

Attenuation of Splanchnic Autotransfusion Following Noninvasive Ultrasound Renal Denervation: A Novel Marker of Procedural Success

Manish Saxena, MBBS, MSc; Tariq Shour, BSc; Mussadiq Shah, PhD; Christopher B. Wolff, MBBS, PhD; Peter O. O. Julu, MBChB, PhD; Vikas Kapil, MBBS, PhD; David J. Collier, MBBS, PhD; Fu Liang Ng, MBBS, PhD; Ajay Gupta, MBBS, PhD; Armida Balawon, MBBS; Jane Pheby, BSc; Anne Zak, BSc; Gurvinder Rull, MBBS, PhD; Benjamin O'Brien, MBBS, PhD; Roland E. Schmieder, MBBS, PhD; Melvin D. Lobo, MBChB, PhD

Background—Renal denervation has no validated marker of procedural success. We hypothesized that successful renal denervation would reduce renal sympathetic nerve signaling demonstrated by attenuation of α -1-adrenoceptor-mediated autotransfusion during the Valsalva maneuver.

Methods and Results—In this substudy of the Wave IV Study: Phase II Randomized Sham Controlled Study of Renal Denervation for Subjects With Uncontrolled Hypertension, we enrolled 23 subjects with resistant hypertension. They were randomized either to bilateral renal denervation using therapeutic levels of ultrasound energy (n=12) or sham application of diagnostic ultrasound (n=11). Within-group changes in autonomic parameters, office and ambulatory blood pressure were compared between baseline and 6 months in a double-blind manner. There was significant office blood pressure reduction in both treatment (16.1±27.3 mm Hg, P<0.05) and sham groups (27.9±15.0 mm Hg, P<0.01) because of which the study was discontinued prematurely. However, during the late phase II (Iii) of Valsalva maneuver, renal denervation resulted in substantial and significant reduction in mean arterial pressure (21.8±25.2 mm Hg, P<0.05) with no significant changes in the sham group. Moreover, there were significant reductions in heart rate in the actively treated group at rest (6.0±11.5 beats per minute, P<0.05) and during postural changes (supine 7.2±8.4 beats per minute, P<0.05, sit up 12.7±16.7 beats per minute, P<0.05), which were not observed in the sham group.

Conclusions—Blood pressure reduction per se is not necessarily a marker of successful renal nerve ablation. Reduction in splanchnic autotransfusion following renal denervation has not been previously demonstrated and denotes attenuation of (renal) sympathetic efferent activity and could serve as a marker of procedural success.

Clinical Trial Registration—URL: https://www.clinicaltrials.gov. Unique identifier: NCT02029885. (*J Am Heart Assoc.* 2018;7: e009151. DOI: 10.1161/JAHA.118.009151.)

Key Words: autonomic function • blood pressure • hypertension • renal denervation • sympathetic nervous system • ultrasound • Valsalva maneuver

T he recent past has seen the emergence of a variety of promising novel nonpharmacological device-based therapies for treating hypertension.¹ Most of these new technologies target sympatho-modulation either through renal

nerve ablation (renal denervation or RDN) or through electrical carotid sinus stimulation (baroreflex activation therapy), although other technologies may target mechanical aspects of the circulation through the creation of a central iliac

Received March 11, 2018; accepted April 28, 2018.

© 2018 The Authors. Published on behalf of the American Heart Association, Inc., by Wiley. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

From the Barts BP Centre of Excellence, Barts Heart Centre, St Bartholomew's Hospital, London, United Kingdom (M. Saxena, T.S., V.K., F.L.N., A.G., G.R., M.D.L.); William Harvey Research Institute, Centre for Clinical Pharmacology, Barts NIHR Cardiovascular Biomedical Research Unit, Queen Mary University London, London, United Kingdom (M. Shah, T.S., M.S., C.B.W., P.O.O.J., V.K., D.J.C., F.L.N., A.G., A.B., J.P., A.Z., B.O., M.D.L.); Department of Nephrology and Hypertension, University Hospital, University of Erlangen/Nuremberg, Erlangen, Germany (R.E.S.).

Accompanying Data S1 and Table S1 are available at http://jaha.ahajournals.org/content/7/12/e009151/DC1/embed/inline-supplementary-material-1.pdf This article was handled independently by Joseph I. Shapiro, MD as a guest editor. The editors had no role in the evaluation of the manuscript or in the decision about its acceptance.

Correspondence to: Manish Saxena, MBBS, MSc, William Harvey Research Institute, Charterhouse Square, London, PO O/S17, EC1M 6BQ, United Kingdom. E-mail: m.saxena@qmul.ac.uk

Clinical Perspective

What Is New?

- We describe a novel strategy to evaluate the procedural success of an ultrasound-based renal denervation platform by use of noninvasive autonomic function testing (with realtime beat-to-beat blood pressure monitoring) to delineate changes in heart rate, mean arterial pressure, and autotransfusion responses to the Valsalva maneuver.
- This methodology, which is easily reproducible, offers an attractive alternative to the current approaches to assess sympathetic nervous system activity such as microneurography and norepinephrine spillover techniques.

What Are the Clinical Implications?

- We demonstrate that renal denervation resulted in significant reductions in both heart rate and autotransfusion response to the Valsalva maneuver, suggesting that external ultrasound renal denervation is effective, and that greater blood pressure reduction alone may be misleading as a sole marker of response to an interventional procedure compared with sham.
- This study makes a significant contribution to the debate over the utility of the sham procedure in device therapy of hypertension trials and emphasizes the need to find noninvasive markers of procedural success of renal denervation.

arteriovenous anastomosis.^{2–7} At present, RDN has been studied the most and is thought to lower blood pressure (BP) by reducing central sympathetic drive and in turn, reducing sympathetic outflow to kidneys.^{8,9}

A major concern with RDN is that there is no procedural marker of success and it is still regarded as a "black box" procedure whereby the operator is unaware at the time of intervention whether or not the renal nerves have been successfully ablated.¹⁰ Recent data identifying more precisely the location of human renal sympathetic nerves have better informed the procedure to improve delivery of ablation foci in the most appropriate territory, but this still does not address whether or not the technology has achieved its intended aim of renal nerve destruction.¹¹

The use of physiological measures and biomarkers to confirm procedural success of renal nerve ablation, such as muscle sympathetic nerve activity and renal norepinephrine spillover, has been proposed but remains contentious.^{12–14} Renal nerve stimulation-induced BP increase pre- and post-RDN has been shown to be a good marker to predict BP response and assess efficacy of RDN but requires further validation.¹⁵ Thus, there remains a pressing need to identify valid biomarkers to confirm procedural success that are noninvasive and easy to use in everyday clinical practice.

