integration window reflects error correction, working memory and comparisons with predictive internal models (45). (46). The linear term from the GCA was further analyzed as a marker for the slope of pupillary change over time.

Pupil-indexed listening effort measured during listening was modulated by task difficulty, with pupil diameters showing a larger growth at challenging SNRs (Fig. 3D). Both YA and MA showed increases in pupil-indexed effort prior to overt changes in behavioral performance (Fig. 3E). While MAs exhibited larger increases in listening effort compared to YAs, this change was not statistically significant (Fig. 3E, Supp.

Table 9). Trends seen in the pupillary responses for the listening window were further amplified in the integration window. Pupillary responses were modulated by task difficulty (Fig. 3F). Pupillary slopes obtained from the GCA increased with task difficulty in both YA and MA. However, MA showed a steady increase in listening effort with decreasing SNRs that was higher than YA, reaching a statistically significant increase at 10dB SNR, even though behavioral performance was matched (Figure 3G, Supp. Table 10). These results suggest that middle-aged adults may maintain comparable performance to younger listeners at moderate task difficulty but at the cost of greater listening effort.

Figure 3. Increased listening effort precedes behavioral deficits in speech in noise perception in middle-aged adults. (A) Speech perception in noise was assessed using the QuickSIN test, which presents moderate context sentences in varying levels of multi-talker babble. Pupillary measures were analyzed in two time-windows – 1. during stimulus presentation, and 2. after target sentence offset and prior to response initiation **(B)** No significant agerelated differences were observed in clinical QuickSIN scores presented as dB SNR loss. **(C)** QuickSIN performance is

matched between MA and YA until the most difficult noise condition (SNR 0). The x-axis shows the SNR condition that the target sentences were presented in, with 25dB being the easiest noise condition, and 0dB being the most difficult noise condition. The y-axis shows participant accuracy in repeating key words from the target sentences as percent correct. **(D)** Grand-averaged pupillary responses measured during task listening as an index of effort exhibit modulation with task difficulty, with greater pupillary dilations observed in harder conditions for both groups. **(E)** Middle-aged adults show consistently higher pupillary responses during performance on the QuickSIN task and at SNR levels prior to when overt behavioral deficits are observed. **(F)** Grand-averaged pupillary responses measured after target sentence offset as an index of effort exhibit greater modulation with task difficulty, compared to changes in the listening window. **(G)** Trends seen in the listening window were amplified in this integration window, with middle-aged adults showing even greater effort, especially at moderate SNRs where behavior was matched.

Pupil-indexed listening effort and CND provide synergistic contributions to speech in noise intelligibility.

We sought to understand the relationships between CND, listening effort and speech-in-noise intelligibility in normal-hearing middle-aged adults. Behavioral performance in QuickSIN at 0dB SNR, where there was a group effect of age, was significantly correlated with CND assessed using EFRs at 1024 Hz (Fig. 4A), suggesting that peripheral deafferentation manifests as overt behavioral deficits under the most challenging listening conditions. Pupil-indexed listening effort was also greater in the integration window in middle-aged adults at 10dB SNR (Fig. 3G), even though behavioral performance was near ceiling in both young and middle-aged adults. Pupillary slopes at 10dB SNR in the integration window were correlated with behavioral deficits at 0 dB SNR (Fig. 4B). These results add to the emerging evidence suggesting that pupil-indexed effort to maintain behavioral performance at moderate task difficulties is predictive of behavioral performance at more challenging listening conditions (47). There were significant correlations between pupillary slopes in the listening window as well, even though there were no group level differences with age (Fig. 4C). These data suggest that CND and increased listening effort both associated with listening challenges in middle-aged adults.

Is increase in listening effort synergistic with for CND? To understand the multifactorial contributions of sensory and top-down factors that may affect speech perception in noise, we performed a penalized regression with elastic net penalty (48), with QuickSIN performance at 0dB SNR (scaled to 0-100) as the outcome variable and all other measured variables as the input variables. The elastic net penalized regression framework is a robust method that blends of Lasso's ability to perform variable selection and Ridge's ability to handle multicollinearity and grouped covariates. The fitted elastic net regression model shows an R^2 value of 0.5981, and five significant predictors – hearing thresholds averaged across 500Hz to 4kHz (PTA4k), EFR amplitudes at 1024Hz AM, pupillary slopes at 10dB SNR and 0 dB SNR in the listening window, and pupillary slopes at 10dB SNR in the integration window (Fig. 4D-E). This model was significantly related to QuickSIN performance and predicted the observed QuickSIN scores across YA and MA (r = 0.64/(pseudo-)R² = 0.41, Fig. 4F). Hence, the output of the elastic net regression suggests that CND and pupil-indexed listening, in addition to subclinical changes in hearing thresholds all provided complementary contributions to speech perception in noise.

