



Draft Genome Sequences of Nine *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* Isolates from a Freshwater Catchment Area in Hong Kong

A. C. Y. Cheung,^a  G. K. K. Lai,^a  S. D. J. Griffin,^a  F. C. C. Leung^a

^aShuyuan Molecular Biology Laboratory, The Independent Schools Foundation Academy, Hong Kong SAR, China

ABSTRACT *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* is a widely distributed, Gram-negative bacillus that is increasingly identified as a multidrug-resistant opportunistic pathogen of concern. Here, we report the draft genome sequences of nine strains that were isolated from a freshwater catchment area in Hong Kong, corresponding to four different monophyletic lineages within the species.

S*tentotrophomonas maltophilia* is a widely distributed, nonfermentative, Gram-negative bacillus that forms a complex of 23 monophyletic lineages, namely, Sm1 to Sm18 (including Sm4a and Sm4b) and Sgn1 to Sgn4 (1). An environmental species found in water (2) and soil (3) and frequently plant associated (4–6), it is considered a low-virulence pathogen (7). Nevertheless, it is responsible for some serious infections in hospitals, for example, among intensive care unit (ICU) patients (8, 9) and burn patients (10), and is the third most common source of secondary infection following severe and critical cases of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) (11). Of particular concern clinically is its multidrug resistance, including intrinsic resistance to carbapenems via an L1 and/or L2 metallo-β-lactamase (12–14). Contrasting with its pathogenicity in humans, *S. maltophilia* can promote plant growth (15) and has been proposed as an agricultural probiotic (16). Its resilience in challenging environments and its ability to degrade a wide range of substrates may also support a role in bioremediation (5, 17, 18). Gröschel et al. noted that, while its lineages are globally represented, they are not equal in their association with humans; for example, the authors found that Sm6 is most common among hospitalized patients, while strains within Sgn1 and Sgn2 appear entirely environmental (1).

Nine *S. maltophilia* strains were isolated during a survey of 10 sites within the catchment area of a freshwater stream in Telegraph Bay, Hong Kong. Aliquots (100 μl) of water samples collected at each site were initially spread on Luria agar containing ampicillin (100 μg/mL) and incubated at 27°C for 48 h. Resultant colonies were transferred to Luria agar containing amoxicillin-clavulanate (Augmentin) (100 μg/mL). Colonies resistant to both ampicillin and Augmentin were tested for resistance to cefepime (30 μg) and ertapenem (10 μg) (discs from Liofilchem). Colonies showing unrestricted growth in the presence of all of the β-lactam antibiotics tested were subsequently passaged eight times on standard Luria agar (19). Single colonies were then spread on Luria agar and incubated for 48 h before harvesting for DNA extraction (Qiagen DNeasy PowerSoil Pro kit). Paired-end short-read sequencing libraries were prepared using the NexteraXT DNA library preparation kit and sequenced via the Illumina MiSeq platform using v3 chemistry (2 × 300 bp). Adapter sequences were removed using Trimmomatic v0.32 (20), and reads were quality filtered and trimmed before assembly with Newbler v2.7 (Roche Diagnostics). Default parameters were used for all software unless otherwise specified. Draft sequences were submitted to NCBI PGAP v5.0 (21) and PATRIC (22) for annotation. Sequencing data and analysis results for all nine isolates are summarized in Table 1.

Using MinHash genomic distances (23) from representative strains characterized by Gröschel et al. (1), the nine strains were classified into lineages Sm3, Sm4a, Sm5 (3 isolates),

Editor Julia A. Maresca, University of Delaware

Copyright © 2022 Cheung et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.

Address correspondence to S. D. J. Griffin, sgriffin@isf.edu.hk, or F. C. C. Leung, fleung@isf.edu.hk.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Received 9 March 2022

Accepted 3 June 2022

Published 23 June 2022

TABLE 1 Sequencing data and genomic analysis results

Strain	GenBank accession no.	SRA accession no.	BioSample accession no.	Estimated genome size (Mbp) ^a	G+C content (%)	No. of contigs	N ₅₀ (bp)	Avg read length (bp)	Avg read coverage (x)	No. of sequencing reads	No. of protein-encoding genes	No. of tRNA genes 16S + 23S) ^b	No. of tRNA genes 16S + 23S)	No. of pseudogenes	No. of tRNA genes	Lineage ^c
ACYCa.1J	JAI0A0000000000	SRR15841080	SAMN21163372	5.4	66.47	89	112,705	259	44	916,233	4,169	4 + 1 + 1	68	42	Sm6	
ACYCb.1K	JAI0A0000000000	SRR15841079	SAMN21163373	5.1	66.55	49	152,546	267	41	794,343	3,908	2 + 1 + 1	69	30	Sm5	
ACYCa.2H	JAI0A0000000000	SRR15841078	SAMN21163374	5.3	66.55	119	126,128	259	62	1,279,259	4,097	2 + 1 + 1	67	32	Sm6	
ACYCc.3B	JAI0A0000000000	SRR15841077	SAMN21163375	5.2	66.82	80	102,700	271	30	589,756	4,046	2 + 1 + 1	68	40	Sm4a	
ACYCa.6F	JAI0A0000000000	SRR15841076	SAMN21163376	5.5	66.29	117	96,532	273	38	782,889	4,238	2 + 1 + 1	67	46	Sm6	
ACYCb.6H	JAI0A0000000000	SRR15841075	SAMN21163377	5.0	66.52	48	186,150	261	57	1,096,649	3,918	4 + 1 + 1	67	34	Sm5	
ACYCe.8N	JAI0A0000000000	SRR15841074	SAMN21163378	5.5	66.37	107	122,820	262	51	1,075,603	4,170	2 + 1 + 1	67	34	Sm6	
ACYCd.9D	JAI0A0000000000	SRR15841073	SAMN21163379	5.4	66.55	70	205,490	258	47	996,651	3,916	2 + 1 + 1	67	41	Sm3	
ACYCb.10K	JAI0A0000000000	SRR15841072	SAMN21163380	5.4	66.10	55	223,385	262	71	1,466,929	4,189	2 + 1 + 1	68	44	Sm5	

