

A transthyretin monomer intermediate undergoes local unfolding and transient interaction with oligomers in a kinetically concerted aggregation pathway

Received for publication, May 9, 2022, and in revised form, June 13, 2022 Published, Papers in Press, June 18, 2022, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbc.2022.102162

Xun Sun[®], James A. Ferguson, H. Jane Dyson, and Peter E. Wright*

From the Department of Integrative Structural and Computational Biology and Skaggs Institute of Chemical Biology, The Scripps Research Institute, La Jolla, California, USA

Edited by Wolfgang Peti

Transthyretin (TTR) amyloidosis is associated with tissue deposition of TTR aggregates. TTR aggregation is initiated by dissociation of the native tetramer to form a monomeric intermediate, which locally unfolds and assembles into soluble oligomers and higher-order aggregates. However, a detailed mechanistic understanding requires kinetic and structural characterization of the low population intermediates formed. Here, we show that the monomeric intermediate exchanges with an ensemble of oligomers on the millisecond timescale. This transient and reversible exchange causes broadening of the ¹⁹F resonance of a trifluoromethyl probe coupled to the monomeric intermediate at S85C. We show the ¹⁹F linewidth and R_2 relaxation rate increase with increasing concentration of the oligomer. Furthermore, introduction of ¹⁹F probes at additional TTR sites yielded distinct ¹⁹F chemical shifts for the TTR tetramer and monomer when the trifluoromethyl probe was attached at S100C, located near the same subunit interface as S85C, but not with probes attached at S46C or E63C, which are distant from any interfaces. The ¹⁹F probe at E63C shows that part of the DE loop, which is solvent accessible in the tetramer, becomes more buried in the NMRvisible oligomers. Finally, using backbone amides as probes, we show that parts of the EF helix and H-strand become highly flexible in the otherwise structured monomeric intermediate at acidic pH. We further find that TTR aggregation can be reversed by increasing pH. Taken together, this work provides insights into location-dependent conformational changes in the reversible early steps of a kinetically concerted TTR aggregation pathway.

The human transthyretin (TTR) tetramer transports thyroxine and holoretinol-binding protein in plasma and cerebrospinal fluid (1) and is the causative agent for TTR amyloidosis (2). Each TTR protomer consists of two fourstranded B-sheets (strands D-A-G-H and C-B-E-F) and a short (EF) helix. Aberrant aggregation of WT TTR causes TTR amyloidosis (ATTRwt), which manifests as a late-onset cardiomyopathy that affects as many as one in four people over

SASBMB

age 80 (3). Mutations that destabilize tetrameric TTR result in familial TTR amyloidosis (ATTR) and lead to earlier onset of amyloid polyneuropathy and cardiomyopathy (4, 5). The initial step of TTR aggregation involves dissociation of the TTR tetramer (T) to form a monomeric intermediate (M), which subsequently self-assembles into oligomers (O) and eventually higher-order aggregates (A) (1, 6). A quantitative understanding of how the dissociation and aggregation equilibria are coupled is of great importance to provide insight into the molecular determinants in early steps of the TTR aggregation pathway.

We previously developed an efficient 1D ¹⁹F-NMR aggregation assay to monitor TTR aggregation by labeling TTR with a 3-bromo-1,1,1-trifluoroacetone (BTFA) probe at a strategic site (S85C, labeled protein denoted as S85-TTR^F) that reports on distinct ¹⁹F chemical shifts for the T, M, and NMR-visible O species (7). Based on a simple linear kinetic model, $T \rightleftharpoons M \rightleftharpoons A$ or $T \rightleftharpoons M \leftrightharpoons O \leftrightarrows A$ (if NMR-visible O aggregation intermediates are observable), we have quantified the apparent equilibrium constants and the associated free energy change (7). However, the structural details of the two aggregation-prone intermediates, M and NMR-visible O, remained elusive. In this work, we introduce three additional sites for the ¹⁹F-BTFA labeling (Figs. 1 and S1). The use of the highly sensitive ¹⁹F probe enables direct observation of NMR-visible O species with estimated molecular weights larger than ~ 400 kDa. The ¹⁹F spectra reveal distinct location-dependent solvent accessibilities of the trifluoromethyl probe. The TTR aggregation rate constants are similar regardless of the location of the ¹⁹F probe, and the trace of ¹⁹F-NMR signal decay closely mirrors the increase in optical turbidity for each ¹⁹F-bearing mutant. Moreover, we find that M transiently and reversibly exchanges with soluble oligomers, resulting in broadening of the ¹⁹F signal of M and NMR-visible O in S85-TTR^F. We have further expanded the range of aggregation probes to backbone amides using standard 2D-NMR experiments with ¹⁵N-labeled TTR. These studies show that kinetically concerted early steps in TTR aggregation are reversible and reveal enhanced conformational flexibilities in parts of the EF helix and the H strand in the monomeric intermediate.

^{*} For correspondence: Peter E. Wright, wright@scripps.edu.

Results

¹⁹F chemical shifts of the BTFA-labeled TTR variants

Our previous real-time ¹⁹F NMR study of TTR aggregation at pH 4.4 (7) used the mutant C10S-S85C coupled to BTFA (S85-TTR^F), where the CF₃ probe was located in the EF loop (residues 81–91) adjacent to the strong dimer interface (Figs. 1 and S1). To report on the aggregation process from other sites, we introduced the BTFA probe at S46C (C strand, residues 39–51, denoted S46-TTR^F), E63C (DE loop, residues 56–67, E63-TTR^F) and S100C (FG loop, residues 97–103, S100-TTR^F), all within a C10S background. Like S85C, S100C is also adjacent to the strong dimer interface, whereas S46C and E63C are on the opposite side of the TTR protomer (Figs. 1 and S1).

Figure 2 shows the 1D ¹⁹F NMR spectra of the four BTFAlabeled TTR proteins at pH 4.4 and 298 K, where the WT tetramer begins to dissociate into monomers and aggregate (8). Under these conditions, two resolved ¹⁹F resonances are observed for S85-TTR^F and S100-TTR^F, but only one peak is observed for S46-TTR^F or E63-TTR^F (Fig. 2*A*). For S100-TTR^F, the ¹⁹F-NMR diffusion-ordered NMR spectroscopy (DOSY) experiment shows that the translational diffusion coefficient (*D*) of the upfield minor resonance is 1.6 ± 0.1 times larger than that of the major downfield peak (Fig. S2), consistent with the ratio of *D* values for the M and T states predicted using the Stokes– Einstein equation (1.53) and with our previous ¹⁹F-NMR measurement for S85-TTR^F (1.6 ± 0.2 (7)). Therefore, we assigned the upfield and downfield resonances in the S100-TTR^F spectrum to the M and T states, respectively.

There is a larger difference between the 19 F-NMR chemical shifts of T and M in S85-TTR^F (~0.28 ppm) than in S100-



Figure 1. Locations of the four ¹⁹**F-BTFA probes.** Residues at the four introduced Cys sites are shown on the structure of a TTR dimer (PDB: 5CN3). Three sites (S46, E63 and S85) are shown as Ca spheres on the left protomer (*light blue*) and the Ca sphere of S100 is showed on the right protomer (*pink*). The locations of the strong and weak dimer interfaces in the tetramer are indicated. The locations of the introduced Cys sites in the tetramer are shown in Fig. S1. The secondary structure of TTR, including the introduced Cys sites, is shown schematically below the TTR structure. BTFA, 3-bromo 1,1,1-trifluoroacetone; PDB, Protein Data Bank; TTR, transthyretin.

 TTR^F (~0.06 ppm). In both cases, the upfield shift of the M resonance indicates that the probe is more solvent exposed than in T. By contrast, the ¹⁹F chemical shifts of T and M in S46-TTR^F and E63-TTR^F are likely degenerate since the probes are distant from the subunit interfaces and both are solvent exposed even in T. We therefore designate the single peak observed for these probes as T/M in Figure 2A. At pH 7, the ¹⁹F resonances of S46-TTR^F and E63-TTR^F have similar chemical shifts (-84.4 and -84.3 ppm respectively, Fig. S3); upon lowering the pH to 4.4, the S46-TTR^F peak is shifted strongly downfield, likely reflecting protonation of H31, which packs against S46 in the TTR structure. At pH 4.4 and 298 K, the linewidth of the ¹⁹F resonance in the spectrum of S46-TTR^F (24 Hz) is larger than those of the other three mutants (12-16 Hz). These differences likely arise from probe location: S46 is located in the C-strand, whereas the other probes are on more flexible loops (Fig. 1). The ¹⁹F spectra of each derivative are similar at 310 K (Fig. 2B), but the higher temperature



Figure 2. ¹⁹F NMR spectra of BTFA probes located at distinct sites. Spectra of the four BTFA-labeled TTR constructs (10 μ M, pH 4.4) at 298 K (A) and 310 K (B) after a pseudoequilibrium of all aggregating TTR species was achieved. The maximal peak height was normalized for comparison. ¹⁹F resonances are labeled T (tetramer) and M (monomer) or as T/M when the two resonances are presumed to be overlapped. Data for S85-TTR^F were previously reported in Ref (7). BTFA, 3-bromo-1,1,1-trifluoroacetone; TTR, transthyretin.

decreases the M population of both $S85-TTR^{F}$ and $S100-TTR^{F}$.

