

Phytotoxic Azaphilones From the Mangrove-Derived Fungus *Penicillium sclerotiorum* HY5

Wei Wang¹, Mei Wang², Xian-Bo Wang³, Yi-Qiang Li², Ji-Lin Ding³, Ming-Xian Lan¹, Xi Gao¹, Dong-Lin Zhao^{2*}, Cheng-Sheng Zhang^{2*} and Guo-Xing Wu^{1*}

¹ College of Plant Protection, Yunnan Agricultural University, Kunming, China, ² Tobacco Research Institute of Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Qingdao, China, ³ Guizhou Tobacco Company, Zunyi Branch, Zunyi, China

Mangrove is a unique marine ecosystem growing in the intertidal zone of tropical and subtropical coast, with the characteristics of hypoxia tolerance, high salinity, and high humidity. In order to discover novel leading compounds with potent phytotoxicity, seven pairs of azaphilones E/Z isomers, isochromophilone H (1a/1b), sclerotiorins A and B (2a/2b and 3a/3b), ochlephilone (4a/4b), isochromophilone IV (5a/5b), isochromophilone J (6a/6b), and isochromophilone I (7a/7b), were isolated from the culture broth of the mangrove-derived fungus, the Penicillium sclerotiorum HY5, by various chromatographic methods. Among them, 1a, 1b, 2a, 3a, 4a, 5a, 6a, and 6b were new compounds. Their chemical structures and absolute configurations were elucidated based on high resolution electrospray ionization mass spectroscopy (HRESIMS), 1D/2D nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopic analysis, and comparisons of electronic circular dichroism (ECD) data. Compounds 3, 4, and 7 exhibited potent phytotoxicity against the growth of radicle and plumule on Amaranthus retroflexus L., with EC₅₀ values ranging from 234.87 to 320.84 μ M, compared to the positive control glufosinate-ammonium, with EC_{50} values of 555.11 μ M for radicle, and $656.04\,\mu\text{M}$ for plumule. Compounds 4 and 7 also showed inhibitory effects on the growth of velvetleaf (Abutilon theophrasti Medikus), with EC₅₀ values ranging from 768.97 to $1,201.52 \,\mu$ M. This study provides new leading compounds for the research and development of marine-derived bioherbicides.

Keywords: azaphilones, phytotoxicity, bioherbicide, weeds, Penicillium sclerotiorum

INTRODUCTION

Weeds are common, pernicious, and troublesome plant species, which can cause serious yield reduction and inferior quality in crop production. It is estimated that the production loss caused by weeds is approximately 34% of the crop yield worldwide (Harding and Raizada, 2015; Shi et al., 2020). In the present situation, control weeds in farmland on a global scale are mainly dependent on chemical treatments (Travaini et al., 2016; Vurro et al., 2018); however, there are many long-term problems with the intensive application of agrochemical herbicides, such as environmental pollution, pesticide residue accumulation, and the emergence of weed resistance, which increase the difficulty of weeds control management (Kim et al., 2020; Shi et al., 2020). With the continuous discoveries of a great number of biocontrol microbial resources, exploration of microbes with excellent biological activity from extreme conditions such as marine-derived microorganisms, in recent years, have attracted much attention of scientists (Shen et al., 2020). Marine surroundings

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Junfeng Wang, South China Sea Institute of Oceanology (CAS), China

Reviewed by:

Fandong Kong, Chinese Academy of Tropical Agricultural Sciences, China Min Chen, Yangzhou University, China

*Correspondence:

Dong-Lin Zhao zhaodonglin@caas.cn Cheng-Sheng Zhang zhchengsheng@126.com Guo-Xing Wu wugx1@163.com

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Extreme Microbiology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Microbiology

Received: 22 February 2022 Accepted: 14 March 2022 Published: 19 April 2022

Citation:

Wang W, Wang M, Wang X-B, Li Y-Q, Ding J-L, Lan M-X, Gao X, Zhao D-L, Zhang C-S and Wu G-X (2022) Phytotoxic Azaphilones From the Mangrove-Derived Fungus Penicillium sclerotiorum HY5. Front. Microbiol. 13:880874. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2022.880874



provide abundant microbial resources because of their geographic and climatic characteristics. These features make it become an important field for discovering bioactive natural products with agricultural applications (Yang et al., 2015; Carroll et al., 2020).

Azaphilones are a class of fungi-derived polyketide secondary metabolites with novel structures having an oxabicyclic skeleton and can be divided into 18 different categories, which have numerous chiral centers and flexible side chains (Gao et al., 2013; Makrerougras et al., 2017). Previous reports have shown that they exhibited broad-spectrum activities in many biological tests, including antimicrobial, antiviral, anti-inflammatory, antioxidant, cytotoxic, hypoglycemic, and nematocidal activities (Luo et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2020). More than 430 azaphilones, isolated from both marine and terrestrial fungi, have been reported until 2019, representing an important class of natural products (Qian et al., 2019). However, most of the azaphilones were utilized for drug development, and their agricultural bioactivities need to be explored.

