



Genetic and Phylogenetic Characteristics of *Pasteurella multocida* Isolates From Different Host Species

Zhong Peng^{1,2,3†}, Wan Liang^{1,2,3,4†}, Fei Wang^{1,2,3}, Zhuofei Xu^{5,6}, Zhihao Xie⁶, Zhenghan Lian⁶, Lin Hua^{1,2,3}, Rui Zhou^{1,2,3}, Huanchun Chen^{1,2,3} and Bin Wu^{1,2,3+†}

¹ Department of Preventive Veterinary Medicine, College of Veterinary Medicine, Huazhong Agricultural University, Wuhan, China, ² State Key Laboratory of Agricultural Microbiology, Huazhong Agricultural University, Wuhan, China, ³ The Cooperative Innovation Center for Sustainable Pig Production, Huazhong Agricultural University, Wuhan, China, ⁴ Hubei Key Laboratory of Animal Embryo and Molecular Breeding, Institute of Animal Husbandry and Veterinary Sciences, Hubei Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Wuhan, China, ⁶ Ecological Research Institute, School of Life Science, South China Normal University, Guangzhou, China, ⁶ Guangdong Magigene Biotechnology Co. Ltd., Guangzhou, China

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Vasco Ariston De Carvalho Azevedo, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Brazil

Reviewed by:

Alice Rebecca Wattam, Virginia Tech, United States Jason Sahl, Northern Arizona University, United States

*Correspondence:

Bin Wu wub@hzau.edu.cn orcid.org/0000-0001-9078-386X

[†]Zhong Peng orcid.org/0000-0001-5249-328X Wan Liang orcid.org/0000-0002-1612-3422

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Evolutionary and Genomic Microbiology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Microbiology

Received: 31 January 2018 Accepted: 08 June 2018 Published: 26 June 2018

Citation:

Peng Z, Liang W, Wang F, Xu Z, Xie Z, Lian Z, Hua L, Zhou R, Chen H and Wu B (2018) Genetic and Phylogenetic Characteristics of Pasteurella multocida Isolates From Different Host Species. Front. Microbiol. 9:1408. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2018.01408

Pasteurella multocida is a leading cause of respiratory diseases in many host species. To understand the genetic characteristics of P. multocida strains isolated from different host species, we sequenced the genomic DNA of *P. multocida* isolated from pigs and analyzed the genetic characteristics of strains from avian species, bovine species, pigs, and rabbits using whole genome sequence (WGS) data. Our results found that a capsular: lipopolysaccharide (LPS): multilocus sequence typing (MLST) genotype A: L1: ST129 (43.75%) was predominant in avian P. multocida; while genotypes B: L2: ST122 (60.00%) and A: L3: ST79 (30.00%) were predominate in bovine P. multocida; genotype D: L6: ST50 (37.50%) in porcine P. multocida; and genotype A: L3: ST9 (76.47%) in rabbit P. multocida. Comparative genomic analysis of P. multocida from different host species found that there are no genes in the P. multocida genome that are specific to any type of host. Phylogenetic analysis using either whole-genome single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) or the set of SNPs present in all single-copy core genes across genomes showed that P. multocida strains with the same LPS genotype and MLST genotype were clustered together, suggesting the combining both the LPS and MLST typing schemes better explained the topology seen in the *P. multocida* phylogeny.

Keywords: Pasteurella multocida, different host species, genetic characteristics, comparative genomics analysis, phylogeny

INTRODUCTION

Pasteurella multocida infects a wide spectrum of domestic and wild animals such as poultry and wild birds, pigs, cattle and buffaloes, rabbits, small ruminants, cats (including house cats and large wild cats), dogs and other mammals (Wilkie et al., 2012; Wilson and Ho, 2013). *P. multocida* isolates are associated with clinical manifestations ranging from asymptomatic or mild chronic upper respiratory inflammation to acute, pneumonic and/or disseminated disease (Wilson and Ho, 2013). While less common, *P. multocida* can also cause infections in humans through animal bites and/or scratches (Wilson and Ho, 2013).

There are many virulence factors contributing to the pathogenesis of P. multocida. Currently known virulence factors include genes involved in the formation of the capsule, lipopolysaccharide (LPS), fimbriae and adhesins, toxins, iron regulated and iron acquisition proteins, sialic acid metabolism, hyaluronidase, and outer membrane proteins (OMPs) (Harper et al., 2006). The two key surface components, capsule and LPS, form the main typing basis of P. multocida. Serologically, the bacterium is classified into five capsular serogroups (A, B, D, E, F) and/or 16 somatic serotypes according to its capsule and/or LPS antigens, respectively (Carter, 1955; Heddleston et al., 1972). These traditional serological typing methods are too complicated to be used, because the preparation of the high-sensitive antiserum required for these methods is very difficult (Peng et al., 2016). Therefore, molecular typing methods have been developed to help assign P. multocida into five capsular genotypes (A, B, D, E, F) (Townsend et al., 2001) and eight LPS genotypes (L1-L8) (Harper et al., 2015). In addition, the multilocus sequence typing method has been also designed for P. multocida (Subaaharan et al., 2010), and it has been widely used in epidemiology and surveillance (Hotchkiss et al., 2011; Moustafa et al., 2013; Fernández-Rojas et al., 2014; Peng et al., 2018).

It is documented that the infection of *P. multocida* isolates displays host predilection (Wilkie et al., 2012; Wilson and Ho, 2013). For example, a *P. multocida* B: 2 strain can kill cattle and buffaloes at a low dose, but it has no effect on chickens, even at very high doses (Aktories et al., 2012). Isolates from non-avian hosts generally do not cause symptoms of fowl cholera in birds (Ahir et al., 2011; Peng et al., 2017). However, little is known about the genetic characteristics of *P. multocida* strains circulating in different hosts. In this study, the genomic DNA of *P. multocida* strains isolated from pigs was sequenced, and the genetic characteristics of *P. multocida* isolates from avian hosts, bovine hosts, pigs and rabbits were determined using the previously published whole genome sequences (WGSs) data. The aim of this study is to understand the genetic characteristics of *P. multocida* strains circulating in different host species.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

P. multocida Strains and Whole Genome Sequencing

A total of 47 *P. multocida* strains were selected for whole genome sequencing in this study (**Table 1**). Most of these strains were isolated from pigs with respiratory disorders in China (Peng et al., 2018), with the exception of strain HN04, which is a capsular type B isolate from a swine haemorrhagic septicaemia case. The capsular types and LPS genotypes of these 47 *P. multocida* isolates were determined through PCR assays, as described previously (Townsend et al., 2001; Harper et al., 2015).

The genomic DNA (gDNA) of the 47 *P. multocida* strains were isolated using a QIAGEN Blood and Cell Culture DNA Midi Kit (Lot NO. 157037192, QIAGEN, Germany) with QIAGEN Genomic-tip 100/G (Lot NO. 157024372, QIAGEN, Germany). DNA quantity and quality were evaluated by electrophoresis on a

1% agarose gel and evaluation using a NanoDrop2000 (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, USA), respectively.

DNA libraries were constructed using NEBNext®UltraTM II DNA Library Prep Kit. Sequencing was carried out on an Illumina Hiseq Xten platform (Illumina Inc., San Diego, USA) at Guangdong Magigene Biotechnology Co. LTD (Guangzhou, China), using the pair-end 150-bp sequencing protocol. The strategy yielded 5,308,336~12,159,104 raw reads. The raw reads were then filtered to eliminate reads with low quality according to the following criteria: low quality base pairs at each terminal of the reads (Quality-Value < 20) were removed; reads with over short length (parameter setting at 50 bp), > 10% Ns (parameter setting at 10 bp) or > 15 bp overlap overlap with Illumina TruSeq adapter sequences (parameter setting at 15 bp) were removed. After that, 4,732,078~10,715,272 clean reads (Q20% = 100, $Q30\% \ge 95.64$) were produced. High quality reads were *de novo* assembled via SPAdes v3.9.0 (Bankevich et al., 2012) to generate contigs.

In addition to the 47 porcine P. multocida sequenced herein, we also retrieved genome sequences of P. multocida from different hosts from the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) genome database (https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/genome/genomes/912). By the time of this study design (Assessed at December 31, 2017), there are 132 P. multocida genome sequences publicly available. To ensure the reliability and quality of our analysis, only those high-quality genomes assemblies with contigs numbers \leq 50 plus coverage \geq 50× were selected. While not meeting the criterion of selection, the two avian P. multocida isolates P1059 (GenBank accession number: CM001581) and X73 (GenBank accession number: CM001580) were still included, because their phenotypical and genetic characteristics have been published (Johnson et al., 2013). The strategy resulted in 62 P. multocida genome sequences, which include 16 avian, 20 bovine, 9 porcine, and 17 rabbit isolates (Table 1). A total of 109 P. multocida genome sequences were finally used in this study, including 47 sequenced herein plus 62 retrieved from NCBI database (Table 1).

