



Review

# Variant Anatomy and Its Terminology

David Kachlík 1,2, Ivan Varga 3,\*, Václav Báča 2 and Vladimír Musil 40

- Department of Anatomy, Second Faculty of Medicine, Charles University, V Úvalu 84, 15006 Prague, Czech Republic; david.kachlik@lfmotol.cuni.cz
- Department of Health Care Studies, College of Polytechnics Jihlava, Tolstého 16, 58601 Jihlava, Czech Republic; vaclav.baca@vspj.cz
- Institute of Histology and Embryology, Faculty of Medicine, Comenius University in Bratislava, 81372 Bratislava, Slovakia
- Centre of Scientific Information, Third Faculty of Medicine, Charles University, Ruská 87, 10000 Prague, Czech Republic; vladimir.musil@lf3.cuni.cz
- \* Correspondence: ivan.varga@fmed.uniba.sk; Tel.: +421-2-90-119-547

Received: 17 November 2020; Accepted: 17 December 2020; Published: 18 December 2020



Abstract: Variant anatomy, which is an integral part of anatomical science, is related to abnormalities in the human body structure. Our understanding of variant anatomy is based on thousand years of anatomical experience. These abnormalities generally do not interfere with the function of the human body and do not typically manifest as pathological nosological units. However, under certain conditions, these abnormalities can worsen existing pathological states or even evoke new ones. Understanding variant anatomy is a basic skill not only of mere anatomists, but also of clinicians who work in fields involving both diagnostic techniques and therapeutic interventions. To gain and retain a good knowledge of the most frequent and clinically relevant anatomical variations, a simple, clear, and exactly defined nomenclature of variant structures is needed. A list of items comprising variant anatomy, which have been incorporated into the internationally accepted nomenclatures *Terminologia Anatomica* (1998) and *Terminologia Neuroanatomica* (2017), is described and analyzed. Examples of the most common anatomical variations related to terminology are mentioned, and variant anatomy as a whole and its role in understanding current anatomy are discussed.

Keywords: anatomy; anatomical variations; variability; terminology; nomenclature

### 1. Introduction

Motto: "Variability is the law of life"—Sir William Osler

The human body is a very complicated and sophisticated unit that develops from two single cells that merge and subsequently divide into  $37.2 \pm 0.81$  trillion cells [1]. During development, divergence from the DNA-encoded body plan happens, which on one side allows further improvements, but on the other side can lead to developmental defects or death via spontaneous abortion. If anatomical divergence from the norm does not affect function, it is not considered a developmental defect (congenital malformation) but, rather, a mere anatomical variation.

Variant anatomy is a field of anatomical science that concerns the abnormalities of the human body structure. What is considered normal with regard to the human body structure is arbitrary and it is based on thousands of years of experience and/or agreements among specialists and their societies and committees. In case of variant anatomy, these abnormalities do not typically interfere with the function of a given part of the human body and, thus, do not usually manifest as pathological nosological units. Without a doubt, these abnormalities should be congenital/development defects, but generally they are not considered defects due to the above-mentioned fact that they do not usually have pathological manifestations.

Medicina 2020, 56, 713 2 of 16

However, under any changed condition (e.g., ischemia, malnutrition), such variants either can remain silent both functionally and clinically or can worsen the pathological state or even evoke a new one. The presence of an anatomical variant can be revealed during a diagnostic or therapeutic intervention and can be an unpleasant surprise for the intervening specialist. For these reasons, it is necessary to consider variant anatomy an unavoidable aspect of the human body, equally important to the clinician as systemic and topographical anatomy.

The aim of this review is to discuss the general terminology concerning anatomical variants, define basic terms, raise questions about the frequency and reliability of the reported numbers of anatomical variants in the human population, refer to their clinical relevance, and emphasize the terminology in this field as it is the most neglected aspect of anatomical variations. The last task is to review the current terminology surrounding norms and present examples from vascular anatomy (the most variable system of the human body) as well the other organ systems. These examples are based on and selected in relation to the authors' own experience, findings, and publications.

First, it is important to review key principles related to the construction of the human body [2] and to define principal terms based on the British Oxford English Dictionary [3] and the American Merriam-Webster Dictionnary [4]:

- normal (from Latin *norma*) = conforming to standard, regular, usual, typical [3]; conforming to a type, standard, or regular pattern: characterized by that which is considered usual, typical, or routine; according with, constituting, or not deviating from a norm, rule, procedure, or principle; occurring naturally; not exhibiting defect or irregularity [4];
- abnormal (from Latin *abnormis*) = exceptional, irregular, deviating from type [3]; deviating from the normal or average [4];
- anormal = not normal—used in distinction from the positive emphasis of abnormal [4]; not listed in [3];
- anomalous (from Greek ἀνώμἄλος) = irregular, abnormal [3]; inconsistent with or deviating from what is usual, normal, or expected; of uncertain nature or classification [4];
- variant (from Latin *variare*) = differing in form or in details from the one named or considered, differing thus, among themselves [3]; manifesting variety, deviation, or disagreement; varying usually slightly from the standard form [4];
- variable = that can be varied or adapted; apt to vary, not constant, fickle, unsteady; including individuals or groups that depart from the type; tending to change in structure or function [3]; able or apt to vary: subject to variation or changes; characterized by variations; not true to type [4];
- accessory (from Latin *accessus*) = additional, subordinately contributing, dispensable, adventitious [3]; present in a minor amount and not essential as a constituent; assisting under the orders of another; aiding or contributing in a secondary way [4];
- aberrant (from Latin *aberro*) = diverging from the normal type [3]; deviating from the usual or natural type; straying from the right or normal way [4];
- typical (from Greek  $\tau \acute{v}\pi o \varsigma$ ) = serving as a type or characteristic example, representative, symbolical, emblematic [3]; combining or exhibiting the essential characteristics of a group; conforming to a type; constituting or having the nature of a type [4];
- atypical = non-conforming to a type [3]; not typical [4];
- constant (from Latin *constare*) = unmoved, resolute, faithful, unchanging, unremitting, frequently occurring [3]; marked by firm/steady/fast resolution or faithfulness: exhibiting constancy of mind or attachment; invariable, uniform; continually occurring or recurring (regular) [4];
- inconstant = fickle, changeable, variable [3]; likely to change frequently without apparent or cogent reason [4].

According to Keith Moore [5], the term normal means "within the normal range of variation." The Latin word *normalis* means conforming to the rule or pattern. The English word normality denotes the state of being normal, and the verb normalize means to make normal. It is used in descriptive

Medicina 2020, 56, 713 3 of 16

anatomy to indicate the standard or normal appearance of a structure [5]. Additionally, the term *norma* is used in *Terminologia Anatomica* five times to describe different views of the typical appearance of the skull only (*norma facialis*, *superior*, *occipitalis*, *lateralis*, *inferior*) and is synonymous with the English term aspect. R. B. Salter goes further with his statement: "While one speaks of an average infant, an average child and an average adult, it is important to appreciate that there exists an extremely wide range of normal in body form and function" [6].

The most common type of structure is the one best fitted for survival. However, frequency alone is not the criterion for normality [5]. The overall prevalence of an arrangement of a specific structure in the human population is the only decisive criterion for determining if a structure is constant or variant. At what level does one consider a structure to be variant? This point has not yet been agreed upon in this field and remains an unsolved task for experts in the future. Keith Moore stated in 1989 that any feature, such as the protuberance of a bone or the branching of an artery, should be called normal if it falls within the normal range of variation. However, many textbooks and lecturers fail to explain in their introductions that this is what they mean when they use the term normal [5]. Almost any minor variation may be observed as a normal feature for a particular family; however, finding several minor variations in the same individual is unusual, and textbooks and lecturers may therefore indicate that a serious malformation (e.g., of the cardiovascular system) has occurred during development in these cases [5,7].

Anatomical variations are not only very interesting and thought-provoking for anatomists but also of principal concern for clinicians. Theodore E. Keats stated in his editorial that "I have spent a large portion of my professional life collecting and documenting normal anatomical variants, and I find the task endless. It seems that Mother Nature is boundless in the infinite variations in the way we are constructed." Keats has also argued that "the interpretation of normal variants must always include proper incorporation with clinical history and physical findings, or we may inadvertently give patients diseases which they do not have, and this is probably the worst mistake we can make in medicine" [8]. Cahill and Leonard stated in 1999 that 10% of surgical errors in the USA are due to the lack of knowledge of existing anatomic variations [9]. The need for precise knowledge of human anatomy has to be emphasized, especially in the technical fields of medicine (ultrasonography, magnetic resonance, computed tomography, endoscopy, surgery, etc.) in which this knowledge can lead to a better and more accurate diagnosis, help prevent mistaking a variation for a pathology, as well as allow better therapeutic interventions [10]. That is why expert educational committees in the USA and the Netherlands have included anatomical variations in their anatomy programs, objectives, and syllabi [11,12].

