



# Synthesis, 3D-QSAR and Molecular Docking Study of Nopol-Based 1,2,4-Triazole-Thioether Compounds as Potential Antifungal Agents

Xiu Wang<sup>1</sup>, Wengui Duan<sup>1</sup>\*, Guishan Lin<sup>1</sup>, Baoyu Li<sup>1</sup>, Ming Chen<sup>1</sup> and Fuhou Lei<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Guangxi University, Nanning, China, <sup>2</sup>Guangxi Key Laboratory of Chemistry and Engineering of Forest Products, Nanning, China

Cytochrome  $bc_1$  complex is an important component of cellular respiratory chain, and it is also an important target enzyme to inhibit the growth of plant pathogens. Using cytochrome  $bc_1$  complex as the target enzyme, twenty-three novel nopol-based 1,2,4triazole-thioether compounds were designed and synthesized from natural preponderant resource  $\beta$ -pinene, and their structures were confirmed by FT-IR, NMR, ESI-MS and elemental analysis. The in vitro antifungal activity of the target compounds 5a-5w was preliminarily evaluated against eight plant pathogens at the concentration of 50 µg/ml. The bioassay results showed that the target compounds exhibited the best antifungal activity against *Physalospora piricola*, in which compounds **5b** ( $R= o-CH_3$  Ph), **5e** ( $R= o-OCH_3$ ) Ph), **5h** (R= *o*-F Ph), **5m** (R= *o*-Br Ph), **5o** (R= *m*.*m*-OCH<sub>3</sub> Ph), and **5r** (R= *p*-OH Ph) had inhibition rates of 91.4, 83.3, 86.7, 83.8, 91.4 and 87.3%, respectively, much better than that of the positive control chlorothalonil. Also, compound 5a (R= Ph) had inhibition rate of 87.9% against *Rhizoeotnia solani*, and compound **5b** (R=o-CH<sub>3</sub> Ph) had inhibition rates of 87.6 and 89% against Bipolaris maydis and Colleterichum orbicala, respectively. In order to develop novel and promising antifungal compounds against P. piricola, the analysis of three-dimensional quantitative structure-activity relationship (3D-QSAR) was carried out using the CoMFA method on the basis of their antifungal activity data, and a reasonable and effective 3D-QSAR model ( $r^2 = 0.944$ ,  $q^2 = 0.685$ ) has been established. In addition, the theoretical study of molecular docking revealed that the target compounds could bind to and interact with the site of cytochrome  $bc_1$  complex.

Keywords:  $\beta$ -pinene, nopol, 1, 2, 4-triazole-thioether, 3D-QSAR, molecular docking

# INTRODUCTION

Plant diseases caused by phytopathogens have always been one of the main reasons for crop yield reduction, and the use of fungicides is the most critical method to effectively control crop diseases in agriculture (Wang et al., 2017). However, the frequent use and misuse of many traditional pesticides have caused environmental pollution, pesticide residual toxicity, and the emergence of resistant phytopathogenic fungi isolates (Jiao et al., 2021; Qi et al., 2021). Therefore, the development of novel effective antifungal agents is of great significance.

Cytochrome  $bc_1$  complex (EC 1.10.2.2), also known as complex III, is an important component of cellular respiratory chain, as well as a target enzyme used in the development of fungicides owing to

#### OPEN ACCESS

#### Edited by:

Peter Verwilst, KU Leuven, Belgium

#### Reviewed by:

Rodolpho C. Braga, InsilicAll, Brazil Xiaogang Luo, Wuhan Institute of Technology, China

> \*Correspondence: Wengui Duan wgduan@gxu.edu.cn

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Medicinal and Pharmaceutical Chemistry, a section of the journal Frontiers in Chemistry

Received: 12 August 2021 Accepted: 27 September 2021 Published: 25 October 2021

#### Citation:

Wang X, Duan W, Lin G, Li B, Chen M and Lei F (2021) Synthesis, 3D-QSAR and Molecular Docking Study of Nopol-Based 1,2,4-Triazole-Thioether Compounds as Potential Antifungal Agents. Front. Chem. 9:757584. doi: 10.3389/fchem.2021.757584

1

its ability to inhibit the growth of plant pathogens (Chen et al., 2016; Cheng et al., 2018). At present, about twenty cytochrome  $bc_1$ complex fungicides have been successfully employed in the world market and more are still being developed according to the statistics from the Fungicide Resistance Action Committee (FRAC, 2021) including flufenoxystrobin, fluoxastrobin, famoxadone, pyrametostrobin and so on (http://www.frac.info/ (accessed Aug 12, 2021). These compounds inhibit mitochondrial respiration of plant pathogens by binding at the Qo site of a membrane-bound homodimeric cytochrome bc1 complex and blocking the generation of adenosine triphosphate (ATP), leading to the inhibition of the energy production which is essential for survival (Wang et al., 2018; Zhu et al., 2019). Therefore, cytochrome  $bc_1$  complex inhibitors have been widely investigated by a large number of chemists to discover novel fungicides. In the past decade, some kinds of potential cytochrome bc1 complex inhibitors were designed and synthesized by Yang research team and some target compounds exhibited good in vitro inhibitory activities against downy mildew and powdery mildew (Wang et al., 2011; Hao et al., 2012; Hao et al., 2015).

Turpentine oil, with a productivity of around 330,000 tons for the most recent decades, is a natural renewable biomass resource which can be obtained by the steam distillation of the oleoresin exudate from living pine trees (García et al., 2020). It is one of the most widely produced secondary metabolites of plants, and its two major components are  $\alpha$ -pinene and  $\beta$ -pinene, which have received much attention in recent years due to their various biological activities (Nie et al., 2014). The content of  $\beta$ -pinene in turpentine oil of Pinus elliottii can be as high as about 30% (Xu et al., 1992). Nopol, in which molecular scaffold of the natural  $\alpha$ -pinene is maintained, can be prepared by the Prins reaction of  $\beta$ -pinene with paraformaldehyde under catalysis of Lewis acid (Yadav and Jasra, 2006; Jadhav et al., 2010; Vrbková et al., 2020). Nopol and its derivatives exhibited a broad spectrum of biological activities, such as antifungal (Chen et al., 2017; Jin et al., 2017; Feng et al., 2019; Chen et al., 2021), repellent (Han et al., 2008), antifeedant (Han et al., 2007), and treatment of diabetes and gastrointestinal irritable syndrome activities (He et al., 2008; Majouli et al., 2017). Herein, nopol deserves further study for agrochemical or pharmaceutical uses based on its bioactive property and chemical reactivity.

On the other hand, 1,2,4-triazole, as an important kind of heterocyclic nitrogen compounds, is a versatile lead molecule and usually employed to design potential bioactive agents whether in the field of pesticides or medicine. This molecule and its derivatives have received considerable attention owing to a wide range of biological activities, such as anticancer (Li et al., 2016; El-Sherief et al., 2018; Hou et al., 2019), antibacterial (Gao et al., 2019; Shi et al., 2020), anti-inflammatory (Abdel-Aziz et al., 2014), antiviral (Chen et al., 2019), antifungal (Xu et al., 2011), antitubercular (Karczmarzyk et al., 2020) and other biological activities (Mustafa et al., 2019; Liao et al., 2020; Peng et al., 2021). Our research group has reported the synthesis of two series of 1,2,4-triazole derivatives with potent antifungal activities (Lin et al., 2017; Lin et al., 2018).

In continuation of our interest in developing natural productbased bioactive compounds (Lin et al., 2017; Wang X. et al., 2018; Lin et al., 2018; Kang et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2020; Zhu et al., 2020; Chen et al., 2021; He et al., 2021), a series of novel nopol derivatives containing 1,2,4-triazole and thioether moieties were designed and synthesized by the strategy of molecular docking-based virtual screening based on the crystal structure of cytochrome  $bc_1$  complex. In addition, a three-dimensional structure-activity quantitative relationship (3D-QSAR) model was established by the comparative molecular field analysis (CoMFA) method.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### General

All other materials and reagents were purchased from commercial suppliers and used as received.  $\beta$ -Pinene (GC purity 98%) was provided by Jiangxi Xuesong Natural Medicinal Oil Co., Ltd. 5-Substituted-1,2,4-triazole-3-thiones were prepared by our laboratory according to the literature (Liu et al., 2012). The GC analysis was performed on an Agilent 6890 GC equipped with an HP-1 (30 m, 0.530 mm, 0.88 µm) column. NMR spectra were recorded using tetramethylsilane (TMS) as the internal standard and deuterated chloroform (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) as a solvent on a Bruker Avance III HD 600 MHz spectrometer. Mass spectra were obtained by means of the electrospray ionization (ESI) method on TSQ Quantum Access MAX HPLC-MS instrument. The IR spectra were recorded by employing a Nicolet iS50 FT-IR spectrometer using the KBr pellet method. Elemental analyses were measured using a PE 2400 II elemental analyzer.

## **General Procedure for Nopol 2**

A mixture of  $\beta$ -pinene **1** (300 ml, 1.90 mol) and paraformaldehyde (85.68 g, 0.95 mol) was magnetically stirred under solvent-free condition. When the mixture was heated to 75°C, a catalytic quantity of anhydrous ZnCl<sub>2</sub> was added to the reaction system. Afterwards, the reaction mixture was stirred at 75°C for 1 h, and then continuously stirred at 110°C for 10 h. When the reaction was completed, the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature. Then, the organic layer was separated, washed three times with deionized water, and dried over anhydrous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. The crude product was further purified by vacuum distillation to obtain nopol 2 as a colorless transparent liquid in the yield of 70% at 60–70°C/1,333 Pa (GC purity 94.5%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  (ppm): 5.36–5.35 (1H, m), 3.62-3.58 (m, 2H), 2.40 (1H, dt, J = 8.6, 5.6 Hz), 2.32-2.21 (4H, m), 2.14–2.10 (1H, m), 2.05 (1H, td, J = 5.6, 1.4 Hz), 1.51 (1H, s), 1.29 (3H, s), 1.16 (1H, d, J = 8.6 Hz), 0.86 (3H, s); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ (ppm): 144.72, 119.38, 59.98, 45.61, 40.72, 40.22, 37.90, 31.76, 31.39, 26.25, 21.19; IR (KBr) v: 3,370, 3,025, 2,991, 2,914, 2,831, 1,468, 1,381, 1,368, 1,046 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

# General Procedure for Nopyl Chloroacetate 3

A solution of chloroacetyl chloride (8.470 g, 0.075 mol) in dry DCM (10 mL) was added slowly to a mixture of nopol (8.313 g,

0.05 mol) and triethylamine (5.059 g, 0.05 mol) in dry DCM (20 mL) with ice-bath cooling. The reaction process was monitored by TLC. Upon completion, saturated aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (5 mL) was added to destroy the unreacted acyl chloride. Then, the organic layer was separated, washed with deionized water three times, dried over Na2SO4, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was further purified by silica gel chromatography (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate = 5:1, v/v) to obtain the intermediate 3. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ (ppm): 5.34-5.31 (1H, m), 4.27-4.17 (2H, m), 4.06 (2H, s), 2.42-2.32 (3H, m), 2.30-2.19 (2H, m), 2.11-2.10 (1H, m), 2.06 (1H, td, J = 5.7, 1.2 Hz), 1.29 (3H, s), 1.16 (1H, d, J = 8.6 Hz), 0.84 (3H, s); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ (ppm): 167.28, 143.54, 119.26, 64.44, 45.61, 40.90, 40.67, 38.01, 35.71, 31.64, 31.34, 26.25, 21.09; IR (KBr) v: 3,029, 2,989, 2,917, 2,835, 1,739, 1,475,  $1,181 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ .

