Taylor & Francis Taylor & Francis Group

OPEN ACCESS Check for updates

Staphylococcus aureus colonization and non-influenza respiratory viruses: Interactions and synergism mechanisms

M. Fedy Morgene^a, Elisabeth Botelho-Nevers^{a,b}, Florence Grattard^{a,c}, Sylvie Pillet^{a,c}, Philippe Berthelot^{a,c}, Bruno Pozzetto^{a,c}, and Paul O. Verhoeven ^{(b)a,c}

^aGIMAP EA 3064 (Groupe Immunité des Muqueuses et Agents Pathogènes), University of Lyon, Saint-Etienne, France; ^bInfectious Diseases Department, University Hospital of Saint-Etienne, Saint-Etienne, France; ^cLaboratory of Infectious Agents and Hygiene, University Hospital of Saint-Etienne, Saint-Etienne, France

ABSTRACT

Viral infections of the respiratory tract can be complicated by bacterial superinfection, resulting in a significantly longer duration of illness and even a fatal outcome. In this review, we focused on interactions between *S. aureus* and non-influenza viruses. Clinical data evidenced that rhinovirus infection may increase the *S. aureus* carriage load in humans and its spread. In children, respiratory syncytial virus infection is associated with *S. aureus* carriage. The mechanisms by which some non-influenza respiratory viruses predispose host cells to *S. aureus* superinfection can be summarized in three categories: *i*) modifying expression levels of cellular patterns involved in *S. aureus* adhesion and/or internalization, *ii*) inducing *S. aureus* invasion of epithelial cells due to the disruption of tight junctions, and *iii*) decreasing *S. aureus*-respiratory virus interactions may help developing new strategies of preventive and curative therapy.

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 12 May 2018 Accepted 23 July 2018

KEYWORDS

Staphylococcus aureus; non-influenza respiratory viruses; human rhinovirus; respiratory syncytial virus; virus-bacterium interaction; nasal carriage

Introduction

The development of upper and lower respiratory tract infections is determined by the interaction between one micro-organism and the host immune response. More recently, the interaction between the resident microbiota and incoming pathogens may also participate in the development of respiratory tract infections.

It has been postulated for a long time that viral infection of the respiratory tract indirectly predispose to bacterial superinfection by disruption of the respiratory mucosal epithelium [1], or passively through anatomical and mechanical changes like Eustachian tube dysfunction [2], ostiomeatal obstruction and reduced mucocilliary clearance [3]. Over the last decades, there was an increasing interest to investigate the contribution of bacterial colonization in the outcome of viral respiratory tract infections. The human respiratory tract is known to be the reservoir of diverse commensals and potential pathogens including mainly *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Streptococcus pneumoniae* and *Haemophilus influenzae* that compose a significant part of the respiratory tract microbiota [4].

The role of interactions between viruses and bacteria in the pathogenesis of respiratory infections have been extensively studied in the literature and notably those between influenza viruses and *S. aureus* or *S.* *pneumoniae* [5–10]. However, interactions between *S. aureus* and non-influenza respiratory viruses were not reviewed recently.

The aim of this report is to describe the current knowledge on possible interactions between *S. aureus* and non-influenza viral pathogens in the respiratory tract, with a focus on the mechanisms by which these interactions are potentially mediated.

Impact of viral infections in the respiratory tract on *staphylococcus aureus* colonization

S. aureus is a commensal bacterium of the skin and mucosa, colonizing 15 to 36% of the whole population [11,12]. The main reservoir of *S. aureus* carriage in humans remains the nose [12], but other sites of carriage have been reported, such as the skin [11], pharynx [13,14], vagina [15], and rectum [16]. *S. aureus* is however a Janus-faced bacterium and beyond its commensal status, it is also a life-threatening pathogen. It is in fact considered to be one of the leading causes of nosocomial and community-acquired bacterial infections [17]. In addition to be the most common cause of bacteremia, with a 25% mortality rate despite appropriate treatment [18], it is also known as an etiological agent of other deep-seated

CONTACT Bruno Pozzetto 🖾 bruno.pozzetto@univ-st-etienne.fr

© 2018 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

infections including osteomyelitis, septic arthritis, endocarditis and device-related infections [17,19].

S. aureus is also an important pathogen in lung infection, mostly implicated in hospital-acquired pneumonia [17]. S. aureus expresses a wide repertoire of surface proteins that recognize cellular adhesive molecules and it is therefore able to adhere to and internalize into lung epithelial cells, which protects the bacteria from the host immune system and facilitate chronic infection [20]. In vitro experiments have demonstrated that the activation of NF-KB (nuclear factor kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells) signaling pathway in infected pulmonary epithelial cells results in inflammation enhancement via IL-8 expression; furthermore, intracellular S. aureus can lead, after an initial lag period, to the apoptosis of these cells. [21,22] In necrotizing pneumonia, the key virulence factors of S. aureus associated with the apoptosis of lung cells were shown to be pore-forming toxins, namely Panton-Valentine leukocidin (PVL) and alpha-hemolysin [23,24].

Besides, S. aureus is frequently involved in secondary bacterial pneumonia occurring during seasonal influenza outbreaks [8]. During the 2009 A/H1N1 influenza pandemic, bacterial co-infections complicated up to one-third of influenza cases in the United States in which S. aureus was the most common pathogen, accounting for 27% of the cases in both critically ill children and adults [25,26]. S. aureus co-infection was associated with significantly higher morbidity and mortality [26]. To date, the clinical association between the healthy carriage of S. aureus and the secondary staphylococcal pneumonia is still unclear. However, recent evidence from in vitro and in vivo tests showed that host physiologic changes induced by influenza virus can lead to the transition from asymptomatic colonization to invasive disease [27]. In addition to influenza viruses, S. aureus nasopharyngeal carriage has been found to be associated with some other respiratory viruses like rhinovirus and respiratory syncytial virus (RSV).