The objectives of this substudy were to investigate changes in physiological and autonomic nervous system parameters with noninvasive testing in subjects with resistant hypertension randomized to having bilateral renal nerve ablation using externally delivered focused ultrasound or sham therapy. Specifically, our aim was to test the hypothesis that successful renal nerve ablation would result in attenuation of renal sympathetic nervous system (SNS) activity measured as a lowering of global sympathetic tone and also as a reduction in sympathetically mediated autotransfusion during (late) phase II of the Valsalva maneuver, which depends in part upon renal capsular contraction mediated through renal SNS signaling.¹⁶

Methods

The data, analytic methods, and study materials will not be made available to other researchers for purposes of reproducing the results or replicating the procedure.

Study Design and Subjects

This study is a single-center substudy of the Wave IV Study: Phase II Randomized Sham Controlled Study of Renal Denervation for Subjects With Uncontrolled Hypertension study, an international, multicenter, randomized, sham-controlled, double-blind clinical trial of RDN in subjects with treatment-resistant hypertension.¹⁷ The study had approval from a Central Research Ethics Committee and all participants gave written informed consent to take part in the main study and the substudy. Subjects between 18 and 80 years of age with uncontrolled primary arterial hypertension, defined as office SBP ≥160 mm Hg while taking 3 or more antihypertensive medications at the maximally tolerated doses, one of which was a diuretic, were enrolled in the study. Other inclusion criteria were a 24-hour ambulatory BP monitoring average SBP of \geq 135 mm Hg and no medication changes within the month before baseline. Subjects were excluded from the study if they had a secondary cause of hypertension; had clinically significant renal artery stenosis; had previously undergone RDN; had known severe pulmonary hypertension; had experienced a myocardial infarction, unstable angina, or cerebrovascular event within the 6 months before baseline; had hemodynamically significant valvular heart disease; had a body mass index $(BMI) > 35 \text{ kg/m}^2$; or were pregnant, nursing, or intended to become pregnant during the study period. Furthermore, factors that may preclude clear visualization of the renal parenchyma and renal artery were also exclusion criteria.

Patients were centrally randomized in a 1:1 ratio to receive either the active RDN treatment or the sham control, both administered using the Surround Sound System. The treatment consisted of bilateral RDN using therapeutic levels of ultrasound energy. The sham consisted of bilateral sham treatment using diagnostic levels of ultrasound energy. A detailed description of the study design and interventional/ sham procedures can be found in Data S1.

Office BP

The office BP measurements were recorded with an automated validated Omron M6 Comfort Intellisense device (Omron Corp, Tokyo, Japan) in triplicate, taken with subjects in a seated position, after 10 minutes of rest. The 3 BP measurements were taken 1 minute apart and the average of the 3 measurements was calculated.

At the screening visit, BP was measured in triplicate in both arms and the arm with the highest BP was used for all subsequent readings for the entire duration of the study. At any visit, if the variability in the 3 BP readings was >15 mm Hg, another set of 3 readings was taken.

Ambulatory BP

Ambulatory BP was measured using oscillometric Space Labs 90207-1Q monitor (Spacelabs Healthcare, Hertford, UK). BP was recorded every 30 minutes during the daytime and every 60 minutes during the night. Technical success of the ambulatory BP monitoring required 70% of BP recordings to be valid.

Autonomic Function Tests

Autonomic function tests were conducted in a standardized controlled environment with a room temperature of $23\pm1^{\circ}$ C, diffused lighting, and no background noise. The procedure was discussed in detail with the subjects beforehand so that they were well familiar with the autonomic study protocol. They were relaxed and comfortable during the procedure.

The ECG used to derive cardiovascular indices was recorded via 3 chest electrodes conforming to Einthoven's Lead II configuration. Noninvasive arterial BP waveform was recorded continuously using volume-clamp photoplethysmography through a finger cuff, which is part of the Finapres[™] system (Finapres Medical Systems, Amsterdam, The Netherlands).¹⁸ All raw data including BP waveforms were used to derive realtime autonomic indices by a NeuroScope using the VaguSoft[™] software (Medifit Instruments Ltd, London, UK). The instantaneous heart rate (HR, in beats per minute [bpm]) was calculated continuously in real-time from the intervals between consecutive electrocardiographic R-waves (R-R intervals) to facilitate measurement of sudden or rapid changes in HR.

Postural Studies

Baseline autonomic status was recorded with subjects in a reclined position (patient at 45 degrees) and subsequently

Subjects then sat upright from the supine position with neck and legs held in a straight position while looking directly ahead, for a duration of 3 minutes. This invokes the isometric contraction of neck and trunk muscles. At the end of sit-up, subjects returned to a reclined and supported position.

Valsalva Maneuver

Subjects sat on a chair, leaning forward onto an examination couch with both hands rested. This posture is designed to exert mild pressure on the femoral and iliac veins and restrict the venous return from the lower limbs. The Valsalva test assembly consists of an air pressure gauge and detachable paper mouthpiece (a vitalograph tube). The mouthpiece has an aperture at the bottom of the tube to permit a small amount of air to escape, allowing constant pressure to be maintained.

Subjects blew into the tube assembly by either placing the lips tightly around the mouthpiece or squeezing the lips inside the mouthpiece. Both methods sealed-off air leakage while blowing into the mouthpiece.

