



# A High-Efficacy CRISPR Interference System for Gene Function Discovery in Zymomonas mobilis

<sup>(D</sup>Amy B. Banta,<sup>a,b</sup> <sup>(D</sup>Amy L. Enright,<sup>a,b</sup> <sup>(D</sup>Cheta Siletti,<sup>a\*</sup> <sup>(D</sup>Jason M. Peters<sup>a,b,c,d</sup>

Pharmaceutical Sciences Division, School of Pharmacy, University of Wisconsin—Madison, Madison, Wisconsin, USA
<sup>b</sup>Great Lakes Bioenergy Research Center, Wisconsin Energy Institute, University of Wisconsin—Madison, Madison, Madison, Wisconsin, USA
<sup>c</sup>Department of Bacteriology, University of Wisconsin—Madison, Madison, Wisconsin, USA
<sup>d</sup>Department of Medical Microbiology and Immunology, University of Wisconsin—Madison, Madison, Wisconsin, USA

ABSTRACT Zymomonas mobilis is a promising biofuel producer due to its high alcohol tolerance and streamlined metabolism that efficiently converts sugar to ethanol. Z. mobilis genes are poorly characterized relative to those of model bacteria, hampering our ability to rationally engineer the genome with pathways capable of converting sugars from plant hydrolysates into valuable biofuels and bioproducts. Many of the unique properties that make Z. mobilis an attractive biofuel producer are controlled by essential genes; however, these genes cannot be manipulated using traditional genetic approaches (e.g., deletion or transposon insertion) because they are required for viability. CRISPR interference (CRISPRi) is a programmable gene knockdown system that can precisely control the timing and extent of gene repression, thus enabling targeting of essential genes. Here, we establish a stable, high-efficacy CRISPRi system in Z. mobilis that is capable of perturbing all genes-including essential genes. We show that Z. mobilis CRISPRi causes either strong knockdowns (>100-fold) using single guide RNA (sgRNA) spacers that perfectly match target genes or partial knockdowns using spacers with mismatches. We demonstrate the efficacy of Z. mobilis CRISPRi by targeting essential genes that are universally conserved in bacteria, are key to the efficient metabolism of Z. mobilis, or underlie alcohol tolerance. Our Z. mobilis CRISPRi system will enable comprehensive gene function discovery, opening a path to rational design of biofuel production strains with improved yields.

**IMPORTANCE** Biofuels produced by microbial fermentation of plant feedstocks provide renewable and sustainable energy sources that have the potential to mitigate climate change and improve energy security. Engineered strains of the bacterium *Z. mobilis* can convert sugars extracted from plant feedstocks into next-generation biofuels like isobutanol; however, conversion by these strains remains inefficient due to key gaps in our knowledge about genes involved in metabolism and stress responses such as alcohol tolerance. Here, we develop CRISPRi as a tool to explore gene function in *Z. mobilis*. We characterize genes that are essential for growth, required to ferment sugar to ethanol, and involved in resistance to isobutanol. Our *Z. mobilis* CRISPRi system makes it straightforward to define gene function and can be applied to improve strain engineering and increase biofuel yields.

**KEYWORDS** CRISPR-Cas9, Mismatch-CRISPRi, Mobile-CRISPRi, bioenergy, biofuel, lignocellulosic hydrolysate, essential genes, ribosomal proteins, pyruvate decarboxylase, hopanoid biosynthesis

Zymomonas mobilis is a Gram-negative alphaproteobacterium with superlative properties for biofuel production (1–5) but poorly characterized gene functions (6). *Z. mobilis* is an efficient, natural ethanologen (7, 8) capable of fermenting glucose to ethanol at 97% of the theoretical yield (7, 9) with little energy spent on biomass (8, 10). Citation Banta AB, Enright AL, Siletti C, Peters JM. 2020. A high-efficacy CRISPR interference system for gene function discovery in Zymomonas mobilis. Appl Environ Microbiol 86:e01621-20. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM .01621-20.

**Editor** Robert M. Kelly, North Carolina State University

**Copyright** © 2020 Banta et al. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.

Address correspondence to Jason M. Peters, jason.peters@wisc.edu.

\* Present address: Cheta Siletti, Department of Food Science, University of Wisconsin—Madison, Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

Received 3 July 2020 Accepted 20 September 2020

Accepted manuscript posted online 25 September 2020 Published 10 November 2020 Furthermore, *Z. mobilis* is highly resistant to ethanol, up to 16% (vol/vol) (8). However, our ability to engineer strains of *Z. mobilis* that produce high yields of advanced biofuels, such as isobutanol (IBA), has been hindered by the lack of functional information for two key gene sets: metabolic and stress response/resistance genes. Genetic analysis to identify and characterize metabolic and stress response genes could allow us to engineer strains with increased flux toward IBA and away from ethanol (3, 11), as well as strains that are resistant to hydrolysate inhibitors like acetic acid and various phenolic compounds (4).

Z. mobilis has a minimalistic metabolism with little functional redundancy (12–14). Z. mobilis converts sugars to pyruvate via the Entner-Doudoroff (ED) pathway, rather than the more commonly used but less thermodynamically favorable Embden-Meyerhof-Parnas (EMP) pathway (15–18). Metabolic models based on Z. mobilis ZM4 genome sequences (12–14, 18) revealed that central metabolic pathways like EMP glycolysis and the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle are missing key enzymes (e.g., 6-phosphofructokinase and 2-oxoglutarate dehydrogenase, respectively), further limiting its metabolic plasticity. Because of its streamlined metabolism, many metabolic genes are predicted to be essential for growth in Z. mobilis.

Another defining feature of Z. mobilis physiology is the production of large quantities of hopanoids (19), i.e., triterpenoid lipids that provide resistance to environmental stresses in bacteria (20-22). Hopanoids are thought to act by altering membrane fluidity and permeability, analogous to the action of cholesterol-also a triterpenoid lipid—on eukaryotic membranes (23–25). Although other bacteria make hopanoids, Z. mobilis produces them in higher quantities, with the number of hopanoids nearly matching that of phospholipids in the cell during peak production conditions (19). Hopanoids are thought to be essential to Z. mobilis, as chemical inhibition of enzymes involved in hopanoid precursor biosynthesis inhibits growth (26, 27) and transposon insertions into hopanoid biosynthesis genes result in cells with both a wild-type and transposon mutant allele (i.e., strains with both hpn+ and hpn::Tn alleles) (28, 29). Consistent with having an essential role in Z. mobilis physiology, hopanoid production is correlated with the ethanol content of the growth medium (30) and mutations in hopanoid biosynthesis genes increase sensitivity to ethanol (28). Whether hopanoids are required for resistance to additional stresses, such as hydrolysate toxins or alcohols other than ethanol, is unknown.

CRISPR interference (CRISPRi)-the use of programmable guide RNAs and Cas proteins (31, 32) or complexes (33, 34) lacking nuclease activity to repress transcription of target genes—is capable of probing the functions of essential genes. This is because CRISPRi knockdowns are inducible and titratable (31, 32, 35), separating the steps of strain construction and gene phenotyping. CRISPRi has been used to phenotype essential genes in multiple bacterial species (36) and define chemical-gene interactions (35, 37), cell morphology phenotypes (35, 38, 39), host genes involved in phage life cycles (40), novel gene functions (35, 38), and essential gene network architecture (35), among other purposes. To facilitate essential gene phenotyping by CRISPRi in diverse bacterial species, we recently developed "Mobile-CRISPRi"—a suite of modular CRISPRi vectors based on the extensively studied type II-A CRISPR system from Streptococcus pyogenes (i.e., Streptococcus pyogenes catalytically dead Cas9 [Spy dCas9]) (Fig. 1A) that are transferred by mating and site specifically integrate into the genomes of recipient bacteria (41, 42). We demonstrated integration and knockdown in species ranging from Gram-negative Gammaproteobacteria to Gram-positive Firmicutes (41), but we did not test species from Alphaproteobacteria. Z. mobilis contains a native type I to F CRISPR system that has been co-opted for efficient genome editing, as well as CRISPRi in a Cas3 nuclease-deficient background (34); however, CRISPRi using the native system showed low knockdown efficacy (4- to 5-fold maximum) and was not inducible as constructed, limiting its usefulness in targeting essential genes. We reasoned that importing the heterologous Spy dCas9 system would result in stronger knockdowns, as is seen in other species (31, 35, 41).

Here, we establish a stable and efficacious CRISPRi system for Z. mobilis based on Spy





**FIG 1** Mobile-CRISPRi system for transcriptional repression optimized for *Zymomonas mobilis*. (A) Modular *Z. mobilis* CRISPRi system encodes dCas9, sgRNA, and antibiotic resistance cassettes on a Tn7 transposon. The promoter ( $P_1$ ) and ribosome binding site (rbs) for dCas9 and the promoter ( $P_2$ ) for the sgRNA have been optimized for *Z. mobilis*. DNA encoding the 20-nt variable region of the sgRNA can be cloned (individually or libraries) in between the Bsal sites. (B) CRISPRi-expressing strains are constructed by triparental mating of *E. coli* donor strains (one harboring the Mobile-CRISPRi plasmid and another harboring a plasmid expressing the Tn7 transposase) with *Z. mobilis*. The CRISPRi expression cassette will be stably incorporated onto the *Z. mobilis* chromosome at the Tn7 att site located downstream from *glmS*. (C) Optimization of sgRNA expression. Six promoter sequences (A to F) based on either *lacUV5* or a synthetic promoter vere incorporated into the CRISPRi system. Alignment is to the *E. coli*  $\sigma^{70}$  consensus promoter, with the -10 and -35 core promoter elements underlined and shown in boldface, the *lac* operator locations highlighted in green or cyan, and the UP element highlighted in orange. (D) Comparison of *Z. mobilis* Mobile-CRISPRi sgRNA promoter variants. A GFP expression cassette was cloned into the Pmel site, and an sgRNA targeting GFP (or a nontargeting control) was cloned into the Bsal sites. Cultures were diluted 1:1,000 and

(Continued on next page)

dCas9. We demonstrate strong (>100-fold) or partial knockdown of gene expression by using single guide RNA (sgRNA) spacers that are complementary or mismatched to target genes, respectively. We use *Z. mobilis* CRISPRi to demonstrate the essentiality of genes involved in metabolism and hopanoid biosynthesis. Furthermore, we show that reduced expression of specific hopanoid biosynthesis genes leads to IBA sensitivity. Our *Z. mobilis* CRISPRi system will enable rapid characterization of gene function, accelerating rational engineering of the genome for advanced biofuel production.

## RESULTS

Optimization of CRISPRi for Z. mobilis. To establish Spy dCas9-based CRISPRi in Z. mobilis, we first attempted to deliver a previously described Tn7-based Mobile-CRISPRi test construct containing the gene encoding monomeric red fluorescent protein (mRFP) and an sgRNA targeting mRFP (41) to wild-type Z. mobilis strain ZM4 via conjugation (Fig. 1A and B). We failed to obtain transconjugants with the wild type but succeeded at integrating Mobile-CRISPRi into the genome of a restriction-deficient derivative strain (Piyush B. Lal and Patricia J. Kiley, unpublished data), consistent with Mobile-CRISPRi vectors containing multiple predicted recognition sites for Z. mobilis restriction enzymes (43). Therefore, we used the restriction-deficient strain in all subsequent experiments (Table 1). Mobile-CRISPRi inserted into the Z. mobilis genome downstream from glmS, as expected (Fig. S1 in the supplemental material), and was stable over 50 generations of growth in rich medium without selection. We next used a fluorometer to measure CRISPRi knockdown of mRFP at saturating concentrations of inducer (1 mM IPTG [isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside]), finding poor knockdown (2.4-fold) (Fig. S2), although our measurements were complicated by the weak fluorescence of mRFP in Z. mobilis.

