ARTICLE

Dose-adjusted EPOCH and rituximab for the treatment of double expressor and double-hit diffuse large B-cell lymphoma: impact of *TP53* mutations on clinical outcome

Anna Dodero,^{1*} Anna Guidetti,^{1*} Fabrizio Marino,² Alessandra Tucci,³ Francesco Barretta,⁴ Alessandro Re,³ Monica Balzarotti,⁵ Cristiana Carniti Chiara Monfrini,¹ Annalisa Chiappella,¹ Antonello Cabras,⁶ Fabio Facchetti,⁷ Martina Pennisi,¹ Daoud Rahal,⁸ Valentina Monti,⁶ Liliana Devizzi,¹ Rosalba Miceli,⁴ Federica Cocito,⁹ Lucia Farina,¹ Francesca Ricci,⁵ Giuseppe Rossi,³ Carmelo Carlo-Stella^{2#}and Paolo Corradini^{1,10#}

¹Department of Hematology, Fondazione IRCCS Istituto Nazionale dei Tumori, Milano; ²Department of Biomedical Sciences, Humanitas University and Department of Oncology and Hematology, IRCCS Humanitas Research Hospital, Rozzano-Milano; ³Department of Hematology, ASST Spedali Civili di Brescia, Brescia; ⁴Department of Clinical Epidemiology and Trial Organization, Fondazione IRCCS Istituto Nazionale dei Tumori, Milano; ⁵Department of Oncology and Hematology, IRCCS Humanitas Research Hospital, Rozzano-Milano; ⁶Department of Pathology, Fondazione IRCCS Istituto Nazionale dei Tumori, Milano; ⁷Department of Pathology, ASST Spedali Civili di Brescia, Brescia; ⁸Department of Pathology, IRCCS Humanitas Research Hospital, Rozzano-Milano; ⁹Department of Hematology, Ospedale San Gerardo, Monza and ¹⁰Chair of Hematology, University of Milan, Milano, Italy

*AD and AG contributed equally as co-first authors. #CC-S and PC contributed equally as co-senior authors.

ABSTRACT

iffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL) is a heterogeneous disease, including one-third of cases overexpressing MYC and BCL2 proteins (double expressor lymphoma, DEL) and 5-10% of patients with chromosomal rearrangements of MYC, BCL2 and/or BCL-6 (double/triple-hit lymphomas, DH/TH). TP53 mutations are detected in 20-25% of DEL. We report the efficacy of dose-adjusted EPOCH and rituximab (DA-EPOCH-R) in a series of 122 consecutive patients, including DEL (n=81, 66%), DEL-MYC (n=9, 7%), DEL-BCL2 (n=13, 11%), or high-grade lymphomas (DH/TH) (n=19, 16%). Central nervous system (CNS) prophylaxis included intravenous methotrexate (n=66), intrathecal chemotherapy (IT) (n=40) or no prophylaxis (n=16). Sixty-seven patients (55%) had highintermediate or high International Prognostic Index (IPI) and 30 (25%) had high CNS-IPI. The 2-year progression-free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS) for the entire study population were 74% and 84%, respectively. There was a trend for inferior OS for DH/TH (2-year OS: 66%, P=0.058) as compared to all the others. The outcome was significantly better for the IPI 0-2 versus IPI 3-5 (OS: 98% vs. 72%, P=0.002). DA-EPOCH-R did not overcome the negative prognostic value of TP53 mutations: 2-year OS of 62% *versus* 88% (*P*=0.036) were observed for mutated as compared to wild-type cases, respectively. Systemic CNS prophylaxis conferred a better 2-year OS (94%) as compared to IT or no prophylaxis (76% and 65%, respectively; P=0.008). DA-EPOCH-R treatment resulted in a favorable outcome in patients with DEL and DEL with single rearrangement, whereas those with multiple genetic alterations such as DEL-DH/TH and TP53 mutated cases still have an inferior outcome.

Introduction

Diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL) is a clinically and biologically heterogeneous disease. Historically DLBCL patients have been uniformly treated with R-CHOP chemoimmunotherapy regimen (rituximab, cyclophosphamide, adri-



Haematologica 2022 Volume 107(5):1153-1162

Correspondence:

ANNA DODERO anna.dodero@istitutotumori.mi.it

Received: February 26, 2021. Accepted: July 13 2021. Pre-published: July 22, 2021.

https://doi.org/10.3324/haematol.2021.278638

©2022 Ferrata Storti Foundation

Material published in Haematologica is covered by copyright. All rights are reserved to the Ferrata Storti Foundation. Use of published material is allowed under the following terms and conditions:

https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/legalcode. Copies of published material are allowed for personal or internal use. Sharing published material for non-commercial purposes is subject to the following conditions:

https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/legalcode, sect. 3. Reproducing and sharing published material for commercial purposes is not allowed without permission in writing from the publisher.



amycin, vincristine, prednisone), leading to a long-lasting complete remission in approximately 60% of cases.^{1,2} For these patients, the International Prognostic Index (IPI), is an easy and valid tool for prognostic stratification.³

In 2000 Alizadeh *et al.* used gene expression profiling (GEP) to identify the cell of origin (COO) and described prognostic subgroups according to diversity in gene expression patterns indicative of different stages of B-cell differentiation.⁴ Immunohistochemical algorithms, used as surrogates of GEP for the identification of COO subgroups, have been extensively used but have a limited concordance with GEP when applied to patients with DLBCL treated with R-CHOP.⁵

Among other possible prognostic indicators for patients with DLBCL, tumor protein p53 (TP53) mutations seem to represent simple and attractive biomarkers to be used in the daily routine clinical practice. The TP53 gene is involved in maintaining genomic stability in response to DNA damage by activating DNA repair programs and by triggering cell cycle arrest. The loss of TP53 is associated with lymphomagenesis and resistance to chemotherapy.⁶ TP53 mutations are present in 10% of DLBCL patients and confer a poor prognosis in patients treated with R-CHOP.^{7,8} Recently, Chapuy *et al.* performed whole genomic sequencing in 304 primary DLBCL patients and identified genetically different subgroups among germinal center B-cell like (GCB) and activated B-cell (ABC) lymphoma patients. Patients with TP53 mutations were described as a distinct cluster with a very poor prognosis.⁹

