

The Urokinase Plasminogen Activation System in Rheumatoid Arthritis: Pathophysiological Roles and Prospective Therapeutic Targets



Benjamin J. Buckley^{1,2,*}, Umar Ali^{1,2}, Michael J. Kelso^{1,2} and Marie Ranson^{1,2}

¹School of Chemistry and Molecular Bioscience, University of Wollongong, NSW 2522, Australia; ²Illawarra Health & Medical Research Institute, Wollongong, NSW 2522, Australia

Abstract: Rheumatoid Arthritis (RA) is a chronic and progressive inflammatory disease characterized in its early stages by synovial hyperplasia and inflammatory cell infiltration and later by irreversible joint tissue destruction. The Plasminogen Activation System (PAS) is associated with a wide range of physiological and pathophysiological states involving fibrinolysis, inflammation and tissue remodeling. Various components of the PAS are implicated in the pathophysiology of RA. Urokinase Plasminogen Activator (uPA) in particular is a pro-inflammatory mediator that appears to play an important role in the bone and cartilage destruction associated with RA. Clinical studies have shown that uPA and its receptor uPAR are overexpressed in synovia of patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Further, genetic knockdown and antibody-mediated neutralization of uPA have been shown to be protective against induction or progression of arthritis in animal models. The pro-arthritic role of uPA is differentiated from its haemodynamic counterpart, tissue plasminogen activator (tPA), which appears to play a protective role in RA animal models. This review summarises available evidence supporting the PAS as a critical determinant of RA pathogenesis and highlights opportunities for the development of novel uPAS-targeting therapeutics.

Keywords: Rheumatoid arthritis, urokinase plasminogen activator, uPA, uPAS, plasminogen activation, drug targets.

1. INTRODUCTION

ARTICLE HISTORY

10.2174/1389450120666181204164140

CrossMark

Received: October 06, 2018

Revised: November 12, 2018

DOI.

Accepted: November 28, 2018

Rheumatoid Arthritis (RA), a common inflammatory disease with a worldwide incidence of ~0.3-1%, is characterized by chronic symmetrical polyarthritis, which progressively leads to irreversible joint destruction through synovitis-mediated cartilage degradation and bone erosion [1-3]. Significant advances in RA treatment have been made over the last two decades with the introduction of targeted biologic therapies, such as the anti-TNF α antibody Humira, and improved treatment regimens [2, 3]. Despite this progress, a significant percentage of patients are not adequately treated by current disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs (DMARDs). Identification and validation of new therapeutic targets and drugs is therefore required to improve RA therapy into the future [1]. Experimental models and clinical studies suggest that the Plasminogen Activation System (PAS) plays a pivotal role in RA disease progression. This review begins with an overview of the PAS before summarising available clinical data implicating this system in RA disease severity and progression. The reported effects of PAS genetic and pharmacological manipulation in various experimental models are then detailed, and a commentary on the relevance of the models in terms of recapitulating human RA is provided. Finally, the conclusion that the uPAS (urokinase plasminogen activation system) plays a key role in RA progression is critically discussed in the context of developing uPAS-targeting therapeutics for RA.

2. THE PLASMINOGEN ACTIVATION SYSTEM (PAS) AND CLINICAL EVIDENCE FOR ITS ROLE IN RA

2.1. Overview of the PAS

Activation of the zymogen plasminogen to the broadspectrum serine protease plasmin can occur through two specific activators, tissue-type and urokinase-type plasminogen activator (tPA and uPA, respectively) [4-6]. tPA is primarily involved in intravascular fibrin clot dissolution. The fibrin clot acts as a template for binding and co-localization of both plasminogen (Plg) and tPA, which greatly stimulates Plg activation (PA). The resulting plasmin is protected from its circulating inhibitors (e.g. α 2-antiplasmin) until the clot has been completely digested. In contrast, uPA is primarily involved in tissue remodeling and inflammation in a variety of physiologic states (e.g. wound healing, endometrial shedding), where it controls activation and inhibition of the pathway. Dysregulated expression and inhibition is linked to multiple pathologic states (e.g. invasive cancer, inflammatory disorders) [6-9].

^{*}Address correspondence to this author at the School of Chemistry and Molecular Bioscience, University of Wollongong, Building 32, Northfields Ave, Wollongong, Australia; Tel: +61 2 4221 3947; E-mail: bbuckley@uow.edu.au

After binding to its cognate cell surface receptor uPAR, the pro-uPA single chain zymogen is converted *via* proteolysis into active two-chain uPA, which controls the activation of cell surface co-localised Plg to plasmin (Fig. 1) [4, 10]. While bound to the cell surface, uPA is protected from inhibition and activates plasmin, which subsequently triggers the activation of multiple downstream extracellular proteases (e.g. matrix metalloproteinases, collagenases), latent growth factors and other receptors (e.g. PARs). This results in directional remodeling of the local extracellular environment and signaling pathways (e.g. MAPK and/or JNK/STAT) driving cell proliferation, adhesion and migration [4-6, 9, 11-14]. These signaling pathways and downstream cellular events can also be modulated via complex direct and indirect interactions of uPAR with vitronectin in the ECM and a range of cell surface co-receptors, including integrins and growth factor receptors [5, 15, 16]. Growth factors, hormones, and inflammatory mediators including cytokines can, in turn, influence the expression of PAS genes [6, 13, 17-22], which is thought to drive malignant tumor progression [23-25]. As receptor bound plasmin is protected from inhibition by α_2 antiplasmin, efficient inhibition of uPA (and tPA) by two serine proteinase inhibitor (serpin) family members, plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 (PAI-1/SerpinE1) and -2 (PAI-2 /SerpinB2) act as key regulators of pericellular PA [8]. Both form a covalent complex with uPA/uPAR causing internalisation of the entire complex via endocytosis receptors. Unlike PAI-2, inhibition of uPA by PAI-1 induces secondary high-affinity interactions with endocytosis receptor family members, with subsequent activating effects on cell migration and proliferation [26, 27]. This and other secondary binding mechanisms are possible explanations for why overexpression of PAI-1 is correlated with poor tumour prognosis [8, 28].

2.2. Clinical Findings

Evidence accumulated over the past three decades implicates the PAS in the clinical progression of RA, with many studies showing that expression of uPA, uPAR and PAI-1 is strongly upregulated in synovial tissue/knee aspirates of RA patients (Table 1). Relative to healthy controls, protein levels for these uPAS components in synovial fluid are increased 3-4-fold, with similar findings in knee cartilage extracts [17, 29-33]. Upregulation of uPA in the Synovial Fluid (SF) correlates with increased levels of active MMP-13 (collagenase-3), a plasmin substrate that is also implicated in RA pathogenesis [30]. The highly localized expression of uPA within diseased joints is evident from studies comparing knee aspirates with blood samples from the same patients, where SF uPA is increased as much as 4-fold over that found in circulation [17, 31]. Upregulation of uPA associates with disease severity, with uPA levels being the highest in the serum and SF of patients with radiographically-confirmed erosive disease, and correlates with Rheumatoid Factor (RF) expression in these patients [31]. uPA and uPAR levels are increased in the synovial fluid of RA patients relative to osteoarthritis (OA) patients and healthy controls [29, 34-36]. Furthermore, high levels of uPA activity were detected in knee cartilage extracts taken from terminal RA patients who had received total knee arthroplasties [32]. Patient-derived synovial fibroblasts produce large amounts of uPA and uPAR in vitro [37] and uPA proteolytic activity localizes to the hyperproliferative synovial lining in patient joint sections [35]. Similarly, serum soluble uPAR (suPAR; released by cleavage of uPAR by uPA or plasmin or shed in intact form from cells [38]) is increased in RA relative to patients with other inflammatory rheumatic diseases (e.g. Sjögren's syndrome) and healthy controls and has prognostic significance as a biomarker of erosive progression [42, 43]. Similarly, PAI-2 levels correlate with increased Larsen score severity, increased cytidine deaminase activity and leukocyte counts in SF samples from RA patients [33, 40]. In addition, serum suPAR has also shown utility as a treatment-response biomarker for monitoring adalimumab therapy, with responders showing significantly decreased suPAR levels after 8 weeks of treatment [47].

In contrast to uPA, expression levels and/or activity of tPA are generally decreased in RA SF relative to healthy or OA synovium [39]. Similarly, tPA proteolytic activity was undetectable in articular cartilage derived from RA patients who had undergone total knee arthroplasty [32]. Only one study found significantly increased tPA antigen levels in RA relative to OA samples, where uPA, uPAR and PAI-1 antigen levels were also significantly increased [29]. This down-regulation of tPA expression may be localized to arthritic joints as circulating tPA, along with PAI-1, are significantly increased in the blood of RA patients and correlate with a greater risk of hypertriglyceridaemia and insulin resistance



Fig. (1). Schematic overview of the urokinase plasminogen activation system (uPAS). ECM = extracellular matrix, MMP = matrix metalloproteinase, PAI = plasminogen activator inhibitor, uPA = urokinase plasminogen activator, uPAR = urokinase plasminogen activator receptor. (*The color version of the figure is available in the electronic copy of the article*).

