Submitted: 13-Sep-2021

Accepted: 11-Oct-2021

had

either

# Effect of Community-Based Structured Yoga Program on Hba1c Level among Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus Patients: An Interventional Study

reported a mean prevalence of 11.2% in urban areas and 5.2% in rural areas.<sup>[3]</sup>

With this rising burden of diabetes in the

country, the prevalence of diabetes in urban

metros is a cause of concern. The Center

for Cardiometabolic Risk Reduction in

South-Asia Study conducted in cohorts of

three metropolitan urban cities, namely

Chennai, Delhi, and Karachi reported that

overall 47.3%-73.1% of the population

had either diabetes or prediabetes. In Delhi,

overall 72.7% (70.6%-74.9%) population

diabetes or

where the prevalence of diabetes was

25.2% (23.6%-26.8%) and for prediabetes,

it was 47.6% (45.6%–49.5%).<sup>[4]</sup> This

prevalence is much higher than reported

in the ICMR INDIAB study. In light of

the above situation, there is a need to

look for low-cost sustainable lifestyle

interventions that are effective in offsetting

How to cite this article: Misra P, Sharma G,

Tandon N, Kant S, Sangral M, Rai SK, et al. Effect

of community-based structured yoga program on

hba1c level among type 2 diabetes mellitus patients:

Revised: 08-Oct-2021 Published: 22-Nov-2021

An interventional study. Int J Yoga 2021;14:222-8.

prediabetes

#### Abstract

Context: In view of the rising burden of type 2 diabetes mellitus (DM) cases in India, there is an urgent need for an effective, low-cost, sustainable intervention controlling diabetes thus preventing complications. Aims: This study aimed to assess the effect of structured yoga programs on diabetes. Subjects and Methods: This was a community-based interventional study that was conducted in an urban resettlement colony of Delhi, India. Known diabetes patients with glycated hemoglobin (Hb1Ac)  $\geq 6.5\%$  were enrolled from 12 randomly selected blocks of the community with a sample size of 192 in each intervention and wait-listed control arm. The intervention was structured yoga of 50 min daily, 2 consecutive weeks in a nearby park and health center followed by twice a week home practice up to the 3<sup>rd</sup> month. The primary outcome measure was HbA1c% and secondary outcome measures were lipid profile and fasting blood glucose. Statistical Analysis Used: A per-protocol analysis was done. Mean, standard deviation (SD), and 95% confidence interval were estimated. The level of significance was considered for 0.05. Results: There was a significant decrease of Hb1Ac (0.5%, SD = 1.5, P = 0.02), total cholesterol (11.7 mg/dl, SD = 40.5, P < 0.01), and low-density lipoprotein (3.2 mg/dl, SD = 37.4, P < 0.01) from baseline to end line in the intervention group. These changes in intervention group were also significantly different from the change in the wait-listed control group. The other variables did not change significantly. Conclusions: It revealed that structured yoga program improved glycemic outcome and lipid profile of individuals in a community-based setting. Yoga can be a feasible strategy to control hyperglycemia, lipid levels, and can help better control type 2 DM.

Keywords: Community, diabetes mellitus, type 2, yoga

## Introduction

Type 2 diabetes mellitus (DM) is one of the fastest-growing global health emergencies of the 21<sup>st</sup> century. Nearly half a billion people (463 million) of the world population are suffering from diabetes and this number is projected to reach 578 million by 2030 and 700 million by 2045. India follows this global trend with a prevalence of 77.0 million in 2016 that is projected to reach 101.0 million by 2030 and 134.2 million by 2045.<sup>[1]</sup>

The pace of diabetes prevalence in many countries and regions has been boosted by rapid urbanization and dramatic changes toward a sedentary lifestyle.<sup>[2]</sup> One of the earliest multicentric studies carried out by the Indian Council for Medical Research (ICMR INDIAB) in India to estimate the prevalence of diabetes in urban and rural areas of 15 Indian cities

For reprints contact: WKHLRPMedknow\_reprints@wolterskluwer.com

Puneet Misra, Gautam Sharma<sup>1</sup>, Nikhil Tandon<sup>2</sup>, Shashi Kant, Meenu Sangral, Sanjay K Rai, Kapil Yadav, Sreenivas Vishnubhatla<sup>3</sup>, Suprakash Mandal, Priyanka Kardam, Nishakar Thakur