To optimize CRISPRi function and improve knockdown detection, we took advantage of the modularity of Mobile-CRISPRi (Fig. 1A) to swap in biological parts that have been confirmed to function in Z. mobilis (44). We replaced mRFP with the gene encoding superfolder green fluorescent protein (GFP) (sfGFP) (45), expressed dcas9 from a T7A1-derived promoter with a strong Z. mobilis ribosome binding site, and expressed an sgRNA targeting sfGFP from the lacUV5 promoter (i.e., promoter A) (Fig. 1C). This CRISPRi system showed strong knockdown (28-fold) of sfGFP at a saturating inducer concentration, but also considerable leakiness (9-fold) without inducer (Fig. 1D, promoter A). Inserting a symmetric lac operator site (46) into the lacUV5 promoter spacer (Fig. 1C, promoter B) resulted in no detectable leakiness but only modest knockdown (9-fold) (Fig. 1D), suggesting that the concentration of either dCas9 or the sgRNA was limiting for knockdown. To determine the limiting factor, we expressed either the sfGFP sgRNA or dcas9 from a multicopy plasmid in the context of CRISPRi with promoter B and found that sqRNA expression was primarily limiting knockdown (Fig. S3). Because lacUV5 has the highest confirmed activity of any promoter measured in Z. mobilis (44) and because the Z. mobilis promoter consensus sequence (47) was unknown when we began our studies, we built Lacl-regulated synthetic promoters based on *Escherichia coli*  $\sigma^{70}$  consensus elements (48, 49) that we reasoned could increase sgRNA expression to a higher level than *lacUV5* (Fig. 1C, promoters C to F). All four synthetic promoters improved the knockdown properties of Z. mobilis CRISPRi, but promoter C, which features consensus UP and -10 elements with a near-consensus -35 and an ideal spacer length (Fig. 1C), provided the best combination of strong knockdown (125-fold) and negligible leakiness (~10 to 15%) (Fig. 1D). Using CRISPRi with promoter C, we found that intermediate inducer concentrations enabled titration of knockdown activity (Fig. 1E). We conclude that Mobile-CRISPRi optimized for Z. mobilis is efficacious, inducible, and titratable.

## FIG 1 Legend (Continued)

incubated in medium with 0 or 1 mM IPTG for  $\sim$ 10 doublings prior to measurement of GFP expression. Expression was normalized to the results for a non GFP-expressing strain. Standard deviations of the results from 4 biological replicates are shown. (E) Expression of Z. *mobilis* CRISPRi system is inducible over a range of IPTG concentrations. Standard deviations are shown.

## TABLE 1 Strains

Strain	Description <sup>a</sup>	Reference or source
sJMP006	Escherichia coli (Keio WT strain) (BW25113) F <sup>-</sup> $\lambda^-$ lacl <sup>q</sup> rrnBT14 $\Delta$ lacZWJ16 hsdR514 $\Delta$ araBADAH33 $\Delta$ rhaBADLD78	62
sJMP032	Escherichia coli DH10B (cloning strain) F <sup>-</sup> Δ(ara-leu)7697[Δ(rapA'-cra')] Δ(lac)X74[Δ('yahH-mhpE)]	Invitrogen
	duplication(514341–627601)[nmpC-gltf] galK16 galE15 e14 $^-$ (icd <sup>WT</sup> mcrA) $\phi$ 80dlacZ $\Delta$ M15 recA1 relA1 endA1	
	Tn 10.10 nupG rpsL150(Str) rph <sup>+</sup> sp011 $\Delta$ (mrr-hsdRMS-mcrBC) $\lambda^{-}$ Missense(dnaA glmS glyQ lpxK mreC murA)	
cIMD146	Nonsense(cn/A gatz muA' yigA ygc) +ramesnint(inc mg/A trub) Eschariche coli (mt+ clopien ctroje) (DNOS141) (cred) acre/1567 (loc74787(mmp 2) (chop phoP)590 ) = coll/05	65
SJIVIP 140	Escrientina con (pri Cioning Strain) (bw25141) Δ(urab-crab)562 bat24767(::mb-3) Δ(priob-prioh)560 A gal093 Auid3:mir+:rec1:end40(A.ins):-FRT:rnh-1. (hrhaD-thaR)568 bsdB514	05
sIMP412	Zymomogas mobilis ZMA AbsdS <sub>2</sub> (ZMO1933) Amrr (ZMO0028) AbsdS <sub>2</sub> (pZM32, 028) Acas3 (ZMO0681) (strain	Lal and Kiley.
55111112	PK15436)	unpublished data
sJMP424	Escherichia coli (pir <sup>+</sup> dap-negative mating strain) (strain WM6026) lacl <sup>q</sup> rrnB3 DElacZ4787 hsdR514	66
	DE(araBAD)567 DE(rhaBAD)568 rph-1 att-lambda::pAE12-del (oriR6K/cat::FRT5) Δ4229(dapA)::FRT(DAP <sup>-</sup> )	
	Δ(endA)::FRT uidA(ΔMluI)::pir(wt) attHK::pJK1006::Δ1/2(ΔoriR6K-cat::FRT5 ΔtrfA::FRT)	
sJMP2032	sJMP412 with CRISPRI system from pJMP196 in Tn7 att, Kan <sup>r</sup> (MCI-RFP-rfp)	This study
sJMP2035	sJMP412 with CRISPRi system from pJMP197 in Tn <i>7 att</i> , Kan <sup>r</sup> (MCI-RFP-Bsal)	This study
sJMP2065	SJMP412 with CRISPRI system from pJMP2044 in In/ att, Cm <sup>-</sup> (MCL-noGFP)	This study
SJIVIP2009	SJMP412 WITH CRISPRI System from DJMP2046 in Th7 att, Cm (MCL-VA-GP-BSal)	This study
sJIVIF 2073	SIMP412 with CRISPRI system from pIMP2046 in 117 att, Cm <sup>2</sup> (MC+VA-Gr-GIP)	This study
sIMP2122	SIMP412 with CRISPRI system from DIMP2095 in Th7 att. Cm <sup>r</sup> (MCLvB-GFP-afn)	This study
sJMP2340	JMP2065 with plasmid pSRK-kan (pJMP2316)	This study
sJMP2341	sJMP2065 with plasmid pSRK-kan-sgRNA (pJMP2317)	This study
sJMP2342	sJMP2065 with plasmid pSRK-kan-dCas9 (pJMP2319)	This study
sJMP2343	sJMP2069 with plasmid pSRK-kan (pJMP2316)	This study
sJMP2344	sJMP2069 with plasmid pSRK-kan-sgRNA (pJMP2317)	This study
sJMP2345	sJMP2069 with plasmid pSRK-kan-dCas9 (pJMP2319)	This study
sJMP2346	sJMP2073 with plasmid pSRF-kan (pJMP2316)	This study
SJMP2347	SJMP20/3 with plasmid pSKK-kan-sgKNA (pJMP231/)	This study
sJIVIP2340	SJMP2073 With plasmid pSR-kan-GLAS9 (pJMP2319) (IMP2118 with plasmid pSR-kan (pIMP2319)	This study
sIMP2350	SIMP2118 with plasmid pSRK-kan-soRNA (pIMP2317)	This study
sJMP2351	JMP2118 with plasmid pSRK-kan-dCas9 (JJMP2319)	This study
sJMP2352	sJMP2122 with plasmid pSRK-kan (pJMP2316)	This study
sJMP2353	sJMP2122 with plasmid pSRK-kan-sgRNA (pJMP2317)	This study
sJMP2354	sJMP2122 with plasmid pSRK-kan-dCas9 (pJMP2319)	This study
sJMP2430	sJMP412 with CRISPRi system from pJMP2367 in Tn7 att, Cm <sup>r</sup> (MCi-vC-GFP-Bsal)	This study
sJMP2433	JMP412 with CRISPRi system from pJMP2369 in Tn7 <i>att</i> , Cm <sup>2</sup> (MCi-vD-GFP-Bsal)	This study
SJIVIP2430	SJMP412 WITH CRISPRI System from DJMP2371 in 1n/ att, CTr (MCL-VE-GP-Bsal)	This study
sJIVIF 2439 s IMP 2442	SJMF412 with CRISFRI System from pJMF2575 in Th7 att cm <sup>2</sup> (MCFVF-GFF-53al)	This study
sJMP2445	SIMP412 with CRISPRi system from pJMP2377 in Tn <sup>2</sup> <i>att</i> . Cm <sup>r</sup> (MCi-vD-GFP-ofp)	This study
sJMP2447	sJMP412 with CRISPRi system from pJMP2379 in Tn7 att, Cm <sup>r</sup> (MCi-vE-GFP-gfp)	This study
sJMP2451	sJMP412 with CRISPRi system from pJMP2381 in Tn <i>7 att</i> , Cm <sup>r</sup> (MCi-vF-GFP-gfp)	This study
sJMP2454	sJMP412 with CRISPRi system from pJMP2391 in Tn <i>7 att</i> , Cm <sup>r</sup> ( <i>hpnC</i> sgRNA)	This study
sJMP2456	sJMP412 with CRISPRi system from pJMP2393 in Tn <i>7 att</i> , Cm <sup>r</sup> ( <i>hpnC</i> sgRNA)	This study
sJMP2458	sJMP412 with CRISPRi system from pJMP2395 in Tn <i>7 att</i> , Cm <sup>r</sup> ( <i>hpnF</i> sgRNA)	This study
SJMP2460	SJMP412 With CRISPRI system from pJMP2397 in 1n/ att, Cm (npn/ sgRNA)	This study
sJIVIF 2402	SIMPAT2 with CRISPAN system from pIMP2399 in the att, Cir (Ipini Sgn(A))	This study
sIMP2465	SIMP412 with CRUSPRI system from DIMP2401 in Tr7 att. Cm <sup>r</sup> (hpnl sqRNA)	This study
sJMP2467	SJMP412 with CRISPRi system from pJMP2405 in Tn7 att. Cm <sup>r</sup> (hpnl sqRNA)	This study
sJMP2469	sJMP412 with CRISPRi system from pJMP2407 in Tn7 att, Cm <sup>r</sup> (shc2 sgRNA)	This study
sJMP2471	sJMP412 with CRISPRi system from pJMP2409 in Tn <i>7 att</i> , Cm <sup>r</sup> ( <i>shc2</i> sgRNA)	This study
sJMP2477	sJMP412 with CRISPRi system from pJMP2415 in Tn <i>7 att</i> , Cm <sup>r</sup> ( <i>rfp</i> sgRNA)	This study
sJMP2543	sJMP412 with CRISPRi system from pJMP2367 in Tn <i>7 att</i> , Cm <sup>r</sup> (MCi-vC-GFP-Bsal)	This study
sJMP2544	sJMP412 with CRISPRi system from pJMP2375 in Tn7 <i>att</i> , Cm <sup>r</sup> (MCi-vC-GFP-gfp)	This study
sJMP2545	SJMP412 with CRISPRi system from pJMP2409 in 1n/ <i>att</i> , Cm <sup>1</sup> (MCI-vC-GFP-gmc1)	This study
SJIVIP2540	SJMP412 WITH CRISPRI System from DJMP2411 in 1n/ att, Cm (MCL+VC-GFP-gmc2)	This study
sJIVIF 2547 s IMP 2548	Similar with Chistria system from pilmp2415 in Th7 att Cm <sup>2</sup> (MCHV-GFP-amrd)	This study
sJMP2549	SIMP412 with CRISPRi system from DIMP2417 in Tn7 att. Cm <sup>r</sup> (MCive-GFP-anc5)	This study
sJMP2550	sJMP412 with CRISPRi system from pJMP2419 in Tn7 att, Cmr (MCi-vC-GFP-qmc6)	This study
sJMP2551	sJMP412 with CRISPRi system from pJMP2421 in Tn7 att, Cm <sup>r</sup> (MCi-vC-GFP-gmc7)	This study
sJMP2552	sJMP412 with CRISPRi system from pJMP2423 in Tn7 att, Cm <sup>r</sup> (MCi-vC-GFP-gmc8)	This study
sJMP2553	sJMP412 with CRISPRi system from pJMP2425 in Tn7 att, Cm <sup>r</sup> (MCi-vC-GFP-gmc9)	This study
sJMP2554	sJMP412 with CRISPRi system from pJMP2480 in Tn7 att, Cm <sup>r</sup> (MCi-vC-noGFP-Bsal)	This study
sJMP2555	sJMP006 with CRISPRi system from pJMP2367 in Tn7 att, Cm <sup>r</sup>	This study
SJIVIP2556	SJIVITUUD WILLI LKISTKI SYSTEM TROM DJIVIT23/5 IN IN/ ATT, LM'	This study
sJMP2558	s JMP006 with CRISPRI system from pJMP2411 in Tn7 <i>att</i> Cm <sup>r</sup>	This study

