

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

SSM - Population Health

SSMpopulation HEALTH

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ssmph

Widening or convergence, the trajectories of health inequalities induced by childhood SES across the life course: Evidence from China

Yucheng Chen^a, Yuxiao Zhao^{b,*}

^a School of Political Science and Law in University of Jinan, 250000, Jinan, China

^b School of Medical Management in Shandong First Medical University & Shandong Academy of Medical Sciences, 250000, Jinan, China

ARTICLE INFO	A B S T R A C T		
Keywords: Health inequalities Cumulative disadvantage theory Age-neutral theory Childhood SES Life course China	This study aims to explore the trajectories of health inequalities induced by childhood SES across the life course in China. There are two competing theories on this subject. Cumulative disadvantage theory contends that health gaps induced by childhood SES tend to widen across the life course as adulthood SES compound or multiply the negative effects of early SES disadvantage. Age-neutral theory draws the opposite inference that the physio- logical decline due to aging offsets the health gaps at older ages. Based on the data of the China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study (CHARLS) from 2011 to 2018, a two-level mixed-effects model was used to analyze the trajectories of health inequalities induced by childhood SES among Chinese individuals aged 45 and above and further distinguished the age and cohort effects in the overall trajectories. Unlike previous studies that unilaterally supported one of these theories, our findings support both of them. In this study, health gaps induced by childhood SES gradually widened before entering old age, which supports the cumulative disadvantage theory. In contrast, the health gaps in older adults gradually converged with age, thus supporting the age-neutral theory. The age effect shows that in the same birth cohort, health gaps induced by childhood SES first increased and then decreased during the survey time. The cohort effect shows that, at the same age, childhood SES has a greater impact on the health of those with later birth cohorts than on those with earlier birth cohorts. The findings of this study support the importance of policy and practices to reduce health inequalities among ado- lescents for long-term healthy aging in China.		

1. Introduction

Health status is an important indicator of human development and social civilization. The health of the Chinese has improved significantly since the 1950s, with average life expectancy rising from an initial 35 to 77.3 in 2019. With China's rapid economic growth and social transformation, health disparities among individuals are also widespread. Generally, health disparities induced by natural biological differences, such as age, genetics and gender are considered reasonable, but health disparities induced by socioeconomic status (such as education, occupation and income) are considered health inequalities that need to be reduced. It has been proven that SES, such as education, occupation and income in adulthood, are associated with multiple indicators of health (Antonovsky, 1967; Fox et al., 1985; Hollingsworth, 1965; Huisman et al., 2003; Marmot & Shipley, 1996; Ross & Wu, 1996). Those with higher education levels, income and prestige tend to enjoy longer and healthier lives (Berkman & Gurland, 1998; Huang & Zhou, 2013). In

contrast, a low education level and income undermine people's social activity ability formation and exacerbate health inequalities (Wang & Ma, 2020; Fan et al., 2021; He & Shen, 2021). As an important feature of unbalanced and inadequate development in the health field, health inequalities have become an urgent problem that the government needs to address in China. Against this backdrop, this study aims to explore the origins and trajectories of health inequalities across the life course, which is of great significance in promoting healthy aging in China.

Life course theory indicates that health status is a cumulative result of the life course. Evidence from developed countries suggests that elderly health is not only associated with current SES but is also influenced by early-life activities (Angelini et al., 2018; Emily et al., 2021; Gensowski et al., 2019; Haas S., 2008; O'Rand, 1996; Tetyana & Benedicta, 2014; Yukako et al., 2016). In the framework of life course, health inequalities in adulthood can be traced back to differences in early SES (O'Rand, 1996). At the same time, health status is also the result of the interaction between the macrosocial environment and the individual's

* Corresponding author. *E-mail addresses:* cyc_whu18@163.com (Y. Chen), yuxiaozhao93@163.com (Y. Zhao).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssmph.2022.101324

Received 28 October 2022; Received in revised form 30 November 2022; Accepted 18 December 2022 Available online 20 December 2022

^{2352-8273/© 2022} The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

life course (O'Rand, 1996; Elder, 1974; Zheng & Zeng, 2016). On the one hand, historical change and social transformation have different effects on people at different life stages. On the other hand, the macrosocial environment usually varies in different birth cohorts at the same age (Ryder, 1965; Elder, 1974; Jiao & Bao, 2020). Therefore, health inequalities may vary across age and birth cohorts.