After successful practices, subjects were observed at rest to ensure that mean arterial BP (MAP) was varying by <5 mm Hg to make sure that subjects were relaxed and had recovered from the previous practice maneuver. To start the maneuver, subjects took a deep breath and then blew into the mouthpiece without air leaks to bring the pointer aligned with the black line in middle of the slave meter (denoting 40 mm Hg) for 15 s. At the end of the 15 s, the subjects stopped blowing into the mouthpiece, and resumed normal breathing at their normal tidal volume.

This maneuver was repeated to obtain 3 clear recordings. The Stable segment of MAP for 20 R-R intervals just preceding the Valsalva maneuver was compared with the 4 phases and 5 peaks of the Valsalva maneuver. The best of 3 well-performed maneuvers with all 4 phases of BP changes was chosen for analysis.

Follow-Up

Subjects were assessed at baseline and 6 months posttreatment. At these visits, office BP measurements were recorded as described above. During the 6-month visit, a 24hour ambulatory BP monitoring was repeated, together with the same battery of autonomic function tests described above. At this time point, both subjects and the study team were still blinded to the intervention allocated to individual subjects.

Table 1. Demographics

	Treatment	Sham	Total
N	12	11	23
Male	9	6	15
Female	3	5	8
Average age (y)	57.2±10.3	61.9±10.6	59.5±10.5
Average BMI	31.7±3.4	29.7±4.8	30.7±4.2
Smoking history	6	4	10
Type 2 DM	1	3	4
CVD	4	1	5
Average BP medications	4.7±1.5	4.7±1.2	4.7±1.4
ACEI/ARB	11	9	20
ССВ	10	8	18
Diuretics	12	11	23
β-Blockers	4	6	10
α-Blockers	8	7	15
MR antagonist	6	5	11

Data are expressed as mean±SD. ACEI indicates angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor; ARB, angiotensin receptor blocker; BMI, body mass index; BP, blood pressure; CCB, calcium channel blocker; CVD, cardiovascular disease; DM, diabetes mellitus; MR, mineralocorticoid receptor.

Statistical Analysis

To determine significant differences between groups, 2-tailed independent Student *t* tests were used. Data are represented

as mean \pm SD, unless otherwise indicated. When looking at within-group differences between time points, 2-tailed dependent Student *t* tests were used. A significant difference was defined as having a *P*<0.05.

All authors had access to the study data and take full responsibility for its integrity and data analysis.

Results

Demographics

The demographics of the study cohort are summarized in Table 1. Out of the 23 subjects analyzed, 2 were excluded from the autonomic function analysis. One patient was lost to follow-up and another did not undergo autonomic function testing before treatment. There were no statistical differences between the groups with regard to sex, age, body mass index, smoking status, comorbidities of type 2 diabetes mellitus and cardiovascular disease, and antihypertensive medications prescribed.

Office Blood Pressure

The office BP data are summarized in Table 2. There was a significant reduction in office SBP in both the treatment (16.1±27.3 mm Hg, *P*<0.05) and sham groups $(27.9\pm15.0 \text{ mm Hg}, P < 0.001)$ and a significant reduction in office diastolic ΒP in only the sham group $(15.4\pm14.9 \text{ mm Hg}, P < 0.05)$, but no statistical significance between the groups.

Table 2. Changes in Office Blood Pressure and Ambulatory	Blood Pressure Between Baseline and 6 Months Postprocedure
--	--

	Treatment (n=12)	Treatment (n=12)			Sham (n=11)			
	Baseline	6 Mo	P Value	Baseline	6 Mo	P Value		
Office								
SBP	170.7±11.2	154.7±26.9	0.04	180.4±14.3	152.4±25.7	0.001		
DBP	99.9±14.0	91.4±14.8	0.06	101.0±16.8	85.7±14.5	0.02		
HR	78.5±13.0	72.5±11.6	0.03	76.1±15.8	75.2±17.1	0.94		
Ambulatory								
Day SBP	157.7±9.5	147.1±17.9	0.06	162.8±5.6	152.0±13.5	0.01		
Day DBP	93.6±11.9	85.5±14.0	0.06	90.9±14.5	84.5±10.9	0.03		
Day MAP	116.3±8.2	107.6±13.8	0.08	116.0±8.9	108.2±9.0	0.02		
Day HR	76.4±8.1	72.1±6.0	0.05	75.4±13.9	75.9±15.0	0.80		
Night SBP	146.8±14.2	141.8±19.7	0.30	153.8±12.4	141.9±20.2	0.04		
Night DBP	82.8±11.0	81.0±14.7	0.61	83.8±12.3	76.5±12.3	0.05		
Night MAP	105.9±10.6	102.6±14.8	0.40	109.0±8.9	99.6±12.5	0.02		
Night HR	69.9±7.8	68±7.9	0.44	70.4±10.2	71.2±13.5	0.73		

Data are expressed as mean±SD. P values compares the 2 time points. DBP indicates diastolic blood pressure; HR, heart rate; MAP, mean arterial pressure; SBP, systolic blood pressure.

	Treatment (n=10)	Treatment (n=10)			Sham (n=11)		
	Baseline	6 Mo	P Value	Baseline	6 Mo	P Value	
Postural studies							
SBP reclined	153.5±22.6	137.0±29.1	0.18	158.0±18.9	152.0±38.1	0.50	
DBP reclined	70.8±20.9	62.2±19.4	0.14	65.0±18.7	63.8±21.1	0.77	
MAP reclined	98.3±19.9	87.1±21.5	0.15	96.0±14.0	93.2±20.8	0.58	
HR reclined	79.6±14.7	70.5±13.8	0.04	71.3±13.2	72.1±13.1	0.81	
SBP supine	148.4±21.7	136.4±28.3	0.29	159.4±21.6	156.1±37.1	0.72	
DBP supine	66.1±20.6	59.6±19.2	0.26	61.0±18.2	59.3±19.8	0.67	
MAP supine	93.5±19.3	85.2±21.0	0.26	93.8±14.7	91.7±19.7	0.69	
HR supine	77.9±13.7	69.1±13.9	0.02	69.4±12.1	69.2±12.2	0.91	
SBP sit up	206.5±21.3	190.2±28.2	0.05	200.7±27.1	176.0±33.3	0.04	
DBP sit up	98.4±24.5	90.7±24.9	0.04	85.1±27.1	77.7±28.2	0.26	
MAP sit up	134.1±21.6	123.9±23.9	0.04	124.3±21.4	110.4±24.9	0.06	
HR sit up	101.4±23.8	84.9±17.4	0.04	84.1±15.2	83.7±17.8	0.86	
Valsalva	·				-		
Valsalva base MAP	120.2±19.7	107.6±18.6	0.07	113.0±22.4	102.4±30.2	0.26	
Valsalva I MAP	146.8±22.0	126.6±22.0	0.03	131.5±18.6	116.5±27.3	0.05	
Valsalva Ile MAP	110.6±17.6	93.3±17.0	0.03	94.5±16.6	91.5±24.3	0.63	
Valsalva IIi MAP	131.6±25.4	109.8±30.8	0.04	112.4±26.0	115.1±28.5	0.82	
Valsalva III MAP	107.0±26.4	89.3±28.2	0.12	89.4±27.0	81.5±26.6	0.38	
Valsalva IV MAP	146.2±27.0	127.5±26.5	0.04	130.6±26.3	115.6±30.5	0.11	

