### Heliyon 10 (2024) e32751

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

# Heliyon



journal homepage: www.cell.com/heliyon

Research article

# Role of bronchoscopy in the management of patients with suspected or suffering from ventilator-associated pneumonia: A meta-analysis

Fei Tang <sup>a</sup>, Feng Zhu <sup>b</sup>, Yueming Wang <sup>a</sup>, Xiankui Zha <sup>a</sup>, Liping Lyu <sup>a</sup>, Dongchun Ma <sup>b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Interventional Pulmonology and Endoscopic Diagnosis and Treatment Center, Anhui Chest Hospital, Hefei, 230031, China
<sup>b</sup> Department of Thoracic Surgery, Anhui Chest Hospital, Hefei, 230031, China

#### ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Ventilator-associated pneumonia Fiberoptic bronchoscopy Bronchoalveolar lavage Treatment Meta-analysis

#### ABSTRACT

*Background:* The utility of bronchoscopy in the treatment of patients with ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP) has been proposed, although prior research has yielded inconclusive findings. This systematic review and meta-analysis were conducted to examine the impact of bronchoscopy on mortality rates, duration of mechanical ventilation (MV), and length of stay in the intensive care unit (ICU) among patients with VAP.

*Methods*: Relevant randomized controlled trials (RCTs) and cohort studies were acquired by conducting a comprehensive search in the PubMed, Embase, and Cochrane Library databases. To account for the potential heterogeneity, a random-effects model was utilized to combine the findings and incorporate its potential influence.

*Results*: Eight RCTs and three cohort studies, including 3907 patients with highly suspected or clinically diagnosed VAP, were included. Compared to the controls, bronchoscopy use was not associated with a significant effect on all-cause mortality (relative risk [RR]: 0.81, 95 % confidence interval [CI]: 0.62 to 1.05, p = 0.12;  $I^2 = 57$  %). Subgroup analysis showed that bronchoscopy used for the microbiological diagnosis of VAP was not associated with reduced mortality (RR: 0.92, 95 % CI: 0.75 to 1.13), while therapeutic bronchoscopy use was associated with significantly reduced mortality (RR: 0.53, 95 % CI: 0.35 to 0.81). The duration of MV or length of ICU stay was not significantly different between groups.

*Conclusions:* Bronchoscopy use for the purpose of the microbiological diagnosis of VAP did not reduce short-term mortality compared to diagnosis without bronchoscopy use, while therapeutic bronchoscopy use was associated with reduced mortality in these patients.

# 1. Introduction

Ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP) is a form of hospital-acquired pneumonia occurring in patients who have been on mechanical ventilation (MV) for at least 48 h [1,2]. It is a common infection among ventilated patients, with rates varying from 5 % to 40 % depending on clinical factors [3]. VAP increases the mortality rate, lengthens the intensive care unit (ICU) stay, and raises the

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e32751

Received 20 November 2023; Received in revised form 22 April 2024; Accepted 7 June 2024

Available online 8 June 2024



<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Department of Thoracic Surgery, Anhui Chest Hospital, No. 397 Jixi Road, Hefei, 230031, China. *E-mail address:* madongchun1967@126.com (D. Ma).

 $<sup>2405-8440/ \</sup>Circ 2024 \ \ Published \ \ by \ \ Elsevier \ \ Ltd. \ \ \ This \ \ is \ \ an \ \ open \ \ access \ \ article \ \ under \ the \ \ CC \ \ BY-NC-ND \ \ license \ \ (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).$ 

healthcare costs of patients [4,5]. Risk factors include age, comorbidities, immunocompromised status, respiratory weakness, and male gender [4,6]. Treatment options for VAP are currently limited despite its severe consequences [7].

Bronchoscopy is a widely utilized procedure that effectively eliminates contaminants and foreign substances from the respiratory tract while also facilitating the acquisition of pulmonary tissue samples for diagnostic purposes [8]. The timely implementation of bronchoscopy within 24 h of intubation has been demonstrated to enhance the survival rates of individuals with aspiration pneumonia [9]. Furthermore, the combined utilization of bronchoscopy and bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) enables the identification of causative pathogens in patients suffering from aspiration-induced lung injury, subsequently enabling the removal of persistent sputum from the airways in cases of refractory pulmonary infection [10,11]. Given the crucial role of microbiological diagnosis [12] and effective airway management [13] in the successful treatment of VAP, there has been a suggestion that the inclusion of bronchoscopy in VAP management could potentially benefit the prognosis of patients [10]. Consequently, the objective of this study was to conduct a comprehensive systematic review and meta-analysis to examine the impact of bronchoscopy utilization on mortality rates, duration of MV, and length of ICU stay among individuals diagnosed with VAP.

# 2. Materials and methods

During the design and implementation of this study, we followed the guidelines set out by PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) [14,15] and the Cochrane Handbook [16].