Staphylococcus aureus and rhinovirus

Rhinovirus is the second respiratory virus that has been most frequently reported to interact with *S. aureus*. Several studies showed that natural or experimental rhinovirus infection in *S. aureus* nasal carriers leads to increased *S. aureus* airborne dispersal, especially when sneezing is a part of the syndrome [28–33]. These studies emphasized that rhinovirus infection may facilitate the spreading of *S. aureus* from staphylococcal carriers to their environment and the transmission of the bacterium

between humans. A recent study showed that rhinovirus infection is associated with changes in the upper respiratory tract microbiota [34]. In this study, healthy adults who were experimentally infected by rhinovirus showed increase in the relative abundance of *H. parainfluenzae*, Neisseria subflava and S. aureus, and returned to their baseline level after the infection was cleared [34]. It has been also shown that experimental rhinovirus infection significantly increases S. aureus nasal load by 39% compared to baseline bacterial load [30]. These findings suggest that changes in the composition of respiratory microbiota following rhinovirus infection may play a role in the development of bacterial superinfection. However, the role of rhinovirus infection on the onset or increase of staphylococcal carriage in human remains poorly studied.

Staphylococcus aureus and respiratory syncytial virus

A prospective microbiological analysis showed that 40% of children with severe RSV bronchiolitis had a bacterial co-infection in their lower airways and were at increased risk for bacterial pneumonia [35]. Bacterial co-infection in children with RSV bronchiolitis seems to increase inflammatory markers, abnormal radiologic patterns and hospital stay [36,37]. In young infants with RSV bronchiolitis, *S. aureus, H. influenzae, M. catarrhalis* and *S. pneumoniae* are the most common pathogens colonizing the nasopharynx and the lower airways [35,36].

A recent prospective study investigated the differences in the nasopharyngeal microbiome during acute respiratory tract infections due to human rhinovirus or RSV in 135 infants aged less than 6 months [38]. By contrast to previous studies, S. aureus was not found among the most abundant bacteria. However, the difference of S. aureus abundance was significantly higher in RSV than in rhinovirus-infected infants [38]. Another prospective study investigated the nasopharyngeal microbiota in young infants with RSV infections by 16S-RNA sequencing; the authors found that RSV infection was positively associated with H. influenzae and S. pneumoniae, but negatively associated with S. aureus nasopharyngeal colonization [39]. In another study concerning nasopharyngeal aspirates from children with RSV infection aged between 6 months and 2 years, S. aureus was shown to colonize 77% of RSVinfected patients with a positive association between RSV and S. aureus nasopharyngeal carriage [40]. The conflicting data from the two latter studies may be explained by differences in target populations, sampling procedures and/or microbiological methods.

To date, most of the studies dedicated to the impact of RSV infection on the bacterial colonization of the upper respiratory airways identified a synergistic interaction between RSV and *S. pneumoniae* [41,42]. Nevertheless, nasopharyngeal colonization with *S. aureus* is clearly more than a passive phenomenon during RSV infection and further studies are needed to elucidate the interactions between these 2 pathogens.

There is no doubt that the impact of infection with respiratory non-influenza viruses on *S. aureus* colonization is important and should not be neglected as this may worsen the disease outcome and even be fatal in some cases [43]. The recent technical breakthrough of molecular diagnostic will be of precious help to investigate the changes in nasopharyngeal microbiota composition and notably in staphylococcal carriage during non-influenza viral infections of the respiratory tract.

Mechanisms involved in interactions between staphylococcus aureus and non-influenza respiratory viruses

The high incidence of staphylococcal superinfection during pandemic and seasonal influenza and the important mortality risk associated to this condition promoted the in-depth investigation of the molecular and immunologic mechanisms that are involved in the bidirectional synergism between both pathogens. Influenza virus is known to promote staphylococcal superinfection by alteration of the host immune system via increased production of pro-inflammatory cytokines and interferons (IFNs), impairment of phagocytic cell functions and suppression of type 17 immunity [9,10]. In addition, influenza virus has been shown to promote S. aureus adhesion and internalization within non-professional phagocytic cells through at least two distinct mechanisms: binding of bacteria to the membrane-associated hemagglutinin of influenza-infected cells, and binding of bacteria to free virions, followed by internalization of virus-coated bacteria into non-infected cells [44]. Besides, S. aureus coinfection promotes influenza virus replication and pathogenicity; indeed, extracellular bacteria secrete staphylokinase that facilitates the binding of influenza virus to the host cells, whereas intracellular S. aureus inhibits influenza virus-induced type I IFN signaling through impaired signal transducers and activators of transcription (STAT1 and STAT2) dimerization [45,46]. While the mechanisms of interaction between S. aureus and influenza virus seem to be deeply understood, the interactions between S. aureus and other respiratory viruses were less investigated. Table 1 summarizes the main mechanisms and pathways potentially involved in the interactions between S. aureus and non-influenza respiratory viruses.

Staphylococcus aureus and rhinovirus

Rhinovirus, the most common cause of upper respiratory tract infections (URTI), primarily targets the nasal and nasopharyngeal epithelial cells [47]. The innate immune system recognizes rhinovirus by different Pattern Recognition Receptors (PRRs) including membrane and endosomal Toll-like Receptors (TLRs) and cytoplasmic inducible RNA helicases like retinoic acid-inducible gene-1 (RIG-I) or melanoma differentiation-associated protein 5 (MDA5) [48]. Rhinovirus infection promotes proinflammatory cytokines and IFN production mainly through the activation of NF κ B [49,50].