#### 2. Variation as a Unit and as a Part of the Whole

Across the human population, the body displays a certain degree of differences with regard to its volume, shape, structure, position, etc. Morphological fluctuations in organs or other parts of the body that do not result in a functional "handicap" for the individual may be considered an "anatomical variation" [13].

Many different types of anatomical variants exist, such as supernumerary bony structures, missing or supernumerary muscle heads and bellies, changes in the shape and position of organs and their parts, supernumerary (accessory), missing, aberrant, and rudimentary vessels, and differing numbers of lymph nodes. Even the atavisms and rudiments cannot be omitted, which are known from veterinary and comparative anatomy (hairs, muscle bundles, *tuberculum auriculare* of Darwini of the auricle, etc.). These structures are common in animals, but it is rare to find them in humans. When found, these abnormalities usually do not impact an individual's physiology. They can be termed "normal variations" [14]. On the other hand, all morphological fluctuations that are beyond the mentioned limits of normality are defined as anomalies or malformations. These abnormalities constitute a true functional handicap for the individual [14]. Anomalies or malformations are considered synonyms for structural abnormalities [15]. The causes of variations and anomalies are rooted in

Medicina 2020, 56, 713 4 of 16

biological processes during development, including the formation of a particular structure. This may be due to genetic or environmental reasons or their combination [14].

Based on the above examples, it is obvious that there are many structures within the scope of variant anatomy. Singer concluded in 1956 that "to recognize anatomical variations, it is necessary to previously establish the normal pattern of the human body and name its structures." Galen initiated this effort centuries before Vesalius, based on his clinical practice and dissection of apes, dogs, and other mammals [16].

Who was the first to describe a variant anatomical structure and who was the first to define a prevalence for a specific variant? These questions require thorough historical exploration. We can see many variations in Andreas Vesalius' *De Humani Corporis Fabrica* published in 1543, which is surely based not only on his own observation, but also on the sources of previous Greek, Latin, and Arabic authors [10,17,18]. Scientists are still working on recognizing and classifying anatomical variations. It is not always easy to define variations precisely, because inexact descriptions and incorrect terminology related to anatomical variations often lead to errors, mismatches, and mistakes when determining the statistical frequency of variations.

Modern definitions describe an anatomical variant as "a deviation from the 'normal' arrangement of an anatomical structure without causing a demonstrable impairment to its functioning" [19,20]. This is in contrast to a pathological abnormality, which may impair functioning of one or more anatomical structures. While many anatomical variants do not require clinical attention, some may present diagnostic problems or cause adverse symptoms [21].

As for frequency, variations can be classified as frequent, infrequent, rare, or sporadic. Frequent variations can even reach 100% in case occurrence. In this case, the intended structure is either present persistently or absent in a few percent of cases (e.g., ossa suturalia are found in nearly all skulls). Otherwise, the variation frequency equals half of the cases at most (e.g., the position of the arteria maxillaris in relation to the musculus pterygoideus lateralis in the fossa infratemporalis is, according to many studies, medial to the artery position in approximately in 30–45% of cases and lateral in 55–70% of cases [22]). Infrequent variations are present in 1–10% of cases (e.g., arteria thyroidea ima is reported to appear in approximately 0.4–10% of cases [22]), and rare variations are present in less than 1% of cases (e.g., situs viscerum inversus). Variations are considered sporadic if the number of total cases reported does not exceed 100 (e.g., arteria mesenterica media, which has only been reported in a total of 17 cases according to our own findings [23]). Studies of variant anatomy performed in recent decades have often uncovered new, surprising findings that sometimes contradict textbook norms, especially thanks to new scientific approaches and techniques (e.g., angiography, CT angiography, 3D recontructions, CT microangiography, duplex ultrasonography, endoscopy, etc).

Variant anatomy is also important because it has clinical relevance. If we revisit the previously mentioned examples of variations, we can conclude that the positional relationship between the *arteria maxillaris* and the *musculus pterygoideus lateralis* is critical to know during very difficult interventions occurring in the tissue under the *ramus mandibulae*, which is not a common surgical procedure. In contrast, the *arteria thyroidea ima*, which is a much rarer variation, can cause considerable bleeding during a much more common procedure, inferior tracheotomy, due to the course of the *arteria thyroidea ima* ascending in front of the trachea in the *spatium suprasternale* towards the thyroid gland. The *situs viscerum inversus* can cause diagnostic and therapeutic problems, and the *arteria mesenterica media*, if ligated during surgical procedures (e.g., para-aortal lymphadenectomy or abdominal aorta aneurysm repair), can be a cause of ischemia and possible necrosis of variably long segments of the large intestine. Namely, abdominal aneurysm procedures and the preceding angiographies have revealed several new cases of this variant, which had been previously considered unique. It can be concluded that the real frequency of this variation is higher than we originally supposed, and extensive studies concerning variant anatomy have an important place in medicine.

It is worth mentioning that the classification of variations can be single or associated. The typical associations of variations are considered nosological units (syndromes such as Fallot's trilogy, tetralogy,

Medicina 2020, 56, 713 5 of 16

or pentalogy) but are defined as pathological units. Variations are developmental defects, anomalies, or malformations, which Arey [14] classified in minor and major anomalies. Children with only one minor anomaly have a 3% risk of developing a major defect. However, children with two minor anomalies have a 10% risk of developing major anomalies. Finally, those children with three or more minor anomalies have a 20% risk of developing a major anomaly [24,25]. The incidence of minor anomalies is reported as being between 7% and 41%, while the incidence of major anomalies is 2–3% [26]. Arey [14] concluded that the presence of a minor anomaly must alert us of the possibility of finding a major defect, while the existence of an anatomical variation does not.

Our understanding of variant anatomy is developing and changing, not only thanks to new diagnostic methods (CT, MRI, ultrasonography), but also by means of old and well-established methods (dissection, injection, corrosion casting). For example, a study performed by Andreo et al. [27] called "Caroticotympanic Artery: Anatomically a Normal Variation of the Internal Carotid Artery?" concludes that "anatomically, the artery should be considered as a variation and not as a normal branch of the petrous portion of the internal carotid artery" because it was found only in 1 out of 40 specimens (i.e., in 2.5% of cases).

Although variant anatomy is a vast topic, comprehensive sources exist. Many classical anatomical atlases contain paragraphs or pages on anatomical variants, usually concluding relevant chapters. Specific monographs on variant anatomy of the skull [28,29], upper limb muscles [30], arterial [13,31] and venous [32,33] circulatory system, and peripheral nerves [34] are available, but there also exist single monographs [22,35] and a website [36] describing the variants of the whole human body.

#### 3. Variation Terminology

With regard to the clinical relevance of some anatomical variants, variant terminology not only is a part of anatomical terminology, but also has "infected" the anatomical nomenclature. As early as 1895, the *Basiliensia Nomina Anatomica*, containing 4311 items, featured 86 terms for variant structures (1.99%). The *Ienaiensia Nomina Anatomica*, with 4329 terms, included only 76 items related to variant anatomy (1.76%). The *Parisiensia Nomina Anatomica*, with 4822 terms, included only 89 items (1.85%). The current version, *Terminologia Anatomica* (TA), with 7635 terms, altogether had only 149 items for variant anatomy (1.95%) [37]. Finally, the *Terminologia Neuroanatomica* (TNA) from 2017, with 4413 terms, contains only 41 variant items (0.93%) [38]. This suggests the very erroneous idea that there exist just a few anatomical variants in the human body, and it is necessary to correct this misconception as it can have dangerous consequences in clinical medicine.

A systemic variant anatomical nomenclature does not exist, and establishing it is a task for future anatomists and the International Federation of Association of Anatomists (IFAA). Tables S1 and S2 summarize all variations explicitly listed in the last revision of anatomical nomenclatures [39,40]. This included 149/41 items across nearly all chapters, but most variants related to the cardiovascular system, which included 21% of all variants (31 items). In TA/TNA, these items are described as "normal" items, including a unique identification number (not in TNA), the official Latin term, and the most common English equivalents. However, they are distinguished by closed parentheses.