# General Procedure for the Title Compounds 5a-5w

The intermediate **4** (2.0 mmol) and sodium acetate trihydrate (0.272 g, 2.0 mmol) were dissolved in 15 mL mixed solvent of ethanol and water (ethanol/water = 2:1, v/v). Then, the mixture was stirred at 45°C for 2 h. Afterwards, a solution of nopyl chloroacetate **3** (0.484 g, 2.0 mmol) in 5 mL EtOH was slowly added to the mixture and continuously refluxed for 8 h. Upon completion of the reaction, the mixture was concentrated in vacuum. Then, the crude product was poured into saturated sodium bicarbonate solution, and the mixture was extracted with dichloromethane (3 × 20 mL). The organic layer was washed with saturated NaCl solution three times, dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, and purified by silica gel column chromatography (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate = 1:1, v/v) to afford the target compounds **5a-5w**.

2-(6,6-Dimethylbicyclo[3.1.1]hept-2-en-2-yl)ethyl2-((4-methyl-5-phenyl-4*H*-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)thio)acetate (**5a**). Pale yellow liquid, yield: 63.2%; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ (ppm): 7.67–7.62 (2H, m), 7.54–7.50 (3H, m), 5.32–5.29 (1H, m), 4.23–4.12 (2H, m), 4.10 (2H, s), 3.68 (3H, s), 2.37 (1H, dt, *J* = 8.6, 5.6 Hz), 2.32 (2H, t, *J* = 7.0 Hz), 2.28–2.18 (2H, m), 2.11–2.07 (1H, m), 2.04 (1H, td, *J* = 5.7, 1.3 Hz), 1.28 (3H, s), 1.14 (1H, d, *J* = 8.6 Hz), 0.82 (3H, s); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ (ppm): 168.45, 156.18, 150.52, 143.60, 130.18, 128.94, 128.63, 126.96, 119.15, 64.30, 45.63, 40.68, 38.01, 35.75, 35.62, 31.83, 31.64, 31.35, 26.26, 21.13; IR (KBr) *v*: 3,031, 2,987, 2,914, 2,831, 1,735, 1,602, 1,572, 1,470, 685 cm<sup>-1</sup>; ESI-MS m/z: Calcd for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>27</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S [M + H] <sup>+</sup>: 398.18, Found: 398.12; Anal. Calcd for C, 66.47; H, 6.85; N, 10.57; Found: C, 66.46; H, 6.83; N, 10.55.

2-(6,6-Dimethylbicyclo[3.1.1]hept-2-en-2-yl)ethyl2-((4-methyl-5-(2-methylphenyl)-4*H*-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)thio)acetate (**5b**). Pale yellow liquid, yield: 65.3%; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ (ppm): 7.44–7.40 (1H, m), 7.37–7.29 (3H, m), 5.33–5.30 (1H, m), 4.21–4.15 (2H, m), 4.12 (2H, s), 3.43 (3H, s), 2.38 (1H, dt, J = 8.6, 5.6 Hz), 2.32 (2H, t, J = 7.0 Hz), 2.29–2.18 (5H, m), 2.11–2.09 (1H, m), 2.05 (1H, td, J = 5.6, 1.4 Hz), 1.28 (3H, s), 1.15 (1H, d, J = 8.6 Hz), 0.83 (3H, s); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ (ppm): 168.45, 155.85, 149.63, 143.59, 138.34, 130.69, 130.44, 130.23, 126.41, 126.01, 119.15, 64.29, 45.63, 40.68, 38.01, 35.76, 35.53, 31.64, 31.35, 30.87, 26.26, 21.14, 19.70; IR (KBr) *v*: 3,027, 2,987, 2,920, 2,831, 1,739, 1,606, 1,533, 1,466, 700 cm<sup>-1</sup>; ESI-MS m/z: Calcd for  $C_{23}H_{29}N_3O_2S$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup>: 412.20, Found: 412.12; Anal. Calcd for C, 67.12; H, 7.10; N, 10.21; Found: C, 67.11; H, 7.09; N, 10.20.

2-(6,6-Dimethylbicyclo[3.1.1]hept-2-en-2-yl)ethyl2-((4-methyl-5-(3-methylphenyl)-4*H*-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)thio)acetate (5c). Pale yellow liquid, yield: 71.3%; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ (ppm): 7.47 (1H, s), 7.41–7.37 (2H, m), 7.33–7.29 (1H, m), 5.30–5.29 (1H, m), 4.21–4.15 (2H, m), 4.08 (2H, s), 3.66 (3H, s), 2.42 (3H, s), 2.36 (1H, dt, *J* = 8.6, 5.6 Hz), 2.30 (2H, t, *J* = 7.0 Hz), 2.26–2.17 (2H, m), 2.08–2.04 (1H, m), 2.03 (1H, td, *J* = 5.7, 1.1 Hz), 1.26 (3H, s), 1.12 (1H, d, *J* = 8.6 Hz), 0.81 (3H, s); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ (ppm): 168.46, 156.33, 150.36, 143.60, 138.85, 130.94, 129.40, 128.74, 126.84, 125.53, 119.14, 64.28, 45.62, 40.68, 38.00, 35.75, 35.66, 31.81, 31.63, 31.35, 26.25, 21.41, 21.12; IR (KBr) *v*: 3,027, 2,987, 2,918, 2,833, 1,733, 1,612, 1,589, 1,475, 695 cm<sup>-1</sup>; ESI-MS m/z: Calcd for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>29</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S [M + H] <sup>+</sup>: 412.20, Found: 412.14; Anal. Calcd for C, 67.12; H, 7.10; N, 10.21; Found: C, 67.10; H, 7.08; N, 10.20.

2-(6,6-Dimethylbicyclo[3.1.1]hept-2-en-2-yl)ethyl2-((4-methyl-5-(4-methylphenyl)-4*H*-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)thio)acetate (**5d**). Pale yellow liquid, yield: 75.8%; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ (ppm): 7.53 (2H, d, J = 8.1 Hz), 7.32 (2H, d, J = 8.0 Hz), 5.32–5.28 (1H, m), 4.21–4.14 (2H, m), 4.09 (2H, s), 3.66 (3H, s), 2.44 (3H, s), 2.37 (1H, dt, J = 8.6, 5.6 Hz), 2.31 (2H, t, J = 7.0 Hz), 2.28–2.17 (2H, m), 2.09–2.05 (1H, m), 2.04 (1H, td, J = 5.6, 1.3 Hz), 1.28 (3H, s), 1.14 (1H, d, J = 8.6 Hz), 0.82 (3H, s); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  (ppm): 168.47, 156.25, 150.26, 143.59, 140.38, 129.60, 128.49, 124.02, 119.13, 77.30, 77.04, 76.79, 64.28, 45.62, 40.68, 38.00, 35.74, 35.65, 31.80, 31.63, 31.34, 26.25, 21.45, 21.12; IR (KBr)  $\nu$ : 3,029, 2,985, 2,916, 2,831, 1,739, 1,620, 1,475, 697 cm<sup>-1</sup>; ESI-MS m/z: Calcd for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>29</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S [M + H]<sup>+</sup>: 412.20, Found: 412.13; Anal. Calcd for C, 67.12; H, 7.10; N, 10.21; Found: C, 67.10; H, 7.08; N, 10.19.

2-(6,6-Dimethylbicyclo[3.1.1]hept-2-en-2-yl)ethyl2-((4-methyl-5-(2-methoxyphenyl)-4*H*-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)thio)acetate (**5e**). Pale yellow liquid, yield: 62.2%; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ (ppm): 7.54–7.48 (2H, m), 7.09 (1H, td, J = 7.5, 0.8 Hz), 7.04–7.00 (1H, m), 5.33–5.29 (1H, m), 4.23–4.15 (2H, m), 4.12 (2H, s), 3.84 (3H, s), 3.46 (3H, s), 2.38 (1H, dt, J = 8.5, 5.6 Hz), 2.35–2.30 (2H, m), 2.29–2.18 (2H, m), 2.10–2.08 (1H, m), 2.05 (1H, td, J = 5.6, 1.3 Hz), 1.28 (3H, s), 1.15 (1H, d, J = 8.6 Hz), 0.83 (3H, s); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ (ppm): 168.52, 157.23, 154.67, 149.78, 143.62, 132.28, 132.15, 121.12, 119.13, 116.15, 111.06, 64.24, 55.56, 45.63, 40.69, 38.01, 35.75, 35.49, 31.64, 31.35, 31.13, 26.26, 21.13; IR (KBr) v: 3,027, 2,984, 2,912, 2,833, 1,736, 1,608, 1,584, 1,475, 675 cm<sup>-1</sup>; ESI-MS m/z: Calcd for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>29</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>3</sub>S [M + H] <sup>+</sup>: 428.19, Found: 428.13; Anal. Calcd for C, 64.61; H, 6.84; N, 9.83; Found: C, 64.59; H, 6.83; N, 9.81.

2-(6,6-Dimethylbicyclo[3.1.1]hept-2-en-2-yl)ethyl2-((4-methyl-5-(3-methoxyphenyl)-4*H*-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)thio)acetate (**5f**). Pale yellow liquid, yield: 65.9%; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ (ppm): 7.42 (1H, t, *J* = 8.0 Hz), 7.22 (1H, s), 7.18 (1H, d, *J* = 7.5 Hz), 7.05 (1H, dd, *J* = 8.3, 2.4 Hz), 5.32–5.29 (1H, m), 4.20–4.15 (2H, m), 4.10 (2H, s), 3.87 (3H, s), 3.68 (3H, s), 2.37 (1H, dt, *J* = 8.5, 5.6 Hz), 2.32 (2H, t, J = 7.0 Hz), 2.28–2.18 (2H, m), 2.10–2.08 (1H, m), 2.05 (1H, dd, J = 10.1, 4.6 Hz), 1.28 (3H, s), 1.14 (1H, d, J = 8.6 Hz), 0.82 (3H, s); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  (ppm): 168.42, 159.88, 156.04, 155.76, 150.56, 143.59, 129.95, 128.09, 120.62, 119.14, 116.21, 114.05, 64.30, 55.46, 45.62, 40.68, 38.01, 35.75, 35.60, 31.87, 31.63, 31.35, 26.25, 21.12; IR (KBr) *v*: 3,033, 2,983, 2,912, 2,833, 1,737, 1,610, 1,583, 1,481, 693 cm<sup>-1</sup>; ESI-MS m/z: Calcd for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>29</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>3</sub>S [M + H]<sup>+</sup>: 428.19, Found: 428.27; Anal. Calcd for C, 64.61; H, 6.84; N, 9.83; Found: C, 64.60; H, 6.83; N, 9.82.

2-(6,6-Dimethylbicyclo[3.1.1]hept-2-en-2-yl)ethyl2-((4-methyl-5-(4-methoxyphenyl)-4*H*-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)thio)acetate (**5g**). Pale yellow liquid, yield: 71.2%; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ (ppm): 7.58 (2H, d, *J* = 8.3 Hz), 7.03 (2H, d, *J* = 8.4 Hz), 5.31–5.29 (1H, m), 4.20–4.13 (2H, m), 4.08 (2H, s), 3.88 (3H, s), 3.66 (3H, s), 2.37 (1H, dt, *J* = 8.4, 5.6 Hz), 2.31 (2H, t, *J* = 7.0 Hz), 2.30–2.18 (2H, m), 2.10–2.08 (1H, m), 2.05 (1H, dd, *J* = 10.7, 5.2 Hz), 1.28 (3H, s), 1.14 (1H, d, *J* = 8.6 Hz), 0.82 (3H, s); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  (ppm): 168.48, 161.01, 156.07, 150.08, 143.59, 130.07, 119.24, 119.13, 114.37, 64.27, 55.41, 45.62, 40.68, 38.01, 35.75, 35.68, 31.79, 31.63, 31.35, 26.26, 21.12; IR (KBr) *v*: 3,035, 2,983, 2,927, 2,831, 1,737, 1,612, 1,577, 1,479, 722 cm<sup>-1</sup>; ESI-MS m/z: Calcd for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>29</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>3</sub>S [M + H] <sup>+</sup>: 428.19, Found: 428.28; Anal. Calcd for C, 64.61; H, 6.84; N, 9.83; Found: C, 64.60; H, 6.82; N, 9.81.