During our ongoing search for phytotoxic compounds with agricultural applications (Huang et al., 2018; Zhao et al., 2019, 2020), the mangrove-derived strain *Penicillium sclerotiorum* HY5 attracted our attention because its culture extracts demonstrated potent phytotoxicity toward *Amaranthus retroflexus* L., and the high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) profile highlighted a rich array of ultraviolet absorption peaks similar to that of azaphilones. Further chemical investigation on the fungal extracts resulted in the isolation of seven pairs of azaphilones

E/Z isomers, isochromophilone H (**1a** and **1b**), sclerotiorin A (**2a** and **2b**), sclerotiorin B (**3a** and **3b**), ochlephilone (**4a** and **4b**), isochromophilone IV (**5a** and **5b**), isochromophilone J (**6a** and **6b**), and isochromophilone I (**7a** and **7b**; **Figure 1**). Here, we report the isolation, structural elucidation, and phytotoxic evaluation of isolated azaphilones.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

General Experimental Procedures

Optical rotations were measured at 25°C using a JASCO P-1020 digital polarimeter (JASCO Ltd., Tokyo, Japan). The UV spectra were determined on a Techcomp UV2310II spectrophotometer (Techcomp, Ltd., Shanghai, China). Electronic circular dichroism (ECD) spectra were acquired with a JASCO J-815 CD spectrometer (JASCO Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) at 25°C. The NMR spectra were recorded on a DD2 NMR spectrometer (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA; 500 MHz for ¹H and 125 MHz for ¹³C) and a JNM-ECP NMR spectrometer (JEOL, Japan; 600 MHz for ¹H and 150 MHz for ¹³C) using tetramethylsilane (TMS) as an internal standard, and CDCl₃ as solvent. The Electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (ESIMS) was taken on a Micromass Q-TOF spectrometer (Waters, Ltd., Milford, Massachusetts, USA), and high-resolution ESIMS spectra were collected on a Thermo Scientific LTQ Orbitrap XL spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Semipreparative HPLC was conducted on a Waters e2695 separation system (Milford,



MA, USA), equipped with a Waters 2998 photodiode array detector and a Waters X-Bridge C_{18} (5 μ m, 10 \times 250 mm) preparative column, and the flow rate was 2 mL/min. Column chromatography (CC) was performed using Silica gel (100–200, 200–300 mesh; Qingdao Marine Chemical Inc., Qingdao, China), Sephadex LH-20 (GE Healthcare, Pittsburgh, PA, USA), and octadecylsilyl silica gel (ODS) (40–63 μ m, merck, MA, USA). Precoated silica gel plates (Yantai Zi fu Chemical Group Co., Yan Tai, China; GF254) were used for thin layer chromatography (TLC) analysis. Spots were detected by UV light (254 nm) and colored by spraying heated silica gel plates with 12% H₂SO₄ in H₂O containing saturated vanillin.

Fungal Material

The studied strain was isolated from an unidentified mangrove sample collected from coastal marine habitats of the South China Sea, Haikou, China, in May 2017. This strain was identified as *P. sclerotiorum* HY5 by amplifying and analyzing its internal transcribed spacer (ITS) sequence, as well as morphological features. The ITS sequence of this fungus was deposited at the GenBank database (accession number MG827186), and the isolated strain was preserved in the Marine Agriculture Research Center, Tobacco Research Institute of Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Qingdao, China.

Fermentation, Extraction, and Isolation

The purified fungus was grown on PDA plates for 5 days at 28°C. The mycelial disc were inoculated into 500 mL Erlenmeyer flasks containing 200 mL of potato dextrose water (PDW) medium with 3% salinity and shaken on a rotary shaker (175 rpm) at 28°C for 3 days to obtain seed culture. Aliquots (5 mL) of the seed culture were transferred into 1,000 mL Erlenmeyer flasks containing 400 mL of production media, consisting of PDW medium with 3% salinity for 30 days at 28°C, and the fermentation scale was 80 L in total. After fermentation, the culture broth was filtered to separate the culture media and mycelia. The culture

broth was extracted three times with equal volumes of EtOAc. The mycelia were mechanically broken, and then, extracted ultrasonically twice with a mixture (1:1, v/v) of dichloromethane (CH₂Cl₂) and methanol (MeOH), and concentrated in vacuo to obtain an aqueous solution, which was further extracted thrice with equivalent EtOAc. The culture broth and mycelia extracts were combined and evaporated under reduced pressure to yield EtOAc extract (74.61 g), which was then subjected to vacuum liquid chromatography (VLC) on silica gel using a step gradient elution of EtOAc-petroleum ether from 0 to 100% and 10 to 50% MeOH-EtOAc to afford six fractions (Fr.1-Fr.6) based on their TLC profiles. The Fr.2 was chromatographed repeatedly on silica gel CC eluting with mixtures of EtOAc-petroleum ether (10-50%, v/v) to give three subfractions (Fr.21-Fr.23). The Fr.23 was first fractionated via reverse silica gel CC eluting with a gradient MeOH-H₂O (from 50:50 to 100:0, v/v), and then, separated by Sephadex LH-20 CC eluting with CH2Cl2-MeOH (1:1, v/v) to obtain two subfractions (Fr.231-Fr.232). The Fr.231 was then chromatographed repeatedly with a gradient elution of EtOAc-petroleum ether (from 0 to 100%, v/v) and MeOH-EtOAc (from 10 to 50%, v/v) to give four subfractions (Fr.2311-Fr.2314). The Fr.2311 was applied to semipreparative HPLC separation using an isocratic elution of MeOH-H₂O with 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) (75% MeOH in H₂O, v/v) to afford compound 7 (119.8 mg). The Fr.2313 was subjected to semipreparative HPLC using an isocratic elution of 70% MeCN in H₂O to yield compound 1 (26.8 mg). Following the same procedures, Fr.3 was also subjected to silica gel CC using a step gradient elution of EtOAc-petroleum ether (0 to 100%, v/v) and MeOH-EtOAc (10 to 50%, v/v) to give two subfractions (Fr.31-Fr.32). The Fr.31 was separated by octadecylsilyl (ODS) CC (MeOH-H₂O; from 50:50 to 100:0, v/v), and then, subjected to Sephadex LH-20 CC eluting with CH₂Cl₂-MeOH (1:1, v/v) to obtain two subfractions (Fr.311-Fr.312). The Fr.311 was further purified by using semipreparative HPLC with isocratic MeOH-H₂O (75:25, v/v) as mobile phase to yield compound 5 (166.3 mg). The Fr.312 was subjected to semipreparative HPLC using MeOH in $H_2O(25\%)$ to obtain compounds 2 (28.4 mg) and 3 (28.1 mg). The Fr.32 was eluted using a MeOH-H₂O gradient system (from 50:50 to 100:0, v/v) and sequentially subjected to Sephadex LH-20 CC (CH2Cl2-MeOH, 1:1, v/v) to give three subfractions (Fr.321-Fr.323). The Fr.322 was applied to semipreparative HPLC (60% MeCN in H₂O) to afford compound 4 (164 mg). The Fr.323 was purified by semipreparative HPLC (75% MeOH in H_2O) to generate compound 6 (63 mg). In order to achieve the requirements of the NMR test, the purities of all the isolated compounds were >95% based on the peak area normalization methods.