#### 2.1. Study inclusion and exclusion criteria

This meta-analysis included studies that met the inclusion criteria specified by the PICOS principle:

P (patients): Studies involving patients on invasive MV and with clinically suspected or diagnosed VAP;

I (intervention): Studies that included a treatment (intervention) group involving the use of bronchoscopy. Generally, bronchoscopy is used for the microbiological diagnosis of VAP with bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) to guide the antibiotic regimen, therapeutic purpose of fiberoptic bronchoscopy (FOB)-assisted sputum suction, or both;

C (control): Studies that included a control group without the use of bronchoscopy during treatment;

O (outcomes): Studies in which at least one of the following outcomes was reported: comparison of all-cause mortality during hospitalization between patients with and without the use of bronchoscopy, and differences in MV time or ICU stay between groups;

S (study design): Randomized controlled trials (RCTs) or cohort studies published as full-length articles in the English language. Excluded from the analysis were studies that focused on patient populations other than those with VAP, studies that included patients undergoing noninvasive mechanical ventilation, single-arm studies lacking a control group of patients who did not receive bronchoscopy as part of their treatment, and studies that did not report the relevant outcomes of interest. We chose the study with the largest sample size for the meta-analysis when the patient populations overlapped between studies.

#### 2.2. Literature search

The Medline (PubMed), Embase (Ovid), and CENTER (Cochrane Library) databases were searched using the following terms: (1) "bronchoscope" OR "bronchoscopic" OR "bronchoscopy"; (2) "ventilator" OR "ventilation"; and (3) "pneumonia" to identify relevant studies. Only studies that included human subjects and were published as full-length articles in peer-reviewed journals were considered. The detailed search strategy for each database and the exact number of retrieved articles are shown in Supplemental File 1. Additionally, references to related reviews and original articles were screened as part of the final database search. The final database search was conducted on September 10, 2023.

#### 2.3. Data collection and quality evaluation

Two authors independently conducted the database searches, and performed the data collection, and quality assessment. In the event of disagreements, discussions were held with the corresponding author. The data collected encompassed various aspects, including overall study information (such as first author, publication year, and study country), study design (randomized controlled trials or cohort studies), patient information (diagnosis, number of patients, mean age, and sex), details of bronchoscopy utilization in treatment, control details, duration of follow-up, reported outcomes, and matched or adjusted variables. The quality of the included randomized controlled trials (RCTs) was assessed using the Cochrane Risk of Bias Tool [16]. This tool enables evaluating various aspects, such as random-sequence generation, allocation concealment, blinding of participants and outcome assessment, addressing incomplete outcome data, selective reporting, and addressing other sources of bias. For cohort studies, the Newcastle–Ottawa Scale (NOS) [17] was employed to score the studies based on the participant selection, comparability of groups, and validity of outcomes. The NOS scoring system consists of nine stars, with a higher number indicating higher quality.

### 2.4. Statistical analysis

The outcomes of the discontinuous variables were summarized as a risk ratio (RR) and the corresponding 95 % confidence interval (CI), while the outcomes of the continuous variables were presented as the mean difference (MD) and 95 % CI. The RR data and the corresponding standard error (SE) were computed using either the 95 % CI or *p* value, and then subjected to logarithmic transformation

to stabilize the variance and normalize distribution [16]. Heterogeneity was assessed using the Cochrane Q test [16]. The I<sup>2</sup> statistic was also calculated, with I<sup>2</sup> > 50 % indicating significant heterogeneity [18]. A random-effects model was used to pool the results, because this model could incorporate the potential influence of heterogeneity [16]. Analysis of the predefined subgroups was conducted to evaluate the study characteristics on the outcomes, such as the study design (RCTs or cohort studies), details of bronchoscopy use (only for microbiological diagnosis or involving therapeutic use), and follow-up duration. An evaluation of the publication bias was conducted via a visual inspection using funnel plots and by performing Egger's regression asymmetry test [19]. In the analyses, p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Statistical analyses were conducted using RevMan (Version 5.1; Cochrane, Oxford, UK) and Stata software (version 12.0; Stata Corporation, College Station, TX, USA).

#### 2.5. Certainty of the evidence

We used the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development, and Evaluation (GRADE) working group methodology to assess the certainty of evidence across the domains with a risk of bias: consistency, directness, precision, outcome, and publication bias [20].

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Literature search

Fig. 1 depicts a flowchart outlining the process for the database search and study identification, which ultimately led to the selection of the studies for inclusion in the meta-analysis. Initially, a total of 635 articles were obtained through the database search, which was subsequently reduced to 487 after eliminating duplicate records. Subsequently, 466 articles were excluded based on an evaluation of their titles and abstracts, primarily due to their lack of relevance to the objective of the present meta-analysis. Following this screening process, 10 out of the remaining 21 articles underwent full-text reviews and were subsequently excluded for various



Fig. 1. Flowchart for the literature search and study inclusion.