(Continued on next page)

Strain	Description <sup>a</sup>	Reference or source
sJMP2559	sJMP006 with CRISPRi system from pJMP2413 in Tn <i>7 att,</i> Cm <sup>r</sup>	This study
sJMP2560	sJMP006 with CRISPRi system from pJMP2415 in Tn <i>7 att,</i> Cm <sup>r</sup>	This study
sJMP2561	sJMP006 with CRISPRi system from pJMP2417 in Tn7 att, Cm <sup>r</sup>	This study
sJMP2562	sJMP006 with CRISPRi system from pJMP2419 in Tn <i>7 att,</i> Cm <sup>r</sup>	This study
sJMP2563	sJMP006 with CRISPRi system from pJMP2421 in Tn <i>7 att,</i> Cm <sup>r</sup>	This study
sJMP2564	sJMP006 with CRISPRi system from pJMP2423 in Tn <i>7 att,</i> Cm <sup>r</sup>	This study
sJMP2565	sJMP006 with CRISPRi system from pJMP2425 in Tn <i>7 att,</i> Cm <sup>r</sup>	This study
sJMP2566	sJMP006 with CRISPRi system from pJMP2480 in Tn <i>7 att,</i> Cm <sup>r</sup>	This study
sJMP2605	sJMP412 with CRISPRi system from pJMP2597 in Tn <i>7 att,</i> Cm <sup>r</sup>	This study
sJMP2606	sJMP412 with CRISPRi system from pJMP2598 in Tn <i>7 att,</i> Cm <sup>r</sup>	This study
sJMP2607	sJMP412 with CRISPRi system from pJMP2599 in Tn <i>7 att,</i> Cm <sup>r</sup>	This study
sJMP2608	sJMP412 with CRISPRi system from pJMP2600 in Tn7 att, Cm <sup>r</sup>	This study

<sup>a</sup>WT, wild type; Cm<sup>r</sup>, chloramphenicol resistance cassette; Amp<sup>r</sup>, ampicillin resistance cassette; Kan<sup>r</sup>, kanamycin resistance cassette; Spec<sup>r</sup>, spectinomycin resistance cassette; MCi, Mobile-CRISPRi; RFP, red fluorescent protein; vA to vF, sgRNA promoter variants; sfGFP, superfolder GFP.

Mismatch-CRISPRi enables knockdown gradients in Z. mobilis. The relationship between fitness and gene expression varies by gene and is generally unknown (50, 51). This relationship is especially important to consider for essential genes, which have a fitness of zero at full knockdown but a large range of possible fitness values at intermediate levels of knockdown, depending on the function of the gene product. Excessive knockdown of essential genes results in strains that grow poorly and are difficult to phenotype. The Gross and Weissman laboratories have shown that systematically introducing mismatches between sqRNA spacers and target genes can generate knockdown gradients suitable for studying essential gene function (50–53); we call this strategy "Mismatch-CRISPRi." Mismatch-CRISPRi functions in mammalian cells (51) and diverse model bacteria (i.e., E. coli and Bacillus subtilis [50]), although the behavior of mismatched guides is not identical in mammalian and bacterial systems (50). Hawkins and Silvis et al. took a machine learning approach to characterize the behavior of mismatched guides targeting sfGFP ( $\sim$ 1,500) in both E. coli and B. subtilis, finding that  $\sim$ 50% of the mismatched-guide activity could be predicted by taking into account the mismatch position, changes in the free energy of sgRNA-DNA pairing, and %GC content; these predictions were validated by targeting essential genes with mismatched guides in both organisms (50). To test whether mismatched sgRNAs behave similarly in Alphaproteobacteria, we cloned a subset of the mismatched guides used by Hawkins and Silvis et al. to target sfGFP (50) into our Z. mobilis CRISPRi system with sgRNA promoter C (Fig. 2A and B). Using these mismatched guides, we were able to generate a knockdown gradient of sfGFP that spanned nearly 2 orders of magnitude and contained multiple sgRNAs that caused intermediate levels of knockdown at a saturating inducer concentration (Fig. 2C). Furthermore, we introduced our Z. mobilis Mismatch-CRISPRi vectors into E. coli, permitting a direct comparison of sfGFP knockdown in the two divergent species (Fig. S4). Consistent with a previous comparison between E. coli and B. subtilis (50), we found excellent agreement between sfGFP knockdown gradients in *E. coli* and *Z. mobilis* ( $R^2 = 0.8$ ). This demonstrates the broad utility of Mismatch-CRISPRi to predictably generate partial knockdowns in diverse bacteria and suggests that Z. mobilis CRISPRi may function well in multiple species.

**Z. mobilis CRISPRi targets essential genes.** To examine the efficacy of *Z. mobilis* CRISPRi in characterizing essential gene function, we first targeted *rplL* (ZMO0728)—an essential gene encoding the universally conserved ribosomal protein L12 (54)—as a positive control. We found a reduction greater than 6 orders of magnitude in plating efficiency for strains expressing an *rplL* sgRNA versus a control strain expressing a nontargeting sgRNA at saturating inducer (Fig. 3A), indicating substantial loss of cell viability and relatively low levels of suppressor mutations that inactivate the CRISPRi system. Based on these results, we conclude that *Z. mobilis* CRISPRi is effective at assessing gene essentiality and allowing observation of essential gene knockdown phenotypes.



**FIG 2** Variable levels of repression using mismatched sgRNAs in the *Z. mobilis* Mobile-CRISPRi system. (A) Location of sgRNA targets gmc1 to gmc9 (GFP Mismatch-CRISPRi guide RNAs) on the GFP gene. Scale bar indicates nucleotides. (B) Sequences of the GFP-targeting sgRNAs, with mismatches indicated in lowercase red. Protospacer adjacent motif (PAM)-proximal seed sequence is indicated. (C) Knockdown of GFP expression in *Z. mobilis* CRISPRi expression strains with mismatched sgRNAs. "Control" indicates a nontargeting sgRNA. Standard deviations are shown. IPTG (1 mM) was used for induction.

Pyruvate decarboxylase, encoded by the *pdc* gene (ZMO1360), is a key metabolic enzyme in *Z. mobilis* that converts pyruvate into acetaldehyde—the penultimate step in ethanol production (55). Despite the important role of *pdc* in fermentation of sugars to ethanol, the *Z. mobilis* literature is conflicted about whether *pdc* is essential (5, 56) or dispensable (57, 58) under aerobic conditions. To determine the essentiality of *pdc*, we used *Z. mobilis* CRISPRi with promoter C and an sgRNA targeting the 5' end of the *pdc* coding sequence. We found a loss in plating efficiency greater than 6 orders of magnitude for the *pdc* knockdown strain at a saturating inducer concentration (Fig. 3B); this result was indistinguishable from the loss of fitness observed when we targeted *rplL*, suggesting that *pdc* is essential for aerobic growth. To confirm that our result was not due to off-target effects of CRISPRi, we tested a second, nonoverlapping sgRNA targeting *pdc*, finding the same results (Fig. 3C). We conclude that *pdc* is essential for aerobic growth of *Z. mobilis*.



**FIG 3** CRISPRi knockdown of endogenous essential genes. *Z. mobilis* strains with CRISPRi cassettes encoding sgRNAs targeting essential genes *rplL* (A) and *pdc* (B and C) were serially diluted 1:10 (10<sup>-1</sup> through 10<sup>-8</sup>) and spotted on agar plates with either 0 or 1 mM IPTG. "C" indicates a nontargeting sgRNA (control).



**FIG 4** CRISPRi knockdown of hopanoid lipid synthesis-related genes. (A) *Z. mobilis* strains were constructed with CRISPRi cassettes encoding sgRNAs targeting genes in hopanoid synthesis operons (and a nontargeting control). The number of the ZMO locus tag is in parentheses after the gene name. Targeted genes (*hpnC, hpnF [shc1], hpnH, hpnI,* and *shc2*) are shown in orange. Target positions of sgRNAs are shown in black under the genes. (B) Strains were serially diluted 1:10 ( $10^{-2}$  through  $10^{-8}$ ) and spotted on agar plates with 0, 0.01, or 1 mM IPTG. "C" indicates a nontargeting sgRNA (control). (C) Strains were diluted 1:1,000 and grown for ~10 doublings in liquid culture, aerobically, in the presence of 1.25% isobutanol and 0 or 0.1 mM IPTG prior to measurement of cell density ( $OD_{600}$ ). Growth measurements were normalized to the growth of a strain expressing a nontargeting sgRNA. Standard deviations of the results from 4 replicates are shown. Red arrow indicates fold change compared to control.

#### Essentiality and IBA sensitivity phenotypes of hopanoid biosynthesis genes.

Genes encoding hopanoid biosynthesis enzymes (i.e., *hpn* and *shc* genes) (Fig. 4A and Fig. S5) are thought to be essential in *Z. mobilis*, based on growth cessation caused by small-molecule inhibitors of squalene-hopene cyclase (26) and the observation that strains with transposon insertions in *hpn* genes always also contain a wild-type copy of the gene (28). To further probe the essentiality of hopanoids, we targeted *hpn* and *shc* genes using *Z. mobilis* CRISPRi. Because CRISPRi blocks transcription of downstream genes in an operon (i.e., polarity), we chose to target the first *hpn* or *shc* gene present in each operon (Fig. 4A, orange genes). We found considerable defects in plating efficiency for strains with sgRNAs targeting *hpnC* (ZMO0869), *hpnH* (ZMO0874), and *hpnI* (ZMO0972), consistent with a requirement of hopanoid synthesis for growth

(Fig. 4B). In contrast, targeting the *hpnF* (also known as *shc1* [ZMO0872]) and *shc2* (ZMO1548) genes that both encode squalene-hopene cyclase had no effect on plating efficiency, suggesting that they are functionally redundant under the conditions tested.