Several potential mechanisms through which rhinovirus increases susceptibility to bacterial infection have been demonstrated in vitro in epithelial cells of the upper and lower airways. In 1981, Selinger, Reed and McLaren developed an in vitro model for studying bacterial adherence to virus-infected epithelial cells [51]. They reported that the adherence of S. aureus was significantly higher in rhinovirusinfected cells compared to uninfected cells. Only recently, various in vitro studies have shown that inflammation due to rhinovirus infection increased cellular patterns that facilitate the adhesion and internalization of S. aureus within host cells [52-56].

Rhinovirus promotes cellular fibronectin expression

In primary human nasal epithelial cells, it has been demonstrated that rhinovirus infection up-regulates the expression of cellular fibronectin [54,56]. The upregulation of fibronectin was observed at both transcriptional and translational levels and seems to be related to the rhinovirus-induced NFkB activation [56]. Fibronectin is known to mediate the adhesion and the internalization of S. aureus in the presence of epithelial cells [57]. The fibronectin-binding protein A or B (FnBPA/B) are S. aureus cell wall-anchored Microbial Surface Components Recognizing Adhesive Matrix Molecules (MSCRAMMs) that bind type I motif of fibronectin through a tandem beta-zipper interaction [58]. The $\alpha 5\beta 1$ integrin expressed on host cellmembrane binds the RGD motif of fibronectin [59]. Fibronectin is thus acting as a bridge between S. aureus and the host cell, and the complex formed by FnBPA/B, fibronectin and a5B1 integrin leads to S. aureus internalization inside the epithelial cell [57]. Then the up-regulation of fibronectin expression in epithelial cells during rhinovirus infection could explain the increase of S. aureus epithelial cells adhesion [54,56].

Table 1. Virulence factors	involved in mo	lecular mechanism	s of interactions	between	Staphylococcus	aureus and	non-influenza
respiratory viruses.							

Virulence factors	Cellular target	Effects	Synergism	References
Staphylococcus	aureus			
SEA/SEB	Unknown	Increase of IL-1 β , IL-6 and IL-8 secretion	Increase of ICAM-1 expression Enhancement of rhinovirus replication	[53,83–85]
LTA	Unknown	Increase of IL-6, IL-12/IL-23 and IFN-γ secretion	Increase of susceptibility to coronavirus	[98]
Human rhinoviru	ıs			
Capsid	Membrane TLR2	NFkB activation	Increase of cFn and ICAM-1 expression	[48,51–56]
ssRNA	Endosomal TLR7/8	Increase of IL-6, IL-8, INFB and IFN-y secretion	Increase of S. aureus adhesion and	- / -
	Cytoplasmic RIG-I	Increase of IFN-β, IFN-γ, RANTES,	internalization to/in host cells	
dsRNA	Cytoplasmic MDA5	IL-8, IP-10 and ENA78 secretion		
	Endosomal TLR3	RIG-I and MDA5 upregulation		
		NFKB activation		
		Increase of IL-6, IL-8, INF- β and IFN- γ		
		secretion		
	Unknown	Rac1 activation	Loss of ZO-1 tight junctions	[78,81]
		NOX1 production	Induce S. aureus invasion of the epithelium	
		Stimulation of ROS generation		
Respiratory Sync	ytial Virus	5		
Viral envelope	Membrane TLR2	NFkB activation	Alteration of neutrophil recruitment	[87,90,93,94,99]
Viral F protein	Membrane TLR4	Increase of pro-inflammatory cytokine	Inhibition of B cells response	
ssRNA	Endosomal TLR3	secretion	Decrease of S. aureus clearance	
	Cytoplasmic RIG-I	IRFs activation		
	Cytoplasmic Nod2	Increase of type I IFNs secretion		
dsRNA	Endosomal TLR7			
	Cytoplasmic MDA5			
Unknown	NKG2D	Increase of IFN-y secretion		
Unknown	Unknown	Increase of Lewis blood group antigen expression	Increase of toxin-producing <i>S. aureus</i> binding to epithelial cells	[88,89,91]

Rhinovirus promotes ICAM-1 expression

The intercellular adhesion molecule 1 (ICAM-1), which is the receptor of the major group of rhinoviruses [60], have been found to be overexpressed during rhinovirus infection [52,61]. Adhesion and internalization of S. aureus to epithelial cells is also mediated by ICAM-1 [52,54]. Both immortalized pneumocytes (A549 cell line) and primary human nasal epithelial cells have been found to release higher levels of IL-6 and IL-8 when infected by rhinovirus and subsequently overexpressed ICAM-1. The pro-inflammatory effect of IL-6 and IL-8 also induces an overexpression of ICAM-1 in the surrounding uninfected cells, which increases S. aureus uptake by the epithelial cells [52]. The up-regulation of ICAM-1 and pro-inflammatory cytokines in rhinovirus-infected epithelial cells seems to follow the NFκB pathway [61-63].

Interestingly, the extracellular adherence protein (EAP), an adhesin naturally secreted by *S. aureus*, was found to bind ICAM-1 [64]. EAP belongs to Secreted Expanded Repertoire Adhesive Molecules (SERAMs) and is involved in bacterial aggregation [65], adhesion and invasion of epithelial and fibroblastic cells [66–68], and in preventing neutrophil recruitment [64,69–72]. In addition to ICAM-1, EAP can also bind a large variety of extracellular matrix and plasma proteins including collagen, fibrinogen, fibronectin, vitronectin, laminin,

thrombospondin and prothrombin [64,65,73–75]. Taken together, it can be hypothesized that the ligation of *S. aureus* to ICAM-1, whose expression is enhanced by rhinovirus infection, is mediated by EAP.