Figure 4. Listening effort and CND provide complementary contributions to speech in noise intelligibility. (A) Behavioral performance at the most challenging SNR was significantly correlated with the EFR measures of CND, with lower EFR amplitudes being associated with poorer behavioral performance. **(B)** Pupillary responses at 10 dB SNR from the integration window were significantly correlated with behavioral performance at 0dB SNR, **(B)** These correlations between pupillary responses at 10 dB SNR and behavioral performance at 0dB SNR was also found in the listening window, even though there were no group differences in age, further strengthening the link between listening effort at moderate SNRs and behavioral performance at challenging SNRs. **(D)** an elastic net regression model with 10-fold cross validation (cv) was fit to the QuickSIN scores at 0dB SNR. The tuning parameter Lambda controls the extent to which coefficients contributing least to predictive accuracy are suppressed. **(E)** A lollipop plot displaying the coefficients (β) contributing to explaining variance on QuickSIN performance suggests that CND, listening effort and subclinical changes in hearing thresholds all contribute to QuickSIN performance. **(F)** QuickSIN scores predicted by the elastic net regression are corelated with actual participant QuickSIN scores.

Discussion

Middle-age, typically defined as the fifth and sixth decade of life, has been historically understudied compared to older age ranges (49). Yet increasing evidence suggests that middle-age is critical as a period of rapid changes in brain function (50, 51). The resilience of the brain in keeping with degenerative processes that begin to occur in middle-age predicts further age-related degeneration in older ages and presents a critical opportunity for early intervention (49, 52–54). Hearing loss has been recently identified as the single most modifiable risk factor in middle-age associated with dementia and Alzheimer's disease later in life (2). However, the number of middle-aged patients who seek help for hearing difficulties but have no abnormal clinical indicators suggests the need for the development of sensitive biomarkers for hearing challenges experienced by this population (3, 5, 6, 55).

Anatomical evidence from human temporal bones suggests a 40% deafferentation of cochlear synapses in middle-aged adults, even without a substantial noise exposure history (9–11). Peripheral deafferentation triggers compensatory mechanisms across sensory, language, and attentional systems (56–59). But our understanding of the perceptual consequences of cochlear deafferentation are limited by the lack of consensus on sensitive biomarkers for CND (60). Recent studies have identified multiple promising biomarkers for CND in animal models and human populations (21, 25, 61). Here, we used one such marker to identify CND in middle-aged adults with normal audiometric thresholds. EFRs measure peripheral neural coding and central auditory activity by exploiting the divergent phase-locking abilities of the ascending auditory pathway (62). Here, we found decreases in EFRs at modulation rates that are selective for the auditory periphery, while responses from the central auditory structures do not differ with age (Fig. 1K). These data suggest a decrease in peripheral neural coding, with a concomitant increase in central auditory activity or 'gain'. The perceptual consequences of this gain are unclear, but emerging evidence suggests selective deficits in speech-in-noise abilities (58, 63).

The Mongolian gerbil provides a robust model for cross-species comparisons with aging humans, with their overlapping hearing frequency ranges and experimentally tractable lifespans. Here, using young and middle-aged gerbils, we showed similar EFR decreases as seen in human listeners (Fig. 2C), which are also associated with confirmed CND (Fig. 2F). The gerbils used in this study also do not have any changes in hearing thresholds (Fig. 2B). Hence, they are unlikely to have known strial degenerations that occur in older gerbils and affect auditory thresholds. The synapse loss patterns and EFR amplitude changes seen here in gerbils are in agreement with earlier studies using alternate rodent models (12, 14, 64), further confirming that age-related cochlear synapse loss is a pervasive mammalian phenomenon that can be captured using EFRs to modulation frequencies at 1000Hz AM.