PAS and Other Components	Technique	Finding	Refs.
tPA, uPA	ELISA	In RA SF tPA \downarrow 4-fold, PAI-1 activity \downarrow 4-fold, total uPA antigen \uparrow >4-fold and pro-uPA \uparrow 3-fold relative to plasma levels in the same patients or healthy controls patient plasma. Active uPA was detected in 14 of 36 RA SF samples.	[17]
uPA, tPA PAI-1, PAI-2	ELISA, radiography	uPA, PAI-2 and PAI-1 \uparrow in RA SF. uPA increased in RA but not OA. tPA level low in RA and OA. No correlation between uPA or PAI levels and SF proteoglycans (products of cartilage degradation). uPA activity correlated with \uparrow CD activity.	[33]
uPA, PAI-1, TP, WBC	ELISA	WBC \uparrow 44-fold, TP \uparrow 1.3-fold, uPA \uparrow 2.5-fold and PAI-1 \uparrow 4.6-fold in RA SF compared to OA SF. uPA levels strongly correlated with PAI-1 level in RA SF.	[36]
uPA, uPAR, PAI-1, PAI-2, tPA	ELISA, SDS-PAGE.	uPA, uPAR, PAI-1 and tPA \uparrow in RA knee aspirates compared to OA and healthy controls. uPAS expression in RA patients similar to those with pseudogout.	[29]
uPA, PAI-1, MMP-1, MMP-3, TIMP-1, TIMP-2	ELISA, Northern Blot	uPA \uparrow 3-fold, PAI-1 \uparrow 3.5-fold in knee cartilage extracts from RA patients. uPA, PAI-1, MMP-1, MMP-3, TIMP-1, TIMP-2 mRNA detected in the articular cartilage of all RA patients.	[32]
uPA, uPAR, tPA PAI-1, PAI-2	ELISA, IHC	uPA \uparrow 230-fold, uPAR \uparrow 2-fold, PAI-1 \uparrow 4.7-fold and tPA \downarrow 4.5-fold in RA SF compared to OA SF. PAI-2 detected in RA SF, undetectable in OA. RA synovial tissue stained strongly for uPA, uPAR and PAI-1. Moderate staining for tPA in OA and RA synovial tissue – localized to endothelia.	[39]
uPA, uPAR, tPA PAI-1, PAI-2, Plg, Fib D-dimer	ELISA, BIA, histology and Radiography	Pro-uPA \uparrow 3-fold, Plg \uparrow 3-fold, PAI-1 \uparrow 9-fold, Fib D-dimer \uparrow 54-fold, PAI-2 detected in SF. tPA undetectable in SF, 0.74 IU/mL in plasma. Plasma PAI-2 correlated with increased severity (Larsen score).	[40]
uPA, uPAR, tPA PAI-1	Gel and <i>in situ</i> zymography, IHC, Northern blot and ISH	tPA mediated proteolytic activity predominant in healthy controls and OA, but reduced in RA patients. uPA mRNA, antigen and activity predominant in RA proliferative synovium. uPAR and PAI-1 expression ↑ in RA synovium.	[35]
uPAR	ІНС	RA synovial lining, endothelium and interstitial macrophages uPAR+. Healthy con- trols, low numbers of myeloid cells uPAR+	[41]
suPAR	ELISA	Serum suPAR \uparrow in RA serum relative to health controls or ReA and PSS patients. suPAR positively correlated with CRP, ESR and the number of swollen joints in RA.	[42]
suPAR	ELISA	Serum suPAR \uparrow in RA patients with erosive pathology compared to patients without erosive progression. suPAR suggested as a serum biomarker of erosive progression in RA.	[43]
uPA, RF	ELISA	Serum and SF uPA \uparrow relative to healthy controls. SF uPA \uparrow 2-fold compared to matched serum levels in non-erosive and erosive RA. Serum and SF uPA highest in erosive RA. \uparrow uPA positively correlated with RF status in erosive RA.	[31]
SNPs in <i>PLAU</i>	PCR, nephelometry, radiography.	\uparrow C/T SNP at nucleotide +4065 in <i>PLAU</i> 3'-UTR relative to healthy controls. No correlation between C/T SNP and RF positivity, extra-articular involvement or bone erosion found.	[44]
uPA, uPAR, PAI-1	ELISA, gel zymography	uPA, uPAR and PAI-1 \uparrow in knee aspirates of patients with gouty arthritis, correlation with \uparrow MMP-9 activity.	[45]
uPA	IHC, ELISA, Radiography	uPA expression correlates with survivin expression in blood and SF from patients with erosive RA.	[46]
uPAR	ELISA	\downarrow serum uPAR correlated to treatment responsiveness in RA patients receiving anti- TNF α antibody Adalimumab after 8 weeks. No difference in serum uPAR level for non-responders to Adalimumab. Baseline serum uPAR highest in non-responders.	[47]
uPA, MMPs 1, 2, 3, 7, 9, 13	ELISA	uPA \uparrow 3-fold in RA SF relative to OA. uPA level positively correlated with \uparrow MMP-13 in RA SF.	[30]

 $CD = cytidine deaminase, CRP = C reactive protein, ESR = erythrocyte sedimentation rate, Fib B-dimer = Fibrin D-dimer, IHC = immunohistochemistry, ISH = in-situ hybridisation, MMP-1 = matrix metalloproteinase 1, MMP-2 = matrix metalloproteinase 2, MMP-3 = matrix metalloproteinase 3, MMP-13 = matrix metalloproteinase 13, OA = osteoarthritis, PAI-1 plasminogen activator inhibitor 1, PAI-2 plasminogen activator inhibitor 2, PSS = primary Sjögren's syndrome, RA = rheumatoid arthritis, RF = rheumatoid factor, ReA = reactive arthritis, sc-uPA = single-chain uPA, SF = synovial fluid, SNP = single nucleotide polymorphism, suPAR = soluble urokinase plasminogen activator receptor, uPA = urokinase plasminogen activator receptor, 3'-UTR = 3'-untranslated region, TIMP1 = TIMP metallopeptidase inhibitor 1, TIMP2 = TIMP metallopeptidase inhibitor 2, TNF<math>\alpha$ = Tumour necrosis factor alpha, TP = total protein, tPA = tissue plasminogen activator, WBC = white blood cell count.

[48]. This pattern contrasts to that seen in OA, where tPA activity is strongly dominant over uPA, or in healthy synovium where uPA activity is undetectable [35]. In RA synovium, high levels of uPA activity are observed throughout the entire synovial membrane, whereas in OA tPA activity is confined to the luminal face of the vascular endothelium [35]. As such, the predominance of uPA over tPA in the affected joints appears to differentiate the reactive arthritides, like RA and Sjögren's syndrome, from OA, where tPA expression dominates [42]. As the primary physiological role of tPA is to facilitate fibrin clearance via direct binding to fibrin clots [49], its downregulation in RA has implications for fibrin turnover [50]. Inappropriate fibrin deposition is a diagnostic characteristic in RA joints [51] (referred to as rice bodies) and is believed to promote sustained inflammation and tissue damage in the synovial space (reviewed in [52]). Indeed, the balance between uPA/tPA expression appears to play a key role in the dysregulation of fibrin clearance in diseased synovium and the wider extravascular coagulation observed in the joints of RA sufferers.

3. EFFECTS OF PAS IN RA MOUSE MODELS

Rodent models of RA developed over the past five decades have provided significant insights into arthritis pathophysiology. Inducible models of RA span from acute monoarticular models, where a single joint is affected, to systemic models with multiple joint involvement, similar to the pathology most commonly observed in human RA patients [53]. In addition, several spontaneous models of arthritis in genetically modified mice have been reported [54]. Studies of the involvement of the PA system in RA pathology have for the most part focussed on the use of two common models; Collagen-Induced Arthritis (CIA) and Antigen-Induced Arthritis (AIA). CIA, a systemic polyarthritic model featuring symmetrical involvement of both proximal (knee) and distal (phalangeal) joints, is one of the most commonly used experimental models of RA [55, 56]. CIA is initiated by intradermal injection of heterologous collagen-II in complete Freund's adjuvant (CFA) into the tail of susceptible mouse strains (e.g. DBA1 or C57BL/6), which is heavily dependent on MHC-II haplotype [57, 58], followed by an intraperitoneal booster injection up to 21 days later. As an autoimmune model, CIA is primarily driven by activation of collagenspecific B cells that produce cross-reactive anti-CIIantibodies directed to endogenous antigen in the mice [55]. Binding of collagen in the joint by these antibodies triggers a local inflammatory response via immune complex formation [59] and complement activation [60], promoting infiltration of circulating immune cells, chronic inflammation and tissue damage [61]. AIA is similar to CIA in that pathology relies on the sensitization of an adaptive immune response, although the antigen, in this case, is not an endogenously expressed self-protein. In AIA, methylated Bovine Serum Albumin (mBSA) in CFA is administered (intradermal) into the base of tail on days 0 and 7, along with intraperitoneal heatkilled Bordetella pertussis as an additional adjuvant [62]. Intra-articular injection of mBSA in saline into the knee initiates local pathology in the affected joint, while injection of saline into the contralateral knee provides a matched intraindividual control. The positively charged mBSA binds to negatively charged cartilage in the joint, directing a T-celldependent adaptive immune response towards the joint resulting in local inflammation [63, 64]. Both CIA and AIA recapitulate a variety of the clinical and histological features of human RA, including synovitis, synovial hyperplasia and pannus formation, immune cell infiltration and progressive cartilage and bone erosion [62, 65-68]. However, the requirement for traumatic delivery of antigen into the joint and its monoarticular nature set AIA apart from both CIA and the clinical scenario in the majority of RA patients [65]. In addition, and in contrast to RA and CIA, AIA is a transient model, where inflammation ceases after mBSA in the joint is depleted, although pathology may be prolonged by repeat injection [63, 69]. A summary of studies concerned with the differing functions of the PAS and the consequences of manipulating various components in mouse models of RA, is presented in Table 2.