Rhinovirus disrupts tight junctions

The mucosal barrier of the nasal cavity is the first site of exposure to inhaled respiratory pathogens and plays an important role in host defenses in terms of innate immunity. Its integrity is regulated in large part by tight junctions of epithelial cells [76], which is a complex of several internal and membrane proteins including occludin and zona occludens (ZO) proteins [77]. Rhinovirus infection disrupts the barrier function of the airway epithelium through the dissociation of ZO-1 and occludin from the tight junction complex [78]. Rhinovirus infection has been shown to induce oxidative stress in respiratory epithelial cells by generating reactive oxygen species (ROS) [79]. ROS generation is induced by double-strands of viral RNA (dsRNA) that appear transiently during rhinovirus replication, and is produced by NADPH oxidase [78]. In nonphagocytic cells, ROS act as a molecular switch to stimulate pro-inflammatory responses [80]. Nevertheless, ROS generation disrupts the barrier function of rhinovirusinfected cells [78]. Thus, a perturbation of the tight junction barrier function increases paracellular permeability, facilitates translocation of pathogens and their soluble



Figure 1. Mechanisms of bi-directional synergism between *Staphylococcus aureus* and human rhinovirus in non-professional **phagocytic epithelial cells**. *S. aureus* increases HRV replication via SEA and SEB. HRV increases adhesion and internalization of *S. aureus* in both HRV-infected and uninfected cells through increased release of IL-1β, IL-6 and IL-8 and subsequent increase of ICAM-1 and cFn expression via NFkB activation. HRV inhibits ZO-1 tight junctions favoring *S. aureus* invasion of the epithelium.

products, and exposes basolateral receptors. It has been found that the infection of primary human airway epithelial cells with both major and minor groups of rhinovirus promoted the paracellular migration of *S. aureus* and its epithelium invasion [81]. The rhinovirus infection facilitates also the transmigration of other bacterial species like *H. influenzae* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* [81]. This provides insights into another mechanism by which rhinovirus can predispose the host to secondary bacterial infections.

Staphylococcal enterotoxins a and b promote rhinovirus replication

Interactions between rhinovirus and S. aureus have been shown to be bi-directional: whereas rhinovirus promotes S. aureus adhesion and internalization within host cells, S. aureus enhances the rhinovirus replication [53]. S. aureus secretes several toxins including pore-forming toxins, toxic shock syndrome toxin and enterotoxins [82]. In vitro, staphylococcal enterotoxins A and B (SEA and SEB) were shown to enhance the rhinovirus replication in A549 epithelial cells in a dose-dependent manner; however, they were found able to enhance neither ICAM-1 expression nor Il-1β, IL-6 and IL-8 secretion [53]. In contrast, studies using other cellular models (normal human keratinocytes, coculture of human peripheral blood mononuclear cells and A549 cells, or primary nasal epithelial cell cultures) showed that these enterotoxins induced ICAM-1 expression or the secretion of pro-inflammatory cytokines [83-85]. Therefore, the mechanisms involved in the promotion of rhinovirus replication via staphylococcal enterotoxins need to be studied more in-depth.

All these experimental data provide evidence of bidirectional synergism between rhinovirus and *S. aureus.* The different mechanisms described above are summarized in Figure 1. Nevertheless, the molecular pathways of these mechanisms remain poorly understood and deserve further investigation.

Staphylococcus aureus and respiratory syncytial virus

RSV is a worldwide seasonal virus that affects mainly young children. RSV disease manifestations can vary from mild URTI to severe pneumonia or bronchiolitis, which can lead to hospitalization and serious complications like respiratory failure [86]. Despite the high incidence of bacterial and RSV co-infection in both children and adults, only few studies aimed to investigate the possible interactions between *S. aureus* and this virus [87–90]. RSV is supposed to exacerbate *S. aureus* co-infection via at least two mechanisms: enhancing the adhesion of *S. aureus* to the RSV-infected cells [88,89], and decreasing the bacterial clearance by altering the immune response to *S. aureus* [87,90].

RSV infection enhances staphylococcus aureus *adhesion*

In vitro studies had shown that RSV-infected epithelial cells bind more *S. aureus* than uninfected cells [88,89]. Study of

nasal washings in patients with respiratory virus diseases has shown that secretion of Lewis blood group antigens is associated with RSV infection [91]. In addition, epithelial cells (HEp2) expressing high concentrations of antigens of the Lewis blood group bound significantly more *S. aureus* than cells expressing low concentrations of these antigens [88,89]. While RSV infects about 50% of infants by the first year of life, Lewis antigen is expressed in secretions of nearly 90% of 3 month-aged infants [88,89]. This may explain the high susceptibility of RSV-infected infants to secondary staphylococcal infection, sometimes resulting in lethal issue [92]. Nevertheless, the molecular patterns involved in RSV-related increase of *S. aureus* adhesion to host cells remain unknown.

RSV alters the immune response to staphylococcus aureus

Pro-inflammatory cytokines and type I IFNs are known to be secreted by RSV-infected epithelial cells after viral sensing by different PRRs, mainly through NFkB and Interferon Regulation Factors (IRFs) pathways [93]. In addition, IFN- γ (type II IFN) seems to play an important role in the immune response to RSV as it activates the T cell response [94]. It has been demonstrated that RSV infection of human adult or neonatal mononuclear leukocytes results in significant inhibition of the lymphoproliferative response to heat-killed S. aureus [87]. This inhibitory effect on the development of cell-mediated immune response could be due in part to the increased secretion of IFN-y [87]. IFN-y was shown to reduce RSV replication in epithelial cells and also to inhibit B cell responses, which may alter the humoral response to S. aureus infection and decrease the bacterial clearance [87,94].

Murine models had been used to demonstrate that, despite the increased number of inflammatory cells, RSV decreases the clearance of *S. aureus* and other pathogenic bacteria like *S. pneumoniae* and *P. aeruginosa* from the lungs of mice following secondary bacterial infection [90]. It was suggested that RSV alters neutrophil function via changes in inflammatory response and cytokine secretion in the lung. Further studies are needed to delineate the different immuno-logical pathways that sustain the decrease of bacterial clearance and the increase of susceptibility to secondary infections by *S. aureus* following RSV infection.