Draft genome sequences of the 47 porcine *P. multocida* were annotated using prokka v1.12 (Seemann, 2014) following the NCBI Prokaryotic Genome Annotation Pipeline (Angiuoli et al., 2008). The 47 annotated genomes were submitted to GenBank accession numbers are displayed in **Table 1**, which are highlighted in blue text.

Genotyping

The capsular genotypes and LPS genotypes of the 47 *P. multocida* strains sequenced were determined using PCR methods (Townsend et al., 2001; Harper et al., 2015) and are documented on our previous publication (Peng et al., 2018). Capsular genotypes and LPS genotypes of the 62 *P. multocida* genomes from NCBI genome database were determined by blasting the oligonucleotide sequences designed for capsular typing (Townsend et al., 2001) and LPS genotyping (Harper et al., 2015) against their WGSs, respectively. MLST genotypes of the 109 *P. multocida* strains were assigned by performing blast of their WGSs against the *P. multocida* MLST Databases (https:// pubmlst.org/pmultocida). Currently, there are two separate

Strain	Host	Size (Mb)	GC%	Contigs	CDS	tRNA	rRNA	Capsular genotypes	LPS genotypes	MLST genotypes	Clonal complex	GenBank accession
ATCC 11039	Avian	2.27	40.3	19	2040	50	9	А	L1	ST60	ST158	LUDA0000000
ATCC 15742	Avian	2.31	40.3	17	2084	50	9	А	L3	ST8	ST8	LUDB00000000
ATCC 1662	Avian	2.27	40.3	16	2028	50	9	А	L3	ST53	Not defined	LUDC0000000
ATCC 1702	Avian	2.32	40.3	25	2065	50	10	А	L2	ST156	ST156	LUDD0000000
ATCC 2095	Avian	2.32	40.2	16	2092	54	8	А	L5	ST159	Not defined	LUDE00000000
ATCC 2100	Avian	2.39	40.1	41	2180	49	8	А	L6	ST27	ST74	LUDF0000000
C48-1	Avian	2.26	40.2	17	2098	51	9	А	L1	ST129	ST129	LODS0000000
DY120818	Avian	2.28	40.3	24	2053	52	9	А	L1	ST129	ST129	LUCZ00000000
GX-Pm	Avian	2.29	40.3	8	1294	52	12	А	L1	ST129	ST129	JZXO00000000
HB02	Avian	2.21	40.3	46	1986	45	6	А	L1	ST129	ST129	LYOX0000000
HN141014	Avian	2.28	40.3	25	2055	52	10	А	L1	ST129	ST129	LUCX00000000
P1059	Avian	2.31	40.3	39	2101	50	4	А	L3	ST8	ST8	CM001581
Pm70	Avian	2.26	40.4	1	2021	56	19	F	L3	ST9	ST9	AE004439
Razi 0002	Avian	2.29	40.3	1	1903	56	19	А	L1	ST129	ST129	CP019081
RCAD0276	Avian	2.22	40.3	16	1983	50	9	А	L1	ST129	ST129	LUCY00000000
X73	Avian	2.27	40.3	24	2058	50	4	А	L1	ST60	ST158	CM001580
Avian P. multocida	average	2.28	40.3	21	2003	51	10	_	-	-	-	-
36950	Bovine	2.35	40.4	1	2064	53	19	А	L3	ST79	ST13	CP003022
2125PM	Bovine	2.30	40.3	29	2061	45	4	А	L3	ST79	ST13	LQCZ00000000
2612PM	Bovine	2.30	40.3	27	2063	44	5	А	L3	ST79	ST13	LQEQ00000000
2887PM	Bovine	2.42	40.2	40	2219	47	6	А	L3	ST79	ST13	LQFA00000000
BUKK	Bovine	2.36	40.4	37	2153	50	4	В	L2	ST122	ST122	JQAO0000000
HB01	Bovine	2.42	40.3	1	2196	55	19	А	L3	ST79	ST13	CP006976
HS SKN01	Bovine	2.45	40.7	48	2149	43	10	В	L2	ST122	ST122	MDXJ0000000
Islm	Bovine	2.35	40.3	32	2142	51	4	В	L2	ST122	ST122	JQAB00000000
P1062	Bovine	2.70	40.7	5	2296	53	19	А	L3	ST80	ST13	CM002276
Pesh	Bovine	2.35	40.3	32	2144	51	4	В	L2	ST122	ST122	JQAC00000000
Pm-3	Bovine	2.42	40.3	1	2202	55	19	А	L3	ST79	ST13	CP014618
PMTB	Bovine	2.20	40.4	8	2021	51	10	В	L2	ST122	ST122	AWTD00000000
PVAcc	Bovine	2.35	40.3	38	2141	50	4	B	12	ST122	ST122	JQAD0000000
Razi Pm0001	Bovine	2.36	40.4	1	2053	59	19	В	L2	ST122	ST122	CP017961
THA	Bovine	2.30	40.4	29	2082	50	4	В	12	ST122	ST122	JQAE00000000
THD	Bovine	2.30	40.4	28	2082	50	4	B	12	ST122	ST122	JQAF00000000
THE	Bovine	2.30	40.4	28	2082	51	4	B	12	ST122	ST122	JQAG0000000
TX1	Bovine	2 41	40.3	35	2179	50	4	B	12	ST122	ST122	JQAH0000000
V1	Bovine	2.35	40.3	27	2137	50	4	B	12	ST122	ST122	JQAI0000000
ATCC 51689	Bovine	2 27	40.3	16	2098	46	14	A	11	ST65	Not defined	FOW00000000
Bovine P multocio	la average	2.36	40.4	23	2128	50	9	_	_	_	_	-
3480	Porcine	2.38	40.3	1	2120	54	19	Δ	16	ST74	ST74	CP001409
ATCC 43137	Porcine	2.00	40.4	1	2021	59	17	Δ	13	ST13	ST13	CP008918
HB03	Porcine	2.31	40.4	1	2050	53	19	Δ	13	ST13	ST13	CP003328
HNIOE	Porcine	2.01	40.9	1	2000	54	10	Л	16	ST50	ST50	CP003313
	Porcine	2.33	40.3	1	2002	53	19	F	13	STQ	ST9	CP007040
NCTC10322	Porcine	2.00	40.0	1	2000	56	10	Δ	13	ST13	ST13	1 T906458
SH02	Porcine	2.36	40.4	20	2128	<u>1</u> 8	1		16	ST50	ST50	
SH03	Porcine	2.00	40.4 40.1	29 28	2100	40	۱ ۸	Δ	16	ST74	ST74	NWTS0000000
SH05	Porcine	2 44	30.7	36	2244	56	-+ 1	F	13	ST9	ST9	NWTT0000000
HN04	Porcine	2.22	40.3	103	2045	43	3	В	L2	ST122	ST122	PPVE00000000

(Continued)