Study	Country	Design	Diagnosis	Number of patients	Mean age (years)	Male (%)	Bronchoscopy group (n, details)	Non- bronchoscopy group (n, details)	Follow-up duration	No. of patients that died	Outcomes reported	Variables matched or adjusted
Sanchez- Nieto 1998	Spain	R, OL	Patients with MV and clinically suspected VAP	51	44.2	74.5	24, PSB and BAL with bronchoscopy to guide the antibiotic regimen	27, QEA to guide the antibiotic regimen	During hospitalization	18	Mortality, MV time, and ICU stay	Age, sex, and APACHE II on admission
Fagon 2000	France	R, OL	Patients with MV and clinically suspected VAP	413	63	70	204, PSB and BAL with bronchoscopy to guide the antibiotic regimen	209, NQEA to guide the antibiotic regimen	28-day	144	Mortality, and ICU stay	Age, SAPS II score, and McCabe–Jackson classification, duration of MV before inclusion, ODIN score, and PaO2/FIO2 ratio
Ruiz 2000	Spain	R, OL	Patients with MV and clinically suspected VAP	76	65.9	69.7	37, PSB and BAL with bronchoscopy to guide the antibiotic regimen	39, QEA to guide antibiotic the regimen	30-day	32	Mortality, MV time, and ICU stay	Age, sex, causes for ICU admission, and APACHE II on admission
Violan 2000	Spain	R, OL	Patients with MV and clinically suspected VAP	88	52.9	72.7	45, PSB and BAL with bronchoscopy to guide the antibiotic regimen	43, NQEA to guide the antibiotic regimen	During hospitalization	19	Mortality, MV time, and ICU stay	Age, sex, PaO2/FIO2 ratio, duration of MV before inclusion, and APACHE II on admission
CCCTG 2006	Canada and USA	R, OL	Patients with MV and clinically suspected VAP	739	59	69.3	365, SB and BAL with bronchoscopy to guide the antibiotic regimen	374, NQEA to guide the antibiotic regimen	28-day	138	Mortality, MV time, and ICU stay	Age, sex, PaO2/FIO2 ratio, comorbidities, duration of MV before inclusion, and APACHE II on admission
Michetti 2012	USA	PC	Patients with MV and clinically suspected VAP	137	43	83.2	96, BAL with bronchoscopy to guide the antibiotic regimen	41, bronchoscopy not used	During hospitalization	15	Mortality, MV time, and ICU stay	Age, sex, admission GCS score
Guidry 2014	USA	RC	Patients with culture- confirmed VAP	493	53.7	74.6	159, diagnostic and/ or therapeutic bronchoscopy	334, bronchoscopy not used	During hospitalization	89	Mortality	Age, sex, trauma, transfusion, hospital days before VAP, and APACHE II on admission
Wu 2021	China	R, OL	Patients with culture- confirmed VAP	100	63	53	50, diagnostic and/ or therapeutic bronchoscopy	50, bronchoscopy not used	During hospitalization	NR	ICU stay	Age and sex
Karbasy 2021	Iran	R, OL	Patients with culture- confirmed VAP	50	46	74	25, therapeutic bronchoscopy	25, bronchoscopy not used	During hospitalization	18	Mortality	Age, sex, and APACHE II on admission
Zhang 2022	USA	RC	Patients with clinically diagnosed VAP	1560	63.8	63.5	205, diagnostic and/ or therapeutic bronchoscopy	1355, bronchoscopy not used	During hospitalization	NR	Mortality and MV time	Age, sex, race, BMI, comorbidities, and PaO2/ FIO2 ratio
Allam 2023	Egypt	R, OL	Patients with clinically diagnosed VAP	200	NR	77.5	100, BAL with bronchoscopy to guide the antibiotic regimen	100, bronchoscopy not used	During hospitalization	27	Mortality	Age, sex, and causes of ICU admission

Table 1Characteristics of the included studies.

4

R, randomized; OL, open label; PC, prospective cohort; RC, retrospective cohort; MV, mechanical ventilation; VAP, ventilator-associated pneumonia; NR, not reported; PSB, protected specimen brush; BAL, bronchoalveolar lavage; QEA, quantitative endotracheal aspirates; NQEA, non-quantitative endotracheal aspirates; ICU, intensive care unit; APACHE, acute physiology and chronic health evaluation; SAPS II, simplified acute physiology score II; ODIN, organ dysfunction and infection; GCS, Glasgow coma scale; BMI, body mass index.

reasons, as outlined in Fig. 1. Ultimately, 11 studies [21-31] were deemed suitable for inclusion.

#### 3.2. Study characteristics and data quality

An overview of the included studies can be found in Table 1. Overall, eight RCTs [21–25,28,29,31] and three cohort studies [26,27, 30], comprising 3907 patients with highly suspected or clinically diagnosed VAP, were included in the meta-analysis. These studies were published between 1998 and 2023, and performed in various countries, namely Spain, France, Canada, the United States, China, Iran, and Egypt. The sample sizes of the studies varied from 50 to 1560. The mean ages of the patients were 43–66 years old, and the proportion of men was from 53 % to 83 %. As for the role of bronchoscopy in the intervention groups, bronchoscopy was used only for the purpose of the microbiological diagnosis of VAP to guide the antibiotic regimen in seven studies [21–26,31]; while in the other four studies, bronchoscopy was also used for therapeutic purposes, such as to assist sputum suction [27–30]. The follow-up durations were within the hospitalization duration for eight studies [21,24,26–31], and during 28 or 30 days in the other three studies [22,23,25]. Potential confounding factors, such as age and sex, were matched or adjusted among all the included studies. The details of the study quality evaluation for the RCTs are shown in Table 2. All of the included RCTs were open-label studies. Details of the random-sequence generation were reported in six studies [21–25,31], while details of allocation concealment were reported in five studies [22–25,31]. No other potential risk of bias was observed. Quality evaluation of the included cohort studies is shown in Table 3. The NOS scores were all eight or nine stars, suggesting the studies were of good quality.