Aggregation kinetics of BTFA-labeled TTR variants

We compared the aggregation kinetics of all four BTFAbearing mutants at physiological temperature (310 K) and concentration (10 μ M). The time-dependent turbidity traces (A_{330}) at pH 4.4 and 310 K are nearly superimposable (Fig. 3*A*), suggesting that the kinetics of forming aggregates that are sufficiently large to scatter light are independent of the locations of the BTFA probes. Formation of these large particles leads to time-dependent loss of the ¹⁹F NMR signal (Fig. 3*B*), which undergoes single-exponential decay with similar rate constants (~0.05–0.06 h⁻¹) for all mutants. The formation of ¹⁹F-NMR-invisible species closely follows the increase in A_{330} (Fig. S4). The observation of similar aggregation rates regardless of the location of the ¹⁹F probe confirms that the Cys mutations, and BTFA labeling do not alter the aggregation process.

The ability to resolve both the T and M resonances of S100-TTR^F allowed deconvolution of the individual aggregation



Figure 3. Aggregation kinetics measured by ¹⁹**F-NMR and turbidity.** *A*, change in turbidity at 330 nm (A_{330}) of the four ¹⁹F-BTFA labeled TTR constructs (10 µM) following initiation of aggregation at pH 4.4 and 310 K. The error bars represent one SD from three independent measurements. *B*, decay of the total ¹⁹F-NMR peak area for the four ¹⁹F TTR constructs (10 µM) at pH 4.4 and 310 K. For S85-TTR^F and S100-TTR^F, the signal from T and M is combined. The first data point was set to 1.0 in each mutant for normalization. The solid curves are the single exponential fits (0.058, 0.052, 0.046, and 0.047 h⁻¹ for S85-TTR^F, S46-TTR^F, E63-TTR^F, and S100-TTR^F, respectively). The data of S85-TTR^F were replotted from Ref (7) for comparison. BTFA, 3-bromo-1,1,1-trifluoroacetone; TTR, transthyretin.

steps based on the three-state kinetic model ($T \rightleftharpoons M \leftrightharpoons A$) derived for S85-TTR^F (7). The fitted rate constants are similar for both the S85C and S100C constructs (Table S1 and Fig. S5). The slow relaxation rate constants (0.06 h⁻¹) closely mirror the apparent rate constants from the single exponential fits of the combined signal loss of the T and M resonances (Fig. 3*B*).

¹⁹F chemical shifts of NMR-visible oligomers

During aggregation of S85-TTR^F at pH 4.4 and 277 K, monomeric (M) and NMR-visible oligomeric (O) intermediates accumulate, with O giving rise to a broad ¹⁹F peak (~84 Hz linewidth) between the T and M resonances (22-24 Hz linewidth, Fig. 4). The location of the O peak between T and M indicates that the S85C-BTFA probe in the oligomers is less solvent exposed than in M but more so than in T. For S46-TTR^F, only a single broad ¹⁹F resonance (~81 Hz linewidth) is observed; constituent T, M, or O peaks could not be resolved and are likely overlapped. For S100-TTR^F, it is likely that the ¹⁹F resonance of O lies under the broad and overlapped peaks arising from T and M since the timedependent trace of overall NMR signal loss is comparable to that of S85-TTR^F (Fig. S6). Interestingly, for E63-TTR^F, a very broad shoulder (~110 Hz linewidth) is observed downfield of the ¹⁹F peak arising from T + M (\sim 32 Hz linewidth), indicating that the CF_3 probe in the DE loop has lower solvent exposure, that is, is more buried, in O than in T (9). This result contrasts with that for the CF₃ probe at S85C, which exhibits greater exposure to solvent in O than in T.

Enhanced ¹⁹F R_2 relaxation rate of M resonances due to exchange with oligomeric species

Despite the molecular weight difference between M and T, their ¹⁹F resonances have similar linewidths in spectra of S85-TTR^F and S100-TTR^F at pH 4.4 (Table S2), suggesting possible line broadening of M under conditions where aggregation occurs. We focused on S85-TTR^F where the T and M resonances are better resolved. The linewidth of the M resonance



Figure 4. Oligomer formation at low temperature. ¹⁹F-NMR spectra of the four BTFA-labeled TTR constructs measured at 10 µM at pH 4.4 at 277 K after a pseudoequilibrium of all aggregating TTR species was achieved. The maximal peak height was normalized for comparison. ¹⁹F resonances are labeled with T (tetramer), M (monomer), or O (NMR-visible oligomer) where applicable. BTFA, 3-bromo-1,1,1-trifluoroacetone; TTR, transthyretin.



Figure 5. Linewidth and R₂ Relaxation of S85-TTR^F. *A*, Lorentzian linewidths of the ¹⁹F resonances of T, M, and O of S85-TTR^F at varying TTR concentrations (10, 30, 80, and 200 μ M) at pH 4.4 and 277 K in aggregation buffer. Because the M resonance does not appear in the spectrum of S85-TTR^F at pH 7.0, the linewidths of the M peak at pH 7.0 were measured in the ¹⁹F spectrum of S85-TTR^F-F87A, which populates both M and T states at this pH (10). The smaller linewidths of M and T are shown in a red box on the left axis and the larger linewidth of O is shown on the *black* right axis. *B*, concentration-dependent changes at 277 K in ¹⁹F R_2 relaxation rate con-Stants, relative to the R_2 for the M species of S85-TTR^F-F87A at pH 7.0 ($\Delta R_2 = R_2$ (pH 4.4) – R_2 (pH 7.0)), for the M peak of S85-TTR^F at pH 4.4 from 10 to 80 μ M. ΔR_2 of M is not as sensitive to the total TTR concentrations between 10 and 80 µM at 298 K as 277 K. Error bars in panels (A) and (B) are fitting uncertainties, estimated as one SD from 50 bootstrapped datasets. C, positive linear correlations between the protomer concentration of NMR-visible O species and ΔR_2 (blue, on the left axis) as well as the linewidth of M (red, on the right axis). The linewidth of the M peak at pH 7.0 from the ¹⁹F spectrum of S85-TTR^F-F87A is plotted at [O] = 0 μ M, where $\Delta R_2 = 0 \text{ s}^{-1}$. The ΔR_2 value with 200 μM S85-TTR $^{\text{F}}$ (green) is extrapolated based on the linear correlation between the R_2 and linewidth of M (Fig. S7H). The dashed blue line is a linear fit between ΔR_2 and [O] without the 200 μ M R_2 , and the dashed red line is a

increases upon lowering the pH at 277 K from 7.0 (nonaggregating; linewidth of M measured for S85-TTR^F-F87A, which has a mixed population of M and T at pH 7.0 (10), conditions under which the population of the M species of S85-TTR^F is small and no M peak can be observed) to pH 4.4 (aggregating) (Fig. 5A). Increasing the total concentration of S85C-BTFA at pH 4.4 also increases the linewidth of the M peak. In contrast, the linewidth of the T peak at 277 K is largely independent of pH and TTR concentration (Fig. 5A). We also observed a consistent, concentration-dependent increase in the ¹⁹F R_2 relaxation rate of M at 277 K/pH 4.4 relative to that at 277 K/pH 7.0 ($\Delta R_2 = R_2 (_{pH 4.4}) - R_2 (_{pH 7.0}) > 0$, where the reference R_2 is that of the M species of S85-TTR^F-F87A at pH 7.0) (Fig. 5B). By contrast, the R_2 relaxation rate of the T resonance at 298 K and 277 K is independent of both concentration and pH (Fig. S7A).