2-(6,6-Dimethylbicyclo[3.1.1]hept-2-en-2-yl)ethyl2-((4-methyl-5-(2-fluorophenyl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)thio)acetate (5h). Pale yellow liquid, yield: 68.2%; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ (ppm): 7.65 (1H, td, J = 7.4, 1.8 Hz), 7.55 (1H, td, J = 7.3, 1.8 Hz), 7.32 (1H, td, J = 7.6, 1.0 Hz), 7.26–7.20 (1H, m), 5.33-5.29 (1H, m), 4.24-4.14 (2H, m), 4.12 (2H, s), 3.57 (3H, s), 2.37 (1H, dt, J = 8.6, 5.6 Hz), 2.33 (2H, t, J = 7.2 Hz), 2.30-2.17 (2H, m), 2.12–2.07 (1H, m), 2.05 (1H, td, J = 5.6, 1.4 Hz), 1.28 (3H, s), 1.14 (1H, d, J = 8.6 Hz), 0.83 (3H, s); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ (ppm): 168.36, 160.72, 158.73, 152.29, 150.76, 143.59, 132.70, 132.63, 132.28, 132.26, 124.98, 124.95, 119.14, 116.15, 115.98, 115.23, 115.12, 64.31, 45.62, 40.68, 38.01, 35.74, 35.47, 31.63, 31.35, 31.27, 26.26, 21.12; IR (KBr) v: 3,031, 2,987, 2,914, 2,831, 1,735, 1,602, 1,572, 1,468, 685 cm<sup>-1</sup>; ESI-MS m/z: Calcd for C22H26FN3O2S [M+H] +: 416.17, Found: 416.10; Anal. Calcd for C, 63.59; H, 6.31; N, 10.11; Found:C, 63.57; H, 6.30; N, 10.10.

2-(6,6-Dimethylbicyclo[3.1.1]hept-2-en-2-yl)ethyl2-((4-methyl-5-(3-fluorophenyl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)thio)acetate (5i). Pale yellow liquid, yield: 71.2%; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ (ppm): 7.50 (1H, td, J = 8.0, 5.7 Hz), 7.46-7.42 (1H, m), 7.41–7.37 (1H, m), 7.22 (1H, tdd, J = 8.4, 2.6, 1.0 Hz), 5.32–5.29 (1H, m), 4.23-4.12 (2H, m), 4.10 (2H, s), 3.70 (3H, s), 2.37 (1H, dt, J = 8.6, 5.6 Hz, 2.32 (2H, t, J = 7.1 Hz), 2.28–2.17 (2H, m), 2.11–2.07 (1H, m), 2.04 (1H, td, I = 5.6, 1.3 Hz), 1.28 (3H, s), 1.14 (1H, d, J = 8.6 Hz), 0.82 (3H, s); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ (ppm): 168.34, 163.71, 161.74, 154.97, 151.01, 143.57, 130.74, 130.67, 128.91, 128.84, 124.24, 124.22, 119.15, 117.33, 117.16, 115.83, 115.65, 64.33, 45.62, 40.67, 38.00, 35.75, 35.50, 31.90, 31.63, 31.34, 26.25, 21.12; IR (KBr) v: 3,027, 2,981, 2,916, 2,831, 1,739, 1,616, 1,589, 1,479, 687 cm<sup>-1</sup>; ESI-MS m/z: Calcd for  $C_{22}H_{26}FN_{3}O_{2}S$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup>: 416.17, Found: 416.25; Anal. Calcd for C, 63.59; H, 6.31; N, 10.11; Found:C, 63.58; H, 6.29; N, 10.10. 2-(6,6-Dimethylbicyclo[3.1.1]hept-2-en-2-yl)ethyl2-((4-methyl-5-(4-fluorophenyl)-4*H*-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)thio)acetate (**5j**). Pale yellow liquid, yield: 78.7%; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ (ppm): 7.67–7.62 (2H, m), 7.25–7.19 (2H, m), 5.32–5.29 (1H, m), 4.23–4.12 (2H, m), 4.10 (2H, s), 3.67 (3H, s), 2.37 (1H, dt, J = 8.6, 5.6 Hz), 2.33 (2H, t, J = 7.1 Hz), 2.28–2.17 (2H, m), 2.11–2.07 (1H, m), 2.04 (1H, td, J = 5.6, 1.4 Hz), 1.28 (3H, s), 1.14 (1H, d, J = 8.6 Hz), 0.82 (3H, s); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ (ppm): 168.39, 164.80, 162.80, 155.29, 150.64, 143.58, 130.72, 130.65, 123.14, 123.11, 119.15, 116.29, 116.11, 64.32, 45.62, 40.67, 38.00, 35.75, 35.60, 31.80, 31.63, 31.34, 26.25, 21.12; IR (KBr) v: 3,029, 2,985, 2,918, 2,833, 1,739, 1,610, 1,537, 1,483, 625 cm<sup>-1</sup>; ESI-MS m/z: Calcd for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>26</sub>FN<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S [M + H]<sup>+</sup>: 416.17, Found: 416.10; Anal. Calcd for C, 63.59; H, 6.31; N, 10.11; Found:C, 63.57; H, 6.29; N, 10.09.

2-(6,6-Dimethylbicyclo[3.1.1]hept-2-en-2-yl)ethyl2-((4-methyl-5-(2-chlorophenyl)-4*H*-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)thio)acetate (**5k**). Pale yellow liquid, yield: 66.2%; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ (ppm): 7.55–7.48 (3H, m), 7.42 (1H, td, *J* = 7.4, 1.4 Hz), 5.33–5.30 (1H, m), 4.24–4.15 (2H, m), 4.13 (2H, s), 3.50 (3H, s), 2.38 (1H, dt, *J* = 8.6, 5.6 Hz), 2.32 (2H, t, *J* = 7.2 Hz), 2.30–2.17 (2H, m), 2.12–2.07 (1H, m), 2.04 (1H, td, *J* = 5.6, 1.4 Hz), 1.28 (3H, s), 1.15 (1H, d, *J* = 8.6 Hz), 0.83 (3H, s); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ (ppm): 168.36, 154.28, 150.32, 143.59, 134.22, 132.66, 131.98, 129.90, 127.27, 126.54, 119.15, 64.32, 45.63, 40.68, 38.01, 35.76, 35.48, 31.64, 31.35, 31.10, 26.26, 21.14; IR (KBr) *v*: 3,025, 2,983, 2,914, 2,831, 1,739, 1,600, 1,566, 1,470, 658 cm<sup>-1</sup>; ESI-MS m/z: Calcd for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>26</sub>ClN<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S [M + H]<sup>+</sup>: 432.14, Found: 432.08; Anal. Calcd for C, 61.17; H, 6.07; N, 9.73; Found: C, 61.15; H, 6.06; N, 9.72.

2-(6,6-Dimethylbicyclo[3.1.1]hept-2-en-2-yl)ethyl2-((4-methyl-5-(3-chlorophenyl)-4*H*-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)thio)acetate (**5l**). Pale yellow liquid, yield: 69.2%; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ (ppm): 7.67 (1H, t, *J* = 1.8 Hz), 7.55 (1H, dt, *J* = 7.4, 1.4 Hz), 7.52–7.45 (2H, m), 5.33–5.29 (1H, m), 4.20–4.11 (2H, m), 4.11 (2H, s), 3.70 (3H, s), 2.37 (1H, dt, *J* = 8.6, 5.6 Hz), 2.32 (2H, t, *J* = 7.0 Hz), 2.28–2.18 (2H, m), 2.12–2.07 (1H, m), 2.05 (1H, td, *J* = 5.6, 1.4 Hz), 1.28 (3H, s), 1.14 (1H, d, *J* = 8.6 Hz), 0.82 (3H, s); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  (ppm): 168.35, 154.86, 151.03, 143.57, 135.00, 130.32, 130.26, 128.64, 126.66, 119.16, 64.34, 45.62, 40.67, 38.01, 35.75, 35.59, 31.90, 31.63, 31.35, 26.25, 21.12; IR (KBr) *v*: 3,025, 2,987, 2,914, 2,831, 1,741, 1,604, 1,572, 1,472, 689 cm<sup>-1</sup>; ESI-MS m/z: Calcd for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>26</sub>ClN<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S [M + H]<sup>+</sup>: 432.14, Found: 432.05; Anal. Calcd for C, 61.17; H, 6.07; N, 9.73; Found: C, 61.16; H, 6.05; N, 9.72.

2-(6,6-Dimethylbicyclo[3.1.1]hept-2-en-2-yl)ethyl2-((4-methyl-5-(2-bromophenyl)-4*H*-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)thio)acetate (**5m**). Pale yellow liquid, yield: 75.2%; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ (ppm): 7.72 (1H, d, *J* = 7.6 Hz), 7.50–7.46 (2H, m), 7.46–7.40 (1H, m), 5.34–5.29 (1H, m), 4.23–4.15 (2H, m), 4.13 (2H, s), 3.49 (3H, s), 2.38 (1H, dt, *J* = 8.6, 5.6 Hz), 2.33 (2H, t, *J* = 7.2 Hz) 2.29–2.18 (2H, m), 2.12–2.07 (1H, m), 2.05 (1H, td, *J* = 5.6, 1.4 Hz), 1.29 (3H, s), 1.15 (1H, d, *J* = 8.6 Hz), 0.83 (3H, s); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  (ppm): 168.36, 155.37, 150.14, 143.59, 133.05, 132.72, 132.09, 128.72, 127.76, 123.93, 119.16, 64.31, 45.64, 40.69, 38.02, 35.77, 35.51, 31.65, 31.36, 31.14, 26.27, 21.15; IR (KBr)  $\nu$ : 3,027, 2,987, 2,916, 2,829, 1,737, 1,598, 1,564, 1,472,



650 cm<sup>-1</sup>; ESI-MS m/z: Calcd for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>26</sub>BrN<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S [M + H]<sup>+</sup>: 478.09, Found: 478.00; Anal. Calcd for C, 55.46; H, 5.50; N, 8.82; Found: C, 55.45; H, 5.49; N, 8.81.