To reduce health inequalities, it is necessary to explore whether the effect of childhood SES on adulthood health is short-term or long-term and whether the trajectories of health inequalities widen or converge across the life course. Different trajectories in health inequalities across the life course have different policy implications. For instance, if health inequalities are gradually widening across the life course, the government needs to provide more social protection for the health of vulnerable older people. Moreover, China has experienced tremendous socioeconomic changes in the past 70 years, and the impact of this change on the trajectories of health inequalities also needs to be explored. Therefore, analyzing the trajectories of health inequalities not only helps policy-makers formulate precise health interventions, but it also reflects the historical change and social transformation in China, to a certain extent.

Theoretically, the trajectories of health inequalities across the life course are far from obvious. There are two competing theories on this subject. On the one hand, the cumulative disadvantage theory indicates that early and sustained hardship accumulate and magnify across the life course and ultimately result in health decline (Barker et al., 2003; O'Rand, Angela, Jenifer, & Hamil-Luker, 2005; Cohen et al., 2010; Shonkoff et al., 2012). Specifically, SES disadvantages in early life tend to have a negative effect on their early health and further affect improvements in health resources, education and future employment (Schafer et al., 2013). As a result, health inequalities also widened in this process. On the other hand, age-neutral theory indicates that health inequalities induced by SES in early life will be reduced across the life course, which is more obvious in the elderly group (House et al., 1994; Christenson & Johnson, 1995). According to health capital and demand theory, health stock depreciates with age. The depreciation rate of health stock also accelerates with age (Grossman, 1972). Therefore, physiological decline with age reduces health disparities induced by early SES. In addition, human physiology has strong adaptability to the external environment, and this adaptability is gradually enhanced across the life course and thereby weakens the effect of early SES disadvantages (Günther et al., 2021).

A large body of empirical studies also show that the trajectories of health inequalities induced by childhood SES across the life course are mixed. On the one hand, some studies found that inequalities in multiple health indicators continue to widen across the life course (Dupre, 2007; Kim & Durden, 2007; Leopold, 2016; Sacker et al., 2005). On the other hand, there is also evidence that health inequalities converge across the life course (House et al., 2005; Zhou & Song, 2017). In addition, the cohort effect of health inequalities is also inconsistent, which is reflected in whether the effect of childhood SES on adulthood health increases or decreases in consecutive birth cohorts (Lynch., 2003; Mirowsky & Ross, 2008; Chen et al., 2010). In recent years, studies on trajectories of health inequalities have gradually emerged in China. For example, Chen et al. (2010) examined the trajectories of health inequalities related to adulthood income and education and identified the cohort effect. Jiao and Bao (2020) analyzed the trajectories of health inequalities related to childhood adversity (starvation experience) from a longer lifespan.

The existing studies have provided important references for this study. However, these studies also have the following limitations. First, these studies present conflicting conclusions on the trajectories of health inequalities across the life course. Second, few of them focus on the origins of health inequalities in adulthood, particularly the trajectories of health inequalities induced by childhood SES. Third, SES indicators commonly used in these studies may not be applicable to the reality in China. For instance, during the planned economy period in China, income cannot reflect an individual's SES because supplies during this period were distributed according to the plan. Fourth, these studies rarely simultaneously explored the age and cohort effects behind the trajectories of health inequalities across the life course.

To fill the gap in previous studies, this study aims to analyze the trajectories of health inequalities induced by childhood SES across the life course. In terms of SES indicators, multidimensional indicators that are in line with the reality in China were used to comprehensively measure childhood SES. Considering the tremendous socioeconomic changes in China and the interaction between the macrosocial environment and individual life course (Elder et al., 2003, p. p3; Shi et al., 2020), age (based on the same birth cohort sample) and cohort effects (based on the same age sample) were also identified within the overall trajectories. In addition, to eliminate the impact of the improvement in adulthood SES on the trajectories of health inequalities, we also controlled for adulthood SES variables in all our models.