Strong evidence links CND with altered neural coding of sounds in multiple ascending auditory stations (12, 57, 58). However, the perceptual consequences of CND are still unclear (60). Evidence of overt behavioral deficits are mixed and may depend on the specific type of task used for assessment (17, 23). Here, we used QuickSIN, a clinically relevant test that we recently identified as being sensitive to changes in adult normal hearing populations with perceived hearing deficits (5). However, tests that are further challenging in spectrotemporal complexity, such as the addition of time compression or reverberation, may tease apart these differences even more (17, 25). Behavioral deficits here began to emerge only at the most challenging SNRs (Fig. 3). However, perceptual deficits in terms of listening effort began to appear well before these behavioral deficits.

Listening effort is an umbrella term that may assess multiple forms of executive function such as cognitive resource allocation, working memory, and attention, and can be assessed by measuring isoluminous tasklinked changes in pupil diameter (27, 40–42, 65). The mechanisms underlying these pupillary changes are still under study (66, 67) but are hypothesized to involve the Locus Coeruleus – Norepinephrine (LC-NE) system (68, 69). Here, we observed that pupil-indexed listening effort increased in middle-aged adults, even when behavioral performance is matched (Fig. 3E, F). This suggests that middle-aged adults expend more effort to maintain behavioral performance, which may lead to more listening fatigue or disengagement from conversations (26, 70, 71). Potentially confounding factors impacting pupil measurement such as the decrease of pupil dynamic range with aging (72, 73), participant fatigue, or task habituation (44, 65, 74) can vary between individuals for a multitude of reasons (75). Here, the effects of these factors were minimized by applying trial-by-trial baseline corrections prior to analysis to match the magnitude of response between young and middle-aged adults.

Interestingly, pupil-indexed listening effort at a moderate SNR was a better predictor of behavioral performance at a more challenging SNR using two independent methods – a Pearsons's correlation and the elastic net regression model (Fig. 4B-D). We have also previously demonstrated similar results in a

different test group of young adult participants (47). Perhaps akin to predicting a person's ability to run five miles based on assessing their effort required to run one, these results suggest that the amount of effort required to maintain ceiling performance at moderate SNRs are predictive of behavioral performance at harder task difficulties. Pupillary indices at the harder task conditions may be rolling over into hyperexcitability (66, 67) and thus being a poorer predictor of concomitant behavioral performance.

We used a linear model with an elastic net penalization/regularization (48) to simultaneously estimate the underlying contributions of the various predictor variables measured in our studies, and perform model selection. This approach has been previously validated for model selection using multidimensional data related to hearing pathologies like tinnitus and hyperacusis (76). Elastic net is a regularized regression method that minimizes the negative log-likelihood with a penalty on the parameters that combines the l_1 (LASSO) and l_2 (Ridge) penalty, i.e. the elastic net penalty on the regression parameters β can be written as $Pen(\beta) = \lambda(\alpha||\beta||_1 + (1 - \alpha)/2||\beta||_2^2)$. The relative strength of selection and shrinkage is controlled by the hyper-parameters λ and α : a higher λ implies more stringent penalization pushing towards the null model and $0 \le \alpha \le 1$ controls the degree of convexity and hence the amount of sparsity with $\alpha = 0$ implying a Ridge regression with no variable selection. An elastic net regularization and has several advantages over both of LASSO or Ridge as well as a simple linear model. The l_1 part of the elastic net $(||\beta||_1)$ leads to a sparse model where some of the coefficients are shrunk to exact zeroes, thereby performing an automatic model selection without the combinatorial computational complexities of a bestsubset selection approach. Further, the quadratic \mathbf{l}_2 part ($\|\beta\|_2^2$) encourages grouped variable selection and removes the limitation of number of selected variables unlike LASSO while stablizing the selection path. Our elastic net regression model suggests that CND and listening effort provided complementary contributions to explaining variance on the QuickSIN task.

Even though both young and middle-aged adults had clinically normal hearing thresholds, subtle changes within this normal range affected speech-in-noise performance (Fig. 4D), lending support to studies suggesting that the definition of clinical 'normal' may itself need revision (3, 77). Future studies will directly test this link between cochlear and peripheral neural deficits and listening effort, and explore further contributions of other top-down mechanisms that may influence listening effort such as selective attention or semantic load (78, 79).