2-(6,6-Dimethylbicyclo[3.1.1]hept-2-en-2-yl)ethyl2-((4-methyl-5-(3-bromophenyl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)thio)acetate (5n). Pale yellow liquid, yield: 59.5%; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ (ppm): 7.82 (1H, t, J = 1.7 Hz), 7.66 (1H, ddd, J = 8.1, 1.9, 1.0 Hz), 7.61–7.57 (1H, m), 7.40 (1H, t, J = 7.9 Hz), 5.32–5.28 (1H, m), 4.22-4.13 (2H, m), 4.10 (2H, s), 3.69 (3H, s), 2.37 (1H, dt, J = 8.6, 5.6 Hz), 2.31 (2H, t, J = 7.0 Hz), 2.29–2.17 (2H, m), 2.10-2.08 (1H, m), 2.05 (1H, td, J = 5.6, 1.4 Hz), 1.28 (3H, s), 1.14 (1H, d, J = 8.6 Hz), 0.82 (3H, s); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ (ppm): 168.34, 154.73, 151.03, 143.56, 133.25, 131.50, 130.46, 128.85, 127.10, 122.98, 119.16, 64.34, 45.62, 40.67, 38.01, 35.75, 35.59, 31.90, 31.64, 31.35, 26.26, 21.13; IR (KBr) v: 3,027, 2,981, 2,918, 2,829, 1,737, 1,600, 1,568, 1,475, 685 cm<sup>-1</sup>; ESI-MS m/z: Calcd for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>26</sub>BrN<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S [M + H]<sup>+</sup>: 478.09, Found: 478.01; Anal. Calcd for C, 55.46; H, 5.50; N, 8.82; Found: C, 55.44; H, 5.49; N, 8.80.

2-(6,6-Dimethylbicyclo[3.1.1]hept-2-en-2-yl)ethyl2-((5-(3,5-dimethoxyphenyl)-4-methyl-4*H*-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)thio)acetate (**50**). Pale yellow liquid, yield: 68.5%; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  (ppm): 6.77 (2H, d, *J* = 2.3 Hz), 6.59 (1H, t, *J* = 2.3 Hz), 5.32–5.29 (1H, m), 4.21–4.12 (2H, m), 4.09 (2H, s), 3.85 (6H, s), 3.68 (3H, s), 2.37 (1H, dt, *J* = 8.6, 5.6 Hz), 2.32 (2H, t, *J* = 7.0 Hz), 2.28–2.17 (2H, m), 2.11–2.06 (1H, m), 2.05 (1H, td, *J* = 5.6, 1.4 Hz), 1.28 (3H, s), 1.14 (1H, d, *J* = 8.6 Hz), 0.82 (3H, s); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  (ppm): 168.40, 161.03, 156.00, 150.61, 143.58, 128.49, 119.13, 106.70, 102.21, 64.30, 55.58, 45.62, 40.67, 38.00, 35.74, 35.58, 31.91, 31.63, 31.34, 26.25, 21.12; IR (KBr) *v*: 2,985, 2,917, 2,834, 1,735, 1,596, 1,525, 1,482, 688 cm<sup>-1</sup>; ESI-MS m/z: Calcd for C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>31</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>S [M+H]<sup>+</sup>: 458.20, Found: 458.14; Anal. Calcd for C, 63.00; H, 6.83; N, 9.18; Found: C, 63.00; H, 6.81; N, 9.16.

2-(6,6-Dimethylbicyclo[3.1.1]hept-2-en-2-yl)ethyl2-((5-(2-amino-5-methylphenyl)-4-methyl-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)thio) acetate (**5p**). Pale yellow liquid, yield: 81.2%; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  (ppm): 7.07 (1H, dd, J = 8.2, 1.6 Hz), 7.00 (1H, d, J = 1.6 Hz), 6.75 (1H, d, J = 8.2 Hz), 5.32–5.30 (1H, m), 4.21–4.11 (2H, m), 4.11 (2H, s), 3.62 (3H, s), 2.38 (1H, dt, J = 8.6, 5.6 Hz), 2.33 (2H, t, J = 7.2 Hz), 2.29 (3H, s), 2.25–2.18 (2H, m), 2.12–2.07 (1H, m), 2.05 (1H, td, J = 5.6, 1.6 Hz), 1.28 (3H, s), 1.15 (1H, d, J = 8.6 Hz), 0.83 (3H, s); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  (ppm): 168.39, 154.73, 150.08, 144.20, 143.61, 131.94, 129.42, 126.80, 119.13, 116.79, 110.67, 64.31,



FIGURE 2 | Superposed mode of the compounds.

45.63, 40.68, 38.01, 35.75, 35.33, 31.91, 31.64, 31.35, 26.26, 21.13, 20.41; IR (KBr) v: 3,456, 3,350, 3,214, 3,025, 2,983, 2,918, 2,833, 1,739, 1,604, 1,508, 1,468, 681 cm<sup>-1</sup>; ESI-MS m/z: Calcd for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>30</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S [M + H]<sup>+</sup>: 427.21, Found: 427.13; Anal. Calcd for C, 64.76; H, 7.09; N, 13.13; Found: C, 64.74; H, 7.08; N, 13.12.

2-(6,6-Dimethylbicyclo[3.1.1]hept-2-en-2-yl)ethyl2-((5-(3amino-4-methylphenyl)-4-methyl-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)thio) acetate (5q). Pale yellow liquid, yield: 78.6%; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  (ppm): 7.16 (1H, d, J = 7.7 Hz), 7.00 (1H, d, J = 1.6 Hz), 6.88 (1H, d, J = 7.6 Hz), 5.32-5.29 (1H, m),4.21-4.13 (2H, m), 4.08 (2H, s), 3.65 (3H, s), 2.37 (1H, dt, J = 8.5, 5.6 Hz), 2.31 (2H, t, J = 7.0 Hz), 2.29–2.16 (5H, m), 2.11-2.08 (1H, m), 2.05 (1H, dd, J = 5.9, 1.4 Hz), 1.28 (3H, s), 1.14 (1H, d, *J* = 8.6 Hz), 0.82 (3H, s); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz,  $CDCl_3$ )  $\delta$  (ppm): 168.48, 156.46, 150.08, 145.22, 143.60, 130.75, 125.40, 124.51, 119.13, 118.22, 114.88, 64.27, 45.62, 40.68, 38.01, 35.75, 35.64, 31.83, 31.64, 31.35, 26.26, 21.13, 17.35; IR (KBr) v: 3,462, 3,354, 3,229, 3,025, 2,985, 2,918, 2,829, 1,737, 1,508, 1,475, 681 cm<sup>-1</sup>; ESI-MS m/z: Calcd for  $C_{23}H_{30}N_4O_2S [M + H]^+: 427.21$ , Found: 427.14; Anal. Calcd for C, 64.76; H, 7.09; N, 13.13; Found: C, 64.75; H, 7.07; N, 13.11.

2-(6,6-Dimethylbicyclo[3.1.1]hept-2-en-2-yl)ethyl2-((4-methyl-5-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-4*H*-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)thio)acetate (**5r**). Pale yellow liquid, yield: 74.6%; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  (ppm): 9.98 (1H, s) 7.39–7.36 (2H, m), 6.95–6.91 (2H, m), 5.31–5.28 (1H, m), 4.22–4.11 (2H, m), 4.09 (2H, s), 3.64 (3H, s), 2.36 (1H, dt, *J* = 8.5, 5.6 Hz), 2.31 (2H, t, *J* = 7.2 Hz), 2.27–2.16 (2H, m), 2.11–2.05 (1H, m), 2.03 (1H, td, *J* = 5.6, 1.4 Hz), 1.27 (3H, s), 1.13 (1H, d, *J* = 8.6 Hz), 0.81 (3H, s); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  (ppm): 168.39, 159.62, 156.53, 150.20, 143.55, 130.05, 119.16, 116.56, 116.48, 64.38, 45.60, 40.66, 38.00, 35.73, 35.53, 31.83, 31.63, 31.34, 26.25, 21.12; IR (KBr) *v*: 3,420, 2,954, 2,914, 2,881, 1,735, 1,614, 1,541, 1,477, 704 cm<sup>-1</sup>; ESI-MS m/z: Calcd for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>27</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>3</sub>S [M + H] <sup>+</sup>: 414.18, Found: 414.09; Anal. Calcd for C, 63.90; H, 6.58; N, 10.16; Found: C, 63.89; H, 6.56; N, 10.14.

2-(6,6-Dimethylbicyclo[3.1.1]hept-2-en-2-yl)ethyl2-((4-methyl-5-(3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-4*H*-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)



thio)acetate (**5s**). Pale yellow liquid, yield: 68.7%; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  (ppm): 7.94 (1H, s), 7.86 (1H, d, J = 7.8 Hz), 7.79 (1H, d, J = 7.9 Hz), 7.67 (1H, t, J = 7.8 Hz), 5.32–5.29 (1H, m), 4.21–4.11 (2H, m), 4.11 (2H, s), 3.71 (3H, s), 2.36 (1H, dt, J = 8.5, 5.6 Hz), 2.33 (2H, t, J = 7.2 Hz), 2.28–2.17 (2H, m), 2.11–2.06 (1H, m), 2.04 (1H, td, J = 5.7, 1.0 Hz), 1.28 (3H, s), 1.13 (1H, d, J = 8.6 Hz), 0.82 (3H, s); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  (ppm): 168.31, 154.79, 151.28, 143.56, 131.75, 129.61, 127.83, 126.90, 125.45, 124.67, 122.51, 119.16, 64.36, 45.62, 40.67, 38.00, 35.75, 35.58, 31.90, 31.63, 31.34, 26.24, 21.11; IR (KBr) *v*: 3,025, 2,985, 2,920, 2,835, 1,737, 1,593, 1,468, 700 cm<sup>-1</sup>; ESI-MS m/z: Calcd for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>26</sub>F<sub>3</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S [M + H] <sup>+</sup>: 466.17, Found: 466.10; Anal. Calcd for C, 59.34; H, 5.63; N, 9.03; Found: C, 59.32; H, 5.613; N, 9.02.

2-(6,6-Dimethylbicyclo[3.1.1]hept-2-en-2-yl)ethyl2-((4-methyl-5-(furan-2-yl)-4*H*-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)thio)acetate (**5t**). Pale yellow liquid, yield: 76.2%; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  (ppm): 7.60 (1H, d, *J* = 1.6 Hz), 7.07 (1H, d, *J* = 3.5 Hz), 6.58 (1H, dd, *J* = 3.5, 1.8 Hz), 5.30–5.27 (1H, m), 4.21–4.11 (2H, m), 4.05 (2H, s), 3.85 (3H, s), 2.36 (1H, dt, *J* = 8.6, 5.6 Hz), 2.30 (2H, t, *J* = 7.2 Hz), 2.27–2.17 (2H, m), 2.11–2.06 (1H, m), 2.03 (1H, td, *J* = 5.6, 1.3 Hz), 1.27 (3H, s), 1.13 (1H, d, *J* = 8.6 Hz), 0.81 (3H, s); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  (ppm): 168.32, 150.21, 148.36, 143.95, 143.57, 142.28, 119.12, 111.86, 111.79, 64.29, 45.61, 40.67, 37.99, 35.80, 35.72, 31.93, 31.62, 31.33, 26.25, 21.11; IR (KBr)  $\nu$ : 30.27, 2,981, 2,918, 2,831, 1,737, 1,614, 1,514, 1,447, 704 cm<sup>-1</sup>; ESI-MS m/z: Calcd for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>25</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>3</sub>S [M + H]<sup>+</sup>: 388.16, Found: 388.24; Anal. Calcd for C, 61.99; H, 6.50; N, 10.84; Found: C, 61.97; H, 6.49; N, 10.82.

