

REVIEW

Enzyme replacement therapy for Fabry disease: some answers but more questions

Majid Alfadhel¹ Sandra Sirrs²

¹Division of Biochemical Diseases, Department of Paediatrics, BC Children's and Women's Hospital, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada; ²Adult Metabolic Diseases Clinic, Division of Endocrinology, Department of Medicine, Vancouver Hospital and Health Sciences Centre, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada **Abstract:** Fabry disease (FD) is a multisystem, X-linked disorder of glycosphingolipid metabolism caused by enzyme deficiency of α -galactosidase A. Affected patients have symptoms including acroparesthesias, angiokeratomas, and hypohidrosis. More serious manifestations include debilitating pain and gastrointestinal symptoms, proteinuria and gradual deterioration of renal function leading to end-stage renal disease, hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, and stroke. Heterozygous females may have symptoms as severe as males with the classic phenotype. Before 2001, treatment of patients with FD was supportive. The successful development of enzyme replacement therapy (ERT) has been a great advancement in the treatment of patients with FD and can stabilize renal function and cardiac size, as well as improve pain and quality of life of patients with FD. In this review, we have provided a critical appraisal of the literature on the effects of ERT for FD. This analysis shows that data available on the treatment of FD are often derived from studies which are not controlled, rely on surrogate markers, and are of insufficient power to detect differences on hard clinical endpoints. Further studies of higher quality are needed to answer the questions that remain concerning the efficacy of ERT for FD.

Keywords: Fabry disease, agalsidase α , agalsidase β , Replagal, Fabrazyme, critical appraisal, evidence-based medicine

Introduction

Fabry disease (FD) is an X-linked inborn error of glycosphingolipid catabolism resulting from the deficient activity of the lysosomal hydrolase, α -galactosidase A (α -Gal A). The enzymatic defect leads to the accumulation of glycosphingolipids, mainly globotriaosylceramide (GL-3), in body fluids, in the lysosomes of endothelial, perithelial, and smooth-muscle cells of blood vessels, in ganglion cells, and in many cell types in the heart, kidneys, eyes, and most other tissues.¹

Clinical manifestations in classically affected hemizygous males who have no detectable enzyme activity include early childhood or adolescent onset of pain (acroparesthesias) in the extremities, angiokeratoma in skin and mucous membranes, and hypohidrosis. Corneal and lenticular opacities are also seen as early findings. Gastrointestinal problems, such as diarrhea, constipation, and abdominal pain, are common. Endocrine abnormalities include thyroid disease and fertility problems in both males and females. With increasing age, proteinuria, hyposthenuria, and lymphedema appear. Severe renal impairment leads to hypertension and uremia. Death usually occurs from renal failure or from cardiac or cerebrovascular disease. Atypical hemizygotes with residual enzyme activity may have later onset of symptoms, and

Correspondence: Sandra Sirrs
Adult Metabolic Diseases Clinic, Division
of Endocrinology, Department of
Medicine, Vancouver Hospital and Health
Sciences Centre, University of British
Columbia, Level 4, 2775 Laurel Street,
Vancouver, BC V5Z I M9, Canada
Tel +1 604 875 5965
Fax +1 604 875 5967
Email sandra.sirrs@vch.ca

DOI: 10.2147/TCRM.\$11987

such symptoms may be limited to the heart in some cases (the 'cardiac variant'). Heterozygous females can be as severely affected as hemizygous males, although the range of symptoms varies widely. A frequent clinical finding in females is the characteristic whorl-like corneal epithelial dystrophy observed by slit-lamp microscopy (cornea verticillata).

Confirmation of the clinical diagnosis in males requires the demonstration of deficient α -Gal A activity in plasma, leukocytes, or fibroblasts, or increased levels of GL-3 in plasma or urinary sediment. Heterozygous females may have intermediate or even normal levels of enzymatic activity and accumulated substrate, so accurate diagnosis of heterozygous females requires identification of a molecular lesion in the α -Gal A gene or by linkage analysis in families with an affected male. 1

Before 2001, treatment of patients with FD was exclusively supportive. Advancement of molecular genetic techniques led to the development of enzyme replacement therapy (ERT). There are two forms of (ERT): agalsidase α (AGALA) (Replagal®; Shire Human Genetic Therapies Inc, Cambridge, MA) and agalsidase β (AGALB) (Fabrazyme®; Genzyme Corporation, Cambridge, MA). Table 1 compares the two forms of available ERT.^{2,3} In this review, we have examined the literature on the effects of ERT for FD with the aim of providing a critical appraisal of the literature and its limitations.

Methods

We formulated a comprehensive search strategy in an attempt to identify all relevant studies published in the English language. An Ovid search was conducted using the Ovid databases: MEDLINE® (1950 to present with daily update) and Embase (1980 to date). Details of the search strategy are presented in Table 2. After the exclusion of case reports, studies not on ERT effects, studies not on FD, and general reviews on FD, 41 studies were included in this review.^{2, 4–7, 9–45} Abstracts were reviewed using the evidence grading system developed by Oxford Centre for Evidence-based Medicine – Levels of Evidence (March 2009).⁴⁶

Results

Factors that complicate interpretation of data

The grading of the evidence available on ERT for FD is listed in Table 3. A summary of the effects of ERT on various Fabry-related endpoints is provided in Table 4. While reading through the information in the tables, it is important to remember that, regardless of whether a disease is rare or common, studies of adequate quality are needed to distinguish true findings from false findings. There is a real need to critically appraise the literature available on ERT for FD for several reasons listed below.

The high cost of ERT

Given the recommended dosage of AGALA and AGALB, the cost of treatment for a 70-kg patient exceeds US\$200,000 per year, and therefore, accurate information on the effects of ERT on hard clinical outcomes, such as the need for dialysis and stroke, is needed to be able to calculate the cost-effectiveness of therapy.

Lack of accurate natural history data

The studies of natural history regarding FD are very heterogeneous, 47-53 resulting in imperfect understanding of the natural history of this rare disease. As most of the publications on FD do not contain a prospective, untreated control group, accurate natural history data are essential for determining the effect of therapy. For example, natural history data are discordant when considering the risk of stroke. Studies report that rates of stroke range from 4.2% to 27% in females and from 6.7% to 24% in males. Even more confusing, successive publications from the same registry cite conflicting rates of stroke. 47,48,50,51 The data on glomerular filtration rate (GFR) are just as confusing. For example, in one of the earliest reports on the natural history of FD, a retrospective chart review of males with FD estimated annual decline in estimated GFR at 12.2 mL/min per year.54 Another study that summarized the results of three separate clinical trials that were conducted at different times and sites showed rates of decline ranging from 2.9 to 7 mL/min/

Table I Comparison between characteristics of AGALA and AGALB

	AGALA	AGALB
Production	Human cell line by gene activation ^{2,3}	Chinese hamster ovary cells by recombinant techniques ³
Dose	0.2 mg/kg/2 weeks	I mg/kg/2 weeks
Duration of an infusion	40 min	2–4 h
Premedication	None, unless patient has infusion reactions	Antipyretic and/or antihistamine

Abbreviations: AGALA, agalsidase α ; AGALB, agalsidase β .