TABLE 1 | Continued

Strain	Host	Size (Mb)	GC%	Contigs	CDS	tRNA	rRNA	Capsular genotypes	LPS genotypes	MLST genotypes	Clonal complex	GenBank accession
HN05	Porcine	2.32	40.2	51	2150	54	3	D	L6	ST50	ST50	PPVF00000000
HNA01	Porcine	2.28	40.5	29	2066	51	9	Α	L3	ST7	Not defined	PPVG0000000
HNA02	Porcine	2.34	40.2	18	2168	49	9	Α	L6	ST74	ST74	PPVH00000000
HNA03	Porcine	2.31	40.4	66	2122	50	7	Α	L3	ST13	ST13	PPVI0000000
HNA04	Porcine	2.37	40.2	32	2214	48	10	Α	L6	ST74	ST74	PPVJ0000000
HNA05	Porcine	2.38	40.2	19	2223	48	10	Α	L6	ST74	ST74	PPVK00000000
HNA06	Porcine	2.43	40.2	36	2275	50	9	Α	L6	ST27	ST74	PPVL00000000
HNA07	Porcine	2.35	40.2	19	2178	48	10	Α	L6	ST74	ST74	PPVM0000000
HNA08	Porcine	2.36	40.2	29	2199	50	7	Α	L3	ST13	ST13	PPVN0000000
HNA09	Porcine	2.33	40.3	26	2173	50	8	Α	L3	ST13	ST13	PPVO00000000
HNA10	Porcine	2.42	40.1	23	2266	51	10	Α	L6	ST74	ST74	PPVP00000000
HNA11	Porcine	2.40	40.2	30	2238	48	10	Α	L6	ST74	ST74	PPVQ00000000
HNA12	Porcine	2.35	40.2	20	2179	49	10	Α	L6	ST74	ST74	PPVR00000000
HNA13	Porcine	2.32	40.3	31	2156	49	7	Α	L3	ST13	ST13	PPVS0000000
HNA14	Porcine	2.35	40.3	30	2210	50	7	Α	L3	ST13	ST13	PPVT00000000
HNA15	Porcine	2.35	40.3	29	2210	50	7	Α	L3	ST13	ST13	PPVU00000000
HNA16	Porcine	2.35	40.2	18	2175	49	9	Α	L6	ST74	ST74	PPVV00000000
HNA17	Porcine	2.37	40.2	30	2214	50	7	Α	L3	ST13	ST13	PPVW00000000
HNA18	Porcine	2.37	40.2	29	2205	48	8	Α	L3	ST13	ST13	PPVX00000000
HNA19	Porcine	2.37	40.2	32	2206	48	9	Α	L3	ST13	ST13	PPVY00000000
HNA20	Porcine	2.37	40.2	29	2205	48	9	Α	L3	ST13	ST13	PPVZ00000000
HNA21	Porcine	2.42	40.1	44	2273	51	10	Δ	L6	ST74	ST74	PPWA0000000
HNA22	Porcine	2.42	40.1	43	2272	51	10	A	L6	ST74	ST74	PPWB0000000
HND01	Porcine	2.40	40.1	51	2249	53	8	D	_* L6	ST50	ST50	PPWC00000000
HND02	Porcine	2.34	40.3	41	2191	51	7	D	L6	ST287	Not defined	PPWD00000000
HND03	Porcine	2.38	40.0	35	2230	53	7	D	16	ST50	ST50	PPWE0000000
HND04	Porcine	2.37	40.2	31	2213	49	8	D	_* L6	ST50	ST50	PPWF0000000
HND05	Porcine	2.29	40.3	23	2141	50	8	D	_* L6	ST50	ST50	PPWG00000000
HND06	Porcine	2.32	40.2	48	2166	53	8	D	16	ST50	ST50	PPWH0000000
HND07	Porcine	2.35	40.2	34	2186	52	8	D	16	ST50	ST50	PPWI00000000
HND08	Porcine	2.30	40.2	35	2133	50	8	D	16	ST50	ST50	PPW-100000000
	Porcine	2.39	40.7	28	2190	51	8	D	16	ST50	ST50	PPWK0000000
HND10	Porcine	2.39	40.2	48	2236	53	8	D	16	ST50	ST50	PPWI 0000000
HND11	Porcine	2 40	40.6	24	2198	51	9	D	16	ST50	ST50	PPWN0000000
HND12	Porcine	2.70	40.3	20	2020	50	0	D	16	ST287	Not defined	PPWM0000000
HND13	Porcine	2 22	40.3	20	2023	50	9	D	16	ST287	Not defined	PPW000000000
HND14	Porcine	2 40	40.7	31	2201	50	8	D	16	ST50	ST50	PPWP00000000
HND15	Porcine	2.31	40.3	32	2147	49	8	D	16	ST50	ST50	PPW000000000
HND16	Porcine	2.01	40.3	30	2014	51	0	D	16	ST50	ST50	PPWR0000000
HND17	Porcine	2.00	40.3	36	2124	52	8	D	16	ST50	ST50	PPWS0000000
	Porcine	2.00	40.7	33	2127	51	8	D	16	ST50	ST50	PPWT0000000
	Porcine	2.77	40.2	22	2101	50	0	D	16	ST50	ST50	PPW/100000000
	Porcine	2.21	40.2	41	2101	51	0	D	16	ST50	ST50	PPW000000000
	Porcine	2.30	40.0	24	2000	46	9	D	16	ST50	ST50	PPWW00000000
	Porcine	2.37	40.2	00	2213	40	9	-		S150	S150	PPWW00000000
	Porcine	2.28	40.3	20	2093	50	10	-	L3	319 6T0	519 6 T 0	
		2.21	40.0	19	2094	50	0		LJ	313	313	
	Dobbit	2.30	40.3	28	21//	0U 46	9	-	-	- 870	-	
		2.21	40.2	10	2018	40	4	A	L3	019	519 STO	
	Rabbit	2.34	40.3	15	2160	45	4	A	L3	S1204	SI9	
CIRMIRN-0728	Kapbit	2.41	40.2	30	2237	45	-	A	LG	51298	INOT DETINED	MITER00000000

(Continued)