Isochromophilone H (1): yellow amorphous powder; $[α]_D^{25.0}$ -15.9 (*c*, 0.46, MeOH); UV(MeOH) λ_{max} (log ε) 202 (3.38), 248 (3.23), and 387 (3.35) nm; ECD (*c* 1.08 mM, MeOH) λ_{max} ($\Delta \varepsilon$) 223 (+0.34), 257 (-0.51), 312 (+1.18), 385 (-0.51) nm; ¹H, and ¹³C NMR data (**Tables 1, 2**); HRESIMS *m/z* 463.1892 [M+H] +(calculated for C₂₅H₃₁O₆Cl, 463.1882).

Sclerotiorin A (2): yellow amorphous powder; $[\alpha]_{D}^{25.0}$ +3.1 (*c*, 0.37, MeOH); UV(MeOH) λ_{max} (log ε) 200 (3.46), 249 (3.34), and 390 (3.47) nm; ECD (*c* 1.23 mM, MeOH) λ_{max} ($\Delta \varepsilon$) 238

(+0.84), 258 (-0.94), 312 (+3.82), and 387 (-1.13) nm; ¹H and ¹³C NMR data (**Tables 1, 2**); HRESIMS m/z 405.1832 [M+H] ⁺(calculated for C₂₃H₂₉O₄Cl, 405.1827).

Sclerotiorin B (3): yellow amorphous powder; $[\alpha]_D^{25.0}$ +28.8 (*c*, 0.34, MeOH); UV(MeOH) λ_{max} (log ε) 201 (3.72), 250 (3.67), and 392 (3.82) nm; ECD (*c*, 0.62 mM, MeOH) λ_{max} ($\Delta \varepsilon$) 235 (+1.84), 259 (-1.97), 313 (+8.85), and 381 (-2.37) nm; ¹H and ¹³C NMR data (**Tables 1, 2**); HRESIMS *m/z* 405.1835 [M+H] ⁺(calculated for C₂₃H₂₉O₄Cl, 405.1827).

Ochlephilone (4): orange amorphous powder; $[\alpha]_D^{25.0}$ +195.3 (*c*, 0.54, MeOH); UV (MeOH) λ_{max} (log ε) 204 (3.89), 250 (4.04), 395 (4.25) nm; ECD (*c* 1.30 mM, MeOH) λ_{max} ($\Delta \varepsilon$) 239 (+2.84), 267 (-0.56), 310 (+6.11), and 340 (+7.14) nm; ¹H and ¹³C NMR data (**Tables 1**, **2**); HRESIMS *m*/*z* 383.1856 [M+H] ⁺(calculated for C₂₃H₂₆O₅, 383.1853).

Isochromophilone IV (5): yellow amorphous powder; $[α]_D^{25.0}$ -69.1 (c 0.44, MeOH); UV(MeOH) λ_{max} (log ε) 200 (3.33), 265 (2.98), 388 (3.83) nm; ECD (c 0.63 mM, MeOH) λ_{max} ($\Delta \varepsilon$) 257 (-5.03), 285 (+1.04), 325 (+0.32), 383 (-7.82) nm; ¹H and ¹³C NMR data (**Tables 1, 2**); HRESIMS *m/z* 395.1627 [M+H] +(calculated for C₂₁H₂₇O₅Cl, 395.1620).

Isochromophilone J (6): yellow amorphous powder; $[\alpha]_D^{25.0}$ +113.3 (*c*, 0.15, MeOH); UV(MeOH) λ_{max} (log ε) 208 (3.38), 265 (3.15), and 390 (3.94) nm; ECD (*c* 1.42 mM, MeOH) λ_{max} ($\Delta \varepsilon$) 214 (-1.87), 255 (+3.47), 321(-0.34), and 388 (+1.88) nm; ¹H and ¹³C NMR data (**Tables 1**, 2); HRESIMS *m*/*z* 353.1524 [M+H] +(calculated for C₁₉H₂₅O₄Cl, 353.1514).

Phytotoxicity Bioassays

Phytotoxicity was evaluated by seed germination methods. The bioassay experiments were performed on representative weeds in farmlands, including two types of grass [wild oat (*Avena fatua* L.), ryegrass (*Lolium perenne* L.)] and two broadleaf species [redroot amaranth (*A. retroflexus* L.), and velvetleaf (*Abutilon theophrasti* Medikus)], based on previously reported assay methods with some modifications (Travaini et al., 2016; Adetunji et al., 2018).