MYC and BCL2 represent other possible poor prognostic markers when rearranged or overexpressed in DLBCL and lymphomas harboring the double or triple translocation (DH or TH) represent new entities in the recently updated 2016 World Health Organization Lymphoma Classification. Most DH lymphomas are in the GCB category, they present with advanced stages and have a very poor prognosis when treated with the R-CHOP regimen.¹⁰⁻¹² Also, the BCL2 translocation alone has been shown to play a significant prognostic role in GCB DLBCL patients treated with R-CHOP.^{13,14} Additionally, the presence of *MYC* rearrangements seems to be a poor prognostic marker, although its role in the absence of either BCL2 or p53 alterations remains controversial.¹⁵ The concomitant overexpression of MYC and BCL2 on the tumor cell surface observed in double expressor lymphomas (DEL), could be the result of other mechanisms, different from translocation such as copy gain/amplification. The prognosis of DEL patients treated with standard R-CHOP is worse than that of non-DEL patients,^{16,17} and these individuals have a risk of central nervous system (CNS) relapse of 9.7% at 2 years.¹⁸ Moreover, a consensus on the optimal treatment for these patients has yet to be established.

Since 2013 at our Institution, DEL patients have been treated with the intensive chemotherapy regimen doseadjusted EPOCH and rituximab (DA-EPOCH-R), achieving a promising 2-year progression-free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS) of 62% and 85%, respectively. These survival rates were better than those reported with R-CHOP in a historical cohort.¹⁹

The aim of the present study was to assess the incidence and prognostic role of *TP53* mutations and *BCL2*, *MYC* translocations in a large cohort of DEL patients consecutively treated with DA-EPOCH-R. The analysis of

the cumulative risk of CNS relapse as well as the effect of the different CNS prophylaxis applied in this selected cohort of DEL patients was also assessed.

Methods

Patients

All consecutive patients with a diagnosis of DEL treated since 2013 with DA-EPOCH-R at four hematological divisions in northern Italy were retrospectively identified. For inclusion in this observational study, the following inclusion criteria were required: i) histologically proven diagnosis of DEL with an age ≥18 years; ii) availability of formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded (FFPE) samples; iii) no exposure to previous therapy except for the first cycle of R-CHOP that was allowed while waiting for immunohistochemistry (IHC) results and cytogenetic characterization. Exclusion criteria were HIV positivity, CNS involvement at diagnosis, and histology other than DEL. The ethics committees of the participating centers approved the study (INT 35/17). Written informed consent was obtained from all patients.

Immunohistochemistry and fluorescence in situ hybridization analysis

FFPE tissue samples were sectioned at 3- μ m thickness. IHC was performed using the EnVision FLEX+, mouse, high pH method (Dako Denmark A/S Produktionsvej 42 DK-2600 Glostrup, Denmark) and a Dako Autostainer Link48 (Dako, Italia, SPA, Milano, Italy). Slides were stained with monoclonal antibodies against CD19, CD20, CD10, BCL2, BCL6, MUM1, MYC, and Ki67.

Fluorescence *in situ* hybridization (FISH) analyses for *BCL2, BCL6*, and *MYC* rearrangements were performed in all patients using "LSI BCL2 LSI BCL6 (ABR), C-MYC "break apart" probes (Vysis/Abbott Molecular, Illinois, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions (detailed in the *Online Supplementary Appendix*).

TP53 mutations

TP53 is located on chromosome 17p13.1 and consists of 14 exons (1-11), 10 of which are coding sequences for the p53 protein.^{20,21} *TP53* mutations were assessed according to Institutional practice, Sanger sequencing was used for samples collected from patients at Humanitas Cancer Center while next-generation sequencing followed by direct sequencing was used for all other samples (procedures described in the *Online Supplementary Appendix*).

Treatment and central nervous system prophylaxis

Patients received the DA-EPOCH-R regimen therapy every 21 days for six cycles. In all patients dose adjustment based on cell counts between cycles according to the NCI algorithm was applied.²² At diagnosis, all the patients performed lumbar puncture for cytology and flow cytometry analyses of cerebrospinal fluid (CSF). During this first procedure, all patients received intrathecal chemotherapy with methotrexate (MTX) and cytarabine. Neuro-imaging was considered only in case of the presence of neurological signs or symptoms. In absence of definitive clinical guidelines on CNS prophylaxis, the choice was determined by the treating physician. High-dose MTX (HD-MTX: 3 g/m²) was used as prophylaxis in 66 patients (for the majority at the end of the treatment). Intrathecal chemotherapy at day 1 of every cycle, including MTX/cytarabine/dexamethasone, was given to 40 patients.

Aim of the study and statistical analysis

Objectives of the study were assessment of the effect of biological variables (*TP53* mutations and *BCL2* and *MYC* translocations) on survival analyses at 2-years in a large cohort of DEL patients consecutively treated with DA-EPOCH-R. Analysis of the cumulative risk of CNS recurrence in the

whole population and PFS and OS impact of different CNS prophylaxis were also evaluated. Fisher's exact test was performed to assess the association between *TP53* mutation status and patients' clinical characteristics. Statistical analyses were performed using R (version 3.5.0) (detailed in the *Online Supplementary Appendix*).