3.1. AIA and Septic Arthritis

The contrasting roles of PA in acute versus systemic models of RA have been the subject of debate for over two decades [12]. Multiple groups have shown that in acute antigen-induced models of monoarticular RA, uPA appears to play a protective role [70], as uPA-/- mice show significantly worse pathology [71]. Here, uPA is believed to play a primary role in fibrinolysis within the affected joints as fibrin deposition is significantly increased in uPA-/- mice, correlating with increased disease severity [71, 72]. Furthermore, PAI-1-/- mice show significantly milder symptoms and decreased fibrin deposition in response to AIA [73], supporting that uPA activity is protective in these models via increased fibrinolysis. Similarly, tPA deficiency in the acute mBSA/IL-1 monoarticular model [71] and Plg deficiency in the classic AIA model [70] both resulted in worsened disease, characterized by increased fibrin deposition in joints. Thus, it appears that plasmin-mediated fibrinolysis is an essential protective mechanism in models involving intraarticular administration of mBSA. Similar results have been reported in a monoarticular model of septic arthritis, where Plg-/- mice showed reduced clearance of bacteria and necrotic tissue from affected joints. However, in contrast to AIA, differences in fibrin deposition between Plg-/- and WT mice were not seen, suggesting that the protective effects of Plg are not a direct result of its fibrinolytic action in this model [74]. In a separate study, inhibition of Plg cell surface binding using tranexamic acid was found to exacerbate arthritic symptoms after systemic administration of Staphyloccocus aureus [82].

3.2. CIA and Systemic Models of Polyarthritis

A distinctly different pattern is seen for the components of PAS in non-septic systemic models of RA, including CIA, CAIA and KBxN serum transfer-induced arthritis. Aside from their similarities in modeling the systemic polyarthritis observed in human patients, these models all require immune complex formation and consequent C5 activation for disease initiation [85, 86]. In this sense, they differ from AIA, where a humoral immune response is not required for the manifestation of pathology [87], despite the observation of immune complex formation in this model [88].

In CIA, Plg itself is essential for the initiation of symptoms, with Plg -/- DBA1 mice showing no signs of arthritic

Table 2. Summary of PAS involvement in mouse models of RA.

Arthritis Model	Model/ Background	Modification/ Intervention/ PAS Components	Parameters Measured	Outcomes	Refs.
CIA/ CAIA/KBxN serum transfer (systemic pol- yarthritis)	CIA/ uPA-/- or Plg- /- mice on C57BL/6 × DBA/1J (back- crossed 1 and 2×, respectively)	uPA-/- Plg-/-	ELISA for anti-CII antibodies, histology, clinical score.	↓ Incidence and severity: uPA-/- > uPA+/- > WT. Supplementation of Plg -/- with exogenous Plg in- creased susceptibility to CAIA	[75]
	CIA, CAIA and KBxN/uPA-/- mice on C57BL/6	uPA-/- Bone marrow chimeras	Histology, clinical score, inflammatory cytokines.	uPA from a bone marrow-derived cell lineage re- quired for CIA (WT→uPA-/-). uPA-/- mice resis- tant to CAIA and KBxN serum transfer-induced arthritis.	[76]
	CIA/ uPA-/- or tPA-/- mice on C57BL/6	uPA-/- tPA-/-	Histology, clinical score, anti-CII ELISA, T-cell proliferation, joint inflammatory cytokines.	↓ Severity in uPA -/-, ↑ Severity in tPA -/-, ↑ histology scores in WT, histology scores higher in tPA-/- > WT. ↓ IFN- γ levels in CII-specific T-cell culture supernatants from uPA-/-	[72]
	CIA/ uPA-/-, uPAR-/- mice on DBA/1J (backcrossed 8 ×)	uPA-/- uPAR-/- Bone marrow chimeras	Histology, clinical score, inflammatory cytokines.	Near complete amelioration of joint disease in uPA- /- and uPAR-/- (uPA-/-> uPAR-/-) vs WT mice. Bone marrow chimeras demonstrated uPAR expres- sion by a bone marrow-derived cell lineage was sufficient for CIA (WT \rightarrow uPAR-/-), whereas uPAR from all other sources did not cause arthritis (uPAR- /- \rightarrow WT).	[77]
	CIA /DBA/1 mice	Anti-uPA or anti-uPAR mAb	Histology, clinical score, inflammatory cytokines.	Anti-uPA MAb reduced symptoms to same extent as Etanercept in CIA. Anti-uPAR mAb had no effect.	[78]
	CIA/ DBA/1 mice	uPA mATF- HSA fusion protein	Histology, radiology, clinical score.	\downarrow CIA incidence and clinical score in treated mice.	[79]
	CIA/ DBA/1J mice	Nil	VEGF PAR-1, TFPI, EGR1 and uPA mRNA.	\uparrow uPA and PAI-1 expression in CIA mice.	[80]
Delayed-type Hypersensitivity DTH (Acute single paw)	female C57BL/6 mice	Anti-uPA or Anti-uPAR mAb	Histology, clinical score, inflammatory cytokines.	Anti-uPA mAb significantly decreased histological synovitis, bone erosion and cartilage destruction but did not decrease clinical score. Anti-uPAR mAb had no effect.	[78]
AIA (Acute monoar- ticular)	uPA-/- or Plg-/- mice on C57BL/6J (back- crossed 6 ×)	uPA-/- Plg-/-	Histology, <i>in situ</i> zy- mography, ⁹⁹ Tc _m up- take	$ \begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	[70]
	C57Bl/6 mice	uPA	VEGF, PAR-1, TFPI, EGR1 and uPA mRNA	Systemic hypercoagulable state, more severe in AIA than in CIA. uPA expression ↑ in early stages with decrease to baseline over time.	[80]
	PAI-1-/- mice	PAI-1-/-	Histology, tissue fibrin D-dimer quantitation, <i>in situ</i> zymography, ⁹⁹ Tc _m uptake	$ \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \mbox{ Histological score, } \downarrow \mbox{ 99Tc}_m \mbox{ uptake, } \downarrow \mbox{ cartilage} \\ \mbox{ destruction, } \downarrow \mbox{ fibrin deposition in joints in PAI-1-/-} \\ \mbox{ mice. } \uparrow \mbox{ D-dimer and } \uparrow \mbox{ tPA activity in PAI-1-/-} \\ \mbox{ mice. } \end{array} $	[73]
	uPA-/- or tPA-/- mice on C57BL/6	tPA-/- uPA-/-	Histology, clinical score, inflammatory cytokines	↑ arthritis scores in tPA-/- and uPA-/- mice, most severe in tPA-/-, ↑ fibrin deposition in uPA-/- and tPA -/- mice.	[71]