Since neither the ¹⁹F linewidth nor the R_2 relaxation rate constant of T increases systematically with concentration, it is unlikely that increased solution viscosity at higher total TTR concentrations (up to 200 μ M) could be responsible for the elevated ¹⁹F ΔR_2 for M. A positive ΔR_2 of M was also observed at 298 K/pH 4.4 (Fig. 5B), conditions under which ¹⁹F resonances associated with the NMR-visible O species are not observed (Fig. 2A). As shown previously (7), the loss of overall ¹⁹F signal indicates the presence of high molecular weight, NMR-invisible species. The absence of positive correlation between ΔR_2 and monomer concentration (Fig. S7B) shows that the enhanced relaxation does not arise from selfassociation of M to form dimer or tetramer. Given that interconversion between T and M at 298 K/pH 4.4 (7) is very slow (on a timescale of hours), the increased R_2 of M at 298 K/ pH 4.4 is likely due to transient exchange between M and NMR-invisible species. The observed 19 F R_2 is not dependent on how long the S85-TTR^F sample is allowed to aggregate (Fig. S7C), yet the turbidity increases during this time (Fig. S7D), signifying continuous formation of large insoluble particles. Taken together, these two observations rule out the possibility that the observed ΔR_2 of M is due to exchange with large, insoluble aggregates; if it were, the ΔR_2 of M would be expected to increase over time. We therefore suggest that at 298 K/pH 4.4, free M in solution exchanges with a pseudosteady-state concentration of soluble, high molecular weight, NMR-invisible oligomers but does not transiently exchange with the insoluble aggregates that contribute to increasing turbidity.

The ¹⁹F ΔR_2 values of M at 277 K/pH 4.4 are much larger than at 298 K/pH 4.4 and are more strongly dependent on the total S85-TTR^F concentration (Fig. 5*B*). The larger ΔR_2 at 277 K/pH 4.4 is not caused by exchange between M and large insoluble aggregates, as turbidity at 277 K/pH 4.4 is much less than that at 298 K/pH 4.4 (Fig. S7, *D–E*). The increased ΔR_2 values do not simply result from overlap with broad

linear fit between linewidth and [O]. In both cases the correlation coefficients are greater than 0.999. The slope of ΔR_2 against [O] is 3.3×10^6 M⁻¹ s⁻¹ with or without the 200 μ M ΔR_2 used in the fitting. TTR, transthyretin.

resonances associated with the NMR-visible O species: the decay of M peak intensities in the R_2 measurements is well fitted by a single exponential, which would not be expected if there was substantial spectral overlap. Both the linewidth and ΔR_2 of the M resonance exhibit a linear correlation with the concentration of NMR-visible oligomer ([O], expressed as protomer concentration) (Fig. 5C). This correlation is attributed to an increase in the population of available M-binding sites in the NMR-visible oligomer ([O]_{free}) with increasing TTR concentration, resulting in increased k_{on}^{app} (= $k_{on}[O]_{free}$). Exchange between M and NMR-visible O species is sufficient to account for the observed increase in ΔR_2 of M at 277K; this is confirmed by numerical simulations of the Bloch-McConnell equation (11, 12) for two-state exchange between free M (F state) and a state in which M is bound to soluble oligomers (B state, Fig. S7F). Millisecond timescale exchange between alternative conformations of M with distinct ¹⁹F chemical shifts could potentially contribute to the increased linewidth of M. However, we observed no changes in the peak intensity for M upon varying the Carr-Purcell-Meiboom-Gill pulsing frequency from 2000 to 4000 s⁻¹ at 277 K/pH 4.4 with 80 μ M total TTR concentration (Fig. S7G), indicating that conformational exchange within M does not contribute to the R_2 relaxation measurements with a 4000 s^{-1} pulsing rate.

The exchange between M and the NMR-visible oligomers also broadens the ¹⁹F linewidth of O as a function of the M concentration (Fig. S8A). ¹⁹F-DOSY was used to estimate the minimum molecular weight for the NMR-visible O ensemble. The ratio (0.58 \pm 0.27) of translational diffusion coefficients (*D*) of the O (measured at the center of the O peak at -84.9 ppm) and T species (Fig. S8C) was converted to changes in hydrodynamic radius of O compared to that of T (13), assuming a spherical shape for O and T. This analysis indicates that O contains at least 30 protomers, with molecular weight > 400 kDa. The linewidth of the ¹⁹F resonance of O is linearly correlated with the O concentration (Fig. S8*B*), suggesting that exchange among the polydisperse O species may contribute to line broadening.

Characterization of the pH 4.4 monomeric aggregation intermediate

The monomeric intermediate M is the key species connecting the native tetramer and the oligomeric species on the aggregation pathway (7). To extend the type and number of probes available for characterization of M, we recorded ¹H,¹⁵N-heteronuclear single quantum coherence (HSQC) spectra of WT TTR as the pH was lowered from neutral to 4.4, where the tetramer T dissociates into M, which subsequently aggregates (8). To slow aggregation, the spectra were acquired at 277 K in the absence of salt. Under these conditions, little increase in turbidity at 330 nm was observed for 100 μ M WT TTR over the course of 1 week (Fig. S9*A*). Inclusion of KCl in the buffer accelerates TTR aggregation, even at 10 μ M concentration (Fig. S9*B*).

At neutral pH, WT TTR is predominantly a tetramer. At 277 K, the tetramer tumbles sufficiently slowly that many

resonances in the ¹H-¹⁵N HSQC spectrum of nondeuterated WT TTR at pH 6.9 are broadened beyond detection and only ~28 cross-peaks are observed (Fig. 6*A*, *black*). Except for F33, S46, and K48, these cross-peaks arise from the N- or C-terminal regions or from flexible loops. As the pH is lowered, the tetramer dissociates and monomer cross-peaks appear in the spectrum. An additional ~59 cross-peaks were observed at pH 4.4 (Fig. 6*A*, red). The gradual increase in monomer cross-peak intensity as the pH is lowered is illustrated in Figure 6*B*. Although WT TTR at 277 K and pH 4.4 in the absence of KCl is expected to populate T (~35%), M (~23%), and NMR-visible oligomer O (~42%), based on ¹⁹F spectra of S85-TTR^F under the same conditions (Fig. S10), most amide cross-peaks from T and O are broad and are not observable in the HSQC spectrum under these conditions.

The changes observed in the ${}^{1}H{}^{-15}N$ HSQC spectrum of WT TTR as the pH is decreased reflect primarily the T \rightarrow M



Figure 6. pH Titration of WT TTR at 277 K. *A*, ¹H, ¹⁵N-HSQC spectral overlay of backbone amides in WT TTR at 277 K without KCl, at pH 6.9 (*black*) and 4.4 (*red*). New cross-peaks that appear due to pH-induced unfolding of WT TTR are labeled with *green asterisks*. *B*, pH titration of WT TTR from pH 6.9 to pH 4.4 at 277 K. Residues observed at pH 6.9 are shown in *blue* and additional residues that appear at lower pHs are in *red*. Cross-peak intensities (measured by peak height) at each pH value were normalized using the average intensity of residues T3, G4, and T5 in the unstructured N-terminal region. The *y*-axis scale was reduced to focus on low intensity peaks at low pHs. As a result, intensities of the N-terminal T3 to S8, T49, T60, and the C-terminal K126 and E127 are larger than the y limit. The two regions for which assignments are missing for F87 E are shown as two empty *black boxes*. TTR, transthyretin.

transition. In order to examine the changes in the structure of M itself, we turned to F87E mutant TTR, which is a monomer at 100 μ M concentration at neutral pH (Fig. S2B). The new cross-peaks that appear in the pH 4.4 spectrum of WT TTR overlap with those from F87E under the same conditions (Fig. S11A), confirming that they are indeed associated with the monomer. To assign the amide cross-peaks in the WT M spectrum, the backbone assignments of monomeric F87E (BMRB 51171) at neutral pH and 298K were first transferred to the pH 4.4 spectrum at 277 K by performing temperature and pH titrations (Figs. 7A and S11B). The resulting assignments were then transferred to the pH 4.4 spectrum of WT TTR (Fig. S11A). Changes in chemical shift between pH 6.7 and pH 4.4 are small (Fig. S12), with the weighted average difference in ¹H,¹⁵N amide shifts less than 0.05 ppm for most residues, showing that the overall structure of the monomer is retained over this pH range.

Excluding residues that are close to titratable sidechains (His, Asp, and Glu), the only residues that exhibit larger than average shift changes are located in the EF helix and loop and in the A and G strands (Fig. S12). Of note, the cross-peak of the Y78 amide from the EF helix shows substantial pH-dependent chemical shift changes (Fig. 7A). Lowering the pH broadens the cross-peaks of most residues (Fig. 7B). Cross-peaks that lose more than 60% of their intensities relative to the pH 6.9 spectrum are associated with residues in the A-strand, the DE loop, the C-terminal residues of the EF helix, and the Schellman Ccapping motif (14) in the N terminus of the EF loop (Fig. 7C). By contrast, cross-peaks of residues S117 to V122, which make up a large part of the H-strand, become more intense at pH 4.4 (Fig. 7B), suggesting that this region becomes more flexible. Several new cross-peaks appear at pH 5.0 or below in the spectra of both the F87E monomer (black asterisks in Figs. 7A and S11A) and WT TTR (green asterisks in Fig. 6A). The 1 H resonances of these new cross-peaks are poorly dispersed and appear in the central random coil region (15), indicating that the corresponding residues are probably in unfolded regions.