Table 1.	Clinical	characteristics of	f 122	consecutive	diffuse	large	B-cell	lymphoma	patients	overall	and	according t	o rearrange	ments
----------	----------	--------------------	-------	-------------	---------	-------	--------	----------	----------	---------	-----	-------------	-------------	-------

	Overall	DEL	BCL2	MYC	HG-BCL	
Age continuous	N-122	N-01	N-13	N-9	N-19	
Age, continuous Median (vears)	59.0	57.0	64 0	57.0	62.0	
third/first quartile	(49.0.65.0)	(46 0: 64 0)	(55 0: 67 0)	(37 0: 61 0)	(57.0:67.0)	
Age, categorical	(10.0, 00.0)	(10.0, 01.0)	(00.0, 01.0)	(01.0, 01.0)	(01.0, 01.0)	
≤60 years old	66 (54.1)	48 (59.3)	5 (38.5)	5 (55.6)	8 (42.1)	
>60 years old	56 (45.9)	33 (40.7)	8 (61.5)	4 (44.4)	11 (57.9)	
Rearrangements						
None	81 (66.4)	81 (100)	-	_	-	
DEL-BCL2	13 (10.7)	-	13 (100)	-	-	
DEL-MYC	9 (7.4)	-	_	9 (100)	-	
DEL-DH/TH	19 (15.6)	-	_	-	19 (100)	
Ki67 (%)*						
Median	90.0	90.0	90.0	90.0	75.0	
third/first quartile	(75.0; 90.0)	(80.0; 90.0)	(85.0; 95.0)	(81,3; 91.3)	(65.0; 87.5)	
Sex						
Male	75 (61.5)	49 (60.5)	7 (53.8)	6 (66.7)	13 (68.4)	
Female	47 (38.5)	32 (39.5)	6 (46.2)	3 (33.3)	6 (31.6)	
Cell of origin						
GCB	55 (45.1)	22 (27.2)	10 (76.9)	5 (55.6)	18 (94.7)	
Non-GCB	60 (49.2)	52 (64.2)	3 (23.1)	4 (44.4)	1 (5.3)	
Not assessed	7 (5.7)	7 (8.6)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	
Staging						
I-II	27 (22.1)	18 (22.2)	2 (15.4)	4 (44.4)	3 (15.8)	
III-IV	95 (77.9)	63 (77.8)	11 (84.6)	5 (55.6)	16 (84.2)	
IPI						
0-2	55 (45.1)	40 (49.4)	4 (30.8)	6 (66.7)	5 (26.3)	
3-5	67 (54.9)	41 (50.6)	9 (69.2)	3 (33.3)	14 (73.7)	
CNS-IPI	01 (05 4)	01 (05 0)	0 (00 1)		0 (10 5)	
0-1	31 (25.4)	21 (25.9)	3 (23.1)	5 (55.6)	2 (10.5)	
Z-3	61 (50.0) 20 (24.6)	47 (58.0)	5 (38.5) E (38.5)	2 (22.2)	((30.8)	
4-0 Eutropadal aita	50 (24.0)	13 (10.0)	ə (əo.ə)	2 (22.2)	10 (32.0)	
Extrainoual site						
(dt HSK IOF CNS)	16 (13 1)	10 (19 8)	0 (0 0)	A (AA A)	2 (10 5)	
No	106 (13.1)	10(12.3) 71(877)	0 (0.0) 13 (100 0)	4 (44.4) 5 (55.6)	2 (10.5)	
CNS prophylaxis	100 (00.5)	11 (01.1)	15 (100.0)	0 (00.0)	11 (00.0)	
None	16 (13 1)	12 (14.8)	0 (0 0)	1 (11 1)	3 (15.8)	
IT MTX	40 (32.8)	24 (29.6)	5 (38.5)	3 (33.3)	8 (42.1)	
IV MTX	66 (54.1)	45 (55.6)	8 (61.5)	5 (55.6)	8 (42.1)	
Autologous SCT			0 (0110)	0 (0010)	· ()	
Yes	22 (18.0)	12 (14.8)	3 (23.1)	1 (11.1)	6 (31.6)	
No	100 (82.0)	69 (85.2)	10 (76.9)	8 (88.9)	13 (68.4)	

DEL: double expressor lymphomas; HG-BCL: high-grade B-cell lymphomas; DH/TH: double-hit/triple-hit; GCB: germinal center lymphomas; Non-GCB: non-germinal center lymphomas; IPI: International prognostic index; CNS: central nervous system; IT: intrathecal; MTX: methotrexate; IV: intravenous; SCT: stem cell transplantation. *10 missing values, 8 in DEL group and 1 each in DEL/BCL2 and DEL/MYC rearrangements group.