2-(6,6-Dimethylbicyclo[3.1.1]hept-2-en-2-yl)ethyl2-((4-methyl-5-(thiophen-2-yl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)thio)acetate (**5u**). Pale yellow liquid, yield: 69.2%; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  (ppm): 7.52 (1H, dd, *J* = 5.1, 0.6 Hz), 7.50–7.46 (1H, m), 7.19 (1H, dd, *J* = 5.0,

3.8 Hz), 5.32–5.27 (1H, m), 4.22–4.11 (2H, m), 4.06 (2H, s), 3.78 (3H, s), 2.37 (1H, dt, J = 8.5, 5.6 Hz), 2.31 (2H, t, J = 7.1 Hz), 2.29–2.17 (2H, m), 2.11–2.06 (1H, m), 2.03 (1H, td, J = 5.7, 1.1 Hz), 1.28 (3H, s), 1.13 (1H, d, J = 8.6 Hz), 0.82 (3H, s); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  (ppm): 168.36, 151.11, 150.52, 143.57, 128.39, 127.95, 127.79, 127.75, 119.14, 64.30, 45.61, 40.67, 38.00, 35.81, 35.74, 31.89, 31.63, 31.34, 26.25, 21.12; IR (KBr)  $\nu$ : 3,027, 2,985, 2,916, 2,831, 1,741, 1,566, 1,470, 712 cm<sup>-1</sup>; ESI-MS m/z: Calcd for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>25</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub> [M + H] <sup>+</sup>: 404.14, Found: 404.06; Anal. Calcd for C, 59.53; H, 6.24; N, 10.41; Found: C, 59.52; H, 6.22; N, 10.40.

2-(6,6-Dimethylbicyclo[3.1.1]hept-2-en-2-yl)ethyl2-((4-methyl-5-(pyridin-2-yl)-4*H*-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)thio)acetate (**5v**). Pale yellow liquid, yield: 71.7%; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  (ppm): 8.65 (1H, d, *J* = 4.8 Hz), 8.27 (1H, d, *J* = 8.0 Hz), 7.83 (1H, td, *J* = 7.8, 1.8 Hz), 7.35 (1H, ddd, *J* = 7.5, 4.9, 1.0 Hz), 5.32–5.27 (1H, m), 4.23–4.14 (2H, m), 4.12 (2H, s), 4.07 (3H, s), 2.36 (1H, dt, *J* = 8.6, 5.6 Hz), 2.31 (2H, t, *J* = 7.2 Hz), 2.27–2.17 (2H, m), 2.08–2.06 (1H, m), 2.04 (1H, td, *J* = 5.7, 1.3 Hz), 1.27 (3H, s), 1.13 (1H, d, *J* = 8.6 Hz), 0.82 (3H, s); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  (ppm): 168.32, 153.59, 151.99, 148.70, 147.84, 143.62, 136.98, 123.98, 123.41, 119.09, 64.28, 45.62, 40.68, 38.00, 35.73, 35.25, 33.10, 31.62, 31.33, 26.25, 21.11; IR (KBr) *v*: 3,027, 2,983, 2,914, 2,831, 1,741, 1,589, 1,566, 1,481, 697 cm<sup>-1</sup>; ESI-MS m/z: Calcd for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>26</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S [M+H]<sup>+</sup>: 399.18, Found: 399.25; Anal. Calcd for C, 63.29; H, 6.58; N, 14.06; Found: C, 63.28; H, 6.56; N, 14.05.

2-(6,6-Dimethylbicyclo[3.1.1]hept-2-en-2-yl)ethyl2-((5-butyl-4-methyl-4*H*-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)thio)acetate (**5w**). Pale yellow liquid, yield: 68.1%; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  (ppm): 5.30–5.27 (1H, m), 4.18–4.09 (2H, m), 3.98 (2H, s), 3.54 (3H, s), 2.75–2.69 (2H, m), 2.36 (1H, dt, *J* = 8.6, 5.6 Hz), 2.31 (2H, t, *J* = 7.2 Hz), 2.24–2.17 (2H, m), 2.09–2.07 (1H, m), 2.03 (1H, td, *J* = 5.6, 1.4 Hz), 1.75 (2H, dt, *J* = 15.4, 7.6 Hz), 1.48–1.39 (2H, m), 1.27 (3H, s), 1.13 (1H, d, *J* = 8.6 Hz), 0.96 (3H, t, *J* = 7.4 Hz), 0.81 (3H,

**TABLE 1** Antifungal activity of nopol-based 1,2,4-triazole- thioether compounds **5a-5w** at 50 µg/mL.

Compounds	Relative inhibition rate (%) against the fungi							
	F.oxysporm f.	C.	Р.	А.	G.	R.	В.	C.
	sp. cucumerinum	arachidicola	piricola	solani	zeae	solani	myadis	orbicalare
5a (R=Ph)	52.4	59.1	58.1	73.3	69.1	87.9	71.4	81.9
<b>5b</b> (R= <i>o</i> -CH <sub>3</sub> Ph)	76.8	76.5	91.4	80.0	65.3	75.6	87.6	89.0
5c (R=m-CH <sub>3</sub> Ph)	49.7	41.7	74.3	63.3	48.3	38.5	44.3	51.0
<b>5d</b> (R= <i>p</i> -CH <sub>3</sub> Ph)	68.6	80.9	43.8	60.0	42.6	50.9	49.7	65.2
5e (R=o-OCH <sub>3</sub> Ph)	65.9	72.2	83.3	63.3	52.1	75.6	60.5	81.9
5f (R=m-OCH <sub>3</sub> Ph)	65.9	72.2	63.8	36.7	74.7	45.9	57.8	65.2
5g (R=p-OCH <sub>3</sub> Ph)	71.4	72.2	43.8	50.0	55.8	57.0	60.5	79.5
<b>5h</b> (R= <i>o</i> -F Ph)	57.8	59.1	86.7	40.0	52.1	57.0	63.2	67.6
<b>5i</b> (R= <i>m</i> -F Ph)	65.9	59.1	67.6	43.3	50.2	63.2	47.0	58.1
<b>5j</b> (R=p-F Ph)	47.0	50.4	53.3	56.7	57.7	32.3	44.3	58.1
5k (R=o-Cl Ph)	49.7	54.8	75.7	53.3	69.1	58.3	49.7	60.5
<b>5I</b> (R= <i>m</i> -Cl Ph)	47.0	63.5	63.3	53.3	61.5	32.3	52.4	62.9
<b>5m</b> (R=o-Br Ph)	61.4	63.5	83.8	66.7	65.3	60.7	76.8	84.3
<b>5n</b> (R= <i>m</i> -Br Ph)	30.8	59.1	65.8	43.3	52.1	23.7	49.7	53.3
<b>50</b> (R= <i>m</i> , <i>m</i> -OCH <sub>3</sub> Ph)	52.4	67.8	91.4	63.3	61.5	38.5	63.2	65.2
<b>5p</b> (R=o-NH <sub>2</sub> -m-CH <sub>3</sub> Ph)	49.7	50.4	67.6	66.7	61.5	60.7	74.1	79.5
5q (R=m-NH <sub>2</sub> -p-CH <sub>3</sub> Ph)	60.5	63.5	73.8	36.7	46.4	57.0	49.7	70.0
5r (R=p-OH Ph)	49.7	67.8	87.3	56.7	57.7	54.6	36.2	46.2
5s (R= <i>m</i> -CF <sub>3</sub> Ph)	44.3	54.8	63.5	66.7	70.9	44.7	49.7	55.7
<b>5t</b> (R=α-furyl)	63.2	72.2	48.6	66.7	42.1	84.1	41.6	62.9
<b>5u</b> (R=α-thienyl)	55.1	50.4	43.8	53.3	51.5	59.4	44.3	48.6
<b>5v</b> (R=α-pyridyl)	65.9	72.2	43.8	60.0	38.3	60.6	57.8	65.2
5w (R=n-butyl)	38.9	50.4	67.6	53.3	47.7	68.0	36.2	55.7
Chlorothalonil	100	73.3	75.0	73.9	73.1	96.1	90.4	91.3
Azoxystrobin	87.5	92.3	96.0	90.9	91.3	-	—	_

s); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  (ppm): 168.53, 156.45, 148.91, 143.58, 119.11, 64.20, 45.62, 40.67, 37.99, 35.86, 35.72, 31.62, 31.33, 30.16, 29.04, 26.25, 25.03, 22.37, 21.11, 13.70; IR (KBr) *v*: 2,985, 2,929, 2,833, 1,737, 1,516, 1,468, 677 cm<sup>-1</sup>; ESI-MS m/z: Calcd for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>31</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S [M + H]<sup>+</sup>: 378.21, Found: 378.14; Anal. Calcd for C, 63.63; H, 8.28; N, 11.13; Found: C, 63.61; H, 8.27; N, 11.12.

#### **Antifungal Assay**

All the target compounds were evaluated for their in vitro antifungal activities against eight plant fungi by the agar dilution method according to the literature (Su et al., 2013). Each tested compound was dissolved in acetone and diluted with Sorporl-144 (200 mg/L) as an emulsifier to prepare the solution with a concentration of 500 mg/L. The stock solution (1 mL) was added to the Potato-Sugar-Agar (PSA, 9 mL) culture medium. Then, mycelion dishes of 5 mm diameter were cut along the external edge of the mycelium was transferred to the center of flat containing the tested compound and put in equilateral triangular style in triplicate. After culturing 48 h at (24 ± 1) °C in the incubator, the expanded colony diameters of strains were measured and compared with that treated with aseptic distilled water, and then calculated the relative inhibition percentage. The corresponding aseptic distilled water without the test samples was served as a blank control and the commercial protective fungicide chlorothalonil was employed as the positive control. The test for each target compound was repeated three times. The inhibitory

TABLE 2   PLS analysis parameters for the built 3D-QSAR model.						
q <sup>2</sup>	r²	S	N	F	Contribution (%)	
					Steric	Electrostatic
0.685	0.944	0.099	5	133.642	55.9	44.1

rate of the tested compound was calculated by the formula: inhibitory rate = [(the average extended mycelium diameter of the blank assay-the average extended mycelium diameter after treatment with emulsion)/the average extended mycelium diameter of the blank assay]  $\times$  100%.

#### **3D-QSAR Study**

The 3D-QSAR model was built using the CoMFA method of Sybyl-X 2.1.1 software to investigate the relationship between the antifungal activity and the compound structure according to our previous report (Zhao et al., 2021). The 3D structures of compounds **5a-5r** were built on the sketch module and minimized by the conjugate gradient method in the program based on the Tripos force field using termination convergence energy of 0.005 kcal/ (mol\*Å), a maximum of 1,000 iterations, and Gasteiger-Hückel charge as the parameters. The compound **5b** with the best activity against *P. piricola* was selected as the template compound, of which the atoms marked with an asterisk (**Figure 1**) were used as the common superimposed skeleton. 16

Compounds	R	WM	AF	AF'	Residue
5a	Ph	397.18	-2.46	-2.38	-0.08
5b	o-CH₃ Ph	411.20	-1.59	-1.72	0.13
5c	<i>m-</i> CH₃ Ph	411.20	-2.15	-2.08	-0.07
5d	$p$ -CH $_3$ Ph	411.20	-2.72	-2.68	-0.04
5e	o-OCH <sub>3</sub> Ph	427.19	-1.93	-1.82	-0.11
5f	m-OCH <sub>3</sub> Ph	427.19	-2.38	-2.31	-0.07
5g	p-OCH <sub>3</sub> Ph	427.19	-2.74	-2.71	-0.03
5h	o-F Ph	415.17	-1.80	-1.83	0.03
5i	<i>m</i> -F Ph	415.17	-2.30	-2.34	0.04
5k	o-Cl Ph	431.14	-2.14	-2.01	-0.13
5m	o-Br Ph	477.09	-1.96	-1.98	0.02
5n	<i>m</i> -Br Ph	477.09	-2.39	-2.44	0.05
5o	<i>m,m-</i> OCH <sub>3</sub> Ph	457.20	-1.63	-1.64	0.01
5р	o-NH₂-m-CH₃ Ph	426.21	-2.31	-2.43	0.12
5q	<i>m</i> -NH₂-p-CH₃ Ph	426.21	-2.18	-2.23	0.05
5r	<i>p-</i> OH Ph	413.18	-1.78	-1.84	0.06
5j*	<i>p-</i> F Ph	415.17	-2.56	-2.55	-0.01
5l*	<i>m</i> -Cl Ph	431.14	-2.40	-2.52	0.12
5s*	<i>m</i> -CF <sub>3</sub> Ph	465.17	-2.43	-2.39	-0.04

AF = experimental value; AF' = predicted value; \*: test-set compound.

compounds using as the training set were aligned to form a superimposed model (**Figure 2**) and the remaining three compounds bearing aromatic R groups constitute the test set. Their inhibitor rates against *P. piricola* were converted to the active factor (AF) values by the formula:

$$AF = \log \{I/[(100 - I) \times MW]\}$$

where I was the inhibition rate at 50 µg/mL and MW was the molecular weight. The built 3D-QSAR model was checked by the partial least squares method. The modeling predictive capability was indicated by a correlation coefficient squared  $r^2$ , a cross-validated squared  $q^2$ , a standard deviation S, and a Fisher validation value F.