Real-time ¹H,¹⁵N-HSQC measurements of aggregation kinetics

Aggregation of WT TTR was initiated by reducing the pH from pH 7.0 to 4.4 in the presence of 100 mM KCl and timedependent changes in cross-peak volume were followed for 24 h (Fig. 8, A-C and S13). Three types of behavior were observed for amide cross-peaks (Fig. 8, D-I). The resonance of residue G6 (Fig. 8D), which is located in the unstructured Nterminal region of the TTR tetramer, is intense in the first measurement (20 min after lowering the pH) and continues to increase in intensity for another 1 to 2 h before slowly decaying. Several other residues from the disordered N-terminal region show the same behavior (Fig. S14). The transient increase in peak volume is due to the formation of M, which for these residues has similar chemical shifts as in T. The chemical shifts of these N-terminal residues are close to random coil values predicted by the POTENCI server (16) (Fig. S15). For cross-peaks that are uniquely associated with T (e.g., the E127 tetramer cross-peak in Fig. 8E), the intensity



Figure 7. HSQC pH titration of F87E TTR at 277 K. *A*, region of ¹H,¹⁵N-HSQC spectrum of F87E at 277 K showing changes over the range pH 6.7 to pH 4.4. New cross-peaks that appear due to pH-induced unfolding of the F87E monomer are labeled with *asterisks. B*, normalized peak intensities of F87E at pH 4.4 relative to those at pH 6.7. An averaged intensity of the T3, G4, and T5 cross-peaks was used as reference for normalization. The *dashed line* denotes no changes in intensity. Two regions where assignments are missing are indicated by empty *black* boxes. The secondary structure of the TTR tetramer is shown at the top of the panel. *C*, structure of the TTR protomer (PDB: 5CN3) showing the backbone N atoms for residues that lose >60% intensity at pH 4.4 relative to pH 6.7 (*blue*) and whose intensity increased more than twofold (*red*). HSQC, ¹H,¹⁵N-heteronuclear single quantum coherence; PDB, Protein Data Bank; TTR, transthyretin.

decays steadily with time and no transient increase is observed. For resonances observed only in the HSQC spectra of M (*e.g.*, the K76, S117, V122, and E127 monomer cross-peaks in Fig. 8, *F–I*), the peak volumes at the first time point (20 min) are weak, reach a maximum after ~3 to 4 h as the concentration of M builds up, and then slowly decay over 24 h as aggregation progresses. The maximum number of resolvable cross-peaks (~45) was observed ~3 to 4 h after lowering the pH (Figs. 8*B* and S13). As aggregation progresses, most cross-peaks in the spectrum of M disappear into noise; the remaining backbone amide cross-peaks are from the N- and C-terminal regions and show poor ¹H chemical shift dispersion, suggesting that these regions experience high local flexibility and structural disorder in M (Fig. 8*C*).



Figure 8. Aggregation of 200 μ M WT TTR followed by backbone amides in time-dependent ¹H,¹⁵N-HSQC experiments at pH 4.4 and 277 K with 100 mM KCL. *A*–*C*, HSQC spectra at the first, intermediate (with the most peaks), and last time points. Assigned cross-peaks are labeled. For clarity, sidechain NH cross-peaks in negative contours are only shown in the full spectrum in Fig. S13. *D*–*I*, time-dependent changes in backbone amide cross-peak volumes for G6 (identical chemical shifts in T and M), K76, S117, V122, E127 monomer resonances, and the tetramer E127 resonance. The cross-peak volume in (*E*) at the first time point is used for normalization. The *black lines* are fits based on a three-state aggregation kinetics model with relaxation rate constants γ_1 =0.74 ± 0.02 h⁻¹ and γ_2 =0.10 ± 0.01 h⁻¹. See Fig. S14 for the global fits of all resolved residues. HSQC, ¹H,¹⁵N-heteronuclear single quantum coherence; TTR, transthyretin.

The biphasic kinetics in Figures 5, *F*–*I* and S14 suggest a two-step, three-state model as a maximum parsimony approach for 45 relatively high signal/noise (S/N) amide cross-peaks. The time-dependent volume changes of all the observed resonances can be fitted by two global rate constants ($\gamma_1 = 0.74 \pm 0.02 h^{-1}$ and $\gamma_2 = 0.10 \pm 0.01 h^{-1}$, Fig. S14). The kinetic traces are best fit by a reversible T $\rightleftharpoons M \rightleftharpoons A$ mechanism; reversibility in both steps is required for adequate fits (see Fig. S16 for examples of fits to alternative models for residues K9 and V122), consistent with the kinetic reversibility needed to fit our earlier ¹⁹F TTR aggregation data (7).

Reversal of aggregation

Since low pH drives the coupled dissociation–aggregation equilibria of TTR forward (7), we tested whether the equilibria could be reversed by increasing the pH. 1 H, 15 N-HSQC spectra recorded at 277 K after rapid pH jump from 4.4 to 7.0 in the presence of 100 mM KCl show an increase in the cross-peak volumes of four N-terminal residues (T3, G4, T5, and G6, Fig. 9) at a globally fitted rate constant of 0.03 ± 0.01 h⁻¹. This rate constant describes the pH-driven process where M is released from an ensemble of soluble aggregates and subsequently rapidly reassembles into T at neutral pH. The rate-limiting step, likely the dissolution of

soluble aggregates, is estimated by $^{19}{\rm F}$ NMR to have a rate constant of 0.02 \pm 0.01 h^{-1} for S85-TTR^F (7), consistent with the apparent overall reverse rate constant determined here.



Figure 9. Monitoring disaggregation of WT TTR at pH 7.0 and 277 K by ¹H, ¹⁵N-HSQC experiments. A–D, volumes of the four observable N-terminal backbone amide cross-peaks increase as a function of time after jumping the pH from 4.4 to 7.0. The volume of the G4 amide cross-peak at time zero was used for normalization. The *black lines* are exponential fits with a global relaxation rate constant ($0.03 \pm 0.01 h^{-1}$). HSQC, 1H,1SN-heteronuclear single quantum coherence; TTR, transthyretin.

Discussion

Concerted and reversible aggregation kinetics independent of probe locations

Building on our previous work on measuring TTR aggregation using the ¹⁹F-BTFA probe coupled to TTR at S85C, we have extended the aggregation kinetic measurements to three additional BTFA coupling sites and to 45 amide cross-peaks in ¹H,¹⁵N-HSQC spectra. For S85-TTR^F and S100-TTR^F, ¹⁹F resonances from both the T and M species can be observed in the NMR spectrum, (Fig. 2); similarly, the ¹H,¹⁵N-HSQC spectra show resonances for both T and M for the two Cterminal residues K126 and E127 (Figs. 8B and S13). The time series of aggregation kinetics of these peaks reveal rich kinetic insights into the early steps in TTR aggregation. More importantly, regardless of their locations within the TTR structure, the aggregation kinetics reported by both the ¹⁹F and amide ¹⁵N and ¹H probes are the same (Figs. 3 and 8, D-I, S14), consistent with a highly concerted aggregation process during the early stages of the TTR aggregation pathway.

The global relaxation rate constants determined from timedependent changes in the ¹H,¹⁵N-HSQC cross-peak volumes in spectra of 200 μ M WT TTR tetramer at 277 K/pH 4.4 (γ_1 = 0.74 ± 0.02 and $\gamma_2 = 0.10 \pm 0.01 \text{ h}^{-1}$) are greater than those for 10 μM S85-TTR F at the same temperature and pH (γ_{1} = 0.51 \pm 0.01 and $\gamma_2 = 0.04 \pm 0.01 \text{ h}^{-1}$ (7)), consistent with faster TTR aggregation at higher concentrations (6). Lim et al. also showed that at a low concentration (12 µM) and pH 4.4, aggregation of TTR is greatly slowed such that dispersed crosspeaks can be observed in the ¹H,¹⁵N-HSQC spectrum (17). Our aggregation kinetics for TTR at high concentration, measured by HSQC NMR experiments, are similar to reported values under comparable conditions: the half-time $(t_{1/2})$ for tetramer decay determined from intensity changes of the E127 cross-peak is \sim 4 h for 200 μ M WT TTR at 277 K/pH 4.4 (Fig. 8*E*), comparable to the $t_{1/2}$ of \sim 3 h determined for 270 µM WT TTR at 277 K/pH 3.0 by small-angle X-ray scattering (18).

Our kinetic data also show that the early steps on the low pH TTR aggregation pathway, involving the soluble species T, M, and NMR-visible O, are fully reversible (Figs. 9, S16 and S17). Reversible aggregation of TTR has also been observed by cycling between high and low hydrostatic pressure (19). TTR is similar to other aggregation-prone proteins in undergoing reversible oligomerization (20). For example, the β 2-microglobulin fibril undergoes pH-induced depolymerization to form monomers and oligomers (21), reversible oligomerization has been shown as key to quantitatively model the amyloid- β aggregation pathway (22), and aggregation intermediates of the prion protein are in dynamic equilibria (23).