Table 2 Search strategy

	Medline (Ovid SP)	PubMed	Embase
Search by disease (limit to human and English)	2800	980	3766
Limit to therapy	557	195	1106
Limit to clinical trial	72	62	273
Exclusions of case reports, studies not on ERT	30	20	231
effect, not on FD, and general reviews on FD			
Final included	41		

Notes: We formulated a comprehensive search strategy in an attempt to identify all relevant studies published in English language. An Ovid search was conducted using the Ovid databases: MEDLINE® 1950 to present with daily update and Embase (1980 to date). The following search terms were used: Fabry* disease, enzyme replacement, agalsidase, Replagal, and Fabrazyme. These terms were entered as MESH subject heading terms as well. ADJ2 = words closely adjacent within two words of each other; \$= truncation, any number of characters; and Boolean operator Or/And were used to combine search terms. Filters for randomized-controlled trials were used. In addition, reference lists of relevant published articles were searched to make the search as complete as possible.

Abbreviations: FD, Fabry disease; MESH, Medical Subject Headings; ERT, enzyme replacement therapy.

year/1.73 m² in untreated males.⁴⁴ As most of the reported literature on FD and ERT does not include a control group, the lack of accurate natural history information makes the effects of ERT difficult to interpret.

Conflicting prevalence data

Several studies investigating FD in dialysis patients in the United States and European registries reported prevalence to be 0.0168% and 0.0188% respectively,55 with the prevalence among dialysis males about 0.027% in both registries while a study in Austria reported higher results in dialysis males with a prevalence of 0.264% and prevalence in overall dialysis patients of 0.161%.56 A recent systematic review demonstrated that the overall FD prevalence on dialysis was 0.33% in males and 0.10% in females.⁵⁷ These data may underestimate the prevalence of FD in females on dialysis, however, as 91% of the screening studies in women were performed using α-Gal A activity analysis as the primary screening method, which is unreliable for detection of FD in female patients.⁵⁷ Newborn screening studies showed that the incidence of Fabry mutations in Taiwan Chinese and Italian populations to be 1:1400 and 1:3100 males, respectively, 58,59 which is 15–30 times higher than previous estimates. More accurate data on disease prevalence are needed to identify the degree of ascertainment bias which may be present in the large multinational registries that provide most of the available data on the effects of ERT therapy.

Unknown impact of antibodies

Treatment of Fabry patients may induce the formation of neutralizing antibodies toward AGALA and AGALB, and this may influence the effects of therapy. Antibody formation is more common in males.⁷ The significance of these antibodies on clinical endpoints, though, is unclear as most of the studies on this have evaluated only surrogate endpoints and not all studies report on the presence of antibodies. One trial

in male patients showed that the urinary GL-3 levels failed to decline in patients with IgG antibodies, whereas a reduction could be detected in patients without IgG antibodies. ^{6,7} This is in contrast to a study showing that 1.0 mg/kg of AGALB did reduce cardiac mass in small group of patients who were antibody positive. Using a surrogate marker like GL-3, which is an unreliable indicator of disease severity, may contribute to the poor understanding of inhibitory effect of IgG antibodies. ^{53,60}

When evaluating the medical literature on the effects of ERT on disease activity in FD, it is important to look critically for certain points including 1) the presence of a concurrent control group rather than using conflicting retrospective natural history data, 2) clear delineation of the origins of the patient cohort including a discussion of the number of subjects who were excluded from analysis and the reasons for exclusion, 3) use of hard clinical endpoints, appropriate randomization and blinding techniques, and 4) clear description of the power of the study to detect a significant difference in the primary outcome. As these features seem to be a basic requirement of any data evaluating a therapeutic modality, many of these key points are missing in the available data on ERT for FD.

Grading of evidence

Seventy-one percent of the studies were graded as Grade 4 or higher (see Table 3). Only one study achieved Grade 1,²⁵ although several achieved Grade 2.^{4,5,10,11,17,18,24,34,44}

The single Grade 1 study²⁵ has a placebo-controlled and blinded design, but nonetheless has significant limitations. The primary endpoint of this study was to show the effects of ERT on a composite clinical endpoint, which included renal, cardiac, and neurological events. Although the effects of AGALB on the composite outcome were of borderline significance (P = 0.06), secondary analyses of protocol-adherent patients adjusted for baseline proteinuria demonstrated a more

Table 3 Grading of evidence of clinical trials of the ERT

able 3 G	radilig ol evidelice o	Table 3 Gladilig of evidence of cliffical trials of the En							
Study	Drug and	Design/duration	Total number	Number in	Age (mean or	Gender	Duration	Primary	Grading
	doseª		in study	each study arm	range; years)		(months)	outcome	system (OCEBM) ^b
Banikazemi et al ²⁵	AGALB	RCT: placebo- controlled, double-blind	82; 74 protocol adherent	ERT: 51 Placebo: 31	ERT: 46.9 Placebo: 44.3	72M; 10F ERT: 45M; 6F Placebo: 27M; 4F	35	Composite outcome composed of renal, cardiac, and neurologic events	91
Bierer et al ²⁴	AGALB	RCT: double-blind, placebo-controlled	15; 6 in randomized arm with serial testing; 9 with baseline assessment but not randomized	ERT: 4 Placebo: 2	32 (20–47)	14M; 1F R: 5M; 1F NR: 9M; 0F	<u>&</u>	Cardiopulmonary exercise characteristics and baseline and impact of ERT on cardiopulmonary exercise tolerance	2 a
Eng et a ^{l5}	AGALB	RCT: multicenter, double-blind, placebo-controlled with open-label follow-up	88	ERT: 29 Placebo: 29	ERT: 16–48 Placebo: 17–61	ERT: 27M; 2F Placebo: 29M; 0F	5 (double-blind) 6 (open-label)	Clearance of GL-3 in renal microvascular endothelial	2a
Hajioff et al ¹⁵	AGALA	RCT: placebo- controlled with open-label follow-up	15	ERT: 7 Placebo: 8	ERT: 36.4 Placebo: 36.9	I5M; 0F	6 placebo- controlled 24 open-label	Hearing loss	2a
Hajioff et al ²⁶	AGALA	RCT: double-blind study placebo-controlled then open-label extension	15	ERT: 7 Placebo: 8	16–56	15M; 0F	6 (placebo- controlled) 36 open-label	Hearing loss	2a
Hughes et al³⁴	AGALA	Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled	15	ERT: 7 Placebo: 8	>18, mean or range not specified	I5M; 0F	9	Myocardial GL-3 content	2a
Moore et al ¹⁰	AGALA	RCT: double-blind, placebo-controlled	26	ERT: 14 Placebo: 12	Fabry: 19–47 Control: 21–48	Fabry: 26M; 0F Control: not specified	9	Resting rCBF	2a
Moore et al''	AGALA	RCT: double-blind, placebo-controlled	26	ERT: 14 Placebo: 12	Fabry: 19-47 Control: 21-48	Fabry: 26M; 0F Control: not specified	9	rCBF following visual stimulation and acetazolamide challenge	2a
Schiffmann et al ⁴	AGALA	RCT: double-blind, placebo-controlled	26	ERT: 14 Placebo: 12	ERT: 34 Placebo: 34.4	26M; 0F	9	Neuropathic pain assessed with BPI	2a
Thurberg et al ¹⁷	AGALB	RCT: multicenter, placebo-controlled, double-blind then open-label follow-up	28	ERT: 29 Placebo: 29	ERT: 16–48 Placebo: 17–61	ERT: 27M; 2F Placebo: 29M; 0F	5 (double-blind) 30 (open-label)	GL-3 clearance characteristics of each cell type	2a