Table 3. Changes With Autonomic Function Tests Between Baseline and 6 Months Postprocedure

Significance is shown between time points. DBP indicates diastolic blood pressure; HR, heart rate; MAP, mean arterial pressure; SBP, systolic blood pressure.

Ambulatory Blood Pressure

In the treatment group, reductions in daytime SBP, diastolic BP, and MAP were numerically similar to the sham group but did not achieve statistical significance. In the sham limb, there was a significant reduction in daytime SBP ($10.8\pm12.0 \text{ mm Hg}$, *P*<0.05), daytime diastolic BP ($6.5\pm8.6 \text{ mm Hg}$, *P*<0.05), daytime mean arterial pressure (MAP) ($7.8\pm9.7 \text{ mm Hg}$, *P*<0.05), night-time SBP ($11.9\pm16.3 \text{ mm Hg}$, *P*<0.05), and night-time MAP ($9.4\pm11.3 \text{ mm Hg}$, *P*<0.05). There were no statistical differences between the treatment and sham groups. The 24-hour ambulatory BP data are summarized in Table 2.

Heart Rate

There was a significant reduction in resting HR in only the treatment group (6.0 ± 11.5 bpm, P<0.05) compared with baseline. In the treatment limb, significant reduction was observed in the daytime HR (4.3 ± 6.6 bpm P<0.05) during 24-hour BP monitoring with no significant HR changes in the sham group during day or night. The office and 24-hour HR data are summarized in Table 2.

BP and HR responses to Autonomic Function Tests

The autonomic function test results are summarized in Table 3. During postural studies, there was a significant reduction in HR in the treatment group in the reclined position (6.9 \pm 9.1 bpm, *P*<0.05) and supine position (7.2 \pm 8.4 bpm, *P*<0.05) following RDN (Table 3). There was no statistical difference between the treatment and sham groups in the change over time. There was also a significant reduction in both HR (12.7 \pm 16.7 bpm, *P*<0.05) and MAP during the sit up (10.6 \pm 13.7 mm Hg, *P*<0.05) at 6 months follow-up compared with baseline. No statistically significant changes were identified in the sham group.

With the Valsalva maneuver, the treatment group showed a significant reduction in MAP during phase I (11.5 \pm 17.4 mm Hg, *P*<0.05), phase IIe (15.4 \pm 19.3 mm Hg, *P*<0.05), phase IIi (19.1 \pm 25.2 mm Hg, *P*<0.05), and phase IV (17.8 \pm 24.1 mm Hg, *P*<0.05) at 6-month follow-up compared with baseline. In subjects who underwent the sham procedure, a significant reduction was only observed in MAP during phase I (10.6 \pm 29.6 mm Hg, *P*=0.05).

Discussion

In this substudy of the WAVE IV trial, we demonstrate substantial and significant reduction in office BP compared with baseline in both treatment and sham groups, and reduction in ambulatory BP that was statistically significant in the sham group. Reasons for the failure of external ultrasound RDN to provide a significant reduction in BP over and above sham therapy have been previously described.¹⁷ It would be reasonable to propose that this novel technology actually did not achieve renal denervation based upon the BP response alone, but 2 lines of evidence support the hypothesis that renal nerve ablation did occur following active therapy in our study.

First, the decrease in HR in the RDN group both at rest and during postural maneuvers was significant, whereas it was not in the sham group. This is of interest because RDN has previously been shown to reduce HR.¹⁹ It is possible that HR changes are related to increased baroreflex sensitivity post-RDN, and it has been previously demonstrated that impaired baroreflex sensitivity predicts response to catheter-based RDN.²⁰ In our study, however, there were no significant within-group changes in baroreflex sensitivity or resting cardiac vagal tone from baseline compared with 6 months (Table S1). Together with the significant reduction in MAP during the sit up in the RDN group, this suggests that the observed HR changes following RDN might be attributable to a reduction in global sympathetic tone.

Second, the changes in autonomic function in the treatment group, and in particular reduction in MAP, noted during the Valsalva maneuver following RDN in our opinion suggests a successful renal nerve ablation procedure. The Valsalva maneuver is a commonly used physiological tool to assess autonomic function. The baroreceptor-mediated tachycardia in phase II and bradycardia in phase IV are used as indices of cardiovagal integrity.²¹ Blood pressure recovery in late phase II and hypertensive response in phase IV are often used as indices of baroreceptor-mediated sympathetic integrity.²²

The sympathetic efferent nerves supply the capsulated organs in the splanchnic bed, namely, liver, spleen, and kidneys. Sympathetic stimulation plays an important role in α -1-adrenergic-mediated contraction of these capsular organs. This drives splanchnic autotransfusion—equivalent to a blood volume reservoir—in the splanchnic vascular bed, which in turn increases sympathetic-driven venous return to the heart whenever it is below a regulated level.¹⁶