Dynamic exchange between M and soluble oligomers

The finding that M exchanges with large, NMR-invisible TTR oligomers at 298 K and pH 4.4 (Fig. 5*B*) is consistent with a small-angle X-ray scattering study, which showed that TTR monomers exchange with protofibrils of \sim 2900 kDa molecular weight in acetic acid solution at 277 K and pH 3.0

(18). Analogous observations have been made for amyloid- β , where transient binding of monomer to high molecular weight protofibrils and fibrils has been quantified using NMR dark-state exchange saturation transfer (24, 25) and visualized by cryo-EM as a multistep secondary nucleation phenomenon (26).

TTR aggregation is slowed at 277 K and pH 4.4, leading to accumulation of NMR-visible oligomers that contain more than 30 protomers (>400 kDa), consistent with previous reports of 44-mer oligomers (27). Loss of overall ¹⁹F-NMR signal also occurs, indicating the formation of large, NMR-invisible aggregates (7). While exchange between M and large NMRinvisible aggregates or protofibrils may contribute to the increased linewidth and enhanced R2 relaxation of M at 277 K/ pH 4.4, the positive linear correlation between ¹⁹F linewidth or ΔR_2 and the concentration of the polydispersed NMR-visible oligomers (Fig. 5C) suggests that exchange between M and O is the dominant contribution to line broadening. At 298 K and pH 4.4, the population of NMR-visible oligomers is very low and the small ΔR_2 observed for M under these conditions is thus attributed to exchange with open sites on larger, NMRinvisible aggregates. Simulations using the Bloch-McConnell equations show that the forward rate constant (k_{on}^{app}) for binding of M to oligomers or aggregates must be on the order of tens per second at 277 K and pH 4.4 in order to account for the experimentally observed values of ΔR_2 (Fig. S7F). The rate of exchange between monomer and oligomer observed by NMR relaxation is therefore orders of magnitude faster than the slow, reversible conversion between M and NMR-visible O at 277 K and pH 4.4 (~4 h⁻¹ for 10 μ M S85-TTR^F Fig. S17). The exchange process that contributes to concentration-dependent line broadening of M involves transient association and dissociation of monomer from the growing oligomers and likely constitutes an early step in the slow assembly of large insoluble aggregates or fibrils (7). These vastly different yet concurrent kinetic processes underline the complexity of multistep protein aggregation processes with hierarchies of timescales (28) (Fig. 10).

Site-specific conformational changes in M and NMR-visible O

Despite the concerted global aggregation kinetics observed for TTR with all of the ¹⁹F and ¹H,¹⁵N amide probes, location-dependent conformational changes were identified in



Figure 10. A mechanistic scheme of TTR aggregation. While the formation and growth of large aggregates is slow on the hour timescale, transient interaction between M and NMR-visible O is much faster on the second timescale. Rate constants were measured or modeled at 277 K and pH 4.4 using S85-TTR^F. TTR, transthyretin.

the aggregation-prone M and O intermediates. Consistent with earlier work (29, 30), cross-peaks in the HSQC spectra of WT TTR at pH 4.4 are well dispersed and overlap with those of the F87E monomer at the same pH in the absence of KCl (Fig. S11A), showing that the WT monomer largely retains its folded structure under these conditions. Most amide cross-peaks in spectra of F87E undergo only small chemical shift changes as the pH is lowered from 6.7 to 4.4 (Fig. S12). Biochemical evidence indicates partial unfolding of the TTR monomer at pH 4.4 (31, 32), likely enhancing structural flexibility in local regions. An X-ray structure of the WT TTR tetramer at pH 4.0 shows a major conformational rearrangement of the entire EF region in one subunit (33). However, with the exception of the amide cross-peaks of E72, D74, and Y78, the chemical shifts of residues in the EF region of F87E and M-TTR (F87M/L110M) exhibit only small changes at acidic pH (Fig. S12 and Ref. (34)), suggesting that ground state conformational changes of M in solution are much smaller than observed in the low pH X-ray structure. In agreement with previous studies of monomeric M-TTR (34), there are substantial changes in F87E cross-peak intensity over the pH range 6.7 to 4.4 (Fig. 7), consistent with increased flexibility and exchange between a native-like ground state structure and an alternative conformational state with enhanced aggregation propensity (34). At pH 4.4, the intensity of the T75 and K76 amide cross-peaks is greatly increased, suggesting enhanced dynamics in the N-terminal region of the EF helix at acidic pH. The cross-peaks of residues A81-I84, which form a Schellman C-capping motif that stabilizes the EF helix (14), become severely broadened with decreasing pH (Fig. 7), most likely due to exchange with an alternative or locally unfolded conformation of the EF loop. The EF region plays a critical role in stabilization of both the tetramer and the folded protomer (14). Mutations that disrupt packing of the EF helix onto the hydrophobic core or destabilize its helical structure facilitate tetramer dissociation and monomer unfolding and lead to increased TTR aggregation propensity (14, 35).

In the NMR-visible oligomers, the ¹⁹F chemical shift of the S85C-BTFA probe is upfield shifted relative to T but is downfield shifted relative to M (Fig. 4), showing that the CF_3 group is partly solvent exposed in the oligomer but more buried than in the monomer. The S85C-BTFA probe is located in the EF loop and the change of ¹⁹F chemical shift indicates conformational perturbation in this region in the NMR-visible oligomeric states. The structural changes in the EF region on progressing from the tetramer to the low pH monomer and the NMR-visible oligomer, species that are involved in early steps of the aggregation pathway, are likely to predispose TTR for further conformational rearrangements in higher order aggregates. It has been shown that the EF helix becomes disordered in insoluble TTR aggregates formed at low pH in vitro (29, 36, 37) and that it rearranges into β strands in fibrillar amyloids extracted from cardiac tissue (38) and from the vitreous body of the eye (39) of patients carrying a V30M mutation. Interestingly, S85 is

partly solvent exposed in cryo-EM structures of V30M TTR fibrils (38, 39), consistent with the solvent accessibility inferred from the ¹⁹F chemical shift of the S85C-BTFA probe in the NMR-visible O species.

Under aggregating conditions at pH 4.4, residues S117 to V122, which are located in the H strand of the TTR tetramer, show greatly increased amide cross-peak intensities in HSQC spectra of the WT and F87E monomers (Figs. 6B and 7B), with chemical shifts close to random coil (Fig. S15A). The amide ¹H resonances of A120, V121, and V122 of F87E have large chemical shift temperature coefficients (Fig. S18), suggesting that these residues do not participate in hydrogen bonded βsheet structure in the TTR monomer, even at neutral pH. Indeed, the H-strand was observed to be unfolded in NMR solution structures of M-TTR at 500 bar and pH 6.5 (40). The increase in cross-peak intensity at acidic pH (Figs. 6 and 7) is consistent with enhanced conformational fluctuations under conditions that promote aggregation. Fluctuations of the Hstrand that lead to breaking of intramolecular hydrogen bonds would potentially expose highly amyloidogenic segments of the G β strand (38) and promote entry into the aggregation pathway. Antiserum against the 115 to 124 segment of TTR reacts with the TTR amyloid in ATTRwt patients but not with the native TTR in plasma or in pancreatic islet alpha cells (41, 42). It is likely that the H-strand, which is well folded and protected in the native T state, is partly solvent exposed in the monomer and in higher order TTR aggregates and fibrils.

Finally, residues from the D-strand and DE loop in M, including E63, show decreased amide cross-peak intensities in HSQC spectra of F87E under aggregation conditions (Fig. 7, *B* and *C*), indicating increased exchange broadening at acidic pH. ¹⁹F NMR data obtained with the E63C-BTFA probe show that it is less solvent exposed in the NMR-visible oligomers than in the tetramer (Fig. 4). Interestingly, E63 is partly buried in the cryo-EM structures of V30M TTR cardiac fibrils (38) and the vitreous fibrils (39), and both fibrillar structures show distinct local packing of the DE loop residues against neighboring β strands.

In conclusion, NMR experiments using ¹⁹F BTFA and amide ¹⁵N and ¹H probes have revealed the concerted aggregation kinetics of TTR and location-dependent conformational changes in the TTR tetramer (T), monomer (M), and NMRvisible oligomer (O). M transiently and reversibly exchanges with polydisperse TTR species, including NMR-visible and NMR-invisible oligomers. Early steps in the TTR aggregation pathway involving T, M, and the heterogeneous oligomers are highly reversible. The solvent accessibility of ¹⁹F probes located in the DE and EF loops in the NMR-visible O species also follows a comparable trend as in the V30M ex vivo fibrillar structures (38, 39). These observations suggest that certain location-dependent structural features in early steps of TTR aggregation could propagate to later stages via aggregation intermediates, likely including M and NMR-visible O. Future experiments to explore how these conformational changes are coupled to TTR amyloid formation as a function of mutation are clearly warranted.