2a	2a	4	4	4 4 4	4	4 4
Reduction in left ventricular mass	GFR	Safety, clinical efficacy, and pharmacokinetic	Renal function (assessed by estimated GFR), heart size (assessed by echocardiography), pain (assessed by the BPI), and quality of life (assessed by the European Quality of Life Questionnaire EQ-5D)	Clinical and radiological CNS findings Frequency and severity of abdominal pain Dose-ranging study	Efficacy and safety	Renal function long-term safety and efficacy
24	12	13	12–24	Retrospective study 12 5 doses	ν <i>,</i>	36 54 54
AGALA: 9M; 9F AGALB: 9M; 7F	108M; 0F	0M; I5F	281M; 264F	25M; 18F 9M; 2F 15M; 0F	13M; 0F	11 SM; 50F 56M; 2F
AGALA: 19–60 AGALB: 24–76	18–54	<u>8</u>	25.2M; 32.8F	19-74 17.4-45.8 18-45	16–34	18.4–68.0
AGALA: 18 AGALB: 16 10 from each included in primary endpoint	ERT and placebo: 42 ERT only: 51 Placebo only: 15	One arm: ERT	ERT: 314 (203M; 111F)	ERT: 24 No ERT: 19 ERT: 11 ERT: 15	ERT: 13	One arm: ERT One arm: ERT, 44 completed the study, 14 withdrew
2 8 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	801	15	545	£ <u> </u>	<u>e</u> :	58
RCT: comparative trial of two commercial products, not blinded	Combination of data from three RCT: double-blind,	Nonrandomized, single-center, open-label strick	open-tabel study Observational study from registry	Retrospective study Questionnaire study Nonrandomized, open-label,	single-center Open-label, multicenter,	Observational study from registry Open-label, phase III extension study
AGALB and a AGALA both given at 0.2 mg/kg/ 2 weeks	AGALA	AGALA			kg/2 weeks kg/38 h	AGALA AGALB
Vedder et al ⁶	West et al ⁴⁴	Baehner et al ¹⁶	Beck et al ¹²	Buechner et al ⁴⁰ Dehout et al ⁴⁵ Eng et al ⁹	Eto et al ⁴³	Feriozzi et al ³⁹ Germain et al ³⁸

Study	Drug and dose ^a	Design/duration	Total number in study	Number in each study arm	Age (mean or range; years)	Gender	Duration (months)	Primary outcome	Grading system (OCEBM) ^b
Gupta et al ³²	AGALA	Prospective, open-label, placebo-controlled, nonrandomized	49; 27 patients; 22 normal controls	3 years after ERT: 22; ERT-naive: 5; healthy control: 22	3 years after ERT: 40.6; ERT-naive: 33.8; healthy control: 36	22M; 0F		Skin impedance measurements	4
Hilz et al ²¹	AGALB: 0.9–1.1 mg/kg/2 weeks	Prospective, placebo-controlled with open-label follow-up	47; 22 Fabry and 25 normal controls	ERT: 22 Control: 25	ERT: 27.9 Control: 29	22M; 0F	5 (placebo- controlled phase); 18 (open-label phase)	Function of C-, Adelta-, and Abeta-nerve fibers and intradermal vibration receptors	4
Hoffmann et al ⁴²	AGALA	Observational study from registry	120	One arm: ERT	Not specified	73M; 47F	12–24	BPI and health-related quality of life	4
Hoffmann et al ⁴¹	AGALA	Observational study from registry	752	One arm: ERT	33.6M; 37.3F	353M; 393F	24–36	BPI	4
Imbriaco et al ²⁹	AGALB	Prospective, nonrandomized, open-label	=	One arm: ERT	22–54	8M; 3F	45	LV function and myocardial signal intensity	4
Jardim et al ¹³	AGALA	Nonrandomized, open-label, prospective study	ω	One arm: ERT	24-47	7M; IF	12	Clinical and radiological CNS findings	4
Kosch et al ²³	AGALB	Open-label, uncontrolled, crossover study looking at timing of ERT with dialysis	01	ERT during dialysis and ERT between dialysis sessions	45	10M; 0F	2 dialysis sessions	Activity of α-Gal A in plasma	4
Lubanda et al³¹	AGALB I mg/kg/2 weeks × 6 months then 0.3 mg/kg/2 weeks × 18 months	Prospective, open-label study	21	One arm: ERT	19.2–55.3	21M; 0F	24	GL-3 clearance	4
Palla et al¹⁴	AGALA	Nonrandomized, open-label	21	One arm: ERT	22–71	13M; 8F	12	Peripheral vestibular function	4
Pisani et al ³⁷	AGALB	Nonrandomized, open-label, prospective study	8/8	One arm: ERT	26-60	7M; IF	24	Changes in symptoms and the echocardiographic evaluation of patients on dialysis	4
Schiffmann et al²	AGALA dose-ranging study 0.3–4.7 µ/kg	Nonrandomized, small-number, single-dose,	01	One arm: ERT	21-46	10M; 0F	Single dose	GL-3 clearance, pharmacokinetics, and safety	4

4	4	4	4	4	4 4 4 4	4-
Pain, warm and cold sensation, and sweating	Safety and renal effects as well as the practicality of home infusions	Safety	Renal function	Proteinuria	Occurrence of ox-Gal A antibodies and their effect on urinary and plasma GL-3, and plasma chitotriosidase LV end-diastolic thickness of the posterior wall Safety and tolerability GL-3 clearance, safety profile, and kidney function	GL-3 clearance
36	48–54 20: completed 48 9: completed 54	42	55	36	12 12 30–36	7
26M; 0F	25M; 0F	16M; 1F	131M; 70F	7M; 2F	AGALA 0.2 mg/kg; 10M; 8F AGALB 0.2 mg/kg; 8M; 5F AGALB 1 mg/kg; 10M; 11F 15M; 1F 15M; 2F 56M; 2F	14M; 2F
19-47	36.8	7.3–18.4	20–60	34.55	AGALA 0.2 mg/kg: 19–62 AGALB 0.2 mg/kg: 25–73 AGALB 1 mg/kg: 27–70 14–76	91-8
One arm: ERT	One arm: ERT	One arm: ERT	One arm: ERT	One arm: ERT	AGALA 0.2 mg/kg: 18 AGALB 0.2 mg/kg: 13 AGALB 1 mg/kg: 21 One arm: ERT One arm: ERT	One arm: EK I
26	25	17	201	6/6	25	<u>9</u>
This is a follow-up of previous RCT by Schiffmann et al. ² Open-label, nonrandomized	Single-center, prospective, open-label an extension of previous RCT	Prospective, open-label, nonrandomized	Observational study from registry	Open-label, on nonrandomized	Comparative-trial, nonrandomized, open-label prospective, open-label, nonrandomized, open-label, prospective Open-label, nonrandomized extension of previous study	Open-label study
AGALA	AGALA	AGALA	AGALA	AGALA	AGALA at 0.2 mg/kg/2 weeks and AGALB at 0.2 mg/kg/2 weeks and 1 mg/kg/2 weeks AGALB AGALB	AGALB
Schiffmann et al ¹⁹	Schiffmann et al³ ⁶	Schiffmann et al ²⁷	Schwarting et al ³⁵	Thofehrn et al²®	Vedder et al ⁷ Weidemann et al ²⁰ Whybra et al ³⁰ Wilcox et al ²²	Wraith et al ³³