Centre for Community Medicine, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, <sup>1</sup>Department of Cardiology, Centre for Integrative Medicine and Research, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, Departments of <sup>2</sup>Endocrinology, Metabolism and Diabetes and <sup>3</sup>Bio-statistics, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi, India

Address for correspondence: Dr. Puneet Misra, Room No. 30, Centre for Community Medicine, Old OT Block, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, Ansari Nagar East, New Delhi - 110 029, Delhi, India. E-mail: doctormisra@gmail.com



This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

diabetes and can empower the patient to institute behavior change and adhere to the complex and demanding nature of this chronic disease. Yoga, as a lifestyle intervention, has been reported to lead to beneficial health outcomes related to cardiovascular and metabolic disorders including T2-DM.<sup>[5-7]</sup> Some studies suggest the role of yoga in the management of diabetes and other conditions.<sup>[8,9]</sup> The promising benefits of yoga interventions for T2-DM have also been recorded in a recent systematic review.<sup>[7]</sup> Collectively, findings suggest that yogic practices may promote significant improvements in several indices in T2-DM management, including glycemic control, lipid levels, and body composition and overall quality of life of diabetes patients.<sup>[5,10-12]</sup>

With limited research on the usefulness of yoga in the management of diabetes, most of the studies are either facility based or have an inadequate sample size or may have lesser yoga sessions and time and short follow-up period. Hence, there is a lack of adequate scientific evidence to see its beneficial effect when practised at community-level settings, where we can find out its role in the long term with sustainable effect at no cost or with fewer resources for recreational activities and healthy lifestyle. With this background, a study was planned to see the effect of yoga in a real-life community-based setting among known diabetes rather than a facility-based setting. Hence, a community-based yoga trial was conducted to assess the effect of structured yoga program on diabetes in a population living in an urban resettlement colony that migrated from various places in Delhi in search of a better life.

## **Subjects and Methods**

## **Subjects**

This community-based yoga trial was carried out in an urban resettlement colony located in South Delhi District, Delhi. The area had a total population of about 36,000 in the year of the study. Most of the population in the area belonged to lower-middle socioeconomic status.<sup>[13]</sup> People living in the community for at least 6 months and  $\geq 18$  years of age with already diagnosed Type 2 DM and HbA1c  $\geq 6.5\%$  were included in the study. Those who were practising yoga of any type earlier, pregnant women and people with known diabetes complications such as chronic kidney disease, moderate-to-severe cardiovascular conditions; any known medical condition under treatment such as angina pectoris, asthma, bronchitis, renal diseases which prevent the participants doing yoga practice and known psychiatric conditions which prevent following yoga instructions, and physical disabilities were excluded from the study. Known diabetes patients with Hb1Ac  $\geq 6.5$ were enrolled from the 12 selected blocks of the urban community as per the estimated sample size. An estimated sample size of 192 in each arm assuming 0.5% mean difference change (in intervention and wait-listed control arm) in HbA1C with a combined standard deviation (SD) of 1.5%, at 95% confidence, 80% power, and 20% loss to follow-up in both arms was taken.<sup>[14]</sup> Ethics Committee Approval was obtained from the Institutional Ethics committee (IEC-557/03.11.2017).

### Participant recruitment and group allocation

A total of 12 selected blocks were randomly divided with 6 blocks each in intervention and wait-listed control block. The term wait-listed control implied the group of participants who will not receive the intervention but put on a waitlist list to receive intervention after the active treatment group does. The blocks for intervention and wait-listed control were situated in the locality in a way where mixing could be avoided to the maximum between the study participants. The research team conducted a household survey of 7779 houses, where we could find 849 patients with a history of diabetes. Out of these 849 diabetes patients, 235 patients were excluded as they did not meet the inclusion criteria [Figure 1]. Out of the remaining 614 patients, 401 patients consented to be part of the study. Of these 401 participants, 209 participants were residing in the intervention block, while 192 participants were in the wait-listed control block.

