Effect of Self-Adhesive and Separate Etch Adhesive Dual Cure Resin Cements on the Bond Strength of Fiber Post to Dentin at Different Parts of the Root

Ehsan Mohamadian Amiri¹, Fariba Balouch², Faezeh Atri³

¹Postgraduate Student, Department of Prosthodontics, School of Dentistry, Babol University of Medical Sciences, Babol, Iran

²Assistant Professor, Department of Prosthodontics, Dental Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran

³ Assistant Professor, Department of Prosthodontics, School of Dentistry, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

Abstract

Objectives: Bonding of fiber posts to intracanal dentin is challenging in the clinical setting. This study aimed to compare the effect of self-adhesive and separate etch adhesive dual cure resin cements on the bond strength of fiber post to dentin at different parts of the root.

Materials and Methods: This in-vitro experimental study was conducted on 20 singlerooted premolars. The teeth were decoronated at 1mm coronal to the cementoenamel junction (CEJ), and the roots underwent root canal treatment. Post space was prepared in the roots. Afterwards, the samples were randomly divided into two groups. In group 1, the fiber posts were cemented using Rely X Unicem cement, while in group 2, the fiber posts were cemented using Duo-Link cement, according to the manufacturer's instructions. The intracanal post in each root was sectioned into three segments of coronal, middle, and apical, and each cross-section was subjected to push-out bond strength test at a crosshead speed of 1mm/minute until failure. Push-out bond strength data were analyzed using independent t-test and repeated measures ANOVA.

Results: The bond strength at the middle and coronal segments in separate etch adhesive cement group was higher than that in self-adhesive cement group. However, the bond strength at the apical segment was higher in self-adhesive cement group compared to that in the other group. Overall, the bond strength in separate etch adhesive cement group was significantly higher than that in self-adhesive cement group (P<0.001).

Conclusions: Bond strength of fiber post to intracanal dentin is higher after the use of separate etch adhesive cement compared to self-adhesive cement.

Key words: Post and Core Technique; Resin Cement; Dental Bonding; Shear Strength Journal of Dentistry, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran (2017; Vol. 14, No. 3)

^{er}Corresponding author: F. Atri, Department of Prosthodontics, School of Dentistry, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

f-atri@sina.tums.ac.ir

Received: 25 January 2017 Accepted: 9 April 2017

INTRODUCTION

Intracanal posts were first introduced in the 17th century to provide adequate retention for restoration of endodontically treated teeth at risk of fracture in function. Intracanal posts are available in different types of metal, ceramic and fiber posts. Non-metal posts are increasingly used due to high demand for esthetics and recent advances in adhesive systems [1-3]. Composite posts are among the most commonly used non-metal posts, and are composed of carbon, silica and quartz fibers embedded in epoxy resin

matrix. In 1990, reinforced fiber posts containing quartz and glass fibers were introduced to the market. Elasticity modulus close to that of dentin, the ability to bond to intracanal dentin, and conservative tooth preparation are among the advantages of fiber posts [4,5]. These posts have stress distribution pattern similar to that of natural teeth, and minimize the risk of root fracture. Moreover, fractures caused due to the application of fiber posts have higher reparability compared to those caused by cast posts [6,7]. Bonding of fiber posts to intracanal dentin is

Mohamadian Amiri et al

challenging. Several factors affect the retention of fiber posts in root canals, such as the type of the tooth, root canal treatment, intracanal post surface preparation, bonding agent and cement, and method of application of the cement [8]. Despite the advantages, some failures have been witnessed after the use of fiber posts, such as root fracture, core fracture, and debonding [9]. Complete debonding is the most favorable mode of failure [10]. Partial debonding at the coronal section of the root would cause leakage and secondary caries. Partial debonding, especially at the apical area, interferes with stress distribution along the root, and may increase the risk of root fracture [11]. It has been reported that cementation of posts with adhesive systems increases the retention of the post, and decreases debonding and microleakage at the dentin-fiber post interface [12,13]. Separate etch adhesives and self-adhesives are among the most commonly used adhesives for this purpose. In separate etch adhesive system, acid and bonding agent are used separately. In self-adhesive systems, acid and bonding agent are used simultaneously without rinsing. Thus, the latter system is simpler, and less technique-sensitive [14]. Moreover, the risks of over-drying or excess moisture, and their adverse effects on the bond strength are eliminated due to decreased steps of the bonding procedure [15]. Dentists should choose the type of cement and post based on clinical indication, required bond strength, predictability, and long-term success, instead of simplicity, or to avoid technique missteps which would compromise the restoration. Thus, this study sought to compare the effects of self-adhesive and separate etch adhesive dual cure resin cements on the bond strength of quartz fiber post to dentin at different parts of the root.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