#### 3.3. Influence of bronchoscopy use on all-cause mortality in patients with VAP

Ten studies reported the outcome of all-cause mortality in patients with VAP [21–28,30,31]. The results of the overall meta-analysis suggested that bronchoscopy use was not associated with a significant influence on all-cause mortality in patients with VAP compared to the controls without the use of bronchoscopy (RR: 0.81, 95 % CI: 0.62 to 1.05, p = 0.12;  $I^2 = 57$  %; Fig. 2A). Subsequent subgroup analysis according to the study design showed consistent results in the RCTs (RR: 0.88, 95 % CI: 0.70 to 1.10, p = 0.27;  $I^2 = 24$  %) and cohort studies (RR: 0.65, 95 % CI: 0.32 to 1.33, p = 0.23;  $I^2 = 77$  %; p for subgroup difference = 0.42; Fig. 2B). Interestingly, subgroup analysis showed that bronchoscopy use for the microbiological diagnosis of VAP was not associated with reduced mortality (RR: 0.92, 95 % CI: 0.75 to 1.13; p = 0.45;  $I^2 = 22$  %), while therapeutic bronchoscopy use was associated with significantly reduced mortality (RR: 0.53, 95 % CI: 0.35 to 0.81; p = 0.003;  $I^2 = 22$  %). The effect between subgroups was significant (p = 0.02; Fig. 3A). Further subgroup analysis showed consistent results in studies reporting in-hospital mortality, and those reporting 28-day/30-day mortality (p for subgroup difference = 0.98; Fig. 3B).

# 3.4. Influence of bronchoscopy use on MV duration and ICU stay

The pooled results from five studies [21,23–26] did not show any significant difference in MV duration between patients with or without bronchoscopy use (MD: 0.44 days, 95 % CI: -0.33 to 1.21, p = 0.26;  $I^2 = 16$  %; Fig. 4A). The pooled results from seven studies [21–26,29] showed similar ICU stays between groups (MD: 1.04 days, 95 % CI: -0.09 to 2.18, p = 0.07;  $I^2 = 50$  %; Fig. 4B).

#### 3.5. Publication bias

The funnel plots for the meta-analyses comparing bronchoscopy-assisted treatment versus the controls without the use of bronchoscopy on the mortality, MV duration, and ICU stay are shown in Fig. 5A–C. It can be seen that these plots are symmetrical on visual inspection, suggesting a low risk of publication bias in each. Egger's regression tests also suggested a low risk of publication bias (p = 0.72) for the outcome of all-cause mortality. Egger's regression tests for the other two outcomes could not be performed because only five and seven studies were included for these outcomes, which were insufficient numbers.

### Table 2

Study quality evaluation of the include	l RCTs via the Cochrane Risk of Bias Too	ol.
---	--	-----

erany quanty e							
RCTs	Random- sequence generation	Allocation concealment	Blinding of participants	Blinding of the outcome assessment	Incomplete outcome data addressed	Selective reporting	Other sources of bias
Sanchez- Nieto 1998	Low risk	Unclear	High risk	High risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk
Fagon 2000	Low risk	Low risk	High risk	High risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk
Ruiz 2000	Low risk	Low risk	High risk	High risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk
Violan 2000	Low risk	Low risk	High risk	High risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk
CCCTG 2006	Low risk	Low risk	High risk	High risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk
Wu 2021	Unclear	Unclear	High risk	High risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk
Karbasy 2021	Unclear	Unclear	High risk	High risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk
Allam 2023	Low risk	Low risk	High risk	High risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk

 Table 3
 Quality evaluation of the included cohort studies via the Newcastle–Ottawa scale.

6

Cohorts	Representativeness of the exposed cohort	Selection of the non-exposed cohort	Ascertainment of exposure	Outcome not present at baseline	Control for age and sex	Control for other confounding factors	Assessment of outcome	Sufficiently long follow-up duration	Adequacy of follow-up of the cohorts	Total
Michetti 2012	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	9
Guidry 2014	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	8
Zhang 2022	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	8

