

A First Draft of the History of Treating Coronavirus Disease 2019: Use of Repurposed Medications in United States Hospitals

Cornelius J. Clancy and M. Hong Nguyen

Division of Infectious Diseases, Department of Medicine, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA

Keywords. corticosteroids; COVID-19; hydroxychloroquine; repurposed medications; SARS-CoV-2.

Severe acute respiratory syndrome-coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2), the etiologic agent of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), emerged in China in late 2019 and quickly caused a pandemic [1]. In March and April 2020, patients with COVID-19 overwhelmed hospitals in US hotspots. Lacking specific treatment options, clinicians often turned to existing medications that might inhibit SARS-CoV-2 replication or attenuate deleterious immune responses. Medications repurposed against COVID-19 early in the pandemic included agents with *in vitro* antiviral activity such as hydroxychloroquine, azithromycin and remdesivir, and immunomodulators such as corticosteroids and interleukin-6 inhibitors (tocilizumab, sarilumab). Clinicians faced competing pressures to “do something” for hospitalized COVID-19 patients, even if that entailed administering medications of unproven utility,

and to “learn something” about which treatments were efficacious and safe through clinical trials [2]. As 2020 closes, Kadri et al [3] have analyzed the use of repurposed medications in March through May among patients with COVID-19 in US hospitals. Their paper and other recent studies provide a first draft of the history of treating COVID-19 during the chaotic initial months of the pandemic [4, 5].

Using the Premier Healthcare database, which covers ~20% of US hospitalizations, Kadri et al [3] found that ~60% and ~75% of adults with COVID-19 were treated with hydroxychloroquine and azithromycin in March 2020, respectively (Table 1). Over the next 2 months, the proportion of COVID-19 inpatients receiving the respective agents decreased by ~80% and ~50%. By May, only ~12% of inpatients were treated with hydroxychloroquine [3], and much residual in-hospital azithromycin use in COVID-19 patients was likely as an empiric antibacterial [6]. The COVID-19-Associated Hospitalization Surveillance Network (COVID-NET) reported similar trends in hydroxychloroquine and azithromycin use at participating hospitals in 13 states, and that the proportion of hydroxychloroquine-treated inpatients fell further in June [4]. Other investigators using the IQVIA National Prescription Audit database determined that outpatient hydroxychloroquine

prescriptions also decreased by ~80% between March and June [5]. In contrast, estimated percentages of hospitalized COVID-19 patients treated with remdesivir in COVID-NET increased from ~2% and ~4% in March and April, respectively, to ~30% in May and ~34% in June. By Kadri et al’s [3] assessment, corticosteroids were administered to 21.5% of inpatients with COVID-19 from March through May, during which time the proportion of inpatients receiving these agents increased by >80%; almost two thirds of mechanically ventilated patients received corticosteroids over this period. Tocilizumab use was documented in only ~5%–6% of SARS-CoV-2-infected inpatients over the 3 months [3]. In June, the percentage of hospitalized patients treated with tocilizumab dropped [4]. Corticosteroids and tocilizumab were administered for a median of 2 hospital days earlier in May versus March [3].

Databases and methodologies used in the studies above had relative strengths, weaknesses, and potential biases that were acknowledged by the authors. Percentages of adult inpatients treated with various agents were higher each month in Kadri et al’s [3] analysis, which identified cases by diagnosis codes, than in COVID-NET, which relied upon medical chart reviews of patients with laboratory-confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infections. Both databases are convenience samples from subsets of hospitals, and COVID-19 cases may not be

Received 2 December 2020; editorial decision 5 December 2020; accepted 9 December 2020.

Correspondence: Cornelius J. Clancy, MD, 867 Scaife Hall, 3550 Terrace Street, Pittsburgh, PA 15203 (cjc76@pitt.edu).

Open Forum Infectious Diseases® 2020

© The Author(s) 2020. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of Infectious Diseases Society of America. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs licence (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>), which permits non-commercial reproduction and distribution of the work, in any medium, provided the original work is not altered or transformed in any way, and that the work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com
DOI: 10.1093/ofid/ofaa617

fully representative of the US experience. Premier does not provide information on indication for drug use. Completeness of COVID-NET data abstraction varied by site and month, although findings were similar upon sensitivity analyses of the most comprehensive datasets. Despite shortcomings and inconsistencies between studies, the findings convey a coherent narrative of evolving real-world treatment of COVID-19 in US hospitals, and they confirm and challenge popular perceptions about use of repurposed medications (Table 1).