All of these terms can be viewed from different perspectives. For example, the osseous structures, such as the *ossa suturalia*, *tuberositas masseterica*, or *tuberositas pterygoidea*, are almost always described as variants, while a very frequent osseous bridge dividing the *foramen transversarium* into two unequal openings (the larger one for the *arteria vertebralis* and the smaller one for the *vena vertebralis*) has been omitted in the TA.

Eponyms are very common types of variant anatomy because such structures were initially described a long time ago, were forgotten, and then were rediscovered by later anatomists when reading these reports. Thus, these variants have been ascribed several systemic and eponymic definitions. The *musculus sphincter ductus choledochi* (called the Oddi's or Glisson's sphincter) or the *ligamentum inguinale* (termed Poupart's, Vesalius' or Falloppio's ligament) are examples of normal anatomy. The *arteria thoracica superior* (or *arteria thoracica superficialis*, Haller's artery,

Medicina 2020, 56, 713 6 of 16

Pelegrin's artery) used to be considered a variant, but the TA now lists it as a norm. Finally, there are structures described only with an eponym without an appropriate Latin term. For example, Zuckerkandl's tubercle of the thyroid gland [41], Eisler's pocket or fat pad of the lower eyelid [42], Bochdalek's or Foltz's valve at the beginning of the canaliculus lacrimalis, Vater's duct, His' duct, or Bochdalek's duct are all terms denoting one of the cavities connected with the embryonic ductus thyroglossus [41,43–48]. Riche-Cannieu anastomosis between the ramus profundus nervi ulnaris and the ramus recurrens nervi medianus in the hand [49], Kaplan's accessory branch from ramus cutaneus dorsalis nervi ulnaris arising proximal to the processus styloideus ulnae and connecting the back to the nerve trunk [50] are also examples. However, attention must be paid when an eponym describes more structures, such as Müller's muscle, which is used to describe the fibrae circulares musculi ciliaris, musculus orbitalis, and musculus tarsalis superior [43–47]. The use of eponyms is in sharp contradiction to the recommendation of the IFAA to omit all eponyms in the description of anatomical, histological, and embryological structures (with the exception of a few generalized terms summarized in Table S3). The recommendation is then to create Latin and English nomenclatures for basic and clinically relevant anatomical variations, which can be successively completed and changed according to the needs of both anatomists and clinicians. Except for the above-mentioned 149 items listed in the TA (Table S1), there are many other variations. These variations are of important clinical relevance because the terminology is confusing and multi-synonymous. It is necessary to simplify and classify these mistakes in terminology and remove all eponyms from variant terminology, which should be replaced with systemic Latin and English terms as recommended by the IFAA. Although eponyms are clinically widespread and enhance communication, they are neither systemic nor transparent. Their successive replacement will be painful for older generations of clinicians, but for the next generation, it will be a relief. The best platforms for discussing these changes are anatomical and clinical scientific journals and the internet.

#### 4. Vascular Variations

The most variable part of the human body is the cardiovascular system, especially veins. Their arrangement, mainly in the limbs, is very heterogeneous, although they follow a certain principal axial pattern. The nomenclature of the lower limb veins and some other veins of the pelvis has been substantially expanded from 2001 to 2004 [51–56]. As for the arterial system, it has the best-described nomenclature and is recognized as part of variant anatomy. The basic and, until now, matchless publication on this topic is "Arteriensystem der Japaner" by Adachi [31]. Much less particular, but more comprehensive and general, are "Arterial Variations in Man: Classification and Frequency" [13] and the radiological "Atlas of Normal and Variant Angiographic Anatomy" [57]. The most recent publication on this topic is the "Bergman's Comprehensive Encyclopedia of Human Anatomic Variation" [22]. In individual areas, the most impressive are the works of Michels concerning the blood supply of the unpaired abdominal organs [58–62], which have been extended and upgraded by our team [63]. Rodríguez-Niedenführ's analysis of the upper limb arterial variations [64–68] is also a stellar study, and as for the veins, Gillot and Uhl's studies of lower limb veins have to be mentioned [69–76]. Classical works also cannot be ommited, and we should emphasize Quain's "Anatomy of the Arteries of the Human Body" [77] and Dubreuil-Chambardel's monographs on the upper and lower limb arteries in French [78,79].

Clinically, the most important variants are those of the brain and heart circulation and those of the aortic arch. Students must be taught that there is much variation in the size of the component arteries of the arterial circle. One or more of the communicating arteries is often absent or is so small as to have cerebral function [80]. The *circulus arterious cerebri* (of Willis) is macroscopically present in approximately 90% of cases, but a properly developed and symmetrical circle is found in less than 50% of individuals [81]. In 60% of cases, at least one component of the *circulus arterious cerebri* is relatively hypoplastic, and, thus, the capacity to provide collateral flow is reduced [82]. The arrangement of the branches of the *arcus aortae* that is considered normal is encountered in only 65%

Medicina 2020, 56, 713 7 of 16

of cases [80]. The number of aortic arch branches is reduced to two in 27% of cases, and both *arteriae carotides communes* can arise from the *truncus brachiocephalicus* (obsoletely called "*arteria innominate*"). The most common variant of the arcus aortae is called bovine arch (*arcus bovinus*) due to its similarity to the branching pattern of the *arcus aortae* in bovines, but this term is not systematic and instructive (*truncus brachiobicephalicus* or *truncus brachiobicaroticus* are possibly better terms). There exist several other less common variations of the aortic arch branches [83], which are presented by Moore as normal variations. However, one of these, the *arteria subclavia aberrans retrooesophagea* (obsoletely called "*arteria lusoria*") arising as the last branch from the arcus aortae and passing posterior to the trachea and oesophagus, is present in 0.5–2% of cases [84] and is often combined with the non-recurrent laryngeal nerve [85–87]. Moore considered it to be an abnormal variation, meaning "not within the normal range of variation and, therefore, a congenital malformation" [5].

Variant anatomy includes not only life-threatening variants, but also other clinically important ones. The above-mentioned Rodríguez-Niedenführ's analyses of the upper limb arterial variations [65,66] clarifies some potential for failure of catheterization due to a patient's anatomical variation when performed via the *arteria radialis*. Thus, knowledge of these anatomical variations is crucial for interventional cardiologists. Our group can present another example that is important for plastic and reconstructive surgeons, concerning the blood supply of the thumb. Based on our review, the principal arterial source for the thumb, the *arteria princeps pollicis* branching from the *arteria radialis* and corresponding to the *arteria metacarpalis palmaris prima*, is the dominant source of blood supply for the thumb in only 64.8% of cases. In 85.8% of cases, one artery supplying the thumb has a distinctly larger diameter than others. The current terminology is not specific enough, and, therefore, we suggest avoiding the term "arteria princeps pollicis", which evokes exceptionality and dominance in the thumb's blood supply, and refer to all the arteries by their systemic anatomy [88].

Moreover, some existing anatomical variants of potential clinical interest have been highlighted and studied in detail, and nomenclature has been proposed, such as accessory artery braching from the *arteria radialis* that runs on the dorsal surface of the *musculus interosseus dorsalis primus* across the first web space in about 12% of cases (with the proposed name *ramus dorsalis superficialis arteriae radialis*) [89]. Thanks to its large caliber and terminal anastomosis with the *arcus palmaris superficialis*, it can serve as a favorable pedicle for cutaneous and fasciocutaneous flaps [90].

The accumulation of several arterial variations in one individual can also appear as a result of random development. However, attention must be paid to the clinical relevance of each arterial variation [91].

Last, but not least, it is necessary to list several precise definitions for terms concerning variant vascular anatomy in order to understand their mutual differences:

- *Vas anastomoticum* = Anastomosis—a blood vessel connecting two or more vascular networks supplied by another source vessel or collected in another collecting vein.
- *Vas collaterale* = Collateral—a blood vessel forming a parallel canal supplying the same area from one source vessel or draining the same area into the same vein.
- *Vas accessorium* = Accessory vessels—a vessel supplying the same area as the proper one, but branching from the proper source vessel or from a neighbor one (a part of variant anatomy).
- Vas aberrans = Aberrant vessel—a vessel supplying the same area as the proper one but branching
  from another source vessel or from a separate network (a part of variant anatomy).

## 5. Other Parts of the Human Body

Other parts of the human body exhibit significantly fewer variations than the vascular system. We list several examples below, which we have either observed during our scientific research or encountered randomly during educational courses involving dissection of cadavers.