-						
Δ					Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
	Study or Subgroup	log[Risk Ratio]	SE	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI
	Sanchez-Nieto 1998	0.57097955	0.39319279	7.3%	1.77 [0.82, 3.83]	
	Fagon 2000	-0.43078292	0.17403523	14.7%	0.65 [0.46, 0.91]	
	Ruiz 2000	-0.19845094	0.27307179	10.8%	0.82 [0.48, 1.40]	
	Violan 2000	0.05826891	0.40628337	7.0%	1.06 [0.48, 2.35]	
	CCCTG 2006	0.00995033	0.15369715	15.6%	1.01 [0.75, 1.37]	
	Michetti 2012	-0.4462871	0.5072627	5.1%	0.64 [0.24, 1.73]	
	Guidry 2014	0.0861777	0.26405584	11.1%	1.09 [0.65, 1.83]	
	Karbasy 2021	-0.4462871	0.39609314	7.2%	0.64 [0.29, 1.39]	
	Zhang 2022	-0.91629073	0.21332858	13.1%	0.40 [0.26, 0.61]	
	Allam 2023	-0.07257069	0.35777225	8.2%	0.93 [0.46, 1.88]	
	Total (95% CI)			100.0%	0.81 [0.62, 1.05]	-
	Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	0.09; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 20.89	df = 9 (P = 0.	.01); l <sup>2</sup> = 57	%	
	Test for overall effect: 2	Z = 1.56 (P = 0.12	)			
						Favours bronchoscopy Favours no bronchoscopy
R					Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
-	Study or Subgroup	log[Risk Ratio]	SE	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI
	1.2.1 RCT					
	Sanchez-Nieto 1998	0.57097955	0.39319279	7.3%	1.77 [0.82, 3.83]	•
	Fagon 2000	-0.43078292	0.17403523	14.7%	0.65 [0.46, 0.91]	<b>_</b>
	Ruiz 2000	-0.19845094	0.27307179	10.8%	0.82 [0.48, 1.40]	
	Violan 2000	0.05826891	0.40628337	7.0%	1.06 [0.48, 2.35]	
	CCCTG 2006	0.00995033	0.15369715	15.6%	1.01 [0.75, 1.37]	
	Karbasy 2021	-0.4462871	0.39609314	7.2%	0.64 [0.29, 1.39]	
	Allam 2023	-0.07257069	0.35777225	8.2%	0.93 [0.46, 1.88]	
	Subtotal (95% CI)			70.7%	0.88 [0.70, 1.10]	-
	Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	0.02; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 7.93,	df = 6 (P = 0.2	(4); l <sup>2</sup> = 24%	0	
	Test for overall effect: 2	Z = 1.10 (P = 0.27	)			
	1.2.2 Cohort					
	Michetti 2012	-0.4462871	0.5072627	5.1%	0.64 [0.24, 1.73]	
	Guidry 2014	0.0861777	0.26405584	11.1%	1.09 [0.65, 1.83]	
	Zhang 2022	-0.91629073	0.21332858	13.1%	0.40 [0.26, 0.61]	
	Subtotal (95% CI)			29.3%	0.65 [0.32, 1.33]	
	Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	0.30; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 8.74,	df = 2 (P = 0.0	1); l <sup>2</sup> = 77%	6	
	Test for overall effect: 2	Z = 1.19 (P = 0.23	)			
	Total (95% CI)			100.0%	0.81 [0.62, 1.05]	-
	Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 1	0.09: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 20 89	df = 9 (P = 0)	01): $ ^2 = 57$	%	+ + + +
	Test for overall effect:	Z = 1.56 (P = 0.12)	)			0.2 0.5 1 2 5
	Test for subaroup diffe	rences: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 0.6	, 4. df = 1 (P = (	0.42). l <sup>2</sup> = 0	%	Favours bronchoscopy Favours no bronchoscopy

Fig. 2. Forest plots for the meta-analysis comparing bronchoscopy-assisted treatment versus controls without the use of bronchoscopy on the risk of all-cause mortality in patients with VAP; A, forest plots for the overall meta-analysis; and B, forest plots for the subgroup analysis according to the study design.

#### 3.6. Certainty of evidence assessment using GRADE

The certainty of evidence about the influence of incorporating bronchoscopy in the management of patients with VAP on all-cause mortality, MV duration, and length of ICU stay was assessed using the GRADE methodology, with the certainty rated as very low for each aspect, primarily because all the included RCTs were open-label studies, which raises concerns about a risk of bias (Table 4).

### 4. Discussion

In this study, we pooled the results of 11 eligible RCTs and cohort studies, and the results showed that overall, compared to a control group without the use of bronchoscopy, incorporating bronchoscopy in the management of patients with VAP was not associated with a reduced risk of all-cause mortality. However, significant heterogeneity was observed, and further subgroup analysis according to the role of bronchoscopy showed that although bronchoscopy use for the diagnosis of the causative pathogens of VAP did not reduce mortality in these patients, bronchoscopy used for therapeutic purposes, such as assisting sputum suction, was associated with a significantly reduced mortality in patients with VAP. Further subgroup analysis according to the study design and follow-up duration showed similar results, and the pooled results showed that the duration of MV or length of ICU stay was not significantly different between groups. Taken together, the results of the meta-analysis suggest that bronchoscopy use for the purpose of the microbiological diagnosis of VAP did not reduce short-term mortality compared to in patients without bronchoscopy use, while therapeutic bronchoscopy use was associated with a reduced mortality in these patients.

To the best of our knowledge, few meta-analyses have comprehensively evaluated the potential role of bronchoscopy use in the management of patients with VAP. An early meta-analysis in 2005 that included four RCTs involving 628 patients showed that, although invasive cultures could be used with bronchoscopy to identify the causative pathogen of VAP, such invasive testing could affect antibiotic use and prescribing in patients with VAP, but the use of bronchoscopy for the microbiological diagnosis of VAP did not



**Fig. 3.** Forest plots for the subgroup analyses comparing bronchoscopy-assisted treatment versus controls without the use of bronchoscopy on the risk of all-cause mortality in patients with VAP; A, forest plots for the subgroup analysis according to the detailed use of bronchoscopy-assisted treatment; and B, forest plots for the subgroup analysis according to the follow-up duration.