2-year PFS (95% CI)	P *	2-year OS (95% CI)	P *
	0.138		0.064
80.0 (70.4; 90.9)		88.4 (80.0; 97.7)	
67.7 (55.6; 82.4)		78.2 (66.9; 91.3)	
	0.012		0.094
66.3 (55.9; 78.7)		80.7 (71.3; 91.3)	
87.1 (77.0; 98.7)		88.9 (79.0; 100)	
	0.544		0.907
70.6 (59.1; 84.4)		81.0 (69.9; 93.9)	
74.6 (63.2; 87.9)		84.7 (75.4; 95.2)	
	0.203		0.058
74.8 (64.9; 86.3)		85.9 (77.6; 95.2)	
69.2 (48.2; 99.5)		90.9 (75.4; 100)	
100		100	
63.2 (44.8; 89.0)		66.2 (47.4; 92.5)	
	0.048		0.081
91.8 (81.6; 100)		95.0 (85.9; 100)	
69.8 (60.6; 80.4)		81.0 (72.6; 90.3)	
	0.002		0.002
88.1 (79.6; 97.6)		97.8 (93.7; 100)	
62.2 (50.7; 76.3)		71.8 (60.5; 85.2)	
	0.027		0.008
50.8 (28.6; 90.2)		64.9 (41.7; 100)	
69.5 (56.5; 85.5)		75.8 (63.2; 91.0)	
81.8 (72.0; 93.0)		94.3 (88.2; 100)	
	0.033		0.036
79.9 (68.9; 92.8)		87.7 (78.0; 98.5)	
58.3 (37.3; 91.1)		61.7 (39.8; 95.6)	
	2-year PFS (95% Cl) 80.0 (70.4; 90.9) 67.7 (55.6; 82.4) 66.3 (55.9; 78.7) 87.1 (77.0; 98.7) 70.6 (59.1; 84.4) 74.6 (63.2; 87.9) 74.8 (64.9; 86.3) 69.2 (48.2; 99.5) 100 63.2 (44.8; 89.0) 91.8 (81.6; 100) 69.8 (60.6; 80.4) 88.1 (79.6; 97.6) 62.2 (50.7; 76.3) 50.8 (28.6; 90.2) 69.5 (56.5; 85.5) 81.8 (72.0; 93.0) 79.9 (68.9; 92.8) 58.3 (37.3; 91.1)	2-year PFS (95% Cl) P* 0.138 0.138 80.0 (70.4; 90.9) 0.138 67.7 (55.6; 82.4) 0.012 66.3 (55.9; 78.7) 0.544 70.6 (59.1; 84.4) 0.544 70.6 (59.1; 84.4) 0.203 74.8 (64.9; 86.3) 0.203 63.2 (48.2; 99.5) 0.0048 91.8 (81.6; 100) 0.048 91.8 (81.6; 100) 0.002 88.1 (79.6; 97.6) 0.027 50.8 (28.6; 90.2) 0.027 50.8 (28.6; 90.2) 0.033 79.9 (68.9; 92.8) 0.033 79.9 (68.9; 92.8) 58.3 (37.3; 91.1)	2-year PFS 95% Cl) P* 2-year OS 95% Cl) 0.138 0.138 0.138 0.138 0.138 0.138 0.138 0.138 0.138 0.12 66.3 (55.9; 78.7) 0.012 0.012 0.644 0.012 0.6544 0.002 0.544 0.002 0.544 $0.069.9; 93.9$) $0.47, (75.4; 95.2)$ 0.203 74.8 (64.9; 86.3) $85.9, (77.6; 95.2)$ $69.2, (48.2; 99.5)$ $90.9, (75.4; 100)$ 100 100 100 100 100 $65.2, (47.4; 92.5)$ 0.048 $91.8, (81.6; 100)$ $95.0, (85.9; 100)$ $69.2, (48.2; 99.5)$ 0.002 $88.1, (79.6; 97.6)$ $97.8, (93.7; 100)$ $62.2, (47.4; 92.5)$ 0.002 $88.1, (79.6; 97.6)$ $97.8, (93.7; 100)$ $62.2, (50.7; 76.3)$ $71.8, (60.5; 85.2)$ 0.002 0.027 $50.8, (28.6; 90.2)$ $64.9, (41.7; 100)$ $69.5, (56.5; 85.5)$ $75.8, (63.2; 91.0)$ $81.8, (72.0; 93.0)$ $94.3, (88.2; 100)$ 0.033 $79.9, (68.9; 92.8), 58.5, (58.3, (37.3; 91.1)$ $61.7, (39.8; 95.6)$

Table 2. Kaplan-Meier estimates of 2-year progression-free and overall survival according to patients and disease characteristics

PFS: progression-free survival; OS: overall survival; CI: confidence interval; DEL: double expressor lymphomas; DH/TH: double-hit/triple-hit; CNS: central nervous system. *Log-rank test P-value; **Excluding 7 not-assessed patients; ***Excluding 53 not-assessed patients.

Results

Patients' characteristics and treatment

A total of 122 patients affected by DEL were consecutively treated with DA-EPOCH-R between November 2015 and March 2020. Patients' characteristics are summarized in Table 1. The median age was 59 years (range, 24-79 years), and 62% were male.

MYC and *BCL2* rearrangements by FISH were evaluated in all cases. *BCL6* rearrangement could be done for 95 out of 122 patients (78%). According to IHC and FISH testing, the study population was divided into three subgroups: i) DEL only without any rearrangements (n=81, 66%); ii) DEL single-hit (DEL-SH) with either *MYC* or *BCL2* rearrangement (n=9 *MYC*, n=13 *BCL2*); and iii) high-grade lymphomas (double-hit/triple-hit [DH/TH]) (n=10 *MYC/BCL2*, n=3 *MYC/BCL6*, n=6 *MYC/BCL2/BCL6*). The COO assignment according to Hans algorithm was analyzed in 115 of 122 patients (94%) of whom 55 (45%) were GCB and 60 (49%) non-GCB.

Ninety-five (78%) patients had an advanced stage and 67 (55%) presented an IPI score of 3-5. Moreover, the number of patients with limited disease was low (n=27) with only four cases with stage I (all these cases presented with bulky extranodal disease) (*Online Supplementary Table S1*).

The median number of chemotherapy cycles was 6 (range, 1-6 cycles). Due to the aggressive clinical presentation requiring urgent treatment, 19 (15%) patients received the first cycle of R-CHOP while waiting for complete FISH analyses. Response assessment following DA-EPOCH-R treatment was feasible in 117 patients: of these 84 (72%) and 16 (14%) achieved a complete or partial remission, respectively. Seventeen patients (14%) showed progressive disease. Five patients were not evaluable for a response after DA-EPOCH-R for toxicity (n=1, death of pneumonia), de-escalation therapy (n=2), consolidation with high-dose therapy before the sixth cycle (n=2). Overall, 22 of 122 (18%) patients (n=12 DE, n=3 SH-BCL2, n=1 SH-MYC, n=6 DH/TH) underwent autologous stem cell transplantation, in clinical remission, during treatment (n=2) and as consolidation after six cycles of DA-EPOCH-R (n=20) (Online Supplementary Table S2).

With the exclusion of one patient who died of pneumonia, other adverse events were manageable. We observed febrile neutropenia in 16 of 122 (13%) and infections requiring hospital admission (n=6 pneumonia, n=1 sepsis) in seven patients (6%).

Survival outcome

After a median follow-up of 24 months (interquartile range [IQR], 14-38 months), 110 patients were alive and 22

died (n=20 for disease progression, n=1 for toxicity, n=1 suicide). PFS (95% confidence interval [CI]) and OS at 2-year were 74% (66-83%) and 84% (77-91%), respectively (Figure 1A and B). The 2-year OS and PFS were not significantly different between DEL, DEL-MYC, DEL-BCL2 and, DEL-DH/TH, with a trend for inferior survival in this last subgroup (OS: 66% [range, 47-92%], P=0,058) (Figure 1C and D).