Arthritis Model	Model/ Background	Modification/ Intervention/ PAS Components	Parameters Measured	Outcomes	Refs.
	Plg-/- mice on C57BL/6 × DBA/1J mice (backcrossed 2 ×)	Plg -/-	Histology, clinical score, inflammatory cytokines.	$\label{eq:constraint} \begin{array}{l} \uparrow \mbox{ clinical scores, bone erosion, cellular infiltration, } \\ \mbox{ proteoglycan loss and histological scores in uPA-/- } \\ \mbox{ mice. } \uparrow \mbox{ and sustained expression of IL-1}\beta, \mbox{ TNF}\alpha, \\ \mbox{ MMP3 and MMP13 (uPA-/- > C57BL/6) } \\ \mbox{ ADAMTS-4 gene expression in uPA-/- < C57BL/6 } \\ \uparrow \mbox{ DIPEN staining uPA-/- in AIA (uPA-/- > C57BL/6) } \\ \end{array}$	[66]
	uPA-/- mice on C57BL/6	uPA-/-	Histology, clinical score, inflammatory cytokines.	↓ clinical and histological scores in uPA-/- mice.	[81]
Septic Arthritis (Monoarticular and systemic)	<i>S. aureus</i> cell wall induced arthritis (intra-articular) Plg- /- mice	Plg -/-	Histology, clinical score, infiltrating im- mune cell cellularity, CFU counts.	↑ inflammation, tissue destruction, and bacterial growth in Plg-/- mice. Administration of exogenous human Plg enhanced bacterial clearance and ↓ necrotic tissue accumulation in joints.	[74]
	Streptococcal septic arthritis (sys- temic)/NMRI mice	Tranexamic acid	Histology, plasmin activity, survival.	Tranexamic acid \uparrow arthritis severity, \downarrow survival. Administration of exogenous tPA or plasmin did not rescue mice from staphylococcal sepsis. \uparrow D-dimer levels under staphylococcal sepsis.	[82]
Hybrid Models (systemic with local intra- articular injury)	LIA using CII/ Plg-/- mice on C57BL/6 × DBA/1J (backcrossed 2 ×)	Plg -/-	Histology, clinical score, inflammatory cytokines.	Moderate arthritic symptoms in WT, no arthritis in Plg-/ Intra-articular injection of saline or CII re- sulted in mild arthritic symptoms in the injected joint in Plg-/- and exacerbated disease in WT. CII more severe than saline in Plg -/- and WT. Severity corre- lated with increased Fib deposition in joint.	[66]
	KBxN serum trans- fer + intra-articular saline injec- tion/C57BL/6 and uPA-/- mice on C57BL/6	uPA-/-	Histology, clinical score, inflammatory cytokines.	 ↓ clinical and histological scores in uPA-/- mice. ↓ proteoglycan loss and fibrin deposition in uPA-/- mice receiving intra-articular saline. 	[81]
PDX Model	Patient-derived RA synovial fibroblasts and cartilage en- grafted into SCID mice	uPA inhibitor WX-340 uPAR As-ODN	Cartilage invasion <i>in vivo</i> , histology.	↓ cartilage invasion with uPAR As-ODN. uPA-specific small molecule inhibitor had no effect.	[83]
Tg197 TNF α transgenic mouse model (systemic polyarthritis)	Tg197 TNF α hu- man transgenic mice Plg-/-, Fib-/-, Plg-/-, Fib-/- mice (back- crossed at least 7×)	Plg-/- Fib-/- Plg-/-, Fib-/-	Histologic score, ar- thritic score.	 ↑ incidence and severity of arthritis in paw joints but ↓ severity in knee joints of Plg-/- Tg197 mice. ↓ MMP-9 activity in knees but not paws of Plg-/- Tg197 mice. Superposition of Fib-/- onto Plg-/- background simultaneously reversed the pro-arthritic phenotype in paws and resistant phenotype in knees of Plg-/-/Tg197 mice. 	[84]

ADAMTS4 = a disintegrin and metalloproteinase with thrombospondin motifs 4, AIA = Antigen-induced arthritis, As-ODN = antisense oligonucleotide, CAIA = collagen antibody induced arthritis, CIA = collagen induced arthritis, CII = collagen type II, DIPEN = metalloproteinase induced neoepitope Asp-Ile-Pro-Glu-Asn³⁴¹, DTH = delayed type hypersensitivity, EGR1 = early growth response 1, Fib = fibrinogen, INF- γ = interferon γ , LIA = localized injury induced arthritis, mATF-HSA = murine uPA amino-terminal fragment-human serum albumin fusion protein, MMP = matrix metalloproteinase, PAI = plasminogen activator inhibitor, PAR-1 = protease activated receptor-1, PDX = patient-derived xenograft, Plg = plasminogen, tPA = tissue-type plasminogen activator, TFPI = tissue factor pathway inhibitor, TNF α = tumour necrosis factor α , uPA = urokinase-type plasminogen activator, verificator, uPAR = urokinase-type plasminogen activator receptor, VEGF = vascular endothelial growth factor, IFN = interferon.

disease after collagen immunization [66, 75]. Similarly, Plg-/- DBA1 mice are insensitive to the administration of CII auto-antibodies (*i.e.* CAIA), with full disease severity able to be restored by daily administration of exogenous human Plg, suggesting a role for Plg in the effector phase of inflammation [75]. While not completely devoid of CIA symptoms, uPA-/- mice typically show only mild symptoms in the CIA model, along with significantly decreased disease incidence compared to WT [66, 72, 75]. Reduction of symptoms is gene dosage-dependent (*i.e.* uPA-/- < uPA+/- <WT) and is affected by the number of backcross generations onto a susceptible genetic background (†generations = milder symptoms). uPA deficient mice backcrossed onto C57BL6 mice 8 times showed minimal CIA symptoms (mean clinical score at endpoint = 1.5 ± 0.6 , WT = 4.1 ± 1.2) and complete resistance to arthritis mediated by serum transfer from KBxN mice or CAIA [76]. Bone marrow chimera experiments revealed that uPA produced by a bone marrow-derived cell lineage was required to elicit disease symptoms $(C56BL6 \rightarrow uPA - / -)$ in the CIA model, while the reciprocal engraftment (uPA-/- \rightarrow C56BL/6) showed that uPA from all other host sources is not sufficient to cause disease [76]. From this, it was proposed that monocytes/macrophages were the most likely source of pro-arthritic uPA, given that lymphocytes are not required for disease induction in either the CAIA or KBxN models [89].

The essential nature of uPAS function in CIA induction was further demonstrated in a recent report using uPA-/mice backcrossed onto the DBA1 background, which showed virtually no clinical arthritic symptoms and very mild histological scores following CII immunization [77]. Large decreases in incidence, symptom severity and microscopic disease in knees were also observed for uPAR-/crosses, although to a lesser extent than in uPA-/- mice. Consistent with a diminished inflammatory response, significant decreases in paw mRNA levels for key inflammatory cytokines were observed for both genotypes, along with minimal fibrin deposition in joints. Humoral and T-cell responses were found to be unaffected in both genotypes, with the exception of a modest decrease is IgG2a titres in uPA-/- mice following CII challenge. Similar to observations in uPA-/-C57BL mice [76], bone marrow chimera experiments revealed a crucial role for bone marrow derived uPAR expression in CIA pathology [77]. WT animals reconstituted with bone marrow transplants from uPAR-/- mice (uPAR-/- \rightarrow DBA1) showed large decreases in arthritis incidence and severity relative to WT chimeras (DBA1→DBA1). Reciprocal chimeras (DBA1→uPAR-/-) demonstrated that uPAR competency within bone marrow derived cells is needed to elicit disease, although the resulting arthritis symptoms showed lower incidence and were milder than in control mice. Monocytes/macrophages were again suggested as the myeloid cell lineage most likely to be responsible for the requisite expression of pro-pathogenic uPAR.

Aside from the known pro-inflammatory role of macrophages in rheumatoid arthritis [90], support for this hypothesis comes from observations that macrophage uPA and uPAR expression is induced by inflammatory cytokine exposure [19, 20, 91, 92]. Macrophages accumulate in diseased synovium [93] where they mediate joint specific inflammation via local expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines (like TNFa) [90, 94] and proteases linked to clinical RA progression (e.g. MMP-9) [95]. Indeed, selective macrophage depletion has been investigated as a strategy for the clinical treatment of RA [96, 97]. Support for the strategy comes from studies in the CIA mouse model showing that systemic targeting of macrophages [98] or synovial macrophage-like cells [99] ameliorates disease progression. Similarly, macrophage cellularity in arthritic joints is known to decrease in response to clinical pharmacotherapy [100].

In contrast, tPA-/- mice show considerably worse pathology in CIA, characterized by increased clinical scores, joint fibrin deposition and IL-1 β expression [72]. Thus, the divergent effects of tPA and uPA knockouts suggest that tPA is the primary mediator of fibrinolysis in both systemic (CIA) and acute (AIA) models of arthritis. In comparison, through its co-expression with uPAR by infiltrating myeloid cells, uPA appears to play a decisively pro-arthritic role in multiple systemic immune complex-mediated models of RA, primarily mediated through downstream Plg activation.

3.3. Hybrid Models

Insight into the differential roles of the PAS components in acute versus systemic models has been provided by hybridized approaches that incorporate intra-articular injury and/or antigen administration into systemic models of RA. Li and co-workers reported a novel modification to the common AIA protocol, where mBSA was replaced by CII for initial immunization and as the triggering antigen [66]. In this model (dubbed local injection-induced arthritis, LIA) WT mice developed mild arthritic symptoms, whereas direct intra-articular injection of either saline or CII resulted in more severe pathology [66]. In line with observations from conventional CIA models, Plg-/- mice were found to be resistant to arthritis in the absence of local injury [66, 75]. However, intra-articular injection of saline was found to elicit mild arthritic symptoms and fibrin deposition in affected joints. Injection of CII into the joint resulted in more severe symptoms, although still less than that seen for either intervention in WT C57BL. These results demonstrate that the trauma related intra-articular injection was alone sufficient to cause arthritic pathology and fibrin deposition, even in the absence of functional Plg.