The synovial bursae, which are protective structures of the joints and muscle tendons, are numerous, especially in the lower limbs. Synestvedt has depicted and described 121 bursae, but only 44 of them are listed in TA [92–94]. The second most variable part of the human body is the muscular system,

Medicina 2020, 56, 713 8 of 16

with the most variable muscle being the *musculus digastricus*. An accessory anterior belly (crossing the midline or not) has been reported in 66.7% of cases. Variations in the *musculus digastricus* have been classified into 10 different types based on variations in the *venter anterior et posterior* [95].

The variations are often related to different numbers of muscle heads (2–5 heads of the *musculus biceps brachii*), tendons (more tendons of the *musculus extensor carpi radialis longus et brevis*), accessory muscle slips and bands, rudimentary muscles (*musculus sternalis, musculus dorsoepitrochlearis, musculus epitrochleoanconeus* (previously mentioned in the Basiliensia Nomina Anatomica (BNA) in 1895, but then removed from the nomenclature)), tendinous arcades (*arcus axillaris* of Langer [49,96,97] or Struther's canal [98]), absent *musculus palmaris longus* (missing in 4–20% of cases based on the population), absent *musculus psoas minor* (33.4–52% of cases based on the population), different numbers (3 or 4) of *intersectiones tendineae musculi recti abdominis* and their irregular arrangement, just to mention a few of the most well-known examples [22].

There exist several important literary sources, such as the classical atlas of Henle, Testut, and Le Double [99–101], works of Macalister and Mori [102,103], Frohse and Fränkel's chapter concerning the muscles of the upper and lower limbs [104], Eisler's chapter devoted to the muscles of the trunk [105], and some quite recent articles [106,107].

The bones and their variability can be easily traced on radiograms, including *fabella*, *cyamella*, *sternebrae*, *cristae phalangis* for the insertion of the *musculus flexor digitorum superficialis*, *crista musculi opponentis pollicis ossis metacarpi primi*, *spina musculi teretis majoris scapulae*, *tuberculum deltoideum claviculae*, *tuberositas interossea radii*, *tuberositas tractus iliotibialis* (tubercle of Gerdy) in limb bones. Other variabilities that are easily traced on radiograms include the *canalis arteriae vertebralis atlantis* (also referred to as *ponticulus posticus*, *foramen arcuale*, or, incorrectly, "*foramen arcuatum*"; also known by the eponym Kimmerle's anomaly [108]) in the vertebrae. In the skull, other examples include the *foramen squamosum ossis temporalis*, persistent *foramen caecum ossis frontalis*, *foramen occipitale*, *foramen venosum* (of Vesalius), *foramen cricotaphicobuccinatorius ossis sphenoidalis* (of Hyrtl) (for details, see Hauser and Steffano [28]), or the *processus styloideus elongatus* (which causes the syndrome of Eagle [109,110]). The paranasnal sinuses and their variability is of high importance for ear–nose–throat specialists, as presented by the Anatomical Terminology Group for Sinus Disease [111] as well as maxillofacial surgeons [112].

As for internal organs, variabilities are limited to differences in shapes and divisions, such as segmentation of the lungs (*lobus veane azygos*, which should be referred to by the proper term "*lobus veane azygoi*" because the azygos is a Greek term, whose genitive should correctly be *azygoi*), bronchial tree [113], and liver based on its bloody supply [114].

As for the nervous system, the peripheral nerves are of great concern when it comes to anatomical variability, especially in the course of their trunks (e.g., the junction of the medial and lateral roots of the *nervus medianus*, the course of the upper nerves of the lumbar plexus (*nervus iliohypogastricus*, *nervus ilioinguinalis*, *nervus cutaneus femoris lateralis*, and, especially, *nervus genitofemoralis*), or the *ansa cervicalis superficialis*). A special chapter describes the *rami communicantes* (obsoletely called "anastomoses"), which appear between the cranial nerves [115] and spinal nerves. Clinically, the most interesting variabilities are connections between the *nervus medianus* and *nervus ulnaris* (e.g., "Martin-Gruber anastomosis") for which terminology has not been unified [49].

Some structures that were previously considered to be constant have been doubted, questioned, and restudied, such as the *rami caroticotympanici arteriae carotidis internae*, which branches from the *segmentum petrosum* (C2), as mentioned above [27]. To assess the classification and incidence of anatomical variations, meta-analytic studies should be performed on each anatomical area of interest according to established guidelines, including the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines [116] and the Anatomical Quality Assurance (AQUA) Tool [117,118]. Several studies have reviewed clinically hot topics, such as the *appendix vermiformis caeci* [119], *arteriae hepticae* [120], or *truncus coeliacus* [121].

Medicina 2020, 56, 713 9 of 16

#### 6. Anomalies and Terminology

Developmental defects or congenital defects are called *anomaliae* (anomalies), their associations are termed *conjunctiones* (conjunctions), and developmental syndromes are known as *syndromata embryologica* (embryological syndromes) in the *Terminologia Embryologica* (TE) [122]. TE2 contains a list of major anomalies at the end of each chapter, which are devoted to a specific system. For example, there are 27 anomalies of the colon and appendix, including a well-known *megacolon congenitum* (also referred to as the disease of Hirschprung). However, both of these terms do not describe the unit precisely, because the enlarged segment (i.e., *megacolon*) is normal and heathy, and the affected aganglionic segment is located aborally. The eponym does not describe the anomaly's characteristics [123,124]. This example indicates that the nomenclature for developmental defects (anomalies) should be checked and, if needed, extended and revised.

Based on the above-stated definitions, hypotheses, and facts, it is necessary to continue research concerning the prevalence and classification of anatomical variants and refine the associated nomenclature. Without unanimous and simple variant nomenclature, communication among anatomists, clinicians, students, and teachers will continue to be confused [125–141].

We also have a duty to publish new and extremely rare case reports or clinically relevant case reports with the highest level of anatomical knowledge and manuscript preparation as recommended by Fontaine [142] and Morrigl [143]. Last, but not least, expanding students' knowledge and understanding of anatomical variations is a necessary and inevitable part of both undergraduate and postgraduate education [144,145].

#### 7. Discussion

Variant anatomy belongs to the portfolio of knowledge and skills not only of mere anatomists, but also of clinicians working in the fields of both diagnostic techniques and therapeutic interventions. To gain and retain knowledge of the most frequent and clinically relevant anatomical variations, a simple, clear, and exactly defined nomenclature for these structures is needed. Moore [5] stated: "All anatomists are aware of variation as they encounter them annually in their dissecting laboratories." However, for clinicians, the need of variation knowledge is influenced by their specific field. Rare variations that are not frequently encountered by anatomists can have grave consequences if neglected or overlooked in clinical practice. A unified nomenclature can simplify the description of clinically relevant anatomical variations as well as communication among clinicians when solving diagnostic and therapeutic problems. A full inventory of all known anatomical variations will never be complete. Previously undescribed variants will continue turning up, although their frequency will decrease with time. This makes it impossible for clinicians who may potentially encounter anatomical variations in their daily practice to keep up with all known variations. It is the task of anatomists to classify variations and establish an appropriate terminology with definite and detailed descriptions completed with figures and photos. It is also the task of anatomists to keep clinicians well-informed about the most relevant anatomical variants in their specific field, including the frequency and relationships to a given organ, structure, or region. Anatomists must proceed in their scientific work and prepare terminology and descriptions of variants that are suitable to be incorporated into the next revision of the Terminologia Anatomica or for incorporation into individual nomenclature publications concerning variant anatomy. These descriptions must be accompanied by precise anatomical definitions for each variant in question.

# 8. Conclusions

The recognition of variant anatomy and its terminology is riddled with inconsistences. The task for anatomists is to devote time and effort to two tasks: the management of anatomical variations' classification, nomenclature, and frequency and the development of a free, accessible, detailed database, conveying comprehensive, field-related, and clinically oriented/relevant knowledge to clinicians.

Medicina 2020, 56, 713

In contrast, clinicians are kindly asked to report the variations that they encounter in the their clinical practice, particularly those complicating diagnostic and therapeutic procedures.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following are available online at http://www.mdpi.com/1010-660X/56/12/713/s1, Table S1: List of the variant anatomy terms included in the Terminologia Anatomica, Table S2: List of the variant anatomy terms included in the Terminologia Neuroanatomica, Table S3: Items in the Terminologia Anatomica [39], Terminologia Histologica [145] originated from generally used eponyms.

**Funding:** This research was funded by the VEGA grant agency of the Ministry of Education, Science, Research, and Sport of the Slovak Republic (Grant No. 1/0086/17) and Charles University grants PROGRES Q37 and Q41.

**Acknowledgments:** The authors would like to thank Miroslava Plecitá for help obtaining scientific resources and the anonymous reviewers for their inspiring comments.