Notes: Except where otherwise specified, the dose of AGALB was 1 mg/kg/2 weeks and dose of AGALA was 0.2 mg/kg/2 weeks; ⁸Grading system OCEBM, Oxford Centre for Evidence-based Medicine.*

Abbreviations: RCT, Randomized-controlled trial; GL-3, globotriaosylceramide; M, male; F, female, R, randomized; NR, not randomized; GFR, glomerular filtration rate; AGALA, agalsidase α; AGALB, agalsidase β; rCBF, regional cerebral blood flow; CNS, central nervous system; BPI, Brief Pain Inventory; LV, left ventricular; α-Gal A, α-galactosidase A; ERT, enzyme replacement therapy.

i able 4 summary of	I able 4 Summary of the results of clinical trials of the ERT on Fabry-related outcomes	i on rabry-related ou	comes		
Outcome	AGALA	No. of patients	AGALB	No. of patients	Clinical comments
		and duration		and duration	and limitations of studies
		in months		in months	for both drugs
Renal	Stabilized renal function	9–545; 12–55	Clearance of microvascular	8–95; 5–54	Evidence is convincing for
	in patients with a mild		endothelial deposits of GL-3317,2238		both drugs that decline
	or moderate deterioration		and stabilized kidney function?		of renal function can be
	in renal function at		Long-term stabilization up to 54 months ³⁸		stabilized or slowed
	baseline ^{12,28,35,36,39,44}		ERT can be performed during hemodialysis ²³		Few double-blind RCT
	Long-term stabilization		Reduced the frequency of and delayed		Most studies measure surrogate
	confirmed ^{35,36}		the time to clinical renal events ²⁵		endpoints rather than clinical
	Proteinuria category		In dialysis patients, ERT is safe and		renal endpoints, such as death,
	(1 or \geq 1 g/day) at baseline		effective in improving global quality of life ³⁷		dialysis, and transplantation
	significantly predicted the		Proteinuria category (1 or ≥1g/day)		
	rate of decline of GFR		at baseline significantly predicted		
	during treatment ⁴⁴		the rate of decline of GFR		
<u>.</u>			during treatment.		-
Cardiac	Reduced left ventricular	15-545; 6-24	Clearance of microvascular	11-58; 5-45	Data is convincing for both drugs
	size in patients who had		endothelial deposits of GL-35		that rate of increase of LV mass can
	an enlarged heart		Decreased left ventricular		be stabilized or slowed
	at baseline ^{12,34}		hypertrophy and improved		Few data available on clinical cardiac
			regional myocardial function ^{20,29}		endpoints, such as cardiac death,
			Improvement in exercise tolerance ²⁴		admission for pacemaker, incidence
					of significant arrhythmia, etc
Neurological	Does not cross blood-brain barrier	25–36: 6–54	Does not cross blood-brain barrier	34-58: 24-36	Studies to date measure surrogate
0	Corrected abnormally elevated		Some patients suffered from stroke		pool lendand as done
	cerebral blood flow and		during treatment ²²		flow and white matter legions but
	cerebrai biood ilow alid		during treatment		now and white matter resions, but
	exaggerated cerebrovascular		Variable progression of MRI		the evidence on white matter lesions
	response. 10.11 Decrease in		abnormalities while on treatment ⁶		is conflicting as there are case
	nitrotyrosine staining, which				reports suggesting that they
	was increased in dermal and				both improve and deteriorate ¹³
	cerebral vessels of FD patients ^{10,11}				Stroke was part of a composite
	Patients suffer from stroke				clinical outcome in one study, but no
	during treatment ³⁶				study has yet been published with the
					power to detect a significant effect of
					ERT on stroke as a primary outcome
Pain and peripheral	Significant decline in pain score ^{4,12,42}	26–752; 6–36	Improves function of C-, A-, and A-nerve	47; 23	Data is convincing that improvements
neuropathy	Modest but significant improvement		fibers and intradermal vibration receptors		in pain occur but do not always
(·····d-	the officer of the state of the		in Enhance and an appropriate to the control of the		
	In the clinical manilestations of the		in rabry neuropauly		translate into reduction in analgesic
	small-tiper neuropauny.				requirements
	Pain severity classification shifted				In some studies, concomitant use
	toward lower severity ⁴¹				of antipain medications made the
					inference of improvement solely
					due to ERT difficult

:		1	-		
Quality of life	Significantly improved 12,72	120-545; 24	Both ER I and placebo group improved	58; 5	Data is convincing for both drugs
					that quality of life improves; studies
					use tools to measure quality of life
					which are not disease specific
GI symptoms	Severity and frequency of	11; 12	Significant improvement in abdominal	16; 12	Improvement in GI symptoms is a
	abdominal pain decreased ⁴⁵		pain and vomiting compared		common clinical finding, but studies
			with baseline ³³		carried out to date are of small size
					and inadequately controlled
Hearing	Improved vestibular function but	15–21; 6–36	1	ı	Effects of ERT on chronically
	the difference is not significant ^{14,26}				progressive sensorineural hearing
	Gradual reversion of the hearing				loss may differ from those on sudden
	deterioration ¹⁵				acute hearing loss, both of which
					occur in Fabry patients
					Small sample size
					Clinical significance of small changes
					in auditory function not clear
Skin and sweat	No significant difference on ERT32	26-47; 36	Clearance of microvascular	58; 5	Most studies are observational and
function	Improved ¹⁹		endothelial deposits of GL-3 ⁵		use surrogate biomarkers
					No comprehensive studies on the

effect of ERT on angiokeratoma

Abbreviations: AGALA, agalsidase α; AGALB, agalsidase β; ERT, enzyme replacement therapy; GFR, glomerular filtration rate; GL-3, globotriaosylceramide; FD, Fabry disease; LV, left ventricular; MRI, GI, gastrointestinal.

pronounced treatment effect compared with the placebo group (P=0.034). Although these data are encouraging, the raw data suggest that the effects of therapy on the composite outcome were primarily driven from one of the renal endpoints which was, in fact, a surrogate measure (33% increase in serum creatinine) rather than hard renal endpoints like dialysis or transplantation. The 33% increase in serum creatinine comprised 10/14 events in the AGALB group and 7/13 events in the placebo group. Another possible limitation of this study is that only about one-third of the patients in each group were receiving antiproteinuric therapy with angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors or angiotensin-receptor blockers (ARBs). As therapy directed at the renin-angiotensin system is beneficial in Fabry nephropathy,⁶¹ the underutilization of such supportive therapies may have served to increase the perceived benefit of ERT. To measure the outcome of interest, 98% of the studies

To measure the outcome of interest, 98% of the studies used surrogate endpoints. Surrogate measures are often used when the disease is so rare or the desired outcome is so far in the future that it would take an unreasonably long follow-up period to obtain a sufficient number of outcomes. Even though the association between the surrogate measure and the true outcome may be biologically plausible, using the surrogate measure may produce misleading results if the association with the true outcome is not based on hard endpoints. The surrogate marker used in the first large study of AGALB was GL-3.5 This trial demonstrated that therapy with AGALB led to clearance of GL-3 from biopsy specimens of the kidney, heart, and skin. Although these results were used to gain approval for AGALB in the United States, subsequent studies have shown that the relationship between GL-3 and clinical endpoints are less clear. 53,62