Staphylococcus aureus and other respiratory tract viruses

S. aureus interactions with rhinovirus or RSV have been actively, yet insufficiently, investigated. However, bacterial interactions with other respiratory viruses are

poorly studied and only partial studies are available. For example, parainfluenza virus has been shown to enhance the ability of non-typeable H. influenzae and S. pneumoniae to adhere to human respiratory epithelial cells [95]. However, there is no information available about the interaction of these agents with S. aureus in humans. Only one in vitro study conducted on bovine embryonic lung cells investigated the effect of bovine parainfluenza virus infection on adherence of several bacterial agents, including S. aureus, and found no virus-specific effect on any of the tested bacteria [96]. Besides, normal human bronchial epithelial cells that were pre-incubated with S. pneumoniae resulted in an increased susceptibility to infection with human metapneumovirus. Nevertheless, this was not the case for cells pre-incubated with H. influenzae, M. catarrhalis or S. aureus [97]. On the contrary, synergistic effect between S. aureus and coronavirus has been demonstrated in vivo in a swine model. Lipoteichoic acid from S. aureus increased the susceptibility to coronavirus infection in pigs via increased secretion of pro-inflammatory cytokines IL-6, IL-12, IL-23 and IFN-y[98]. To date, the lack of clinical and experimental data about the relationship between S. aureus colonization and other respiratory viruses complicates the understanding of potential interactions between these pathogens.

Concluding remarks

While clinical and mechanistic aspects of the synergism between *S. aureus* and influenza virus have been deeply studied, the interactions between this bacterium and other common respiratory viruses were only partially investigated [10]. Literature still lacks more data about the relations between *S. aureus* carriage and non-influenza respiratory virus infections, as well as deeper insights into mechanisms of interactions between these different pathogens. The evaluation of the concrete risk of switch from commensal *S. aureus* colonization to invasive disease during viral respiratory tract infections may help us to propose efficient strategies of decolonization when needed. Furthermore, the comprehension of the involved molecular pathways may help to develop new strategies of preventive and curative treatments.

CDHR3: Cadherin-Related Family Member 3; cFn: cellular Fibronectin; dsRNA: double-stranded RNA; ENA78: Epithelial Neutrophil Activating peptide-78; FnBP: Fibronectin Binding Protein; HRV: Human Rhinovirus; ICAM-1: InterCellular Adhesion Molecule 1; IFN: Interferon; IL: Interleukin; IRFs: Interferon Regulator Factors; IKB: NFKB Inhibitor; LDLR: Low-Density Lipoprotein Receptor; MDA5: Melanoma Differentiation Associated protein-5; NFKB: Nuclear 1360 👄 M. F. MORGENE ET AL.

Factor kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells; NKG2D: Natural Killer Group 2D receptor; Nod2: Nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain-containing protein 2; NOX: membrane-bound NADPH OXidase; Rac1: Ras-related C3 botulinum toxin substrate 1; RANTES: Regulated on Activation, Normal T cell Expressed and Secreted chemokine; RIG-I: Retinoic acid Inducible Gene-1; ROS: Reactive Oxygen Species; S. aureus: Staphylococcus aureus; SEA: Staphylococcal Enterotoxin A; SEB: Staphylococcal Enterotoxin B; ssRNA: single-stranded RNA; TLR: Toll-Like receptor; ZO-1; Zona Occludens 1.

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

Funding

M.F.M. was funded by a PhD scholarship from the European Erasmus Mundus-Al Idrisi program supported by the University of Granada, Spain.

ORCID

Paul O. Verhoeven (http://orcid.org/0000-0003-4352-1263

References

- Bakaletz LO. Viral potentiation of bacterial superinfection of the respiratory tract. Trends Microbiol. 1995;3:110–114.
- McBride TP, Doyle WJ, Hayden FG, et al. Alterations of the eustachian tube, middle ear, and nose in rhinovirus infection. Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 1989;115:1054–1059.
- Gwaltney JM. Acute community-acquired sinusitis. Clin Infect Dis. 1996;23:1209-1223.
- Robinson J. Colonization and infection of the respiratory tract: what do we know? Paediatr Child Health. 2004;9:21–24.
- McCullers JA. Insights into the interaction between influenza virus and *Pneumococcus*. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2006;19:571–582.
- DeLeo FR, Musser JM. Axis of coinfection evil. J Infect Dis. 2010;201:488-490.
- Metzger DW, Sun K. Immune dysfunction and bacterial coinfections following influenza. J Immunol. 2013;191:2047–2052.
- Papanicolaou GA. Severe influenza and *S.aureus* pneumonia. Virulence. 2013;4:666–668.
- Robinson KM, Kolls JK, Alcorn JF. The immunology of influenza virus-associated bacterial pneumonia. Curr Opin Immunol. 2015;34:59–67.
- Rynda-Apple A, Robinson KM, Alcorn JF. Influenza and bacterial superinfection: illuminating the immunologic mechanisms of disease. Infect Immun. 2015;83:3764–3770.
- Wertheim HF, Melles DC, Vos MC, et al. The role of nasal carriage in *Staphylococcus aureus* infections. Lancet Infect Dis. 2005;5:751–762.

