

POSTER PRESENTATION

Open Access

# Reported reasons for missing data and the interplay with trial setting

Anna Kearney<sup>1\*</sup>, Naomi Bacon<sup>1</sup>, Anna Rosala-Hallas<sup>1</sup>, Anne Daykin<sup>2</sup>, Ali Heawood<sup>2</sup>, Athene Lane<sup>2</sup>, Jane Blazeby<sup>2</sup>, Mike Clarke<sup>3</sup>, Paula Williamson<sup>1</sup>, Carrol Gamble<sup>1</sup>

From 3rd International Clinical Trials Methodology Conference  
Glasgow, UK. 16-17 November 2015

Missing data in clinical trials is common. It can reduce trial efficiency and bias the estimate of treatment effect.

Much emphasis is placed on addressing missing data in trial design. However, strategies need to be informed by the reasons for attrition which are likely to vary according to trial context. In order to develop effective interventions to minimise missing data, it is important to not only understand the causes but also their interplay with the trial setting.

We present the reported reasons for missing data within a cohort of 168 randomised trials published in four major journals in 2013 and 36 Health Technology Assessment programme monographs published 2009-2014.

We discuss the frequency of missing data and differential attrition resulting from causes such as withdrawals due to treatment tolerance or efficacy, inability to measure the primary outcome due to intervening outcomes such as death or illness, laboratory or technological problems, missed measurements by clinical staff and failure of patients to attend visits or return measurements. The frequency of Investigator led post randomisation exclusions will also be reviewed, looking at data excluded for reasons such as protocol violations, post randomisation ineligibility, patients not receiving the intervention or poor treatment adherence.

Levels of missing data and associations with trial setting such as clinical speciality, method and location of primary outcome measurement, nature of the intervention and control, mean age of recruited patients, number of trial centres and countries will be presented.

#### Authors' details

<sup>1</sup>University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK. <sup>2</sup>University of Bristol, Bristol, UK.

<sup>3</sup>Queen's University, Belfast, UK.

<sup>1</sup>University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Published: 16 November 2015

doi:10.1186/1745-6215-16-S2-P101

**Cite this article as:** Kearney *et al.*: Reported reasons for missing data and the interplay with trial setting. *Trials* 2015 **16**(Suppl 2):P101.

**Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:**

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at  
[www.biomedcentral.com/submit](http://www.biomedcentral.com/submit)