The tested seeds were pre-incubated in 9-cm diameter Petri dishes with 5 mL of distilled water for about 5 h at 25°C. After that period, the seeds of the target weeds were disinfected with 5% sodium hypochlorite for 10 min and rinsed with distilled water. One layer of sterile filter paper was placed at the base of each Petri dish (for A. retroflexus L., 12-well plates were used). Then, 3 mL (for A. retroflexus L., $330 \text{ }\mu\text{L}$) of the methanolic solution containing compounds were dropped on the filter paper. The final concentrations of tested compounds were 500, 250, 125, 62.5, and 31.25 µg/mL, respectively. The equivalent sterilized water was added to each well and Petri dish after the escape of methanol. Twenty-five (for A. retroflexus L., 10) viable seeds of weeds were placed on a filtrate paper. The herbicide glufosinateammonium was used as a positive control, and the methanol solution was then used as solvent control. Lids were sealed with Parafilm and incubated at 28°C, with 12 h supplemental light provided by 400 W Philips lamps and 26°C with 12 h darkness per day. The radicle and plumule lengths were measured and inhibition rates were calculated after 4 days. All treatments were carried out in triplicate. The experimental results are expressed

G

No.	1a	1b	2a	3a	4a	5a	6a	6b
1α	7.56, s	7.53, s	7.12, s	7.21, s	7.43, s	3.87, dd (10.8,13.2)	4.30, dd (11.4,12.6)	4.27, dd (11.5, 13.0)
1β						4.43, dd (4.8,10.8)	4.55, dd (4.8, 11.4)	4.52, dd (5.0, 11.5)
4	6.53, s	6.51, s	6.52, s	6.53, s	6.11, s	6.14, s	6.12, s	6.10, s
5					5.42, d (1.0)			
8	3.80, d (12.6)	3.79, d (12.6)	3.06, dd (10.0,10.0)	3.43, dd (7.5,13.0)	3.85, d (12.0)	5.03, d (10.2)	4.14, d (3.0)	4.12, d (3.0)
8a						3.49, ddd (4.8,10.2,13.2)	3.09 ddd (3.0, 4.8, 12.6)	3.07 ddd (3.0, 5.0, 13.0)
9	6.14, d (15.6)	6.05, d (15.6)	6.15, d (16.0)	6.15, d (15.5)	6.04, d (15.5)	6.09, d (15.0) 6.09, d (15.6		6.00, d (15.5)
10	7.41, d (15.6)	7.02, d (15.6)	7.36, d (15.5)	7.39, d (15.5)	7.36, d (15.5)	7.36, d (15.0)	7.38, d (15.6)	7.01, d (16.0)
12	5.49, d (10.2)	5.63, d (10.2)	5.47, d (10.0)	5.48, d (10.0)	5.48, d (10.0)	5.46, d (10.2)	5.47, d (9.6)	5.63, d (10.0)
13	2.64, m	2.48, m	2.64, m	2.65, m	2.59, m	2.60, m	2.66, m	2.49, m
14	1.33,1.44, m	1.33,1.44, m	1.31, 1.43, m	1.33, 1.40, m	1.31, 1.44, m	1.30, 1.40, m	1.31, 1.43, m	1.31, 1.43, m
15	0.85, t (7.2)	0.85, t (7.2)	0.85, t (7.5)	0.84, t (7.5)	0.84, t (7.5)	0.85, t (7.2)	0.86, t (7.8)	0.84, t (7.0)
16	1.01, d (6.6)	1.00, d (6.6)	1.00, d (7.0)	1.00, d (6.5)	1.00, d (7.0)	0.99, d (7.2)	0.99, d (6.6)	0.99, d (7.0)
17	1.89, s	1.82, s	1.89, d (1.0)	1.89, d (1.0)	1.87, d (1.0)	1.88, s	1.88, s	1.80, s
18	1.45, s	1.45, s	1.37, s	1.42, s	1.59, s	1.43, s	1.38, s	1.37, s
20			2.14, dd (10.0,13.0)	2.07, dd (7.5,12.5)		2.22, s		
			2.39, dd (10.0,13.0)	2.15, dd (7.5,12.5)				
21			1.46, s	1.44, s				
22			3.21, s	3.33, s				
2'	3.04, d (12.6)	3.04, d (12.6)						
3'					3.79, d (12.0)			
4'	3.74, s	3.73, s						
5'	1.58, s	1.57, s			2.47, s			
1'-OCH ₃	3.32, s	3.31, s						
7-0H						2.16, s	4.08, s	
8-OH							2.78, s	

TABLE 1 | ¹H nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) data of compounds **1–6** (chloroform-*d*, δ in ppm, *J* in Hz).

Recorded at 600 MHz (1a, 1b, 5a, 6a, and 6b). Recorded at 500 MHz (2a, 3a, and 4a).