Modification of the KBxN serum-transfer model to include a local monoarticular injury has provided further evidence for the pathogenic role of uPA in systemic models of RA that depend upon immune complex formation [101] and complement activation [86] (recently reviewed in [102]). While normally resistant to arthritis mediated by KBxN serum transfer, arthritic pathology was able to be triggered by the intra-articular injection of saline into the knees of uPA-/-C57BL6 mice [81]. As saline injection itself is not thought to be arthritogenic based on findings in AIA [103] or mBSA/IL1 [104] monoarticular models, injection associated injury and resulting fibrin deposition appears to act as a catalyst for symptom onset in the absence of uPA. In addition, the intra-articular injection of active Low Molecular Weight (LMW) uPA into the knees of healthy mice is able to elicit classic arthritis-like symptoms, including increased IL-1β, IL-6 and TNFa production, synovitis and pronounced pannus formation [31].

3.4. Tg197 TNFa-driven Model

Recently, Plg was found to act as a regiospecific modulator of arthritis progression in the TNF α -driven Tg197 humanized mouse model, a well-studied spontaneous model of RA [105]. Both disease incidence and severity were significantly exacerbated in the paws of Plg-/- Tg197 mice relative to Tg197 controls, which are prone to spontaneous arthritis [84]. The exact opposite effect was observed in the knee joints of the same animals, however, where Plg-deficiency resulted in significantly decreased histology scores for all microscopic pathologies. Joint-specific differences did not correlate to differences in the expression of PAS components, with tPA and uPA mRNA levels similar across both paws and knees (tPA levels were slightly lower in knees relative to paws). The intriguing differential effects of Plg were instead correlated to increased fibrin deposition in both the paws and knees of Plg-/- Tg197 mice. The causative role of fibrin was elegantly demonstrated in experiments where the fibrinogen deficiency was superposed onto the Plg-/- Tg197 background. These showed that the deleterious effects of Plg-/- in paws and the protective effects of Plg-/- were able to be reversed through the removal of Fib, with histological indices at both sites being comparable to Plg+/+/Fib+/+ Tg197 controls. It was reasoned that fibrin may play a protective role in knee pathology by supporting vascular integrity, minimizing the likelihood of local haemorrhage, and by providing a local matrix for reparative processes. These findings were in line with clinical observations of increased proximal joint arthropathies (e.g. knees, hips) in haemophilic patients [106-109]. Similarly, activation of MMP-9, a prominent pro-arthritic collagenase and plasmin substrate, was significantly inhibited in the knee joints of Plg-/- mice, whereas MMP-9 was not detected in the paws of the same animals. Together, these results suggest that the opposing consequences of Plg-/- in proximal versus distal joints of Tg197 mice may arise through multiple mechanisms.

4. TARGETING THE uPAS FOR RA THERAPY

The apparent pro-pathogenic role of the uPAS in KO mouse models of CIA has led to the suggestion that selective targeting of this system may be a viable approach for the development of new drugs for RA [12, 52, 72]. In particular, selective inhibition of uPA proteolytic activity has been put forward as a potentially efficacious strategy [12, 75, 76]. Early work in this area demonstrated that covalent inhibition of the uPA active site by the chloromethylketone PPACK significantly decreased arthritis symptoms caused by the intra-articular injection of LMW-uPA [31]. Again, the key role of circulating myeloid-derived immune cells in RA was demonstrated in this work as the depletion of monocytes with etoposide prior to and following injection of LMW-uPA resulted in an almost complete protection from arthritic symptoms. (Fig. 2).

Outside of targeting uPA proteolytic activity, the uPA/uPAR interaction has also been investigated in vivo. Virally induced expression of a fusion protein consisting of the uPAR-binding amino-terminal fragment of uPA and Human Serum Albumin (HSA) modestly inhibited CIA in DBA1 mice [79]. In addition, synovial fibroblasts derived from RA knee arthroscopy patients showed markedly decreased proliferation and invasiveness in vitro in response to uPAR-targeting antisense ODN or treatment with the activesite targeting small molecule uPA inhibitor WX-340 [83]. uPAR ODN inhibited RA SF invasion into human cartilage explants in the SCID RA xenograft model, whereas WX-340 did not decrease invasion.

A very recent report provided compelling evidence that uPA proteolytic activity is necessary for disease progression in the DBA1 CIA mouse model [78]. Using a murine mAb (mU1) capable of blocking enzymatic activation of pro-uPA and the catalytic activity of uPA, but not uPA binding to uPAR, the authors observed significantly reduced progression in DBA1 mice with existing arthritic symptoms [110]. Over a 14-day treatment, uPA inhibition reduced clinical and histopathologic scores to the same extent as the TNF α targeting drug Etanercept. In addition, mU1 was trialled in a delayed hypersensitivity (DTH) DBA1 model [111], a tran-



Fig. (2). Clinical and experimental insights into the PAS in RA. Expression of uPAS components (uPA, uPAR, PAI-1 & 2) is upregulated in rheumatic joints, while tPA is decreased. KO mouse studies show conflicting evidence for the roles of PA and the uPAS from protective (AIA) to promoting (CIA, CAIA, KBxN serum transfer) RA symptoms. Arthritis severity in patients and mouse models relates to dysregulated fibrinolysis and increased fibrin deposition. Bone marrow chimera experiments with KO mice demonstrated that expression of uPA and uPAR by myeloid-derived cells is essential for susceptibility in CIA, reflecting the known pathogenic roles of macrophages and neutrophils in RA. Targeting of uPA proteolytic activity has shown efficacy equivalent to that of the approved anti-TNFa drug Etanercept. Together, this evidence supports uPAS components as novel drug targets for RA. (The color version of the figure is available in the electronic copy of the article).

Clinical RA

sient local model developed from traditional AIA models. Here uPA, blockade significantly reduced histopathologic measures of synovitis, bone erosion and cartilage degradation, although the reductions did not result in significant decreases in clinical score. Etanercept in comparison was able to reduce macroscopic disease progression, significantly decreasing paw swelling relative to isotype controls. Interestingly, experiments in both the CIA and DTH models using an anti-uPAR mAb selective for the uPA binding site (mR1) showed no significant effects on disease progression [112]. This contrasts somewhat from previous work where the same mAb was efficacious in an anthrax toxin activation assay [112] and hepatic fibrinolysis assay in tPA-/- mice [113], experiments that both depend upon uPA proteolytic activity. Altogether, these studies support pharmacological targeting of uPA proteolytic activity as a promising approach to RA therapy.

CONCLUSION

Taken together, the clinical evidence coupled with insights from systemic (*i.e.* collagen-induced) arthritis models suggest a distinctly pro-arthritic role for the uPAS in RA (Fig. 1). Selective upregulation of uPAS components in affected joints appears to be a general characteristic of RA, and one that correlates with increased severity and disease progression. Furthermore, the observation that uPAS upregulation coincides with increased synovial fibrin deposition suggests that this system is ineffective in appropriately regulating local fibrinolysis, a task made all the more difficult by the concomitant downregulation of tPA. Thus, the clinical trends appear to resemble those from the systemic immunecomplex mediated mouse models of RA, which appear most relevant to the polyarthritic symptoms observed in the clinic. In these models, expression of uPAS components is essential for the development of arthritis, whereas tPA appears to provide protection through regulation of local fibrinolysis. Further, the key role of myeloid-derived cells in RA pathogenesis is evident in these animal models and is supported by clinical studies using synovial sections in which uPA+ and uPAR+ subsets of macrophages and neutrophils were identified by colocalization with CD68 or MPO staining, respectively [78].

Despite the availability and development of several drugs over the last 3 decades for the treatment of RA, including anti-TNFa biologics, IL-6 inhibitors, methotrexate and leflunomide, which can reduce RA progression and joint destruction, the unfavorable therapeutic profiles and low rates of disease remission have remained a major challenge for clinicians [114-118]. Methotrexate alone or in combination with other DMARDs continues as the mainstay treatment for a large population of RA patients, but liver and renal toxicities are a major concern [118]. Similarly, while TNF α targeting therapies have helped revolutionize the therapeutic landscape for RA, durable responses are not observed in a large proportion of patients [119]. In addition, increased risks of serious infection and malignancies limit the appeal of anti-TNF α drugs [120]. Thus, there remains a considerable unmet need for the development of new drugs with better efficacy and safety profiles. As the proteolytic activity of uPA appears to be important in the development of CIA, and inhibition of uPA activity can ameliorate arthritis symptoms in animal models, highly selective and non-toxic uPA inhibitors that lack the immunosuppressive side effects and the dosing issues characteristic of biological DMARDs represent attractive candidates. We recently reported highly selective and drug-like small molecule uPA inhibitors that inhibit uPA-driven metastasis *in vivo* [121]. Studies of the efficacy of these inhibitors in a rat model of CIA are currently underway in our laboratory and will be reported in the near future. +

AUTHORS INSIGHT ON THE TOPIC

Findings from clinical studies have implicated the uPAS in the pathogenesis of RA. Experimental evidence over the past 20 years has revealed similar pathologic roles for uPAS in common collagen-induced and other systemic mouse models of arthritis. In particular, recent evidence for the pharmacologic inhibition of uPA proteolytic activity has demonstrated the efficacy of this approach in pre-clinical models of RA. As such targeting of the uPAS represents an attractive and clinically relevant approach for the development of new RA drug candidates.

CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION

Not applicable.

FUNDING

None.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest, financial or otherwise.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

U.A. acknowledges the receipt of a Higher Education Commission of Pakistan Overseas Scholarship.

REFERENCES

- Chaudhari K, Rizvi S, Syed BA. Rheumatoid arthritis: Current and future trends. Nat Rev Drug Discov 2016; 15(5): 305-6.
- [2] Smolen JS, Aletaha D, Barton A, et al. Rheumatoid arthritis. Nat Rev Dis Primers 2018; 4: p. 18001.
- [3] Smolen JS, Aletaha D, McInnes IB, Rheumatoid arthritis. Lancet 2016; 388(10055): 2023-2038.
- [4] Ranson M, Andronicos NM, Plasminogen binding and cancer: promises and pitfalls. Front Biosci 2003; 8: 294-304.
- [5] Carriero MV, Faranco P, Votta G, et al. Regulation of cell migration and invasion by specific modules of uPA: mechanistic insights and specific inhibitors. Curr Drug Targets 2011; 12(12): 1761-71.
- [6] Dano K, Behrendt N, Hoyer-Hansen G, et al. Plasminogen activation and cancer. Thromb Haemost, 2005; 93(4): 676-81.
- [7] Andreasen PA, Kjoller L, Christensen L, Dufy MJ. The urokinasetype plasminogen activator system in cancer metastasis: A review. Int J Cancer, 1997; 72(1): 1-22.
- [8] Croucher DR, Saunders DN, Lobov S, Ranson M. Revisiting the biological roles of PAI2 (SERPINB2) in cancer. Nat Rev Cancer, 2008; 8(7): 535-45.
- [9] Syrovets T, Simmet T. Novel aspects and new roles for the serine protease plasmin. Cell Mol Life Sci 2004; 61(7-8): 873-5.
- [10] Ploug M, Ellis V. Structure-function relationships in the receptor for urokinase-type plasminogen activator. Comparison to other members of the Ly-6 family and snake venom alpha-neurotoxins. FEBS Lett 1994; 349(2): 163-8.
- [11] Blasi F, Carmeliet P, uPAR: a versatile signalling orchestrator. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol, 2002; 3(12): 932-43.

- [12] Hamilton JA. Plasminogen activator/plasmin system in arthritis and inflammation: friend or foe? Arthritis Rheum, 2008; 58(3): 645-8.
- [13] Plesner T, Behrendt N, Ploug M. Structure, function and expression on blood and bone marrow cells of the urokinase-type plasminogen activator receptor. uPAR. Stem Cells 1997; 15(6): 398-408.
- [14] Smith HW, Marshall CJ. Regulation of cell signalling by uPAR. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol, 2010; 11(1): 23-36.
- [15] Huai Q, Zhou A, Lin L, *et al.* Crystal structures of two human vitronectin, urokinase and urokinase receptor complexes. Nat Struct Mol Biol 2008; 15(4): 422-3.
- [16] Kriegbaum MC, Persson M, Haldager L, et al. Rational targeting of the urokinase receptor (uPAR): development of antagonists and non-invasive imaging probes. Curr Drug Targets, 2011; 12(12): 1711-28.
- [17] Brommer, EJ, Dooijewaard G, Dijkmans A.B, Breedveld F.C. Depression of tissue-type plasminogen activator and enhancement of urokinase-type plasminogen activator as an expression of local inflammation. Thromb Haemost 1992; 68(2): 180-4.
- [18] George F, Schenider NP, Arnoux D, et al. Modulation of tPA, PAI-1 and PAI-2 antigen and mRNA levels by EGF in the A431 cell line. Blood Coagul Fibrinolysis, 1990; 1(6): 689-93.
- [19] Gyetko, MR, Shollenberger SB, Sitrin RG. Urokinase expression in mononuclear phagocytes: cytokine-specific modulation by interferon-gamma and tumor necrosis factor-alpha. J Leukoc Biol 1992; 51(3): 256-63.
- [20] Gyetko MR, Wilkinson CC, Sitrin RG. Monocyte urokinase expression: modulation by interleukins. J Leukoc Biol 1993; 53(5): 598-601.
- [21] Hildenbrand R, Jensen C, Wolf G, et al. Transforming growth factor-beta stimulates urokinase expression in tumor-associated macrophages of the breast. Lab Invest 1998; 78(1): 59-71.
- [22] Medcalf RL, Van den Berg E, Schleuning WD. Glucocorticoidmodulated gene expression of tissue- and urinary-type plasminogen activator and plasminogen activator inhibitor 1 and 2. J Cell Biol 1988; 106(3): 971-8.
- [23] Weigelt B, Wessels LF, Bosma AJ, et al. No common denominator for breast cancer lymph node metastasis. Br J Cancer 2005; 93(8): 924-32.
- [24] Harris NLE, Vennin C, Conway JRW, et al. SerpinB2 regulates stromal remodelling and local invasion in pancreatic cancer. Oncogene 2017; 36(30): 4288-4298.
- [25] Matthews H, Ranson M, Tyndall JD, Keiso MJ. Synthesis and preliminary evaluation of amiloride analogs as inhibitors of the urokinase-type plasminogen activator (uPA). Bioorg Med Chem Lett 2011; 21(22): 6760-6.
- [26] Cochran BJ, Croucher DR, Lobov S, Saunders DN, Ranson M. Dependence on endocytic receptor binding via a minimal binding motif underlies the differential prognostic profiles of serpinE1 and serpinB2 in cancer. J Biol Chem 2011; 286(27): 24467-75.
- [27] Croucher DR, Saunders DN, Stillfried GE, Ranson M. A structural basis for differential cell signalling by PAI-1 and PAI-2 in breast cancer cells. Biochem J 2007; 408(Pt 2): 203-10.
- [28] Kwaan HC, Mazar AP, McMahon BJ. The apparent uPA/PAI-1 paradox in cancer: more than meets the eye. Semin Thromb Hemost 2013; 39(4): 382-91.
- [29] Belcher C, Fawthrop F, Bunning R, Doherty M. Plasminogen activators and their inhibitors in synovial fluids from normal, osteoarthritis, and rheumatoid arthritis knees. Ann Rheum Dis 1996; 55(4): 230-6.
- [30] Kim KS, Lee YA, Choi HM, Yoo MC, Yang Hi. Implication of MMP-9 and urokinase plasminogen activator (uPA) in the activation of pro-matrix metalloproteinase (MMP)-13. Rheumatol Int 2012; 32(10): 3069-75.
- [31] Jin T, Tarkowski A, Carmeliet P, Bokarewa M. Urokinase, a constitutive component of the inflamed synovial fluid, induces arthritis. Arthritis Res Ther 2003; 5(1): R9-R17.
- [32] Kikuchi H, Shimada W, Nonaka T, Ueshima S, Tanaka S. Significance of serine proteinase and matrix metalloproteinase systems in the destruction of human articular cartilage. Clin Exp Pharmacol Physiol 1996; 23(10-11): 885-9.
- [33] Saxne T, Lecander I, Geborek P. Plasminogen activators and plasminogen activator inhibitors in synovial fluid. Difference between inflammatory joint disorders and osteoarthritis. J Rheumatol 1993; 20(1): 91-6.
- [34] Braat EA, Jie AF, Ronday HK, Beekman B, Rijken DC. Urokinase-mediated fibrinolysis in the synovial fluid of rheumatoid

arthritis patients may be affected by the inactivation of single chain urokinase type plasminogen activator by thrombin. Ann Rheum Dis 2000; 59(4): 315-8.