Classic studies of Z. mobilis physiology (27) and contemporary work using strains with both hpn<sup>+</sup> and hpn::Tn alleles (28) have linked hopanoid production and ethanol concentration or resistance; however, it is unknown whether hopanoids provide resistance to advanced biofuels, such as IBA. To examine the relationship between hpn and shc genes, we first determined the concentration of IBA needed to partially inhibit growth of Z. mobilis in sealed 96-well deep-well plates. We found that the addition of 1.25% (vol/vol) IBA to rich medium inhibited Z. mobilis growth by  $\sim$ 50% (Fig. S6). We then grew our CRISPRi strains targeting hpn-shc operon genes with a subsaturating concentration of inducer (100  $\mu$ M IPTG) for a limited number of generations in the presence or absence of IBA. Under these conditions, the strain in which hpnH was targeted was the only knockdown tested that showed increased sensitivity to IBA, with a 2.9-fold reduction in the final optical density at 600 nm ( $OD_{600}$ ) at the end of the growth period relative to that of a nontargeting control sgRNA strain (Fig. 4C). HpnH performs the first enzymatic step in hopanoid side chain synthesis (Fig. S5) (59), suggesting that buildup of the core hopanoids diploptene and diplopterol may compromise IBA tolerance in Z. mobilis. We conclude that hopanoid biosynthesis operons are essential in Z. mobilis but knockdown of extended hopanoids does not alter IBA tolerance.

## DISCUSSION

The lack of genetic tools for bioenergy-relevant, nonmodel bacteria has slowed progress toward engineering efficient production strains for advanced biofuels, such as IBA. Our optimized CRISPRi system for *Z. mobilis* overcomes this obstacle by enabling programmable, inducible, and titratable control of overexpression of both nonessential and essential genes. Because *Z. mobilis* CRISPRi also functions well in the distantly related bacterium *E. coli*, the system as constructed may have broad utility across species. Our path to optimizing Mobile-CRISPRi for *Z. mobilis* revealed valuable lessons that may be generalizable across species: first, identify limiting components (either dCas9 or sgRNAs) by overexpression, and second, design strong synthetic promoters that take advantage of conserved interactions between RNA polymerase holoenzyme and DNA (48, 49) to improve portability.

CRISPRi is the ideal genetic tool to explore the unusual genetics of Z. mobilis. Because many metabolic genes are predicted to be essential (60), controlling metabolic flux may require constructing strains with partial knockdowns of essential genes. Mismatch-CRISPRi libraries are particularly well suited for empirically defining relationships between knockdown of metabolic genes (and associated changes in flux) and fitness, as strains comprising knockdown gradients of metabolic genes can be pooled and tested under a variety of growth conditions, with fitness measured by nextgeneration sequencing of sgRNA spacers. Furthermore, the Z. mobilis chromosome is possibly polyploid (28, 29), or at least capable of duplicating at high frequency; this can cause problems with deletion/transposon insertion analysis of essential genes or other genes that have a strong impact on fitness. For instance, a high-throughput analysis of isolated transposon insertion mutants revealed that there was no significant difference in the probability of a transposon inserting into a predicted essential versus nonessential gene (29), suggesting a polyploid chromosome and underscoring issues with interpreting insertion/deletion results in Z. mobilis. In contrast, CRISPRi is largely unaffected by polyploidy—it is capable of targeting essential genes across multiple copies of the chromosome as long as the sgRNA-dCas9 complex is expressed at high enough levels to account for additional targets.

Numerous studies have linked hopanoid production and ethanol tolerance in *Z. mobilis* (27, 30, 61), but whether hopanoids provide resistance to nonphysiological alcohols, such as IBA, remains unclear. Our CRISPRi results suggest that wild-type levels of extended hopanoids do not impart IBA resistance, and instead, that preventing

synthesis of extended ( $C_{35}$ ) hopanoids by blocking *hpnH* expression causes sensitivity. The simplest explanation for these results is that the core  $C_{30}$  hopanoids, diploptene and diplopterol, accumulate in the cell and negatively impact the outer membrane. Further evaluation of this hypothesis will require careful tracking of the relationships between knockdown extent, fitness, IBA concentration, and levels of individual hopanoid species.

CRISPRi enables essential gene phenotyping, but we currently lack a unified standard for designating genes as "essential" or "nonessential" using CRISPRi. The ability of any CRISPRi system to identify essential genes depends on repression efficiency, the number of generations cells are grown after knockdown is induced, and the frequency at which CRISPRi suppressors exist within the cell population. High-efficiency systems (arbitrarily defined as >50-fold knockdown) are more likely to approximate null mutations for all queried genes, while low-efficiency or partially induced systems (<10-fold knockdown) will only report essentiality for a subset of genes that are most sensitive to knockdown (40); therefore, we recommend using high-efficiency systems for genomewide analysis of essentiality using CRISPRi. For experiments using model bacteria with rigorously defined essential gene sets (e.g., E. coli [62] and B. subtilis [63]), the extent of CRISPRi knockdown and number of generations grown postknockdown can be calibrated to maximize recovery of essential genes (64). For nonmodel bacteria, such as Z. mobilis, we recommend comparing the viability or fitness of query genes to that of universally conserved essential genes (e.g., core ribosomal proteins). We further recommend removing repetitive sequences from CRISPRi constructs to reduce the likelihood that homologous recombination events inactivate CRISPRi and contribute to the assay background.

Our optimized CRISPRi system opens the door to high-throughput, systematic analysis of gene function in *Z. mobilis*. We envision that such screens will be invaluable for identifying genes involved in resistance to hydrolysate or biofuel inhibitors, genetic fingerprinting of hydrolysates from different plant sources or environments, and improving our understanding of the unique metabolism of *Z. mobilis*. We anticipate that this information will power the next generation of biofuel production strains, resulting in higher yields of advanced biofuels and bioproducts.

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Strains and growth conditions.** Strains are listed in Table 1. *Escherichia coli* was grown in Lennox LB broth (10 g tryptone, 5 g yeast extract, 5 g NaCl per liter; BD 240230) at 37°C aerobically in a flask with shaking at 250 rpm, in a culture tube on a roller drum, or in a deep 96-well plate with shaking at 900 rpm. *Zymomonas mobilis* was grown in DSMZ medium 10 (DSMZ10; 10 g peptone and 10 g yeast extract per liter plus 2% glucose) at 30°C aerobically without shaking. The medium was solidified with 1.5% agar for growth on plates. Antibiotics were added when necessary—for *E. coli*, 100 µg/ml ampicillin, 20 µg/ml chloramphenicol, or 30 µg/ml kanamycin, and for *Z. mobilis*, 100 µJ/ml chloramphenicol, or 120 µg/ml kanamycin. PTG (isopropyl  $\beta$ -o-1-thiogalactopyranoside) at 0.1 to 1 mM was added where indicated in the figures or figure legends. All strains were preserved in 15% glycerol at  $-80^{\circ}$ C.

Plasmid construction. Plasmids and construction details are listed in Table 2, and a representative plasmid map is shown in Fig. S7 in the supplemental material; oligonucleotides and synthetic DNA are listed in Table 3. pir-dependent plasmids were propagated in E. coli strain BW25141 (sJMP146) and other plasmids in E. coli strain DH10B (sJMP032). Plasmids were assembled from fragments (linearized vector, PCR products, and/or synthetic DNA) using the NEBuilder hifi DNA assembly kit (catalog number E2621; New England Biolabs [NEB]). Plasmids were cut with restriction enzymes from NEB. Linearized plasmids were re-ligated using T4 DNA ligase (catalog number M0202; NEB). Fragments were amplified using Q5 DNA polymerase (catalog number M0491; NEB), followed by digestion with DpnI. Fragments were purified using the Monarch PCR & DNA cleanup kit (catalog number T1030; NEB) or the Zymo Research DNA Clean & Concentrator-5 kit (catalog number D4004) after digestion or amplification. Plasmids were transformed into electrocompetent E. coli cells using a Bio-Rad Gene Pulser Xcell on the EC1 setting. Plasmids were purified using the GeneJet plasmid miniprep kit (catalog number K0503; Thermo Scientific) or the PureLink HiPure Plasmid Midiprep kit (catalog number K210005; Invitrogen). Site-directed mutagenesis of plasmids was performed by DNA synthesis with 2.5 U PfuUltra II fusion HS DNA polymerase (Agilent), 0.2  $\mu$ M single oligonucleotide bearing the change, 0.2 mM deoxynucleoside triphosphates (dNTPs), and 50 ng plasmid DNA in a  $25-\mu l$  reaction mixture with a 1-min/kb extension time at 68°C, followed by DpnI digestion. sgRNA-encoding sequences were cloned into CRISPRi plasmids between the Bsal sites with inserts prepared by one of two methods. In method one, two 24-nucleotide (nt) oligonucleotides were designed to overlap such that when annealed, their ends would be comple-

