

Using treatment guidelines to improve antibiotic use: insights from an antibiotic point prevalence survey in Kenya

Michuki Maina ^{1,2}, Jacob McKnight,³ Olga Tosas-Auguet,³ Constance Schultsz,^{2,4} Mike English ^{1,3}

To cite: Maina M, McKnight J, Tosas-Auguet O, *et al*. Using treatment guidelines to improve antibiotic use: insights from an antibiotic point prevalence survey in Kenya. *BMJ Global Health* 2021;**6**:e003836. doi:10.1136/bmjgh-2020-003836

Handling editor Senjuti Saha

Received 28 August 2020
Revised 14 December 2020
Accepted 15 December 2020



© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2021. Re-use permitted under CC BY. Published by BMJ.

¹Health Services Unit, KEMRI-Wellcome Trust Research Programme Nairobi, Nairobi, Kenya

²Amsterdam UMC, Department of Global Health, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

³Nuffield Department of Medicine, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

⁴Amsterdam Institute for Global Health and Development, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Correspondence to

Dr Michuki Maina;
MMaina@kemri-wellcome.org

BACKGROUND

Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) is a significant public health threat that is expected to worsen as more drug-resistant organisms emerge.¹ This situation is further exacerbated by the low rate of discovery of new antimicrobial agents that could act against drug-resistant micro-organisms. AMR could retard economic growth in low-income countries and delay attainment of the sustainable development goals.²

There are multiple drivers of AMR, but one of the key drivers has been the irrational use of antimicrobial agents.³ In hospitals, lack of timely and accurate diagnostic tests, including microbiology for bacterial speciation and drug susceptibility testing, leads to unnecessary antimicrobial use, fueling resistance and healthcare costs.⁴ While countries must work to improve diagnostic capabilities and increase laboratory capacity to enhance diagnostic accuracy, it is also important to complement this new capacity with locally relevant guidelines. Providing context-specific, applicable and regularly updated treatment guidelines to front-line doctors is an effective means to improve antibiotic usage and clinical care.⁵

Clinical practice guidelines (CPG) provide a standardised and systematic approach to responding to disease, including the treatment. The guidelines are, however, more effective in the context of a functioning health system with adequate clinicians, drugs, diagnostics and a supportive environment to the clinicians and patients.⁶ This multifaceted approach of improving antimicrobial usage is illustrated in [figure 1](#).

Here, we present data from an antibiotic point prevalence survey that illustrates the continued importance of developing

Summary box

- ▶ Clinical practice guidelines have the potential to improve quality of care through improving decision making and antibiotic prescription. These guidelines are particularly important in areas with limited laboratory and specialist capacity.
- ▶ For some of the common conditions managed in the hospitals, guidelines are not available or are outdated.
- ▶ To reduce irrational antibiotic use and contain the threat of antimicrobial resistance, the process of guideline development should prioritise the most common diseases.
- ▶ The process of developing context-appropriate clinical guidelines requires input from all relevant stakeholders with leadership from the Ministry of Health. This process needs to have a clear plan for dissemination, training and future updates.

guidelines for improving diagnosis and treatment. We propose that guidelines should be developed to target common diseases in limited-resource settings as a priority, and lastly, we illustrate how guideline development and dissemination at scale can be achieved using the example of Kenya's basic paediatric protocols (BPP).

USING GUIDELINES TO IMPROVE DIAGNOSIS AND ANTIBIOTIC USE

A point prevalence survey was conducted across 14 public county hospitals (formerly district hospitals) in Kenya. These hospitals, with varying bed capacities, are located in urban and rural areas of Kenya with high and low malaria endemicity. They provide multispecialty inpatient care, which includes; maternal, neonatal, adult and paediatric medical and surgical units. Data from this




Figure 1 Improving antimicrobial usage requires clinicians, laboratory and radiological support in an environment that provides treatment guidelines, training, feedback and patient communication.

survey conducted among hospitalised patients revealed that large proportions of patients received antibiotic treatment for conditions that did not warrant antibiotics.⁷ However, this inappropriate use of antibiotics was much less prevalent in the paediatric medical (14% (36/261) and neonatal units (5% (11/224)) where treatment guidelines were physically available than on adult medical units where treatment guidelines were absent, and 33% (140/421) of the patients were inappropriately treated.