#### **Intervention procedure**

Participants enrolled from the selected intervention block were given an instructor-driven structured yoga program in different batches for 50 min early morning, daily for 2 consecutive weeks in an urban health center and nearby park located in the study area. It was advised to keep bowel and bladder emptied before vogic practice. The yoga module was prepared by professional yoga therapists from the study institution. It was 50 min in duration and consisted of stretching and breathing exercises, light exercises, asanas in sitting and standing position (Vakrasana, Ardhamatsyendrasan, Trikonasana, etc.) as recommended by yogic experts in diabetes, Pranayama (Nadi Shuddhi, Vibhagiya Pranayam, etc.), dhyaan, and "Aum" chanting [Figure 2]. The yoga sessions were conducted by a qualified yoga instructor having a professional qualification in Yoga Arts. For each participant for the initial 2 weeks, the yoga sessions were practised under the supervision of a yoga instructor in the designated center. Out of 14 sessions in the 2 weeks, at least 80% attendance was required. Those participants completing  $\geq 80\%$  of sessions at center were requested to continue practice at home at least two sessions/ week for the rest of the period of 3 months. The voga instructor and field research team visited each participant twice a week to record the compliance of home practice sessions, recording any side effects and motivating them. The assessment of the biochemical variables was done at baseline and at the end of 3 months periods for the participants of both intervention and wait-list controlled



Figure 1: Flow diagram of study participant enrollment and follow up

groups. The details of the structured yoga program are attached in Figure 2.

Participants enrolled in the selected control block (taking medication as prescribed by their physicians) were not given any intervention; however, they were kept as the wait-listed control group and were asked to join the program after 3 months of follow-up. Similar biochemical parameters were recorded at the baseline and at 3 months and were compared with the intervention group to see the effect of yoga on diabetes management.

#### **Outcome measures**

glycated outcome The primary measure was hemoglobin (HbA1c). A mean change of 0.5% was expected in each arm, i.e. intervention and wait-listed control arm. The secondary outcome (considered as other markers of diabetes management) measures were fasting blood glucose (FBG), total cholesterol, triglycerides, high-density lipoprotein (HDL), and low-density lipoprotein (LDL). All the biochemical parameters were analyzed using a standardized method. All the participants were informed a day before to come in empty stomachs for the blood sampling. Five milliliter of venous blood from the median cubital vein was drawn in the morning fasting state to conduct abovementioned blood investigations. HbA1c% was measured by liquid high-performance chromatography (HPLC) method (Machine name: Arkray Hplc Hba1c Analyzer, Ha-8180 v). The serum lipid profile was measured by selective inhibition and the CHOD-PAP method (Machine name: BIOLIS 24i).

#### Data management and statistical analysis

Data entry was done using Epi Info software. Following data cleaning, data analysis was done using Stata software version 13. Mean, SD, proportion, and 95% confidence interval (CI) were computed for all the continuous and categorical variables. Paired *t*-test was applied to compare within-group baseline value to end line of the continuous variables with normal distribution. Independent *t*-test was applied to compare the normally distributed variables between intervention and wait-list group, whereas Wilcoxon rank-sum test and Wilcoxon signed-rank test were used to test the variables with nonnormal distribution between two groups and within-group respectively. The level of statistical significance considered for this study was 0.05. A per-protocol analysis was done in view of the lost to follow-up.<sup>[15]</sup>

#### Results

The number of total enrolled participants was 401, out of which 209 participants were in the intervention group and 192 participants in the wait-listed control group. In the intervention group, out of 209 participants, 177 participants completed the minimum 80% supervised sessions. Hence, these 177 participants were included in the home practice. The remaining 32 participants who did not complete were excluded from the study. Hence, the total number of

participants considered in the analysis at the beginning of the study was 177 participants in the intervention group and 192 participants in the wait-listed control group. After 3 months, follow-up of 164 participants in the intervention group and 157 participants in the wait-listed control group was completed. The reasons for lost to follow-up were mostly personal reasons related to household work, children, migration, the issue of time, etc.

The mean age of the participants in the intervention group was 52.8 years (SD 10.1) with 59.9% female and 40.1% male participants. The mean age of the participants in the wait-listed control group was 54.2 years (SD 11.2) years with 56.8% female and 43.2% male participants. The distribution of age and sex was comparable between the intervention and wait-listed control group [Table 1].

The baseline biochemical parameters of the study participants such as mean FBG, HbA1c, HDL, and LDL between the two groups were comparable [Table 2].