In this in-vitro experimental study, 20 freshly extracted single-canal human premolars with

complete apices were used, which had no fracture or defect in the dentin. The teeth were cleaned in an ultrasonic bath, and were stored in distilled water and 1% thymol solution for two weeks. All the teeth were decoronated at 1mm coronal to the cemento-enamel junction (CEJ) of the proximal surface, perpendicular to the longitudinal axis of the tooth, using a diamond disc and high-speed handpiece under water coolant. Working length was determined 1mm short of the apex. The root canals were cleaned and shaped using K-Flex files (Maillefer, Ecublens, Switzerland) via the step back technique. The root canals were rinsed with sodium hypochlorite. All the root canals were cleaned to #30 apical file, and were shaped conically to #70 file. The canals were then filled gutta percha (Maillefer, Ecublens, with Switzerland), and AH26 sealer (Dentsply Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland) using lateral compaction technique. The teeth were then stored in saline at 37°C for one week in order for polymerization to complete [16]. The selected post was quartz fiber post (#2, Matchpost, Merge, Paris, France). Post space was prepared using #2 and #3 Gates Glidden drills (Maillefer, Ecublens, Switzerland) with 12mm length, and then was finished by using the post drills provided by the company. Afterwards, the teeth were randomly divided into two groups. In group 1, self-adhesive cement (Rely X Unicem, 3M ESPE, St. Paul, MN, USA), and in group 2, separate etch adhesive cement (Duo-Link cement; Bisco Dental, Schaumburg, IL, USA) were used. In group 1, the posts were cleaned by alcohol, and were air-dried. The root canals were rinsed and dried with paper cones. Next, the cement was according mixed to the manufacturer's instructions, and the posts were dipped in the cement, and were placed inside the canals with firm finger pressure. The excess cement was removed. The posts were kept steady in the canals by the operator's hand during cement setting.



Fig. 1: (a) Universal testing machine. (b) Schematic view of push-out bond strength test

In group 2, the posts were cleaned by alcohol, and were air-dried. Next, a drop of Z primer plus (Bisco Dental, Schaumburg, IL, USA) was applied to the post surface and dried for 3-5 seconds. The root canals were etched with 37% phosphoric acid for 15 seconds, and were rinsed. The excess water was eliminated by paper points. All Bond 2 (Bisco Dental, Schaumburg, IL, USA) was applied to the canal using a microbrush, and the excess adhesive was removed. Light curing was performed according to the manufacturer's instructions for 10 seconds. Duo-Link cement was mixed according to the manufacturer's instructions, and was injected into the canals by using a root canal syringe tip. The posts were coated with the cement, and were placed inside the canal with firm pressure, and were kept steady for 30 seconds. The excess cement was removed. Light curing was performed according to the manufacturer's instructions for 40 seconds, while keeping the post in place by the operator's hand. The intracanal posts (12mm in height) in both groups were marked and sectioned into three equal segments (4mm in length) of

coronal, middle and apical using a diamond disc. Each segment was subjected to push-out bond strength test in a universal testing machine (Zwick Roell, Ulm, Germany), at a crosshead speed of 1mm/minute until debonding (separation of the post from the intracanal dentin). Bond strength was measured at the three segments in each sample (Fig. 1) [16].Data were analyzed with SPSS (statistical package for social sciences) version 22. Normality of data was proved according to Kolmogorov-Smirnov analysis. Repeated measures ANOVA was used to compare the bond strength at the three segments in each group. The bond strength at the three segments of the two groups was analyzed using independent t-test. P-value less than 0.05 was considered as significant.