The mechanism of BP changes during the Valsalva maneuver is described elsewhere.²³ There is evidence of volume receptors and/or baroreceptor modulation of the volumes of encapsulated organs in the splanchnic vascular bed through sympathetic-mediated constriction during reduced venous return.²⁴ There is also evidence of left atrial volume receptors

stimulated by atrial dilation that modulates renal sympathetic activity in animal studies.²⁵ Since there is evidence of plasma volume-related BP responses to the Valsalva maneuver in humans, the regulatory mechanism is the same as observed in animal studies.²⁶ There are in addition studies of BP changes in humans during the Valsalva maneuver after pharmacological blockade of α -1- and β -1-adrenergic receptors and other functions of the autonomic nervous system.²³ It is therefore clear that the late phase II, marked by the recovery of BP during active positive intrathoracic pressure, is caused by volume receptor-mediated increase in sympathetic outflow leading to α -1-adrenergic-mediated vasoconstriction resulting in splanchnic autotransfusion as a consequence of the contraction of capsulated organs such as the liver, spleen, and kidneys. This is accompanied by cardiac sympathetic stimulation and tachycardia.

In this study, we have shown attenuation of this α -1adrenergic-mediated BP recovery in late phase II and phase IV of the Valsalva maneuver in the RDN group that is not seen in the sham group and has never been previously demonstrated. This shows reduction in greater splanchnic nerve sympathetic activity, because there is no change in total blood volume or BP. Of note, in animal models, total SNS tone in the body is directly correlated with MAP within a certain BP range.²⁷ In this cohort of subjects, the baseline MAP in the 2 subgroups was very similar. There was no significant change in resting MAP in the RDN group or sham group postprocedure, suggesting no change in the total SNS activity with RDN.

Previous studies using RDN to lower BP have quantified change in SNS activity in the body with changes in muscle sympathetic nerve activity and norepinephrine spillover, but even these techniques have their limitations and are not ideal measures for assessing procedural success.²⁸ Measuring peripheral sympathetic drive by microneurography is invasive and technically demanding for both operator and the patient. In addition, the information provided is limited to regional sympathetic neural activity. Given the heterogeneity of system-specific sympathetic innervations, muscle sympathetic nerve activity may not necessarily reflect changes in global sympathetic tone. Muscle sympathetic nerve activity measurements can be affected by observer variability by 9% and have inherent bias against low levels of sympathetic activity.²⁸ Norepinephrine spillover is an indirect index of sympathetic neural activity and is influenced by regional differences in sympathetic outflow to different vascular beds. Also, different environmental stress triggers lead to variable regional sympathetic outflow and hence, influences the levels of norepinephrine spillover. Newer methods of assessing sympathetic innervation in the context of RDN include the ConfidenHT mapping system (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT02777216) and direct neural monitoring from Autonomix Medical (www.autonomixmed.com), which are currently under evaluation and remain to be proven with no published data to date.

Although the WAVE IV study was terminated early, it is important to note that a sizeable sham effect in device therapy of hypertension studies is well recognized and clearly this needs to be explored further.^{2,29} It may be considered that this finding acts as a warning that interventional therapies have not failed as antihypertensive strategies if they demonstrate significant absolute ambulatory BP reduction (>6 mm Hg) compared with baseline, but they may not necessarily succeed against sham.³⁰ Sham effects can persist up to 6 months postprocedure as seen in the WAVE IV and other studies. In our substudy, we demonstrate that the commonly used BP-lowering end point is just 1 of several cardiovascular outcomes of RDN, as we have demonstrated effective renal nerve ablation following RDN with changes in both HR and in the Valsalva maneuver that were not seen with sham.

Our findings should be tested a priori in a larger randomized controlled study before making strong recommendations about how to use these markers to document success, and may furthermore lead to improved patient selection for RDN therapies because those subjects who demonstrate exaggerated restoration of MAP (>15 mm Hg above baseline) in phase 2i of Valsalva, indicative of raised baseline splanchnic sympathetic tone, are likely to exhibit an increased response to renal nerve ablation. Given that our method is noninvasive, this could be thereafter adopted at scale in addition to its use as a marker of procedural success.

Renal denervation has moved some way forward since it was first demonstrated to be effective in open-label studies almost a decade ago. Since then there have been considerable advances in our understanding of renal neural microanatomy and how best to achieve effective endovascular renal SNS ablation. Now that a recent proof of concept study has demonstrated convincingly that RDN is effective at lowering BP in moderate hypertension compared with sham, it has become more important than ever before to demonstrate procedural success.³¹ Our approach using the Valsalva maneuver is relatively straightforward and reproducible and illustrates convincingly that RDN can attenuate BP responses to the Valsalva maneuver, which was not the case with a sham procedure. Just as importantly, we show that despite having no effect on renal SNS innervation, a sham procedure has profound effects on office and ambulatory BP, which has significant implications for clinical trial designs of the future.

Limitations

Our study has its limitations. It was undertaken as a substudy of the WAVE IV trial but the study assessments (including autonomic function tests) and the analysis was done in a blinded fashion to exclude bias. Our sample size was small and the study was not powered to assess changes in autonomic function tests between the groups. Although preexisting medications might have influenced the results (particularly in the case of drugs interfering with SNS activity such as diuretics and β -blockers), they were evenly matched between the 2 groups and it would have been unethical to alter antihypertensive medications in order to do autonomic assessments. Importantly, in the WAVE IV study, overall adherence with medications was demonstrated to be \approx 80% for both groups at baseline and did not change significantly at the 6-month follow-up visit, suggesting that medication changes were not responsible for the changes in BP, HR, or Valsalva parameters in either group.

Author Contributions

Lobo was the principal investigator of this trial. Saxena and Shour wrote this report and all other authors contributed to data collection and/or revisions to the manuscript.

Acknowledgments

Dr Omar Dawood and Dr Michael Gertner (both Kona Medical employees) organized the WAVE IV study and the treatment procedures at Barts Health NHS Trust.

Sources of Funding

The WAVE IV study was funded by Kona Medical. Autonomic testing (equipment and personnel) were funded by Barts Charity. M. Saxena, MDL, DJC, VK, AG, AZ, AB and JP are supported in part by Barts NIHR BRC and this work forms part of the research portfolio for the National Institute for Health Research Barts Biomedical Research Centre.

