Journal of Gynecologic Oncology

pISSN 2005-0380 eISSN 2005-0399



Prediction of a high-risk group based on postoperative nadir CA-125 levels in patients with advanced epithelial ovarian cancer

Sokbom Kang¹*, Tae-Joong Kim²*, Sang-Soo Seo¹, Byoung-Gie Kim², Duk-Soo Bae², Sang-Yoon Park¹ ¹Branch of Uterine Cancer, Research Institute and Hospital, National Cancer Center, Goyang, ²Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Samsung Medical Center, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Seoul, Korea

Objective: We aimed to determine the ideal cut-off of nadir serum CA-125 level for prediction of progression free survival. **Methods:** Among 267 patients who achieved complete remission after chemotherapy, the correlation between nadir CA-125 and progression free survival were compared among the subgroups classified according to the distribution of CA-125. The diagnostic odds ratio and area under the receiver operator characteristics curve were compared at various cut-off points. **Results:** The nadir CA-125 levels did not have prognostic value under 12 U/mL (to 75 percentile). In contrast, they were significantly correlated with progression free survival only when the CA-125 level was greater than 12, which was 75 percentile (p=0.034). In predicting progression free survival <6 and 12 months, the cut-off value of 18 (90 percentile) showed superior diagnostic performance over 10 or 12 U/mL. Compared with patients who showed nadir levels between 0 and 12 U/mL (0 to 75 percentile), those with nadir >18 U/mL showed a hazard ratio of 2.85 (95% confidence interval, 1.70 to 4.76; p<0.001); patients with nadir levels between 18 and 12 U/mL showed a the hazard ratio of 1.68 (95% confidence interval, 1.11 to 2.56; p=0.015) compared with those whose nadir levels were under 12 U/mL.

Conclusion: The predictive power of the traditional cut-off of 10 U/mL to classify a risk group or to identify high risk patients was unsatisfactory. The optimal diagnostic performance was observed at the cut-off of 18 U/mL and this can be proposed to dichotomize cut-off values to predict outcomes among individual patients.

Keywords: Biomarker, CA-125, Ovarian cancer, Prognosis, Progression free survival, Risk factor

INTRODUCTION

Epithelial ovarian cancer (EOC) is the leading cause of gynecologic cancer death in Western countries [1]. Despite

Received Mar 8, 2011, Revised Jun 8, 2011, Accepted Jun 14, 2011

*Both authors contributed equally.

Correspondence to Sokborn Kang

Branch of Uterine Cancer, Research Institute and Hospital, National Cancer Center, Madu-dong, Ilsan-gu, Goyang 411-769, Korea. Tel: 82-31-920-2382, Fax: 82-31-920-1238, E-mail: sokbom@ncc.re.kr standardized treatment consisting of cytoreductive surgery followed by platinum-based systemic chemotherapy, the prognosis of patients with advanced ovarian cancer is poor and the majority of them experience recurrences [2,3]. As a result, there has been considerable interest in developing predictive markers to assess the risk of a relapse in advanced EOC patients. The most famous biomarker in EOC is CA-125, which has been used in both diagnosis of the disease and monitoring of treatment [4-6]. Recent evidence indicates that the nadir CA-125 level after completion of primary treatment was associated with prognosis [7-11]. Though the use of prog-

Copyright © 2011. Asian Society of Gynecologic Oncology, Korean Society of Gynecologic Oncology

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

nostic information is not well studied, some have proposed its possible role in the stratification of patients in clinical trials and planning further treatment [9,10].