Experimental procedures

Protein expression, purification, and labeling

A pET29 plasmid encoding a C10S TTR sequence with an N-terminal Met residue was used as the cloning template (7). Site-directed mutagenesis of S46C and E63C was introduced to the template by the QuikChange Kit (Agilent) using the previously reported primer sequences (10). The mutation S100C was introduced using the polymerase incomplete primer extension as an updated protocol (43). The forward and reverse primer sequences of S100C were:

5'-CGACTGCGGCCCCCGCCGCTAC-3'

5'-GGCCGCAGTCGTTGGCTGTGAATACCAC-3'.

The proteins were expressed in the *Escherichia coli* BL21(DE3) Star strain as previously described (35).

The purification of protonated WT TTR and the monomeric F87E mutant was carried out according to a published two-step protocol (35), where a gel filtration separation by Sephacryl S100 was followed by an anion exchange step using a Capto Q ImpRes column. For C10S-S46C, C10S-E63C, and C10S-S100C, any disulfide-bridged TTR oligomers formed during protein expression were reduced by 10 mM tris(2carboxyethyl)phosphine at 298 K for 1 to 3 h and reloaded to the Capto Q column to remove high molecular weight impurities (7). The purified TTR protein was exchanged into 10 mM potassium phosphate buffer at pH 7.0 with 100 mM potassium chloride (NMR buffer). A molar extinction coefficient of 18,450 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹ was used to calculate the monomer/ protomer concentration of TTR, which is used throughout this work. The BTFA labeling was carried out as previously described (7). Briefly, 2 mM BTFA was mixed with tris(2carboxyethyl)phosphine-reduced TTR mutants (~100 µM) at 298 K for 1 h in NMR buffer, prior to separation using a PD25 desalting column equilibrated in NMR buffer.

Turbidity assay

Assays were performed using 10 μ M C10S-S46C-BTFA (denoted as S46-TTR^F), C10S-E63C-BTFA (E63-TTR^F), and C10S-S100C-BTFA (S100-TTR^F) in 50 mM sodium acetate and 100 mM KCl at pH 4.4 (aggregation buffer) at 310 K as previously described (8, 35).

¹⁹F-NMR aggregation assay

The real-time ¹⁹F-NMR aggregation assays were performed as previously reported (7). Briefly, aggregation of 10 μ M S46-TTR^F, E63-TTR^F, or S100-TTR^F was initialized by mixing the proteins in NMR buffer with aggregation buffer at 310 K. ¹⁹F NMR spectra were recorded using a Bruker Avance 600 spectrometer with a ¹H/¹⁹F-¹³C/¹⁵N QCI cryoprobe and shielded z-gradient coil or a Bruker Avance 700 spectrometer with a ¹H/¹⁹F-¹³C/¹⁵N TCI cryoprobe and shielded z-gradient coil. Each 1D spectrum was acquired with 4k complex points with an acquisition time of 91 or 182 ms. The carrier offset was set at -84.2 ppm. The recycle delay was set at 1 s, which is about three times longer than the ¹⁹F *T*₁ relaxation time for the tetramer resonance in all ¹⁹F-labeled samples (Table S3). The decay of the ¹⁹F-NMR resonance was recorded with a time resolution of 1 h over a total of 65 experiments. Unless otherwise noted, 10% D₂O was included as the lock signal in all NMR experiments. The 1D NMR datasets were processed using NMRPipe (44) (https://www.ibbr.umd.edu/nmrpipe) and analyzed using MATLAB.

¹H,¹⁵N-HSQC aggregation and disaggregation assay

Aggregation of 200 μ M ¹⁵N-labeled WT TTR in aggregation buffer at 277 K was monitored by recording real-time ¹H,¹⁵N-HSQC spectra. The measurements were performed using a Bruker Avance 600 spectrometer. The spectra comprised [2k, 256] complex points in the ¹H,¹⁵N dimensions with spectral widths of [16, 32] ppm. The carrier offset was set to 4.7 and 118 ppm for the ¹H,¹⁵N dimensions, respectively. A recycle delay of 1 s was used. For each delay in the indirect dimension (t_1), four scans were collected, giving rise to a total acquisition time of 20 min per 2D spectrum. A total of 72 spectra were recorded. The 2D NMR datasets were processed using NMRPipe (44) and analyzed using Sparky (45).

In the real-time HSQC disaggregation assay, 175 μ l of the aggregated TTR sample from the aforementioned aggregation experiment was added to 70 μ l of 200 mM dibasic potassium phosphate buffer containing 100 mM KCl to give a final pH of 7.0 after mixing. Only the cross-peaks of the first four N-terminal residues (T3, G4, T5, and G6) were visible above the noise in the initial HSQC spectrum of the aggregated protein. Disaggregation at 277 K was monitored by recording a series of HSQC spectra with the same parameters as those in the forward aggregation assay, except that 12 scans (1 h) were acquired for each t_1 delay to increase the S/N ratio. A total of 60 spectra were collected.

NMR aggregation data analysis

For 1D ¹⁹F-NMR data processing, a 1 Hz exponential linebroadening factor was applied to the free induction decay, which was then zero-filled to 16k before Fourier transformation. The populations of the various species involved were quantified using peak areas. The missing ¹⁹F signal amplitude was fitted to a single exponential function to determine aggregation rate constants. For mutants with resolvable T and M ¹⁹F resonances, a three-state fit (T \rightleftharpoons M \rightleftharpoons A) was performed as previously described (7). The concentrations of NMR-visible O and M were determined by fitting ¹⁹F spectra using three Lorentzian functions and compared to the total peak areas at t = 0.

In the ¹H,¹⁵N-HSQC forward aggregation analysis, a Lorentz–Gaussian window function was employed in the direct time domain and a squared sine-bell window function with an offset of 0.45 π was used in the indirect dimension. The peak volumes were extracted using the box sum method in CcpNmr (46). A global fit to a three-state model (T \rightleftharpoons M \rightleftharpoons A) was performed and two global relaxation rate constants (γ_1 and γ_2) were obtained. In addition, two amplitudes and one offset were fitted for each resonance. The uncertainties associated with the global fit were calculated as one



SD (68% confidence). Resonance assignments were transferred from the published backbone amide assignment of WT TTR (47) and F87E (BMRB accession numbers 27514 and 51171) by temperature and pH titration as described later.

NMR data for the ¹H,¹⁵N-HSQC disaggregation assay were processed as described in the previous paragraph. To enhance the S/N ratio, data from four successive time points were summed. The peak volumes of T3, G4, T5, and G6 were used for analysis, where a global single exponential relaxation rate constant and the amplitude/offset for each residue were determined.

NMR titrations for the monomeric F87E and tetrameric WT TTR

¹H,¹⁵N-HSQC spectra of F87E at 140 μ M in 50 mM Bis– Tris–4-morpholineethansulfonic acid (MES) buffer at pH 6.7 were recorded at 298, 291, 284, and 277 K using a Bruker Avance 600 spectrometer. A pH titration was performed at 277 K by gradually adding 50 mM MES to the F87E sample at pH 6.7 to lower the pH. To reduce aggregation, the concentration of F87E was gradually lowered (90 μ M at pH 6.1, 60 μ M at pH 5.5, 50 μ M at pH 5.0, and 40 μ M at pH 4.4). Similar protocols were applied for the WT TTR titration, where 100 μ M WT TTR in 50 mM Bi–Tris–MES buffer at pH 6.9 and 277 K was gradually diluted while the pH was lowered (100 μ M at pH 6.1, 80 μ M at pH 5.5, 70 μ M at pH 5.0, and 50 μ M at pH 4.4). KCl was omitted from the buffer so that TTR aggregation was slowed to facilitate NMR measurements (48).

¹⁹F-NMR DOSY (¹⁹F-DOSY)

Formation of M on the aggregation pathway of S100-TTR^F was verified by ¹⁹F-NMR DOSY measurements (7, 49). The DOSY experiment was performed on a 600 MHZ spectrometer using 50 μ M S100-TTR^F at pH 4.4 and 298 K in aggregation buffer from which KCl was omitted. To enhance the S/ N, 5000 scans were acquired for each of 10 evenly spaced relative z-gradient strengths ranging from 5% to 50%, resulting in a total acquisition time of 18 h. The data were analyzed using the Stejskal–Tanner equation (50) and the slopes for the T and M species were compared. The fitting uncertainty was determined by one SD of 50 bootstrapped datasets (51). To estimate the molecular weight range of the NMR-visible oligomers (O), the ¹⁹F-DOSY data for O at 277 K/pH 4.4 with a total concentration of 80 μ M S85-TTR^F were analyzed using the Stokes–Einstein equation as described in Ref (7).