Figure 1. Kaplan-Meier estimates of progression-free survival and overall survival. Progression-free survival (A, C and E) and overall survival (B, D and F) for the whole cohort (A and B) and according to rearrangements (C and D). DEL: double expressor lymphomas only; DEL-MYC, DEL-BCL2: high-grade lymphomas (double-hit/triple-hit [DH/TH]) and International Prognostic Index (panels E and F).

Table 3. Table 3. Clinical characteristics of 69 patients evaluated for TP53 mutation status

	Mutotod TDE2	Wild two TD52	D*
	N=16	N=53	P*
Rearrangements			0.258
None	8 (50.0)	36 (67.9)	
DEL-BCL2	3 (18.8)	6 (11.3)	
DEL-MYC	3 (18.8)	3 (5.7)	
DEL-DH/TH	2 (12.5)	8 (15.1)	
Cell of origin**			0.776
Germinal central B cell	8 (53.3)	25 (48.1)	
Non-Germinal central B cell	7 (46.7)	27 (51.9)	
Staging			0.124
I-II	2 (12.5)	18 (34.0)	
III-IV	14 (87.5)	35 (66.0)	
International prognostic index			0.161
0-2	5 (31.2)	28 (52.8)	
3-5	11 (68.8)	25 (47.2)	
Systemic CNS therapy			0.241
None	0 (0.0)	5 (9.4)	
Intrathecal methotrexate	7 (43.8)	14 (26.4)	
Intravenous methotrexate	9 (56.2)	34 (64.2)	
Autologous stem cell transplantation			0.334
Yes	1 (6.2)	11 (20.8)	
No	15 (93.8)	42 (79.2)	
Progression-free survival			0.033***
2-year estimate (95% CI)	58.3 (37.3; 91.1)	79.9 (68.9; 92.8)	
Events	6 (37.5)	9 (17.0)	
CNS relapse-free probability			0.782***
2-year estimate (95% CI)	90.0 (73.2; 100)	92.5 (84.6; 100)	
Events	1 (6.3)	3 (5.7)	

DH/TH: double/triple hit; CNS: central nervous system. *Fisher Exact test P-value; **Excluding 7 not-assessed patients; ***Log-rank test P-value.

Age above 60 years did not affect outcome whereas the male sex was associated with a significantly shorter PFS (*Online Supplementary Table S3*). The COO did not show a significant impact either on PFS or OS. Isolated MYC (\geq 70%) or BCL2 (\geq 80%) as assessed by IHC did not impact PFS and OS (*data not shown*).

As expected, patients with a limited disease had a significantly higher 2-year PFS 92% (range, 81-100%) as compared to advanced stages (70% [range, 61-80%], P=0,048) (Table 2). The analysis of outcome by IPI score showed a 2-year PFS of 62% (range, 51-76%) and OS of 71% (range, 61-85%) for high-intermediate and high IPI score that was inferior compared to low-intermediate and low cases (88%, [range, 79-98%] and 98% [range, 94-100%]; P=0,002 and P=0,002 respectively) (Figure 1E and F). In those achieving a response, we did observe a significant difference in outcome between patients who did or did not receive autologous transplantation (*Online Supplementary Figure S1*). Complete results of univariable Cox models for PFS and OS according to the patients and disease characteristics are reported in the *Online Supplementary Table S4*.

Evaluation of TP53 mutation

The *TP53* mutation could be retrospectively evaluated in 69 of 122 (57%) patients due to the absence of sufficient residual archival material or to poor quality of the genomic

DNA extracted from paraffin-embedded tissues. The *TP53* mutation status was assessed in 44 DEL (64%), six DEL-MYC (9%), nine DEL-BCL2 (13%), and ten DEL-DH/TH (15%). Overall a pathogenic *TP53* mutation (as defined by the IARC TP53 database) was present in 16 patients (23%). We evaluated the outcome according to the presence or absence of TP53 mutation. The two groups were not statistically different for the main clinical characteristics (Table 3). The 2-year PFS was 58% (range, 37-91%) and 80% (range, 70-93%; *P*=0.033) and the 2-year OS was 62% (range, 40-96%) and 88% (range, 78-99%; *P*=0.036), for mutated and wild-type cases respectively (Figure 2A and B).

Multivariable analysis

Cox multivariate models concerning the PFS and OS of the patients were performed as summarized in Table 4. *TP53* mutation, IPI 3-5, and absence of CNS prophylaxis had a negative prognostic impact on OS whereas the female sex was associated with a significantly improved PFS.

Outcome of relapsed patients

Among 28 patients who relapsed (n=17 DEL, n=4 DEL-SH [only with *BCL2* translocation], n= 7 DEL-DH/TH), 19 (68%) died of lymphoma whereas nine patients are still alive (n=6 DEL, n=3 DEL/BCL2). Five of nine patients are in complete remission after receiving different salvage

Model	Progression-f	ree survival	Overall survival		
	Hazard ratio	P *	Hazard ratio	P *	
	(95% CI)		(95% CI)		
Rearrangements		0.880		0.408	
DEL-BCL2 vs. DEL	1.49 (0.48; 4.64)		0.16 (0.02; 1.48)		
DEL-MYC vs. DEL**	-		-		
DEL-DH/TH vs. DEL	1.15 (0.46; 2.87)		1.00 (0.37; 2.75)		
TP53 mutation		0.072		0.002	
Mutated vs. wild-type	3.13 (1.04; 9.40)		8.90 (2.14; 36.99)		
Not performed vs. wild-type	0.98 (0.41; 2.35)		0.75 (0.22; 2.53)		
International prognostic index		0.063		0.018	
0-2 <i>vs.</i> 3-5	0.36 (0.12; 1.06)		0.18 (0.04; 7.4)		
Systemic CNS therapy		0.062		0.019	
None vs. intravenous MTX	3.74 (1.22; 11.41)		8.49 (1.82; 39.57)		
Intrathecal MTX vs. intravenous MTX	1.99 (0.83; 4.76)		4.25 (1.2; 15.02)		
Staging		0.847		-	
III-IV vs. I-II	1.19 (0.21; 6.69)		-		
Sex		0.045		-	
Female vs. male	0.36 (0.14; 0.98)		-		
Age***		-		0.752	
65 vs. 49	-		1.52 (0.51; 4.56)		

Table 4. Results of the multivariable Cox models for progression-free and overall survival.