The analysis of the baseline and end-line biochemical variables within each group [Table 3] showed that there

Figure 2: Structured Yoga Program (Name and duration		
of asanas included in yogic practic	e)	
Practice	Duration	
Prayer	1 min	
Practice breathing		
Stretching and breathing	2 min	
Hands in and out	2 min	
Ankle breathing	2 min	
Breathing process	1 min	
Leg straightening breathing process	2 min	
IRT (Immediate Relaxation Technique)	1 min	
Light exercise		
Slow jogging/slow jogging	2 min	
Walking process	1 min	
QRT (Quick Relaxation Technique)	3 min	
Asanas (Asanas in standing position)		
Ardhakti Chakrasan (Half wheel)	1 min	
Ardha Chakrasan	1 min	
Vakrasan (spinal twist)	1 min	
Trikonasana (triangle pose)	1 min	
Asana (Asana in sitting position)		
Vakrasana (Half twist)	1 min	
Ardhamatsyendrasana	1 min	
DRT (Deep Relaxation Technique)	7 min	
Pranayama (yogic breathing)		
Vibhagiya Pranayam	5 min	
Nadi shuddhi	1 min	
Nabanasandha posture	5 min	
Bhramari pranayam	2 min	
Dhyaan		
"Aum" chanting or any other prayer	7 min	
as per their religious beliefs		
Total time	50 min	

was a significant decrease of FBG from  $193.7 \pm 80.0 \text{ mg/dl}$ to  $174.6 \pm 74.3$  mg/dl in the intervention group (P < 0.01), whereas the wait-listed control group did not show any significant changes (P = 0.68). The HbA1c in the intervention group decreased from  $8.8 \pm 1.8$  to  $8.2 \pm 1.9$  and the change was statistically significant (P < 0.01), but in the waitlisted control group, there were no significant changes (P = 0.22). The serum cholesterol in the intervention group decreased from 195.5  $\pm$  43.3 to 183.7  $\pm$  40.9 significantly (P < 0.01). The LDL also decreased significantly from  $116.7 \pm 37.3$ to  $103.4 \pm 36.5$  (P < 0.01) in the intervention group. There was no significant change found in the TGL and HDL variables of the intervention group. The wait-list control group also did not show any significant changes in any variables after 3 months compared to the baseline value [Table 3].

Baseline to end-line difference within group showed that there was a decrease of 19.1 mg/dl (95% CI: 7.2–31.0) in FBG level in the intervention group and an increase of 1.1 mg/dl (95% CI: 14.9–12.6) in the wait-list control group [Table 4]. These baseline to end-line changes were not statistically different between the intervention and wait-list control group (P = 0.08). The mean decrease of HbA1c level in the intervention group was 0.5 (95% CI: 0.3–0.8) compared to 0.1 (95% CI: 0.1–0.4) decrease in the waitlisted control group. These changes of HbA1c were different between the two groups with statistical significance (P = 0.02) [Table 4].

Among the secondary outcomes, in the intervention group, the mean decrease of cholesterol from baseline was 11.7 mg/dL (95% CI 5.5–18.0) compared to an increase of 2.6 mg/dl (95% CI: 9.3–4.1) in the wait-list group. The changes were different between the groups with statistical significance (P < 0.01) [Table 4].

Serum LDL in the intervention group decreased by a mean of 13.2 mg/dl (95% CI: 7.5–19.0), whereas in the wait-list control group, there was a mean increase of 2.9 mg/dl (95% CI: 9.1–3.3). These changes were different between the two groups with statistical significance (P < 0.01). In the intervention group, there was an increase of 0.9 mg/dl (95% CI: 16.5–14.7) of mean serum triglyceride level compared to a decrease of 4.0 mg/dl (95% CI: 8.2–16.3) in the

Table 1: Baseline demographic information of studyparticipants in intervention group and wait-listed				
Variables	control grou Intervention group	up Wait-listed control group	Total ( <i>n</i> =369)	
Age (years), mean±SD	( <i>n</i> =177) 52.8±10.1	( <i>n</i> =192) 54.2±11.2	53.3±10.7	
Sex, <i>n</i> (%)				
Female	106 (59.9)	109 (56.8)	215 (58.2)	
Male	71 (40.1)	83 (43.2)	154 (41.8)	

SD=Standard deviation

waitlisted control group. The changes were not statistically significant (P = 0.65) between the two groups. The HDL level in the intervention group showed an increase of 1.3 mg/dl (95% CI: 3.0–0.4) compared to a rise of 0.4 mg/dl (95% CI: 2.3–1.5) in the waitlisted control group; however, these changes were not statistically significant between the groups.(P = 0.48) [Table 4]. No side effects due to yoga practice were reported by the participants.