^a By Newbler v2.7.^b Found complete by NCBI PGAP.^c Determined by MinHash genomic distances (23) from representative strains (1).

and Sm6 (4 isolates). All isolates carry the L1 metallo- β -lactamase, as well as *sul4* (24). ACYCe.8N also carries *sul2*, *katG* (25), and *catB11* (26–28).

Data availability. The GenBank, Sequence Read Archive (SRA), and BioSample accession numbers of all nine isolates are listed in Table 1 and may also be accessed under NCBI BioProject accession number [PRJNA759338](#).

REFERENCES

- Gröschel Ml, Meehan CJ, Barilar I, Diricks M, Gonzaga A, Steglich M, Conchillo-Solé O, Scherer I-C, Mamat U, Luz CF, De Bruyne K, Utpatel C, Yero D, Gibert I, Daura X, Kampmeier S, Rahman NA, Kresken M, van der Werf TS, Alio I, Streit WR, Zhou K, Schwartz T, Rossen JWA, Farhat MR, Schaible UE, Nübel U, Rupp J, Steinmann J, Niemann S, Kohl TA. 2020. The phylogenetic landscape and nosocomial spread of the multidrug-resistant opportunist *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*. *Nat Commun* 11:2044. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-15123-0>.
- Adjidé CC, De Meyer A, Weyer M, Obin O, Lamory F, Lesueur C, Trouillet L, Biendo M, Eb F, Ganry O. 2010. Evaluation des risques microbiologiques hydriques associés à *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* et *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* au CHU d'Amiens. *Pathol-Biol* 58:e1–e5. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.patbio.2009.07.006>.
- Deredjian A, Alliot N, Blanchard L, Brothier E, Anane M, Cambier P, Jolivet C, Khelil MN, Nazaret S, Saby N, Thioulouse J, Favre-Bonté S. 2016. Occurrence of *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* in agricultural soils and antibiotic resistance properties. *Res Microbiol* 167:313–324. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resmic.2016.01.001>.
- Ulrich K, Kube M, Becker R, Schneck V, Ulrich A. 2021. Genomic analysis of the endophytic *Stenotrophomonas* strain 169 reveals features related to plant-growth promotion and stress tolerance. *Front Microbiol* 12:687463. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.687463>.
- Dubey KK, Fulekar MH. 2012. Chlorpyrifos bioremediation in *Pennisetum* rhizosphere by a novel potential degrader *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* MHF ENV20. *World J Microbiol Biotechnol* 28:1715–1725. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11274-011-0982-1>.
- Berg G, Marten P, Ballin G. 1996. *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* in the rhizosphere of oilseed rape: occurrence, characterization and interaction with phytopathogenic fungi. *Microbiol Res* 151:19–27. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0944-5013\(96\)80051-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0944-5013(96)80051-6).
- Brooke JS. 2021. Advances in the microbiology of *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 34:e0003019. <https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.00030-19>.
- Guyot A, Turton JF, Garner D. 2013. Outbreak of *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* on an intensive care unit. *J Hosp Infect* 85:303–307. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2013.09.007>.
- Nseir S, Di Pompeo C, Brisson H, Dewavrin F, Tissier S, Diarra M, Boulo M, Durocher A. 2006. Intensive care unit-acquired *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*: incidence, risk factors, and outcome. *Crit Care* 10:R143. <https://doi.org/10.1186/cc5063>.
- Tsai WP, Chen CL, Ko WC, Pan SC. 2006. *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* bacteremia in burn patients. *Burns* 32:155–158. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.burns.2005.08.016>.
- Sang L, Xi Y, Lin Z, Pan Y, Song B, Li CA, Zheng X, Zhong M, Jiang L, Pan C, Zhang W, Lv Z, Xia J, Chen N, Wu W, Xu Y, Chen S, Liu D, Liang W, Liu X, Liu X, Li S, Zhong N, Ye D, Xu Y, Zhang N, Zhang D, Li Y. 2021. Secondary infection in severe and critical COVID-19 patients in China: a multicenter retrospective study. *Ann Palliat Med* 10:8557–8570. <https://doi.org/10.21037/apm-21-833>.
- Sánchez MB. 2015. Antibiotic resistance in the opportunistic pathogen *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*. *Front Microbiol* 6:658. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2015.00658>.
- Chang YT, Lin CY, Chen YH, Hsueh PR. 2015. Update on infections caused by *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* with particular attention to resistance mechanisms and therapeutic options. *Front Microbiol* 6:893. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2015.00893>.