## TABLE 2 Plasmids

				Reference or
Plasmid	Description <sup>a</sup>	Construction/notes	Marker(s) <sup>b</sup>	source
pJMP445	pRL814	Broad-host-range plasmid, pBBR1 ori, sfGFP	Spec <sup>r</sup>	67
pJMP1039	pTn7C1	Tn7 transposase expression	Amp <sup>r</sup>	41
pJMP1183	pTn7C89.1	Mobile-CRISPRi RFP test plasmid (RR1 sgRNA)	Amp <sup>r</sup> , Kan <sup>r</sup>	41
pJMP1185	, pTn7C90.1	Mobile-CRISPRi RFP test plasmid (nontargeting sgRNA)	Amp <sup>r</sup> , Kan <sup>r</sup>	41
pJMP1337	MCi (ICE::CRISPRi, Spy dCas9)		Amp <sup>r</sup> , Kan <sup>r</sup>	41
pJMP1339	MCi (Tn7::CRISPRi, Hsa dCas9)		Amp <sup>r</sup> , Kan <sup>r</sup>	41
pJMP1356	MCi ( <i>Hsa</i> dCas9)		Amp <sup>r</sup> , Cm <sup>r</sup>	41
pJMP2030	pRL814 (sfGFP ΔXhol)	Eliminate Xhol site from sfGFP gene in pRL814 (pJMP445) by site-directed mutagenesis with oJMP076	Spec <sup>r</sup>	This study
pJMP2044	MCi (Cm <sup>r</sup> ) ( <i>Spy</i> dCas9)	pJMP1356 cut with Ascl and Spel, assembled with <i>Spy</i> dCas9, amplified from pJMP1337 with oJMP072 and oJMP073	Amp <sup>r</sup> , Cm <sup>r</sup>	This study
pJMP2046	MCi-vA-GFP_Bsal	pJMP2044 cut with EcoRI and PmeI, assembled with gBlock oJMP079 and sfGFP (no Xhol), amplified from pJMP2030 with oJMP074 and oJMP075	Amp <sup>r</sup> , Cm <sup>r</sup>	This study
pJMP2048	MCi-vA-GFP_gfp	pJMP2044 cut with EcoRI and PmeI, assembled with gBlock oJMP080 and sfGFP (no Xhol), amplified from pJMP2030 with oJMP074 and oJMP075	Amp <sup>r</sup> , Cm <sup>r</sup>	This study
pJMP2093	MCi-vB-GFP_Bsal	pJMP2046 cut with EcoRI, assembled with gBlock oJMP191	Amp <sup>r</sup> , Cm <sup>r</sup>	This study
pJMP2095	MCi-vB-GFP_gfp	pJMP2048 cut with EcoRI, assembled with gBlock oJMP192	Amp <sup>r</sup> , Cm <sup>r</sup>	This study
pJMP2132	MCi-vB_Bsal	pJMP2093 cut with Pmel and re-ligated to remove sfGFP	Amp <sup>r</sup> , Cm <sup>r</sup>	This study
pJMP2316	pSRK-kan	Broad-host-range plasmid, pBBR1 ori	Kan <sup>r</sup>	68
pJMP2317	pSRK-kan-sgRNA	Vector backbone amplified from pJMP2316 with oJMP313 and oJMP314, assembled with sgRNA cassette, amplified from pJMP2095 with oJMP315 and oJMP316	Kan <sup>r</sup>	This study
pJMP2319	pSRK-kan-dCas9	Vector backbone amplified from pJMP2316 with oJMP313 and oJMP314, assembled with dCas9 cassette amplified from pJMP2095 with	Kan <sup>r</sup>	This study
		oJMP317 and oJMP318		
pJMP2367	MCi-vC-GFP_Bsal	Assemble EcoRI-cut pJMP2093 with gBlock oJMP347	Amp <sup>r</sup> , Cm <sup>r</sup>	This study
pJMP2369	MCI-vD-GFP_Bsal	Assemble EcoRI-cut pJMP2093 with gBlock oJMP348	Amp <sup>r</sup> , Cm <sup>r</sup>	This study
pJMP2371		Assemble Ecori-cut pJMP2093 with gBlock oJMP349	Amp', Cm'	This study
pJIVIP2373	MCI-VF-GFP_BSal	Assemble Ecori-cut pJMP2093 with gBlock oJMP350	Amp <sup>r</sup> , Cm <sup>r</sup>	This study
pJINIF 2373	MCI-VC-GFF_gip	Assemble Ecolicut pIMP2093 with gBlock of MP353	Amp <sup>r</sup> , Cm <sup>r</sup>	This study
pJMP2370	MCi-vE-GEP afp	Assemble EcoRi-cut pJMr 2093 with gBlock oJMr 352	Amp <sup>r</sup> Cm <sup>r</sup>	This study
pJMI 2375	MCi-vE-GEP afp	Assemble Ecoli cut pJMP 2000 with gBlock oJMP 355	Amp <sup>r</sup> Cm <sup>r</sup>	This study
pJMP2391	MCi-vB hnnC-1 (7MO869)	Annealed oIMP355 and oIMP356 ligated into Bsal-cut nIMP2132	Amp <sup>r</sup> Cm <sup>r</sup>	This study
pJMP2393	$MCi-vB_hphC-2$ (ZMO869)	Annealed oJMP357 and oJMP358 ligated into Bsal-cut pJMP2132	Amp <sup>r</sup> . Cm <sup>r</sup>	This study
pJMP2395	MCi-vB $hpnF$ -1 (ZMO872)	Annealed oJMP359 and oJMP360 ligated into Bsal-cut pJMP2132	Amp <sup>r</sup> , Cm <sup>r</sup>	This study
pJMP2397	MCi-vB $hpnF-2$ (ZMO872)	Annealed oJMP361 and oJMP362 ligated into Bsal-cut pJMP2132	Amp <sup>r</sup> , Cm <sup>r</sup>	This study
pJMP2399	MCi-vB $hpnH-1$ (ZMO874)	Annealed oJMP363 and oJMP364 ligated into Bsal-cut pJMP2132	Amp <sup>r</sup> , Cm <sup>r</sup>	This study
pJMP2401	MCi-vB_hpnH-2 (ZMO874)	Annealed oJMP365 and oJMP366 ligated into Bsal-cut pJMP2132	Amp <sup>r</sup> , Cm <sup>r</sup>	This study
pJMP2403	MCi-vB_hpnl-1 (ZMO972)	Annealed oJMP367 and oJMP368 ligated into Bsal-cut pJMP2132	Amp <sup>r</sup> , Cm <sup>r</sup>	This study
pJMP2405	MCi-vB_hpnl-2 (ZMO972)	Annealed oJMP369 and oJMP370 ligated into Bsal-cut pJMP2132	Amp <sup>r</sup> , Cm <sup>r</sup>	This study
pJMP2407	MCi-vB_shc2-1 (ZMO1548)	Annealed oJMP371 and oJMP372 ligated into Bsal-cut pJMP2132	Amp <sup>r</sup> , Cm <sup>r</sup>	This study
pJMP2409	MCi-vB_shc2-1 (ZMO1548)	Annealed oJMP373 and oJMP374 ligated into Bsal-cut pJMP2132	Amp <sup>r</sup> , Cm <sup>r</sup>	This study
pJMP2415	MCi-vB_rfp	Annealed oJMP003 and oJMP021 ligated into Bsal-cut pJMP2132	Amp <sup>r</sup> , Cm <sup>r</sup>	This study
pJMP2480	MCi-vC_Bsal	pJMP2367 cut with Pmel and re-ligated to remove sfGFP	Amp <sup>r</sup> , Cm <sup>r</sup>	This study
pJMP2509	MCi-vC-GFP_gmc1	Annealed oJMP400 and oJMP401 ligated into Bsal-cut pJMP2480	Amp <sup>r</sup> , Cm <sup>r</sup>	This study
pJMP2511	MCi-vC-GFP_gmc2	Annealed oJMP402 and oJMP403 ligated into Bsal-cut pJMP2480	Amp <sup>r</sup> , Cm <sup>r</sup>	This study
pJMP2513	MCi-vC-GFP_gmc3	Annealed oJMP404 and oJMP405 ligated into Bsal-cut pJMP2480	Amp <sup>r</sup> , Cm <sup>r</sup>	This study
pJMP2515	MCi-vC-GFP_gmc4	Annealed oJMP406 and oJMP407 ligated into Bsal-cut pJMP2480	Amp <sup>r</sup> , Cm <sup>r</sup>	This study
pJMP2517	MCi-vC-GFP_gmc5	Annealed oJMP408 and oJMP409 ligated into Bsal-cut pJMP2480	Amp <sup>r</sup> , Cm <sup>r</sup>	This study
pJMP2519	MCi-vC-GFP_gmc6	Annealed oJMP410 and oJMP411 ligated into Bsal-cut pJMP2480	Amp <sup>r</sup> , Cm <sup>r</sup>	This study
pJMP2521	MCi-vC-GFP_gmc7	Annealed oJMP412 and oJMP413 ligated into Bsal-cut pJMP2480	Amp <sup>r</sup> , Cm <sup>r</sup>	This study
pJMP2523	MCi-vC-GFP_gmc8	Annealed oJMP414 and oJMP415 ligated into Bsal-cut pJMP2480	Amp <sup>r</sup> , Cm <sup>r</sup>	This study
pJMP2525	MCi-vC-GFP_gmc9	Annealed oJMP416 and oJMP417 ligated into Bsal-cut pJMP2480	Amp <sup>r</sup> , Cm <sup>r</sup>	This study
pJMP2597	MCi-vC- <i>pdc</i> -1 (ZMO1360)	Annealed oJMP467 and oJMP468 ligated into Bsal-cut pJMP2480	Amp <sup>r</sup> , Cm <sup>r</sup>	This study
pJMP2598	MCi-vC-pdc-3 (ZMO1360)	Annealed oJMP469 and oJMP470 ligated into Bsal-cut pJMP2480	Amp <sup>r</sup> , Cm <sup>r</sup>	This study
pJMP2599	MCi-vC-rplL (ZMO0728)	Amplify from opZ1-1-13 with oJMP197 and oJMP198, digest fragment with Bsal, and ligate into Bsal-cut pJMP2480	Amp <sup>r</sup> , Cm <sup>r</sup>	This study

<sup>a</sup>MCi, Mobile-CRISPRi; ICE, integrative conjugative element; *Hsa, Homo sapiens* codon-optimized dCas9; vA to vF, sgRNA promoter variants; RFP, red fluorescent protein; GFP, green fluorescent protein; Bsal, vector with Bsal cloning site for sgRNA.

<sup>b</sup>Cm<sup>r</sup>, chloramphenicol resistance; Amp<sup>r</sup>, ampicillin resistance, Kan<sup>r</sup>, kanamycin resistance.

## TABLE 3 Oligonucleotides and synthetic DNA

Oligonucleotide	Sequence $(5' \rightarrow 3')$	Description	Usage
oJMP003	TAGTAACTTTCAGTTTAGCGGTCT	rfp_T	pJMP2415
oJMP021	AAACAGACCGCTAAACTGAAAGTT	rfp B	pJMP2415
oJMP057	CCAAGGTGCATCCTCTCATT	Zmo Tn7 check A	
oJMP058	TATCGGACAATCGGGAAGAC	Zmo Tn7 check B	
oJMP059	GCCCCGATCGTCTATGCTAT	Zmo Tn7 check C	
oJMP060	CGCCCCTCTTTAATACGACG	Tn7R check	
o IMP072	CGCTTTTTTACGTCTGCAGACTAGTAAAATTTATCAAAAAGAGTGTTGACTTGTGAGCGG	T7A1_0304-dcas9_F	pJMP2044
05111 072	ATAACAATGATACTTAGATTCAATTGTGAGCGGATAACAATTGAGCGAGAAGGAGGAC TAGTATGGATAAGAAATACTCAATAGGC	1771_050 Faca55_F	p300 2011
oJMP073	TTTGGTACCGAGGCTGCAA	T7A1_O3O4-dcas9_R	pJMP2044
oJMP074	GGAGAAGAACTTTTCACTGGAGT	sfgfp_F	pJMP2046, pJMP2048
oJMP075	GCAAATCCAGGAGGTCGTTTAAACTTATTATTTGTAGAGCTCATCCATGCCATGTG	sfgfp_R	pJMP2046, pJMP2048
oJMP076	ATGGAAACATTCTTGGACACAAACTGGAGTACAACTTTAACTCACACAATG	sfgfp_no_Xhol_QC	pJMP2030
oJMP079	ACCTATCGACTGAGCTGAAAGAATTCGCTCACTCATTAGGCACCCCAGGCTTTACACTTTA TGCTTCCGGCTCGTATAATGTCTAGTTGAGACCAACTTTGGTCTCCACCATAGCGGTCG GTCTCTGTTTAAGAGCTATGCTGGAAAACAGCATAGCAAGTTTAAATAAGGCTAGTCCGT TATCAACTTGAAAAAGTGGCACCGAGTCGGTGCTTTTTTTGAATTCATGTGGCTGACC GTTCTGTTGTCTCTCGCTCTTCCGAGTAGACAACAAGGCCTCCCTAACGGGGGC CTTTTTATTGATAACAAAAGTCAGTGCTTCCGCTATTTCCAAAATAACGGGTAATACG GTTTAAACGAAAATTTATCAAAAAGCAGTATTGACTTAAAGTCTAACCGAGAAACTTTC ACACCCAGGTCGAGCGAGAAGGAAGGTAAAGTATGAGCAAACAAGGAGAAGAACTTTTC ACAGCCAGGATCTGAGCGAGAAAGGAAGGAAGAAAGTATGAGCAAAGGAAGAAAGA	Zmo_M-Ci_gBlock_Bsal	рЈМР2046
oJMP080	ACTOG ACCTATCGACTGAGCTGAAAGAATTCGCTCACTCATTAGGCACCCCAGGCTTTACACTTT ATGCTTCCGGCTCGTATAATGTCTAGTCATCTAATTCAACAAGAATTGTTTAAGAGCTATG CTGGAAACAGCATAGCAAGTTTAAATAAGGCTAGTCCGTTATCAACTTGAAAAAGTGGC ACCGAGTCGGTGCTTTTTTTTGAATTCATGTGGCTGACCGTTCTGTTGTCTCCGCTCT TCCGAGTAGACGAACAATAAGGCCTCCCTAACGGGGGGCCTTTTTATTGATAACAAAA GTCAGTGCTTCCGCTATTTCCAAAATACCGGGGGCCTTTTTATTGATAACAAAA GTCAGTGCTTCCGCTATTTAAAGTCTAACCGAGGGTAATACGGATTAAACGAAAATTTATCA AAAAGAGTATTGACTTAAAGTCTAACCGTATAGGATACTTACAGCCAGACTGGAGA AGGAGGTAAAAGTATTGAGCAAAGGAGAAACAACTTTTCACTGG	Zmo_M-Ci_gBlock_GR1	pJMP2048
oJMP191	ACCTATCGACTGAGCTGAAAGAATTCGCTCACTCATTAGGCACCCCAGGCTTTACAATTG TGAGCGCTCACAATTATAATGTCTAGTTGAGACCAACTTTGGTCTCCACCATAGCGGTCGG TCTCTGTTTAAGAGCTATGCTGGAAACAGCATAGCAAGTTTAAATAAGGCTAGTCCGTT ATCAACTTGAAAAAGTGGCACCGAGTCGGTGCTTTTTTTT	Bsal_gBlock	pJMP2093
oJMP192	ACCTATCGACTGAGCTGAAAGAATTCGCTCACTCATTAGGCACCCCAGGCTTTACAATTG TGAGCGCTCACAATTATAATGTCTAGTCATCTAATTCAACAAGAATTGTTTAAGAGCTATG CTGGAAACAGCATAGCAAGTTTAAATAAGGCTAGTCCGTTATCAACTTGAAAAAGTGGC ACCGAGTCGGTGCTTTTTTTTGAATTCATGTGGCTGACCGTTCTGTT	GR1_gblock	pJMP2095
oJMP197	GTCTATTGAAGTACCTGC	Z1_amplify_A	pJMP2599
oJMP198	CGATGCTCCTCCAAGATA	Z1_amplify_B	pJMP2599
oJMP313	GCTAGCAATTCGAAAGCAAATTCGACCC	pSRK-V-F	pJMP2317, pJMP2319
oJMP314	ATTGCGTTGCGCTCACTGCC	pSRK-V-R	pJMP2317, pJMP2319
oJMP315	GGCAGTGAGCGCAACGCAATACCTATCGACTGAGCTGAAAGAAT	sgRNA-F	pJMP2317
oJMP316	GGTCGAATTTGCTTTCGAATTGCTAGCAACAGAACGGTCAGCCACAT	sgRNA-R	pJMP2317
oJMP317	GGCAGTGAGCGCAACGCAATTGCAGACTAGTAAAATTTATCAAAAAGAGTGTTGAC	dCas9-F	pJMP2319
oJMP318	GGTCGAATTTGCTTTCGAATTGCTAGCGGCGCGCCTTATTACAGATCTTC	dCas9-R	pJMP2319
oJMP347	ACCTATCGACTGAGCTGAAAGAATTCGGAAAATTTTTTTT	C_gBlock	pJMP2367
oJMP348	ACCTATCGACTGAGCTGAAAGAATTCGGAAAATTTTTTTT	D_gBlock	pJMP2369
oJMP349	ACCTATCGACTGAGCTGAAAGAATTCGGAAAATTTTTTTT	E_gBlock	рЈМР2371
oJMP350	ACCTATCGACTGAGCTGAAAGAATTCGGAAAATTTTTTTT	F_gBlock	pJMP2373
oJMP351	ACCTATCGACTGAGCTGAAAGAATTCGGAAAATTTTTTTT	C-gfp_gBlock	pJMP2375
oJMP352	ACCTATCGACTGAGACTGAAAGAATTCGGAAAATTTTTTTT	D-gfp_gBlock	рЈМР2377