Many of the publications include data obtained by cross-sectional surveys, 47,48 database registries $^{12,35,40,42,43,49-52}$ or historical cohorts,53 which are subject to different sources of bias including selection bias, ascertainment bias, reporting bias, survivor bias (based on the early death of more severely affected patients), incomplete and missing data (leading to misclassification), and importantly, the absence of simultaneous controls. There are two large multinational registries: the Fabry Outcome Survey (FOS) sponsored by Shire Human Genetic Therapies, manufacturer of AGALA, and the Fabry Registry sponsored by Genzyme Corporation, manufacturer of AGALB. There are numerous publications from these registries which contribute to the medical literature on FD. 12,35,39,41,42,63 As these registries are able to combine large number of patients from around the world with different genetic backgrounds, they provide

valuable information on the progression of Fabry-related complications and the effects of ERT, and can also help to define some of the less frequent manifestations of an already rare disease. However, there are some problems with the data inherent in both the registries in that data collection is voluntary and, therefore, incomplete. This results in publications where the total number of patients included in the studies is often less than the total number of eligible patients, which can compromise conclusions drawn from these studies. For example, one study from the FOS includes only 201 patients, while at the time of analysis, 608 patients (358 receiving ERT) were enrolled in the registry. 35 Another publication included only 71 men and 59 women, while at time of analysis, 3182 patients were enrolled in the registry.⁶³ Although it is admittedly difficult to perform high-quality randomized studies in diseases of low prevalence, it is not impossible in that such studies have been done in other types of kidney diseases with similar prevalence to FD.64

Effects of ERT

The major effects of ERT on different organ systems are summarized in Table 4 along with the limitations of the studies from which these effects have been determined. In summarizing the literature to date on ERT for FD, some conclusions can be drawn. It is clear that FD is a multisystem, progressive disorder in both males and females.⁴⁹ It is clear that ERT is an effective treatment for neuropathic pain in FD.⁴ It is also clear that ERT can stabilize renal function or at least slow the decline of renal function in many patients with Fabry nephropathy^{12,25,28,35,36,38,41,44} and stabilize or improve surrogate parameters like cardiac size in those with cardiomyopathy.^{12,20,29,34}

Discussion

Unanswered questions about the treatment of FD

There are many unanswered questions such as the following:

1. What is the role of risk factor modification in the prevention of Fabry-related complications? A cross-sectional study showed high prevalence of uncontrolled hypertension among adult patients with FD who are included in the FOS registry database.⁶⁵ However, the cross-sectional nature of the study makes it impossible to infer a role of risk factor modification from this type of publication. A report describes an open-label, nonrandomized, prospective evaluation of the effects of ACE inhibitor and ARB therapy that were shown to have beneficial effects

- on proteinuria and renal function in FD patients who are receiving AGALB given at 1 mg/kg/2 weeks.⁶¹ However, this observational study has a small sample size and should be confirmed in a larger population. At present, an open-label, prospective, observational study (Fabrazyme and ARBs and ACE Inhibitor Treatment FAACET) is exploring the hypothesis that titration of ACE inhibitors and ARBs to reduce urine protein excretion to <500 mg/day in Fabry patients receiving AGALB (1 mg/kg every 2 weeks) will slow the progression rate of decline of GFR compared to controls. Details of this study are available at http://www.clinicaltrials.gov/.
- 2. What is the pathophysiology of the Fabry vasculopathy? One comprehensive review article showed that smooth muscle cells are a key player in the vasculopathy of FD. It concludes that the proliferation of smooth muscle cells and GL-3 storage result in higher intima-media thickness, increased reactive oxygen species production as well as enhanced nitric oxide production, which may result in different findings with respect to endothelial-activation markers, which can be severely enhanced in the context of other vascular risk factors.⁶⁶ However, this article showed that most studies carried out on evaluating Fabry vasculopathy were limited to case reports or case-control studies making it difficult to infer causality.
- 3. What is the role of ERT in the primary prevention setting? It has been established that pediatric patients have a significant disease burden with renal dysfunction and that cardiac involvement is detectable in adolescents with FD.67,68 Another case series showed that signs of cardiac involvement are evident at an early age. Seven of 20 children included in this study, aged from 6.2 to 17.4 years, had left ventricular hypertrophy.⁶⁹ Although it is clear that pediatric patients do have detectable disease, ERT would only be required in the primary prevention setting if disease manifestations are irreversible. It is clear that some disease manifestations, like renal and cardiac involvement, can be stabilized if diagnosed early in the disease course. What is not clear, though, is the reversibility of other Fabry-related complications including the risk of stroke. The extent to which ERT can reverse progressive organ damage must be determined separately for each organ system. This question is critical when trying to establish the appropriate age of initiation of ERT. Currently, there is an ongoing pediatric primary prevention study to study the effectiveness of two alternative dosing AGALB dosing regimens in treatment of naive, male pediatric patients (details are available at http://www.clinicaltrials.gov/).

- 4. What is the appropriate dose of ERT? There are few dose ranging studies for either product. One small study by Vedder et al⁷ suggested that 1.0 mg/kg of AGALB resulted in a more robust decline in GL-3 than does infusion of AGALA or AGALB at a dose of 0.2 mg/kg. The authors conclude that the higher dose of AGALB overcomes the negative effects of antibody formation. Lubanda et al³¹ showed that in kidney interstitial capillary endothelium, the GL-3 clearance was achieved in 100% of patients with 1.0 mg/kg of dose compared to 90% with 0.3 mg/kg of dose. More information on the effects of different dosing regimens is needed for both AGALA and AGALB.
- 5. Are the two existing ERT products equivalent? A single head-to-head trial using AGALB and AGALA at the same dose showed no difference in surrogate endpoints, such as reduction of the left ventricular mass, GFR, pain and decline in GL-3 levels, treatment failure, and antibody formation. 6 However, there was no influence of antibodies on the reduction of urine GL-3 levels in patients treated with AGALB in contrast to the attenuated response seen in the pooled cohort treated with either AGALA or AGALB at 0.2 mg/kg/2 weeks. An ongoing independent observational study known as the Canadian Fabry Disease Initiative (details are available at http://clinicaltrials.gov/) includes an arm, where patients newly started on ERT are randomized to one of the two commercially available products at product monograph doses, 70 and it will provide more data in the future on the relative effects of the two products.