Methods

Humans

Participants

Recruitment. Young (*n* = 38; 18-25 years old, male = 10) and middle-aged (*n* = 45; 40-55 years old, male = 16) adult participants were recruited from the University of Pittsburgh Pitt + Me research participant registry, the University of Pittsburgh Department of Communication Science and Disorders research participant pool, and the broader community under a protocol approved by the University of Pittsburgh Institutional Review Board (IRB#21040125). Participants were compensated for their time, travel, and given an additional monetary incentive for completing all study sessions.

Eligibility. Participant eligibility was determined during the first session of the study. Eligible participants had normal cognition determined by the Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA \geq 25; Nasreddine et al., 2005), normal hearing thresholds (≤ 25 dB HL 250-8000 Hz), no severe tinnitus selfreported via the Tinnitus Handicap Inventory (THI; (33), and Loudness Discomfort Levels (LDLs) \geq 80dB HL at .5, 1, and 3kHz (34). Participants self-reported American English fluency. Thirty-six young (18-25 years old, male = 10) and 30 middle-aged participants (40-55 years old, male = 10) met these eligibility criteria and were tested further using the battery described below. The Beck's depression Inventory (BDI (80)) was administered and participants were excluded if they reported thoughts of self-harm, determined by any response to survey item nine greater than 0.

Audiological assessment

Otoscopy. An otoscopic examination was conducted using a Welch Allyn otoscope to examine the patient's external auditory canal, tympanic membrane, and middle ear space for excess cerumen, ear drainage, and other abnormalities. The presence of any such abnormality resulted in exclusion from the study, as these may lead to a conductive hearing loss.

Audiogram. Hearing thresholds were collected inside a sound attenuating booth using a MADSEN Astera² audiometer, Otometrics transducers [Natus Medical, Inc. Middleton, WI], and foam insert eartips sized to the participants' ear canal width. Tones were presented using a pulsed beat and participants were instructed to press a response plunger if they believed that they perceived a tone being played, even if they were unsure. Extended high frequency hearing thresholds (EHFs) were collected at frequencies 8, 12.5, and 16kHz using Sennheiser circumaural headphones and Sennheiser HDA 300 transducers using the same response instructions.

Loudness Discomfort Levels (LDLs). LDLs were collected binaurally using Otometrics transducer [Natus Medical, Inc., Middleton, WI] and foam tip ear inserts. Warble tones were presented, and participants were instructed to rate the loudness on a scale of one to seven, with seven being so loud that they would leave the room.

Distortion Product Otoacoustic Emissions (DPOAEs). Outer hair cell function was assessed using DPOAEs. DPOAEs were collected from both the right and left ear individually with a starting frequency of 500Hz and an ending frequency of 16kHz. The stimulus had an L1 of 75dB SPL and an L2 of 65dB SPL and was presented in 8 blocks of 24 sweeps with alternating polarity. Responses were collected using rubber ear inserts sized to participants' ear canal width and ER-10D DPOAE Probe transducer [Etymotic Research Inc., Elk Grove, IL].

Noise Exposure History. Participants completed the Noise Exposure Questionnaire (NEQ; (35)) as a self-reported assay of annual noise exposure accounting for both occupational and non-occupational sources. Annual noise exposure was expressed using $L_{Aeq8760h}$, representing the annual hourly duration of noise exposure presented in sound pressure level in dB. Calculation of the $L_{Aeq8760h}$ followed the original article (35).

OSPAN. Participants also completed the automated version of the OSPAN task(81), which measures working memory (37). Participants were shown simple arithmetic problems and asked to decide whether presented solutions to the problems were correct or incorrect. A letter was displayed on the screen after each problem. Participants were required to recall the letters that were displayed in the order that they appeared following a series of arithmetic problems. The task consisted of 15 letter sequences that spanned three to seven letters (three repetitions of each span). If a participant correctly recalled all letters from a sequence, the span length was added to their score. The maximum possible score on the OSPAN task was 75. Each participant's OSPAN score was used as a measure of working memory.