Many researchers have usually used the cut-off value of 10 and/or 20 U/mL [7,9-11]. Some suggested 12 U/mL as a cut-off value based on the median CA-125 level of their study cohort [8]. However, there was still no consensus about the proper cut-off value of nadir CA-125 that can discriminate patients with better prognosis from those with poorer outcome. If we should stratify the trial group or plan a novel consolidation treatment to improve the outcome of the poor prognostic group, what cut-off value should we adopt for discriminating the patients? Can we classify patients with a nadir level of 10.5 as belonging to an unfavorable group, while classify others with a nadir level of 9.5 as favorable? The current study was designed to answer this question and to provide a possible algorithm to determine a non-arbitrary cut-off value of nadir CA-125. Here, we show the discrimination performance at various cut-off level of nadir CA-125 which help to determine the ideal cut-off value of post-operative CA-125 in order to discriminate between high- and low-risk groups.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

From two institutions, the National Cancer Center (Goyang, Korea) and the Samsung Medical Center (Seoul, Korea), we identified 436 patients who had undergone surgery for advanced (stage III or IV) EOC between January 2001 and December 2007, consecutively. Institutional review board approval was exempted because the patient information was de-identified. All patients treated at the institution during that time period were included in the analysis; those with borderline tumors were excluded. The inclusion criteria were as follows: 1) serum CA-125 levels at the time of diagnosis >35 U/mL, 2) clinical, biochemical (post-treatment serum CA-125 ≤35 U/mL) and radiologic complete remission (CR), and 3) available records for CA-125 levels at the time of diagnosis and at its nadir. The pretreatment CA-125 level was required to be reported within 4 weeks from the time of diagnosis. The post-treatment CA-125 level was required to be reported after 2 weeks but within 4 weeks from the end of chemotherapy. To determine nadir CA-125 levels, at least two serial serum CA-125 level determinations with at least 2 weeks interval were required within 4 months following the end of treatment. With these inclusion criteria, 267 patients were finally selected for the analysis. The data from these patients were used in a previous publication by our group [11].

The medical records of these patients were reviewed and

the following information were collected: age at diagnosis, tumor grade and histology, tumor stage, serum CA-125 levels at the time of diagnosis, optimality of cytoreductive surgery, nadir serum CA-125 concentration, and timing of recurrence and/or disease status at the last follow-up. The serum CA-125 level was determined with a radioimmunoassay. No centralized measurement was applied. All patients were surgically staged according to the International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics (FIGO) system. Optimal cytoreduction was defined as no residual tumor measuring ≥ 1 cm in the maximal dimension at the completion of the surgical procedure. After surgery, all patients received adjuvant chemotherapy with carboplatin (area under the curve of 5) and paclitaxel (175 mg/ m²) for six to nine cycles. When a patient is in poor medical condition or when preoperative radiologic imaging suggests unsuccessful optimal surgery, neoadjuvant chemotherapy based on carboplatin and paclitaxel was administered based on the clinician's decision.

The progression-free survival (PFS) was the primary endpoint, and was defined as the interval between the date of initial treatment until the last date of follow-up, or the date of recurrence. After CR, all the patients were followed-up with an interval of less than 3 months. Serum CA-125 was obtained at each visit. Disease recurrence was defined as the appearance of a new lesion, or the reappearance of any lesion that had disappeared on diagnostic imaging (computed tomography [CT] and magnetic resonance imaging [MRI]).

All statistical analyses were performed using STATA ver. 10.0 (College Station, TX, USA). The survival distributions were estimated by the Kaplan-Meier method, and statistical significance was determined by the log-rank test and Cox's proportional hazard model analysis. The formula for a positive likelihood ratio (LR) is sensitivity/(1-specificity), and the formula for a negative LR is (1-sensitivity)/specificity. A clinically useful test was defined as having a positive LR >5.0 and a negative LR <0.2. All p-values presented were two-sided, and associations were considered significant if the p-value was <0.05.

RESULTS

The clinical and pathological characteristics of 267 patients are summarized in Table 1. The median age was 55 years and 59.6% of the patients had optimal cytoreduction during their surgery. The median follow-up time was 41.9 months and the median PFS was 21.2 months (95% confidence interval [CI], 18.0 to 22.9 months). The patients who had nadir CA-125 levels under 10 U/mL showed significantly better survival compared with patients with nadir between 10 and 35 U/mL (log

Table 1. Characteristics of 267 advanced epithelial ovarian cancerpatients who achieved complete remission and had initially elevatedserum CA-125 levels

Characteristics	Values
Age at the time of diagnosis	55 (26-84)
Histology	
Serous	213 (79.8)
Non-serous	54 (20.2)
Stage	
FIGO stage III	233 (87.3)
FIGO stage IV	34 (12.7)
Initial CA-125 levels (U/mL)	1,129 (35.3-33,270)
Residual tumor size	
Less than 1 cm (optimal)	159 (59.6)
1 cm or more (suboptimal)	108 (40.4)

Values are presented as median (range) or number (%).