## Discussion

This current study was one of the very few community-based studies with an adequate sample size conducted in the urban setting of Delhi to see the effect of yoga among adults with type 2 diabetes.

Table 2: Description of baseline values of different		
biochemical variables in intervention and wait-listed		
control group		

Variables	Mean±SD		
	Intervention group ( <i>n</i> =177)	Wait-listed control group ( <i>n</i> =192)	
FBG (mg %)	194.1±79.2	201.6±83.5	
Hb1Ac (%)	8.8±1.8	9.0±1.9	
Cholesterol (mg/dL)	197.2±43.4	185.3±47.4	
Triglycerides (mg/dL)	200.1±98.2	184.0±96.9	
HDL (mg/dL)	39.7±10.2	38.0±9.9	
LDL (mg/dL)	117.4±37.2	110.4±40.6	

FBG=Fasting blood glucose, Hb1Ac=Glycosylated hemoglobin, HDL=High-density lipoprotein, LDL=Low-density lipoprotein, SD=Standard deviation The present study found a favorable role of yoga in the glycemic control of diabetes. From the statistical analysis of the results obtained in the present study and their comparison with other published research, it can be said that yoga helps in reducing Hb1Ac and fasting blood glucose levels and improve other indices of importance in DM2 management.

In the present study, there was a significant decrease in glycosylated hemoglobin (Hb1Ac) and fasting blood glucose levels among known diabetes practising yoga regularly. These findings are concordant with the findings reported in previous studies<sup>[14,16-18]</sup> whereas in contrast to some other studies.<sup>[19]</sup> Studies in countries outside India give mixed reports with some reporting a significant improvement in HbA1c and fasting blood glucose profiles, whereas another exploratory study in the UK showed only a marginal decline in HbA1c.<sup>[20,21]</sup> However, the exact cause of the reduction in HbA1c is not known. As per the review by Mahajan, yoga practice has a physiological mechanism reducing stress, influencing the hypothalamopituitaryadrenal axis, and improving the balance of the autonomic nervous system and hormonal system.<sup>[22]</sup> It also protects the patients from early development of various vascular complications of DM.<sup>[17]</sup>

Other findings showed an improvement in lipid profile among the intervention group compared to the waitlisted control group. In the yoga group, there was a decrease in cholesterol and LDL levels that may help prevent the early development of comorbid conditions such as hypertension

group and the wait-list control group						
Variables	Intervention group ( <i>n</i> =164)		Wait-listed contro	Wait-listed control group (n=157)		
	Mean±SD	Pa	Mean±SD	$P^{\mathrm{a}}$		
FBG (mg %) <sup>b</sup>						
Baseline	193.7±80.0	< 0.01	203.1±82.4	0.68 <sup>b</sup>		
End line	174.6±74.3		204.3±92.5			
Hb1Ac %						
Baseline	8.8±1.8	< 0.01	9.1±1.9	0.22		
End line	8.2±1.9		8.9±2.1			
Cholesterol (mg/dL)						
Baseline	195.5±43.3	< 0.01	186.1±48.1	0.44		
End line	183.7±40.9		188.7±43.3			
TGs <sup>b</sup> (mg/dL)						
Baseline	194.0±95.6	0.56	185.2±98.0	0.97 <sup>b</sup>		
End line	195.0±103.6		181.2±79.6			
HDL (mg/dL)						
Baseline	39.9±10.4	0.12	38.2±9.9	0.64		
End-line	41.3±11.2		38.7±9.9			
LDL (mg/dL) <sup>b</sup>						
Baseline	116.7±37.3	< 0.01	110.7±40.8	0.26 <sup>b</sup>		
End line	103.4±36.5		113.7±39.4			

 Table 3: Within-group analysis of the baseline and end-line values of the biochemical variables in the intervention group and the wait-list control group

<sup>a</sup>Paired *t*-test was applied for the variables assessed at baseline and end line, <sup>b</sup>Wilcoxon signed-rank test was applied. TGs=Triglycerides, FBG=Fasting blood glucose, Hb1Ac=Glycosylated hemoglobin, SD=Standard deviation, HDL=High-density lipoprotein, LDL=Low-density lipoprotein