As generally recognized at the time [13, 14], hydroxychloroquine and azithromycin were prescribed liberally by US clinicians in the first months of the pandemic. These practices were likely fueled by poor outcomes among critically ill COVID-19 patients, lack of validated therapeutic options, clinician desperation, pressure from patients and families, poor science and low-quality data, press releases and nonpeer-reviewed preprints of laboratory research and clinical studies, uninformed opinions of public figures, a controversial Emergency Use

Authorization of hydroxychloroquine, group thinking, and “what do you have to lose?” mindsets [3, 13, 15]. In retrospect, it may be surprising to realize how quickly and dramatically most clinicians abandoned hydroxychloroquine and azithromycin, as reports emerged of unfavorable data for the drugs and favorable findings for other agents. Uptake of remdesivir increased immediately after a April 29, 2020 press release and White House announcement touting shortened hospital stays of patients with hypoxia not requiring mechanical ventilation in the

Table 1. Use of Medications Repurposed for COVID-19 in United States Hospitals, March–June 2020^a

Agent	Percentage of Hospitalized Patients Treated With Each Agent, March–May 2020				Potential Explanations for Patterns of Use and Accompanying Comments ^b
	Overall	By Month		Change (May vs March)	
		March	May		
HCO	46%	60% ^c MV: 80% No MV: 57%	12% ^c MV: 17% No MV: 11%	–80% ^c MV: –79% No MV: –81%	HCO repurposed in past for use vs other viral infections. February–March: in vitro data vs SARS-CoV-2; nonrandomized COVID-19 studies reported improved clinical status and viral loads; no validated alternative treatment options. March 28, 2020: FDA issued EUA. May: Reports of HCO clinical ineffectiveness and no impact on viral load. Prominent reports of excess mortality and toxicity with HCO published May 22, 2020 were retracted June 5, 2020 [7, 8]. June 15, 2020: EUA revoked. Further 65% reduction in use from May to June [4].
Azithromycin	51.5%	75% ^c MV: 83% No MV: 72%	40% ^c MV: 41% No MV: 39%	–47% ^c MV: –51% No MV: –46%	Azithro postulated to decrease viral entry into cells and enhance antiviral immune responses [9]. March: Azithro reported to decrease viral load in combination with HCO; no alternative validated treatment options. May: Reports of no improvements in mortality or intubation rates with azithro. June: Azithro use still reduced compared to March–April, but not significantly changed compared to May [4]. Residual use was likely to be largely as empiric treatment of bacterial respiratory tract infections [6].
Remdesivir	N/A	2% ^d	30% ^d	+1150% ^d	Remdesivir, an RNA-dependent, RNA polymerase inhibitor with in vitro activity against SARS-CoV-1 and Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus, shown to also inhibit SARS-CoV-2 in vitro [10]. April 29, 2020: Press release and White House announcement of ACTT-1 data showing possible shortening of hospitalizations with remdesivir. Dr. Fauci called remdesivir “standard of care” [11]. June: Use increased by 13% compared to May [4].
Corticosteroids	21.5%	16% ^c MV: 50% No MV: 12%	29% ^c MV: 69% No MV: 26%	+82% ^c MV: +37% No MV: +116%	March: Guidelines did not endorse routine corticosteroid treatment. April: IDSA guidelines conditionally suggested corticosteroids for severe COVID-19. June 16, 2020: RECOVERY trial press release reported mortality benefit of dexamethasone in patients requiring respiratory support [12].
Tocilizumab	6%	5% ^c MV: 19% No MV: 1%	6% ^c MV: 21% No MV: 3%	N/S MV: N/S No MV: N/S	March: Patient improvement and increased survival with tocilizumab reported in small, single-arm trial. Phase II/III studies initiated. June: Use of tocilizumab and other IL-6 inhibitors significantly reduced compared to March–May [4].

Abbreviations: ACTT, Adaptive COVID-19 Treatment Trial; Azithro, azithromycin; COVID-19, novel coronavirus disease 2019; FDA, US Food and Drug Administration; EUA, Emergency Use Authorization; HCO, hydroxychloroquine; IDSA, Infectious Diseases Society of America; IL, interleukin; MV, mechanical ventilation; N/A, not available; N/S, not significant; RNA, ribonucleic acid; SARS-CoV-2, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2.

^aData are taken from [3, 4].

^bEvents and dates are referenced in [3] unless otherwise noted.

^cEstimated using data from [3].

^dEstimated using data from [4].

ACTT-1 trial [10]. Although remdesivir was not beneficial in other studies, the agent became standard of care at many hospitals [11].