TABLE 2	¹³ C NMR data	of compounds	1–6	(chloroform-d,	δin	ppm).
---------	--------------------------	--------------	-----	----------------	-----	-------

Position	1a	1b	2a	3a	4a	5a	6a	6b
1	146.0, CH	146.0, CH	142.8, CH	143.6, CH	147.4, CH	67.8, CH ₂	68.2, CH ₂	68.2, CH ₂
3	157.7, C	157.9, C	157.0, C	157.5, C	156.8, C	163.1, C	162.7, C	162.9, C
4	105.8, CH	105.1, CH	106.0, CH	105.6, CH	108.2, CH	102.2, CH	102.8, CH	102.1, CH
4a	114.2, C	114.2, C	138.8, C	139.4, C	144.5, C	145.5, C	145.4, C	145.6, C
5	109.3, C	109.3, C	110.9, C	110.0, C	106.3, CH	118.9, C	115.6, C	115.4, C
6	188.4, C	188.4, C	188.6, C	189.4, C	191.1, C	187.0, C	192.8, C	192.7, C
7	83.6, C	83.6, C	83.9, C	84.8, C	82.8, C	74.9, C	77.3, C	77.3, C
8	44.6, CH	44.6, CH	44.6, CH	43.5, CH	42.8, CH	73.1, CH	73.6, CH	73.6, CH
8a	140.3, C	140.3, C	117.1, C	116.3, C	113.9, C	35.6, CH	36.9, CH	36.9, CH
9	118.8, CH	116.4, CH	119.2, CH	119.0, CH	118.4, CH	121.2, CH	121.3, CH	118.9, CH
10	133.4, CH	141.8, CH	132.6, CH	133.0, CH	133.1, CH	133.5, CH	133.4, CH	141.9, CH
11	129.8, C	131.9, C	129.9, C	129.9, C	129.7, C	130.2, C	130.2, C	132.2, C
12	145.1, CH	147.6, CH	144.5, CH	144.8, CH	145.0, CH	144.8, CH	144.7, CH	147.1, CH
13	34.0, CH	35.0, CH	34.0, CH	34.0, CH	34.0, CH	34.0, CH	33.9, CH	34.9, CH
14	30.2, CH ₂	30.1, CH ₂	30.3, CH ₂	30.2, CH ₂	30.2, CH ₂	30.3, CH ₂	30.3, CH ₂	30.1, CH ₂
15	12.0, CH ₃	11.9, CH ₃	12.0, CH ₃	11.9, CH ₃				
16	20.9, CH ₃	20.2, CH ₃	20.9, CH ₃	20.9, CH ₃	20.9, CH ₃	20.9, CH ₃	21.0, CH ₃	20.3, CH ₃
17	20.1, CH ₃	12.4, CH ₃	20.1, CH ₃	20.1, CH ₃	20.1, CH ₃	20.1, CH ₃	20.2, CH ₃	12.4, CH ₃
18	24.6, CH ₃	24.6, CH ₃	24.1, CH ₃	24.7, CH ₃	23.2, CH ₃	20.7, CH ₃	23.4, CH ₃	23.4, CH ₃
19			106.4, C	105.6, C		170.3, C		
20			47.1, CH ₂	45.5, CH ₂		20.6, CH ₃		
21			22.6, CH ₃	21.7, CH ₃				
22			49.0, CH ₃	48.9, CH ₃				
1′	105.6, C	105.5, C						
2'	58.2, CH	58.3, CH			168.5, C			
3'	169.3, C	169.2, C			57.3, CH			
4'	52.2, CH ₃	52.2, CH ₃			200.0, C			
5'	21.5, CH ₃	21.5, CH ₃			30.2, CH ₃			
1'-OCH3	49.2, CH ₃	49.2, CH ₃						

Recorded at 150 MHz (1a, 1b, 5a, 6a, and 6b). Recorded at 125 MHz (2a, 3a, and 4a).

as the mean \pm SD, and the EC_{50} values were calculated from the regression equations.

The inhibition rate (expressed as a percentage) was calculated as follows:

 $\frac{radicle \ (plumule) \ length \ in \ the \ control - radicle \ (plumule) \ length \ in \ the \ treatment}{radicle \ (plumule) \ length \ in \ the \ control}$

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Structure Elucidation of the Isolated Compounds

Compound 1 was isolated as a yellow, amorphous powder, and its molecular formula was deduced as $C_{25}H_{31}O_6Cl$ by HRESIMS (**Supplementary Figure S7**), corresponding to 10 degrees of unsaturation. The chlorine atom was confirmed by an isotopic peak for $[M+H]^+:[M+H+2]^+$ with an intensive ratio of 3:1 in the molecule. It existed as inseparable mixtures of two isomers according to HPLC analysis on either ODS

or chiral column, due to spontaneous isomerization. The ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra (Tables 1, 2) of 1 showed two sets of resonances with a ratio of 1:4 for the **1a** and **1b** isomers. The ¹H NMR spectroscopic data and heteronuclear singular quantum correlation (HSQC) correlations (Supplementary Figure S3) of 1a revealed seven methyl groups, including five singlets (δ_H/δ_C 1.45/24.6, 1.58/21.5, 1.89/20.1, 3.32/49.2, and 3.74/52.2), one doublet ($\delta_{\rm H}/\delta_{\rm C}$ 1.01/20.9), one triplet ($\delta_{\rm H}/\delta_{\rm C}$ 0.85/12), one methylene ($\delta_{\rm H}/\delta_{\rm C}$ 1.33/30.2, 1.44/30.2), three aliphatic methines ($\delta_{\rm H}/\delta_{\rm C}$ 2.64/34, 3.04/58.2, and 3.80/44.6), and five olefinic protons ($\delta_{\rm H}/\delta_{\rm C}$ 5.49/145.1, 6.14/118.8, 6.53/105.8, 7.41/133.4, and 7.56/146). Additionally, the ¹³C NMR spectra of 1a revealed the presence of 25 carbons, including one conjugated ketone carbonyl at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 188.4, one ester carbonyl at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 169.3, one oxygenated quaternary olefinic carbon at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 157.7, two sp³ oxygenated quaternary carbons signal at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 83.6/105.6, and four quaternary olefinic carbons signal at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 109.3/114.2/129.8/140.3. These NMR spectroscopic data indicated that 1a belonged to the family of azaphilones and the planar structure was the same as that of isochromophilone C (Luo et al., 2018). Further examination found that



correlations of 1a, 1b, 2a, 4a, and 5a.



the key nuclear overhauser effect spectroscopy (NOESY) correlations (Figure 2, Supplementary Figure S6) had obvious

differences between **1a** and isochromophilone C, indicating they were diastereoisomers.