- [35] Busso N, Péclat V, So A, Sappino AP. Plasminogen activation in synovial tissues: differences between normal, osteoarthritis, and rheumatoid arthritis joints. Ann Rheum Dis, 1997; 56(9): 550-7.
- [36] Pianon M, Punzi L, Stefani MP. Interleukin-1 beta, plasminogen activator and inhibitor of plasminogen activator in synovial fluid of rheumatoid arthritis, psoriatic arthritis and osteoarthritis. Agents Actions 1994; 41(1-2): 88-9.
- [37] Cerinic MM, Generini S, Partsch G, et al. Synoviocytes from osteoarthritis and rheumatoid arthritis produce plasminogen activators and plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 and display u-PA receptors on their surface. Life Sciences 1998; 63(6): 441-453.
- [38] Montuori N, Visconte V, Rossi G, Ragno P. Soluble and cleaved forms of the urokinase-receptor: degradation products or active molecules? Thromb Haemost 2005. 93(2): 192-8.
- [39] Ronday HK, Smits HH, Van Muijen GN, et al. Difference in expression of the plasminogen activation system in synovial tissue of patients with rheumatoid arthritis and osteoarthritis. Br J Rheumatol 1996; 35(5): 416-23.
- [40] Wallberg-Jonsson S, Rantapää-Dahlqvist S, Nordmark L, Rånby M. Mobilization of fibrinolytic enzymes in synovial fluid and plasma of rheumatoid arthritis and spondyloarthropathy and their relation to radiological destruction. J Rheumatol 1996; 23(10): 1704-9.
- [41] Szekanecz Z, Haines GK, Koch, A.E. Differential expression of the urokinase receptor (CD87) in arthritic and normal synovial tissues. J Clin Pathol 1997; 50(4): 314-9.
- [42] Slot O, Brünner N, Locht H, Oxholm P, Stephens RW. Soluble urokinase plasminogen activator receptor in plasma of patients with inflammatory rheumatic disorders: increased concentrations in rheumatoid arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis 1999; 58(8): 488-92.
- [43] Slot, O., Brunner N. Stephens RW. Marker of erosive progression in RA. Ann Rheum Dis 2000; 59(8): 656.
- [44] Huang CM, Chen CL, Tsai JJ, Tsai CH, Tsai FJ. Association between urokinase gene 3'-UTR T/C polymorphism and Chinese patients with rheumatoid arthritis in Taiwan. Clin Exp Rheumatol 2004; 22(2): 219-22.
- [45] Chu SC, Yang SF, Lue KH. Urokinase-type plasminogen activator, receptor, and inhibitor correlating with gelatinase-B (MMP-9) contribute to inflammation in gouty arthritis of the knee. J Rheumatol 2006; 33(2): 311-7.
- [46] Baran M, Möllers LN, Andersson S et al. Survivin is an essential mediator of arthritis interacting with urokinase signalling. J Cell Mol Med 2009; 13(9b): 3797-808.
- [47] Koga T1, Okada A, Kawashiri S, et al. Soluble urokinase plasminogen activator receptor as a useful biomarker to predict the response to adalimumab in patients with rheumatoid arthritis in a Japanese population. Clin Exp Rheumatol 2011; 29(5): 811-5.
- [48] Dimitroulas T, Douglas KM, Panoulas VF, et al, Derangement of hemostasis in rheumatoid arthritis: association with demographic, inflammatory and metabolic factors. Clinical Rheumatology 2013; 32(9): 1357-64.
- [49] Urano T, Castellino FJ, Suzuki Y. Regulation of plasminogen activation on cell surfaces and fibrin. J Thromb Haemost 2018.
- [50] So AK, Varisco PA, Kemkes-Matthes B, et al. Arthritis is linked to local and systemic activation of coagulation and fibrinolysis pathways. J Thromb Haemost 2003; 1(12): 2510-5.
- [51] Gálvez J, Sola J, Ortuño G, et al. Microscopic rice bodies in rheumatoid synovial fluid sediments. J Rheumatol 1992; 19(12): 1851-8.
- [52] Busso N, Hamilton JA. Extravascular coagulation and the plasminogen activator/plasmin system in rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum 2002; 46(9): 2268-79.
- [53] Kannan K, Ortmann RA, Kimpel D. Animal models of rheumatoid arthritis and their relevance to human disease. Pathophysiology 2005; 12(3): 167-81.
- [54] Asquith DL, Miller AM, McInnes IB, Liew FY. Animal models of rheumatoid arthritis. Eur J Immunol 2009; 39(8): 2040-4.
- [55] Bevaart L, Vervoordeldonk MJ, Tak PP. Evaluation of therapeutic targets in animal models of arthritis: how does it relate to rheumatoid arthritis? Arthritis Rheum 2010; 62(8): 2192-205.
- [56] Luross JA, Williams NA. The genetic and immunopathological processes underlying collagen-induced arthritis. Immunology 2001; 103(4): 407-16.

- [57] Wooley PH, Luthra HS, Griffiths MM. Type II collagen-induced arthritis in mice. IV. Variations in immunogenetic regulation provide evidence for multiple arthritogenic epitopes on the collagen molecule. J Immunol 1985; 135(4): 2443-51.
- [58] Brunsberg U, Gustafsson K, Jansson L, et al. Expression of a transgenic class II Ab gene confers susceptibility to collageninduced arthritis. Eur J Immunol 1994; 24(7): 1698-702.
- [59] Holmdahl R1, Andersson ME, Goldschmidt TJ, et al. Collagen induced arthritis: an experimental model for rheumatoid arthritis with involvement of both DTH and immune complex mediated mechanisms. Clin Exp Rheumatol 1989; 7 Suppl 3: S51-5.
- [60] Song H1, Qiao F, Atkinson C, Holers VM, Tomlinson S. A complement C3 inhibitor specifically targeted to sites of complement activation effectively ameliorates collagen-induced arthritis in DBA/1J mice. J Immunol 2007; 179(11): 7860-7.
- [61] Cho YG, Cho ML, Min SY, Kim HY. Type II collagen autoimmunity in a mouse model of human rheumatoid arthritis. Autoimmun Rev 2007; 7(1): 65-70.
- [62] Brackertz D, Mitchell GF, Mackay IR. Antigen-induced arthritis in mice. I. Induction of arthritis in various strains of mice. Arthritis Rheum 1977; 20(3): 841-50.
- [63] van den Berg WB, van de Putte LB, Zwarts WA, Joosten LA. Electrical charge of the antigen determines intraarticular antigen handling and chronicity of arthritis in mice. J Clin Invest 1984; 74(5): 1850-1859.
- [64] Frey O, Petrow KP, Gajda M, et al. The role of regulatory T cells in antigen-induced arthritis: aggravation of arthritis after depletion and amelioration after transfer of CD4(+)CD25(+)T cells. Arthritis Res Ther 2005; 7(2): R291-R301.
- [65] McNamee K, Williams R, Seed M. Animal models of rheumatoid arthritis: How informative are they? Euro J Pharmacol 2015; 759: 278-286.
- [66] Li J, Guo Y, Holmadhai R, NY T. Contrasting roles of plasminogen deficiency in different rheumatoid arthritis models. Arthritis Rheum 2005, 52(8): 2541-8.
- [67] Hegen M, Keith JC Jr, Collins M, Nickerson-Nutter CL. Utility of animal models for identification of potential therapeutics for rheumatoid arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis 2008; 67(11): 1505-15.
- [68] Bendele A. Animal models of rheumatoid arthritis. J Musculoskelet Neuronal Interact 2001; 1(4): 377-85.
- [69] Wengner AM, Höpken UE, Petrow PK, et al. CXCR5- and CCR7dependent lymphoid neogenesis in a murine model of chronic antigen-induced arthritis. Arthritis Rheum 2007; 56(10): 3271-83.
- [70] Busso N, Péclat V, Van Ness K, et al, Exacerbation of antigeninduced arthritis in urokinase-deficient mice. J Clin Invest 1998. 102(1): 41-50.
- [71] Yang YH, Carmeliet P, Hamilton JA. Tissue-type plasminogen activator deficiency exacerbates arthritis J Immunol, 2001. 167(2): 1047-52.
- [72] Cook AD, Braine EL, Campbell IK, Hamilton JA. Differing roles for urokinase and tissue-type plasminogen activator in collageninduced arthritis. Am J Pathol 2002; 160(3): 917-26.
- [73] Van Ness K, Chobaz-Peclat V, Castellicci M, So A, Busso N. Plasminogen activator inhibitor type-1 deficiency attenuates murine antigen-induced arthritis. Rheumatology 2002; 41(2): 136-41.
- [74] Guo Y, Li J, Hagstrom E, Ny T. Protective effects of plasminogen in a mouse model of Staphylococcus aureus-induced arthritis. Arthritis Rheum 2008; 58(3): 764-72.
- [75] Li J, Ny A, Leonardsson G, *et al.* The plasminogen activator/plasmin system is essential for development of the joint inflammatory phase of collagen type II-induced arthritis. Am J Pathol 2005; 166(3): 783-92.
- [76] Cook AD, De Nardo CM, Braine EL, et al. Urokinase-type plasminogen activator and arthritis progression: role in systemic disease with immune complex involvement. Arthritis Res Ther, 2010; 12(2): R37.
- [77] Thornton S, Raghu H, Cruz C, et al. Urokinase plasminogen activator and receptor promote collagen-induced arthritis through expression in hematopoietic cells. Blood Adv 2017; 1(9): 545-56.
- [78] Almholt K, Hebsgaard JB, Nansen A, et al. Antibody-mediated neutralization of uPA proteolytic function reduces disease progression in mouse arthritis models. J Immunol 2018, 200(3): 957-65.
- [79] Apparailly F, Bouquet C, Millet V, et al. Adenovirus-mediated gene transfer of urokinase plasminogen inhibitor inhibits angiogenesis in experimental arthritis. Gene Ther 2002; 9(3): 192-200.
- [80] Salvi R, Paclat V, So A, Busso N, et al. Enhanced expression of

genes involved in coagulation and fibrinolysis in murine arthritis. Arthritis Res 2000, 2(6): 504-12.