- Verhoeven PO, Gagnaire J, Botelho-Nevers E, et al. Detection and clinical relevance of *Staphylococcus aureus* nasal carriage: an update. Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther. 2014;12:75–89.
- Mertz D, Frei R, Periat N, et al. Exclusive *Staphylococcus aureus* throat carriage: at-risk populations. Arch Intern Med. 2009;169:172–178.
- Verhoeven PO, Haddar CH, Grattard F, et al. Does pharyngeal sampling improve the detection of nasopharyngeal persistent carriers of *Staphylococcus aureus*? J Infect. 2015;70:549–552.
- Bourgeois-Nicolaos N, Lucet J-C, Daubié C, et al. Maternal vaginal colonisation by *Staphylococcus aureus* and newborn acquisition at delivery. Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol. 2010;24:488–491.
- Gagnaire J, Verhoeven PO, Grattard F, et al. Epidemiology and clinical relevance of *Staphylococcus aureus* intestinal carriage: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther. 2017;15:767–785.
- Tong SYC, Davis JS, Eichenberger E, et al. *Staphylococcus aureus* infections: epidemiology, pathophysiology, clinical manifestations, and management. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2015;28:603–661.
- Melzer M, Welch C. Thirty-day mortality in UK patients with community-onset and hospital-acquired meticillin-susceptible *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteraemia. J Hosp Infect. 2013;84:143–150.
- Kern WV. Management of *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteremia and endocarditis: progresses and challenges. Curr Opin Infect Dis. 2010;23:346–358.
- Josse J, Laurent F, Diot A. Staphylococcal adhesion and host cell invasion: fibronectin-binding and other mechanisms. Front Microbiol. 2017;8:2433.
- Kahl BC, Goulian M, Van Wamel W, et al. Staphylococcus aureus RN6390 replicates and induces apoptosis in a pulmonary epithelial cell line. Infect Immun. 2000;68:5385–5392.
- Ratner AJ, Bryan R, Weber A, et al. Cystic fibrosis pathogens activate Ca2+-dependent mitogen-activated protein kinase signaling pathways in airway epithelial cells. J Biol Chem. 2001;276:19267–19275.
- Labandeira-Rey M, Couzon F, Boisset S, et al. *Staphylococcus aureus* Panton-Valentine leukocidin causes necrotizing pneumonia. Science. 2007;315:1130–1133.
- Bubeck Wardenburg J, Bae T, Otto M, et al. Poring over pores: alpha-hemolysin and Panton-Valentine leukocidin in *Staphylococcus aureus* pneumonia. Nat Med. 2007;13:1405–1406.
- Randolph AG, Vaughn F, Sullivan R, et al. Critically ill children during the 2009–2010 influenza pandemic in the United States. Pediatrics. 2011;128:e1450–8.
- Rice TW, Rubinson L, Uyeki TM, et al. Critical illness from 2009 pandemic influenza A (H1N1) virus and bacterial coinfection in the United States. Crit Care Med. 2012;40:1487–1498.
- Reddinger RM, Luke-Marshall NR, Hakansson AP, et al. Host physiologic changes induced by influenza A virus lead to *Staphylococcus aureus* biofilm dispersion and transition from asymptomatic colonization to invasive disease. mBio. 2016;7:e01235–16.
- Sherertz RJ, Reagan DR, Hampton KD, et al. A cloud adult: the *Staphylococcus aureus*-virus interaction revisited. Ann Intern Med. 1996;124:539–547.

- Bischoff WE, Bassetti S, Bassetti-Wyss BA, et al. Airborne dispersal as a novel transmission route of coagulase-negative staphylococci: interaction between coagulase-negative staphylococci and rhinovirus infection. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2004;25:504–511.
- Bassetti S, Bischoff WE, Walter M, et al. Dispersal of *Staphylococcus aureus* into the air associated with a rhinovirus infection. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2005;26:196–203.
- Bischoff WE, Wallis ML, Tucker BK, et al. "Gesundheit!" sneezing, common colds, allergies, and *Staphylococcus aureus* dispersion. J Infect Dis. 2006;194:1119–1126.
- Bischoff WE, Tucker BK, Wallis ML, et al. Preventing the airborne spread of *Staphylococcus aureus* by persons with the common cold: effect of surgical scrubs, gowns, and masks. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2007;28:1148–1154.
- Merler S, Poletti P, Ajelli M, et al. Coinfection can trigger multiple pandemic waves. J Theor Biol. 2008;254:499– 507.
- Hofstra JJ, Matamoros S, Van De Pol MA, et al. Changes in microbiota during experimental human rhinovirus infection. BMC Infect Dis. 2015;15:336.
- Thorburn K. High incidence of pulmonary bacterial co-infection in children with severe respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) bronchiolitis. Thorax. 2006;61:611–615.
- Suárez-Arrabal MC, Mella C, Lopez SM, et al. Nasopharyngeal bacterial burden and antibiotics: influence on inflammatory markers and disease severity in infants with respiratory syncytial virus bronchiolitis. J Infect. 2015;71:458–469.
- Jiang W, Wang T, Li L, et al. Impact of bacteria in nasal aspirates on disease severity of bronchiolitis. Infect Dis. 2016;48:82–86.
- Rosas-Salazar C, Shilts MH, Tovchigrechko A, et al. Differences in the nasopharyngeal microbiome during acute respiratory tract infection with human rhinovirus and respiratory syncytial virus in infancy. J Infect Dis. 2016;214:1924–1928.
- De Steenhuijsen Piters WAA, Heinonen S, Hasrat R, et al. Nasopharyngeal microbiota, host transcriptome, and disease severity in children with respiratory syncytial virus infection. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2016;194:1104–1115.
- Fukutani KF, Nascimento-Carvalho CM, Van Der Gucht W, et al. Pathogen transcriptional profile in nasopharyngeal aspirates of children with acute respiratory tract infection. J Clin Virol. 2015;69:190–196.
- Stensballe LG, Hjuler T, Andersen A, et al. Hospitalization for respiratory syncytial virus infection and invasive pneumococcal disease in Danish children aged <2 years: a population-based cohort study. Clin Infect Dis. 2008;46:1165–1171.
- Weinberger DM, Klugman KP, Steiner CA, et al. Association between respiratory syncytial virus activity and pneumococcal disease in infants: a time series analysis of US hospitalization data. PLoS Med. 2015;12:e1001776.
- Metersky ML, Masterton RG, Lode H, et al. Epidemiology, microbiology, and treatment considerations for bacterial pneumonia complicating influenza. Int J Infect Dis. 2012;16:e321–31.
- Passariello C, Nencioni L, Sgarbanti R, et al. Viral hemagglutinin is involved in promoting the internalisation of *Staphylococcus aureus* into human pneumocytes during

influenza A H1N1 virus infection. Int J Med Microbiol. 2011;301:97-104.