RESULTS

Independent t-test showed a significant difference in the bond strength of different root segments and, also between the two groups (Table 1). The bond strength at the coronal and middle segments of separate etch adhesive cement group was higher than that in selfadhesive cement group.

Root segment	Cement type	Mean (MPa)	Standard deviation	Minimum (MPa)	Maximum (MPa)
Coronal	self-adhesive	5.7	1.03	4.64	7.43
	separate etch adhesive	14.0	2.61	11.02	17.39
Middle	self-adhesive	7.2	1.73	4.12	10.22
	separate etch adhesive	10.9	1.83	8.27	14.6
Apical	self-adhesive	9.6	1.07	8.1	10.89
	separate etch adhesive	7.0	1.50	5.31	9.75

Table 1. The push-out bond strength of different root segments of the studied groups

However, the bond strength at the apical segment of self-adhesive cement group was higher than that in separate etch adhesive cement group. Overall, the bond strength in separate etch adhesive group was higher than that in self-adhesive cement group (P<0.001). Repeated measures ANOVA was used to compare the bond strength at the three segments in each group, and revealed that the bond strength increased from the coronal segment towards the apical part in self-adhesive cement group, while it increased from the apical part towards the coronal segment in separate etch adhesive group (P<0.001).

DISCUSSION

This study assessed the push-out bond strength between fiber post and intracanal dentin at coronal, middle and apical parts of the root, using self-adhesive and separate etch adhesive cements. Several tests are used for assessment of the retention and bond strength of intracanal post to dentin, such as shear, microtensile, pullout and push-out bond strength tests [17]. In push-out bond strength test, the load is applied along the longitudinal interface of adhesivedentin. This test is more practical than the others [18]; therefore, it was employed in our study. Based on the results, the mean bond strength in separate etch adhesive group was higher than that in self-adhesive group, which may be related to the bonding mechanism. The mechanism of bonding by Rely X cement is via micromechanical retention and chemical bonds to hydroxyapatite. According to the manufacturer, it replaces polyacrylic with functional

monomers of 4-META and modified esters [19]. Duo-Link eliminates the thin smear layer on the dentin by phosphoric acid etchant, and provides greater micromechanical retention [16]. Weak bonding after the use of Rely X cement may be due to the fact that although the pH of the cement is very low upon mixing, it cannot demineralize dentinal tubules, and consequently, methacrylate phosphate esters cannot adequately penetrate into the partially dissolved smear layer. This creates a gap between the surfaces, and decreases the bond strength [20,21]. This finding was in agreement with the results of the studies by Kececi et al [22], Radovic et al [23], and Rathke et al [24]. Based on our results, the bond strength in separate etch adhesive group decreased from the coronal part towards the apical segment. Since the bonding mechanism in this group is based on micromechanical retention following elimination of smear layer and exposure of dentinal tubules, decreased bond strength from the coronal part towards the apical segment can be attributed to the decreased density and diameter of dentinal tubules [25]. Also, risk of presence of gutta percha remnants, residual sealer, coarse debris, and thick smear layer is higher in apical areas, since the end point of the post space is hard to clean [26]. Moreover, the curing light hardly reaches the apical area, and this negatively affects the efficacy of bonding agent and cement at the depth of the canal [27]. On the other hand, curing of cement in the apical region weakens the bond due to high C factor in the root and polymerization shrinkage stresses [19]. This finding was in line with the results of