In addition to lack of guidelines, the literature on irrational antibiotic use suggests that the level of training of the prescribers, the fear of the clinicians missing an existing infection, fear of lawsuits, fear of being reprimanded by more senior colleagues and pressures from patients, nurses and other ward staff can especially encourage overtreatment.^{8,9} Availing standard guidelines can help address many of these factors and give confidence to the clinicians on what comprises best practice while also improving the accuracy of diagnoses and treatment.¹⁰

THE NEED FOR GUIDELINES

While it may be ideal to have guidelines for all diseases, immediate efforts should be directed to the most common ailments. On the neonatal and paediatric units we examined, the CPG available in the wards¹¹ provided treatment advice that spanned 94% (211/224) and 55% (143/261) of the admissions, respectively. There were guidelines for common conditions in adults or on surgical units.

The main adult conditions for which antibiotics were prescribed among the adult medical and surgical populations included; pneumonia, obstetric and gynaecological infections, HIV-associated infections, central nervous

system (CNS) infections, skin and soft-tissue infections (SSTI), and antibiotics for surgical prophylaxis. In the paediatric medical unit, the common conditions included; pneumonia, CNS infections, gastrointestinal infections and sepsis.⁷ Here, we use the example of pneumonia and CNS infections in adults and SSTI in surgical units to illustrate the need for guidelines.

In the adult medical wards, pneumonia and CNS infections accounted for 22% (94/421) and 20% (50/421) of admissions, respectively. Based on predefined criteria, 26% and 28% of these patients admitted with pneumonia and CNS infections, respectively, received inappropriate treatment. In the surgical units, SSTI which lack current local guidelines were a common cause of hospitalisation in adults (25% (135/543)) and children (60% (32/53)). Of these patients with SSTI, the documented antibiotic treatment was inappropriate in 69% and 43% of the adults and children, respectively. The choice of antibiotics used to treat these skin infections varied widely across the hospitals surveyed. Additionally, there was a significantly higher use of nitroimidazole derivatives compared with the preferred beta-lactam antibiotics.¹²

Availing approved guidelines for these conditions could ensure their treatment is standardised across hospitals. To reduce AMR, these guidelines should be in line with the recommendations by the WHO essential medicines list that encourages the use of the access group drugs (generally having a narrow spectrum of activity) as first-line and second-line therapy under the Access, Watch and Reserve categorisation.¹³ Treatment guidelines for adults in medical and surgical units which cover these, and other common conditions were developed in Kenya. However, they have not been updated for 12 years; they were not disseminated in easy to use formats or at scale and were not found in any of the hospitals visited.¹⁴ Therefore, it is essential to update or replace these guidelines in a format that can be widely and rapidly disseminated. It would be advisable to draw on international guidelines, including those from WHO¹⁵⁻¹⁷ and include context-specific modifications.¹⁸ This process should be driven from the ‘bottom-up’ by the professional medical and surgical associations with strategic direction offered by the Ministry of Health as the Ministry is mandated to generate health policies.

THE POTENTIAL FOR NATIONAL GUIDELINES

Unlike in high-income settings where guidelines may be hospital or region-specific, in many low-income and middle-income countries, guidelines tend to be for national use, including the private sector. Key to the development process, therefore, are the Ministries of Health who provide direction on what guidelines need to be developed based on the local disease burden. The ministry also plays a role in ensuring adequate representation by all relevant stakeholders in the development process that should be transparent and not undermined by conflicts of interest or undue influence from specific

individuals or groups (including funders).¹⁹ Guideline development should specifically extend to include plans for training and dissemination activities targeting all relevant clinicians in preservice training or practice in the public, not-for-profit and private sectors. This includes availing the guidelines in electronic versions for higher utilisation.²⁰

Other stakeholders, especially organisations such as WHO can play a vital role by providing access to high-quality evidence syntheses and offering training and technical support to the process of guideline development.²¹

THE KENYAN BPP AS AN EXAMPLE OF A NATIONAL GUIDELINE DEVELOPMENT PROCESS

Developing guidelines is a multidisciplinary effort that requires input from expert clinicians, representatives from professional bodies and end-users, economists and methodologists. These teams need to be responding to relevant clinical needs that require the development of guidelines.²¹