Strain Host Size (Mb) GC% Contigs CDS tRNA rRNA Capsular genotypes LPS genotypes MLST genotypes Clonal complex GenBank accession CIRMBP-0760 Rabbit 2.40 40.2 31 2220 45 9 A L6 ST298 Not defined MTEC000000 CIRMBP-0782 Rabbit 2.33 40.3 16 2155 47 - A L3 ST9 ST9 MTEC0000000 CIRMBP-0812 Rabbit 2.33 40.3 16 2158 47 4 A L3 ST204 ST9 MTEC0000000 CIRMBP-0817 Rabbit 2.34 40.3 16 2158 47 4 A L3 ST204 ST9 MTEC0000000 CIRMBP-0817 Rabbit 2.34 40.2 38 2271 50 - A L6 ST74 ST74 MTEC0000000 CIRMBP-0827 Rabbit 2.37 40.2 21													
CIRMBP-0760 Rabbit 2.40 40.2 31 2220 45 9 A L6 ST298 Not defined MTEC000000 CIRMBP-0782 Rabbit 2.27 40.2 12 2075 47 3 A L3 ST9 ST9 MTED000000 CIRMBP-0782 Rabbit 2.33 40.3 16 2155 47 - A L3 ST204 ST9 MTED000000 CIRMBP-0812 Rabbit 2.34 40.3 16 2158 47 4 A L3 ST204 ST9 MTED000000 CIRMBP-0812 Rabbit 2.43 40.2 38 2271 50 - A L6 ST74 ST74 MTE0000000 CIRMBP-0827 Rabbit 2.37 40.2 21 2080 49 4 A L3 ST9 ST9 MTIG0000000 CIRMBP-0872 Rabbit 2.21 40.3 15 1998 47 5	Strain	Host	Size (Mb)	GC%	Contigs	CDS	tRNA	rRNA	Capsular genotypes	LPS genotypes	MLST genotypes	Clonal complex	GenBank accession
CIRMBP-0782 Rabbit 2.27 40.2 12 2075 47 3 A L3 ST9 ST9 MTED000000 CIRMBP-0783 Rabbit 2.33 40.3 16 2155 47 - A L3 ST204 ST9 MTED000000 CIRMBP-0812 Rabbit 2.34 40.3 16 2158 47 4 A L3 ST204 ST9 MTED000000 CIRMBP-0812 Rabbit 2.34 40.2 38 2271 50 - A L3 ST204 ST9 MTED000000 CIRMBP-0817 Rabbit 2.43 40.2 38 2271 50 - A L3 ST204 ST9 MTED000000 CIRMBP-0817 Rabbit 2.43 40.2 38 2271 50 - A L3 ST94 ST94 MTED000000 CIRMBP-0827 Rabbit 2.37 40.2 21 2080 47 5 A L3 ST94 ST94 MTIG000000 CIRMBP-0873 Rabbit	CIRMBP-0760	Rabbit	2.40	40.2	31	2220	45	9	А	L6	ST298	Not defined	MTEC00000000
CIRMBP-0783 Rabbit 2.33 40.3 16 2155 47 - A L3 ST204 ST9 MTEF000000 CIRMBP-0812 Rabbit 2.34 40.3 16 2158 47 4 A L3 ST204 ST9 MTEF000000 CIRMBP-0817 Rabbit 2.43 40.2 38 2271 50 - A L6 ST74 ST94 MTEF000000 CIRMBP-0817 Rabbit 2.43 40.2 38 2271 50 - A L6 ST74 ST94 MTEF000000 CIRMBP-0827 Rabbit 2.37 40.2 36 2205 49 4 A L3 ST204 ST9 MTEF000000 CIRMBP-0827 Rabbit 2.37 40.2 21 2080 49 4 A L3 ST9 ST9 MTIF0000000 O CIRMBP-0877 Rabbit 2.48 40.4 1 2152 57 19 A L3 ST9 ST9 MTIF0000000 O O O	CIRMBP-0782	Rabbit	2.27	40.2	12	2075	47	3	А	L3	ST9	ST9	MTED0000000
CIRMBP-0812 Rabbit 2.34 40.3 16 2158 47 4 A L3 ST204 ST9 MTD2000000 CIRMBP-0817 Rabbit 2.43 40.2 38 2271 50 - A L6 ST74 ST74 MTD2000000 MTD2000000 CIRMBP-0827 Rabbit 2.37 40.2 36 2205 49 4 A L3 ST204 ST9 MTD20000000 MTD0000000 MTD0000000 MTD0000000 MTD00000000 MTD	CIRMBP-0783	Rabbit	2.33	40.3	16	2155	47	-	А	L3	ST204	ST9	MTEF00000000
CIRMBP-0817 Rabbit 2.43 40.2 38 2271 50 - A L6 ST74 ST74 MTEE000000 CIRMBP-0827 Rabbit 2.37 40.2 36 2205 49 4 A L3 ST204 ST9 MTEE000000 CIRMBP-0827 Rabbit 2.27 40.2 21 2080 49 4 A L3 ST94 ST9 MTIF0000000 CIRMBP-0827 Rabbit 2.21 40.3 15 1998 47 5 A L3 ST9 ST9 MTIF0000000 CIRMBP-0873 Rabbit 2.48 40.4 1 2152 57 19 A L3 ST9 ST9 MTIF0000000 CIRMBP-0873 Rabbit 2.48 40.4 1 2152 57 19 A L3 ST9 ST9 MTIF0000000 MTIF0000000 CIRMBP-0877 Rabbit 2.46 40.2 29 2171 49 2 D L6 ST50 ST50 MTIF0000000 CIRMBP-0877 Rabbit 2.46<	CIRMBP-0812	Rabbit	2.34	40.3	16	2158	47	4	А	L3	ST204	ST9	MTDZ0000000
CIRMBP-0827 Rabbit 2.37 40.2 36 2205 49 4 A L3 ST204 ST9 MTIG000000 CIRMBP-0835 Rabbit 2.27 40.2 21 2080 49 4 A L3 ST204 ST9 MTIG000000 CIRMBP-0835 Rabbit 2.27 40.2 21 2080 49 4 A L3 ST9 ST9 MTIG000000 CIRMBP-0872 Rabbit 2.21 40.3 15 1998 47 5 A L3 ST9 ST9 MTIG0000000 CIRMBP-0873 Rabbit 2.48 40.4 1 2152 57 19 A L3 ST9 ST9 CP020347 CIRMBP-0877 Rabbit 2.48 40.2 29 2171 49 2 D L6 ST50 ST50 MTI0000000 CIRMBP-0877 Rabbit 2.46 40.5 1 2210 54 19 A L3 ST9 ST9 CP020345 CIRMBP-0926 Rabbit 2.2	CIRMBP-0817	Rabbit	2.43	40.2	38	2271	50	-	А	L6	ST74	ST74	MTEE00000000
CIRMBP-0835 Rabbit 2.27 40.2 21 2080 49 4 A L3 ST9 ST9 MTIF0000000 CIRMBP-0872 Rabbit 2.21 40.3 15 1998 47 5 A L3 ST9 ST9 MTIF0000000 CIRMBP-0873 Rabbit 2.48 40.4 1 2152 57 19 A L3 ST9 ST9 CP020347 CIRMBP-0877 Rabbit 2.48 40.4 1 2152 57 19 A L3 ST9 ST9 CP020347 CIRMBP-0877 Rabbit 2.46 40.2 29 2171 49 2 D L6 ST50 ST50 MTII0000000 CP020347 CIRMBP-0874 Rabbit 2.46 40.5 1 2210 54 19 A L3 ST9 ST9 CP020345 CIRMBP-0926 Rabbit 2.27 40.2 15 2080 47 - A L3 ST9 ST9 MTIA0000000 CIRMBP-0922 Rabb	CIRMBP-0827	Rabbit	2.37	40.2	36	2205	49	4	А	L3	ST204	ST9	MTIG0000000
CIRMBP-0872 Rabbit 2.21 40.3 15 1998 47 5 A L3 ST9 ST9 MTIH0000000 CIRMBP-0873 Rabbit 2.48 40.4 1 2152 57 19 A L3 ST9 ST9 CP020347 CIRMBP-0877 Rabbit 2.35 40.2 29 2171 49 2 D L6 ST50 ST50 MTIH0000000 CIRMBP-0887 Rabbit 2.46 40.5 1 2210 54 19 A L3 ST9 ST9 CP020347 CIRMBP-0887 Rabbit 2.46 40.5 1 2210 54 19 A L3 ST9 ST9 CP020345 CIRMBP-0984 Rabbit 2.27 40.2 15 2080 47 - A L3 ST9 ST9 MTIH0000000 CIRMBP-0922 Rabbit 2.28 40.2 14 2083 48 6 A L3 ST9 ST9 MTIL00000000 CIRMBP-0927 Rabbit 2.28<	CIRMBP-0835	Rabbit	2.27	40.2	21	2080	49	4	А	L3	ST9	ST9	MTIF00000000
CIRMBP-0873 Rabbit 2.48 40.4 1 2152 57 19 A L3 ST9 ST9 CP020347 CIRMBP-0877 Rabbit 2.35 40.2 29 2171 49 2 D L6 ST50 ST50 MTI0000000 CIRMBP-0877 Rabbit 2.46 40.5 1 2210 54 19 A L3 ST9 ST9 CP020347 CIRMBP-0806 Rabbit 2.46 40.5 1 2210 54 19 A L3 ST9 ST9 CP020345 CIRMBP-0906 Rabbit 2.27 40.2 15 2080 47 - A L3 ST9 ST9 MTIJ0000000 CIRMBP-0922 Rabbit 2.28 40.2 14 2083 48 6 A L3 ST9 ST9 MTIL0000000 CIRMBP-0927 Rabbit 2.28 40.2 20 2089 49 - A L3 ST9 ST9 MTIL00000000 Rabbit P. multoci/e average 2.34	CIRMBP-0872	Rabbit	2.21	40.3	15	1998	47	5	А	L3	ST9	ST9	MTIH00000000
CIRMBP-0877 Rabbit 2.35 40.2 29 2171 49 2 D L6 ST50 ST50 MTII0000000 CIRMBP-0884 Rabbit 2.46 40.5 1 2210 54 19 A L3 ST9 ST9 CP020345 CIRMBP-0906 Rabbit 2.27 40.2 15 2080 47 - A L3 ST9 ST9 MTI0000000 CIRMBP-0926 Rabbit 2.28 40.2 14 2083 48 6 A L3 ST9 ST9 MTI00000000 CIRMBP-0927 Rabbit 2.28 40.2 14 2083 48 6 A L3 ST9 ST9 MTI00000000 CIRMBP-0927 Rabbit 2.28 40.2 20 2089 49 - A L3 ST9 ST9 MTIL00000000 CIRMBP-0927 Rabbit ? 2.34 40.3 19 2142 48 7 - - - - - - Rabbit ? Multocid average	CIRMBP-0873	Rabbit	2.48	40.4	1	2152	57	19	А	L3	ST9	ST9	CP020347
CIRMBP-0884 Rabbit 2.46 40.5 1 2210 54 19 A L3 ST9 ST9 CP020345 CIRMBP-0906 Rabbit 2.27 40.2 15 2080 47 - A L3 ST9 ST9 MTJ0000000 CIRMBP-0922 Rabbit 2.28 40.2 14 2083 48 6 A L3 ST9 ST9 MTIK0000000 CIRMBP-0927 Rabbit 2.28 40.2 20 2089 49 - A L3 ST9 ST9 MTIK0000000 Rabbit <i>P. multocida</i> average 2.34 40.3 19 2142 48 7 - - - - - - -	CIRMBP-0877	Rabbit	2.35	40.2	29	2171	49	2	D	L6	ST50	ST50	MTII00000000
CIRMBP-0906 Rabbit 2.27 40.2 15 2080 47 - A L3 ST9 ST9 MTIJ0000000 CIRMBP-0922 Rabbit 2.28 40.2 14 2083 48 6 A L3 ST9 ST9 MTIJ0000000 CIRMBP-0927 Rabbit 2.28 40.2 14 2083 49 - A L3 ST9 ST9 MTIL0000000 Rabbit <i>P. multocida</i> average 2.34 40.3 19 2142 48 7 - - - - - -	CIRMBP-0884	Rabbit	2.46	40.5	1	2210	54	19	А	L3	ST9	ST9	CP020345
CIRMBP-0922 Rabbit 2.28 40.2 14 2083 48 6 A L3 ST9 ST9 MTIK0000000 CIRMBP-0927 Rabbit 2.28 40.2 20 2089 49 - A L3 ST9 ST9 MTIK0000000 Rabbit P. multocida average 2.34 40.3 19 2142 48 7 - - - - - - -	CIRMBP-0906	Rabbit	2.27	40.2	15	2080	47	-	А	L3	ST9	ST9	MTIJ0000000
CIRMBP-0927 Rabbit 2.28 40.2 20 2089 49 - A L3 ST9 ST9 MTIL0000000 Rabbit P. multocida average 2.34 40.3 19 2142 48 7 - <	CIRMBP-0922	Rabbit	2.28	40.2	14	2083	48	6	А	L3	ST9	ST9	MTIK00000000
Rabbit P. multocida average 2.34 40.3 19 2142 48 7 -	CIRMBP-0927	Rabbit	2.28	40.2	20	2089	49	-	А	L3	ST9	ST9	MTIL0000000
	Rabbit P. multocid	da average	2.34	40.3	19	2142	48	7	-	-	-	-	-

TABLE 1 | Continued

Strains marked in blue are the 47 porcine P. multocida strains sequenced in this study, and strains marked in black are retrieved from NCBI Genome database (https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/genome/genomes/912).

P. multocida MLST schemes on the database, i.e., Multi-host MLST and RIRDC MLST. As for some bovine isolates (BUKK, Islm, Pesh, PVAcc, THA, THD, THF, TX1, V1) included in this study, an existing study has reported the use of RIRDC MLST in determining their MLST genotypes (Moustafa et al., 2013), we use the same MLST scheme to determine the *P. multocida* MLST genotypes in this study.