The relative configuration of 1a was established by NOESY correlations (Figure 2, Supplementary Figure S6) and corresponding proton coupling constants (Luo et al., 2018; Qian et al., 2019). The large coupling constant (J = 12.6 Hz) between H-2' and H-8 suggested these two protons were on the opposite orientation. The NOESY correlations observed for H₃-18, H-8, and 1'-OCH₃ indicated that these protons were located on the same face. Thus, the stereochemistry of the azaphilone skeleton of 1a was determined. Furthermore, the coupling constant between H-9 and H-10 (J = 15.6 Hz) in addition to the NOESY correlations between H-9/H-12 and 17-CH₃, and between H-10 and 16-CH₃ illustrated that the double bond at C-9 and C-10 was *E* configuration, and C-11/C-12 was *Z* configuration. Therefore, the relative configuration of 1a, differing from that of isochromophilone C, was assigned as *rel-(7R,8R,9E,11Z,1'R,2'S)*, and given the name as isochromophilone H.

The ¹H and ¹³C NMR data of **1b** were similar to those of **1a**. The differences between them were the NMR data of C-9 to C-13, and C-17, indicating **1a/1b** were a pair of E/Z isomers, which was confirmed by the NOESY correlations of H-9/17-CH₃, and H-10/H-12. Hence, **1b** was defined as *rel-*(7*R*,8*R*,9*E*,11*E*,1[′]*R*,2[′]*S*)-isochromophilone H.

Compounds 2–7 were also isolated as six pairs of C-11 *E/Z* isomers. Among them, 2a, 3a, 4a, 5a, and 6a were new compounds with 11-(*Z*) configuration, which were confirmed by NOESY correlations of H-9/H-12 and 17-CH₃, and H-10/16-CH₃. The relative configuration of 5b was also determined for the first time by the NOESY correlations (**Supplementary Figure S34**), combined with the proton coupling constants. The coupling constants calculated for H-8 (J = 10.2 Hz) and H-8a (J = 4.8, 10.2, and 13.2 Hz), indicated the ax/ax relationship of these two protons. The NOESY correlations observed for H-1 α /H₃-18 and H-8 suggested that these protons were cofacial. Accordingly, the relative configuration of 5b was established as *rel*-(7*R*,8*R*,8*aR*).

The absolute configurations of all the isolated compounds were determined by comparison of experimental ECD spectra, and biosynthetic considerations. Among these compounds, the stereogenic carbon at C-13 in the side chain moiety was established to be S due to the aliphatic branch of this kind of azaphilones having a shared biosynthetic pathway (Gao et al., 2013). The absolute configuration of C-7 in compounds 1–5 was assigned to be R based on positive Cotton effects at 312 ($\Delta \varepsilon$ + 1.18, 1), 312 ($\Delta \varepsilon$ + 3.82, 2), 313 ($\Delta \varepsilon$ + 8.85, 3), 310 ($\Delta \varepsilon$ + 6.11, 4), and 325 nm ($\Delta \varepsilon$ + 0.32, 5), respectively (Figures 3, 4; Qian et al., 2019). In addition, combined with the NOESY correlations, the absolute configurations of 1a and 1b were assigned as 7*R*,8*R*,13*S*,1[′]*R*,2[′]*S* (Luo et al., 2018). The absolute configurations of 2, 3, 4, and 5 were suggested to be (7R,8R,19S), (7R,8R,19R), (7R,8R,3'R), and (7R,8R,8aR) by comparisons of experimental ECD data to those reported in the literature (Figure 4; Arai et al., 1995; Matsuzaki et al., 1995; Qian et al., 2019). Contrary to ECD data of 5 and epi-isochromophilone III, the ECD spectrum (Figure 4) in MeOH of 6 exhibited Cotton effects at 388 ($\Delta \varepsilon$ +1.88), 321 ($\Delta \varepsilon$ -0.34), 255 ($\Delta \varepsilon$ +3.47), and 214 nm ($\Delta \varepsilon$ -1.87), indicating the absolute configuration at C-7 of **6** was S. Thus, 6 was identified as a new compound, and the absolute configurations of 6a and 6b were confirmed as 7S,8R,8aS,13S (Hemtasin et al., 2016). Compounds 2b-5b were identified as the isomers of 2a-5a with 11-(E) configuration reported in the literature (Arai et al., 1995; Matsuzaki et al., 1995; Pairet et al., 1995; Qian et al., 2019). Compounds 7a and 7b were identified as isochromophilone Ib and Ia by comparing their NMR data with those in the literature (Omura et al., 1993; Matsuzaki et al., 1995).

Phytotoxicity Bioassays

Numerous studies have reported that azaphilones have broadspectrum biological activities. However, there were relatively few reports about their phytotoxic activity of them. Chaetomugilin A, D, S, and O, four chlorine-containing azaphilone derivatives,

TABLE 3 EC ₅₀ values	of compounds 3,	, 4, and 7 in F	Redroot Amaranth.
-----------------------------------	-----------------	-------------------------------	-------------------

EC ₅₀ (μ Μ)
Plumule	Radicle
320.84	271.48
287.07	234.87
288.36	240.30
656.04	555.11
	EC ₅₀ (Plumule 320.84 287.07 288.36 656.04

^aPositive control.