Table 4: Between-group comparisons of mean differences in biochemical parameters from baseline to end line				
Intervention group	Wait-list control group	Difference of Δ <sup>a</sup> (95% CI)	Pb	
19.1±77.3	-1.1±87.3	-20.3 (-38.42.1)	0.08°	
7.2-31.0	-14.9-12.6			
0.5±1.5	0.1±1.8	-0.4 (-0.70.04)	0.02	
0.3-0.8	-0.1-0.4			
11.7±40.5	$-2.6\pm42.7$	-14.3 (-23.55.2)	< 0.01	
5.5-18.0	-9.3-4.1			
$-0.9 \pm 101.4$	4.0±78.1	4.9 (-15.0-24.8)	0.65°	
-16.5-14.7	-8.2-16.3			
-1.3±11.2	-0.4±12.1	0.9 (-1.6-3.4)	0.48	
-3.0-0.4	-2.3-1.5			
13.2±37.4	$-2.9\pm39.4$	-16.2 (-24.77.8)	<0.01°	
7.5-19.0	-9.1-3.3			
	ns of mean differenc           Intervention           group           19.1±77.3           7.2-31.0           0.5±1.5           0.3-0.8           11.7±40.5           5.5-18.0           -0.9±101.4           -16.5-14.7           -1.3±11.2           -3.0-0.4           13.2±37.4           7.5-19.0	ns of mean differences in biochemical paraInterventionWait-listgroupcontrol group $19.1\pm77.3$ $-1.1\pm87.3$ $7.2-31.0$ $-14.9-12.6$ $0.5\pm1.5$ $0.1\pm1.8$ $0.3-0.8$ $-0.1-0.4$ $11.7\pm40.5$ $-2.6\pm42.7$ $5.5-18.0$ $-9.3-4.1$ $-0.9\pm101.4$ $4.0\pm78.1$ $-16.5-14.7$ $-8.2-16.3$ $-1.3\pm11.2$ $-0.4\pm12.1$ $-3.0-0.4$ $-2.3-1.5$ $13.2\pm37.4$ $-2.9\pm39.4$ $7.5-19.0$ $-9.1-3.3$	ns of mean differences in biochemical parameters from baseline to eInterventionWait-listDifference ofgroupcontrol group $\Delta^a$ (95% CI)19.1 $\pm$ 77.3 $-1.1\pm$ 87.3 $-20.3$ ( $-38.42.1$ )7.2-31.0 $-14.9-12.6$ 0.5 $\pm$ 1.50.1 $\pm$ 1.8 $-0.4$ ( $-0.70.04$ )0.3-0.8 $-0.1-0.4$ 11.7 $\pm$ 40.5 $-2.6\pm$ 42.7 $-14.3$ ( $-23.55.2$ )5.5-18.0 $-9.3-4.1$ $-0.9\pm101.4$ $4.0\pm$ 78.1 $4.9$ ( $-15.0-24.8$ ) $-16.5-14.7$ $-8.2-16.3$ $-1.3\pm11.2$ $-0.4\pm12.1$ $0.9$ ( $-1.6-3.4$ ) $-3.0-0.4$ $-2.3-1.5$ $13.2\pm$ 37.4 $-2.9\pm$ 39.4 $-16.2$ ( $-24.7-7.8$ ) $7.5-19.0$ $-9.1-3.3$	

 $^{a}\Delta$ =Mean difference (0<sup>th</sup> month value 3<sup>rd</sup> month value), <sup>b</sup>Unpaired *t*-test was applied, <sup>c</sup>Wilcoxon rank-sum test was applied. FBG=Fasting blood glucose, Hb1Ac=Glycosylated hemoglobin, SD=Standard deviation, HDL=High-density lipoprotein, LDL=Low-density lipoprotein, CI=Confidence interval

and coronary artery disease in case of type 2 DM patients. The study findings are in line with the severalstudies conducted around the world.<sup>[23-26]</sup>