(Continued on next page)

## TABLE 3 (Continued)

Oligonucleotide	Sequence $(5' \rightarrow 3')$	Description	Usage
oJMP353	ACCTATCGACTGAGCTGAAAGAATTCGGAAAAATTTTTTTCAAAAGTACTTTACAATTG TGAGCGCTCACAATTATAATTCTAGTCATCTAATTCAACAAGAATTGTTTAAGAGCTATG CTGGAAACAGCATAGCAAGTTTAAATAAGGCTAGTCGGTTATCAACTTGAAAAAAGTGGCA CCGAGTCGGTGCTTTTTTTGAATTCATGTGGCTGACCGTTCTGTT	E-gfp_gBlock	pJMP2379
oJMP354	ACCTATCGACTGAAGCTGAAAGAATTCGGAAAATTTTTTTT	F-gfp_gBlock	pJMP2381
oJMP355	TAGTTCCTTTTCCAGAAACCAAAG	zmo_hpnC_A_T	pJMP2391
oJMP356	AAACCTTTGGTTTCTGGAAAAGGA	zmo_hpnC_A_B	pJMP2391
oJMP357	TAGTATAATAATAGGCCGATATTC	zmo_hpnC_B_T	pJMP2393
oJMP358	AAACGAATATCGGCCTATTATTAT	zmo_hpnC_B_B	pJMP2393
oJMP359	TAGTCGGGCTATGATGAAAAGCCG	zmo_hpnF_A_T	pJMP2395
oJMP360	AAACCGGCTTTTCATCATAGCCCG	zmo_hpnF_A_B	pJMP2395
oJMP361	TAGTCGGGTGGCCTTTTGGATAAT	zmo_hpnF_B_T	pJMP2397
oJMP362	AAACATTATCCAAAAGGCCACCCG	zmo hpnF B B	, pJMP2397
oJMP363	TAGTATCCGTAAAACCTGACTAAA	zmo_hpnH_A_T	pJMP2399
oJMP364	AAACTTTAGTCAGGTTTTACGGAT	zmo hpnH A B	, pJMP2399
oJMP365	TAGTGGTTCAAGCATCAAAACCAG	zmo hpnH B T	pJMP2401
oJMP366	AAACCTGGTTTTGATGCTTGAACC	zmo hpnH B B	pJMP2401
oJMP367	TAGTTGTCAAAAGGACATGCAGGA	zmo hpnl A T	pJMP2403
oJMP368	AAACTCCTGCATGTCCTTTTGACA	zmo hpnl A B	pJMP2403
oJMP369	TAGTCCACCACAGCACGACAATCG	zmo hpnl B T	pJMP2405
oJMP370	AAACCGATTGTCGTGCTGTGGTGG	zmo hpnl B B	, pJMP2405
oJMP371	TAGTATCGGAAGCCGGTTTATACG	zmo_shc2_A_T	, pJMP2407
oJMP372	AAACCGTATAAACCGGCTTCCGAT	zmo_shc2_A_B	pJMP2407
oJMP373	TAGTTTGCCGTCGGTTCAGGCCGC	zmo_shc2_B_T	, pJMP2409
oJMP374	AAACGCGGCCTGAACCGACGGCAA	zmo_shc2_B_B	, pJMP2409
oJMP400	TAGTTTCCGTTGGGATCTTTCGAA	gmc1_T	pJMP2409
oJMP401	AAACTTCGAAAGATCCCAACGGAA	gmc1_B	pJMP2409
oJMP402	TAGTTAGTACATAACCTTCGGGCA	gmc2 T	pJMP2411
oJMP403	AAACTGCCCGAAGGTTATGTACTA	gmc2_B	pJMP2411
oJMP404	TAGTGTCAGAGTAGTGTCAAGTGT	gmc3 T	pJMP2413
oJMP405	AAACACACTTGACACTACTCTGAC	gmc3 B	pJMP2413
oJMP406	TAGTGGCAAAGCATTGAAAACCAT	gmc4 T	pJMP2415
oJMP407	AAACATGGTTTTCAATGCTTTGCC	gmc4 B	, pJMP2415
oJMP408	TAGTGCGTTCCTGTACATAACCCT	gmc5 T	, pJMP2417
oJMP409	AAACAGGGTTATGTACAGGAACGC	gmc5_B	pJMP2417
oJMP410	TAGTCATCTAATTCAACAAGAATT	gmc6_T	pJMP2419
oJMP411	AAACAATTCTTGTTGAATTAGATG	gmc6 B	pJMP2419
oJMP412	TAGTATGTTGTCACGCTTTTCGTT	gmc7_T	pJMP2421
oJMP413	AAACAACGAAAAGCGTGACAACAT	gmc7 B	pJMP2421
oJMP414	TAGTAGTAGTGCAAAGAAATTTAA	gmc8 T	pJMP2423
oJMP415	AAACTTAAATTTCTTTGCACTACT	gmc8 B	pJMP2423
oJMP416	TAGTAAACGACAGATTGTGTCGAC	gmc9 T	, pJMP2425
oJMP417	AAACGTCGACAAATCTGTCGTTT	amc9 B	pJMP2425
oJMP467	TAGTGACAAGCCGCTCCGCTAAAT	zmo1360-pdc-1-T	pJMP2597
oJMP468	AAACATTTAGCGGAGCGGCTTGTC	zmo1360-pdc-1-B	pJMP2597
oJMP469	TAGTGACGGCTGCTGCGCCTT	zmo1360-pdc-3-T	pJMP2598
oJMP470	AAACAAGGCGCAGCAGCCGTC	zmo1360-pdc-3-B	pJMP2598
opZ1-1-13	GTCTATTGAAGTACCTGCGGTCTCTTAGTAAGTTCAGCTGCTTCAAGAAGTTTAGAGA CCTATCTTGGAGGAGCATCG	opZ1-1-13-zmo0728_rplL	pJMP2599

mentary to the Bsal-cut ends on the vector. Oligonucleotides (2  $\mu$ M each) were annealed in 1× CutSmart buffer (NEB) at 95°C for 5 min, followed by cooling to room temperature. For method two, fragments were amplified by PCR with primers oJMP197 and oJMP198 from a 78-nt oligonucleotide, followed by digestion with Bsal-HF-v2 (catalog number R3733; NEB) and purification with the Monarch DNA purification kit (NEB) following the manufacturer's oligonucleotide purification protocol. Inserts (2  $\mu$ l of a 1:40 dilution of annealed oligonucleotides or 2 ng purified digested PCR product) were ligated into 50 ng Bsal-digested vector. Oligonucleotides and synthetic DNA gBlocks were purchased from Integrated DNA Technologies (Coralville, IA). Sequencing was performed by Functional Biosciences (Madison, WI).

**Transfer of CRISPRi system to** *E. coli* and *Z. mobilis.* Strains with a chromosomally located CRISPRi expression cassette were constructed by triparental mating of two donor strains—one with a plasmid encoding Tn7 transposase and another with a plasmid containing a Tn7 transposon encoding the CRISPRi system—and a recipient strain (either *E. coli* BW25113 or *Z. mobilis* ZM4 [PK15436]). The method was as described in Peters et al. (41), with several modifications. Briefly, all matings used *E. coli* WM6026, which is *pir*<sup>+</sup> to support *pir*-dependent plasmid replication, *dap* negative, making it dependent on diamin-opimelic acid (DAP) for growth, and encodes the RP4 transfer machinery required for conjugation. Donor strains were grown for ~16 h at  $37^{\circ}$ C in LB plus 100 µg/ml ampicillin and 300 µM DAP. The *E. coli* in DSMZ10. Cells were centrifuged at 4,000 × g for 5 min and gently resuspended twice in an equal volume of fresh medium with no antibiotic or DAP. For *E. coli* recipients, 700 µl LB and 100 µl each donor

and recipient were mixed in a sterile 1.5-ml microcentrifuge tube. For *Z. mobilis* recipients, 200  $\mu$ l DSMZ10, 500  $\mu$ l *Z. mobilis*, 200  $\mu$ l transposase donor, and 100  $\mu$ l transposon donor were mixed in a sterile 1.5-ml microcentrifuge tube. Cells were centrifuged at 4,000  $\times$  *g* for 3 min, gently resuspended in 25  $\mu$ l LB or DSMZ10, and pipetted onto a 13-mm cellulose filter (number HAWG01300; MF-Millipore) placed on a prewarmed agar plate (LB for *E. coli* or DSMZ10 for *Z. mobilis*). Plates were incubated at 37°C for 2 to 6 h for *E. coli* and 30°C for 24 h for *Z. mobilis*. After the incubation period, using sterile forceps, filters were placed into sterile 1.5-ml microcentrifuge tubes containing 200  $\mu$ l sterile 1 $\times$  phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), vortexed for 20 s to dislodge cells from filters, diluted in 1 $\times$  PBS, and plated on appropriate medium for the recipient, with antibiotic to select for the transposon (see above) and no DAP (to select against the donor). The efficiency of transposition was generally  $\sim$ 1 in 10<sup>3</sup> for *E. coli* and  $\sim$ 1 in 10<sup>5</sup> to 10<sup>6</sup> for *Z. mobilis*. Isolated colonies were restruck for isolation to ensure purity.