**Conflicts of Interest:** Anatomical terminologies are developed by the International Federation of Associations of Anatomists by means of its Federative International Programme for Anatomical Terminologies (FIPAT). The lead author of the article, Professor David Kachlik, was a member of FIPAT and helped with the development of the terminology analyzed in this paper. The co-author of the article, Professor Ivan Varga, is actually a new member of FIPAT (histology working group).

#### **Abbreviations**

IFAA International Federation of Association of Anatomists

TA Terminologia Anatomica
TE Terminologia Embryologica
TNA Terminologia Neuroanatomica

#### References

- 1. Bianconi, E.; Piovesan, A.; Facchin, F.; Beraudi, A.; Casadei, R.; Frabetti, F.; Vitale, L.; Pelleri, M.C.; Tassani, S.; Piva, F.; et al. An estimation of the number of cells in the human body. *Ann. Hum. Biol.* **2013**, *40*, 463–471. [CrossRef]
- 2. DiDio, L.J. Concepts of normal, variation, and anomaly factors of anatomical variation and their clinical application. *Int. Surg.* **1972**, *57*, 744–747. [PubMed]
- 3. Sykes, J.B.; Fowler, H.W. *The Concise Oxford Dictionary of Current English: Based on the Oxford English Dictionary and Its Supplements*, 6th ed.; Clarendon Press: Oxford, UK, 1976.
- 4. Merriam-Webster. Available online: https://www.merriam-webster.com/ (accessed on 14 November 2020).
- 5. Moore, K.L. Meaning of "normal". Clin. Anat. 1989, 2, 235–239. [CrossRef]
- 6. Salter, R.B. Textbook of Disorders and Injuries of the Musculoskeletal System: An Introduction to Orthopaedics, Fractures and Joint Injuries, Rheumatology, Metabolic Bone Disease, and Rehabilitation, 2nd ed.; Williams & Wilkins: Baltimore, MD, USA, 1983.
- 7. Jones, K.L. Smith's Recognizable Patterns of Human Malformation, 4th ed.; Saunders: Philadelphia, PA, USA, 1988.
- 8. Keats, T.E. Normal anatomic variation: A never ending saga. Clin. Imaging 1993, 17, 169. [CrossRef]
- 9. Cahill, D.R.; Leonard, R.J. Missteps and masquerade in American medical academe: Clinical anatomists call for action. *Clin. Anat.* **1999**, *12*, 220–222. [CrossRef]
- 10. Sañudo, J.R.; Vázquez, R.; Puerta, J. Meaning and clinical interest of the anatomical variations in the 21 st century. *Eur. J. Anat.* **2003**, *7*, 1–3.
- 11. Leonard, R.J. A clinical anatomy curriculum for the medical student of the 21st century: Gross anatomy. *Clin. Anat.* **1996**, *9*, 71–99. [CrossRef]
- 12. General Plan Anatomy. Objectives of the teaching of anatomy/embryology in medical curricula in The Netherlands. Appendix 2. *Eur. J. Morphol.* **1999**, 37, 288–325. [CrossRef]
- 13. Lippert, H.; Pabst, R. *Arterial Variations in Man: Classification and Frequency*; J.F. Bergmann-Verlag München: München, Germany, 1985.
- 14. Arey, L.B. *Developmental Anatomy; A Textbook and Laboratory Manual of Embryology*, 4th ed.; W.B. Saunders: London, UK, 1940.
- 15. Holmes, L.B. Current concepts in genetics. Congenital malformations. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **1976**, 295, 204–207. [CrossRef]

Medicina 2020, 56, 713 11 of 16

16. Singer, C. Galen on Anatomical Procedures: Translation of the Surviving Books with Introduction and Notes; Oxford University Press: Cambridge, UK, 1956.

- 17. Hast, M.H.; Garrison, D.H. Vesalius on the variability of the human skull: Book I chapter V of De humani corporis fabrica. *Clin. Anat.* **2000**, *13*, 311–320.
- 18. Straus, W.L.; Temkin, O. Vesalius and the problem of variability. Bull. Hist. Med. 1943, 14, 609-633.
- 19. Dângelo, J.G.; Fattini, C. Anatomia Humana Sistêmica e Segmentar, 2nd ed.; Atheneu: Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, 2000.
- 20. Davim, A.; Neto, J.F.S.; Albuquerque, D.F. Anatomical variation of the superior cerebelar artery: A case study. *J. Morphol. Sci.* **2010**, 27, 155–156.
- 21. Georgiev, G.P. Significance of anatomical variations for clinical practice. Int. J. Anat. Var. 2017, 10, 43–44.
- 22. Tubbs, R.S.; Shoja, M.M.; Loukas, M. Bergman's Comprehensive Encyclopedia of Human Anatomic Variation; Wiley: Hoboken, NJ, USA, 2016.
- 23. Kachlik, D.; Laco, J.; Turyna, R.; Baca, V. A very rare variant in the colon supply–arteria mesenterica media. *Biomed. Pap. Med. Fac. Univ. Palacky Olomouc Czech Repub.* **2009**, 153, 79–82. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 24. Marden, P.M.; Smith, D.W.; McDonald, M.J. Congenital Anomalies in the Newborn Infant, Including Minor Variations. A Study of 4,412 Babies by Surface Examination for Anomalies and Buccal Smear for Sex Chromatin. *J. Pediatr.* **1964**, *64*, 357–371. [CrossRef]
- 25. Méhes, K.; Stadler, G. Minor. Malformations in the Neonate; Akadémiai Kiadó: Budapest, Hungary, 1983.
- 26. Stevenson, R.E.; Hall, J.G. Terminology. In *Human Malformations and Related Anomalies*; Stevenson, R.E., Hall, J.G., Goodman, R.M., Eds.; Oxford University Press: New York, NY, USA, 1993.
- 27. Andreo, J.C.; Caldas Navarro, J.A.; Toledo Filho, J.L.; de Araujo, J.C. Caroticotympanic artery: Anatomically a normal branch or a variation of the internal carotid artery? *Cells Tissues Organs* **2000**, *166*, 319–322. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 28. Hauser, G.; De Stefano, G.F. *Epigenetic Variants of the Human Skull*; E. Schweizerbart'sche Verlagsbuchhandlung: Stuttgart, Germany, 1989.
- 29. Mann, R.W.; Hunt, D.R.; Lozanoff, S. *Photographic Regional Atlas of Non-Metric Traits and Anatomical Variants in the Human Skeleton*; Charles, C., Ed.; Thomas: Springfield, MA, USA, 2016.
- 30. Tountas, C.P.; Bergman, R.A. *Anatomic Variations of the Upper Extremity*; Churchill Livingstone: New York, NY, USA, 1993.
- 31. Adachi, B. *Anatomie der Japaner 1: Das Arteriensystem der Japaner*; Kaiserlich-Japanischen Universität zu Kyoto: Kyoto, Japan, 1928; pp. 20–71.
- 32. Adachi, B. *Anatomie der Japaner 2 das Venensystem der Japaner 1*; Kaiserlich-Japanischen Universität Kyoto: Kyoto, Japan, 1933.
- 33. Adachi, B. *Anatomie der Japaner 2 das Venensystem der Japaner 2*; Kaiserlich-Japanische Universität Kyoto: Kyoto, Japan, 1940.
- 34. Hovelacque, A. Anatomie des Nerfs Crâniens and Rachidiens et du Système Grand Sympathique; Doin: Paris, France, 1927.
- 35. Bergman, R.A.; Thompson, S.A.; Afifi, A.K.; Saadeh, F.A. *Compendium of Human Anatomic Variation: Text, Atlas, and World Literature*; Lippincott Williams & Wilkins: Baltimore, MA, USA, 1988.
- 36. Bergman, R.A.; Afifi, A.K.; Miyauchi, R. Illustrated Encyclopedia of Human Anatomic Variation. Available online: https://www.anatomyatlases.org/AnatomicVariants/AnatomyHP.shtml (accessed on 14 November 2020).
- 37. Kachlik, D.; Baca, V.; Bozdechova, I.; Cech, P.; Musil, V. Anatomical terminology and nomenclature: Past, present and highlights. *Surg. Radiol. Anat.* **2008**, *30*, 459–466. [CrossRef]
- 38. FIPAT. Terminologia Neuroatomica. Available online: http://FIPAT.library.dal.ca (accessed on 14 November 2020).
- 39. FCAT. Terminologia Anatomica; Thieme Verlag: Stuttgart, Germany, 1998.
- 40. Ten Donkelaar, H.J.; Broman, J.; Neumann, P.E.; Puelles, L.; Riva, A.; Tubbs, R.S.; Kachlik, D. Towards a Terminologia Neuroanatomica. *Clin. Anat.* **2017**, *30*, 145–155. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 41. Kachlik, D.; Musil, V.; Baca, V. A plea for extension of the anatomical nomenclature. Part 1: Nervous system and senses. *Folia Morphol. (Warsz.)* **2017**, *76*, 168–177. [CrossRef]
- 42. Bartley, G.B.; Gerber, T.C. Eisler and his pocket. Am. J. Ophthalmol. 2006, 141, 417–418. [CrossRef]
- 43. MacNalty, A.S. Butterworth's Medical Dictionary, Revised Edition; Butterworths: London, UK, 1965.
- 44. Dobson, J. Anatomic Eponyms; Bailliere, Tindall & Cox: London, UK, 1976.