¹⁹F R₂ relaxation

¹⁹F R_2 relaxation rate constants were measured using a Carr–Purcell–Meiboom–Gill pulse sequence (52). Data were collected in a Bruker Avance 600 spectrometer for samples shortly after a pseudoequilibrium population of TTR species was reached by incubation for periods ranging from overnight to 1 to 2 days, before precipitation occurred (>1 week). Interleaved sets of 180° ¹⁹F pulses (with 2, 4, 8, 16, 32, 64, 128, 256, or 512 pulses in the train) were applied and the delay

between successive 180° pulses was set to be 250 μ s (corresponding to a fast-pulsing frequency of 4000 s⁻¹). The recycling delay was set to 2 s giving a typical run time of ~10 to 20 h. The ¹⁹F spectrum with the highest S/N was fitted to an appropriate number of Lorentzian peaks to extract peak centers. The ¹⁹F R_2 was then determined by fitting the decays of intensities at these peak centers using single exponential functions.

Numerical simulations of the Bloch–McConnell equation to estimate $^{19}\text{F}\ \Delta R_2$

The ¹⁹F ΔR_2 (transverse relaxation rate constant R_2 in the presence of exchange broadening, minus R_2 without exchange) of M can be described by the homogeneous form of the Bloch–McConnell equation as previously shown (11, 12). The ¹⁹F relaxation was modeled assuming two-state exchange (F \rightleftharpoons B) between free (F) and bound (B) states of M. The pseudo first-order rate constant $k_{on}^{app} = k_{on}[O]_{free}$ describes the forward reaction, where $[O]_{free}$ is the concentration of available monomer binding sites in oligomers and k_{on} is the second-order association rate constant; the first-order rate constant k_{off} describes the reverse reaction.

Simulation parameters were set to experimentally measured values for monomeric TTR at 277 K/pH 4.4 ($R_1^F = 3.0 \text{ s}^{-1}$, $R_2^F = 33.7 \text{ s}^{-1}$, peak position of M = -85.0 ppm). The R_1 value (2.4 s⁻¹) of the NMR-visible oligomers in 80 µM S85-TTR^F at 277 K/pH 4.4 was used to approximate R_1^B . The peak position of the B state was set as -84.9 ppm for the NMR-visible oligomers. The peak positions of the F and B states and the ¹⁹F carrier frequency (-84.2 ppm) were converted to rad/s using the frequency of ¹⁹F (564.9 × 2 π × 10⁶ rad/s) on the 600 MHz spectrometer. The time-dependent evolution of the magnetization vector, which was set to be completely transverse initially, was carried out using the *expm* function in MATLAB and delays of 10 and 30 milliseconds were used to calculate ¹⁹F ΔR_2 for M per Ref (12).

Data availability

All data are reported in this paper and its supporting information.

Supporting information—This article contains supporting information (3 tables and 18 figures) (7, 10, 16, 35, 53–56).

Acknowledgments—We thank Gerard Kroon for expert assistance in NMR experiments, Maria Martinez-Yamout for providing the PIPE PCR protocol, Euvel Manlapaz for technical support and Ben Leach for discussion and providing the F87E plasmid.

Author contributions—X. S., J. F., H. J. D., and P. E. W. conceptualization; X. S. methodology; X. S. and J. F. formal analysis; X. S. and J. F. investigation; X. S. writing–original draft; J. F., H. J. D., and P. E. W. writing–review & editing; X. S. and J. F. visualization; P. E. W. supervision.

Funding and additional information—This work was supported by National Institutes of Health Grants DK34909 and DK124211 (to P.

E. W.), GM 131692 (to H. J. D.), the Skaggs Institute for Chemical Biology, and American Heart Association Grants #17POST32810003 and #20POST35050060 (to X. S.). The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.

Conflict of interest—The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest with the contents of this article.

Abbreviations—The abbreviations used are: BTFA, 3-bromo-1,1,1trifluoroacetone; DOSY, diffusion-ordered NMR spectroscopy; HSQC, ¹H,¹⁵N-heteronuclear single quantum coherence; MES, 4morpholineethansulfonic acid; TTR, transthyretin.

References

- Johnson, S. M., Connelly, S., Fearns, C., Powers, E. T., and Kelly, J. W. (2012) The transthyretin amyloidoses: from delineating the molecular mechanism of aggregation linked to pathology to a regulatory-agencyapproved drug. *J. Mol. Biol.* 421, 185–203
- Eisele, Y. S., Monteiro, C., Fearns, C., Encalada, S. E., Wiseman, R. L., Powers, E. T., *et al.* (2015) Targeting protein aggregation for the treatment of degenerative diseases. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* 14, 759
- Pitkänen, P., Westermark, P., and Cornwell, G. G., 3rd. (1984) Senile systemic amyloidosis. Am. J. Path. 117, 391–399
- Connors, L. H., Lim, A., Prokaeva, T., Roskens, V. A., and Costello, C. E. (2003) Tabulation of human transthyretin (TTR) variants, 2003. *Amyloid* 10, 160–184
- Sekijima, Y., Wiseman, R. L., Matteson, J., Hammarström, P., Miller, S. R., Sawkar, A. R., *et al.* (2005) The biological and chemical basis for tissueselective amyloid disease. *Cell* 121, 73–85
- Hurshman, A. R., White, J. T., Powers, E. T., and Kelly, J. W. (2004) Transthyretin aggregation under partially denaturing conditions is a downhill polymerization. *Biochemistry* 43, 7365–7381
- Sun, X., Dyson, H. J., and Wright, P. E. (2018) Kinetic analysis of the multistep aggregation pathway of human transthyretin. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 115, E6201–E6208
- Lai, Z., Colon, W., and Kelly, J. W. (1996) The acid-mediated denaturation pathway of transthyretin yields a conformational intermediate that can self-assemble into amyloid. *Biochemistry* 35, 6470–6482
- 9. Ye, L., Larda, S. T., Frank Li, Y. F., Manglik, A., and Prosser, R. S. (2015) A comparison of chemical shift sensitivity of trifluoromethyl tags: optimizing resolution in ¹⁹F NMR studies of proteins. *J. Biomol. NMR* 62, 97–103
- Sun, X., Jaeger, M., Kelly, J. W., Dyson, H. J., and Wright, P. E. (2018) Mispacking of the Phe87 side chain reduces the kinetic stability of human transthyretin. *Biochemistry* 57, 6919–6922
- Helgstrand, M., Härd, T., and Allard, P. (2000) Simulations of NMR pulse sequences during equilibrium and non-equilibrium chemical exchange. *J. Biomol. NMR* 18, 49–63
- 12. Libich, D. S., Fawzi, N. L., Ying, J., and Clore, G. M. (2013) Probing the transient dark state of substrate binding to GroEL by relaxation-based solution NMR. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 110, 11361–11366
- Cavanagh, J., Fairbrother, W. J., Palmer, A. G., III, Rance, M., and Skelton, N. J. (2007) *Protein NMR Spectroscopy: Principles and Practice*, 2nd ed., Elsevier Academic Press, Burlington MA
- Ferguson, J. A., Sun, X., Dyson, H. J., and Wright, P. E. (2021) Thermodynamic stability and aggregation kinetics of EF helix and EF loop variants of transthyretin. *Biochemistry* 60, 756–764
- Yao, J., Dyson, H. J., and Wright, P. E. (1997) Chemical shift dispersion and secondary structure prediction in unfolded and partly folded proteins. *FEBS Lett.* **419**, 285–289
- Nielsen, J. T., and Mulder, F. A. A. (2018) POTENCI: prediction of temperature, neighbor and pH-corrected chemical shifts for intrinsically disordered proteins. *J. Biomol. NMR* 70, 141–165
- Lim, K. H., Dasari, A. K. R., Hung, I., Gan, Z., Kelly, J. W., and Wemmer, D. E. (2016) Structural changes associated with transthyretin misfolding

and amyloid formation revealed by solution and solid-state NMR. *Biochemistry* **55**, 1941–1944