**CRISPRi insertion onto** *Z. mobilis* **chromosome.** Insertion of the CRISPRi expression cassette into the Tn7 *att* site downstream from *glmS* in *Z. mobilis* was confirmed by PCR with primers oJMP057 and oJMP058 (flanking the insertion site) and oJMP059 and oJMP060 (upstream from the insertion site and within the CRISPRi transposon).

**CRISPRi stability in Z.** *mobilis. Z. mobilis* strains with chromosomally located CRISPRi expression cassettes (6 individual isolates) were grown in liquid culture medium with antibiotic selection to saturation. This culture was serially diluted to  $10^{-5}$  into nonselective medium (starting  $OD_{600}$  of  $\sim 0.00002$ ) and grown for  $\sim 17$  generations back to saturation ( $OD_{600}$  of  $\sim 2.0$ ). Dilution and growth were repeated 2 additional times for a total of  $\sim 50$  generations prior to plating on nonselective plates. Forty-eight isolated colonies were selected and patched on selective and nonselective plates, and all strains retained the ability to grow on the antibiotic to which resistance was conferred by the chromosomally located CRISPRi expression cassette.

**GFP and RFP knockdown assays.** GFP or RFP knockdown was measured using a plate reader (Tecan Infinite 200 Pro M Plex). Cell density was determined by OD<sub>6007</sub> and fluorescence was measured by excitation/emission at 482/515 nm for GFP and 584/607 nm for RFP. Initial cultures (n = 4) were grown from single colonies to saturation ( $\sim$ 30 h for *Z. mobilis* or  $\sim$ 16 h for *E. coli*) in 1 ml medium in deep 96-well plates. These cultures were serially diluted 1:1,000 (*Z. mobilis*) or 1:10,000 (*E. coli*) into 1 ml fresh medium (no antibiotic and 0 to 1 mM IPTG as indicated) and grown back to saturation ( $\sim$ 24 to 30 h for *Z. mobilis* or  $\sim$ 8 to 16 h for *E. coli*). Pelleted cells were resuspended in 1 ml 1× PBS and, if necessary, diluted, and 200  $\mu$ l was transferred to a clear-bottom black microtiter plate and measured in the plate freeder as indicated above. Fluorescence values were normalized to cell density and to measurements from strains not expressing GFP.

**Gene knockdown spot dilution assay.** *Z. mobilis* strains with chromosomally located CRISPRi expression cassettes (2 individual isolates) were grown to saturation in liquid culture medium with antibiotic selection. These cultures were serially diluted 1:10 in nonselective medium, and  $3-\mu$ I amounts were spotted onto plates containing 0, 0.1 mM, or 1 mM IPTG that were incubated at 30°C aerobically prior to analysis.

**Gene knockdown growth assay.** *Z. mobilis* strains with chromosomally located CRISPRi expression cassettes (n = 2 individual isolates) were grown to saturation in liquid culture medium with antibiotic selection. These cultures were serially diluted 1:1,000 into nonselective medium with 0 or 0.1 mM IPTG and 0, 0.63%, 1.25%, or 2.5% isobutanol in a deep 96-well plate and incubated at 30°C aerobically prior to analysis of growth (measured as OD<sub>600</sub>).

Data availability. Plasmids and their sequences are available from Addgene (Addgene identification numbers 160073 to 160080).

## SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Supplemental material is available online only. **SUPPLEMENTAL FILE 1**, PDF file, 0.9 MB.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank members of the TerAvest, Landick, Kiley, Amador-Noguez, Reed, Sato, Hittinger and Gross labs for helpful feedback. We thank Yang Liu, Robert Landick, Piyush Lal, and Tricia Kiley for strains and plasmids. This work was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Biological and Environmental Research, Great Lakes Bioenergy Research Center under Award Number DE-SC0018409.

#### REFERENCES

- He MX, Wu B, Qin H, Ruan ZY, Tan FR, Wang JL, Shui ZX, Dai LC, Zhu QL, Pan K, Tang XY, Wang WG, Hu QC. 2014. Zymomonas mobilis: a novel platform for future biorefineries. Biotechnol Biofuels 7:101. https://doi .org/10.1186/1754-6834-7-101.
- 2. Zhang K, Lu X, Li Y, Jiang X, Liu L, Wang H. 2019. New technologies

provide more metabolic engineering strategies for bioethanol production in Zymomonas mobilis. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 103:2087–2099. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-019-09620-6.

 Qiu M, Shen W, Yan X, He Q, Cai D, Chen S, Wei H, Knoshaug EP, Zhang M, Himmel ME, Yang S. 2020. Metabolic engineering of Zymomonas mobilis for anaerobic isobutanol production. Biotechnol Biofuels 13:15. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13068-020-1654-x.

- Wu B, Qin H, Yang Y, Duan G, Yang S, Xin F, Zhao C, Shao H, Wang Y, Zhu Q, Tan F, Hu G, He M. 2019. Engineered Zymomonas mobilis tolerant to acetic acid and low pH via multiplex atmospheric and room temperature plasma mutagenesis. Biotechnol Biofuels 12:10. https://doi.org/10.1186/ s13068-018-1348-9.
- Yang S, Fei Q, Zhang Y, Contreras LM, Utturkar SM, Brown SD, Himmel ME, Zhang M. 2016. Zymomonas mobilis as a model system for production of biofuels and biochemicals. Microb Biotechnol 9:699–717. https:// doi.org/10.1111/1751-7915.12408.
- 6. Yang S, Vera JM, Grass J, Savvakis G, Moskvin OV, Yang Y, McIlwain SJ, Lyu Y, Zinonos I, Hebert AS, Coon JJ, Bates DM, Sato TK, Brown SD, Himmel ME, Zhang M, Landick R, Pappas KM, Zhang Y. 2018. Complete genome sequence and the expression pattern of plasmids of the model ethanologen Zymomonas mobilis ZM4 and its xylose-utilizing derivatives 8b and 2032. Biotechnol Biofuels 11:125. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13068-018-1116-x.
- Rogers PL, Lee KJ, Tribe DE. 1979. Kinetics of alcohol production by Zymomonas mobilis at high sugar concentrations. Biotechnol Lett 1:165–170. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01388142.
- Rogers PL, Lee KJ, Skotnicki ML, Tribe DE. 1982. Ethanol production by Zymomonas mobilis. Adv Biochem Eng Biotechnol 23:37–84.
- Karsch T, Stahl U, Esser K. 1983. Ethanol production by Zymomonas and Saccharomyces, advantages and disadvantages. Eur J Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 18:387–391. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00504750.
- Belauich JP, Senez JC. 1965. Influence of aeration and of pantothenate on growth yields of Zymomonas mobilis. J Bacteriol 89:1195–1200. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.89.5.1195-1200.1965.
- Choi YJ, Lee J, Jang Y-S, Lee SY. 2014. Metabolic engineering of microorganisms for the production of higher alcohols. mBio 5:e01524-14. https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.01524-14.
- Lee KY, Park JM, Kim TY, Yun H, Lee SY. 2010. The genome-scale metabolic network analysis of Zymomonas mobilis ZM4 explains physiological features and suggests ethanol and succinic acid production strategies. Microb Cell Fact 9:94. https://doi.org/10.1186/1475-2859-9 -94.
- Nouri H, Fouladiha H, Moghimi H, Marashi S-A. 2020. A reconciliation of genome-scale metabolic network model of Zymomonas mobilis ZM4. Sci Rep 10:7782. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-64721-x.
- Kalnenieks U, Pentjuss A, Rutkis R, Stalidzans E, Fell DA. 2014. Modeling of Zymomonas mobilis central metabolism for novel metabolic engineering strategies. Front Microbiol 5:42. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb .2014.00042.
- Felczak MM, Jacobson TB, Ong WK, Amador-Noguez D, TerAvest MA. 2019. Expression of phosphofructokinase is not sufficient to enable Embden-Meyerhof-Parnas glycolysis in Zymomonas mobilis ZM4. Front Microbiol 10:2270. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.02270.
- Conway T. 1992. The Entner-Doudoroff pathway: history, physiology and molecular biology. FEMS Microbiol Rev 9:1–27. https://doi.org/10.1111/ j.1574-6968.1992.tb05822.x.
- Dawes EA, Ribbons DW, Large PJ. 1966. The route of ethanol formation in Zymomonas mobilis. Biochem J 98:795–803. https://doi.org/ 10.1042/bj0980795.
- Jacobson TB, Adamczyk PA, Stevenson DM, Regner M, Ralph J, Reed JL, Amador-Noguez D. 2019. 2H and 13C metabolic flux analysis elucidates in vivo thermodynamics of the ED pathway in Zymomonas mobilis. Metab Eng 54:301–316. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymben.2019.05.006.
- Hermans MA, Neuss B, Sahm H. 1991. Content and composition of hopanoids in Zymomonas mobilis under various growth conditions. J Bacteriol 173:5592–5595. https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.173.17.5592 -5595.1991.
- Welander PV, Hunter RC, Zhang L, Sessions AL, Summons RE, Newman DK. 2009. Hopanoids play a role in membrane integrity and pH homeostasis in Rhodopseudomonas palustris TIE-1. J Bacteriol 191:6145–6156. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00460-09.
- Schmerk CL, Bernards MA, Valvano MA. 2011. Hopanoid production is required for low-pH tolerance, antimicrobial resistance, and motility in Burkholderia cenocepacia. J Bacteriol 193:6712–6723. https://doi.org/10 .1128/JB.05979-11.
- Kulkarni G, Busset N, Molinaro A, Gargani D, Chaintreuil C, Silipo A, Giraud E, Newman DK. 2015. Specific hopanoid classes differentially affect free-living and symbiotic states of Bradyrhizobium diazoefficiens. mBio 6:e01251-15. https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.01251-15.