Medicina 2020, 56, 713

- 45. Dorland's Illustrated Medical Dictionary, 30th ed.; W.B. Saunders Co.: Philadelphia, PA, USA, 2003.
- 46. Enersen, O.D. Whonamedit.com. Available online: http://www.whonamedit.com (accessed on 14 November 2020).
- 47. Olry, R. Dictionary of Anatomical Eponyms; Gustav Fischer Verlag: Stuttgart, Germany, 1994.
- 48. Kachlik, D.; Whitley, A. The Czech contribution to the history of anatomy: A focus on Charles University. *Ann. Anat.* **2020**, 151623. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 49. Kachlik, D.; Musil, V.; Baca, V. Contribution to the anatomical nomenclature concerning upper limb anatomy. *Surg. Radiol. Anat.* **2017**, 39, 405–417. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 50. Hankins, C.L.; Flemming, S. A variant of Kaplan's accessory branch of the dorsal cutaneous branch of the ulnar nerve: A case report and review of the literature. *J. Hand Surg. Am.* **2005**, *30*, 1231–1235. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 51. Caggiati, A.; Bergan, J.J.; Gloviczki, P.; Jantet, G.; Wendell-Smith, C.P.; Partsch, H. Nomenclature of the veins of the lower limbs: An international interdisciplinary consensus statement. *J. Vasc. Surg.* **2002**, *36*, 416–422. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 52. Caggiati, A.; Bergan, J.J.; Gloviczki, P.; Eklof, B.; Allegra, C.; Partsch, H. Nomenclature of the veins of the lower limb: Extensions, refinements, and clinical application. *J. Vasc. Surg.* **2005**, *41*, 719–724. [CrossRef]
- 53. Kachlik, D.; Pechacek, V.; Baca, V.; Musil, V. The superficial venous system of the lower extremity: New nomenclature. *Phlebology* **2010**, 25, 113–123.
- 54. Kachlik, D.; Pechacek, V.; Musil, V.; Baca, V. The venous system of the pelvis: New nomenclature. *Phlebology* **2010**, 25, 162–173. [CrossRef]
- 55. Kachlik, D.; Pechacek, V.; Musil, V.; Baca, V. The deep venous system of the lower extremity: New nomenclature. *Phlebology* **2012**, 27, 48–58. [CrossRef]
- 56. Kachlik, D.; Pechacek, V.; Hnatkova, G.; Hnatek, L.; Musil, V.; Baca, V. The venous perforators of the lower limb—A new terminology. *Phlebology* **2019**, *34*, 650–668. [CrossRef]
- 57. Kadir, S.; Brothers, M.F. *Atlas of Normal and Variant Angiographic Anatomy*; Saunders: Philadelphia, PA, USA, 1991.
- 58. Michels, N.A. *Blood Supply and Anatomy of the Upper Abdominal Organs, with a Descriptive Atlas*; Lippincott Comp.: Philadelphia, PA, USA, 1955.
- 59. Michels, N.A.; Siddharth, P.; Kornblith, P.L.; Parke, W.W. Routes of collateral circulation of the gastrointestinal tract as ascertained in a idssection of 500 bodies. *Int. Surg.* **1968**, *49*, 8–28.
- Michels, N.A.; Siddharth, P.; Kornblith, P.L.; Parke, W.W. The Variant Blood Supply to the Descending Colon, Rectosigmoid and Rectum Based on 400 Dissections. Its Importance in Regional Resections: A Review of Medical Literature. Dis. Colon Rectum 1965, 8, 251–278. [CrossRef]
- 61. Michels, N.A.; Siddharth, P.; Kornblith, P.L.; Parke, W.W. The variant blood supply to the small and large intestines: Its import in regional resections. A new anatomic study based on four hundred dissections, with a complete review of literature. *J. Int. Coll. Surg.* **1963**, *39*, 127–142.
- 62. Nelson, T.M.; Pollak, R.; Jonasson, O.; Abcarian, H. Anatomic variants of the celiac, superior mesenteric, and inferior mesenteric arteries and their clinical relevance. *Clin. Anat.* **1988**, *1*, 75–91. [CrossRef]
- 63. Kachlik, D.; Hoch, J. The Blood Supply of the Large Intestine; Karolinum: Praha, Czech Republic, 2008.
- 64. Rodriguez-Niedenfuhr, M.; Sanudo, J.R.; Vazquez, T.; Nearn, L.; Logan, B.; Parkin, I. Median artery revisited. *J. Anat.* 1999, 195, 57–63. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 65. Rodriguez-Niedenfuhr, M.; Vazquez, T.; Nearn, L.; Ferreira, B.; Parkin, I.; Sanudo, J.R. Variations of the arterial pattern in the upper limb revisited: A morphological and statistical study, with a review of the literature. *J. Anat.* **2001**, *199*, 547–566. [CrossRef]
- 66. Rodriguez-Niedenfuhr, M.; Vazquez, T.; Parkin, I.; Sanudo, R.J. Arterial patterns of the human upper limb: Update of anatomical variations and embryological development. *Eur. J. Anat.* **2003**, *7*, 21–28.
- 67. Rodriguez-Niedenfuhr, M.; Sanudo, J.R.; Vazquez, T.; Nearn, L.; Logan, B.; Parkin, I. Anastomosis at the level of the elbow joint connecting the deep, or normal, brachial artery with major arterial variations of the upper limb. *J. Anat.* 2000, 196, 115–119. [CrossRef]
- 68. Konarik, M.; Musil, V.; Baca, V.; Kachlik, D. Upper limb principal arteries variations: A cadaveric study with terminological implication. *Bosn. J. Basic Med. Sci.* **2020**, 20, 502–513.
- 69. Uhl, J.F.; Lo Vuolo, M.; Gillot, C. Anatomy of foot and ankle perforator veins. *Phlebolymphology* **2017**, 24, 105–112.