In Kenya, this approach was adopted to develop the BPP first published in 2006. The development of these guidelines was initiated and overseen by the Ministry of Health with input from the professional paediatric association, universities and clinicians.²² As the process evolved, topics were identified in response to clinician queries for conditions that lacked clear guidelines and systematic reviews were conducted to generate context-appropriate evidence. This evidence was presented to multiple stakeholders, and guidelines were then developed through consensus. The BPP underwent three updates in 2010, 2013 and 2016 to include new and emerging evidence.^{11 23}

Guideline availability, however, does not necessarily translate into their use. It is, therefore, essential to include a plan for training and implementation as part of the guideline development process.²³ The development of the BPP was accompanied by the roll-out of the BPP-based Emergency Triage Assessment and Treatment Plus Admission training to clinicians in public and private hospitals and medical students. Many thousands of health workers and students have been trained since the guidelines were first rolled out, and numerous low-cost, short guidelines booklets have been distributed.²³ Since their introduction, better case definition and management of pneumonia and diarrhoeal diseases, improved clinical documentation and a decline in the use of inappropriate cough medications have been reported among other benefits.^{10 24 25}

CONCLUSION

Availing up to date treatment guidelines to clinicians provides an opportunity to reduce inappropriate antibiotic use in hospitals. There are apparent gaps in guideline development and availability, especially for common adult medical conditions and across all ages in surgical care. Guideline development can be used to build consensus across a broad spectrum of the clinical

community on contextually appropriate treatments. Their development must be accompanied by clear and adequate dissemination strategies to ensure all the clinicians making decisions daily, understand the rationale for the recommended strategy and have access to guidance at the point of care.

Twitter Michuki Maina @mitchmaina and Mike English @ProfMikeEnglish

Acknowledgements The authors would like to thank all the hospitals that participated in the initial surveys and follow-up interviews. This work is published with the permission of the director of KEMRI.

Contributors MM, JM, OT-A, CS and ME conceived the study. MM conducted surveys and data collection described in the manuscript. OT-A and ME assisted MM in analysis and interpretation of the data. MM, JM, OT-A, CS and ME drafted and critically revised the manuscript for intellectual content. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding This work was supported by funds from the economic and social research council ESRC # ES/P004938/1, and a Senior Research Fellowship awarded to ME by The Wellcome Trust (#207522). MM is supported by a grant from the Initiative to Develop African Research Leaders (IDeAL) through the DELTAS Africa Initiative (DEL-15-003), an independent funding scheme of the African Academy of Sciences (AAS) 's Alliance for Accelerating Excellence in Science in Africa (AESA) and supported by the New Partnership for Africa's Development Planning and Coordinating Agency (NEPAD Agency) with funding from the Wellcome Trust (107769/Z/10/Z) and the UK government.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent for publication Not required.

Ethics approval This study received approval from the Oxford Tropical research ethics committee (OXTREC) from the University of Oxford (Ref: 525-17) and the Kenyan Medical Research Institute (Ref: KEMRI/SERU/CGMR-C//086/3450).

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement Data used for this manuscript are available in Harvard Dataverse at <https://dataverse.harvard.edu/dataset.xhtml?persistentId=doi:10.7910/DVN/L7S8TK>. Access applications can be made through the Data Governance Committee with details available on www.kemri-wellcome.org, or email to cgmrc@kemri-wellcome.org.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 Unported (CC BY 4.0) license, which permits others to copy, redistribute, remix, transform and build upon this work for any purpose, provided the original work is properly cited, a link to the licence is given, and indication of whether changes were made. See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

ORCID iDs

Michuki Maina <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6874-8929>

Mike English <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7427-0826>

REFERENCES

- 1 World Health Organization. *Antimicrobial resistance: global report on surveillance*. World Health Organization, 2014.
- 2 Jonas OB. *Drug-resistant infections: a threat to our economic future*. Washington, DC: World Bank Group, 2017.
- 3 Holmes AH, Moore LSP, Sundsfjord A, et al. Understanding the mechanisms and drivers of antimicrobial resistance. *Lancet* 2016;387:176-87.
- 4 Okeke IN. Laboratory systems as an antibacterial resistance containment tool in Africa. *Afr J Lab Med* 2016;5:497.
- 5 World Health Organization. *Step-by-step approach for development and implementation of hospital and antibiotic policy and standard treatment guidelines*. WHO Regional Office for South-East Asia., 2011.
- 6 Mendelson M, Røttingen J-A, Gopinathan U, et al. Maximising access to achieve appropriate human antimicrobial use in low-income and middle-income countries. *Lancet* 2016;387:188-98.
- 7 Maina M, Mwaniki P, Odira E, et al. Antibiotic use in Kenyan public hospitals: prevalence, appropriateness and link to guideline availability. *Int J Infect Dis* 2020;99:10-18.