Analysis time

rank test, p=0.0001).

The distribution of nadir CA-125 level is shown in Fig. 1A. It showed a skewed distribution. Nadir CA-125 levels from half of the patients were located between 7.3 and 12.1 U/mL. Therefore, we calculated the logarithm of CA-125 and the corresponding distribution (Fig. 1B). In all Cox's hazard models of the current study, the logarithm transformation was applied for nadir CA-125 level. Then, we divided the patients by median level of nadir CA-125, which is 9.3 U/mL. As expected, we observed that PFS was strongly correlated with nadir CA-125 levels (p=0.002) (Fig.1C). Next, we divided the group by 25, 50, and 75 percentiles of nadir CA-125 (7.3, 9.3, and 12.1 U/mL) and tested the difference in PFS among the four groups. Interestingly, the PFS of the 50-75 percentile group, and even from that of the 0-25 percentile group. However, only the 75-



Fig. 1. Logarithm transformation of nadir CA-125 levels and estimation of progression free survival function using Kaplan-Meyer curve. (A) Skewed distribution of nadir CA-125 levels. (B) After logarithm transformation, distribution of nadir CA-125 levels showed normal distribution. (C) Kaplan-Meyer survival curve showed significant difference of progression free survival between patients with nadir CA-125

Meyer survival curve showed significant difference of progression free survival between patients with nadir CA-125

Meyer survival curve showed significant difference of progression free survival between patients with nadir CA-125

Meyer survival curve showed significant difference of progression free survival between patients with nadir CA-125

Meyer survival curve showed significant difference of progression free survival between patients with nadir CA-125

Meyer survival curve showed significant difference of progression free survival according to nadir CA-125

Meyer survival curve showed significant difference of progression free survival according to nadir CA-125 levels (p<0.001).</td>

Table 2. Multivariate Cox's proportional hazard model of progression free survival within the subgroups classified according to the nadir CA-125 levels

Nadir CA-125 levels	Covariates	Hazard ratio (95% CI)*	p-value
0-25 percentile (n=67)	Optimal cytoreduction	2.99 (1.44-6.22)	0.003
	Log (nadir CA-125)	1.85 (0.62-5.54)	0.269
25-75 percentile (n=134)	Optimal cytoreduction	1.17 (0.73-1.87)	0.524
	Log (nadir CA-125)	1.94 (0.38-9.81)	0.422
75-100 percentile (n=66)	Optimal cytoreduction	0.94 (0.49-1.78)	0.842
	Log (nadir CA-125)	3.28 (1.10-9.83)	0.034

Cl, confidence interval.

*All models was adjusted by age at the time of diagnosis and optimal debulking status (residual mass <1 cm).

Percentile (CA-125 level, U/mL)	Diagnostic performance			
	Accuracy (%)	Positive LR	Specificity (%)	PPV (%)
Prediction of PFS < 6 mo				
10 (Reference)	61.2	1.9	60.2	11.0
12 (75 percentile)	76.5	3.0	77.0	16.4
18 (90 percentile)	89.2	6.1	91.8	28.6
Prediction of PFS < 12 mo				
10 (Reference)	66.4	2.0	66.2	32.7
12 (75 percentile)	74.8	2.6	81.1	38.7
18 (90 percentile)	80.8	4.4	94.0	52.0

LR, likelihood ratio; PPV, positive predictive value; PFS, progression free survival.