Medicina 2020, 56, 713

- 70. Uhl, J.F. Focus on venous embryogenesis of the human lower limb. *Phlebolymphology* **2015**, 22, 55–63.
- 71. Gillot, C. Les veines perforantes inférieures de la jambe, de la cheville et du pied. Phlebologie 1994, 47, 76–104.
- 72. Uhl, J.F.; Gillot, C.; Chahim, M. Anatomical variations of the femoral vein. *J. Vasc. Surg.* **2010**, *52*, 714–719. [CrossRef]
- 73. Uhl, J.F.; Gillot, C. Anatomy and embryology of the small saphenous vein: Nerve relationships and implications for treatment. *Phlebology* **2013**, *28*, 4–15. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 74. Uhl, J.F.; Gillot, C. Anatomy of the veno-muscular pumps of the lower limb. *Phlebology* **2015**, *30*, 180–193. [CrossRef]
- 75. Uhl, J.F.; Gillot, C. Embryology and three-dimensional anatomy of the superficial venous system of the lower limbs. *Phlebology* **2007**, 22, 194–206. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 76. Gillot, C. Anatomie chirurgicale des perforantes de la jambe. Phlébologie 1987, 40, 563–574. [PubMed]
- 77. Quain, R. The anatomy of the arteries of the human body with its applications to pathology and operative surgery. In *Lithographic Drawings with Practical Commentaries*; Taylor and Walton: London, UK, 1844.
- 78. Dubreuil-Chambardel, L. Variations Des Artères du Pelvis et du Membre Inférieur; Masson: Paris, France, 1925.
- 79. Dubreuil-Chambardel, L. Variations des Artères du Membre Supérieur; Masson: Paris, France, 1926.
- 80. Anson, B.J.; McVay, C.B.; Callander, C.L. Surgical Anatomy, 5th ed.; Saunders: Philadelphia, PA, USA, 1971.
- 81. Wolpert, S.M. The circle of Willis. AJNR Am. J. Neuroradiol. 1997, 18, 1033–1034. [PubMed]
- 82. Osborn, A.G.; Jacobs, J.M. *Diagnostic Cerebral Angiography*, 2nd ed.; Lippincott-Raven: Philadelphia, PA, USA, 1999.
- 83. Ergun, E.; Simsek, B.; Kosar, P.N.; Yilmaz, B.K.; Turgut, A.T. Anatomical variations in branching pattern of arcus aorta: 64-slice CTA appearance. *Surg. Radiol. Anat.* **2013**, *35*, 503–509. [CrossRef]
- 84. Ramaswamy, P.; Lytrivi, I.D.; Thanjan, M.T.; Nguyen, T.; Srivastava, S.; Sharma, S.; Ko, H.H.; Parness, I.A.; Lai, W.W. Frequency of aberrant subclavian artery, arch laterality, and associated intracardiac anomalies detected by echocardiography. *Am. J. Cardiol.* **2008**, *101*, 677–682. [CrossRef]
- 85. Konschake, M.; Zwierzina, M.E.; Pechriggl, E.J.; Moriggl, B.; Brenner, E.; Hormann, R.; Prommegger, R. The nonrecurrent laryngeal nerve: A clinical anatomic mapping with regard to intraoperative neuromonitoring. *Surgery* **2016**, *160*, 161–168. [CrossRef]
- 86. Henry, B.M.; Sanna, S.; Graves, M.J.; Vikse, J.; Sanna, B.; Tomaszewska, I.M.; Tubbs, R.S.; Walocha, J.A.; Tomaszewski, K.A. The Non-Recurrent Laryngeal Nerve: A meta-analysis and clinical considerations. *PeerJ* **2017**, *5*, e3012. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 87. Labuschagne, J.J.; Hammer, N. Intra-Operative Detection of a Left-Sided Non-Recurrent Laryngeal Nerve during Vagus Nerve Stimulator Implantation. *Medicina* **2020**, *56*, 489. [CrossRef]
- 88. Miletin, J.; Sukop, A.; Baca, V.; Kachlik, D. Arterial supply of the thumb: Systemic review. *Clin. Anat.* **2017**, 30, 963–973. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 89. Miletin, J.; Sukop, A.; Baca, V.; Kachlik, D. Innominate variant artery in the first web space. *Ann. Anat.* **2020**, 230, 151521. [CrossRef]
- 90. Tezcan, M.; Ozcan, M.; Kahveci, R.; Safak, E.; Akin, S. A new flap from the dorsum of the first intermetacarpal area: The first dorsal intermetacarpal flap. *Plast. Reconstr. Surg.* **1997**, *100*, 914–918. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 91. Pretterklieber, B.; Pretterklieber, M.L. A Phylogenetic and Ontogenetic Perspective of the Unique Accumulation of Arterial Variations in One Human Anatomic Specimen. *Medicina* **2020**, *56*, 449. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 92. Synnestvedt, A.S.D. *En Anatomisk Beskrivelse af de Paa Over-og Underextremiteterne Forekommende Bursae Mucosae*; Brögger & Christie's Booktrykkerie: Christiania, Denmark, 1869.
- 93. Storm-Mathisen, J.; Stingl, J.A.S.D. *Synnestvedt: Mucous Bursae of the Upper and Lower Extremity*; Karolinum: Praha, Czech Republic, 2010.
- 94. Musil, V.; Selnes, C.V.; Falck, A.T.; Sandve, L.; Shekarchi, S.; O'Donnell, B.; Kachlik, D. An important Norwegian contribution to the study of the bursae of the upper and lower extremities. *Acta. Orthop.* **2010**, *81*, 593–598. [CrossRef]
- 95. De-Ary-Pires, B.; Ary-Pires, R.; Pires-Neto, M.A. The human digastric muscle: Patterns and variations with clinical and surgical correlations. *Ann. Anat.* **2003**, *185*, 471–479. [CrossRef]
- 96. Hirtler, L. Langer's axillary arch—Case presentation and literature overview. Austin J. Anat. 2014, 1, 1–5.

Medicina 2020, 56, 713 14 of 16

97. Taterra, D.; Henry, B.M.; Zarzecki, M.P.; Sanna, B.; Pekala, P.A.; Cirocchi, R.; Walocha, J.A.; Tubbs, R.S.; Tomaszewski, K.A. Prevalence and anatomy of the axillary arch and its implications in surgical practice: A meta-analysis. *Surgeon* **2019**, *17*, 43–51. [CrossRef]

- 98. Mizia, E.; Zarzecki, M.P.; Pekala, J.R.; Baginski, A.; Kaythampillai, L.N.; Golebiowska, M.; Pekala, P.A.; Walocha, J.A.; Tomaszewski, K.A. An anatomical investigation of rare upper limb neuropathies due to the Struthers' ligament or arcade: A meta-analysis. *Folia Morphol. (Warsz.)* **2020.** [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 99. Von Henle, J. *Handbuch der Systematischen Anatomie des Menschen*; Friedrich Vieweg: Braunschweig, Germany, 1871.
- 100. Testut, L.; Duval, M. Les Anomalies Musculaires Chez L'homme: Expliquées par L'anatomie Comparée: Leur Impotance en Athropologie; G. Masson: Paris, France, 1884.
- 101. Le Double, A.F. *Traité des Variations du Système Musculaire de l'Homme (2 Tomes)*; Schleicher Frères: Paris, France, 1897.
- 102. Macalister, A. Additional observations on muscular anomalies in human anatomy (third series), with a catalogue of the principal muscular variations hitherto published. *Trans. R. Irish Acad. Sci.* **1875**, 25, 1–134.
- 103. Mori, M. Statistics on the Musculature of the Japanese. *Okajimas Folia Anat. Jpn.* **1964**, 40, 195–300. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 104. Frohse, F.; Fränkel, M. Die Muskeln des menschlichen Armes. In *Handbuch der Anatomie des Menschen*; Bardeleben, K., Ed.; Gustav Fischer: Jena, LA, USA, 1908.
- 105. Eisler, P. Muskeln des Halses, musculi colli. In *Handbuch der Anatomie des Menschen in Acht Bänden*; Band 2, Abteilung 2, Teil I. Bänder, Gelenke und Muskeln; Abteilung 2, Teil 1, Die Muskeln des Stammes; Gustav Fischer: Jena, LA, USA, 1912.
- 106. Martinoli, C.; Perez, M.M.; Padua, L.; Valle, M.; Capaccio, E.; Altafini, L.; Michaud, J.; Tagliafico, A. Muscle variants of the upper and lower limb (with anatomical correlation). *Semin. Musculoskelet. Radiol.* **2010**, *14*, 106–121. [CrossRef]
- 107. Sookur, P.A.; Naraghi, A.M.; Bleakney, R.R.; Jalan, R.; Chan, O.; White, L.M. Accessory muscles: Anatomy, symptoms, and radiologic evaluation. *Radiographics* **2008**, *28*, 481–499. [CrossRef]
- 108. Ahn, J.; Duran, M.; Syldort, S.; Rizvi, A.; D'Antoni, A.V.; Johal, J.; Iwanaga, J.; Oskouian, R.J.; Tubbs, R.S. Arcuate Foramen: Anatomy, Embryology, Nomenclature, Pathology, and Surgical Considerations. *World Neurosurg.* 2018, 118, 197–202. [CrossRef]
- 109. Czako, L.; Hirjak, D.; Simko, K.; Thurzo, A.; Janovszky, A.; Galis, B. 3D navigation in surgery of Eagle syndrome. *Bratisl. Lek. Listy* **2019**, 120, 494–497. [CrossRef]
- 110. Czako, L.; Simko, K.; Thurzo, A.; Galis, B.; Varga, I. The Syndrome of Elongated Styloid Process, the Eagle's Syndrome-From Anatomical, Evolutionary and Embryological Backgrounds to 3D Printing and Personalized Surgery Planning. Report of Five Cases. *Medicina* 2020, *56*, 458. [CrossRef]
- 111. Stammberger, H.R.; Kennedy, D.W. Paranasal sinuses: Anatomic terminology and nomenclature. *Ann. Otol. Rhinol. Laryngol. Suppl.* **1995**, *167*, 7–16. [CrossRef]
- 112. Czakó, L.; Hirjak, D.; Šimko, K.; Kupcová, I.; Gális, B. Radix in antro—Koreň v čeľustnej dutine (Article in Slovak; Radix in antro—Root in the sinus maxillaris). *Stomatológ* **2019**, *29*, 32–34.
- 113. Gonlugur, U.; Efeoglu, T.; Kaptanoglu, M.; Akkurt, I. Major anatomical variations of the tracheobronchial tree: Bronchoscopic observation. *Anat. Sci. Int.* **2005**, *80*, 111–115. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 114. Cheng, Y.F.; Huang, T.L.; Lee, T.Y.; Chen, T.Y.; Chen, C.L. Variation of the intrahepatic portal vein; angiographic demonstration and application in living-related hepatic transplantation. *Transplant. Proc.* **1996**, *28*, 1667–1668. [PubMed]
- 115. Bischoff, E.P.E.; Sachs, E.; Valtin, E.W. *Microscopic Analysis of the Anastomoses between the Cranial Nerves*; University Press of New England: Hanover, Germany, 1977.
- 116. Moher, D.; Liberati, A.; Tetzlaff, J.; Altman, D.G. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: The PRISMA statement. *PLoS Med.* **2009**, *6*, e1000097. [CrossRef]
- 117. Henry, B.M.; Tomaszewski, K.A.; Ramakrishnan, P.K.; Roy, J.; Vikse, J.; Loukas, M.; Tubbs, R.S.; Walocha, J.A. Development of the anatomical quality assessment (AQUA) tool for the quality assessment of anatomical studies included in meta-analyses and systematic reviews. *Clin. Anat.* **2017**, *30*, 6–13. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 118. Henry, B.M.; Marcinow, A.; Pekala, P.; Taterra, D.; Loukas, M.; Tubbs, R.S.; Walocha, J.A.; Tomaszewski, K.A. Polish translation of the Anatomical Quality Assurance (AQUA) Checklist: New guidelines for reporting in original anatomical studies. *Folia Med. Cracov.* **2017**, *57*, 105–116. [PubMed]