Speech perception in noise

Sentence-level speech perception in noise. Speech perception in noise was indexed using moderate-predictability sentences masked in multitalker babble at six different signal-to-noise ratios (SNR) from the Quick Speech in Noise test (QuickSIN; Killion et al., 2004). QuickSIN is a standardized measure of speech perception in noise that is commonly used in audiology clinics and is representative of a naturalistic listening environment (82). QuickSIN provides a measure of SNR loss. Each QuickSIN test list consisted of six sentences masked in four-talker babble at the following SNR levels: 25, 20, 15, 10, 5, and 0dB. All participants completed four test lists. Participants were instructed to fixate on a point on the screen during listening (to facilitate pupillometry recordings, described below) and to repeat the target sentence to the best of their ability. Each target sentence contained five keywords for identification. The number of key words identified per sentence were recorded. Then, the proportion of keywords correctly identified for each SNR across all four test lists (20 total key words per SNR) was calculated for each participant. In addition to the clinical scoring protocol, participants' performance as the proportion of correctly identified words (i.e., perception accuracy) was also quantified (39, 83).

Pupillometry

Acquisition. Pupillary responses were recorded while participants completed the QuickSIN task. Participants were seated in a testing room with consistent, moderate ambient lighting facing a monitor and an EyeLink 1000 Plus Desktop Mount camera (SR Research). During the pupillometry tasks, participants rested their chins on a head-mount and wore Sennheiser circumaural headphones. The masker was presented at 60dB SPL. The sound level of the target sentences was varied to obtain the required signal to noise ratio. The EyeLink 1000 Plus system recorded monocular left eye pupil size in arbitrary units at a 1000 Hz sampling rate. Nine-point eye-tracker calibration was performed prior to the start of the experiment. Participants were required to fixate on a cross on the screen at the start of each trial for a minimum of 500 ms to trigger the start of the QuickSIN stimulus. This fixation criterion was applied to control for the effects of saccades, which can alter pupil diameter and to minimize pupil foreshortening errors (84–86). After meeting the fixation criteria, a 100 ms 1000 Hz beep was presented to alert the participant to the start of the trial. There was two second delay after the beep before the QuickSIN stimulus was presented. The background masker began three seconds before the target sentence and continued for two seconds after the target sentence. Following the end of the stimulus, there was another two second delay and a 100 ms 1000 Hz beep to signal the start of the verbal response period. Manual drift correction was performed at the end of each trial by the experimenter to ensure high quality tracking of the pupil.

Preprocessing. Raw pupillary data recorded while participants listened to QuickSIN sentences were processed in R (87) using the *eyelinker* package (88) and custom written scripts. Pupillary responses were analyzed in two windows of interest: 1) listening window, from multi-talker babble onset through

5800 ms, and 2) integration window, from target sentence offset to 1000 ms prior to behavioral response period. Separately for each window of interest, data were first processed to remove noise from blinks and saccades. Any trial with more than fifteen percent of the samples detected as saccades or blinks were removed. For the remaining trials, blinks were linearly interpolated from 60 ms before to 160ms after the detected blinks. Saccades were linearly interpolated from 60 ms before to 60 ms after any detected saccade. The de-blinked data were then down sampled to a 50 Hz sampling rate. Pupillary responses were baseline corrected and normalized on a trial-by-trial basis to account for a downward drift in baseline that can occur across a task and for individual differences in pupil dynamic range (85). Baseline pupil size was defined as the average pupil size in the 1000 ms period prior to the start of the window of interest (*pupil–baseline* x 100). The pupillary response was then averaged across all four test lists for each SNR per participant in each window of interest. The outcome reported is percent change in pupil size from baseline.

A growth curve analysis (GCA; Mirman, 2014) was used to obtain a measure of the slope of the pupillary response during listening. GCA uses orthogonal polynomial time terms to model distinct functional forms of the pupillary response over time. A GCA was fit using a second-order orthogonal polynomial to model the interaction of age group with SNR level. This second-order model provides three parameters to explain the pupillary response. The first is the intercept, which refers to the overall change in the pupillary response over the time-window of interest. The second is the linear term (ot1), which represents the slope of the pupillary response over time, or the rate of dilation. The third is the quadratic term (ot2), representing curvature of the pupil response, or the change in rate of the pupillary response over time. GCA were conducted in R (R Core Team, 2022) using the *lme4* package (89) and *p*-values were estimated using the *lmerTest* package (90).