- [81] De Nardo CM, Lenzo JC, Pobjoy J, Hamilton JA, Cook AD. Urokinase-type plasminogen activator and arthritis progression: contrasting roles in systemic and monoarticular arthritis models. Arthritis Res Ther 2010; 12(5): R199.
- [82] Klak M, Anakkala N, Wang W, et al. Tranexamic acid, an inhibitor of plasminogen activation, aggravates staphylococcal septic arthritis and sepsis. Scand J Infect Dis 2010; 42(5): 351-8.
- [83] Serrati S, Margheri F, Chilla A, et al. Reduction of in vitro invasion and in vivo cartilage degradation in a SCID mouse model by loss of function of the fibrinolytic system of rheumatoid arthritis synovial fibroblasts. Arthritis Rheum 2011; 63(9): 2584-94.
- [84] Raghu H, Jone A, Cruz C, et al. Plasminogen is a joint-specific positive or negative determinant of arthritis pathogenesis in mice. Arthritis Rheumatol 2014 66(6): 1504-16.
- [85] Wang Y, Kristan J, Hao L, et al. A role for complement in antibody-mediated inflammation: C5-deficient DBA/1 mice are resistant to collagen-induced arthritis. J Immunol, 2000 164(8): 4340-7.
- [86] Ji H, Ohmura K, Mahmood U, et al. Arthritis critically dependent on innate immune system players. Immunity 2002; 16(2): 157-68.
- [87] Wong PK, Quinn JM, Sims NA, et al. Interleukin-6 modulates production of T lymphocyte-derived cytokines in antigen-induced arthritis and drives inflammation-induced osteoclastogenesis. Arthritis Rheum 2006; 54(1): 158-68.
- [88] Fischetti F, Durigutto P, Macor P, et al. Selective therapeutic control of C5a and the terminal complement complex by anti-C5 single-chain Fv in an experimental model of antigen-induced arthritis in rats. Arthritis Rheum 2007; 56(4): 1187-97.
- [89] Nandakumar KS Holmdahl R. Antibody-induced arthritis: disease mechanisms and genes involved at the effector phase of arthritis. Arthritis Res Ther 2006; 8(6): 223.
- [90] Udalova IA, Mantovani A, Feldmann M. Macrophage heterogeneity in the context of rheumatoid arthritis. Nat Rev Rheumatol 2016; 12(8): 472-85.
- [91] Hamilton JA, Slywka J. Stimulation of human synovial fibroblast plasminogen activator production by mononuclear cell supernatants. J Immunol 1981; 126(3): 851-5.
- [92] Yoshida E, Tsuchiya K, Sugiki M, et al. Modulation of the receptor for urokinase-type plasminogen activator in macrophage-like U937 cells by inflammatory mediators. Inflammation 1996; 20(3): 319-26.
- [93] Mulherin D, Fitzgerald O, Bresnihan B. Synovial tissue macrophage populations and articular damage in rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum 1996, 39(1): 115-24.
- [94] McInnes IB, Schett G. Cytokines in the pathogenesis of rheumatoid arthritis. Nat Rev Immunol 2007, 7(6): 429-42.
- [95] Kinne RW, Brauer R, Stuhlmuller B, Palombo-Kinne E, Burmester GR. Macrophages in rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Res 2000; 2(3): 189-202.
- [96] Kinne RW, Stuhlmuller B, Burmester BR. Cells of the synovium in rheumatoid arthritis. Macrophages. Arthritis Res Ther, 2007; 9(6): 224.
- [97] Barrera P, Blom A, van Lent PL, et al. Synovial macrophage depletion with clodronate-containing liposomes in rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum 2000, 43(9): 1951-9.
- [98] Li J, Hsu HC, Yang P, et al. Treatment of arthritis by macrophage depletion and immunomodulation: testing an apoptosis-mediated therapy in a humanized death receptor mouse model. Arthritis Rheum 2012; 64(4): 1098-109.
- [99] Van Lent PL, Holthuysen A, Rooijen NV, De Putte LB, Berg Den WBV. Local removal of phagocytic synovial lining cells by clodronate-liposomes decreases cartilage destruction during collagen type II arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis, 1998 57(7): 408-13.
- [100] Haringman JJ, Gerlag DM, Zwinderman AH, et al. Synovial tissue macrophages: a sensitive biomarker for response to treatment in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis 2005; 64(6): 834-8.
- [101] Maccioni M, Zeder-Lutz G, Huang H, et al. Arthritogenic monoclonal antibodies from K/BxN mice. J Exp Med 2002; 195(8): 1071-7.
- [102] Christensen AD, Haase C, Cook AD, Hamilton JA. K/BxN serumtransfer arthritis as a model for human inflammatory arthritis. Front Immunol 2016; 7: 213.
- [103] Ohshima S, Saeki Y, Toru Mima, *et al.* Interleukin 6 plays a key role in the development of antigen-induced arthritis. Proc Natl

Acad Sci U S A 1998; 95(14): 8222-6.

- [104] Lawlor KE, Campbell IK, O'donnell K, Wu L, Wicks IP. Molecular and cellular mediators of interleukin-1-dependent acute inflammatory arthritis. Arthritis Rheum, 2001, 44(2): 442-50.
- [105] Keffer J, Probert L, Cazlaris H, et al. Transgenic mice expressing human tumour necrosis factor: a predictive genetic model of arthritis. EMBO J 1991; 10(13): 4025-31.
- [106] Bugge TH, Flick MJ, Daugherty CC, Degen JL. Plasminogen deficiency causes severe thrombosis but is compatible with development and reproduction. Genes Dev 1995; 9(7): 794-807.
- [107] Bugge TH, Kombrinck KW, Flick MJ, et al. Loss of fibrinogen rescues mice from the pleiotropic effects of plasminogen deficiency. Cell 1996; 87(4): 709-19.
- [108] Valentino LA, Blood-induced joint disease: the pathophysiology of hemophilic arthropathy. J Thromb Haemost; 2010; 8(9): 1895-902.
- [109] Zhu W, He X, Xia Z, Zhai J, Weng X. Hemophilic arthropathy in a patient with multi-joint replacement: A case report and literature review. Medicine, 2018; 97(29): e11163.
- [110] Lund IK, Jögi A, Rønø B, et al. Antibody-mediated targeting of the urokinase-type plasminogen activator proteolytic function neutralizes fibrinolysis in vivo. J Biol Chem, 2008; 283(47): 32506-15.
- [111] Atkinson SM, Usher PA, Kvist PH, et al. Establishment and characterization of a sustained delayed-type hypersensitivity model with arthritic manifestations in C57BL/6J mice. Arthritis Res Ther 2012; 14(3): R134.
- [112] Pass J, Jögi A, Lund IK, et al. Murine monoclonal antibodies against murine uPA receptor produced in gene-deficient mice: inhibitory effects on receptor-mediated uPA activity in vitro and in vivo. Thromb Haemost 2007; 97(6): 1013-22.
- [113] Jögi A1, Pass J, Høyer-Hansen G, et al, Systemic administration of

anti-urokinase plasminogen activator receptor monoclonal antibodies induces hepatic fibrin deposition in tissue-type plasminogen activator deficient mice. J Thromb Haemost 2007; 5(9): 1936-44.

- [114] Pisetsky DS. Advances in the Treatment of rheumatoid arthritis: costs and challenges. N C Med J 2017; 78(5): 337-40.
- [115] Koike T. Treatment of rheumatoid arthritis by molecular-targeted agents: efficacy and limitations. J Orthop Sci 2015; 20(6): 951-7.
- [116] Krishnan E, Fries JF. Reduction in long-term functional disability in rheumatoid arthritis from 1977 to 1998: a longitudinal study of 3035 patients. Am J Med 2003; 115(5): 371-6.
- [117] Borenstein DG, Hassett AL, Pisetsky D. Pain management in rheumatology research, training, and practice. Clin Exp Rheumatol 2017; 35 Suppl 107(5): 2-7.
- [118] Smolen JS, Landewé R, Bijlsma J, et al. EULAR recommendations for the management of rheumatoid arthritis with synthetic and biological disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs: 2013 update. Ann Rheum Dis 2014; 73(3): 492-509.
- [119] O'Dell JR, Mikuls TR, Taylor TH, et al. Therapies for active rheumatoid arthritis after methotrexate failure. N Engl J Med 2013. 369(4): 307-18.
- [120] Bongartz T, Sutton AJ, Sweeting MJ, et al. Anti-TNF antibody therapy in rheumatoid arthritis and the risk of serious infections and malignancies: systematic review and meta-analysis of rare harmful effects in randomized controlled trials. JAMA 2006; 295(19): 2275-85.
- [121] Buckley BJ, Aboelela A, Minaei E, et al. 6-Substituted hexamethylene amiloride (HMA) derivatives as potent and selective inhibitors of the human urokinase plasminogen activator for use in cancer. J Med Chem 2018; 61(18): 8299-320.