CI: confidence interval; DEL: double expressor lymphomas; DH/TH: double-hit/triple-hit; CNS: central nervous system; MTX, methotrexate. *Wald test *P*-value; **No survival or progression events observed; ***Modeled as restricted cubic spline and reporting result of 65 *vs.* 49 years comparison.

therapies (n=2 auto-stem cell transplantation [auto-SCT], n=2 allo-SCT, n=1 lenalidomide in combination with radiotherapy).

Central nervous system prophylaxis and central nervous system relapse

At diagnosis, only two patients had cerebrospinal fluid involvement: one died early of systemic progressive disease and the other is still alive after therapy including high-dose methotrexate.

The CNS prophylaxis was chosen at the discretion of the treating physician. Sixty-six (54%) patients received systemic HD-MTX, 40 (33%) underwent intrathecal chemotherapy with methotrexate and cytarabine and 16 (13%) did not receive any CNS prophylaxis at all. In particular, patients not receiving CNS prophylaxis had less extranodal involvement at risk for CNS relapse. All characteristics are detailed in the *Online Supplementary Table S5*.

Systemic methotrexate-based CNS prophylaxis conferred a better 2-year OS (94%, [range, 88-100%]) as compared to intrathecal or no CNS prophylaxis (75%, [range, 63-91%] and 65%, [range, 42-100%] respectively; P=0.008) (Figure 2C and D). A significant advantage in OS was observed even after exclusion of DH/TH patients (2-year percentage OS 96% vs. 81%, vs. 63%, respectively, [P<0.001; Figure 2E and F]) that was the subgroup with the worst outcome.

Overall, we observed five CNS relapses, and the cumulative incidence of relapse at 1- and 2-year was 2% (range, 1-9%) and 5% (range, 2-13%) respectively in the entire cohort. All patients with CNS relapse were DEL only, all but one were non-GCB. Four of five patients died of CNS lymphomas. The CNS relapse occurred even in patients who received CNS prophylaxis (3 of 5 patients) and in four out five with low CNS-IPI.

Discussion

In the present retrospective study, we collected a large number of consecutive DEL patients (n=122) who were treated with the DA-EPOCH-R regimen to test the hypothesis that an intensive regimen could overcome poor clinical, and biological prognostic factors. To the best of our knowledge, this study includes the largest series of DEL patients exposed to an intensified regimen. All DEL patients were analyzed for *MYC* and/or *BCL2* rearrangements and, partly, for *TP53* mutational status. Indeed, the 2-year PFS and OS of 74% and 84%, respectively, for the entire cohort seem promising. Further, in patients characterized by IPI score of 3-5, the results are comparable to those achieved with other intensified treatments (R-CODOX/IVAC and R-ACVB).^{23,24}

Currently, the treatment of DEL without any gene rearrangement remains an unmet clinical need. The phase III trial performed by the Alliance group comparing the R-CHOP and DA-EPOCH-R regimens in newly diagnosed DLBCL included only a limited number of DEL, thus preventing the possibility of drawing definitive conclusions on the role of DA-EPOCH-R in this subtype.²⁵ Our series of 81 DEL patients without any rearrangement showed a 2-year PFS and OS of 75% and 86%, respectively, suggesting a potential role of the intensive regimen. Recently, Morschhauser *et al.* evaluated the combination of veneto-clax with standard R-CHOP or obinutuzumab-CHOP in DEL patients or those with high expression of *BCL2*, showing a similar 2-year PFS of 72% and 77%, respectively.

Patients with a DEL-DH/TH status showed mainly an intermediate and high-risk IPI (75%) and had a trend for inferior OS at 2 years (66%) as compared to DEL only (86%), whereas the observed 2-year PFS of 63% was not

statistically different among these three subgroups. In addition, we have to consider that the prognosis of highgrade B-cell lymphomas (HGBCL) with overexpression of MYC or BCL2 proteins seems poor as compared to DH/TH, not DEL.²⁷ This finding suggests that more than 50% of DEL-DH/TH could be cured with an intensive regimen but that in case of relapse they have a trend for poor overall survival. Likely, the recent Food and Drug Administration and European Medicines Agency approval of new therapies such as CAR-T cells will have an impact on the OS of relapsed DEL-DH/TH HGBCL.^{28,29}

A total of 27 patients with limited-stage disease (stage I,



Figure 2. Kaplan-Meier estimates of progression-free survival and overall survival according to *TP53* mutation and central nervous prophylaxis. Progression-free survival (A, C and E) and overall survival (B, D and F) according to *TP53* mutational status (A and B) and central nervous system prophylaxis (none, intrathecal, intravenous methotrexate) including (C and-D) and excluding (E and F) high-grade B-cell lymphomas (double-hit/triple-hit [DH/TH]). n=4 with extensive extranodal localization; stage II, n=23 (DEL, n=18; SH, n=6; DH/TH, n=3) were treated with DA-EPOCH-R and experienced an impressive 2-year PFS and OS of 92% and 95%, respectively. Interestingly, the majority of limited disease patients did not have TP53 mutation (mutated, n=2; wild-type, n=18; not tested, n=7). Only a handful of studies, mainly of retrospective nature, have been focused on the prognosis of single hit or DH/TH lymphomas with limited-stage disease. Torka and colleagues analyzed the outcome of 81 patients carrying MYC rearrangement, including 40 DH, who received the standard R-CHOP regimen or intensive chemotherapy.³⁰ The authors did not find any statistical difference in PFS and OS across treatment strategies but in the subgroup of DH, the intensive therapy was associated with a higher CR rate.