- Sáenz JP, Grosser D, Bradley AS, Lagny TJ, Lavrynenko O, Broda M, Simons K. 2015. Hopanoids as functional analogues of cholesterol in bacterial membranes. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 112:11971–11976. https:// doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1515607112.
- 24. Wu C-H, Bialecka-Fornal M, Newman DK. 2015. Methylation at the C-2 position of hopanoids increases rigidity in native bacterial membranes. Elife 4:e05663. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.05663.
- Silipo A, Vitiello G, Gully D, Sturiale L, Chaintreuil C, Fardoux J, Gargani D, Lee H-I, Kulkarni G, Busset N, Marchetti R, Palmigiano A, Moll H, Engel R, Lanzetta R, Paduano L, Parrilli M, Chang W-S, Holst O, Newman DK, Garozzo D, D'Errico G, Giraud E, Molinaro A. 2014. Covalently linked hopanoid-lipid A improves outer-membrane resistance of a Bradyrhizobium symbiont of legumes. Nat Commun 5:5106. https://doi.org/10 .1038/ncomms6106.
- Flesch G, Rohmer M. 1987. Growth inhibition of hopanoid synthesizing bacteria by squalene cyclase inhibitors. Arch Microbiol 147:100–104. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00492912.
- Horbach S, Neuss B, Sahm H. 1991. Effect of azasqualene on hopanoid biosynthesis and ethanol tolerance of Zymomonas mobilis. FEMS Microbiol Lett 79:347–350. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6968.1991 .tb04553.x.
- Brenac L, Baidoo EEK, Keasling JD, Budin I. 2019. Distinct functional roles for hopanoid composition in the chemical tolerance of Zymomonas mobilis. Mol Microbiol 112:1564–1575. https://doi.org/10.1111/mmi .14380.
- Skerker JM, Leon D, Price MN, Mar JS, Tarjan DR, Wetmore KM, Deutschbauer AM, Baumohl JK, Bauer S, Ibáñez AB, Mitchell VD, Wu CH, Hu P, Hazen T, Arkin AP. 2013. Dissecting a complex chemical stress: chemogenomic profiling of plant hydrolysates. Mol Syst Biol 9:674. https://doi .org/10.1038/msb.2013.30.
- Schmidt A, Bringer-Meyer S, Poralla K, Sahm H. 1986. Effect of alcohols and temperature on the hopanoid content of Zymomonas mobilis. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 25:32–36. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00252509.
- Qi LS, Larson MH, Gilbert LA, Doudna JA, Weissman JS, Arkin AP, Lim WA. 2013. Repurposing CRISPR as an RNA-guided platform for sequencespecific control of gene expression. Cell 152:1173–1183. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.cell.2013.02.022.
- Bikard D, Jiang W, Samai P, Hochschild A, Zhang F, Marraffini LA. 2013. Programmable repression and activation of bacterial gene expression using an engineered CRISPR-Cas system. Nucleic Acids Res 41: 7429–7437. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkt520.
- Rath D, Amlinger L, Hoekzema M, Devulapally PR, Lundgren M. 2015. Efficient programmable gene silencing by Cascade. Nucleic Acids Res 43:237–246. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gku1257.
- Zheng Y, Han J, Wang B, Hu X, Li R, Shen W, Ma X, Ma L, Yi L, Yang S, Peng W. 2019. Characterization and repurposing of the endogenous Type I-F CRISPR-Cas system of Zymomonas mobilis for genome engineering. Nucleic Acids Res 47:11461–11475. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/ gkz940.
- Peters JM, Colavin A, Shi H, Czarny TL, Larson MH, Wong S, Hawkins JS, Lu CHS, Koo B-M, Marta E, Shiver AL, Whitehead EH, Weissman JS, Brown ED, Qi LS, Huang KC, Gross CA. 2016. A comprehensive, CRISPR-based functional analysis of essential genes in bacteria. Cell 165:1493–1506. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2016.05.003.
- Vigouroux A, Bikard D. 2020. CRISPR tools to control gene expression in bacteria. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 84:e00077-19. https://doi.org/10.1128/ MMBR.00077-19.
- Wang T, Guan C, Guo J, Liu B, Wu Y, Xie Z, Zhang C, Xing X-H. 2018. Pooled CRISPR interference screening enables genome-scale functional genomics study in bacteria with superior performance. Nat Commun 9:2475. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-04899-x.
- Liu X, Gallay C, Kjos M, Domenech A, Slager J, van Kessel SP, Knoops K, Sorg RA, Zhang J, Veening J. 2017. High-throughput CRISPRi phenotyping identifies new essential genes in Streptococcus pneumoniae. Mol Syst Biol 13:931. https://doi.org/10.15252/msb.20167449.
- Müh U, Pannullo AG, Weiss DS, Ellermeier CD. 2019. A xylose-inducible expression system and a CRISPR interference plasmid for targeted knockdown of gene expression in Clostridioides difficile. J Bacteriol 201:e00711-18. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00711-18.
- 40. Rousset F, Cui L, Siouve E, Becavin C, Depardieu F, Bikard D. 2018. Genome-wide CRISPR-dCas9 screens in E. coli identify essential genes and phage host factors. PLoS Genet 14:e1007749. https://doi.org/10 .1371/journal.pgen.1007749.
- 41. Peters JM, Koo B-M, Patino R, Heussler GE, Hearne CC, Qu J, Inclan YF,

Hawkins JS, Lu CHS, Silvis MR, Harden MM, Osadnik H, Peters JE, Engel JN, Dutton RJ, Grossman AD, Gross CA, Rosenberg OS. 2019. Enabling genetic analysis of diverse bacteria with Mobile-CRISPRi. Nat Microbiol 4:244–250. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-018-0327-z.

- Qu J, Prasad NK, Yu MA, Chen S, Lyden A, Herrera N, Silvis MR, Crawford E, Looney MR, Peters JM, Rosenberg OS. 2019. Modulating pathogenesis with Mobile-CRISPRi. J Bacteriol 201:e00304-19. https://doi.org/10.1128/ JB.00304-19.
- Roberts RJ, Vincze T, Posfai J, Macelis D. 2015. REBASE—a database for DNA restriction and modification: enzymes, genes and genomes. Nucleic Acids Res 43:D298–D299. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gku1046.
- 44. Yang Y, Shen W, Huang J, Li R, Xiao Y, Wei H, Chou Y-C, Zhang M, Himmel ME, Chen S, Yi L, Ma L, Yang S. 2019. Prediction and characterization of promoters and ribosomal binding sites of Zymomonas mobilis in system biology era. Biotechnol Biofuels 12:52. https://doi.org/10.1186/ s13068-019-1399-6.
- Pédelacq J-D, Cabantous S, Tran T, Terwilliger TC, Waldo GS. 2006. Engineering and characterization of a superfolder green fluorescent protein. Nat Biotechnol 24:79–88. https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt1172.
- Sadler JR, Sasmor H, Betz JL. 1983. A perfectly symmetric lac operator binds the lac repressor very tightly. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 80: 6785–6789. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.80.22.6785.
- Vera JM, Ghosh IN, Zhang Y, Hebert AS, Coon JJ, Landick R. 2020. Genome-scale transcription-translation mapping reveals features of Zymomonas mobilis transcription units and promoters. mSystems 5:e00250-20. https://doi.org/10.1128/mSystems.00250-20.
- Hawley DK, McClure WR. 1983. Compilation and analysis of Escherichia coli promoter DNA sequences. Nucleic Acids Res 11:2237–2255. https:// doi.org/10.1093/nar/11.8.2237.
- Estrem ST, Gaal T, Ross W, Gourse RL. 1998. Identification of an UP element consensus sequence for bacterial promoters. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 95:9761–9766. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.95.17.9761.
- Hawkins JS, Silvis MR, Koo B-M, Peters JM, Jost M, Hearne CC, Weissman JS, Todor H, Gross CA. 2019. Modulated efficacy CRISPRi reveals evolutionary conservation of essential gene expression-fitness relationships in bacteria. bioRxiv https://doi.org/10.1101/805333.
- Jost M, Santos DA, Saunders RA, Horlbeck MA, Hawkins JS, Scaria SM, Norman TM, Hussmann JA, Liem CR, Gross CA, Weissman JS. 2020. Titrating gene expression using libraries of systematically attenuated CRISPR guide RNAs. Nat Biotechnol 38:355–364. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41587-019-0387-5.
- Larson MH, Gilbert LA, Wang X, Lim WA, Weissman JS, Qi LS. 2013. CRISPR interference (CRISPRi) for sequence-specific control of gene expression. Nat Protoc 8:2180–2196. https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2013 .132.
- Gilbert LA, Horlbeck MA, Adamson B, Villalta JE, Chen Y, Whitehead EH, Guimaraes C, Panning B, Ploegh HL, Bassik MC, Qi LS, Kampmann M, Weissman JS. 2014. Genome-scale CRISPR-mediated control of gene repression and activation. Cell 159:647–661. https://doi.org/10.1016/j .cell.2014.09.029.
- Wool IG. 1979. The structure and function of eukaryotic ribosomes. Annu Rev Biochem 48:719–754. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.bi.48.070179 .003443.
- 55. Wecker MS, Zall RR. 1987. Production of acetaldehyde by Zymomonas

mobilis. Appl Environ Microbiol 53:2815–2820. https://doi.org/10.1128/ AEM.53.12.2815-2820.1987.

- Liu Y, Ghosh IN, Martien J, Zhang Y, Amador-Noguez D, Landick R. 2020. Regulated redirection of central carbon flux enhances anaerobic production of bioproducts in Zymomonas mobilis. Metab Eng 61:261–274. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymben.2020.06.005.
- Khandelwal R, Agrawal S, Singhi D, Srivastava P, Bisaria VS. 2018. Deletion of pyruvate decarboxylase gene in Zymomonas mobilis by recombineering through bacteriophage lambda red genes. J Microbiol Methods 151:111–117. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mimet.2018.06.008.
- Seo J-S, Chong H-Y, Kim J-H, Kim J-Y. June 2009. Method for mass production of primary metabolites, strain for mass production of primary metabolites, and method for preparation thereof. US patent US20090162910A1.
- Bradley AS, Pearson A, Sáenz JP, Marx CJ. 2010. Adenosylhopane: the first intermediate in hopanoid side chain biosynthesis. Org Geochem 41:1075–1081. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.orggeochem.2010.07.003.
- Ong WK, Courtney DK, Pan S, Andrade RB, Kiley PJ, Pfleger BF, Reed JL. 2020. Model-driven analysis of mutant fitness experiments improves genome-scale metabolic models of Zymomonas mobilis ZM4. PLoS Comput Biol 16:e1008137. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1008137.
- Bringer S, Härtner T, Poralla K, Sahm H. 1985. Influence of ethanol on the hopanoid content and the fatty acid pattern in batch and continuous cultures of Zymomonas mobilis. Arch Microbiol 140:312–316. https://doi .org/10.1007/BF00446969.
- Baba T, Ara T, Hasegawa M, Takai Y, Okumura Y, Baba M, Datsenko KA, Tomita M, Wanner BL, Mori H. 2006. Construction of Escherichia coli K-12 in-frame, single-gene knockout mutants: the Keio collection. Mol Syst Biol 2:2006.0008. https://doi.org/10.1038/msb4100050.
- Koo B-M, Kritikos G, Farelli JD, Todor H, Tong K, Kimsey H, Wapinski I, Galardini M, Cabal A, Peters JM, Hachmann A-B, Rudner DZ, Allen KN, Typas A, Gross CA. 2017. Construction and analysis of two genome-scale deletion libraries for Bacillus subtilis. Cell Syst 4:291–305.e7. https://doi .org/10.1016/j.cels.2016.12.013.
- Calvo-Villamañán A, Ng JW, Planel R, Ménager H, Chen A, Cui L, Bikard D. 2020. On-target activity predictions enable improved CRISPR-dCas9 screens in bacteria. Nucleic Acids Res 48:e64. https://doi.org/10.1093/ nar/gkaa294.
- Datsenko KA, Wanner BL. 2000. One-step inactivation of chromosomal genes in Escherichia coli K-12 using PCR products. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 97:6640–6645. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.120163297.
- Blodgett JAV, Thomas PM, Li G, Velasquez JE, van der Donk WA, Kelleher NL, Metcalf WW. 2007. Unusual transformations in the biosynthesis of the antibiotic phosphinothricin tripeptide. Nat Chem Biol 3:480–485. https://doi.org/10.1038/nchembio.2007.9.
- Ghosh IN, Martien J, Hebert AS, Zhang Y, Coon JJ, Amador-Noguez D, Landick R. 2019. OptSSeq explores enzyme expression and function landscapes to maximize isobutanol production rate. Metab Eng 52: 324–340. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymben.2018.12.008.
- Khan SR, Gaines J, Roop RM, Farrand SK. 2008. Broad-host-range expression vectors with tightly regulated promoters and their use to examine the influence of TraR and TraM expression on Ti plasmid quorum sensing. Appl Environ Microbiol 74:5053–5062. https://doi.org/10.1128/ AEM.01098-08.