Disclosures

Dr Lobo has received a modest research grant from Medtronic, modest honoraria from Cardiosonic, CVRx, Kona Medical and St. Jude Medical, and modest fees for consulting and advisory board participation from ROX Medical. Professor Dr med. Schmieder has received a modest research grant and modest speaker bureau fees from Kona Medical, Medtronic, and Recor. The remaining authors have no disclosures to report.

References

- Lobo MD, Sobotka PA, Pathak A. Interventional procedures and future drug therapy for hypertension. *Eur Heart J.* 2017;38:1101–1111.
- Bisognano JD, Bakris G, Nadim MK, Sanchez L, Kroon AA, Schafer J, de Leeuw PW, Sica DA. Baroreflex activation therapy lowers blood pressure in patients with resistant hypertension: results from the double-blind,

randomized, placebo-controlled rheos pivotal trial. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2011;58:765–773.

- Esler MD, Sobotka PA, Schlaich MP, Krum H, Schmieder RE, Böhm M; Symplicity HTN-2 Investigators. Renal sympathetic denervation for treatment of drug-resistant hypertension: one-year results from the symplicity HTN-2 randomized, controlled trial. *Circulation*. 2012;126:2976–2982.
- Krum H, Schlaich M, Whitbourn R, Sobotka PA, Sadowski J, Bartus K, Kapelak B, Walton A, Sievert H, Thambar S, Abraham WT, Esler M. Catheter-based renal sympathetic denervation for resistant hypertension: a multicentre safety and proof-of-principle cohort study. *Lancet*. 2009;373:1275–1281.
- Lobo MD, Sobotka PA, Stanton A, Cockcroft JR, Sulke N, Dolan E, van der Giet M, Hoyer J, Furniss SS, Foran JP, Witkowski A, Januszewicz A, Schoors D, Tsioufis K, Rensing BJ, Scott B, Ng GA, Ott C, Schmieder RE; ROX CONTROL HTN Investigators. Central arteriovenous anastomosis for the treatment of patients with uncontrolled hypertension (the ROX CONTROL HTN study): a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2015;385:1634–1641.
- Scheffers IJ, Kroon AA, Schmidli J, Jordan J, Tordoir JJ, Mohaupt MG, Luft FC, Haller H, Menne J, Engeli S, Ceral J, Eckert S, Erglis A, Narkiewicz K, Philipp T, de Leeuw PW. Novel baroreflex activation therapy in resistant hypertension: results of a European multi-center feasibility study. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2010;56:1254–1258.
- Schlaich MP, Sobotka PA, Krum H, Lambert E, Esler MD. Renal sympatheticnerve ablation for uncontrolled hypertension. N Engl J Med. 2009;361:932–934.
- Allen TR. Current status of lumbar sympathectomy. *Am Surg.* 1976;42:89–91.
 DiBona GF. Neural control of the kidney: past, present, and future.
- Hypertension. 2003;41:621–624.
- Mahfoud F, Bhatt DL. Catheter-based renal denervation: the black box procedure. JACC Cardiovasc Interv. 2013;6:1092–1094.
- Sakakura K, Ladich E, Cheng Q, Otsuka F, Yahagi K, Fowler DR, Kolodgie FD, Virmani R, Joner M. Anatomic assessment of sympathetic peri-arterial renal nerves in man. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2014;64:635–643.
- Esler M, Jennings G, Korner P, Willett I, Dudley F, Hasking G, Anderson W, Lambert G. Assessment of human sympathetic nervous system activity from measurements of norepinephrine turnover. *Hypertension*. 1988;11:3–20.
- Hering D, Lambert EA, Marusic P, Walton AS. Substantial reduction in single sympathetic nerve firing after renal denervation in patients with resistant hypertension. *Hypertension*. 2013;61:457–464.
- Vink EE, Verloop WL, Siddiqi L, van Schelven LJ, Liam Oey P, Blankestijn PJ. The effect of percutaneous renal denervation on muscle sympathetic nerve activity in hypertensive patients. *Int J Cardiol.* 2014;176:8–12.
- de Jong MR, Adiyaman A, Gal P, Smit JJ, Delnoy PP, Heeg JE, van Hasselt BA, Lau EO, Persu A, Staessen JA, Ramdat Misier AR, Steinberg JS, Elvan A. Renal nerve stimulation-induced blood pressure changes predict ambulatory blood pressure response after renal denervation. *Hypertension*. 2016;68:707–714.
- Greenway CV, Lister GE. Capacitance effects and blood reservoir function in the splanchnic vascular bed during non-hypotensive haemorrhage and blood volume expansion in anaesthetized cats. J Physiol. 1974;237:279–294.
- 17. Schmieder RE, Ott C, Toennes SW, Bramlage P, Gertner M, Dawood O, Baumgart P, O'Brien B, Dasgupta I, Nickenig G, Ormiston J, Saxena M, Sharp ASP, Sievert H, Spinar J, Starek Z, Weil J, Wenzel U, Witkowski A, Lobo MD. Phase ii randomized sham-controlled study of renal denervation for individuals with uncontrolled hypertension—WAVE IV. J Hypertens. 2018;36:680–689.