On June 16, 2020, a press release announced that dexamethasone reduced mortality among patients with COVID-19 requiring respiratory support in the RECOVERY trial [3, 12]. Even before this news, it is striking in Kadri et al's [3] study how widely corticosteroids were administered to mechanically ventilated patients. As such, higher survival among hospitalized COVID-19 patients in summer–fall 2020 likely reflected a combination of factors such as improved critical care management, reduced stress on healthcare systems and workers, and changing patient demographics, rather than being predominantly attributable to greater corticosteroid use [16, 17]. Use of tocilizumab, a more expensive drug than dexamethasone, was low in March–May, and decreased thereafter as RECOVERY results appeared in absence of evidence for benefit of interleukin-6 inhibitors. Taken together, the data paint a mixed picture. Of concern, widespread in-hospital use of hydroxychloroquine, azithromycin, and corticosteroids occurred early in the pandemic despite a lack of rigorous clinical data. However, clinicians adapted practices quickly as data were reported, unlike the often languid pace at which antibiotic prescribing responds to new clinical information [18–20].

What were the consequences of these behaviors? On balance, treated patients were likely neither helped nor harmed by hydroxychloroquine and azithromycin. Widespread corticosteroid use in mechanically ventilated patients may have fortuitously saved some lives early in the pandemic, particularly because clinicians moved to more timely treatment [3]. At the same time, misdirected corticosteroid administration may have had untoward effects. On a societal level, use of unproven medications or refusals to consider hydroxychloroquine due to unvalidated claims of excess toxicity delayed

enrollment of clinical trials that might definitively identify beneficial or harmful regimens [14, 15]. Furthermore, demand for hydroxychloroquine may have reduced access for patients taking the drug for rheumatologic diseases [21], and excess azithromycin prescribing ran the risk of promoting bacterial resistance [22, 23]. The net impact of events on COVID-19 clinical outcomes, public health, and global economies requires further study. Ultimately, impact may be mitigated by the likelihood that no COVID-19 treatment is a magic bullet. In the end, we may have gotten lucky that extensive use of repurposed medications did not cause more harm.

Despite challenges posed by prescribing of repurposed medications, scale of the pandemic, and the uncoordinated, inefficient manner in which many studies were launched, COVID-19 treatment, prophylaxis, and vaccine trials were completed with unprecedented speed [24]. Indeed, the success of innovative multicenter studies, including several randomized trials with adaptive platform designs, may prove to be among the most positive scientific legacies of the pandemic [12, 25, 26]. Clinical trials that exploit international collaboration, social media, cloud computing, and electronic health records, simultaneously randomize to several treatment options, quickly discontinue poorly performing therapies, and leverage common enrollment and data management systems can spare clinicians untenable choices between “doing” or “learning,” offering instead opportunities to “learn while doing” [2]. Building upon lessons of COVID-19, priority should be given to proactively supporting global networks that can expeditiously design, co-ordinate, and conduct clinical trials during future pandemics.

Acknowledgments

Potential conflicts of interest. C. J. C. has been awarded investigator-initiated research grants from Astellas, Merck, Melinta, and Cidara for studies unrelated to this project, served on advisory boards or consulted for Astellas, Merck, the Medicines Company, Cidara, Scynexis, Shionogi, Qpex, and Needham & Company, and spoken at

symposia sponsored by Merck and T2Biosystems. M. H. N. has been awarded investigator-initiated research grants from Astellas, Merck, Scynexis, Pulmocide, and Cidara for projects unrelated to this study and served on advisory boards for Astellas, Merck, the Medicines Company, Scynexis, and Shionogi. All authors have submitted the ICMJE Form for Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest. Conflicts that the editors consider relevant to the content of the manuscript have been disclosed.