Phylogenetic Trees

The phylogenetic relationship between P. multocida strains from different host species was predicted by analyzing the whole-genome single nucleotide polymorphisms (WG-SNPs) as well as the set of SNPs present in all single-copy core genes across genomes (CG-SNPs). The WG-SNPs were identified by comparing each of the WGSs against the reference P. multocida ATCC 43137 genome sequence (GenBank accession NO. CP008918) using MAFFT (version 7.222) software (Katoh and Standley, 2013). A phylogenetic tree based on these WG-SNPs was exported using Parsnp (version 1.2) software (Treangen et al., 2014). Another phylogenetic tree based on CG-SNPs was also constructed. In this strategy, gene contents of each of the 109 P. multocida genomes were clustered using Roary v3.11.0 software (Page et al., 2015) with a criterion of 90% DNA identity plus 90% gene coverage as the minimum criteria for a match to define core genes at first, then SNPs within all the singlecopy core genes were compared to generate a neighbor-joining tree using MEGA6 with Kimura 2-parameter model and 1000 bootstrap (Tamura et al., 2013).

Core and Pan-Genome Analysis

Gene contents of each of the 109 *P. multocida* genomes were clustered using Roary v3.11.0 (Page et al., 2015). Homologous genes were identified by using 90% DNA identity and 90% gene coverage as the minimum criteria for a match. Because potential

genes might be disrupted by the gaps between the contigs, we defined those genes present in $99 \sim 100\%$ of the strains as core genes. Dispensable genes were defined as those genes shared by at least two strains but shared by <99% strains, while unique genes were defined those present only in a single strain. Host-specific genes were defined as those genes shared by all strains isolated from the same host species but absent in the strains from the other host species. Core genes, dispensable genes, and strain-specific were annotated using the Clusters of Orthologous Groups of proteins (COGs) database (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/COG/) for functional analysis (Galperin et al., 2015).

Distribution of Main Virulence Factors Associated Genes

Genes identified as virulence factors (VFGs) were predicted by performing BLAST analysis of the genome sequence against the bacterial Virulence Factor Database (VFDB) (Chen et al., 2005, 2012). After that, a BLAST score ratio (BSR) analysis was performed on these predicted VFGs, and the presence of a VFG in a genome was determined based upon the BSR analysis with a normalized ratio \geq 0.8, as described previously (Rasko et al., 2008). A heat-map showing the BSR ratio values of each gene across the genomes of all bacterial strains was generated.

RESULTS

General Features of the 47 Porcine *P. multocida* Genomes

Whole genome sequencing yielded approximately 796.25~1823.87 Mbp raw data for the 47 porcine *P. multocida* isolates. The data filter strategy produced approximately 701.86~1589.23 Mb clean data for assembly. Sequences assembled using SPAdes v3.9.0 (Bankevich et al., 2012) generated

approximately 18~66 contigs for the 47 porcine *P. multocida* isolates, with an average of 25 contigs for each strain. These contigs represented a 161~631-kb N50 fragment with an average contig size of approximately 35~130 kb. The predicted genome sizes ranged from 2.22 to 2.49 Mbp, with average G+C content ranging from 40.04 to 40.67% for the 47 *P. multocida* isolates. The average genome size and G+C content for each of the *P. multocida* sequenced were 2.35 Mbp and 40.3%, respectively. The number of coding DNA sequences among the genome sequences ranged from 2,027 to 2,330. The general features of the 47 sequenced *P. multocida* isolates from pigs and their accession numbers as well as those public available genomes of *P. multocida* from different hosts are shown in **Table 1**.

Genotypical Characteristics of the *P. multocida* Isolates From Different Hosts Capsular Genotypes

Capsular typing strategy identified two categories of capsular genotypes (A and F) for the 16 avian *P. multocida* isolates, two categories of capsular genotypes (A and B) for the 20 bovine *P. multocida* isolates, four categories of capsular genotypes (A, B, D, and F) for the 56 porcine *P. multocida* isolates, and two categories of capsular genotypes (A and D) for the 17 rabbit *P. multocida* isolates. Type A was the most common capsular genotype for the avian *P. multocida* isolates (93.75% of the isolates) and rabbit *P. multocida* isolates (94.12% of the isolates); types A and B were the common capsular genotypes for the bovine *P. multocida* isolates (40.00% of the isolates and 60.00% of the isolates, respectively); types A and D were the common capsular genotypes for the porcine *P. multocida* isolates (48.21% of the isolates and 42.86% of the isolates, respectively; see **Table 1** and **Image 1** in Supplementary Materials).

LPS Genotypes

LPS typing strategy identified five categories of LPS genotypes (L1, L2, L3, L5, and L6) for the 16 avian *P. multocida* isolates. L1 (56.25%) was the dominant genotype with the highest percentage, followed by L3 (25.00%). For the 20 bovine *P. multocida* isolates, three categories of LPS genotypes (L1, L2, L3) were identified, and L2 (60.00%) was the mostly common LPS genotypes, followed by L3 (35.00%). There were also three categories of LPS genotypes (L2, L3, L6) defined for the 56 porcine *P. multocida* isolates. Among them, L6 (66.07%) ranked the highest position, followed by L3 (32.14%). For the 17 rabbit *P. multocida* isolates, two categories of LPS genotypes (L3 and L6) were identified, and L3 (76.47%) was the most cited (see **Table 1** and **Image 2** in Supplementary Materials).

When combining capsular types with LPS genotypes, the mostly commonly identified capsule: LPS genotype for the 16 avian isolates was A: L1 (56.25%), followed by A: L3 (18.75%). For the 20 bovine isolates, type B: L2 (60.00%) was the most common, followed by A: L3 (35.00%). Types D: L6 (42.86%), A: L3 (25.00%), and A: L6 (23.21%) were the dominant capsule: LPS genotypes for the 56 porcine isolates, and A: L3 (76.47%) was the most dominant capsule: LPS genotypes for the 17 rabbit isolates (**Figure 1**).

MLST Genotypes

RIRDC MLST assigned eight categories of MLST genotypes (ST8, ST9, ST27, ST53, ST60, ST129, ST156, ST159) for the 16 avian *P. multocida*, and ST129 (43.75%) was the predominate MLST genotype. The 20 bovine *P. multocida* were assigned into four categories of MLST genotypes (ST65, ST79, ST80, ST122). For these genotypes, ST122 (60.00%) was the most dominant. For the 56 porcine *P. multocida*, a total of eight categories of MLST genotypes (ST7, ST9, ST13, ST27, ST50, ST74, ST122, ST287) were present, and ST50 (37.50%), ST13 (23.21%), and ST74 (21.43%) were the common MLST genotypes. The 17 rabbit *P. multocida* were assigned into five categories of MLST genotypes (ST9, ST20, ST204, and ST298), and ST9 (52.94%) was the most prevalent (see **Table 1** and **Image 3** in Supplementary Materials).

When combining MLST genotypes with capsular types and LPS genotypes, the results showed that a capsular: LPS: MLST genotype A: L1: ST129 (43.75%) was predominant among the 16 avian isolates; and B: L2: ST122 (60.00%) as well as A: L3: ST79 (30.00%) were predominate among the 20 bovine isolates. For the 56 porcine isolates, D: L6: ST50 (37.50%) was the predominate genotype; while for the 17 rabbit isolates, A: L3: ST9 (76.47%) was the predominate (**Figure 2**).

Phylogeny

We generated two phylogenetic trees among the *P. multocida* isolates from different isolates collected in this study, and they were based on two criteria, respectively. The first tree was generated using 21,765 SNPs across the WGSs of the *P. multocida* comparing against the reference *P. multocida* ATCC 43137 genome. Using this strategy, it is clearly showed that *P. multocida* strains with the same LPS plus MLST genotypes were phylogenetically clustered together (**Figure 3**). The second tree was constructed based on the SNPs within all single-copy core genes among the comparison genomes. Based on this tree, *P. multocida* strains with the same LPS plus MLST genotypes were also phylogenetically clustered together (**Figure 4**).

Core and Pan-Genome Analysis

All genes encoded by the 109 *P. multocida* genomes were used for the determination of homologous and unique genes. These analyses identified a shared set of 1,806 genes (core genes), 1,841 dispensable genes, and 609 strain-specific genes (**Figure 5**). According to COG functional prediction, the core genes mainly participated in translation, ribosomal structure and biogenesis (J), amino acid transport and metabolism (E), carbohydrate transport and metabolism (G), cell wall/membrane/envelope biogenesis (M), energy production and conversion (C), coenzyme transport and metabolism (H), inorganic ion transport and metabolism (P), and posttranslational modification, protein turnover, chaperones (O); while the dispensable genes mainly involved in mobilome (prophages, transposons) (X), replication, recombination and repair (L), and transcription (K); the strainspecific genes mainly participated in mobilome (X), replication,





recombination and repair (L), defense mechanisms (V), and transcription (K) (Figure 5).

To figure out genes carried by *P. multocida* genome that are associated with host predilection, we first defined a host predilection related gene as one present among the strains from one host species but absent in strains from all the other host species. This criterion found that there were no genes specific to any hosts. Therefore, we next defined a host predilection related gene was determined as one gene present among 90% of the strains from one host species but absent in 90% of the strains from all the other host species. However, this criterion also found that there were no genes specific to any hosts.