- Bogert LW, van Lieshout JJ. Non-invasive pulsatile arterial pressure and stroke volume changes from the human finger. *Exp Physiol.* 2005;90:437–446.
- Tsioufis C, Papademetriou V, Tsiachris D, Dimitriadis K, Kasiakogias A, Kordalis A, Antonakis V, Kefala A, Thomopoulos C, Kallikazaros I, Lau EO, Stefanadis C. Drug-resistant hypertensive patients responding to multielectrode renal denervation exhibit improved heart rate dynamics and reduced arrhythmia burden. J Hum Hypertens. 2014;28:587–593.
- Zuern CS, Eick C, Rizas KD, Bauer S, Langer H, Gawaz M, Bauer A. Impaired cardiac baroreflex sensitivity predicts response to renal sympathetic denervation in patients with resistant hypertension. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2013;62:2124–2130.
- Nishimura RA, Tajik AJ. The Valsalva maneuver and response revisited. Mayo Clin Proc. 1986;61:211–217.
- Goldstein DS, Holmes C, Li ST, Bruce S, Metman LV, Cannon RO III. Cardiac sympathetic denervation in Parkinson disease. *Ann Intern Med.* 2000;133:338–347.
- Sandroni P, Benarroch EE, Low PA. Pharmacological dissection of components of the Valsalva maneuver in adrenergic failure. J Appl Physiol. 1991;71:1563– 1567.
- Risoe C, Tan W, Smiseth OA. Effect of carotid sinus baroreceptor reflex on hepatic and splenic vascular capacitance in vagotomized dogs. *Am J Physiol.* 1994;266:H1528–H1533.
- Zucker IH, Gorman AJ, Cornish KG, Lang M. Imparied atrial receptor modulation or renal nerve activity in dogs with chronic volume overload. *Cardiovasc Res.* 1985;19:411–418.
- Fritsch-Yelle JM, Convertino VA, Schlegel TT. Acute manipulations of plasma volume alter arterial pressure responses during valsalva maneuvers. J Appl Physiol. 1999;86:1852–1857.
- Sun MK, Guyenet PG. Medullospinal sympathoexcitatory neurons in normotensive and spontaneously hypertensive rats. *Am J Physiol.* 1986;250: R910–R917.
- Halliwill JR. Segregated signal averaging of sympathetic baroreflex responses in humans. J Appl Physiol. 2000;88:767–773.
- Bhatt DL, Kandzari DE, O'Neill WW, D'Agostino R, Flack JM, Katzen BT, Leon MB, Liu M, Mauri L, Negoita M, Cohen SA, Oparil S, Rocha-Singh K, Townsend RR, Bakris GL; SYMPLICITY HTN-3 Investigators. A controlled trial of renal denervation for resistant hypertension. N Engl J Med. 2014;370:1393–1401.
- 30. Mahfoud F, Schmieder RE, Azizi M, Pathak A, Sievert H, Tsioufis C, Zeller T, Bertog S, Blankestijn PJ, Bohm M, Burnier M, Chatellier G, Durand Zaleski I, Ewen S, Grassi G, Joner M, Kjeldsen SE, Lobo MD, Lotan C, Luscher TF, Parati G, Rossignol P, Ruilope L, Sharif F, van Leeuwen E, Volpe M, Windecker S, Witkowski A, Wijns W. Proceedings from the 2nd European Clinical Consensus Conference for device-based therapies for hypertension: state of the art and considerations for the future. *Eur Heart J.* 2017;38:3272–3281.
- 31. Townsend RR, Mahfoud F, Kandzari DE, Kario K, Pocock S, Weber MA, Ewen S, Tsioufis K, Tousoulis D, Sharp ASP, Watkinson AF, Schmieder RE, Schmid A, Choi JW, East C, Walton A, Hopper I, Cohen DL, Wilensky R, Lee DP, Ma A, Devireddy CM, Lea JP, Lurz PC, Fengler K, Davies J, Chapman N, Cohen SA, DeBruin V, Fahy M, Jones DE, Rothman M, Bohm M; SPYRAL HTN-OFF MED trial investigators. Catheter-based renal denervation in patients with uncontrolled hypertension in the absence of antihypertensive medications (SPYRAL HTN-OFF MED): a randomised, sham-controlled, proof-of-concept trial. *Lancet*. 2017;390:2160–2170.

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Data S1.

Supplemental Methods

The WAVE IV Study was carried out at 13 institutions across 5 countries (Czech Republic, Germany, New Zealand, Poland, and the UK). All patients included in the study provided written informed consent. The trial received ethical approval from the National Research ethics Committee and was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and its amendments. The study was registered at <u>www.clinicaltrials.gov</u>; NCT02029885. The trial was halted after an interim analysis on July 19, 2016. This was as a result of a lack of evidence of any antihypertensive efficacy of the externally delivered focused ultrasound in the RDN group over the sham control. It appeared to be futile to continue and of note, there was no safety concern to stop the trial.

Screening and baseline period

At the initial screening visit, eligibility was assessed by taking a full medical history, including current and past antihypertensive medication regimens. At this first baseline visit BP measurements were taken to determine if the mean office SBP was ≥160 mmHg. Patients were provided with a study-specific medication diary in which to record their compliance with their antihypertensive medications.

Four weeks later, patients attended the second baseline visit, where further BP measurements were taken to assess if the mean SBP remained at ≥ 160 mmHg. Medication adherence during the previous 4 weeks was evaluated using pill counting and by reviewing the diary. Pill counts were required to have been within 80% of expected levels for

continued inclusion in the study. ABPM was performed in each patient with a certified device to determine if the mean 24 h SBP was \geq 135 mmHg, as per the inclusion criteria.

Two weeks thereafter, the patient attended the third baseline visit. Medication adherence was further evaluated and routine laboratory tests were performed. A urine sample was collected for assessment of medication adherence but this additional measure was introduced in the protocol after the study was already running. Kidney function was evaluated by measurement of the estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR). Duplex renal ultrasound and CT angiography were performed to visualize renal artery flow velocity and to rule out renovascular causes of hypertension. BP measurements were performed to determine if the mean SBP was ≥ 160 mmHg. Furthermore, if there was a difference of ≥ 15 mmHg between the second and third visit, the patient was required to attend a further visit 2 weeks later. At this point, if the SBP was still ≥ 15 mmHg away from the value recorded at least the second visit, the patient was excluded from the study due to instability of baseline BP. Of note, change in office BP was the primary objective following the Symplicity HTN-3 study design, the results of which had not been published at the time when first patient were included.¹

Once all inclusion and exclusion criteria were confirmed, patients were centrally randomized to the intervention. Before patients were sent for intervention, all eligible patients at our centre had a detailed autonomic function test as standard of care in patients assessed for device based therapies of hypertension.