#### **Molecular Docking Study**

To understand the binding interactions of the target compounds with the active site of cytochrome  $bc_1$  complex, the molecular docking procedures were carried out using AutoDock 4.2.6 software according to the reported paper (Lin et al., 2019; Du et al., 2020). The target protein PDB file for the cytochrome  $bc_1$ complex (PDB ID 1SQB, 2.69 Å in resolution) was downloaded from the RCSB PDB web (https://www.rcsb.org/). The site of the Azoxystrobin ligand was chosen as the docking domain. Before docking calculations, all of the other small molecules such as some ligands (except for Azoxystrobin ligand) and water molecules in the crystal were removed. The torsional bonds of each docked compound were automatically set by the AUTOTORS module. A grid map with a  $60 \times 60 \times 60$  point grid box (X = 69.364, Y = 57.986, Z = 168.988) with 0.375 Å spacing was selected around the site of the azoxystrobin ligand. The grid box was generated by applying default parameters. The docking calculations were performed using the Lamarckian genetic algorithm (GA) and the number of GA runs was set to 20 conformations. The lowest binding energy in the maximum cluster for the docked conformations was chosen as the



representative binding energy. The binding energy between the docked compound and the enzyme was calculated using the AutoGrid program with a grid spacing of 0.375 Å and the Lamarckian genetic algorithm as a searching method. When the docking results were generated, the binding energies of all docked compounds were also automatically obtained in this program.

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### Chemistry

The synthetic route of nopol-based 1,2,4-triazole-thioether compounds **5a-5w** was illustrated in **Scheme 1**. At first, nopol **2** was prepared by Prins reaction of  $\beta$ -pinene **1** with paraformaldehyde under catalysis of Lewis acid ZnCl<sub>2</sub> (Yi et al., 2000). Then, nopyl chloroacetate **3** was prepared by alcoholysis reaction of chloroacetyl chloride with nopol **2** in a good yield (Huang et al., 2017), followed by nucleophilic substitution reaction of nopyl chloroacetate **3** with self-prepared 5-substituted-1,2,4-triazole-3-thiones **4** to afford a series of novel nopol-based 1,2,4-triazole-thioether compounds **5a-5w** (Liu et al., 2007).

The structures of all the synthesized compounds and the key intermediates **2** and **3** were characterized by FT-IR, <sup>1</sup>H NMR, <sup>13</sup>C NMR, ESI-MS, and elemental analysis, and the related spectra can be found in **Supplementary Material**. In the IR spectra of the target compounds, the weak absorption bands at about  $3,025 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  and about  $1,610 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  were attributed to the stretching vibrations of =C-H and C=C in the nopol moiety, respectively. The strong absorption bands at about  $1,730 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  and about  $1,470 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  were assigned to the stretching vibrations of C=O in the ester group and C=N in the 1,2,4-triazole moiety, respectively. Also, the absorption bands at 605–712 cm<sup>-1</sup> revealed the presence of C-S-C in thioether moiety. In the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra, the olefinic protons of nopol scaffold showed signals at about 5.33 ppm, and the other protons







bonded to the saturated carbons of the nopol moiety displayed signals in the range of 0.81-2.37 ppm. The protons on the saturated carbon bonded to the S atom displayed signals at about 4.10 ppm. The <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of all the target compounds showed peaks for the two olefinic carbons of the nopol moiety at about 143 and 119 ppm, respectively, and the unsaturated carbons in the triazole heterocycle and the benzene ring showed signals at 156–150 and 125–138 ppm, respectively. The carbons of C=O displayed the signals at 168 ppm and the

saturated carbon bonded to the S atom displayed signals at about 35 ppm. Their molecular weights were confirmed by ESI-MS.

# *In Vitro* Antifungal Activity of Target Compounds

The antifungal activity of the target compounds 5a-5w was evaluated using the agar dilution method against *Fusarium* 



**TABLE 4** | Binding energies and AF values of the target compounds **5a-5w**.

Compounds	R	Binding free energies (kcal/mol)	AF
5a	Ph	-6.41	-2.46
5b	o-CH₃ Ph	-7.61	-1.59
5c	$m$ -CH $_3$ Ph	-4.35	-2.15
5d	p-CH <sub>3</sub> Ph	-5.97	-2.72
5e	o-OCH₃ Ph	-3.35	-1.93
5f	<i>m</i> -OCH₃ Ph	-6.35	-2.38
5g	<i>p</i> -OCH₃ Ph	-7.23	-2.74
5h	o-F Ph	-3.14	-1.80
5i	<i>m</i> -F Ph	-5.21	-2.30
5j	<i>p</i> -F Ph	-6.66	-2.56
5k	o-Cl Ph	-4.53	-2.14
51	<i>m</i> -Cl Ph	-5.47	-2.40
5m	o-Br Ph	-3.92	-1.96
5n	<i>m</i> -Br Ph	-5.37	-2.39
50	<i>m,m-</i> OCH <sub>3</sub> Ph	-4.82	-1.63
5р	o-NH₂- <i>m</i> -CH₃ Ph	-6.24	-2.31
5q	<i>m</i> -NH <sub>2</sub> - <i>p</i> -CH <sub>3</sub> Ph	-5.31	-2.18
5r	<i>p-</i> OH Ph	-4.93	-1.78
5s	<i>m</i> -CF₃ Ph	-6.08	-2.43
5t	α-furyl	-7.16	-2.61
5u	α-thienyl	-6.64	-2.71
5v	α-pyridyl	-6.21	-2.71
5w	n-butyl	-5.38	-2.26
Azoxystrobin	_	-6.67	-1.23

oxysporum f. sp. cucumerinum, Cercospora arachidicola, Physalospora piricola, Alternaria solani, Gibberella zeae, Rhizoeotnia solani, Bipolaris maydis, and Colleterichum orbicalare at a concentration of 50  $\mu$ g/mL, using the commercial antifungal drug chlorothalonil as positive control. The results were listed in **Table 1**.

It was found that, at the concentration of 50  $\mu$ g/mL, the target compounds exhibited the best antifungal activity against *P. piricola*, in which compounds **5b** (R= *o*-CH<sub>3</sub> Ph), **5e** (R= *o*-OCH<sub>3</sub> Ph), **5h** (R= *o*-F Ph), **5m** (R= *o*-Br Ph), **5o** (R= *m*,

**TABLE 5** | Spearman rank correlation coefficient of AF values vs. binding energies for the target compounds.

		Binding energies	AF values
Binding energies	Correlation coefficient	1.000	0.626
	Sig. (1-tailed)	_	0.001
AF values	Correlation coefficient	0.626	1.000
		Sig. (1-tailed)	0.001

*m*-OCH<sub>3</sub> Ph), and **5r** (R= *p*-OH Ph) had inhibition rates of 91.4, 83.3, 86.7, 83.8, 91.4 and 87.3%, respectively, much better than that of the positive control chlorothalonil. Moreover, compound **5a** (R= Ph) showed inhibition rate of 87.9% against *R. solani*, and compound **5b** (R= *o*-CH<sub>3</sub> Ph) showed inhibition rate of 87.6% against *B. maydis*. It was also found that compound **5a** (R= Ph), **5b** (R= *o*-CH<sub>3</sub> Ph), **5e** (R= *o*-OCH<sub>3</sub> Ph), and **5m** (R= *o*-Br Ph) displayed inhibition rates of 81.9, 89.0, 81.9, and 84.3%, respectively, against *C. orbicalare*. On the whole, compound **5b** (R= *o*-CH<sub>3</sub> Ph) is worthy of further study due to its better broad-spectrum antifungal activity against the eight tested plant pathogens. It was also found that the R groups displayed a noticeable influence on antifungal activity, a 3D-QSAR study was then carried out.

### **3D-QSAR Study**

The 3D-QSAR study was performed by CoMFA mothed to investigate the influence on the activity against P. piricola for the R groups. According to the report (Wang et al., 2016), the inhibition rates of the compounds 5a-5r were converted to the available active factor (AF) values, which were listed in Table 3. The validity for the 3D-QSAR model was checked by the partial least squares (PLS) analysis including a correlation coefficient squared  $r^2$  (close to 1), a cross-validated squared  $q^2$  (>0.5), a standard deviation S (close to 0), a Fisher validation value F (>100), and an optimal number of component (ONC). Their values for the built 3D-QSAR model were shown in Table 2. The  $r^2$  was 0.944,  $q^2$  was 0.685, S was 0.099, F was 133.642, and ONC was 5, suggesting that the built 3D-QSAR model was valid. The experimental AF values, the predicted AF' values, and their residue values were presented in Table 3, and the scatter diagram of AF vs. AF' was shown in Figure 3. All data were concentrated near the X = Y line, also indicating that the 3D-QSAR model was reliable and had a good predictive ability.

The relative contributions of the steric and electrostatic fields of the 3D-QSAR model were 55.9 and 44.1%, respectively. In **Figure 4A**, the steric field contours were represented with two different colors: the green contour indicated that the R group embedding at 2-position of benzene ring was beneficial to increase the antifungal activity but yellow was the opposite. For instance, compounds **5b** (R= *o*-CH<sub>3</sub> Ph) and **5e** (R= *o*-OCH<sub>3</sub> Ph) possessed better antifungal activity against *P. piricola* than compounds **5d** (R= *p*-CH<sub>3</sub> Ph) and **5g** (R= *p*-OCH<sub>3</sub> Ph). In **Figure 4B**, the electrostatic contours were represented in two distinguishable colors: the blue enclosed volume represented that the R group with an electrondonating surface embedding in this area will favor the increase



the active site of cytochrome  $bc_1$  complex. (A3) The 2D interactions may of compound azoxystrobin with cytochrome  $bc_1$  complex. (A4) The 3D interactions map of compound azoxystrobin with cytochrome  $bc_1$  complex.

in activity, while red defines the opposite. The presence of electron-withdrawing groups such as fluorine or chlorine atom as well as bromine atom at 2-position of benzene ring was favorable for higher antifungal activity. For example, compounds 5h (R= o-F Ph), 5k (R= o-Cl Ph), and 5m (R= o-Br Ph) showed a higher inhibitor rate against P. piricola than the unsubstituted compound 5a (R= Ph). In contrast, the introduction of the electron-donating group at the 3-position of benzene ring played a crucial role in the antifungal activity. For instance, 5c (R= *m*-CH<sub>3</sub> Ph) and 5f (R= *m*-OCH<sub>3</sub> Ph) displayed better antifungal activity against P. piricola. The 3D-QSAR study of these title compounds can provide useful information for the further rational design of novel nopol derivatives. Herein, based on the results of 3D-QSAR analysis above, two novel unsynthesized compounds (Figure 5) were designed and the predicted ED values were calculated by the established CoMFA model. As a result, the designed compounds A (AF')= -1.445) and B (AF' = -1.589) showed potential excellent antifungal activities with inhibition rates of 94.68 and 92.93%, respectively, indicating that the antifungal activities of the proposed molecules were better than that of the compounds containing aromatic rings.