For the listening window, the best-fit GCA model included fixed effects of each time term (ot1, ot2), SNR (reference = 25), Group (reference = YA), and all 2- and 3-way interactions between SNR, Group, and time terms. The random effect structure consisted of a random slope of each time term per participant that removed the correlation between random effects, and a random slope of each time term per the interaction of participant and SNR level.

$Pupil \sim (ot1 + ot2) * SNR * Group + (0 + ot1 + ot2|participant) + (ot1 + ot2|participnat; SNR)$

For the integration window, the best-fit GCA model included fixed effects of each time term (ot1, ot2), SNR (reference = 25), Group (reference = YA), and all 2- and 3-way interactions between SNR, Group, and time terms. The random effect structure consisted of a random slope of each time term per participant, and a random slope of each time term per the interaction of participant and SNR level.

 $Pupil \sim (ot1 + ot2) * SNR * Group + (ot1 + ot2|participant) + (ot1 + ot2|participnat: SNR)$

Electrophysiology

Envelope Following Responses (EFRs). EFRs were collected in a sound attenuating booth using a 64-channel EEG system (BioSemi ActiveTwo) with stimuli presented using ER-3C transducers [Etymotic Research Inc., Elk Grove, IL]. Gold-foil tiptrodes were positioned in participants' ear canals to deliver sound stimuli and record additional channels of evoked potentials from the ear canal. EFRs were recorded to 85dB SPL tones with a carrier frequency of 3000Hz, amplitude modulated (AM) at 40, 110, 512, and 1024Hz. Stimuli were presented in alternating polarity, with 500 repetitions in each polarity. Stimulus duration was 250ms, and each AM token was presented at 3.1 repetitions/second, for a period of 322ms. Stimuli were presented to the right ear. During electrophysiology recordings, participants were sat in a comfortable recliner chair in a low-lit room and watched a silent, subtitled streaming show or movie of their choice and were instructed to avoid falling asleep. Researchers checked in periodically between

recordings to ensure that participants were awake. Averaged responses were collected and analyzed further using custom-written scripts in MATLAB.

Preprocessing. EFRs from the Fz to the ipsilateral (right) tiptrode were further analyzed. EFRs were processed using a fourth-order Butterworth filter with a lowpass filter of 3000Hz. The highpass filter cutoffs used were 5Hz, 80Hz, 200Hz, 300Hz for 40Hz, 110Hz, 512Hz, and 1024Hz AM stimuli, respectively. Fast Fourier transforms (FFTs) were performed on the averaged time domain waveforms for each participant at each AM rate starting 10ms after stimulus onset to exclude ABRs and ending 10ms after stimulus offset using MATLAB v. 2022a (MathWorks Inc., Natick, Massachusetts). The maximum amplitude of the FFT peak at one of three adjacent bins (~3Hz) around the modulation frequency of the AM rate is reported as the EFR amplitude.

Animals

Subjects

Fourteen young adult Mongolian gerbils aged 18-27 weeks (male = 9) and thirteen middle-aged Mongolian gerbils aged 75-82 weeks (male = 6) were used in this study. All animals are born and raised in our animal care facility from breeders obtained from Charles River. The acoustic environment within the holding facility has been characterized by noise-level data logging and is periodically monitored. Data logging revealed an average noise level of 56 dB, with transients not exceeding 74 dB during regular housing conditions and transients of 88dB once a week during cage changes. All animal procedures are approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the University of Pittsburgh (Protocol #21046600).

Experimental Setup

Experiments were performed in a double walled acoustic chamber. Animals were placed on a water circulated warming blanket set to 37 °C with the pump placed outside the recording chamber to eliminate audio and electrical interferences. Gerbils were initially anesthetized with isoflurane gas anesthesia (4%) in an induction chamber. The animals were transferred post induction to a manifold and maintained at 1%–1.5% isoflurane. The electrodes were then positioned, and the animals were then injected with dexmedetomidine (Dexdomitor, 0.3 mg/kg subdermal) and taken off the isoflurane. The usual duration of isoflurane anesthesia during this setup process was approximately 10 min. Recordings were commenced 15 min after cessation of isoflurane, with the time window for the effects of isoflurane to wear off determined empirically as 9 min, based on ABRs waveforms and latencies as well as the response to foot pinch stimuli. Dexmedetomidine is an alpha-adrenergic agonist which acts as a sedative and an analgesic, and which is known to decrease motivation but preserve behavioral as well as neural responses in rodents (91, 92). This helps to maintain animals in an un-anesthetized state, where they still respond to pain stimuli like a foot pinch but are otherwise compliant to recordings for a period of about 3 h. Subdermal electrodes (Ambu) were placed on the animals' scalps for the recordings. A positive electrode was placed along the vertex. The negative electrode was placed under the ipsilateral ear, along the mastoid, while the ground electrode was placed in the base of the tail. Impedances from the electrodes were always less than 1 kHz as tested using the head-stage (RA4LI, Tucker Davis technologies, or TDT).