Over the past years, the combination of CNS-IPI and biological factors has been considered the best way to estimate the CNS relapse risk. In a retrospective study of newly diagnosed DLBCL patients treated with R-CHOP, without any CNS systemic prophylaxis, Savage and colleagues reported that the DEL/non-GCB subgroup had a significantly higher risk of CNS relapse as compared to the non-DEL/non-GCB subgroup (15% vs. 3%).³¹ In contrast, in the prospective Goya trial, COO and not MYC/BCL2 double expression impacted the risk of CNS relapse.32 More recently, the Nordic group has suggested the efficacy of the early administration of HD-MTX in newly diagnosed DLBCL at high risk of CNS relapse.³³ Our study is the first in which the risk of CNS relapse has been evaluated following the administration of a DA-EPOCH-R regimen and HD-MTX in 66 of 122 (54%) patients. Our population included 24% of patients with high-risk CNS-IPI. Despite the high-risk population analyzed, systemic CNS prophylaxis was associated with a very low cumulative incidence of CNS relapse (5%) and a significant advantage in PFS and OS. The advantage on the outcome can be influenced by other untested factors and should be confirmed in a larger trial.

Xu-Monette *et al.*⁶ reported a 21% incidence of *TP53* mutations in a large population of DLBCL patients treated with R-CHOP and demonstrated that *TP53* disruption was associated to poor PFS and OS in both GCB and non-GCB subtypes. Following a better characterization of DLBCL beyond the COO, the frequency of this mutation was tested in DEL and did not result to be different from non DEL (25% vs. 22%, respectively). The role of genomic alterations, including *TP53* mutations, has recently been investigated in several studies by applying novel molecular tech-

References

- Coiffier B, Lepage E, Briere J, et al. CHOP chemotherapy plus rituximab compared with CHOP alone in elderly patients with diffuse large-B-cell lymphoma. N Engl J Med. 2002;346(4):235-242.
- Sehn LH, Donaldson J, Chhanabhai M, et al. Introduction of combined CHOP plus rituximab therapy dramatically improved outcome of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma in British Columbia. J Clin Oncol. 2005; 23(22):5027-5033.
- Project IN-HsLPF. A predictive model for aggressive non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. N Engl J Med. 1993;329(14):987-994.
- 4. Alizadeh AA, Eisen MB, Davis RE, et al.

niques.9 Interestingly, Meriranta et al. found a frequent association between TP53 alterations and MYC overexpression or translocations and, in those with TP53 mutation, a worse outcome in DEL as compared to non-DEL was reported.³⁴ Recently, Song et al. reported a poor prognosis in DLBCL carrying double-hit signature and TP53 inactivation.³⁵ In our study, the prevalence of the TP53 mutation was in keeping with previous studies, and the PFS/OS following DA-EPOCH-R treatment in *TP53*-mutated patients remained significantly lower than that observed in non-mutated patients suggesting the failure of intensive therapy to overcome the mutation adverse effect. The observed 2-year OS of 62% seems better when compared to the OS of 48% reported by Xu Monette⁶ with R-CHOP and of 27% described by Chiappella et al.³⁶ following intensified therapy. These are indirect comparisons requiring a clinical study to be validated.

The intensified treatment with DA-EPOCH-R was well tolerated considering that 56% of patients were older than 60 years. We observed a limited incidence of severe toxicities, with one death for pneumonia and two patients deescalated to R-CHOP for repeated infections. Other observed adverse events were manageable and did not compromise the completion of the therapeutic program.

Our data are promising, but we have to consider some limitations including: i) the retrospective nature; ii) the absence of information about MYC translocation partners (IG *vs.* non-IG); iii) the determination of cell of origin performed according to the Hans algorithm and not by the nanostring technology; iv) the absence of a control series of non-DEL patients with a single rearrangement or with DH/TH genotype.

In conclusion, we show a good outcome for DA-EPOCH-R in combination with HD-MTX in DEL and DEL-SH lymphomas without *TP53* mutations, but the lower survival of patients DEL-DH/TH subtype or DEL with *TP53* mutations requires further clinical studies aimed at testing novel agents combined with chemotherapy.

Disclosures

No conflicts of interests to disclose.

Contributions

AD, AG designed research, analyzed data, and wrote the manuscript; FM, AT, AR, MB, AC, MP, LD, FR, FC and LF collected data; FB and RM performed statistical analyses; CC, CM and DR performed TP53 mutations; AC, DR, VM and FF performed histological diagnosis and FISH analysis; PC and CC-S supervised the study.

Distinct types of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma identified by gene expression profiling. Nature. 2000;403(6769):503-511.

- 5. Hans CP, Weisenburger DD, Greiner TC, et al. Confirmation of the molecular classification of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma by immunohistochemistry using a tissue microarray. Blood. 2004;103(1):275-282.
- Xu-Monette ZY, Medeiros LJ, Li Y, et al. Dysfunction of the TP53 tumor suppressor gene in lymphoid malignancies. Blood. 2012;119(16):3668-3683.
- 7.Xu-Monette ZY, Wu L, Visco C, et al. Mutational profile and prognostic significance of TP53 in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma patients treated with R-CHOP: report from an International DLBCL

Rituximab-CHOP Consortium Program Study. Blood. 2012;120(19):3986-3996.

- Young KH, Leroy K, Møller MB, et al. Structural profiles of TP53 gene mutations predict clinical outcome in diffuse large Bcell lymphoma: an international collaborative study. Blood. 2008;112(8):3088-3098.
- 9. Chapuy B, Stewart C, Dunford AJ, et al. Molecular subtypes of diffuse large B cell lymphoma are associated with distinct pathogenic mechanisms and outcomes. Nat Med. 2018;24(5):679-690.
- 10. Johnson NA, Savage KJ, Ludkovski O, et al. Lymphomas with concurrent BCL2 and MYC translocations: the critical factors associated with survival. Blood. 2009;114(11):2273-2279.