TADLE + LO5() values of Compounds + and I in verveue
--

Compound	EC ₅₀ (μ M)
	Plumule	Radicle
4	939.49	1122.17
7	768.97	1201.52
Glufosinate ammonium ^a	555.11	807.43

^aPositive control.

isolated from the endophytic Chaetomium globosum TY1, showed a higher response index and lower IC₅₀ values to eight species of herbaceous plant seeds than positive control glyphosate (Wang et al., 2017). Chaetomugilin D and J, isolated from the EtOAc extract of the fermentation medium of C. globosum, exhibited phytotoxicity to lettuce seeds, with IC50 values for root inhibition of 24.2 and 22.6 ppm, respectively, while those for shoot inhibition were 27.8 and 21.9 ppm, respectively (Piyasena et al., 2015). Acetosellin, isolated from large-scale cultures of the fungus Cercosporella acetosella, inhibited the growth of the root of Lepidium sativum and Zea mais at 640 µM (Gianluca et al., 2002). In the present work, all the isolated compounds were evaluated for their phytotoxicity against four weeds species (A. fatua L., L. perenne L., A. retroflexus L., A. theophrasti Medikus) in farmland. The experimental results indicated that sclerotiorin B (3), ochlephilone (4), and isochromophilone I (7) exhibited potent phytotoxicity against the growth of radicle and plumule of A. retroflexus L., with EC₅₀ values ranging from 234.87 to 320.84 μ M, compared to positive control glufosinate-ammonium (Table 3). Compounds 4 and 7 also showed inhibitory activities against the growth of velvetleaf (A. theophrasti Medikus; Table 4). These tested compounds had no significant inhibitory effects on

REFERENCES

- Adetunji, C. O., Oloke, J. K., Prasad, G., Bello, O. M., Osemwegie, O. O., Pradeep, R. S. et al. (2018). Isolation, identification, characterization, and screening of rhizospheric bacteria for herbicidal activity. *Org. Agric.* 8, 195–205. doi: 10.1007/s13165-017-0184-8
- Arai, N., Shiomi, K., Tomoda, H., Tabata, N., Yang, D. J., Masuma, R., et al. (1995). Isochromophilones III~VI, inhibitors of Acyl-CoA: cholesterol acyltransferase produced by *Penicillium multicolor* FO-3216. J. Antibiot. 48, 696–702. doi: 10.1002/chin.199601275

the growth and germination of wild oat (*A. fatua* L.) and ryegrass (*L. perenne* L.).

CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, we described seven pairs of azaphilones 11-(E/Z) isomers, including eight new compounds. Their structures and absolute configurations were elucidated based on comprehensive spectroscopic analysis and the comparisons of ECD data. Sclerotiorin B (3), ochlephilone (4), and isochromophilone I (7) exhibited potent phytotoxicity toward the growth of radicle and plumule of *A. retroflexus* L., compared to glufosinate-ammonium. This will provide new leading compounds for the research and development of marine-derived bioherbicides.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/**Supplementary Material**, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author/s.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

G-XW and C-SZ conceived and designed the experiments. WW and MW performed the experiments. WW, D-LZ, X-BW, J-LD, Y-QL, M-XL, and XG analyzed the experimental data. WW wrote the manuscript. D-LZ revised the article. All authors contributed to the article, reviewed the manuscript, and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

This work was financially supported by Reserve Talents for Yunnan Young and Middle-aged Academic and Technical Leaders (No.202105AC160037), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (41806194), the Agricultural Science and Technology Project of Guizhou Province (2021XM10 and 201803), and the Fundamental Research Funds for Central Nonprofit Scientific Institution (1610232021007).

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb. 2022.880874/full#supplementary-material

Carroll, A. R., Copp, B. R., Davis, R. A., Keyzers, R. A., and Prinsep, M. R. (2020). Marine natural products. Nat. Prod. Rep. 37, 175–223. doi: 10.1039/c9np00069k

- Gao, J. M., Yang, S. X., and Qin, J. C. (2013). Azaphilones: chemistry and biology. *Chem. Rev.* 113, 4755–4811. doi: 10.1021/cr300402y
- Gianluca, N., Alberto, A., Gemma, A., Gabriele, C., and Orso, V. P. (2002). Structure and absolute configuration of acetosellin, a new polyketide from a phytotoxic strain of *Cercosporella acetosella*. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 43, 1665–1668. doi: 10.1016/S0040-4039(02)00091-6
- Harding, D. P., and Raizada, M. N. (2015). Controlling weeds with fungi, bacteria and viruses: a review. *Front. Plant Sci.* 6:659. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2015.00659