- Han L, Zhang RM, Jia L, Bai SC, Liu XW, Wei R, Cui CY, Sun RY, Wang MG, Cai DT, Liao XP, Liu YH, Sun J. 2020. Diversity of L1/L2 genes and molecular epidemiology of high-level carbapenem resistance *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* isolates from animal production environment in China. *Infect Genet Evol* 86:104531. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meegid.2020.104531>.
- Alexander A, Singh VK, Mishra A, Jha B. 2019. Plant growth promoting rhizobacterium *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* BJ01 augments endurance against N₂ starvation by modulating physiology and biochemical activities of *Arachis hypogaea*. *PLoS One* 14:e0222405. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0222405>.
- Nevita T, Sharma GD, Pandey P. 2018. Composting of rice-residues using lignocellulolytic plant-probiotic *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*, and its evaluation for growth enhancement of *Oryza sativa* L. *Environ Sustain* 1:185–196. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42398-018-0017-z>.
- Mukherjee P, Roy P. 2016. Genomic potential of *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* in bioremediation with an assessment of its multifaceted role in our environment. *Front Microbiol* 7:967. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.00967>.
- Ryan RP, Monchy S, Cardinale M, Taghavi S, Crossman L, Avison MB, Berg G, van der Lelie D, Dow JM. 2009. The versatility and adaptation of bacteria from the genus *Stenotrophomonas*. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 7:514–525. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro2163>.
- MacWilliams MP, Liao M-K. 2006. Luria broth (LB) and Luria agar (LA) media and their uses. <https://asm.org/Protocols/Luria-Broth-LB-and-Luria-Agar-LA-Media-and-Their-U>.
- Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. 2014. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. *Bioinformatics* 30:2114–2120. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu170>.
- Haft DH, DiCuccio M, Badretdin A, Brover V, Chetvernin V, O'Neill K, Li W, Chitsaz F, Derbyshire MK, Gonzales NR, Gwadz M, Lu F, Marchler GH, Song JS, Thanki N, Yamashita RA, Zheng C, Thibaud-Nissen F, Geer LY, Marchler-Bauer A, Pruitt KD. 2018. RefSeq: an update on prokaryotic genome annotation and curation. *Nucleic Acids Res* 46:D851–D860. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkx1068>.
- Brettin T, Davis JJ, Disz T, Edwards RA, Gerdes S, Olsen GJ, Olson R, Overbeek R, Parrello B, Pusch GD, Shukla M, Thomason JA, III, Stevens R, Vonstein V, Wattam AR, Xia F. 2015. RASTtk: a modular and extensible implementation of the RAST algorithm for building custom annotation pipelines and annotating batches of genomes. *Sci Rep* 5:8365. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep08365>.
- Ondov BD, Treangen TJ, Melsted P, Mallonee AB, Bergman NH, Koren S, Phillippe AM. 2016. Mash: fast genome and metagenome distance estimation using Min-Hash. *Genome Biol* 17:132. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-016-0997-x>.
- Razavi M, Marathe NP, Gillings MR, Flach CF, Kristiansson E, Larsson DGJ. 2017. Discovery of the fourth mobile sulfonamide resistance gene. *Microbiome* 5:160. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-017-0379-y>.
- Tondo ML, Delprato ML, Kraiselburg I, Fernández Zenoff MV, Farías ME, Orellano EG. 2016. KatG, the bifunctional catalase of *Xanthomonas citri* subsp. *citri*, responds to hydrogen peroxide and contributes to epiphytic survival on citrus leaves. *PLoS One* 11:e0151657. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0151657>.
- Ghafoori SM, Robles AM, Arada AM, Shirmast P, Dranow DM, Mayclin SJ, Lorimer DD, Myler PJ, Edwards TE, Kuhn ML, Forwood JK. 2021. Structural characterization of a type B chloramphenicol acetyltransferase from the emerging pathogen *Elizabethkingia anophelis* NUHP1. *Sci Rep* 11:9453. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-88672-z>.
- Schwarz S, Kehrenberg C, Doublet B, Cloeckaert A. 2004. Molecular basis of bacterial resistance to chloramphenicol and florfenicol. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* 28:519–542. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.femsre.2004.04.001>.
- McArthur A, Waglechner N, Nizam F, Yan A, Azad M, Baylay A, Bhullar K, Canova M, De Pascale G, Ejim L, Kalan L, King A, Koteva K, Morar M, Mulvey M, O'Brien J, Pawlowski A, Piddock L, Spanogiannopoulos P, Sutherland A, Tang I, Taylor P, Thaker M, Wang W, Yan M, Yu T, Wright G. 2013. The Comprehensive Antibiotic Resistance Database. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 57:3348–3357. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00419-13>.