Distribution of Virulence Factor-Associated Genes

A total of 432 putative VFGs were identified by performing BLAST analysis of the 109 *P. multocida* genome sequences against the VFDB database, and these VFGs were assigned into 366 VFG categories (see **Table S1** in Supplementary Materials). According to BSR analysis, the distribution of VFGs between different *P. multocida* strains was mainly reflected on the genes responsible for the synthesis of capsule, LPS and fimbrial lowmolecular-weight proteins; however, none of these genes were specific to any hosts (see **Image 4** in Supplementary Materials). Interestingly, some VFGs displayed a certain level of LPS: MLST



FIGURE 3 | Phylogenetic tree generated based on the SNPs across the whole genome sequences of the *P. multocida* comparing against the reference *P. multocida* ATCC 43137 genome. *P. multocida* capsular type A strains were marked in red, type B strains were marked in green, type D strains were marked in blue, and type F strains were marked in purple. Avian isolates are marked with " \blacktriangle "; Bovine isolates are marked with " \blacklozenge "; Porcine isolates are marked with " \blacklozenge "; Rabbit isolates are marked with " \blacklozenge ".

genotype-preference. For example, the hyaluronidase encoding gene *pmHAS* was absent in the genotypes L6: ST50, L6: ST287, and L2: ST122 strains; the surface fibrils encoded by the gene *hsf-2* was missing in genotypes L6: ST50 and L3: ST13 strains (see **Image 5** in Supplementary Materials).

DISCUSSION

Birds, pigs, cattle and buffaloes, as well as rabbits are the natural hosts of *P. multocida* (Wilkie et al., 2012; Wilson and Ho, 2013). In this study, we genotyped *P. multocida* strains



from these host species using their WGS data. Types A: L1 (56.25%) and A: L3 (18.75%) were the common capsule: LPS genotypes for avian *P. multocida*. It is widely documented that *P. multocida* strains causing avian pasteurellosis (fowl cholera) are most frequently designated Carter: Heddleston serotypes A:1,

A:3, or A:4 (Adler et al., 1999; Wilson and Ho, 2013), and *P. multocida* Heddleston serotype 1 and 3/4 strains produced LPS genotypes L1 and L3, respectively (Harper et al., 2011, 2013). Therefore, our results are in agreement with those previously documents (Adler et al., 1999; Wilson and Ho, 2013). It has



been noted that P. multocida Carter: Heddleston serotype B: 2 strains are commonly responsible for bovine haemorrhagic septicaemia while serovar A: 3 strains are associated with bovine respiratory diseases (Welsh et al., 2004; Dey et al., 2007; Shivachandra et al., 2011; Lainson et al., 2013; Wilson and Ho, 2013). Both haemorrhagic septicaemia and respiratory diseases including pneumonia are the common infections associated with *P. multocida* in bovine species (bovine pasteurellosis) (Wilson and Ho, 2013). The P. multocida Heddleston serotype 2 strains produced LPS genotypes L2 (St Michael et al., 2009), and Heddleston serotype 3 strains produced LPS genotype L3 (Harper et al., 2013). This is why genotypes B: L2 (60.00%) and A: L3 (35.00%) possess the first two ranks among the P. multocida strains of bovine source. The predominate capsule: LPS genotypes defined among the porcine isolates were types D: L6 (42.86%), A: L3 (25.00%), and A: L6 (23.21%). Those are because P. multocida strains with porcine pasteurellosis are frequently designated capsular types A and D (Tang et al., 2009; Liu et al., 2017; Peng et al., 2018), and LPS serovars 3, 4, and 12 (Pijoan et al., 1983; Lainson et al., 2002; Jamaludin et al., 2005). In particular, these results are in agreement with the latest molecular epidemical data in China (Peng et al., 2018). Interestingly, the capsular: LPS genotype of an isolate (strain HN04) from a swine haemorrhagic septicaemia case was B: L2. This finding suggests this type of *P. multocida* might also be able to cause haemorrhagic septicaemia in pigs. The mostly common capsule: LPS genotype identified in rabbit P. multocida isolates was A: L3 (76.47%). This result is similar to the previous publication (Townsend et al., 2001), as genotype A: L3 corresponds to P. multocida Carter: Heddleston serotype A: 3 or A: 4 (Harper et al., 2013).

The predominate MLST genotype of P. multocida from avian species was ST129 (43.75%), and this result is in agreement with Wang et al. (2013). The difference between the two studies is that Wang et al. only defined ST129 from the avian P. multocida strains but we identified multiple MLST genotypes in addition to ST129; other MLST genotypes such as ST8, ST9, ST27, ST53, ST60 are also common in avian P. multocida (Subaaharan et al., 2010). These findings suggest the prevalence of P. multocida genotypes from different geographic regions might be different. ST122 was the common MLST genotype in *P. multocida* isolates from bovine species (Table 1). This genotype is widely documented to be associated with bovine haemorrhagic septicaemia, a common pasteurellosis in bovine species (Hotchkiss et al., 2011). Interestingly, the MLST genotype of the porcine isolate HN04 from swine haemorrhagic septicaemia case was also ST122, suggesting that ST122 might be particularly associated with the disease other than the host species. The mostly common MLST genotypes of P. multocida from pigs defined in this study were ST50 (37.50%), ST13 (23.21%), and ST74 (19.64%). These results are quite different from the date of a recent molecular epidemiological study (Peng et al., 2018), but this could be explained by the two studies using two different MLST databases to determine the MLST genotypes. When we used the Multiple host MLST database to re-assigned these strains, we found that all ST50, ST13, and ST74 strains were re-assigned as ST11, ST3, and ST10, respectively. These results are in agreement with the latest epidemical data from China (Peng et al., 2018), and also in agreement with the data from Spain (García-Alvarez et al., 2017).

The predominate MLST genotype in P. multocida isolates from rabbit species was ST9 (76.47%), which was re-assigned as ST12 using the Multiple host MLST database. Previous studies have documented that ST12 was the common MLST genotype in rabbit P. multocida (García-Alvarez et al., 2015; Massacci et al., 2018). Interestingly, a previous study documented that the ST11 (assigned using Multiple host MLST) clone of P. multocida is widely spread among farmed rabbits in the Iberian Peninsula and demonstrates respiratory niche association (García-Alvarez et al., 2015). However, the percentage of the ST11 clone (defined as ST50 using RIRDC MLST) defined in this study was very low, only 5.88% (one isolate). According to NCBI genome database (https://www. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genome/genomes/912), the WGSs data from the 17 rabbit P. multocida using in this study are from France, these findings also suggest that the prevalence of P. multocida genotypes in different geographic regions might be different.

When combining the capsular genotypes, LPS genotypes and the MLST genotypes, it is interesting to see that although some P. multocida strains from different hosts share the same capsule: LPS genotypes, their MLST genotypes are quite different. The MLST genotypes of P. multocida capsule: LPS genotype A: L1 strains from avian species were ST129 and ST69, but the MLST genotype of those type A: L1 strains from bovine species was ST65 (Table 1). However, P. multocida strains with the same capsule: LPS: MLST genotype are able to spread in different host species but cause similar disease symptoms. For example, the capsule: LPS: MLST genotype B: L2: ST122 strain is associated with both bovine haemorrhagic septicaemia and swine haemorrhagic septicaemia; the F: L3: ST9 clone can lead to both avian respiratory disease and porcine respiratory disease; the D: L6: ST50 clone is associated with both the porcine respiratory disease and the rabbit respiratory disease (Table 1).

Although many publications have documented that the infection of P. multocida isolates displays host predilection (Kubatzky, 2012; Wilkie et al., 2012; Wilson and Ho, 2013), these analyses using comparative genomics found that there were no genes or VFGs specific to any isolates for any specific type of host (see Image 4 in Supplementary Materials). Though the dermonecrotic toxin encoding gene toxA was only present in one isolate from pigs (see Image 5 in Supplementary Materials), this gene has been also identified in P. multocida isolates from bovine species, avian species, small ruminants, sheep, and goats (Ewers et al., 2006; Sarangi et al., 2016; Shirzad Aski and Tabatabaei, 2016). These findings suggest that toxA is not associated with host predilection. Interestingly, it is documented that the presence of toxA displays a significant association to the disease status in swine, even though it is detected in P. multocida isolates from small ruminants, cattle, and poultry as well (Ewers et al., 2006). This suggests that the disease symptoms caused by P. multocida with specific VFGs might be also associated with the host itself and/or the locations that the bacteria are found on the host. However, more studies are necessary for further confirmation. Similar to toxA, the transferrin binding protein A encoding gene tbpA was also present in isolates from bovine species (see Image 5 in Supplementary Materials). However, this gene has been also reported to be identified in *P. multocida* isolates from ovine species and rabbits (Ewers et al., 2006; Ferreira et al., 2012). These findings suggest that *tbpA* is also not host specific.