Future developments

Although the ERT is a step forward in the management of FD, the requirement for frequent infusions, the enormous cost for lifelong therapy, the inability of ERT to traverse the blood–brain barrier, and uncertainty about the long-term effectiveness on hard clinical endpoints in Fabry patients make other modalities of treatment candidates for consideration. Two such novel approaches are chaperone therapy^{71–75} and gene therapy.⁷⁶

Chaperone therapy is a novel approach that uses small molecules that specifically bind to and stabilize the functional form or shape of a misfolded protein in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) of a cell. When a protein (enzyme) is misfolded because of a genetic mutation, it becomes unable to adopt the correct functional shape. This misfolded protein is recognized by the quality control system in the cell and is destroyed, leading to a decreased amount of enzyme that gets transported from the cell's ER to the cell's lysosome,

and hence, reduced enzyme activity. The binding of the chaperone molecule helps the protein fold into its correct shape. This allows the protein to be properly trafficked from the ER and distributed to the lysosome in the cell, thereby increasing enzyme activity and cellular function and reducing substrate and stress on cells.77 The advantage of such an approach includes better biodistribution of therapeutic agents, and such agents are able to traverse through the blood-brain barrier unlike ERT. Chaperone therapies can be administered orally, which may reduce the impact on quality of life caused by the need for biweekly infusions of ERT. In a trial of 27 patients with FD, treated for up to 2 years with 1-deoxygalactonojirimycin (DGJ) or Migalastat, the drug was safe and well tolerated. Migalastat increased the leukocyte, kidney, and skin α-Gal A activities and reduced the substrate (GL-3) levels in the urine and kidney biopsies of 24 patients. 78 Furthermore, the chaperone response of patients was similar to that predicted by models of in vitro responsiveness of α-Gal A gene mutations, 78 suggesting that there may be an easy way to determine which patients would be appropriate for the use of chemical chaperones. In another study, the response of T cells in normal individuals or in Fabry patient's to treatment with DGJ showed 28% increase in α-Gal A activity, whereas the response in Fabry individuals was mutation dependent ranging from no increase to fully normal activity. 71 Although these studies are promising, long-term trials looking at hard clinical endpoints are required.

Promising results have also been achieved in gene therapy experiments with the mouse model of FD. Adult Fabry model mice have been successfully treated by various viral vectors. Using adeno-associated viral vectors, long-term enzymatic and functional corrections in various organs of the Fabry mouse have been attained. ^{79,80} One study showed a single neonatal injection was effective to inhibit GL-3 accumulation in mice. If these data can be replicated in humans, this approach may be useful to prevent major organ failure developing later in life in patients with FD. ⁷⁶ The advantages of gene therapy include persistent correction after a single procedure and cross-correction by enzymes secreted by organs. However, much work is still needed before this can be translated into the clinical setting. ⁷⁸

Conclusion

ERT for FD is a major step forward for patients and has revolutionized care for patients with this fatal disease. However, as the field moves forward, questions need to be answered, some of which stem from the fact that most of the studies

are observational and/or uncontrolled. The availability of registries for FD currently is an excellent step to collect a large sample size. These registries could be used to draw participants for possible randomized-controlled studies which could generate Grade 1 data. Observational information from those registries, although useful to generate hypotheses, should never replace data from randomized-controlled trial. Crossover studies are a useful approach, but they present ethical challenges given that, at the current time, disease-modifying therapy for FD other than ERT is not available outside the clinical trial setting. In future, innovative approaches to research in rare diseases will be needed to obtain data of high quality while ensuring that there are no undue delays in translating the results of laboratory research into the clinical setting.

Disclosure

Dr Sirrs has received speaking fees and has attended conferences with travel support sponsored by both Shire Human Genetic Therapies and Genzyme Corporation. She is also an investigator in the Canadian Fabry Disease Initiative, which receives funding from both Shire Human Genetic Therapies and Genzyme Corporation. Dr Alfadhel has no relevant disclosures.

References

- Desnick RJ, Ioannou YA, Eng CM. α-Galactosidase A deficiency: Fabry disease. In: Scriver CR, Beaudet AL, Sly WS, Valle D, editors. The Metabolic and Molecular Bases of Inherited Disease. 8th ed. New York, NY: McGraw Hill; 2001:3733–3774.
- Schiffmann R, Murray GJ, Treco D, et al. Infusion of alpha-galactosidase A reduces tissue globotriaosylceramide storage in patients with Fabry disease. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2000;97(1):365–370.
- Lee K, Jin X, Zhang K, et al. A biochemical and pharmacological comparison of enzyme replacement therapies for the glycolipid storage disorder Fabry disease. *Glycobiology*. 2003;13(4):305–313.
- Schiffmann R, Kopp JB, Austin HA 3rd, et al. Enzyme replacement therapy in Fabry disease: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA*. 2001; 285(21):2743–2749.
- Eng CM, Guffon N, Wilcox WR, et al; International Collaborative Fabry Disease Study Group. Safety and efficacy of recombinant human alphagalactosidase A – replacement therapy in Fabry's disease. N Engl J Med. 2001;345(1):9–16.
- Vedder AC, Linthorst GE, Houge G, et al. Treatment of Fabry disease: outcome of a comparative trial with agalsidase alfa or beta at a dose of 0.2 mg/kg. *PLoS One*. 2007;2(7):e598.
- Vedder AC, Breunig F, Donker-Koopman WE, et al. Treatment of Fabry disease with different dosing regimens of agalsidase: effects on antibody formation and GL-3. *Mol Genet Metab.* 2008;94(3):319–325.
- Morel CF, Clarke JT. The use of agalsidase alfa enzyme replacement therapy in the treatment of Fabry disease. *Expert Opin Biol Ther*. 2009; 9(5):631–639.
- Eng CM, Banikazemi M, Gordon RE, et al. A phase 1/2 clinical trial of enzyme replacement in fabry disease: pharmacokinetic, substrate clearance, and safety studies. Am J Hum Genet. 2001;68(3): 711–722.