References

1. Li Q, Guan X, Wu P, et al. Early transmission dynamics in Wuhan, China, of novel coronavirus-infected pneumonia. *N Engl J Med* 2020; 382:1199–207.
2. Angus DC. Optimizing the trade-off between learning and doing in a pandemic. *JAMA* 2020; 323:1895–6.
3. Kadri SS, Demirkale CY, Sun J, et al. Real-world inpatient use of medications repurposed for COVID-19 in US hospitals, March–May 2020. *Open Forum Infect Dis* 2020. doi: 10.1093/ofid/ofaa616
4. Acosta AM, Mathis AL, Budnitz DS, et al. COVID-19 investigational treatments in use among hospitalized patients identified through the US coronavirus disease 2019-associated hospitalization surveillance network, March 1–June 30, 2020. *Open Forum Infect Dis* 2020; 7:ofaa528.
5. Bull-Otterson L, Gray EB, Budnitz DS, et al. Hydroxychloroquine and chloroquine prescribing patterns by provider specialty following initial reports of potential benefit for COVID-19 treatment—United States, January–June 2020. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2020; 69:1210–5.
6. Buehrle DJ, Decker BK, Wagener MM, et al. Antibiotic consumption and stewardship at a hospital outside of an early Coronavirus disease 2019 epicentre. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2020. doi: 10.1128/AAC.01011-20
7. Mehra MR, Desai SS, Kuy S, et al. Retraction: cardiovascular disease, drug therapy, and mortality in Covid-19. *N Engl J Med* 2020; 382:2582.
8. Mehra MR, Ruschitzka F, Patel AN. Retraction: Hydroxychloroquine or chloroquine with or without a macrolide for treatment of COVID-19: a multinational registry analysis. *Lancet* 2020; 395:1820.
9. Bleyzac N, Goutelle S, Bourguignon L, Tod M. Azithromycin for COVID-19: more than just an antimicrobial? *Clin Drug Investig* 2020; 40:683–6.
10. Beigel JH, Tomashek KM, Dodd LE, et al.; ACTT-1 Study Group Members. Remdesivir for the treatment of Covid-19—final report. *N Engl J Med* 2020; 383:1813–26.
11. Lovelace B. Dr. Anthony Fauci says Gilead's remdesivir will set a new “standard of care” for coronavirus treatment [Published online 1 May 2020]. *CNBC* 2020. Available at: <https://www.cnbc.com/2020/05/01/gilead-gets-emergency-fda-authorization-for-remdesivir-to-treat-coronavirus-trump-says.html>. Accessed 12 December 2020.
12. The RECOVERY Collaborative Group, Horby P, Lim WS, et al. Dexamethasone in hospitalized patients with Covid-19—preliminary report. *N Engl J Med* 2020. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2021436
13. Rucker P, Costa R, McGinley L. “What do you have to lose?": Inside Trump's embrace of a risky drug against coronavirus. *The Washington Post* 2020. Available at: <https://www.washingtonpost.com/politics/what-do-you-have-to-lose-inside...> Accessed 12 December 2020.

14. Rogers A. The info war over chloroquine has slowed Covid-19 science. *Wired* 2020. Available at: <https://www.wired.com/story/the-info-war-over-chloroquine-has-slowed-covid-19-science/>. Accessed 12 December 2020.
15. Rogers A. The strange and twisted tale of hydroxychloroquine. *Wired* 2020. Available at: <https://www.wired.com/story/hydroxychloroquine-covid-19-strange-twisted-tale/>. Accessed 12 December 2020.
16. Vahidy FS, Drews AL, Masud FN, et al. Characteristics and outcomes of COVID-19 patients during initial peak and resurgence in the Houston metropolitan area. *JAMA* 2020; 324:998–1000.
17. Horwitz LI, Jones SA, Cerfolio RJ, et al. Trends in COVID-19 risk-adjusted mortality rates. *J Hosp Med* 2020. doi: [10.12788/jhm.3552](https://doi.org/10.12788/jhm.3552)
18. Clancy CJ, Buehrle D, Vu M, et al. Impact of revised for Healthcare Epidemiology of America clinical practice guidelines on the treatment of *Clostridium difficile* infections in the United States. *Clin Infect Dis* 2020. doi: [10.1093/cid/ciaa484](https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciaa484)
19. Clancy CJ, Potoski BA, Buehrle D, Nguyen MH. Estimating the treatment of carbapenem-resistant enterobacteriaceae infections in the United States using antibiotic prescription data. *Open Forum Infect Dis* 2019; 6:ofz344.
20. Satlin MJ. Languid uptake of ceftazidime-avibactam for carbapenem-resistant Gram-negative infections and continued reliance on polymyxins. *Clin Infect Dis* 2020. doi: [10.1093/cid/ciaa065](https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciaa065)
21. Yazdany J, Kim AHJ. Use of hydroxychloroquine and chloroquine during the COVID-19 pandemic: what every clinician should know. *Ann Intern Med* 2020; 172:754–5.
22. Clancy CJ, Buehrle DJ, Nguyen MH. PRO: the COVID-19 pandemic will result in increased antimicrobial resistance rates. *JAC-Antimicrob Resist* 2020; 2. doi: [10.1093/jacamr/dlaa049](https://doi.org/10.1093/jacamr/dlaa049)
23. Doan T, Worden L, Hinterwirth A, et al. Macrolide and nonmacrolide resistance with mass azithromycin distribution. *N Engl J Med* 2020; 383:1941–50.
24. Janiaud P, Axfors C, Van't Hoof J, et al. The worldwide clinical trial research response to the COVID-19 pandemic—the first 100 days. *F1000Res* 2020; 9:1193.
25. Boulware DR, Pullen MF, Bangdiwala AS, et al. A randomized trial of hydroxychloroquine as postexposure prophylaxis for Covid-19. *N Engl J Med* 2020; 383:517–25.
26. Angus DC, Derde L, Al-Beidh F, et al.; Writing Committee for the REMAP-CAP Investigators. Effect of hydrocortisone on mortality and organ support in patients with severe COVID-19. *JAMA* 2020; 324:1317–29.