the study by Rathke et al [24]. In self-adhesive group of the present study, the bond strength increased from the coronal segment towards the apical region. Some studies have correlated the bond strength of self-adhesives to the density of the dentin; thus, they believe that bond strength increases in the apical region due to decreased density of tubules [28]. Moreover, high pressure is important for better adaptation of the cement to the walls; this is particularly important for parallel posts. Parallel posts were used in our study; thus, greater stress was created at the apical region during cementation [16]. Moreover, cement thickness increases from the apical part towards the coronal segment. It has been reported that the bond strength of self-adhesive cements decreases by an increase in cement thickness [29]. Thick cement layer presents a higher risk of voids and porosities, and experiences greater polymerization shrinkage [30]. This result was in accordance with those achieved by Giachetti et al [31], and Prado et al [32], and in contrast to those found by Wang et al [16]. In the study by Wang et al [16], the bond strength of self-adhesive cement decreased from the coronal part towards the apical region, which may be attributed to the use of conical intracanal posts.

Comparison of bond strength at different radicular cross-sections between the two groups showed that the bond strength at the apical region was higher in self-adhesive group compared to the same region in separate etch adhesive group. This is probably due to the higher moisture tolerance of self-adhesive cement, since water is formed during neutralization reaction of phosphoric acid methacrylate and hydroxyapatite-based

fillers [19].

CONCLUSION

Within the limitations of the present study, the results showed that the push-out bond strength between the fiber post and intracanal dentin at the coronal and middle regions was higher after using separate etch adhesive cement compared to self-adhesive cement.

REFERENCES

1- Qualtrough AJ, Mannocci F. Tooth-colored post systems: a review. Oper Dent. 2003 Jan-Feb;28(1):86-91.

2- King PA, Setchell DJ. An in vitro evaluation of a prototype CFRC prefabricated post developed for the restoration of pulpless teeth. J Oral Rehabil. 1990 Nov 1;17(6):599-609.

3- Stewardson DA. Non-metal post systems. Dent Update. 2001 Sep;28(7):326-32,334,336.

4- Purton DG, Payne JA. Comparison of carbon fiber and stainless steel root canal posts. Quintessence Int. 1996 Feb;27(2):93-7.

5- Newman MP, Yaman P, Dennison J, Rafter M, Billy E. Fracture resistance of endodontically treated teeth restored with composite posts. J Prosthet Dent. 2003 Apr;89(4):360-7.

6- Luthria A, Srirekha A, Hegde J, Karale R, Tyagi S, Bhaskaran S. The reinforcement effect of polyethylene fibre and composite impregnated glass fibre on fracture resistance of endodontically treated teeth: An in vitro study. J Conserv Dent. 2012 Oct-Dec;15(4): 372-376.

7- Aggarwal V, Singla M, Yadav S, Yadav H, Sharma V, Bhasin SS. The effect of ferrule presence and type of dowel on fracture resistance of endodontically treated teeth restored with metalceramic crowns. J Conserv Dent. 2014 Mar;17(2):183-7.

8- Skupien JA, Sarkis-Onofre R, Cenci MS, Moraes RR, Pereira-Centi T. A systematic review of factors associated with the retention of glass fiber posts. Braz Oral Res. 2015;29:1806-83242015000100401.

9- Stewardson DA, Shortall AC, Marquis PM. The effect of the elastic modulus of endodontic posts on static load failure. Int Endod J. 2011 May;44(5): 458-68.

10- Bijelic J, Garoushi S, Vallittu PK, Lassila LV. Fracture load of tooth restored with fiber post and experimental short fiber composite. Open Dent J. 2011 Mar 29;5:58-65.

11- Mizuno Y, Gonda T, Takahashi T, Tomita A, Maeda Y. Root fracture of abutment teeth for partial removable dental prostheses. Int J Prosthodont. 2016Sep-Oct;29(5):461-6. 12- Bachicha WS, DiFiore PM, Miller DA, Lautenschlager EP, Pashley DH. Microleakage of endodontically treated teeth restored with posts. J Endod. 1998 Nov;24(11):703-8.

13- Bitter K, Priehn K, Martus P, Kielbassa AM. In vitro evaluation of push-out bond strengths of various luting agents to tooth-colored posts. J Prosthet Dent. 2006 Apr;95(4):302-10.