Stimulus presentation, acquisition, and analysis

The stimulus was presented to the right ear of the animal using insert earphones (ER3C, Etymotic) similar to humans. Signal presentation and acquisition was done by a custom program for gerbils (LabView). The output from the insert earphones was calibrated using a Bruel Kjaer microphone and was found to be within ± 6 dB for the frequency range tested. Digitized waveforms were recorded with a multichannel recording and stimulation system (RZ-6, TDT) and analyzed with custom written programs in MATLAB (Mathworks).

Hearing thresholds were obtained using auditory brainstem responses presented to tone stimuli that were 5 ms long, with a 2.5 ms on and off ramp, at 27.1 repetitions per second. ABRs were filtered from 300Hz to 30000Hz, and thresholds were determined as the minimum sound level that produced a response as assessed using visual inspection by two blinded, trained observers.

EFRs were elicited to sinusoidally amplitude modulated (sAM) tones (5ms rise/fall, 250ms duration, 3.1 repetitions/s, alternating polarity) at a 3KHz carrier frequency presented 30dB above auditory thresholds obtained using ABRs at 3kHz. The modulation frequency was systematically varied from 16Hz to 1024Hz AM. Responses were amplified (×10,000; TDT Medusa 4z amplifier) and filtered (0.1–3 kHz). Trials in which the response amplitude exceeded 200μV were rejected; 250 artifact-free trials of each polarity were averaged to compute the EFR waveform. Fast Fourier transforms were performed on the averaged time– domain waveforms starting 10ms after stimulus onset to exclude ABRs and ending at stimulus offset using custom-written programs in MATLAB (MathWorks). The maximum amplitude of the FFT peak at 1 of 3 frequency bins (~3 Hz each) around the modulation frequency gave the peak FFT amplitude. This FFT amplitude at the modulation frequency of the AM frequency is reported as the EFR amplitude. The noise floor was calculated as the average of 5 frequency bins (\approx 3 Hz each) above and below the central three bins. A response was deemed as significantly above noise if the FFT amplitude was at least 6 dB above the noise floor.

Immunohistology

Animals were transcardially perfused using a 4% paraformaldehyde solution (Sigma-Aldrich, 441244) for approximately five minutes before decapitation and isolation of the right and left cochlea. Following intralabyrinthine perfusion with 4% paraformaldehyde, cochleas were stored in paraformaldehyde for one hour. Cochleae were decalcified in EDTA (Fisher Scientific, BP120500) for 3 to 5 days, followed by cryoprotection with sucrose (Fisher Scientific, D16500) and flash freezing. All chemicals were of reagent grade. Cochlea were thawed prior to dissection and dissected in PBS solution. Immunostaining was accomplished by incubation with the following primary antibodies: 1) mouse anti-CtBP2 (BD Biosciences) at 1:200, 2) mouse anti-GluA2 (Millipore) at 1:2000, 3) rabbit anti-myosin VIIa (Proteus Biosciences) at 1:200; followed by incubation with secondary antibodies coupled to AlexaFluors in the red, green, and blue channels. Piece lengths were measured and converted to cochlear frequency using established cochlear maps (93) and custom plugins in ImageJ. Cochlear stacks were obtained at the target frequency (3kHz) spanning the cuticular plate to the synaptic pole of ∼10 hair cells (in 0.25 μm z-steps). Images were collected in a 1024 × 1024 raster using a high-resolution, oil-immersion objective (x60) and 1.59x digital zoom using a Nikon A1 confocal microscope. Images were denoised in NIS elements and loaded into an image-processing software platform (Imaris; Oxford Instruments), where IHCs were quantified based on their Myosin VIIa-stained cell bodies and CtBP2-stained nuclei. Presynaptic ribbons and postsynaptic glutamate receptor patches were counted using 3D representations of each confocal z-stack. Juxtaposed ribbons and receptor puncta constitute a synapse, and these synaptic associations were determined using IMARIS workflows that calculated and displayed the x–y projection of the voxel space (12, 94).