significantly alter the mortality of the patients [32]. However, only four studies were available at the time of the meta-analysis, and the authors concluded that few trials had systematically examined the impact of bronchoscopy use on outcomes for patients with suspected or suffering from VAP, and the role of bronchoscopy use in the management of patients with VAP remains not fully determined. Our meta-analysis has several advantages compared to that previous one. First, we performed an updated literature search in three commonly used electronic databases, which enabled us to retrieve 11 up-to-date studies according to the aim of the meta-analysis. Overall, the sample size of the current meta-analysis (3907 patients) was much larger than in the previous one, which enabled us to perform multiple subgroup analyses to determine the sources of heterogeneity. In addition, due to the relatively large number of studies included in our meta-analysis, besides evaluating the influence of bronchoscopy use on all-cause mortality in patients with VAP, we were also able to evaluate changes in other outcomes, such as MV duration, and length of ICU stay. Finally, several subgroup analyses were performed, and we found consistent results with the mortality outcome in RCTs and in cohort studies, and in studies reporting in-hospital and 28-day/30-day mortality outcomes. Interestingly, subgroup analysis showed that the detailed role of bronchoscopy use may affect the results of the meta-analysis. Bronchoscopy use for the purpose of the microbiological diagnosis of VAP only did not reduce short-term mortality, while therapeutic bronchoscopy use was associated with reduced mortality in these patients. Since the heterogeneity of the overall meta-analysis was significant ( $l^2 = 57$  %) but became nonsignificant within subgroups ( $l^2 = 22$  %



Fig. 4. Forest plots for the meta-analyses comparing bronchoscopy-assisted treatment versus controls without the use of bronchoscopy for the MV duration and ICU stay in patients with VAP. A, forest plots for the outcome of the MV duration; and B, forest plots for the outcome of ICU stay.



Fig. 5. Funnel plots for the meta-analysis evaluating the publication biases of the meta-analyses. A, funnel plots for the outcome of all-cause mortality; B, funnel plots for the outcome of MV duration; and C, forest plots for the outcome of ICU stay.

and 34 %), respectively, this indicates that the different role of bronchoscopy use for the management of VAP may explain the source of the heterogeneity.

The results of the current meta-analysis regarding the mortality outcome in patients with VAP are consistent with the previous meta-analysis, which showed that the use of bronchoscopy for the microbiological diagnosis of VAP did not significantly alter the mortality of the patients [32]. These findings could also be elucidated by the utilization of initial antimicrobial therapy, which is usually guided by local patterns of microorganism prevalence and antibiotic susceptibility, which can aid in the development of empirical strategies with a higher rate of appropriateness for the treatment of patients with VAP [33]. In the case of patients with VAP, knowledge regarding the microorganisms involved and their sensitivities to antibiotics indicates that empirical antibiotic therapy

# Table 4 Summarized certainty of evidence using the GRADE system.

Outcome	Quality assessme	ent	Absolute effect	Certainty					
	No. of studies	Design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations		
All-cause mortality	10	RCTs and cohort studies	Serious <sup>a</sup>	Serious <sup>b</sup>	Not serious	Not serious	None	RR (95 % CI): 0.81 (0.62–1.05)	⊕OOO Very low
Duration of MV	5	RCTs and cohort studies	Serious <sup>a</sup>	Not serious	Not serious	Not serious	None	MD (95 % CI):	⊕OOO Very low
Length of ICU stay	7	RCTs and cohort studies	Serious <sup>a</sup>	Serious <sup>c</sup>	Not serious	Not serious	None	MD (95 % CI):	⊕OOO Very low

Explanations.

10

GRADE, Grading of Recommendations, Assessment, Development, and Evaluation; MV, mechanical ventilation; ICU, intensive care unit; RCTs, randomized controlled trials; RR, risk ratio; MD, mean difference; CI, confidence interval.

<sup>a</sup> all of the included RCTs were open-label studies. <sup>b</sup>  $I^2 = 57$  %, indicating moderate heterogeneity. <sup>c</sup>  $I^2 = 50$  %, indicating moderate heterogeneity.

would be suitable [33]. Consequently, it is logical to infer that understanding the local patterns of microorganisms holds greater significance than the techniques employed for the collection and cultivation of respiratory samples. On the other hand, the results of the subgroup analysis suggested that therapeutic bronchoscopy use, such as assisting sputum suction, was associated with significantly reduced mortality in patients with VAP. These results were consistent with the previously observed benefits of therapeutic bronchoscopy in patients with aspiration pneumonia [9] and refractory pneumonia [34], and highlight the potential importance of optimized airway management in patients with VAP. However, the results should be interpreted with some caution because only three studies were available for inclusion in the therapeutic bronchoscopy use subgroup, and only one of them was an RCT [28]. More large-scale RCTs are thus needed to validate this finding.

#### 4.1. Limitations

Our study also possesses certain limitations that should be noted. First, the meta-analysis relied on non-blinded RCTs and cohort studies, and accordingly, the certainty of evidence was rated as very low in accordance with the GRADE methodology, necessitating the inclusion of high-quality double-blinded RCTs to authenticate the findings. Nevertheless, conducting blinded studies in this particular clinical scenario using bronchoscopy is challenging. Additionally, the proficiency of the physicians performing the bronchoscopy and BAL might impact the outcomes of the meta-analysis, and thus warrants evaluation in future investigations. Moreover, determination of the optimal protocol and frequency of therapeutic bronchoscopy in patients with VAP remains unresolved. Additionally, it is worth noting that the limited number of studies encompassing the use of therapeutic bronchoscopy, particularly in assisting sputum suction, necessitates large-scale clinical trials to validate the conclusion that such interventions are significantly associated with reduced mortality in patients with VAP.