#### Molecular Docking Analysis

Using the cytochrome  $bc_1$  complex (PDB ID 1SQB) as the target protease, the molecular docking study for all the target compounds was performed to investigate the correlation between the binding energy and antifungal activity. There were 20 conformations docked for each target compound (Figure 6), and the lowest binding energy in the maximum cluster for the docked conformations was chosen as the representative binding energy for the corresponding compound. The scatter plot of AF values versus binding energies for the title compounds was shown in Figure 7. All of the data were concentrated near the line Y = 0.1564X-1.395, illustrating that there was a clear positive monotonic association between AF values and binding energies. In addition, Spearman's rank correlation coefficient analytical approach was carried out using IBM SPSS STATITICS 22 software to investigate the correlation between AF values and binding energies. The result were listed in Table 4 and Table 5. It was found that the correlation was significant at 0.001 (at 0.01 level). The Spearman correlation coefficient was 0.626, indicating that there was a significant positive correlation, namely, the activity gradually increased with the increase in binding energies. It



cytochrome  $bc_1$  complex.

suggested that the cytochrome  $bc_1$  complex was a potential target protease.

In order to preliminarily explore the possible binding mode of compound **5b** possessing the best antifungal activity with the typical cytochrome bc1 complex inhibitor Azoxystrobin, molecular docking analysis was carried out on Sybyl X 2.1.1 program and AutoDock 4.2.6 software. The result was showed in Figures 8, 9. In Figures 8A1, 9B1, it can be observed that the compound 5b was well embedded into the active domain where the ligand azoxystrobin was found. The binding conformation of compound azoxystrobin and 5b in the active site of cytochrome  $bc_1$  complex, as well as the 2D interactions map of compound azoxystrobin and 5b with residues were presented in Figures 8A2,B2,A3,B3. The 3D interactions map of compound azoxystrobin with residues were showed in Figure 8A4, and compound 5b with residues in Figure 9B4. It was found that compound **5b** was buried into the binding pocket consisting of the residues LEU121, MET124, PHE274, PRO270, PHE128, TYR131, LYS269, ILE146, VAL145, etc. Meanwhile, two  $\pi$ - $\pi$  stacking interactions (distance = 2.12 and 2.90 Å) were observed between compound **5b** and the residues PHE128 and PHE274, respectively. In addition, two  $\pi$ - $\pi$  stacking interactions were observed between

azoxystrobin and the residues PHE274 and TYR278, respectively. A H-bond was formed by the oxygen atom of methoxyacrylate moiety and the residue GLU271 in cytochrome  $bc_1$  complex binding site (distance = 2.55 Å), which was essential to stabilize the binding between cytochrome  $bc_1$  complex inhibitors and cytochrome  $bc_1$  complex. Based on these results, the binding domain and the binding mode of compound **5b** were both similar to that of azoxystrobin, suggesting that they shared a similar action mode.

## CONCLUSION

Using molecular docking-based virtual screening in the assumption of cytochrome  $bc_1$  complex as the target enzyme, and natural preponderant resource  $\beta$ -pinene as starting material, twenty-three novel nopol-based 1,2,4-triazole-thioether compounds were designed and synthesized. Their structures were confirmed by FT-IR, NMR, ESI-MS, and elemental analysis. The *in vitro* antifungal activity of the target compounds **5a-5w** was preliminarily evaluated against eight plant pathogens at the concentration of 50 µg/mL. The bioassay results indicated that some of the target compounds showed excellent inhibitory activity

against the tested fungi, especially against *P. piricola*. Compound **5b** (R= *o*-CH<sub>3</sub> Ph) exhibited better broad-spectrum antifungal activity against the tested fungi. There was a significant positive Spearman's rank correlation between the antifungal activity and the docking-based binding free energy. Molecular docking study revealed that there was hydrophobic interactions between the target compounds and the key favorable residues of cytochrome  $bc_1$  complex. In addition, a reasonable and effective 3D-QSAR model ( $r^2 = 0.944$ ,  $q^2 = 0.685$ ) has been established for the further development of novel and promising antifungal compounds.

### DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The crystallographic data of the target protein cytochrome  $bc_1$  complex (PDB ID 1SQB, 2.69 Å in resolution) used in the molecular docking study of our article was downloaded from the RCSB PDB web (https://www.rcsb.org/).

### **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

XW carried out the experimental work, participated in the discussion of biological activities, and wrote the paper; WD and GL constructed the target compound structure, designed the experimental scheme, directed and supervised the whole

## REFERENCES

- Abdel-Aziz, M., Beshr, E. A., Abdel-Rahman, I. M., Ozadali, K., Tan, O. U., and Aly, O. M. (2014). 1-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-5-(3,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3-carboxamides: Synthesis, Molecular Modeling, Evaluation of Their Anti-inflammatory Activity and Ulcerogenicity. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 77, 155–165. doi:10.1016/j.ejmech.2014.03.001
- Chen, C., Wu, Q. Y., Shan, L. Y., Zhang, B., Verpoort, F., and Yang, G. F. (2016). Discovery of Cytochrome Bc<sub>1</sub> Complex Inhibitors Inspired by the Natural Product Karrikinolide. RSC Adv. 6, 97580–97586. doi:10.1039/c6ra19424a
- Chen, J. Z., Xiao, Z. Q., Xu, L. F., and Wang, Z. D. (2017). The Synthesis and Antifungal of Hydronopyl Alkylamine and its Acetylation Derivative. *Chem. Res. Appl.* 29, 1728–1732. doi:10.14233/ajchem.2017.20224
- Chen, M., Duan, W. G., Lin, G. S., Fan, Z. T., and Wang, X. (2021). Synthesis, Antifungal Activity, and 3D-QSAR Study of Novel Nopol-Derived 1,3,4-Thiadiazole-Thiourea Compounds. *Molecules* 26, 1708–1723. doi:10.3390/ molecules26061708
- Chen, Y., Li, P., Su, S., Chen, M., He, J., Liu, L., et al. (2019). Synthesis and Antibacterial and Antiviral Activities of Myricetin Derivatives Containing a 1,2,4-triazole Schiff Base. RSC Adv. 9, 23045–23052. doi:10.1039/c9ra05139b
- Cheng, H., Fu, Y., Chang, Q., Zhang, N., Bu, M., Niu, Y., et al. (2018). Synthesis, Biochemical Evaluation and Computational Simulations of New Cytochrome Bc<sub>1</sub> Complex Inhibitors Based on N-(4-aryloxyphenyl) Phthalimides. Chin. Chem. LettersChem. Lett. 29, 1897–1900. doi:10.1016/ j.cclet.2018.10.008
- Du, S. J., Qin, Z. H., and Xu, L. (2020). Discovery of Novel Complex III Inhibitor by Docking-Based Virtual Screening. *Chin. J. Pestic. Sci.* 22, 942–950.
- El-Sherief, H. A. M., Youssif, B. G. M., Abbas Bukhari, S. N., Abdelazeem, A. H., Abdel-Aziz, M., and Abdel-Rahman, H. M. (2018). Synthesis, Anticancer Activity and Molecular Modeling Studies of 1,2,4-triazole Derivatives as EGFR Inhibitors. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 156, 774–789. doi:10.1016/j.ejmech.2018.07.024
- Feng, X. Z., Xiao, Z. Q., Lu, P. Y., Fan, G. R., and Wang, Z. D. (2019). Synthesis and Antibacterial Activity of Hydronopol Dimethyl Alkyl Ammonium Halide. *Chem. Ind. For. Prod.* 39, 35–40.

experimentation, 3D-QSAR study and theoretical calculation, discussed the biological activities, and revised the paper; BL, MC, and FL participated in the synthesis of target compounds and the discussion of biological activities.

## FUNDING

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 31870556), and the Open Fund of Guangxi Key Laboratory of Chemistry and Engineering of Forest Products (No. GXFG2010).

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are grateful to the State Key Laboratory of Elementorganic Chemistry, Nankai University, China, for the bioassay test.

## SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fchem.2021.757584/ full#supplementary-material

- Frac (2021). FRAC Classification of Fungicides. Availableat: http://www.frac.info/ (accessed Aug 12, 2021).
- Gao, F., Wang, T., Xiao, J., and Huang, G. (2019). Antibacterial Activity Study of 1,2,4-triazole Derivatives. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 173, 274–281. doi:10.1016/ j.ejmech.2019.04.043
- García, D., Bustamante, F., Villa, A. L., Lapuerta, M., and Alarcón, E. (2020). Oxyfunctionalization of Turpentine for Fuel Applications. *Energy Fuels* 34, 579–586. doi:10.1021/acs.energyfuels.9b03742
- Han, Z. J., Wang, Z. D., Jiang, Z. K., Jin, X. Y., Qian, W. H., Chen, C., et al. (2007). Antifeedant Activity of Terpene Compounds against Larvae of the Diamondback Moth, Plutella Xylostella. *Bull. Entomol.* 44, 863–867.
- Han, Z. J., Wang, Z. D., Jiang, Z. K., Zheng, W. Q., Qian, W. H., Chen, J. Z., et al. (2008). The Synthesis of Bridge-Ring Terpenoids and Their Repellent Activities against House Antsn (Monomorium Pharaonis). *Acta Agric. Univ. Jiangxiensis.* 30, 586–591.
- Hao, G. F., Wang, F., Li, H., Zhu, X. L., Yang, W. C., Huang, L. S., et al. (2012). Computational Discovery of Picomolar Qo Site Inhibitors of Cytochrome Bc<sub>1</sub> Complex. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 134, 11168–11176. doi:10.1021/ja3001908
- Hao, G. F., Yang, S. G., Huang, W., Wang, L., Shen, Y. Q., Tu, W. L., et al. (2015).
  Rational Design of Highly Potent and Slow-Binding Cytochrome Bc<sub>1</sub> Inhibitor as Fungicide by Computational Substitution Optimization. Sci. Rep. 5, 13471–13480. doi:10.1038/srep13471
- He, L. L., Tian, Q., Yang, Q., Zhu, X. M., and Yang, J. S. (2008). Study on Synthesis of Pinaverium Bramide. *Chem. Res. Appl.* 20, 600–602.
- He, Y., Wang, X., Duan, W. G., Lin, G. S., Cen, B., Lei, F. H., et al. (2021). Synthesis and Antifungal Activity of Citral-Based 1,2,3-triazole Compounds. *Fine Chem.* 38, 640–648.
- Hou, Y. L., Zhu, L. Y., Li, Z. W., Shen, Q., Xu, Q. L., Li, W., et al. (2019). Design, Synthesis and Biological Evaluation of Novel 7-Amino-[1,2,4]triazolo[4,3-F] pteridinone, and 7-Aminotetrazolo[1,5-F]pteridinone Derivative as Potent Antitumor Agents. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 163, 690–709. doi:10.1016/ j.ejmech.2018.12.009
- Huang, M., Duan, W.-G., Lin, G.-S., Li, K., and Hu, Q. (2017). Synthesis and Antifungal Activity of Novel 3-Caren-5-One Oxime Esters. *Molecules* 22, 1538–1552. doi:10.3390/molecules22091538