- Scheiblauer H, Reinacher M, Tashiro M, et al. Interactions between bacteria and influenza A virus in the development of influenza pneumonia. J Infect Dis. 1992;166:783-791.
- Warnking K, Klemm C, Löffler B, et al. Super-infection with *Staphylococcus aureus* inhibits influenza virusinduced type I IFN signalling through impaired STAT1-STAT2 dimerization. Cell Microbiol. 2015;17:303–317.
- Mäkelä MJ, Puhakka T, Ruuskanen O, et al. Viruses and bacteria in the etiology of the common cold. J Clin Microbiol. 1998;36:539–542.
- Gavala ML, Bertics PJ, Gern JE. Rhinoviruses, allergic inflammation, and asthma: rhinovirus infections, allergy, and asthma. Immunol Rev. 2011;242:69–90.
- Triantafilou K, Vakakis E, Richer EAJ, et al. Human rhinovirus recognition in non-immune cells is mediated by *Toll* -like receptors and MDA-5, which trigger a synergetic pro-inflammatory immune response. Virulence. 2011;2:22–29.
- Slater L, Bartlett NW, Haas JJ, et al. Co-ordinated role of TLR3, RIG-I and MDA5 in the innate response to rhinovirus in bronchial epithelium. PLoS Pathog. 2010;6: e1001178.
- Selinger DS, Reed WP, McLaren LC. Model for studying bacterial adherence to epithelial cells infected with viruses. Infect Immun. 1981;32:941–944.
- Passariello C, Schippa S, Conti C, et al. Rhinoviruses promote internalisation of *Staphylococcus aureus* into non-fully permissive cultured pneumocytes. Microbes Infect Inst Pasteur. 2006;8:758–766.
- Wang JH, Kwon H-J, Lee B-J, et al. Staphylococcal enterotoxins A and B enhance rhinovirus replication in A549 cells. Am J Rhinol. 2007;21:670–674.
- Wang JH, Kwon HJ, Jang YJ. Rhinovirus enhances various bacterial adhesions to nasal epithelial cells simultaneously. Laryngoscope. 2009;119:1406–1411.
- Wang JH, Lee SH, Kwon HJ, et al. Clarithromycin inhibits rhinovirus-induced bacterial adhesions to nasal epithelial cells. Laryngoscope. 2010;120:193–199.
- Min J-Y, Shin S-H, Kwon HJ, et al. Levocetirizine inhibits rhinovirus-induced bacterial adhesion to nasal epithelial cells through down-regulation of cell adhesion molecules. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol. 2012;108:44–48.
- Hauck CR, Ohlsen K. Sticky connections: extracellular matrix protein recognition and integrin-mediated cellular invasion by *Staphylococcus aureus*. Curr Opin Microbiol. 2006;9:5–11.
- Schwarz-Linek U, Werner JM, Pickford AR, et al. Pathogenic bacteria attach to human fibronectin through a tandem beta-zipper. Nature. 2003;423:177–181.
- Sinha B, François PP, Nüs Se O, et al. Fibronectin-binding protein acts as *Staphylococcus aureus* invasin via fibronectin bridging to integrin $\alpha 5\beta 1$. Cell Microbiol. 1999;1:101–117.
- Van Kempen M, Bachert C, Van Cauwenberge P. An update on the pathophysiology of rhinovirus upper respiratory tract infections. Rhinology. 1999;37:97–103.
- Jang YJ, Wang JH, Kim JS, et al. Levocetirizine inhibits rhinovirus-induced ICAM-1 and cytokine expression and

viral replication in airway epithelial cells. Antiviral Res. 2009;81:226–233.

- Papi A, Johnston SL. Rhinovirus infection induces expression of its own receptor intercellular adhesion molecule 1 (ICAM-1) via increased NF-κB-mediated transcription. J Biol Chem. 1999;274:9707–9720.
- Kim J, Sanders SP, Siekierski ES, et al. Role of NF-kappa B in cytokine production induced from human airway epithelial cells by rhinovirus infection. J Immunol. 2000;165:3384–3392.
- Chavakis T, Hussain M, Kanse SM, et al. *Staphylococcus aureus* extracellular adherence protein serves as antiinflammatory factor by inhibiting the recruitment of host leukocytes. Nat Med. 2002;8:687–693.
- Palma M, Haggar A, Flock JI. Adherence of *Staphylococcus aureus* is enhanced by an endogenous secreted protein with broad binding activity. J Bacteriol. 1999;181:2840–2845.
- Hussain M, Haggar A, Heilmann C, et al. Insertional inactivation of Eap in *Staphylococcus aureus* strain Newman confers reduced staphylococcal binding to fibroblasts. Infect Immun. 2002;70:2933–2940.
- Haggar A, Hussain M, Lonnies H, et al. Extracellular adherence protein from *Staphylococcus aureus* enhances internalization into eukaryotic cells. Infect Immun. 2003;71:2310–2317.
- Bur S, Preissner KT, Herrmann M, et al. The *Staphylococcus aureus* extracellular adherence protein promotes bacterial internalization by keratinocytes independent of fibronectinbinding proteins. J Invest Dermatol. 2013;133:2004–2012.
- Lee LY, Miyamoto YJ, McIntyre BW, et al. The *Staphylococcus aureus* Map protein is an immunomodulator that interferes with T cell-mediated responses. J Clin Invest. 2002;110:1461–1471.
- Harraghy N. The adhesive and immunomodulating properties of the multifunctional *Staphylococcus aureus* protein Eap. Microbiology. 2003;149:2701–2707.
- Chavakis T, Wiechmann K, Preissner KT, et al. *Staphylococcus aureus* interactions with the endothelium: the role of bacterial "secretable expanded repertoire adhesive molecules" (SERAM) in disturbing host defense systems. Thromb Haemost. 2005;94:278–285.
- Athanasopoulos AN, Economopoulou M, Orlova VV, et al. The extracellular adherence protein (Eap) of *Staphylococcus aureus* inhibits wound healing by interfering with host defense and repair mechanisms. Blood. 2006;107:2720–2727.
- Vercellotti GM, McCarthy JB, Lindholm P, et al. Extracellular matrix proteins (fibronectin, laminin, and type IV collagen) bind and aggregate bacteria. Am J Pathol. 1985;120:13–21.
- Bodén MK, Flock JI. Evidence for three different fibrinogenbinding proteins with unique properties from *Staphylococcus aureus* strain Newman. Microb Pathog. 1992;12:289–298.
- McGavin MH, Krajewska-Pietrasik D, Rydén C, et al. Identification of a *Staphylococcus aureus* extracellular matrix-binding protein with broad specificity. Infect Immun. 1993;61:2479–2485.
- Kojima T, Go M, Takano K, et al. Regulation of tight junctions in upper airway epithelium. Biomed Res Int. 2013;2013:947072.