It has been proposed that there is little or no correlation between the phylogenetic groups and phenotypic characteristics (Boyce et al., 2012; Moustafa et al., 2015; Cao et al., 2017). However, in this study, using both the SNPs across the WGSs and the SNPs within all single-copy core genes among the comparison genomes, we found that *P. multocida* isolates with the same LPS genotype and MLST genotype could be phylogenetically grouped, though these *P. multocida* strains were isolated from different geographic regions or host species, and/or associated with different disease symptoms (**Figures 3**, **4**). While more data are needed for the further confirmation, these findings suggest the combining both the LPS and MLST typing schemes might better explain the topology seen in the *P. multocida* phylogeny.

In conclusion, we performed whole genome sequencing on *P. multocida* strains isolated from pigs and determined the genetic characteristics of *P. multocida* isolates from avian species, bovine species, pigs and rabbits in this study. Genotyping using WGSs data suggest that *P. multocida* isolates from different host species displayed a certain preference for "capsule/LPS/MLST genotypes." Although host predilection in *P. multocida* infections are widely documented, our analyses found there were no genes specific to any hosts. In addition, our phylogenetic analyses found that *P. multocida* isolates with the same LPS genotype and MLST genotype could be phylogenetically grouped, which suggests that the combining both the LPS and MLST typing schemes might better explain the topology seen in the *P. multocida* phylogeny.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

ZP, WL, RZ, HC, and BW participated in the conception and design of the work; ZP, WL, ZfX, ZhX, and ZL performed whole genome sequencing and data analysis; FW and LH contributed to bacterial genomic DNA isolation and purification; ZP wrote the manuscript; RZ, HC, and BW helped to revised the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We sincerely acknowledge Dr. Yi-Wei Tang at Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center (New York, United States) for his comments on this manuscript. We also thank Ms. Xiaoyun Yao and Mr. Taoyu Zhou at Guangdong Magigene Biotechnology Co. Ltd. (Guangzhou, China) for their help to the whole genome sequencing project. This study was supported in part by the National Key Technology Research and Development Program of the Ministry of Science and Technology of China (grants 2015BAD12B04 and 2014BAD20B01).

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb. 2018.01408/full#supplementary-material

 Table S1 | Virulence factor-associated genes of the 109 P. multocida isolates

 predicted by the VFDB database.

Image 1 | Distribution of different capsule genotypes in *P. multocida* isolated from different host species.

REFERENCES

- Adler, B., Bulach, D., Chung, J., Doughty, S., Hunt, M., Rajakumar, K., et al. (1999). Candidate vaccine antigens and genes in *Pasteurella multocida*. J. Biotechnol. 73, 83–90. doi: 10.1016/S0168-1656(99)00111-X
- Ahir, V. B., Roy, A., Jhala, M. K., Bhanderi, B. B., Mathakiya, R. A., Bhatt, V. D., et al. (2011). Genome sequence of *Pasteurella multocida* subsp. gallicida Anand1_poultry. J. Bacteriol. 193:5604. doi: 10.1128/JB.05706-11
- Aktories, K., Orth, J. H., and Adler, B. (2012). Pasteurella Multocida: Molecular Biology, Toxins and Infection. Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag.
- Angiuoli, S. V., Gussman, A., Klimke, W., Cochrane, G., Field, D., Garrity, G., et al. (2008). Toward an online repository of Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) for (meta)genomic annotation. *OMICS* 12, 137–141. doi: 10.1089/omi.2008.0017
- Bankevich, A., Nurk, S., Antipov, D., Gurevich, A. A., Dvorkin, M., Kulikov, A. S., et al. (2012). SPAdes: a new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to single-cell sequencing. *J. Comput. Biol.* 19, 455–477. doi: 10.1089/cmb.2012.0021
- Boyce, J. D., Seemann, T., Adler, B., and Harper, M. (2012). Pathogenomics of Pasteurella multocida. Curr. Top. Microbiol. Immunol. 361, 23–38. doi: 10.1007/82_2012_203
- Cao, P., Guo, D., Liu, J., Jiang, Q., Xu, Z., and Qu, L. (2017). Genome-wide analyses reveal genes subject to positive selection in *Pasteurella multocida*. *Front. Microbiol.* 8:961. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2017.00961
- Carter, G. R. (1955). Studies on *Pasteurella multocida*. I. A hemagglutination test for the identification of serological types. *Am. J. Vet. Res.* 16, 481–484.
- Chen, L., Xiong, Z., Sun, L., Yang, J., and Jin, Q. (2012). VFDB 2012 update: toward the genetic diversity and molecular evolution of bacterial virulence factors. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 40, D641–D645. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkr989
- Chen, L., Yang, J., Yu, J., Yao, Z., Sun, L., Shen, Y., et al. (2005). VFDB: a reference database for bacterial virulence factors. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 33, D325–D328. doi: 10.1093/nar/gki008
- Dey, S., Singh, V. P., Kumar, A. A., Sharma, B., Srivastava, S. K., and Singh, N. (2007). Comparative sequence analysis of 16S rRNA gene of *Pasteurella multocida* serogroup B isolates from different animal species. *Res. Vet. Sci.* 83, 1–4. doi: 10.1016/j.rvsc.2006.10.002
- Ewers, C., Lübke-Becker, A., Bethe, A., Kiebling, S., Filter, M., and Wieler, L. H. (2006). Virulence genotype of *Pasteurella multocida* strains isolated from different hosts with various disease status. *Vet. Microbiol.* 114, 304–317. doi: 10.1016/j.vetmic.2005.12.012
- Fernández-Rojas, M. A., Vaca, S., Reyes-Lopez, M., de la Garza, M., Aguilar-Romero, F., Zenteno, E., et al. (2014). Outer membrane vesicles of *Pasteurella multocida* contain virulence factors. *Microbiology* 3, 711–717. doi: 10.1002/mbo3.201
- Ferreira, T. S., Felizardo, M. R., Sena de Gobbi, D. D., Gomes, C. R., Nogueira Filsner, P. H., Moreno, M., et al. (2012). Virulence genes and antimicrobial resistance profiles of *Pasteurella multocida* strains isolated from rabbits in Brazil. *ScientificWorldJournal* 2012:685028. doi: 10.1100/2012/685028
- Galperin, M. Y., Makarova, K. S., Wolf, Y. I., and Koonin, E. V. (2015). Expanded microbial genome coverage and improved protein family annotation in the COG database. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 43, D261–D269. doi: 10.1093/nar/gku1223
- García-Alvarez, A., Chaves, F., Fernandez, A., Sanz, C., Borobia, M., and Cid, D. (2015). An ST11 clone of *Pasteurella multocida*, widely spread among farmed

Image 2 Distribution of different LPS genotypes in *P. multocida* isolated from different species.

Image 3 | Distribution of different MLST genotypes in *P. multocida* isolated from different species.

Image 4 | Heat-map showing the distribution of virulence factor-associated genes among *P. multocida* isolates from different host species.

Image 5 | Heat-map showing the distribution of the 27 main kinds of virulence factor-associated genes among *P. multocida* isolates from different host species.

rabbits in the Iberian Peninsula, demonstrates respiratory niche association. *Infect. Genet. Evol.* 34, 81–87. doi: 10.1016/j.meegid.2015.07.018