14- Mazzitelli C, Monticelli F, Osorio R, Casucci A, Toledano M, Ferrari M. Effect of simulated pulpal pressure on self-adhesive cements bonding to dentin. Dent Mater. 2008 Sep;24(9):1156-63.

15- Wennström J: The C-POST system. Compend Contin Educ Dent Suppl. 1996;(20):S80-5.

16- Wang VJ, Chen YM, Yip KH, Smales RJ, Meng QF, Chen L. Effect of two fiber post types and two luting cement systems on regional post retention using the push-out test. Dent Mater. 2008 Mar;24(3):372-7.

17- Goracci C, Grandini S, Bossù M, Bertelli E, Ferrari M. Laboratory assessment of the retentive potential of adhesive posts: a review. J Dent. 2007 Nov;35(11):827-35.

18- Perdigão J, Gomes G, Lee IK. The effect of silane on the bond strengths of fiber posts. Dent Mater. 2006 Aug;22(8):752-8.

19- Zicari F, Couthino E, De Munck J, Poitevin A, Scotti R, Naert I, et al. Bonding effectiveness and sealing ability of fiber-post bonding. Dent Mater. 2008 Jul;24(7):967-77.

20- Goracci C, Tavares AU, Fabianelli A, Monticelli F, Raffaelli O, Cardoso PC, et al. The adhesion between fiber posts and root canal walls: comparison between microtensile and push-out bond strength measurements. Eur J Oral Sci. 2004 Aug;112(4): 353-61.

21- Goracci C, Sadek FT, Fabianelli A, Tay FR, Ferrari M. Evaluation of the adhesion of fiber posts to intraradicular dentin. Oper Dent. 2005 Sep-Oct;30(5):627-635.

22- Kececi AD, Kaya BU, Adanir N. Micro push-out bond strengths of four fiber-reinforced composite post systems and 2 luting materials. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod. 2008 Jan;105(1):121-8.

23- Radovic I, Mazzitelli C, Chieffi N, Ferrari M. Evaluation of the adhesion of fiber posts cemented using different adhesive approaches. Eur J Oral Sci. 2008 Dec 1;116(6):557-63.

24- Rathke A, Haj-Omer D, Muche R, Haller B. Effectiveness of bonding fiber posts to root canals and composite core build-ups. Eur J Oral Sci. 2009 Oct;117(5):604-10.

25- Ferrari M, Mannocci F, Vichi A, Cagidiaco MC, Mjör IA. Bonding to root canal: structural characteristics of the substrate. Am J Dent. 2000 Oct;13(5):255-60.

26- Serafino C, Gallina G, Cumbo E, Ferrari M. Surface debris of canal walls after post space preparation in endodontically treated teeth: a scanning electron microscopic study. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod. 2004 Mar;97(3):381-7.

27- Goracci C, Corciolani G, Vichi A, Ferrari M. Light-transmitting ability of marketed fiber posts. J Dent Res. 2008 Dec;87(12):1122-6.

28- Giannini M, Carvalho RM, Martins LR, Dias CT, Pashley DH. The influence of tubule density and area of solid dentin on bond strength of two adhesive systems to dentin. J Adhes Dent. 2001 Winter;3(4):315-24..

29- Uzunoğlu E, Türker SA, Yilmaz Z. Influence of cement type and thickness on polyfiber post adhesion. J Conserv Dent. 2014 May;17(3):255-260. 30- Grandini S, Goracci C, Monticelli F, Borracchini A, Ferrari M. SEM evaluation of the cement layer thickness after luting two different posts. J Adhes Dent. 2005 Autumn;7(3):235-40.

31- Giachetti L, Grandini S, Calamai P, Fantini G, Scaminaci Russo D. Translucent fiber post cementation using light-and dual-curing adhesive techniques and a self-adhesive material: push-out test. J Dent. 2009 Aug;37(8):638-42.

32- Prado NA, Ferreira RD, Maurício MH, Paciornik S, Miranda MS. Influence of the cement film thickness on the push-out bond strength of glass fiber posts cemented in human root canals. Int J Dent. 2016;2016:9319534.