- Hemtasin, C., Kanokmedhakul, S., Moosophon, P., Soytong, K., and Kanokmedhakul, K. (2016). Bioactive azaphilones from the fungus penicillium multicolor CM01. *Phytochem. Lett.* 16, 56–60. doi: 10.1016/j.phytol.2016.03.004
- Huang, R. H., Gou, J. Y., Zhao, D. L., Wang, D., Liu, J., Ma, G. Y., et al. (2018). Phytotoxicity and anti-phytopathogenic activities of marine-derived fungi and their secondary metabolites. *RSC Adv.* 8, 37573–37580. doi: 10.1039/c8ra08047j
- Kim, H. J., Bo, A. B., Kim, J. D., Kim, Y. S., Khaitov, B., Ko, Y. K., et al. (2020). Herbicidal characteristics and structural identification of the potential active compounds from *Streptomyces* sp. KRA17-580. J. Agric. Food Chem. 68, 15373–15380. doi: 10.1021/acs.jafc.0c01974
- Luo, X. W., Lin, X. P., Tao, H. M., Wang, J. F., Li, J. Y., Yang, B., et al. (2018). Isochromophilones A–F, cytotoxic chloroazaphilones from the marine mangrove endophytic fungus *Diaporthe* sp. SCSIO 41011. *J. Nat. Prod.* 81,934–941. doi: 10.1021/acs.jnatprod.7b01053
- Makrerougras, M., Coffinier, R., Oger, S., Chevalier, A., Sabot, C., and Franck, X. (2017). Total synthesis and structural revision of chaetoviridins A. Org. Lett. 19, 4146–4149. doi: 10.1021/acs.orglett.7b02053
- Matsuzaki, K., Tanaka, H., and Omura, S. (1995). Isochromophilones I and II, Novel inhibitors against gp120-CD4 binding produced by *Penicillium multicolor* FO-2338. J. Antibiot. 48, 708–713. doi: 10.7164/antibiotics.48.708
- Omura, S., Tanaka, H., Matsuzaki, K., and Ikeda, H., Masuma, R. (1993). Isochromophilones I and II, novel inhibitors against gp120-CD4 binding from *Penicillium* sp. J. Antibiot. 46, 1908–1911. doi: 10.7164/antibiotics.46.1908
- Pairet, L., Wrigley, S. K., Chetland, I., Reynolds, E. E., Hayes, M. A., Holloway, J., et al. (1995). Azaphilones with endothelin receptor binding activity produced by *Penicillium sclerotiorum*: taxonomy, fermentation, isolation, structure elucidation and biological activity. *J. Antibiot.* 48, 913–923. doi: 10.7164/antibiotics.48.913
- Piyasena, K. G. N. P., Wickramarachchi, W. A. R. T., Kumar, N. S., Jayasinghe, L., and Fujimoto, Y. (2015). Two phytotoxic azaphilone derivatives from *Chaetomium globosum*, a fungal endophyte isolated from *Amaranthus viridis* leaves. *Mycology* 6,158–160. doi: 10.1080/21501203.2015.1089332
- Qian, J., Du, Y. Q., Wang, C., Wang, Y., Zhu, T., H., et al. (2019). Azaphilones from the marine sponge-derived fungus *Penicillium sclerotiorum* OUCMDZ-3839. *Mar. Drugs* 17:260. doi: 10.3390/md17050260
- Shen, N. X., Liang, Z. Y., Liu, Q., Tu, C. D., Dong, K. M., Wang, C. Y., et al. (2020). Antifungal secondary metabolites isolated from mangrove rhizosphere soil-derived *Penicillium* fungi. *J. Ocean Univ. China.* 19, 717–721. doi: 10.1007/s11802-020-4360-1
- Shi, L. Q., Wu, Z. Y., Zhang, Y. N., Zhang, Z. G., Fang, W., Wang, Y. Y., et al. (2020). Herbicidal secondary metabolites from actinomycetes: structure diversity, modes of action, and their roles in the development of herbicides. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 68, 17–32. doi: 10.1021/acs.jafc.9b06126
- Travaini, M. L., Sosa, G. M., Ceccarelli, E. A., Walter, H., Cantrell, C. L., Carrillo, N. J., et al. (2016). Khellin and visnagin, furanochromones from *Ammi visnaga* (L.) Lam., as potential bioherbicides. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 64, 9475–9487. doi: 10.1021/acs.jafc.6b02462

- Vurro, M., Boari, A., Casella, F., and Zonno, M. C. (2018). Fungal phytotoxins in sustainable weed management. *Curr. Med. Chem.* 25, 268–286. doi: 10.2174/0929867324666170426 152331
- Wang, D. C., Zhang, Y. M., Li, X., Pan, H. Y., Chang, M. Y., Zheng, T. Y., et al. (2017). Potential allelopathic azaphilones produced by the endophytic *Chaetomium globosum* TY1 inhabited in *Ginkgo biloba* using the one strain-many compounds method. *Nat. Prod. Res.* 31, 724–728. doi: 10.1080/14786419.2016.1217208
- Wang, W. Y., Yang, J., Liao, Y. Y., Cheng, G., Chen, J., Cheng, X. D., et al. (2020). Cytotoxic nitrogenated azaphilones from the deep-sea-derived fungus *Chaetomium globosum* MP4-S01-7. *J. Nat. Prod.* 83, 1157–1166. doi: 10.1021/acs.jnatprod.9b01165
- Yang, J., Wang, W., Yang, P., Tao, B., Yang, Z., Dong, J. G., et al. (2015). Isolation and identification of *Serratia marcescens* Ha1 and herbicidal activity of Ha1 'pesta' granular formulation. *J. Integr. Agr.* 14, 1348–1355. doi: 10.1016/S2095-3119(14)60967-9
- Zhao, D. L., Han, X. B., Wang, D., Liu, M. H., Gou, J. Y., Peng, Y. L., et al. (2019). Bioactive 3-decalinoyltetramic acids derivatives from a marinederived strain of the fungus *Fusarium equiseti* D39. *Front. Microbiol.* 10:1285. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2019.01285
- Zhao, D. L., Han, X. B., Wang, M., Zeng, Y. T., Li, Y. Q., Zhang, P., et al. (2020). Herbicidal and antifungal xanthone derivatives from the alga-derived fungus Aspergillus versicolor D5. J. Agric. Food Chem. 68, 11207–11214. doi: 10.1021/acs.jafc.0c04265

Conflict of Interest: X-BW and J-LD are employed by Guizhou Tobacco Company.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Wang, Wang, Wang, Li, Ding, Lan, Gao, Zhao, Zhang and Wu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.