- Moore DF, Scott LT, Gladwin MT, et al. Regional cerebral hyperperfusion and nitric oxide pathway dysregulation in Fabry disease: reversal by enzyme replacement therapy. *Circulation*. 2001;104(13): 1506–1512.
- Moore DF, Altarescu G, Herscovitch P, Schiffmann R. Enzyme replacement reverses abnormal cerebrovascular responses in Fabry disease. *BMC Neurol*. 2002;2:4.
- Beck M, Ricci R, Widmer U, et al. Fabry disease: overall effects of agalsidase alfa treatment. Eur J Clin Invest. 2004;34(12):838–844.
- Jardim L, Vedolin L, Schwartz IV, et al. CNS involvement in Fabry disease: clinical and imaging studies before and after 12 months of enzyme replacement therapy. *J Inherit Metab Dis.* 2004;27(2):229–240.
- Palla A, Widmer U, Straumann D. Head-impulse testing in Fabry disease – vestibular function in male and female patients. *Acta Paediatr Suppl*. 2003;92(443):38–42.
- Hajioff D, Enever Y, Quiney R, Zuckerman J, Mackermot K, Mehta A. Hearing loss in Fabry disease: the effect of agalsidase alfa replacement therapy. *J Inherit Metab Dis.* 2003;26(8):787–794.
- Baehner F, Kampmann C, Whybra C, Miebach E, Wiethoff CM, Beck M. Enzyme replacement therapy in heterozygous females with Fabry disease: results of a phase IIIB study. *J Inherit Metab Dis*. 2003; 26(7):617–627.
- Thurberg BL, Randolph Byers H, Granter SR, Phelps RG, Gordon RE, O'Callaghan M. Monitoring the 3-year efficacy of enzyme replacement therapy in Fabry disease by repeated skin biopsies. *J Invest Dermatol*. 2004;122(4):900–908.
- Thurberg BL, Rennke H, Colvin RB, et al. Globotriaosylceramide accumulation in the Fabry kidney is cleared from multiple cell types after enzyme replacement therapy. *Kidney Int.* 2002;62(6):1933–1946.
- Schiffmann R, Floeter MK, Dambrosia JM, et al. Enzyme replacement therapy improves peripheral nerve and sweat function in Fabry disease. *Muscle Nerve*. 2003;28(6):703–710.
- Weidemann F, Breunig F, Beer M, et al. Improvement of cardiac function during enzyme replacement therapy in patients with Fabry disease: a prospective strain rate imaging study. *Circulation*. 2003;108(11): 1299–1301.
- Hilz MJ, Brys M, Marthol H, Stemper B, Dütsch M. Enzyme replacement therapy improves function of C-, Adelta-, and Abeta-nerve fibers in Fabry neuropathy. *Neurology*. 2004;62(7):1066–1072.
- 22. Wilcox WR, Banikazemi M, Guffon N, et al; International Fabry Disease Study Group. Long-term safety and efficacy of enzyme replacement therapy for Fabry disease. *Am J Hum Genet*. 2004;75(1):65–74.
- Kosch M, Koch HG, Oliveira JP, et al. Enzyme replacement therapy administered during hemodialysis in patients with Fabry disease. *Kidney Int.* 2004;66(3):1279–1282.
- Bierer G, Balfe D, Wilcox WR, Mosenifar Z. Improvement in serial cardiopulmonary exercise testing following enzyme replacement therapy in Fabry disease. *J Inherit Metab Dis.* 2006;29(4):572–579.
- Banikazemi M, Bultas J, Waldek S, et al; Fabry Disease Clinical Trial Study Group. Agalsidase-beta therapy for advanced Fabry disease: a randomized trial. *Ann Intern Med.* 2007;146(2):77–86.
- Hajioff D, Goodwin S, Quiney R, Zuckerman J, MacDermot KD, Mehta A. Hearing improvement in patients with Fabry disease treated with agalsidase alfa. *Acta Paediatr Suppl.* 2003;92(443): 28–30.
- Schiffmann R, Martin RA, Reimschisel T, et al. Four-year prospective clinical trial of agalsidase alfa in children with Fabry disease. *J Pediatr*. 2010;156(5):832–837.
- Thofehrn S, Netto C, Cecchin C, et al. Kidney function and 24-hour proteinuria in patients with Fabry disease during 36 months of agalsidase alfa enzyme replacement therapy: a Brazilian experience. *Ren Fail*. 2009;31(9):773–778.
- Imbriaco M, Pisani A, Spinelli L, et al. Effects of enzyme-replacement therapy in patients with Anderson-Fabry disease: a prospective longterm cardiac magnetic resonance imaging study. *Heart*. 2009;95(13): 1103–1107.

- Whybra C, Miebach E, Mengel E, et al. A 4-year study of the efficacy and tolerability of enzyme replacement therapy with agalsidase alfa in 36 women with Fabry disease. *Genet Med.* 2009;11(6):441–449.
- Lubanda JC, Anijalg E, Bzdúch V, Thurberg BL, Bénichou B, Tylki-Szymanska A. Evaluation of a low dose, after a standard therapeutic dose, of agalsidase beta during enzyme replacement therapy in patients with Fabry disease. *Genet Med.* 2009;11(4):256–264.
- Gupta SN, Ries M, Murray GJ, et al. Skin-impedance in Fabry disease: a prospective, controlled, non-randomized clinical study. *BMC Neurol*. 2008;8:41.
- Wraith JE, Tylki-Szymanska A, Guffon N, et al. Safety and efficacy of enzyme replacement therapy with agalsidase beta: an international, open-label study in pediatric patients with Fabry disease. *J Pediatr*. 2008;152(4):563–570.
- Hughes DA, Elliott PM, Shah J, et al. Effects of enzyme replacement therapy on the cardiomyopathy of Anderson-Fabry disease: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical trial of agalsidase alfa. *Heart*. 2008;94(2):153–158.
- Schwarting A, Dehout F, Feriozzi S, Beck M, Mehta A, Sunder-Plassmann G; European FOS Investigators. Enzyme replacement therapy and renal function in 201 patients with Fabry disease. *Clin Nephrol*. 2006;66(2):77–84.
- Schiffmann R, Ries M, Timmons M, Flaherty JT, Brady RO. Longterm therapy with agalsidase alfa for Fabry disease: safety and effects on renal function in a home infusion setting. *Nephrol Dial Transplant*. 2006;21(2):345–354.
- Pisani A, Spinelli L, Sabbatini M, et al. Enzyme replacement therapy in Fabry disease patients undergoing dialysis: effects on quality of life and organ involvement. Am J Kidney Dis. 2005;46(1):120–127.
- Germain DP, Waldek S, Banikazemi M, et al. Sustained, long-term renal stabilization after 54 months of agalsidase beta therapy in patients with Fabry disease. *J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2007;18(5):1547–1557.
- Feriozzi S, Schwarting A, Sunder-Plassmann G, West M, Cybulla M; International Fabry Outcome Survey Investigators. Agalsidase alfa slows the decline in renal function in patients with Fabry disease. Am J Nephrol. 2009;29(5):353–361.
- Buechner S, Moretti M, Burlina AP, et al. Central nervous system involvement in Anderson-Fabry disease: a clinical and MRI retrospective study. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. 2008;79(11): 1249–1254.
- 41. Hoffmann B, Beck M, Sunder-Plassmann G, Borsini W, Ricci R, Mehta A; FOS European Investigators. Nature and prevalence of pain in Fabry disease and its response to enzyme replacement therapy a retrospective analysis from the Fabry Outcome Survey. Clin J Pain. 2007;23(6):535–542.
- Hoffmann B, Garcia de Lorenzo A, Mehta A, Beck M, Widmer U, Ricci R; FOS European Investigators. Effects of enzyme replacement therapy on pain and health related quality of life in patients with Fabry disease: data from FOS (Fabry Outcome Survey). *J Med Genet*. 2005; 42(3):247–252.
- 43. Eto Y, Ohashi T, Utsunomiya Y, et al. Enzyme replacement therapy in Japanese Fabry disease patients: the results of a phase 2 bridging study. *J Inherit Metab Dis.* 2005;28(4):575–583.
- West M, Nicholls K, Mehta A, et al. Agalsidase alfa and kidney dysfunction in Fabry disease. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2009;20(5): 1132–1139.
- 45. Dehout F, Roland D, Treille de Granseigne S, Guillaume B, van Maldergem L. Relief of gastrointestinal symptoms under enzyme replacement therapy [corrected] in patients with Fabry disease. *J Inherit Metab Dis.* 2004;27(4):499–505.
- 46. cebm.net [homepage on the Internet]. Oxford: Centre for Evidence Based Medicine. Available from: http://www.cebm.net/index. aspx?o=1025/. Accessed November 18, 2010.
- 47. MacDermot KD, Holmes A, Miners AH. Anderson-Fabry disease: clinical manifestations and impact of disease in a cohort of 60 obligate carrier females. *J Med Genet*. 2001;38(11):769–775.