### 5. Conclusions

In conclusion, the results of the present meta-analysis indicate that although bronchoscopy use for the purpose of the microbiological diagnosis of VAP did not reduce short-term mortality in patients compared to in those without bronchoscopy use, therapeutic bronchoscopy use, such as for assisting sputum suction, may reduce short-term mortality in patients with suspected or suffering from VAP. Large-scale high-quality RCTs are needed to validate the findings of this meta-analysis and to determine the optimal protocol and frequency of therapeutic bronchoscopy in patients with VAP.

# Funding

This study was supported by funding from the Anhui Key Clinical Specialty Construction Project (No. 2021-273).

#### Data availability statement

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in the article; further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

# CRediT authorship contribution statement

**Fei Tang:** Writing – original draft, Software, Methodology, Investigation, Formal analysis, Data curation, Conceptualization. **Feng Zhu:** Writing – original draft, Data curation. **Yueming Wang:** Software, Methodology, Formal analysis. **Xiankui Zha:** Software, Methodology, Formal analysis, Conceptualization. **Liping Lyu:** Software, Methodology, Funding acquisition, Formal analysis. **Dongchun Ma:** Writing – review & editing, Supervision, Project administration, Conceptualization.

## Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

#### FundingAcknowledgements

None.

#### Abbreviations

- VAP Ventilator-associated pneumonia.
- MV mechanical ventilation.
- ICU intensive care unit.
- BAL bronchoalveolar lavage.
- RCTs randomized controlled trials.

NOS Newcastle–Ottawa scale.

#### Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e32751.