100 percentile group showed significantly different PFS from the other groups (p<0.001) (Fig. 1D). Then, we compared the hazard ratios in order to show that nadir CA-125 was a weak predictor among the 25-75 percentile group (Table 2). We tested age, histology, stage, initial CA-125 level, optimality of cytoreduction as predictors, and age and optimality of cytoreduction were found to be significant predictors for multivariate analysis. The logarithm of nadir CA-125 level was not associated with hazard ratio within each group except for the 75-100 percentile group (p=0.034).

Based on the above observations, we tested the diagnostic performance of several provisional cut-off values of nadir CA-125 (10, 12 and 18 U/mL) in predicting PFS at 6 and 12 months (Table 3). In the prediction of PFS <6 months and <12 months, the cut-off value of 18 U/mL showed the highest accuracy (89.2% and 80.8%, respectively), while accuracy was lowest in the cut-off level of 10 U/mL. If we may weigh the predicting power of the high-risk group greater than classification accuracy, positive LR and specificity should be of interest. Again, the cut-off value of 18 U/mL showed the highest positive LR and specificity in prediction of PFS <6 months and <12 months. As a result, the cut-off of 18 U/mL showed the **Table 4.** Cox proportional hazard model of progression free survivalwith the new classifier of nadir CA-125 levels

	Hazard ratio (95% CI)	p-value
Age at the time of diagnosis	1.01 (0.99-1.02)	0.306
Optimal cytoreduction	1.29 (0.94-1.77)	0.117
Nadir CA-125 levels		
0 to 75 percentile (0-12.1 U/mL)	1.00	-
75 to 90 percentile (12-18.1 U/mL)	1.68 (1.11-2.56)	0.015
90 to 100 percentile (18.1-35.0 U/mL)	2.85 (1.70-4.76)	< 0.001

Cl, confidence interval.

highest positive predictive value in predicting both end points (28.6% and 52.0%, respectively).

Finally, we classified the study cohort into three groups at the cut-off of 12.1 U/mL (75 percentile) and 18.1 U/mL (90 percentile) and performed multivariate Cox analysis (Table 4). The FIGO stage was not included in the multivariate analysis because it did not show significant association in univariate analysis (p=0.742). In multivariate analysis, the current data were unable to detect an association between optimal cytore-

duction and outcome (p=0.117). The hazard ratio was significantly higher in the 75-90 percentile group (1.68; 95% Cl, 1.11 to 2.56) and in the 90-100 percentile group (2.85; 95% Cl, 1.70 to 4.76) when they were compared with the 0-75 percentile group.

DISCUSSION

Based on previous evidence [7-11], it is clear that the posttreatment nadir CA-125 level is a good predictor of PFS of EOC. Interestingly, many studies have used an arbitrary cutoff value or a median value for the stratification of the cohort [7,9-11], but not a cut-off value determined on the basis of its diagnostic performance. In contrast to data of previous studies, the strength of our data is that the cut-off value has been tested with their diagnostic performance and area under the ROC curve. Moreover, we analyzed the distribution of the nadir value of CA-125 and determined the interval where the nadir level provided a prognostic value.

The current study revealed interesting features of the nadir CA-125 levels in patients who achieved CR. First, a large proportion of the patients who achieved CR had nadir CA-125 levels around 10 U/mL. Our data showed that the log-transformed nadir CA-125 levels in patients with CR had a normal distribution and that half of the patients had their nadir CA-125 levels between 7.3 and 12.1 U/mL. Thus, it is evident that dichotomization using a cut-off value near the median may result in inaccurate classification. Indeed, our data indicated that the nadir CA-125 level provided little prognostic information in the interval between 7.3 and 12.1 U/mL. In contrast, the nadir CA-125 level had a prognostic value only when it fell between 12.1 and 35 U/mL.