- MacDermot KD, Holmes A, Miners AH. Anderson-Fabry disease: clinical manifestations and impact of disease in a cohort of 98 hemizygous males. *J Med Genet*. 2001;38(11):750–760.
- Eng CM, Fletcher J, Wilcox WR, et al. Fabry disease: baseline medical characteristics of a cohort of 1765 males and females in the Fabry Registry. J Inherit Metab Dis. 2007;30(2):184–192.
- Mehta A, Ricci R, Widmer U, et al. Fabry disease defined: baseline clinical manifestations of 366 patients in the Fabry Outcome Survey. Eur J Clin Invest. 2004;34(3):236–242.
- Wilcox WR, Oliveira JP, Hopkin RJ, et al. Fabry Registry. Females with Fabry disease frequently have major organ involvement: lessons from the Fabry Registry. Mol Genet Metab. 2008;93(2):112–128.
- Deegan PB, Baehner AF, Barba Romero MA, Hughes DA, Kampmann C, Beck M; European FOS Investigators. Natural history of Fabry disease in females in the Fabry Outcome Survey. *J Med Genet*. 2006;43(4):347–352.
- Vedder AC, Linthorst GE, van Breemen MJ, et al. The Dutch Fabry cohort: diversity of clinical manifestations and Gb3 levels. *J Inherit Metab Dis*. 2007;30(1):68–78.
- Branton MH, Schiffmann R, Sabnis SG, et al. Natural history of Fabry renal disease: influence of alpha-galactosidase A activity and genetic mutations on clinical course. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2002; 81(2):122–138.
- Thadhani R, Wolf M, West ML, et al. Patients with Fabry disease on dialysis in the United States. Kidney Int. 2002;61(1):249–255.
- Kotanko P, Kramar R, Devrnja D, et al. Results of a nationwide screening for Anderson-Fabry disease among dialysis patients. *J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2004;15(5):1323–1329.
- Linthorst GE, Bouwman MG, Wijburg FA, Aerts JM, Poorthuis BJ, Hollak CE. Screening for Fabry disease in high-risk populations: a systematic review. *J Med Genet*. 2010;47(4):217–222.
- Lin HY, Chong KW, Hsu JH, et al. High incidence of the cardiac variant of Fabry disease revealed by newborn screening in the Taiwan Chinese population. Circ Cardiovasc Genet. 2009;2(5):450–456.
- Spada M, Pagliardini S, Yasuda M, et al. High incidence of later-onset fabry disease revealed by newborn screening. Am J Hum Genet. 2006; 79(1):31–40.
- Hollak CE, Vedder AC, Winchester B, Aerts JM, Breunig F. Enzyme replacement therapy in Fabry disease: towards a better understanding of the implications of antibody formation and dose. *Mol Genet Metab*. 2008;95(4):239–240.
- Tahir H, Jackson LL, Warnock DG. Antiproteinuric therapy and fabry nephropathy: sustained reduction of proteinuria in patients receiving enzyme replacement therapy with agalsidase-beta. *J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2007;18(9):2609–2617.
- 62. Gupta S, Ries M, Kotsopoulos S, Schiffmann R. The relationship of vascular glycolipid storage to clinical manifestations of Fabry disease: a cross-sectional study of a large cohort of clinically affected heterozygous women. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2005;84(5):261–268.
- Watt T, Burlina AP, Cazzorla C, et al. Agalsidase beta treatment is associated with improved quality of life in patients with Fabry disease: findings from the Fabry Registry. *Genet Med.* 2010;12(11):703–712.
- Sirrs S, Nussbaumer G. Evidence supporting ERT for Fabry disease is less rigorous than evidence available for other types of rare kidney diseases. *J Inherit Metab Dis.* 2005;28 Suppl 1:165.
- Kleinert J, Dehout F, Schwarting A, et al. Prevalence of uncontrolled hypertension in patients with Fabry disease. *Am J Hypertens*. 2006; 19(8):782–787.
- Rombach SM, Twickler TB, Aerts JM, Linthorst GE, Wijburg FA, Hollak CE. Vasculopathy in patients with Fabry disease: current controversies and research directions. *Mol Genet Metab.* 2010;99(2):99–108.
- Ries M, Gupta S, Moore DF, et al. Pediatric Fabry disease. *Pediatrics*. 2005;115(3):e344–e355.
- Ries M, Ramaswami U, Parini R, et al. The early clinical phenotype of Fabry disease: a study on 35 European children and adolescents. *Eur J Pediatr*. 2003;162(11):767–772.

 Kampmann C, Wiethoff CM, Whybra C, Baehner FA, Mengel E, Beck M. Cardiac manifestations of Anderson-Fabry disease in children and adolescents. *Acta Paediatr*. 2008;97(4):463–469.

- Sirrs S, Clarke JT, Bichet DG, et al. Baseline characteristics of patients enrolled in the Canadian Fabry Disease Initiative. *Mol Genet Metab.* 2010;99(4):367–373.
- Shin SH, Kluepfel-Stahl S, Cooney AM, et al. Prediction of response of mutated alpha-galactosidase A to a pharmacological chaperone. *Pharmacogenet Genomics*. 2008;18(9):773–780.
- Germain DP, Fan JQ. Pharmacological chaperone therapy by active-sitespecific chaperones in Fabry disease: in vitro and preclinical studies. *Int J Clin Pharmacol Ther*. 2009;47 Suppl 1:S111–S117.
- Benjamin ER, Flanagan JJ, Schilling A, et al. The pharmacological chaperone 1-deoxygalactonojirimycin increases alpha-galactosidase A levels in Fabry patient cell lines. *J Inherit Metab Dis*. 2009;32(3): 424–440.
- Sugawara K, Tajima Y, Kawashima I, et al. Molecular interaction of imino sugars with human alpha-galactosidase: insight into the mechanism of complex formation and pharmacological chaperone action in Fabry disease. *Mol Genet Metab*. 2009;96(4):233–238.
- Park JY, Kim GH, Kim SS, Ko JM, Lee JJ, Yoo HW. Effects of a chemical chaperone on genetic mutations in alpha-galactosidase A in Korean patients with Fabry disease. Exp Mol Med. 2009;41(1):1–7.

- Ogawa K, Hirai Y, Ishizaki M, et al. Long-term inhibition of glycosphingolipid accumulation in Fabry model mice by a single systemic injection of AAV1 vector in the neonatal period. *Mol Genet Metab*. 2009;96(3):91–96.
- Desnick RJ, Schuchman EH. Enzyme replacement and enhancement therapies: lessons from lysosomal disorders. *Nat Rev Genet*. 2002;3(12): 954–966.
- Parenti G. Treating lysosomal storage diseases with pharmacological chaperones: from concept to clinics. EMBO Mol Med. 2009;1(5): 268–279.
- Jung SC, Han IP, Limaye A, et al. Adeno-associated viral vectormediated gene transfer results in long-term enzymatic and functional correction in multiple organs of Fabry mice. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U SA*. 2001;98(5):2676–2681.
- Park J, Murray GJ, Limaye A, et al. Long-term correction of globotriaosylceramide storage in Fabry mice by recombinant adeno-associated virus-mediated gene transfer. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2003;100(6): 3450–3454.

Therapeutics and Clinical Risk Management

Publish your work in this journal

Therapeutics and Clinical Risk Management is an international, peerreviewed journal of clinical therapeutics and risk management, focusing on concise rapid reporting of clinical studies in all therapeutic areas, outcomes, safety, and programs for the effective, safe, and sustained use of medicines. This journal is indexed on PubMed Central, CAS, EMBase, Scopus and the Elsevier Bibliographic databases. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

 $\textbf{Submit your manuscript here:} \ \texttt{http://www.dovepress.com/therapeutics-and-clinical-risk-management-journal} \\$

Dovepress