- 8 Livorsi D, Comer A, Matthias MS, *et al*. Factors influencing Antibiotic-Prescribing decisions among inpatient physicians: a qualitative investigation. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2015;36:1065–72.
- 9 De Souza V, MacFarlane A, Murphy AW, *et al*. A qualitative study of factors influencing antimicrobial prescribing by non-consultant Hospital doctors. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2006;58:840–3.
- 10 Maina M, Akech S, Mwaniki P, *et al*. Inappropriate prescription of cough remedies among children hospitalised with respiratory illness over the period 2002-2015 in Kenya. *Trop Med Int Health* 2017;22:363–9.
- 11 Ministry of Health. Basic paediatric protocols for ages up to 5 years, 2016. Available: http://idoc-africa.org/images/documents/2016/Basic_Paediatric_Protocol_2016/MAY%2023rd%20BPP%202016%20SA.pdf
- 12 Ramakrishnan K, Salinas RC, Agudelo Higueta NI. Skin and soft tissue infections. *Am Fam Physician* 2015;92:474–83.
- 13 World Health Organization. *The selection and use of essential medicines: report of the who expert Committee, 2017 (including the 20th who model list of essential medicines and the 6th model list of essential medicines for children*. World Health Organization, 2017.
- 14 Ministry of Medical Services and Ministry of Public Health and Sanitation. *Clinical management and referral guidelines – volume III: clinical guidelines for management and referral of common conditions at levels 4–6: hospitals*. Nairobi, 2009.
- 15 Kenyatta National Hospital and University of Nairobi. *The KNH guide to empiric antimicrobial therapy*. Nairobi, 2018.
- 16 Metlay JP, Waterer GW, Long AC, *et al*. Diagnosis and treatment of adults with community-acquired pneumonia. An official clinical practice guideline of the American thoracic Society and infectious diseases Society of America. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2019;200:e45–67.
- 17 Stevens DL, Bisno AL, Chambers HF, *et al*. Practice guidelines for the diagnosis and management of skin and soft tissue infections: 2014 update by the infectious diseases Society of America. *Clin Infect Dis* 2014;59:e10–52.
- 18 Penney GC. Adopting and adapting clinical guidelines for local use. *Obstet Gynaecol* 2007;9:48–52.
- 19 Lo B, Field M. Conflicts of interest and development of clinical practice guidelines. In: *Conflict of interest in medical research, education, and practice*, 2009.
- 20 Damiani G, Pinnarelli L, Colosimo SC, *et al*. The effectiveness of computerized clinical guidelines in the process of care: a systematic review. *BMC Health Serv Res* 2010;10:2.
- 21 World Health Organization. *WHO handbook for guideline development*. Geneva: WHO, 2012.
- 22 Irimu G, Wamae A, Wasunna A, *et al*. Developing and introducing evidence based clinical practice guidelines for serious illness in Kenya. *Arch Dis Child* 2008;93:799–804.
- 23 English M, Irimu G, Nyamai R, *et al*. Developing guidelines in low-income and middle-income countries: lessons from Kenya. *Arch Dis Child* 2017;102:846–51.
- 24 Akech S, Ayieko P, Irimu G, *et al*. Magnitude and pattern of improvement in processes of care for hospitalised children with diarrhoea and dehydration in Kenyan hospitals participating in a clinical network. *Trop Med Int Health* 2019;24:73–80.
- 25 Gachau S, Irimu G, Ayieko P, *et al*. Prevalence, outcome and quality of care among children hospitalized with severe acute malnutrition in Kenyan hospitals: a multi-site observational study. *PLoS One* 2018;13:e0197607.