In addition to this, among the intervention group, the study results showed a decrease in triglyceride levels and a rise in HDL levels. However, there was no statistical significance within these parameters. These findings are consistent with the findings reported by Azami *et al.* wherein no significant change was observed in triglyceride and HDL levels after 26 weeks of yoga intervention.<sup>[25]</sup> However, another study conducted by Shantakumari *et al.* reported contrary findings wherein a significant change in TG and HDL levels of diabetic patients was observed.<sup>[26,27]</sup>

The structured yoga program has designed after an extensive literature review by professional yoga therapists which was a combination of asana and breathing exercises targeted at the disease under study. Since more than one-third of the urban population of most of the Indian cities are from low socioeconomic slum areas,<sup>[28]</sup> this home-based yoga practice by the community people increases the generalizability of the result. Compliance with the intervention protocol was found in more than two-third of the study participants. The sample size of the study was adequate and the dropout rate was comparatively less than expected. The ease of use, safety, and potential benefits of yoga in a community setting has led it to be more widely accepted.

Since the study population chosen from the community also included migratory population, hence lost to follow-up of the study participants was expected, which is not the case with a small sample size and facility-based studies. The sustainability of the home-based yoga practice after the study period without follow-up could not be assessed. The long-term control of diabetes and lipid profile was not assessed. We faced challenges during the recruitment phase and during follow up due to practical and motivational barriers among the participants to adhere to the yoga intervention. Moreover, choosing the participants randomly could have been improved the strength of evidence.

### Conclusion

The current study reveals a favorable role of yoga to improve glycemic outcome and lipid profile of individuals with diabetes even in community based setting. It can be considered as a feasible strategy in resource-constraint settings and low socioeconomic areas. However, rigorously designed randomized controlled trials are needed to examine the long-term efficacy of yoga in this population. Future studies should include assessments of behavioral (e.g. diet, physical activity) and psychological (e.g. stress, depression) factors which may act as potential mediators of the effect of yoga for improved clinical outcomes in patients with T2DM and its complications.

### Acknowledgment

This project was an initiative of the Center for Community Medicine, AIIMS, New Delhi, aiming to document the beneficial effect of the structured yoga program in specific clinical conditions (such as diabetes). We express special thanks to all the participating subjects who had allowed us to learn and help. This study was funded by SATYAM, Kiran Division, Department of Science and Technology (SR/SATYAM/48/2016[G]), Ministry of Science and Technology, Government of India, Technology Bhavan, New Mehrauli Road, New Delhi, India.

#### **Ethical clearance**

Ethics Committee Approval was obtained from the Institutional Ethics committee of All India Institute of Medical Sciences (IEC-557/03.11.2017).

#### Financial support and sponsorship

SATYAM, Kiran Division, Department of Science and Technology [SR/SATYAM/48/2016(G)], Ministry of Science and Technology, Government of India, Technology Bhavan, New Mehrauli Road, New Delhi, India.

#### **Conflicts of interest**

There are no conflicts of interest.

## References

- International Diabetes Federation. IDF Diabetes Atlas. 9th ed. Brussels, Belgium: International Diabetes Federation; 2019.
- Roglic G; World Health Organization, editors. Global Report on Diabetes. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 2016. p. 86.
- Anjana RM, Deepa M, Pradeepa R, Mahanta J, Narain K, Das HK, *et al.* Prevalence of diabetes and prediabetes in 15 states of India: Results from the ICMR-INDIAB population-based cross-sectional study. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol 2017;5:585-96.
- 4. Deepa M, Grace M, Binukumar B, Pradeepa R, Roopa S, Khan HM, *et al.* High burden of prediabetes and diabetes in three large cities in South Asia: The center for cArdio-metabolic risk reduction in South Asia (CARRS) study. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 2015;110:172-82.
- Arumugam G, Nagarathna R, Majumdar V, Singh M, Srinivasalu R, Sanjival R, *et al.* Yoga-based lifestyle treatment and composite treatment goals in Type 2 diabetes in a rural South Indian setup – A retrospective study. Sci Rep 2020;10:6402.
- 6. Thind H, Lantini R, Balletto BL, Donahue ML, Salmoirago-Blotcher E, Bock BC, *et al.* The effects of yoga among adults with type 2 diabetes: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Prev Med 2017;105:116-26.
- Innes KE, Selfe TK. Yoga for adults with type 2 diabetes: A systematic review of controlled trials. J Diabetes Res 2016;2016:1-23.
- 8. Okonta NR. Does yoga therapy reduce blood pressure in patients with hypertension? An integrative review. Holist Nurs Pract 2012;26:137-41.
- Satish L, Lakshmi VS. Impact of individualized yoga therapy on perceived quality of life performance on cognitive tasks and depression among Type II diabetic patients. Int J Yoga 2016;9:130-6.
- Gordon LA, Morrison EY, McGrowder DA, Young R, Fraser YT, Zamora EM, *et al.* Effect of exercise therapy on lipid profile and oxidative stress indicators in patients with type 2 diabetes. BMC Complement Altern Med 2008;8:21.
- 11. Singh S, Kyizom T, Singh KP, Tandon OP, Madhu SV. Influence of pranayamas and yoga-asanas on serum insulin, blood glucose and lipid profile in type 2 diabetes. Indian J Clin Biochem 2008;23:365-8.
- Ramamoorthi R, Gahreman D, Skinner T, Moss S. The effect of yoga practice on glycemic control and other health parameters in the prediabetic state: A systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS One 2019;14:e0221067.
- 13. Aparna P, Salve HR, Krishnan A, Ramakrishnan L,