- Schneeberger EE, Lynch RD. The tight junction: a multifunctional complex. Am J Physiol Cell Physiol. 2004;286: C1213–28.
- Comstock AT, Ganesan S, Chattoraj A, et al. Rhinovirusinduced barrier dysfunction in polarized airway epithelial cells is mediated by NADPH oxidase 1. J Virol. 2011;85:6795–6808.
- Biagioli MC, Kaul P, Singh I, et al. The role of oxidative stress in rhinovirus induced elaboration of IL-8 by respiratory epithelial cells. Free Radic Biol Med. 1999;26:454–462.
- Dröge W. Free radicals in the physiological control of cell function. Physiol Rev. 2002;82:47–95.
- Sajjan U, Wang Q, Zhao Y, et al. Rhinovirus disrupts the barrier function of polarized airway epithelial cells. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2008;178:1271–1281.
- Otto M. Staphylococcus aureus toxins. Curr Opin Microbiol. 2014;17:32–37.
- Morishita Y, Tada J, Sato A, et al. Possible influences of *Staphylococcus aureus* on atopic dermatitis– the colonizing features and the effects of staphylococcal enterotoxins. Clin Exp Allergy J. 1999;29:1110–1117.
- Krakauer T. Stimulant-dependent modulation of cytokines and chemokines by airway epithelial cells: cross talk between pulmonary epithelial and peripheral blood mononuclear cells. Clin Diagn Lab Immunol. 2002;9:126–131.
- Damm M, Quante G, Rosenbohm J, et al. Proinflammatory effects of *Staphylococcus aureus* exotoxin B on nasal epithelial cells. Otolaryngol-Head Neck Surg. 2006;134:245–249.
- Stein RT, Bont LJ, Zar H, et al. Respiratory syncytial virus hospitalization and mortality: systematic review and metaanalysis: incidence of RSV hospitalization and mortality. Pediatr Pulmonol. 2017;52:556–569.
- Paton AW, Goldwater PN. Respiratory syncytial virus modulation of adult and neonatal lymphocyte mitogenic responses and the role of interferon-gamma. Microb Pathog. 1990;9:235–241.
- Blackwell CC, Saadi AT, Raza MW, et al. Susceptibility to infection in relation to SIDS. J Clin Pathol. 1992;45:20–24.
- Saadi AT, Blackwell CC, Raza MW, et al. Factors enhancing adherence of toxigenic *Staphylococcus aureus* to epithelial cells and their possible role in sudden infant death syndrome. Epidemiol Infect. 1993;110:507–517.
- Stark JM, Stark MA, Colasurdo GN, et al. Decreased bacterial clearance from the lungs of mice following primary respiratory syncytial virus infection. J Med Virol. 2006;78:829–838.
- Raza MW, Blackwell CC, Molyneaux P, et al. Association between secretor status and respiratory viral illness. Br Med J. 1991;303:815–818.
- Highet AR. An infectious aetiology of sudden infant death syndrome. J Appl Microbiol. 2008;105:625-635.
- Kim TH, Lee HK. Innate immune recognition of respiratory syncytial virus infection. BMB Rep. 2014;47:184–191.
- Lambert L, Sagfors AM, Openshaw PJM, et al. Immunity to RSV in early-life. Front Immunol. 2014;5:466.
- Avadhanula V, Rodriguez CA, DeVincenzo JP, et al. Respiratory viruses augment the adhesion of bacterial pathogens to

respiratory epithelium in a viral species- and cell type-dependent manner. J Virol. 2006;80:1629–1636.

- Toth TE, Gates C. Lack of virus-specific bacterial adherence to bovine embryonic lung cells infected with bovine parainfluenza virus type 3. J Clin Microbiol. 1983;17:561–563.
- Verkaik NJ, Nguyen DT, De Vogel CP, et al. *Streptococcus pneumoniae* exposure is associated with human metapneumovirus seroconversion and increased susceptibility to in

vitro HMPV infection. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2011;17:1840–1844.

- Atanasova K, Van Gucht S, Barbé F, et al. Lipoteichoic acid from *Staphylococcus aureus* exacerbates respiratory disease in porcine respiratory coronavirus-infected pigs. Vet J. 2011;188:210–215.
- Li F, Zhu H, Sun R, et al. Natural killer cells are involved in acute lung immune injury caused by respiratory syncytial virus infection. J Virol. 2012;86:2251–2258.