- Jadhav, S. V., Jinka, K. M., and Bajaj, H. C. (2010). Synthesis of Nopol via Prins Condensation of β-pinene and Paraformaldehyde Catalyzed by Sulfated Zirconia. *Appl. Catal. A: Gen.* 390, 158–165. doi:10.1016/j.apcata.2010.10.005
- Jiao, J., Chen, M., Sun, S. X., Si, W. J., Wang, X. B., Ding, W. J., et al. (2021). Synthesis, Bioactivity Evaluation, 3D-QSAR, and Molecular Docking of Novel Pyrazole-4-carbohydrazides as Potential Fungicides Targeting Succinate Dehydrogenase. *Chin. J. Chem.* 39, 323–329. doi:10.1002/cjoc.202000438
- Jin, L. L., Xiao, Z. Q., Fan, G. R., Chen, J. Z., Wang, P., Wang, Z. D., et al. (2017). Synthesis and Antifungal Activity of Series of N-Hydronopol Pyridine Ammonium Halide. *Chem. Ind. For. Prod.* 37, 122–128.
- Kang, G. Q., Duan, W. G., Lin, G. S., Yu, Y. P., Wang, X. Y., and Lu, S. Z. (2019). Synthesis of Bioactive Compounds from 3-carene (II): Synthesis, Antifungal Activity and 3D-QSAR Study of (Z)- and (E)-3-caren-5-one Oxime Sulfonates. *Molecules* 24, 477–490. doi:10.3390/molecules24030477
- Karczmarzyk, Z., Swatko-Ossor, M., Wysocki, W., Drozd, M., Ginalska, G., Pachuta-Stec, A., et al. (2020). New Application of 1,2,4-triazole Derivatives as Antitubercular Agents. Structure, *In Vitro* Screening and Docking Studies. *Molecules* 25, 6033–6050. doi:10.3390/molecules25246033
- Li, B. L., Li, B., Zhang, R. L., Zhao, J. J., Wang, X. F., Liu, Y. M., et al. (2016). Synthesis and Antiproliferative Evaluation of Novel 1,2,4-triazole Derivatives Incorporating Benzisoselenazolone Scaffold. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 26, 1279–1281. doi:10.1016/j.bmcl.2016.01.017
- Liao, L. P., Jiang, C. B., Chen, J. W., Shi, J. G., Li, X. H., Wang, Y., et al. (2020). Synthesis and Biological Evaluation of 1,2,4-triazole Derivatives as Potential Neuroprotectant against Ischemic Brain Injury. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 190, 112114–112129. doi:10.1016/j.ejmech.2020.112114
- Lin, G. S., Duan, W. G., Yang, L. X., Huang, M., and Lei, F. H. (2017). Synthesis and Antifungal Activity of Novel Myrtenal-Based 4-Methyl-1,2,4-Triazole-Thioethers. *Molecules* 22, 193–202. doi:10.3390/molecules22020193
- Lin, G. S., Bai, X., Duan, W. G., Cen, B., Huang, M., and Lu, S. Z. (2019). High Value-Added Application of Sustainable Natural Forest Product α-Pinene: Synthesis of Myrtenal Oxime Esters as Potential KARI Inhibitors. ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng. 7, 7862–7868. doi:10.1021/acssuschemeng.9b00254
- Lin, G. S., Chen, Z. C., Duan, W. G., Wang, X. Y., and Lei, F. H. (2018). Synthesis and Biological Activity of Novel Myrtenal-Derived 2-Acyl-1,2,4-Triazole-3-Thione Compounds. *Chin. J. Org. Chem.* 38, 2085–2092. doi:10.6023/ cjoc201801043
- Liu, C. J., Shi, T. H., and Li, Y. P. (2007). Synthesis of Bisoxadiazolyl Substituted Sulfides and Sulfoxides Containing 2 Trifluoromethylbenzimidazol-1-YI Group. Chin. J. Org. Chem. 27, 985–988. doi:10.1016/j.bmcl.2007.03.088
- Liu, X. H., Pan, L., Weng, J. Q., Tan, C. X., Li, Y. H., Wang, B. L., et al. (2012). Synthesis, Structure, and Biological Activity of Novel (Oxdi/tri)azoles Derivatives Containing 1,2,3-thiadiazole or Methyl Moiety. *Mol. Divers.* 16, 251–260. doi:10.1007/s11030-011-9352-z
- Liu, X. L., Hu, T. T., Lin, G. S., Wang, X., Zhu, Y., Liang, R. Z., et al. (2020). The Synthesis of a DHAD/ZnAlTi-LDH Composite with Advanced UV Blocking and Antibacterial Activity for Skin protection. *RSC Adv.* 10, 9786–9790. doi:10.1039/ d0ra00572j
- Majouli, K., Mahjoub, M. A., Rahim, F., Hamdi, A., Wadood, A., Besbes Hlila, M., et al. (2017). Biological Properties of Hertia Cheirifolia L. Flower Extracts and Effect of the Nopol on α-glucosidase. *Int. J. Biol. Macromolecules* 95, 757–761. doi:10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2016.12.008
- Mustafa, M., Anwar, S., Elgamal, F., Ahmed, E. R., and Aly, O. M. (2019). Potent Combretastatin A-4 Analogs Containing 1,2,4-triazole: Synthesis, Antiproliferative, Anti-tubulin Activity, and Docking Study. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 183, 111697–111708. doi:10.1016/j.ejmech.2019.111697
- Nie, G., Zou, J.-J., Feng, R., Zhang, X., and Wang, L. (2014). HPW/MCM-41 Catalyzed Isomerization and Dimerization of Pure Pinene and Crude Turpentine. *Catal. Today* 234, 271–277. doi:10.1016/j.cattod.2013.12.003
- Peng, Z. Y., Wang, G. C., Zeng, Q. H., Li, Y. F., Wu, Y., Liu, H. Q., et al. (2021). Synthesis, Antioxidant and Anti-tyrosinase Activity of 1,2,4-triazole Hydrazones as Antibrowning Agents. Food Chem. 341, 128265–128273. doi:10.1016/j.foodchem.2020.128265
- Qi, L., Li, M.-C., Bai, J.-C., Ren, Y.-H., and Ma, H.-X. (2021). In Vitro antifungal Activities, Molecular Docking, and DFT Studies of 4-Amine-3-Hydrazino-5-Mercapto-1,2,4-Triazole Derivatives. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 40, 127902–127907. doi:10.1016/j.bmcl.2021.127902
- Shi, J., Ding, M. H., Luo, N., Wan, S. R., Li, P. J., Li, J. H., et al. (2020). Design, Synthesis, crystal Structure, and Antimicrobial Evaluation of 6-Fluoroquinazolinylpiperidinyl-

Containing 1,2,4-triazole Mannich Base Derivatives against Phytopathogenic Bacteria and Fungi. J. Agric. Food Chem. 68, 9613–9623. doi:10.1021/acs.jafc.0c01365

- Su, N. N., Li, Y., Yu, S. J., Zhang, X., Liu, X. H., and Zhao, W. G. (2013). Microwave-assisted Synthesis of Some Novel 1,2,3-triazoles by Click Chemistry, and Their Biological Activity. Res. Chem. Intermed. 39, 759–766. doi:10.1007/s11164-012-0595-9
- Vrbková, E., Šteflová, B., Vyskočilová, E., and Červený, L. (2020). Heterogeneous Mo/W/Zn-SiO2 Based Catalysts in Nopol (2-(6,6-dimethyl-2-bicyclo[3.1.1] hept-2-Enyl)ethanol) Synthesis. *Reac Kinet Mech. Cat* 131, 213–232. doi:10.1007/s11144-020-01858-w
- Wang, B. L., Shi, Y. X., Zhang, S. J., Ma, Y., Wang, H. X., Zhang, L. Y., et al. (2016). Syntheses, Biological Activities and SAR Studies of Novel Carboxamide Compounds Containing Piperazine and Arylsulfonyl Moieties. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 117, 167–178. doi:10.1016/j.ejmech.2016.04.005
- Wang, F., Li, H., Wang, L., Yang, W.-C., Wu, J.-W., and Yang, G.-F. (2011). Design, Syntheses, and Kinetic Evaluation of 3-(phenylamino)oxazolidine-2,4-Diones as Potent Cytochrome Bc<sub>1</sub> Complex Inhibitors. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 19, 4608–4615. doi:10.1016/j.bmc.2011.06.008
- Wang, H., Gao, X., Zhang, X., Jin, H., Tao, K., and Hou, T. (2017). Design, Synthesis and Antifungal Activity of Novel Fenfuram-Diarylamine Hybrids. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 27, 90–93. doi:10.1016/j.bmcl.2016.11.026
- Wang, L. L., Zhao, S. S., Kong, X. T., Cao, L. L., Tian, S., Ye, Y. H., et al. (2018a). Design, Synthesis and Fungicidal Evaluation of Novel Pyraclostrobin Analogues. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 26, 875–883. doi:10.1016/j.bmc.2018.01.004
- Wang, X., Pang, F. H., Huang, L., Yang, X. P., Ma, X. L., Jiang, C. N., et al. (2018b). Synthesis and Biological Evaluation of Novel Dehydroabietic Acid-Oxazolidinone Hybrids for Antitumor Properties. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 19, 3116–3133. doi:10.3390/ijms19103116
- Xu, B., Zhai, Q. H., Li, Z. X., and Zheng, G. Y. (1992). Studies on the Yield and Chemical Compositions of Oleoresin from Pinus Elliottii Engelm. In Different Tappine Seasons. *Chem. Ind. For. Prod.* 12, 75–82.
- Xu, J. M., Cao, Y. B., Zhang, J., Yu, S. C., Zou, Y., Chai, X. Y., et al. (2011). Design, Synthesis and Antifungal Activities of Novel 1,2,4-triazole Derivatives. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 46, 3142–3148. doi:10.1016/j.ejmech.2011.02.042
- Yadav, M. K., and Jasra, R. V. (2006). Synthesis of Nopol from  $\beta$ -pinene Using ZnCl2 Impregnated Indian Montmorillonite. *Catal. Commun.* 7, 889–895. doi:10.1016/j.catcom.2006.04.002
- Yi, F. P., Ke, M., and Wang, L. S. (2000). Study on Optimum Condition of Reaction between β-pinene and Paraformaldehyde Catalyzed by ZnCl<sub>2</sub>. *Chem. Ind. For. Prod.* 20, 55–58.
- Zhao, S. Y., Lin, G. S., Duan, W. G., Zhang, Q. A., Huang, Y. L., and Lei, F. H. (2021). Design, Synthesis, and Antifungal Activity of Novel Longifolene-Derived Diacylhydrazine Compounds. ACS Omega 6, 9104–9111. doi:10.1021/acsomega.1c00217
- Zhu, X. L., Zhang, R., Wu, Q. Y., Song, Y. J., Wang, Y. X., Yang, J. F., et al. (2019). Natural Product Neopeltolide as a Cytochrome Bc<sub>1</sub> Complex Inhibitor: Mechanism of Action and Structural Modification. J. Agric. Food Chem. 67, 2774–2781. doi:10.1021/acs.jafc.8b06195
- Zhu, X. P., Lin, G. S., Duan, W. G., Li, Q. M., Li, F. Y., and Lu, S. Z. (2020). Synthesis and Antiproliferative Evaluation of Novel Longifolene-Derived Tetralone Derivatives Bearing 1,2,4-triazole Moiety. *Molecules* 25, 986–997. doi:10.3390/molecules25040986

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

**Publisher's Note:** All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2021 Wang, Duan, Lin, Li, Chen and Lei. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.