A. Dodero et al.

- 11. Le Gouill S, Talmant P, Touzeau C, et al. The clinical presentation and prognosis of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma with t(14;18) and 8q24/c-MYC rearrangement. Haematologica. 2007;92(10):1335-1342.
- Niitsu N, Okamoto M, Miura I, Hirano M. Clinical features and prognosis of de novo diffuse large B-cell lymphoma with t(14;18) and 8q24/c-MYC translocations. Leukemia. 2009;23(4):777-783.
- Barrans SL, Evans PA, O'Connor SJ, et al. The t(14;18) is associated with germinal center-derived diffuse large B-cell lymphoma and is a strong predictor of outcome. Clin Cancer Res. 2003;9(6):2133-2139.
- 14. Visco C, Tzankov A, Xu-Monette ZY, et al. Patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma of germinal center origin with BCL2 translocations have poor outcome, irrespective of MYC status: a report from an International DLBCL rituximab-CHOP Consortium Program Study. Haematologica. 2013;98(2):255-263.ì
- Ennishi D, Mottok A, Ben-Neriah S, et al. Genetic profiling of Myc and BCL2 in diffuse large B-cell lymphomas determines cell of origin specific clinical impact. Blood. 2017;129(20):2760-2770.
- 16. Hu S, Xu-Monette ZY, Tzankov A, et al. MYC/BCL2 protein coexpression contributes to the inferior survival of activated B-cell subtype of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma and demonstrates high-risk gene expression signatures: a report from The International DLBCL Rituximab-CHOP Consortium Program. Blood. 2013; 121(20):4021-4031.
- Perry AM, Alvarado-Bernal Y, Laurini JA, et al. MYC and BCL2 protein expression predicts survival in patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma treated with rituximab. Br J Haematol. 2014;165(3):382-391.
- Savage KJ, Slack GW, Mottok A, et al. Impact of dual expression of MYC and BCL2 by immunohistochemistry on the risk of CNS relapse in DLBCL. Blood. 2016;127(18):2182-2188.
- 19. Dodero A, Guidetti A, Tucci A, et al. Doseadjusted EPOCH plus rituximab improves

the clinical outcome of young patients affected by double expressor diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. Leukemia. 2019; 33(4):1047-1051.

- 20. Hainaut P, Pfeifer GP. Somatic TP53 Mutations in the Era of Genome Sequencing. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med. 2016;6(11):a026179.
- Pospisilova S, Gonzalez D, Malcikova J, et al. ERIC recommendations on TP53 mutation analysis in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. Leukemia. 2012;26(7):1458-1461.
- 22. Wilson WH, Grossbard ML, Pittaluga S, et al. Dose-adjusted EPOCH chemotherapy for untreated large B-cell lymphomas: a pharmacodynamic approach with high efficacy. Blood. 2002;99(8):2685-2693.
- McMillan AK, Phillips EH, Kirkwood AA, et al. Favourable outcomes for high-risk diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (IPI 3-5) treated with front-line R-CODOX-M/R-IVAC chemotherapy: results of a phase 2 UK NCRI trial. Ann Oncol. 2020;31(9):1251-1259.
- 24. Molina TJ, Canioni D, Copie-Bergman C, et al. Young patients with non-germinal center B-cell-like diffuse large B-cell lymphoma benefit from intensified chemotherapy with ACVBP plus rituximab compared with CHOP plus rituximab: analysis of data from the Groupe d'Etudes des Lymphomes de l'Adulte/lymphoma study association phase III trial LNH 03-2B. J Clin Oncol. 2014;32(35):3996-4003.
- 25. Bartlett NL, Wilson WH, Jung SH, et al. Dose-adjusted EPOCH-R compared with R-CHOP as frontline therapy for diffuse large B-cell lymphoma: clinical outcomes of the Phase III Intergroup Trial Alliance/CALGB 50303. J Clin Oncol. 2019;37(21):1790-1799.
- 26. Morschhauser F, Feugier P, Flinn IW, et al. A phase 2 study of venetoclax plus R-CHOP as first-line treatment for patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. Blood. 2021;137(5):600-609.
- Sesques P, Johnson NA. Approach to the diagnosis and treatment of high-grade Bcell lymphomas with MYC and BCL2 and/or BCL6 rearrangements. Blood. 2017;

129(3):280-288.

- Schuster SJ, Svoboda J, Chong EA, et al. Chimeric antigen receptor T cells in refractory B-cell lymphomas. N Engl J Med. 2017;377(26):2545-2554.
- 29. Locke FL, Ghobadi A, Jacobson CA, et al. Long-term safety and activity of axicabtagene ciloleucel in refractory large B-cell lymphoma (ZUMA-1): a single-arm, multicentre, phase 1-2 trial. Lancet Oncol. 2019;20(1):31-42.
- 30. Torka P, Kothari SK, Sundaram S, et al. Outcomes of patients with limited-stage aggressive large B-cell lymphoma with high-risk cytogenetics. Blood Adv. 2020;4(2):253-262.
- 31. Savage KJ, Slack GW, Mottok A, et al. Impact of dual expressionof MYC and BCL2 by immunohistochemistryon the risk of CNS relapse in DLBCL. Blood. 2016;127(18):2182-2188.
- Klanova M, Sehn LH, Bence-Bruckler I, et al. Integration of cell of origin into the clinical CNS International Prognostic Index improves CNS relapse prediction in DLBCL. Blood. 2019;133(9):919-926.
- 33. Leppä S, Jørgensen J, Tierens A, et al. Patients with high-risk DLBCL benefit from dose-dense immunochemotherapy combined with early systemic CNS prophylaxis. Blood Adv. 2020;4(9):1906-1915.
- 34. Meriranta L, Pasanen A, Alkodsi A, Haukka J, Karjalainen-Lindsberg ML, Leppä S. Molecular background delineates outcome of double protein expressor diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. Blood Adv. 2020; 4(15):3742-3753.
- 35. Song JY, Perry AM, Herrera AF, et al. Double-hit signature with TP53 abnormalities predicts poor survival in patients with germinal center type diffuse large B-cell lymphoma treated with R-CHOP. Clin Cancer Res. 2021;27(6):1671-1680.
- 36. Chiappella A, Diop F, Agostinelli C, et al. TP53 mutation had a negative prognostic impact in untreated young patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma at high-risk: a sub-analysis of FIL-DLCL04 study. Hemasphere. 2018;2(S1):711-712.