Statistical analysis

Analysis of Variance (ANOVA)

Normality was first checked visually using Q-Q plots and statistically using Shapiro-Wilks test with alpha = 0.05. Homogeneity of variance was assessed using Levene's test. N-way ANOVAs were completed using R

2022.07.1 for each measure to determine statistically significant differences between groups (95). The package employed, aov(), uses treatment contrasts in which the first baseline level is compared to each of the following levels. The number of factors was determined based on the conditions tested in each measure. Bonferroni corrections were used to control familywise error rate due to multiple comparisons.

Correlations

Any outliers were detected using Tukey's Fence with a boundary distance of $k = 1.5$ and removed. Correlations were computed using Pearson's correlations. Degrees of freedom, r, and p values are reported.

Elastic Net Regression

We used an linear model with an elastic net penalization/regularization (48) to simultaneously estimate the underlying contributions of the various predictor variables measured in our studies, and perform model selection. The relative strength of selection and shrinkage is controlled by the hyper-parameters λ and α : a higher λ implies more stringent penalization pushing towards the null model and $0 \le \alpha \le 1$ controls the degree of convexity and hence the amount of sparsity with $\alpha = 0$ implying a Ridge regression with no variable selection. To choose the tuning parameters λ and α , we used a 10-fold cross-validation that minimizes the out-of-sample root mean-squared error (RMSE). We used the R packages *glmnet* (96)and *caret* (97) for training the elastic net regularizer.

Effects	DFn	Sum Sq	Mean Sq	F-value	<i>p</i> -value	
Frequency	6	5067	844	20.786	$< 0.01***$	
Ear		3	3	0.068	.8	
Group		5831	5813	143.521	$< 0.01***$	
Freq:Ear	6	85	14	0.349	9.	
Freq:Group	6	905	151	3.712	0	
Ear:Group			7	0.164	.7	
Freq:Ear:Group	6	234	39	0.961	$.5\,$	
Residuals	840	34125	41			

Table 1 *Comparison of air conduction thresholds using a 3-way ANOVA (MA = 37, YA = 35)*

Comparison of right ear distortion product otoacoustic emissions using a 2-way ANOVA (MA = 34, YA = 31)

Table 4 *Comparisons using 1-way ANOVAs*

Adjusted *p*-values are reported using Bonferroni correction.

p* < .05; **p* < .001

Table 5

Comparison of 22 week-old gerbil (n= 14) and 80 week-old gerbil (n = 12) EFRs using 2-way ANOVAs

p* < .05; **p* < .001

Table 6

Table 7

Comparison of synapse counts at 3000 Hz in 19 and 74 week-old gerbils using 1-way ANOVA

Measure	19wk (n)	74wk (n	DFn	DFd	F-Value	
Synapse Counts		--		16		.042 '
\mathbf{r} . An \mathbf{r} \mathbf{r} , And						

Comparison of QuickSIN performance using a 2-way ANOVA (MA = 34, YA = 31)

p < .05; ****p* < .001

Table 8

Table 9

Growth curve formula: *lmer*(*Pupil ~ (ot1 + ot2)*Group*SNR + (0 + ot1 + ot2 | participant) + (ot1 + ot2 | participant:SNR), control = lmerControl(optimizer = 'bobyqa'), REML = FALSE).* Orthogonal polynomial terms: ot1 = linear (slope); ot2 = quadratic (curvature).

Table 10

Fixed-effect estimates for model of pupillary responses from 0 to 3 seconds time-locked to QuickSIN target sentence offset to examine the effect of SNR and age group (observations = 63,184, groups: participant x SNR = 359, participant = 63)

Growth curve formula: *lmer(Pupil ~ (ot1 + ot2)*Group*SNR + (ot1 + ot2 | participant) + (ot1 + ot2 | participant:SNR), control = lmerControl(optimizer = 'bobyqa'), REML = FALSE).* Orthogonal polynomial terms: ot1 = linear (slope); ot2 = quadratic (curvature)