Second, we showed that the conventional cut-off of 10 U/ mL did not provide reliable diagnostic performance. In the prediction of PFS at given time points (6 and 12 months), it showed inferior performance, both in classification accuracy and reliability of screening high-risk patients. Indeed, there were conflicting data among several prospective cohorts about the differences in PFS between a group with nadir of 0-10 U/mL and that with 10-20 U/mL [9,12]. Rather, our data showed that 18 U/mL was the better cut-off value. Although we can only identify 10% of the patients who achieved CR as being in the high-risk group, it has high specificity and a better positive LR compared with other suggested cut-off values. If we may sacrifice accuracy for the inclusion of more high-risk patients, 12 U/mL should be the next choice. However, it is arguable that our results are valid for the current dataset and might not be generalized. Thus, our data should be validated in an external dataset.

In summary, the conventional cut-off of 10 U/mL should not be recommended in guiding treatment decisions or stratifying patients because 1) the centralized distribution of nadir CA-125 around the median value may result in considerable misclassification; 2) its overall diagnostic performance is not satisfactory; and 3) when the nadir CA-125 value is near the median value, the correlation between CA-125 and survival outcome is not significant. Although either cut-off value of 10 or 12 U/mL may show statistically significant correlation with PFS, the current study states that it does not provide clinically useful information in dichotomization or stratification of patients. Considering the positive LR and accuracy, we suggest 18 U/mL as the optimal cut-off value of nadir CA-125 that can be used to identify high-risk patients with moderate reliability.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was funded by National Cancer Center, Korea (Grant No. 0910260-3).

REFERENCES

- 1. Jemal A, Murray T, Ward E, Samuels A, Tiwari RC, Ghafoor A, et al. Cancer statistics, 2005. CA Cancer J Clin 2005;55:10-30.
- 2. Ozols RF, Bundy BN, Greer BE, Fowler JM, Clarke-Pearson D, Burger RA, et al. Phase III trial of carboplatin and paclitaxel compared with cisplatin and paclitaxel in patients with optimally resected stage III ovarian cancer: a Gynecologic Oncology Group study. J Clin Oncol 2003;21:3194-200.
- Armstrong DK, Brady MF. Intraperitoneal therapy for ovarian cancer: a treatment ready for prime time. J Clin Oncol 2006; 24:4531-3.
- 4. Olt GJ, Berchuck A, Bast RC Jr. Gynecologic tumor markers. Semin Surg Oncol 1990;6:305-13.
- 5. Skates SJ, Horick NK, Moy JM, Minihan AM, Seiden MV, Marks JR, et al. Pooling of case specimens to create standard serum sets for screening cancer biomarkers. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2007;16:334-41.
- 6. Makar AP, Kristensen GB, Kaern J, Bormer OP, Abeler VM,

Jg0 Journal of Gynecologic Oncology

Trope CG. Prognostic value of pre- and postoperative serum CA 125 levels in ovarian cancer: new aspects and multivariate analysis. Obstet Gynecol 1992;79:1002-10.

- 7. Crawford SM, Peace J. Does the nadir CA-125 concentration predict a long-term outcome after chemotherapy for carcinoma of the ovary? Ann Oncol 2005;16:47-50.
- 8. Juretzka MM, Barakat RR, Chi DS, Iasonos A, Dupont J, Abu-Rustum NR, et al. CA-125 level as a predictor of progression-free survival and overall survival in ovarian cancer patients with surgically defined disease status prior to the initiation of intraperitoneal consolidation therapy. Gynecol Oncol 2007;104:176-80.
- 9. Markman M, Liu PY, Rothenberg ML, Monk BJ, Brady M,

Alberts DS. Pretreatment CA-125 and risk of relapse in advanced ovarian cancer. J Clin Oncol 2006;24:1454-8.

- Prat A, Parera M, Peralta S, Perez-Benavente MA, Garcia A, Gil-Moreno A, et al. Nadir CA-125 concentration in the normal range as an independent prognostic factor for optimally treated advanced epithelial ovarian cancer. Ann Oncol 2008;19:327-31.
- 11. Kang S, Seo SS, Park SY. Nadir CA-125 level is an independent prognostic factor in advanced epithelial ovarian cancer. J Surg Oncol 2009;100:244-7.
- 12. Pignata S, Perrone F, Di Maio M, Gallo C, De Placido S. In Reply. J Clin Oncol 2005;23:2436-7.