Gupta SK, Nongkynrih B. Salt intake among women in an Urban resettlement colony of Delhi. Indian J Public Health 2020;64:393-7.

- Cui J, Yan J, Yan L, Pan L, Le J, Guo Y. Effects of yoga in adults with type 2 diabetes mellitus: A meta-analysis. J Diabetes Investig 2017;8:201-9.
- 15. Gupta SK. Intention-to-treat concept: A review. Perspect Clin Res 2011;2:109-12.
- 16. Dash S, Thakur A. Effect of yoga in patient's with type-II diabetes mellitus. J Evol Med Dent Sci 2014;3:1642-55.
- Jali M, Deginal RB, Ghagane S, Jali SM, Shitole AA. The influence of yoga therapy in adults with type 2 diabetes mellitus: A single-center study. Yoga Mimamsa 2017;49:9-12.
- Jayawardena R, Ranasinghe P, Chathuranga T, Atapattu PM, Misra A. The benefits of yoga practice compared to physical exercise in the management of type 2 Diabetes Mellitus: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Diabetes Metab Syndr 2018;12:795-805.
- 19. McDermott KA, Rao MR, Nagarathna R, Murphy EJ, Burke A, Nagendra RH, *et al.* A yoga intervention for type 2 diabetes risk reduction: A pilot randomized controlled trial. BMC Complement Altern Med 2014;14:212.
- 20. Innes KE, Bourguignon C, Taylor AG. Risk indices associated with the insulin resistance syndrome, cardiovascular disease, and possible protection with yoga: A systematic review. J Am Board Fam Pract 2005;18:491-519.
- Skoro-Kondza L, Tai SS, Gadelrab R, Drincevic D, Greenhalgh T. Community based yoga classes for type 2 diabetes: An exploratory randomised controlled trial. BMC Health Serv Res 2009;9:33.
- 22. Mahajan AS. Role of yoga in hormonal homeostasis. Int J Clin Exp Physiol 2014;1:173-8.
- Nagarathna R, Usharani MR, Rao AR, Chaku R, Kulkarni R, Nagendra HR. Efficacy of yoga based life style modification program on medication score and lipid profile in type 2 diabetes – A randomized control study. Int J Diabetes Dev Ctries 2012;32:122-30.
- Cramer H, Lauche R, Haller H, Steckhan N, Michalsen A, Dobos G. Effects of yoga on cardiovascular disease risk factors: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Cardiol 2014;173:170-83.
- Azami M, Hafezi Ahmadi MR, YektaKooshali MH, Qavam S. Effect of Yoga on lipid profile and C-reactive protein in women. Int J Prev Med 2019;10:81.
- 26. Shantakumari N, Sequeira S, El deeb R. Effects of a yoga intervention on lipid profiles of diabetes patients with dyslipidemia. Indian Heart J 2013;65:127-31.
- 27. Shaban A, Kourtit K, Nijkamp P. India's Urban system: Sustainability and imbalanced growth of cities. Sustainability 2020;12:1-20.
- World Health Organization. Global status report on noncommunicable diseases 2014 [Internet]. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2014. Available from: https://apps.who.int/ iris/handle/10665/148114". [Last cited on 2021 Nov 08].