Medicina 2020, 56, 713 15 of 16

119. Kacprzyk, A.; Dros, S.J.; Stefura, T.; Krzysztofik, M.; Jasinska, K.; Pedziwiatr, M.; Major, P.; Holda, M.K. Variations and morphometric features of the vermiform appendix: A systematic review and meta-analysis of 114,080 subjects with clinical implications. *Clin. Anat.* 2020, 33, 85–98. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 120. Cirocchi, R.; D'Andrea, V.; Lauro, A.; Renzi, C.; Henry, B.M.; Tomaszewski, K.A.; Rende, M.; Lancia, M.; Carlini, L.; Gioia, S.; et al. The absence of the common hepatic artery and its implications for surgical practice: Results of a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Surgeon* **2019**, *17*, 172–185. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 121. Whitley, A.; Oliverius, M.; Kocian, P.; Havluj, L.; Gurlich, R.; Kachlik, D. Variations of the celiac trunk investigated by multidetector computed tomography: Systematic review and meta-analysis with clinical correlations. *Clin. Anat.* **2020**, *33*, 1249–1262. [CrossRef]
- 122. FIPAT Terminologia Embryologica. Available online: http://fipat.library.dal.ca/te2/ (accessed on 14 November 2020).
- 123. Slavikova, T.; Zabojnikova, L.; Babala, J.; Varga, I. An embryological point of view on associated congenital anomalies of children with Hirschsprung disease. *Bratisl. Lek. Listy* **2015**, *116*, 640–647.
- 124. Klein, M.; Varga, I. Hirschsprung's Disease—Recent Understanding of Embryonic Aspects, Etiopathogenesis and Future Treatment Avenues. *Medicina* **2020**, *56*, 611. [CrossRef]
- 125. Kachlik, D.; Bozdechova, I.; Cech, P.; Musil, V.; Baca, V. Mistakes in the Usage of Anatomical Terminology in Clinical Practice. *Biomed. Pap.* **2009**, *153*, 157–161. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 126. Kachlik, D.; Pechacek, V.; Musil, V.; Baca, V. Information on the Changes in the Revised Anatomical Nomenclature of the Lower Limb Veins. *Biomed. Pap.* **2010**, *154*, 93–97. [CrossRef]
- 127. Kachlik, D.; Musil, V.; Baca, V. Terminologia Anatomica after 17 years: Inconsistencies, mistakes and new proposals. *Ann. Anat.* 2015, 201, 8–16. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 128. Kachlik, D.; Musil, V.; Baca, V. Contribution to the anatomical nomenclature concerning general anatomy and anatomical variations. *Surg. Radiol. Anat.* **2016**, *38*, 757–765. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 129. Strzelec, B.; Chmielewski, P.P.; Gworys, B. The Terminologia Anatomica matters: Examples from didactic, scientific, and clinical practice. *Folia Morphol. (Warsz.)* **2017**, *76*, 340–347. [CrossRef]
- 130. Kachlik, D.; Musil, V.; Baca, V. Contribution to the anatomical nomenclature concerning lower limb anatomy. *Surg. Radiol. Anat.* **2018**, *40*, 537–562. [CrossRef]
- 131. Musil, V.; Blankova, A.; Baca, V. A plea for an extension of the anatomical nomenclature: The locomotor system. *Bosn. J. Basic Med. Sci.* **2018**, *18*, 117–125. [CrossRef]
- 132. Musil, V.; Blankova, A.; Dvorakova, V.; Turyna, R.; Baca, V. A plea for an extension of the anatomical nomenclature: Organ systems. *Bosn. J. Basic Med. Sci.* **2019**, *19*, 1–13. [CrossRef]
- 133. Musil, V.; Sach, J.; Kachlik, D.; Patzelt, M.; Stingl, J. Vasa vasorum: An old term with new problems. *Surg. Radiol. Anat.* **2018**, *40*, 1159–1164. [CrossRef]
- 134. Varga, I.; Blankova, A.; Konarik, M.; Baca, V.; Dvorakova, V.; Musil, V. The Terminologia Histologica after 10 years: Inconsistencies, mistakes, and new proposals. *Ann. Anat.* **2018**, *219*, 65–75. [CrossRef]
- 135. Varga, I.; Galfiova, P.; Blankova, A.; Konarik, M.; Baca, V.; Dvorakova, V.; Musil, V.; Turyna, R.; Klein, M. Terminologia Histologica 10 years on: Some disputable terms in need of discussion and recent developments. *Ann. Anat.* **2019**, 226, 16–22. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 136. Chmielewski, P.P.; Strzelec, B. Should Terminologia Anatomica be revised and extended? A critical literature review. *Folia Morphol. (Warsz.)* **2020**, *79*, 1–14. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 137. Chmielewski, P.P.; Domagala, Z.A. Terminologia Anatomica and its practical usage: Pitfalls and how to avoid them. *Folia Morphol. (Warsz.)* **2020**, *79*, 198–204. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 138. Chmielewski, P.P. New Terminologia Anatomica highlights the importance of clinical anatomy. *Folia Morphol. (Warsz.)* **2020**, *79*, 15–20. [CrossRef]
- 139. Neumann, P.E.; Gest, T.R.; Tubbs, R.S. The principles of anatomical nomenclature revision: They're more like guidelines anyway. *Clin. Anat.* **2020**, *33*, 327–331. [CrossRef]
- 140. Varga, I.; Kachlík, D.; Klein, M. A plea for extension of the official nomenclature of the microscopic structure of human tissues and organs, the Terminologia Histologica. *Folia Morphol. (Warsz.)* **2020**, 79, 610–620. [CrossRef]
- 141. Varga, I.; Danišovič, L'.; Kachlík, D.; Beňuš, R.; Klein, M. Zoological terms in the human histological nomenclature Terminologia Histologica. What we think, what we know, and what we think we know. *Biologia* 2020, 75, 1175–1181. [CrossRef]
- 142. Fontaine, C. Some thoughts about anatomic variations. Surg. Radiol. Anat. 2001, 23, 1–2.

Medicina 2020, 56, 713 16 of 16

143. Moriggl, B.; Fontaine, C. Strengthening editors' policy concerning publication of anatomic variations. *Surg. Radiol. Anat.* **2004**, *26*, 1–2. [CrossRef]

- 144. Willan, P.L.T.; Humpherson, J.R. Concepts of variation and normality in morphology: Important issues at risk of neglect in modern undergraduate medical courses. *Clin. Anat.* **1999**, *12*, 186–190. [CrossRef]
- 145. FICAT. *Terminologia Histologica: International Terms for Human Cytology and Histology;* Lippincott Williams & Wilkins: Baltimore, MD, USA, 2008.

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



© 2020 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).