Intervention

Patients were centrally randomized in a 1:1 ratio to receive either the active RDN treatment or the sham control, both administered using the Surround Sound System. The treatment consisted of bilateral RDN using therapeutic levels of ultrasound energy. The sham consisted of bilateral sham treatment using diagnostic levels of ultrasound energy. Group assignment was carried out by the delivery of an encrypted code directly to the Surround Sound System, which then applied the respective amount of energy to the patient. Both subjects and investigators therefore remained blinded to the randomisation. In order to mask variations in pain response, all patients were given conscious sedation regardless of randomisation assignment. Blinding was assessed by separately questioning the investigator and the patient to determine if they thought they had received the active treatment or the sham.

The Kona Surround Sound system comprises a generator, a water conditioner, and a treatment module with an imaging probe, all contained in a single mobile patient platform. The imaging probe is a diagnostic ultrasound array that is used to locate the renal artery and associated structures. Real-time motion tracking allows for accuracy in the location of the applied energy. The treatment module additionally contains a phased array therapeutic ultrasound transducer which delivers the therapy.

Measurement of Cardiac Vagal Tone and Baroreflex Gain

The non-invasive NeuroScope[™] method was used (MediFit Instruments Ltd, London), to evaluate autonomic neurophysiology, as has been previously described in the monitoring of brainstem autonomic functions in routine clinical examination of neurodevelopmental disorders such as Rett syndrome and Autistic Spectrum Disorders ²⁻⁵.

A non-invasive continuous index of cardiac vagal tone (CVT) defined as, "pulse synchronized phase shifts in consecutive cardiac cycles" is a form of pulse interval jitter was quantified in real time by the NeuroScope as previously described ⁶. CVT is also continuously measured in real time and allows measurement of sudden or rapid changes in response and can be used to monitor the activity of the brainstem parasympathetic system during rapid changes in cardiovascular control. The CVT is measured and quantified in clinically validated units of a linear vagal scale (LVS) where the zero reference point of the scale is equivalent to full atropinisation in human subjects ⁷.

Baroreflex sensitivity (BRS), measured in ms/mmHg, was recorded as a measure of central cardiovascular regulation, by the NeuroScope as previously described ⁸. This index is defined as the increase in pulse interval per unit increase in systolic blood pressure and quantifies the negative feedback control of blood pressure beat by beat. The method allows detection of rapid changes in baroreflex gain in real time within a continuous measurement. It therefore facilitates the crucial measurements of sudden changes in autonomic regulatory responses and is also useful for monitoring whether or not this

sympathoregulatory function of the brainstem is fully engaged during cardiovascular control at any given moment. Baroreceptor control of the heart has been modelled as a closed loop feedback system with a delay line in the sympathetic nervous system that makes it resonate at 0.1 Hz ⁹. Hence the effect of one perturbation is diffused over a period of at least 10 s, which is twelve cardiac cycles if the HR is 72 beats min-¹. For normal heart rates (between 60-100 beats per minute), it is reasonable to use an average of 20 beats to cover a single perturbation.

The baseline autonomic status (CVT and BRS) was recorded in the supine position for at least three minutes with the mean BP varying by less than 5 mmHg. The conditions for autonomic function testing are described in the main manuscript.

Statistics

When looking at within group differences between time points, two-tailed dependent student's t tests were used. A significant difference was defined as having a p value < 0.05.

Table S1. Within group changes in resting cardiac vagal tone (CVT) and baroreflex sensitivity (BRS) between baseline and 6 months post procedure.

	Treatment			Sham		
	Baseline	6 Months	<i>P</i> value	Baseline	6 Months	<i>P</i> value
Resting CVT	4.07 ± 3.41	5.71 ± 5.33	0.14	5.81 ± 3.01	4.79 ± 1.58	0.19
BRS (supine)	3.64 ± 2.87	4.16 ± 3.01	0.31	3.08 ± 1.91	2.95 ± 1.83	0.71

* Values are shown as mean ± SD

† Significance is shown between time points

‡ CVT **=** cardiac vagal tone

§ BRS = baroreflex sensitivity

Supplemental References:

- Bhatt DL, Kandzari DE, O'Neill WW, D'Agostino R, Flack JM, Katzen BT, Leon MB, Liu M, Mauri L, Negoita M, Cohen SA, Oparil S, Rocha-Singh K, Townsend RR, Bakris GL, Investigators SH-. A controlled trial of renal denervation for resistant hypertension. *The New England journal of medicine*. 2014;370:1393-1401.
- Julu PO, Kerr AM, Apartopoulos F, Al-Rawas S, Engerstrom IW, Engerstrom L, Jamal GA, Hansen S. Characterisation of breathing and associated central autonomic dysfunction in the rett disorder. *Arch Dis Child*. 2001;85:29-37.
- Ming X, Patel R, Kang V, Chokroverty S, Julu PO. Respiratory and autonomic dysfunction in children with autism spectrum disorders. *Brain Dev*. 2016;38:225-232.
- 4. Julu PO, McCarron MO, Hansen S, Barnes A, Jamal GA, Ballantyne JP. Selective defect of baroreflex blood pressure buffering with intact cardioinhibition in a woman with familial aniridia. *Neurology*. 1997;49:1705-1708.
- Julu PO, McCarron MO, Hansen S, Job H, Jamal GA, Ballantyne JP. Complex regional pain syndrome with selective emotional sudomotor failure. *Eur J Neurol*. 2000;7:351-354.
- 6. Little CJ, Julu PO, Hansen S, Reid SW. Real-time measurement of cardiac vagal tone in conscious dogs. *The American journal of physiology*. 1999;276:H758-765.

- 7. Puri BK, Shah M, Monro JA, Kingston MC, Julu PO. Respiratory modulation of cardiac vagal tone in lyme disease. *World J Cardiol*. 2014;6:502-506.
- Julu PO, Cooper VL, Hansen S, Hainsworth R. Cardiovascular regulation in the period preceding vasovagal syncope in conscious humans. *The Journal of physiology*. 2003;549:299-311.
- deBoer RW, Karemaker JM, Strackee J. Hemodynamic fluctuations and baroreflex sensitivity in humans: A beat-to-beat model. *The American journal of physiology*. 1987;253:H680-689.