#### References

- S. Ramirez-Estrada, Y. Pena-Lopez, T. Vieceli, J. Rello, Ventilator-associated events: from surveillance to optimizing management, J Intensive Med 3 (2023) 204–211.
- [2] M.C. Spalding, M.W. Cripps, C.T. Minshall, Ventilator-associated pneumonia: new definitions, Crit. Care Clin. 33 (2017) 277-292.
- [3] M. Ferrer, A. Torres, Epidemiology of ICU-acquired pneumonia, Curr. Opin. Crit. Care 24 (2018) 325-331.
- [4] A. Torres, M.S. Niederman, J. Chastre, S. Ewig, P. Pernandez-Vandellos, H. Hanberger, et al., International ERS/ESICM/ESCMID/ALAT guidelines for the management of hospital-acquired pneumonia and ventilator-associated pneumonia: Guidelines for the management of hospital-acquired pneumonia (HAP)/ ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP) of the European Respiratory Society (ERS), European Society of Intensive Care Medicine (ESICM), European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases (ESCMID) and Asociacion Latinoamericana del Torax (ALAT), Eur. Respir. J. 50 (2017).
- [5] L. Papazian, M. Klompas, C.E. Luyt, Ventilator-associated pneumonia in adults: a narrative review, Intensive Care Med. 46 (2020) 888-906.
- [6] Y. Li, C. Liu, W. Xiao, T. Song, S. Wang, Incidence, risk factors, and outcomes of ventilator-associated pneumonia in traumatic brain injury: a meta-analysis, Neurocrit Care 32 (2020) 272–285.
- [7] C. Cilloniz, C. Dominedo, A. Torres, An overview of guidelines for the management of hospital-acquired and ventilator-associated pneumonia caused by multidrug-resistant Gram-negative bacteria, Curr. Opin. Infect. Dis. 32 (2019) 656–662.
- [8] R. Scala, L. Guidelli, Clinical value of bronchoscopy in acute respiratory failure, Diagnostics 11 (2021).
- [9] H.W. Lee, J. Min, J. Park, Y.J. Lee, S.J. Kim, J.S. Park, et al., Clinical impact of early bronchoscopy in mechanically ventilated patients with aspiration pneumonia, Respirology 20 (2015) 1115–1122.
- [10] J.Y. Fagon, Diagnosis and treatment of ventilator-associated pneumonia: fiberoptic bronchoscopy with bronchoalveolar lavage is essential, Semin. Respir. Crit. Care Med. 27 (2006) 34–44.
- [11] C. Wang, S. Ye, X. Wang, Y. Zhao, Q. Ma, L. Wang, Clinical efficacy and safety of mechanical ventilation combined with fiberoptic bronchoalveolar lavage in patients with severe pulmonary infection, Med. Sci. Mon. Int. Med. J. Exp. Clin. Res. 25 (2019) 5401–5407.
- [12] M. Bassetti, A. Mularoni, D.R. Giacobbe, N. Castaldo, A. Vena, New antibiotics for hospital-acquired pneumonia and ventilator-associated pneumonia, Semin. Respir. Crit. Care Med. 43 (2022) 280–294.
- [13] E. Letchford, S. Bench, Ventilator-associated pneumonia and suction: a review of the literature, Br. J. Nurs. 27 (2018) 13-18.
- [14] M.J. Page, J.E. McKenzie, P.M. Bossuyt, I. Boutron, T.C. Hoffmann, C.D. Mulrow, et al., The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews, BMJ 372 (2021) n71.
- [15] M.J. Page, D. Moher, P.M. Bossuyt, I. Boutron, T.C. Hoffmann, C.D. Mulrow, et al., PRISMA 2020 explanation and elaboration: updated guidance and exemplars for reporting systematic reviews, BMJ 372 (2021) n160.
- [16] J. Higgins, J. Thomas, J. Chandler, M. Cumpston, T. Li, M. Page, et al., Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions Version 6.2, The Cochrane Collaboration, 2021. www.training.cochrane.org/handbook.
- [17] G.A. Wells, B. Shea, D. O'Connell, J. Peterson, V. Welch, M. Losos, et al., The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) for assessing the quality of nonrandomised studies in meta-analyses. http://www.ohri.ca/programs/clinical\_epidemiology/oxford.asp, 2010.
- [18] J.P. Higgins, S.G. Thompson, J.J. Deeks, D.G. Altman, Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses, BMJ 327 (2003) 557-560.
- [19] M. Egger, G. Davey Smith, M. Schneider, C. Minder, Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test, BMJ 315 (1997) 629-634.
- [20] G.H. Guyatt, A.D. Oxman, G.E. Vist, R. Kunz, Y. Falck-Ytter, P. Alonso-Coello, et al., GRADE: an emerging consensus on rating quality of evidence and strength of recommendations, BMJ 336 (2008) 924–926.
- [21] J.M. Sanchez-Nieto, A. Torres, F. Garcia-Cordoba, M. El-Ebiary, A. Carrillo, J. Ruiz, et al., Impact of invasive and noninvasive quantitative culture sampling on outcome of ventilator-associated pneumonia: a pilot study, Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med. 157 (1998) 371–376.
- [22] J.Y. Fagon, J. Chastre, M. Wolff, C. Gervais, S. Parer-Aubas, F. Stephan, et al., Invasive and noninvasive strategies for management of suspected ventilatorassociated pneumonia. A randomized trial, Ann. Intern. Med. 132 (2000) 621–630.
- [23] M. Ruiz, A. Torres, S. Ewig, M.A. Marcos, A. Alcon, R. Lledo, et al., Noninvasive versus invasive microbial investigation in ventilator-associated pneumonia: evaluation of outcome, Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med. 162 (2000) 119–125.
- [24] Violan J. Sole, J.A. Fernandez, A.B. Benitez, J.A. Cardenosa Cendrero, F. Rodriguez de Castro, Impact of quantitative invasive diagnostic techniques in the management and outcome of mechanically ventilated patients with suspected pneumonia, Crit. Care Med. 28 (2000) 2737–2741.
- [25] CCCTG, A randomized trial of diagnostic techniques for ventilator-associated pneumonia, N. Engl. J. Med. 355 (2006) 2619-2630.
- [26] C.P. Michetti, T. Aldaghlas, Differences in management and mortality with a bronchoalveolar lavage-based diagnostic protocol for ventilator-associated pneumonia, J. Trauma Acute Care Surg. 72 (2012) 242–246.
- [27] C.A. Guidry, M.U. Mallicote, R.T. Petroze, T. Hranjec, L.H. Rosenberger, S.W. Davies, et al., Influence of bronchoscopy on the diagnosis of and outcomes from ventilator-associated pneumonia, Surg. Infect. 15 (2014) 527–532.
- [28] S.H. Karbasy, A. Sabertanha, M. Bayati, A. Meshkini, B. Fatemeh Shakhs Emampour, Study of the effect of added bronchoscopic suction to routine treatment of ventilator associated pneumonia patients in surgical ICU, J. Pakistan Med. Assoc. 71 (2021) 1326–1331.
- [29] L. Wu, B. Liu, The clinical effect of a bronchofiberscope in treating severe ventilator-associated pneumonia, Am J Transl Res 13 (2021) 6966–6972.
- [30] L. Zhang, S. Li, S. Yuan, X. Lu, J. Li, Y. Liu, et al., The association between bronchoscopy and the prognoses of patients with ventilator-associated pneumonia in
- intensive care units: a retrospective study based on the MIMIC-IV database, Front. Pharmacol. 13 (2022) 868920. [31] M.G.I. Allam, Effect of bronchoscopy on the outcome of patients with severe sepsis, acute respiratory distress syndrome and complicated by ventilator associated
- pneumonia from prolonged ventilation, Open Anesthesia Journal 17 (2023).
  [32] A.F. Shorr, J.H. Sherner, W.L. Jackson, M.H. Kollef, Invasive approaches to the diagnosis of ventilator-associated pneumonia: a meta-analysis, Crit. Care Med. 33 (2005) 46–53.
- [33] E.H. Drahim, S. Ward, G. Sherman, R. Schaiff, V.J. Fraser, M.H. Kollef, Experience with a clinical guideline for the treatment of ventilator-associated pneumonia, Crit. Care Med. 29 (2001) 1109–1115.
- [34] Z. Fang, S. Lin, S. Luo, L. Xu, H. Zhang, Y. Pei, et al., Meta-analysis and systematic review of electronic bronchoscopy in refractory pneumonia, Ann. Palliat. Med. 10 (2021) 9889–9901.