- Groenning, M., Campos, R. I., Hirschberg, D., Hammarström, P., and Vestergaard, B. (2015) Considerably unfolded transthyretin monomers preceed and exchange with dynamically structured amyloid protofibrils. *Sci. Rep.* 5, 11443
- Foguel, D., Suarez, M. C., Ferrao-Gonzales, A. D., Porto, T. C., Palmieri, L., Einsiedler, C. M., *et al.* (2003) Dissociation of amyloid fibrils of alphasynuclein and transthyretin by pressure reveals their reversible nature and the formation of water-excluded cavities. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 100, 9831–9836
- 20. Dear, A. J., Michaels, T. C. T., Meisl, G., Klenerman, D., Wu, S., Perrett, S., *et al.* (2020) Kinetic diversity of amyloid oligomers. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 117, 12087–12094
- Tipping, K. W., Karamanos, T. K., Jakhria, T., Iadanza, M. G., Goodchild, S. C., Tuma, R., *et al.* (2015) pH-induced molecular shedding drives the formation of amyloid fibril-derived oligomers. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 112, 5691–5696
- Michaels, T. C. T., Šarić, A., Curk, S., Bernfur, K., Arosio, P., Meisl, G., et al. (2020) Dynamics of oligomer populations formed during the aggregation of Alzheimer's Aβ42 peptide. *Nat. Chem.* 12, 445–451
- 23. Larda, S. T., Simonetti, K., Al-Abdul-Wahid, M. S., Sharpe, S., and Prosser, R. S. (2013) Dynamic equilibria between monomeric and oligomeric misfolded states of the mammalian prion protein measured by ¹⁹F NMR. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 135, 10533–10541
- 24. Fawzi, N. L., Ying, J., Torchia, D. A., and Clore, G. M. (2010) Kinetics of amyloid β monomer-to-oligomer exchange by NMR relaxation. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 132, 9948–9951
- 25. Fawzi, N. L., Ying, J., Ghirlando, R., Torchia, D. A., and Clore, G. M. (2011) Atomic-resolution dynamics on the surface of amyloid- β protofibrils probed by solution NMR. *Nature* **480**, 268–272
- 26. Törnquist, M., Cukalevski, R., Weininger, U., Meisl, G., Knowles, T. P. J., Leiding, T., *et al.* (2020) Ultrastructural evidence for self-replication of Alzheimer-associated Aβ42 amyloid along the sides of fibrils. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **117**, 11265–11273
- Kelly, J. W., Colon, W., Lai, Z., Lashuel, H. A., McCulloch, J., McCutchen, S. L., *et al.* (1997) Transthyretin quaternary and tertiary structural changes facilitate misassembly into amyloid. *Adv. Prot. Chem.* 50, 161–181
- Knowles, T. P. J., Vendruscolo, M., and Dobson, C. M. (2014) The amyloid state and its association with protein misfolding diseases. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 15, 384–396
- 29. Lim, K. H., Dasari, A. K. R., Hung, I., Gan, Z., Kelly, J. W., Wright, P. E., et al. (2016) Solid-state NMR studies reveal native-like β-Sheet structures in transthyretin amyloid. *Biochemistry* 55, 5272–5278
- Dasari, A. K. R., Hung, I., Gan, Z., and Lim, K. H. (2019) Two distinct aggregation pathways in transthyretin misfolding and amyloid formation. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Prot. Proteom.* 1867, 344–349
- 31. Jiang, X., Smith, C. S., Petrassi, H. M., Hammarström, P., White, J. T., Sacchettini, J. C., *et al.* (2001) An engineered transthyretin monomer that is nonamyloidogenic, unless it is partially denatured. *Biochemistry* 40, 11442–11452
- Quintas, A., Vaz, D. C., Cardoso, I., Saraiva, M. J., and Brito, R. M. (2001) Tetramer dissociation and monomer partial unfolding precedes protofibril formation in amyloidogenic transthyretin variants. *J. Biol. Chem.* 276, 27207–27213
- Palaninathan, S. K., Mohamedmohaideen, N. N., Snee, W. C., Kelly, J. W., and Sacchettini, J. C. (2008) Structural insight into pH-induced conformational changes within the native human transthyretin tetramer. *J. Mol. Biol.* 382, 1157–1167
- Lim, K. H., Dyson, H. J., Kelly, J. W., and Wright, P. E. (2013) Localized structural fluctuations promote amyloidogenic conformations in transthyretin. J. Mol. Biol. 425, 977–988
- **35.** Sun, X., Dyson, H. J., and Wright, P. E. (2017) Fluorotryptophan incorporation modulates the structure and stability of transthyretin in a site-specific manner. *Biochemistry* **56**, 5570–5581
- 36. Lim, K. H., Dasari, A. K. R., Ma, R., Hung, I., Gan, Z., Kelly, J. W., et al. (2017) Pathogenic mutations induce partial structural changes in the



native β -sheet structure of transthyretin and accelerate aggregation. Biochemistry **56**, 4808–4818

- 37. Dasari, A. K. R., Hung, I., Michael, B., Gan, Z., Kelly, J. W., Connors, L. H., et al. (2020) Structural characterization of cardiac ex vivo transthyretin amyloid: insight into the transthyretin mmisfolding pathway in vivo. Biochemistry 59, 1800–1803
- Schmidt, M., Wiese, S., Adak, V., Engler, J., Agarwal, S., Fritz, G., *et al.* (2019) Cryo-EM structure of a transthyretin-derived amyloid fibril from a patient with hereditary ATTR amyloidosis. *Nat. Commun.* 10, 5008
- Iakovleva, I., Hall, M., Oelker, M., Sandblad, L., Anan, I., and Sauer-Eriksson, A. E. (2021) Structural basis for transthyretin amyloid formation in vitreous body of the eye. *Nat. Commun.* 12, 7141
- 40. Oroz, J., Kim, J. H., Chang, B. J., and Zweckstetter, M. (2017) Mechanistic basis for the recognition of a misfolded protein by the molecular chaperone Hsp90. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 24, 407–413
- Gustavsson, A., Engstrom, U., and Westermark, P. (1994) Mechanisms of transthyretin amyloidogenesis. Antigenic mapping of transthyretin purified from plasma and amyloid fibrils and within *in situ* tissue localizations. *Am. J. Path.* 144, 1301–1311
- 42. Bergström, J., Engström, U., Yamashita, T., Ando, Y., and Westermark, P. (2006) Surface exposed epitopes and structural heterogeneity of *in vivo* formed transthyretin amyloid fibrils. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Comm.* 348, 532–539
- Klock, H. E., and Lesley, S. A. (2009) The Polymerase Incomplete Primer Extension (PIPE) method applied to high-throughput cloning and sitedirected mutagenesis. *Meth. Mol. Biol.* 498, 91–103
- Delaglio, F., Grzesiek, S., Vuister, G. W., Guang, Z., Pfeifer, J., and Bax, A. (1995) NMRPipe: a multidimensional spectral processing system based on UNIX pipes. J. Biomol. NMR 6, 277–293
- 45. Goddard, T. D., and Kneller, D. G. (2006) SPARKY 3, University of California, San Francisco

- 46. Vranken, W. F., Boucher, W., Stevens, T. J., Fogh, R. H., Pajon, A., Llinas, M., et al. (2005) The CCPN data model for NMR spectroscopy: development of a software pipeline. Proteins 59, 687–696
- Leach, B. I., Zhang, X., Kelly, J. W., Dyson, H. J., and Wright, P. E. (2018) NMR measurements reveal the structural basis of transthyretin destabilization by pathogenic mutations. *Biochemistry* 57, 4421–4430
- 48. Hammarström, P., Jiang, X., Deechongkit, S., and Kelly, J. W. (2001) Anion shielding of electrostatic repulsions in transthyretin modulates stability and amyloidosis: insight into the chaotrope unfolding dichotomy. *Biochemistry* 40, 11453–11459
- 49. Altieri, A. S., Hinton, D. P., and Byrd, R. A. (1995) Association of biomolecular systems via pulsed field gradient NMR self-diffusion measurements. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 117, 7566–7567
- Stejskal, E. O., and Tanner, J. E. (1965) Spin diffusion measurements: spin echoes in the presence of a time-dependent field gradient. *J. Chem. Phys.* 42, 288–292
- 51. Efron, B. (1979) Rietz lecture—bootstrap methods—another look at the jackknife. *Ann. Stat.* 7, 1–26
- 52. Meiboom, S., and Gill, D. (1958) Modified spin-echo method for measuring nuclear relaxation times. *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* 29, 688–691
- 53. Edwards, J. M., Bramham, J. E., Podmore, A., Bishop, S. M., van der Walle, C. F., and Golovanov, A. P. (2019) ¹⁹F Dark-state exchange saturation transfer NMR reveals reversible formation of protein-specific large clusters in high-concentration protein mixtures. *Anal. Chem.* **91**, 4702–4708
- Bain, A. D. (2003) Chemical exchange in NMR. Prog. Nucl. Magn. Res. Spec. 43, 63–103
- Reeves, L. W., and Shaw, K. N. (1970) Nuclear magnetic resonance studies of mutli-site chemical exchange. I. Matrix formulation of the Bloch equations. *Can. J. Chem.* 48, 3641–3653
- 56. Baxter, N. J., and Williamson, M. P. (1997) Temperature dependence of ¹H chemical shifts in proteins. J. Biomol. NMR 9, 359–369