- García-Alvarez, A., Vela, A. I., San Martin, E., Chaves, F., Fernandez-Garayzabal, J. F., Lucas, D., et al. (2017). Characterization of *Pasteurella multocida* associated with ovine pneumonia using multi-locus sequence typing (MLST) and virulence-associated gene profile analysis and comparison with porcine isolates. *Vet. Microbiol.* 204, 180–187. doi: 10.1016/j.vetmic.2017.04.015
- Harper, M., Boyce, J. D., and Adler, B. (2006). Pasteurella multocida pathogenesis: 125 years after Pasteur. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 265, 1–10. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6968.2006.00442.x
- Harper, M., John, M., Turni, C., Edmunds, M., St Michael, F., Adler, B., et al. (2015). Development of a rapid multiplex PCR assay to genotype *Pasteurella multocida* strains by use of the lipopolysaccharide outer core biosynthesis locus. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 53, 477–485. doi: 10.1128/JCM.02824-14
- Harper, M., St Michael, F., John, M., Vinogradov, E., Adler, B., Boyce, J. D., et al. (2011). *Pasteurella multocida* Heddleston serovars 1 and 14 express different lipopolysaccharide structures but share the same lipopolysaccharide biosynthesis outer core locus. *Vet. Microbiol.* 150, 289–296. doi: 10.1016/j.vetmic.2011.01.028
- Harper, M., St Michael, F., John, M., Vinogradov, E., Steen, J. A., van Dorsten, L., et al. (2013). *Pasteurella multocida* Heddleston serovar 3 and 4 strains share a common lipopolysaccharide biosynthesis locus but display both interand intrastrain lipopolysaccharide heterogeneity. *J. Bacteriol.* 195, 4854–4864. doi: 10.1128/JB.00779-13
- Heddleston, K. L., Gallagher, J. E., and Rebers, P. A. (1972). Fowl cholera: gel diffusion precipitin test for serotyping *Pasteruella multocida* from avian species. *Avian Dis.* 16, 925–936. doi: 10.2307/1588773
- Hotchkiss, E. J., Hodgson, J. C., Lainson, F. A., and Zadoks, R. N. (2011). Multilocus sequence typing of a global collection of *Pasteurella multocida* isolates from cattle and other host species demonstrates niche association. *BMC Microbiol.* 11:115. doi: 10.1186/1471-2180-11-115
- Jamaludin, R., Blackall, P. J., Hansen, M. F., Humphrey, S., and Styles, M. (2005). Phenotypic and genotypic characterisation of *Pasteurella multocida* isolated from pigs at slaughter in New Zealand. N. Z. Vet. J. 53, 203–207. doi: 10.1080/00480169.2005.36505
- Johnson, T. J., Abrahante, J. E., Hunter, S. S., Hauglund, M., Tatum, F. M., Maheswaran, S. K., et al. (2013). Comparative genome analysis of an avirulent and two virulent strains of avian *Pasteurella multocida* reveals candidate genes involved in fitness and pathogenicity. *BMC Microbiol*. 13:106. doi: 10.1186/1471-2180-13-106
- Katoh, K., and Standley, D. M. (2013). MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: improvements in performance and usability. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 30, 772–780. doi: 10.1093/molbev/mst010
- Kubatzky, K. F. (2012). Pasteurella multocida and immune cells. Curr. Top. Microbiol. Immunol. 361, 53–72. doi: 10.1007/82_2012_204
- Lainson, F. A., Aitchison, K. D., Donachie, W., and Thomson, J. R. (2002). Typing of *Pasteurella multocida* isolated from pigs with and without porcine dermatitis and nephropathy syndrome. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 40, 588–593. doi: 10.1128/JCM.40.2.588-593.2002
- Lainson, F. A., Dagleish, M. P., Fontaine, M. C., Bayne, C., and Hodgson, J. C. (2013). Draft genome sequence of *Pasteurella multocida* A:3 strain 671/90. *Genome Announc*. 1:e00803-13. doi: 10.1128/genomeA.00 803-13

- Liu, H., Zhao, Z., Xi, X., Xue, Q., Long, T., and Xue, Y. (2017). Occurrence of Pasteurella multocida among pigs with respiratory disease in China between 2011 and 2015. Ir. Vet. J. 70:2. doi: 10.1186/s13620-016-0080-7
- Massacci, F. R., Magistrali, C. F., Cucco, L., Curcio, L., Bano, L., Mangili, P., et al. (2018). Characterization of *Pasteurella multocida* involved in rabbit infections. *Vet. Microbiol.* 213, 66–72. doi: 10.1016/j.vetmic.2017.11.023
- Moustafa, A. M., Bennett, M. D., Edwards, J., Azim, K., Mesaik, M. A., Choudhary, M. I., et al. (2013). Molecular typing of haemorrhagic septicaemia-associated *Pasteurella multocida* isolates from Pakistan and Thailand using multilocus sequence typing and pulsed-field gel electrophoresis. *Res. Vet. Sci.* 95, 986–990. doi: 10.1016/j.rvsc.2013.07.003
- Moustafa, A. M., Seemann, T., Gladman, S., Adler, B., Harper, M., Boyce, J. D., et al. (2015). Comparative genomic analysis of asian haemorrhagic septicaemia-associated strains of *Pasteurella multocida* identifies more than 90 haemorrhagic septicaemia-specific genes. *PLoS ONE* 10:e0130296. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0130296
- Page, A. J., Cummins, C. A., Hunt, M., Wong, V. K., Reuter, S., Holden, M. T., et al. (2015). Roary: rapid large-scale prokaryote pan genome analysis. *Bioinformatics* 31, 3691–3693. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btv421
- Peng, Z., Liang, W., Wang, Y., Liu, W., Zhang, H., Yu, T., et al. (2017). Experimental pathogenicity and complete genome characterization of a pig origin *Pasteurella multocida* serogroup F isolate HN07. *Vet. Microbiol.* 198, 23–33. doi: 10.1016/j.vetmic.2016.11.028
- Peng, Z., Liang, W., and Wu, B. (2016). Molecular typing methods for Pasteurella multocida-A review. Acta Microbiol. Sin. 56, 1521–1529. doi: 10.13343/j.cnki.wsxb.20160002
- Peng, Z., Wang, H., Liang, W., Chen, Y., Tang, X., Chen, H., et al. (2018). A capsule/lipopolysaccharide/MLST genotype D/L6/ST11 of *Pasteurella multocida* is likely to be strongly associated with swine respiratory disease in China. Arch. Microbiol. 200, 107–118. doi: 10.1007/s00203-017-1421-y
- Pijoan, C., Morrison, R. B., and Hilley, H. D. (1983). Serotyping of *Pasteurella multocida* isolated from swine lungs collected at slaughter. J. Clin. Microbiol. 17, 1074–1076.
- Rasko, D. A., Rosovitz, M. J., Myers, G. S., Mongodin, E. F., Fricke, W. F., Gajer, P., et al. (2008). The pangenome structure of *Escherichia coli*: comparative genomic analysis of *E. coli* commensal and pathogenic isolates. *J Bacteriol*. 190, 6881–6893. doi: 10.1128/JB.00619-08
- Sarangi, L. N., Thomas, P., Gupta, S. K., Kumar, S., Viswas, K. N., and Singh, V. P. (2016). Molecular epidemiology of *Pasteurella multocida* circulating in India by multilocus sequence typing. *Transbound. Emerg. Dis.* 63:e286–e292. doi: 10.1111/tbed.12270
- Seemann, T. (2014). Prokka: rapid prokaryotic genome annotation. *Bioinformatics* 30, 2068–2069. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btu153
- Shirzad Aski, H., and Tabatabaei, M. (2016). Occurrence of virulence-associated genes in *Pasteurella multocida* isolates obtained from different hosts. *Microb. Pathog.* 96, 52–57. doi: 10.1016/j.micpath.2016.04.008
- Shivachandra, S. B., Viswas, K. N., and Kumar, A. A. (2011). A review of hemorrhagic septicemia in cattle and buffalo. *Anim. Health Res. Rev.* 12, 67–82. doi: 10.1017/S146625231100003X

- St Michael, F., Harper, M., Parnas, H., John, M., Stupak, J., Vinogradov, E., et al. (2009). Structural and genetic basis for the serological differentiation of *Pasteurella multocida* Heddleston serotypes 2 and 5. *J. Bacteriol.* 191, 6950–6959. doi: 10.1128/JB.00787-09
- Subaaharan, S., Blackall, L. L., and Blackall, P. J. (2010). Development of a multi-locus sequence typing scheme for avian isolates of *Pasteurella multocida*. Vet. Microbiol. 141, 354–361. doi: 10.1016/j.vetmic. 2010.01.017
- Tamura, K., Stecher, G., Peterson, D., Filipski, A., and Kumar, S. (2013). MEGA6: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis version 6.0. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 30, 2725–2729. doi: 10.1093/molbev/mst197
- Tang, X., Zhao, Z., Hu, J., Wu, B., Cai, X., He, Q., et al. (2009). Isolation, antimicrobial resistance, and virulence genes of *Pasteurella multocida* strains from swine in China. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 47, 951–958. doi: 10.1128/JCM.02029-08
- Townsend, K. M., Boyce, J. D., Chung, J. Y., Frost, A. J., and Adler, B. (2001). Genetic organization of *Pasteurella multocida cap* Loci and development of a multiplex capsular PCR typing system. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 39, 924–929. doi: 10.1128/JCM.39.3.924-929.2001
- Treangen, T. J., Ondov, B. D., Koren, S., and Phillippy, A. M. (2014). The Harvest suite for rapid core-genome alignment and visualization of thousands of intraspecific microbial genomes. *Genome Biol.* 15:524. doi:10.1186/s13059-014-0524-x
- Wang, Y., Zhu, J., Lu, C., Wu, B., Liu, D., Hang, W., et al. (2013). Evidence of circulation of an epidemic strain of *Pasteurella multocida* in Jiangsu, China by multi-locus sequence typing (MLST). *Infect. Genet. Evol.* 20, 34–38. doi: 10.1016/j.meegid.2013.07.027
- Welsh, R. D., Dye, L. B., Payton, M. E., and Confer, A. W. (2004). Isolation and antimicrobial susceptibilities of bacterial pathogens from bovine pneumonia: 1994–2002. J. Vet. Diagn. Invest. 16, 426–431. doi: 10.1177/104063870401600510
- Wilkie, I., Harper, M., Boyce, J., and Adler, B. (2012). Pasteurella multocida: diseases and pathogenesis. Curr. Top. Microbiol. Immunol. 361, 1–22. doi: 10.1007/82_2012_216
- Wilson, B. A., and Ho, M. (2013). Pasteurella multocida: from zoonosis to cellular microbiology. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 26, 631–655. doi: 10.1128/CMR. 00024-13

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2018 Peng, Liang, Wang, Xu, Xie, Lian, Hua, Zhou, Chen and Wu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.