2. Methods

2.1. Data

The data used in this study are derived from the China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study (CHARLS). These data randomly select approximately 17500 individuals aged 45 and above from 450 villages in 150 counties or districts. The questionnaire includes rich information on respondents' demographic characteristics, health care, childhood experiences, parents' SES, etc. The data was longitudinal tracking data from 2011 to 2018. In addition, CHARLS conducted a life course survey in 2014. Therefore, unbalanced panel data was constructed containing life course information. After excluding observations with missing information on the selected variables, the remaining sample size is 30374 person-years. Among them, 2721 respondents were surveyed 4 times, 3240 respondents were surveyed 3 times, 4156 respondents were surveyed twice and 1458 respondents were surveyed only once.

Dependent variables: This study used self-rated health (SRH) to measure the health status of respondents. SRH has been proven to be a reliable comprehensive indicator in measuring cognitive, morbidity and mortality (Schnittker, 2005). In addition, SRH is easier to obtain and meets the applicability requirements of the model in this study. In the CHARLS questionnaire, the score of SRH ranges from 1 to 5, and the answers are recoded dichotomously into good and bad.

Independent variables: The core independent variable of focus is respondents' childhood SES. Referring to existing studies, respondents' childhood was defined as 0-17 years (Shi et al., 2020). To date, scholars have not provided a clear definition of SES. According to the description and understanding of SES in the previous literature, SES is usually measured by an individual's education level, occupation, and household income. It is worth noting that Chinese society has its own peculiarities. Specifically, most of the respondents in this study experienced childhood during the planned economy period in China. During this period, the government implemented a strict household registration system, which makes it difficult for people to change their household registration status. At the same time, all kinds of material resources were supplied according to the plan and inclined to urban areas. Against this backdrop, the type of household registration and material living conditions of the family have become important manifestations of SES. In this study, parents' education levels, occupation types, household registration, household water resources, household electricity and household cooking fuel during respondents' childhood were selected to measure childhood SES. Respondents whose parents were educated and engaged in nonagricultural occupations, had urban household registration and enjoyed better material living conditions during their childhood can be considered as a high SES group in childhood.

To facilitate the estimation of the results the principal component analysis (PCA) method was used to fit the above childhood SES indicators into the childhood SES index. The sample was then divided into high and low SES groups based on the childhood SES index. In addition, the sample was divided into 6 birth cohorts according to the corresponding historical period of Chinese society and a continuous variable was generated.

Control variables: Referring to previous studies on the influencing factors of health (Dupre, 2007; Chen et al., 2010; Shi et al., 2020; Jiao & Bao, 2020), a series of control variables were added from four aspects. The first is respondents' demographic characteristics, including gender, marriage, and the number of siblings (a continuous variable). The second is parents' health status during respondents' childhood, including whether the biological mother died prematurely, whether the mother was bedridden for a long time, had a severe physical or mental disability. The third is respondents' SES in adulthood, including the years of education (a continuous variable), current household income level (using the logarithm of the average monthly household consumption as a proxy) and current household registration status. The fourth contains medical insurance, the year respondents entered the survey and whether the respondents died during the survey.

2.2. Analytic approach

This study employed two-level mixed-effects models to analyze the trajectories of health inequalities induced by childhood SES and to identify age and cohort effects within it. Unbalanced panel data are essentially hierarchical structured data. In unbalanced panel data, the same individual may be repeatedly observed in different periods, and the frequency of being observed may vary randomly. As a result, repeated observations of the same individual are nested within individual study subjects, and the data present multilevel structural characteristics. However, multilevel structural data suffer from intragroup correlation in which the same individual's characteristics at different survey times are correlated. Traditional analysis methods, such as ordinary least squares (OLS), cannot be applied to multilevel structural data analysis in that these methods rely on the assumptions of mutual independence and variance homogeneity of samples. Different from traditional analysis methods, a multilevel mixed-effects model includes both fixed and random effects and can properly address intra-individual homogeneity and inter-individual heterogeneity problems. Another strength of the multilevel mixed-effects model is that respondents who have only been surveyed once also contribute to the total sample, and a large sample size is also beneficial for the accuracy of the estimation results (Hox et al., 2010).

Five two-level mixed-effects models were executed to examine the trajectories of health inequalities induced by childhood SES. Model 1 shows the relationships between age, birth cohorts and the health of middle-aged and elderly individuals. In Model 2, childhood SES was added to estimate the effect of childhood SES on the health of middle-aged and elderly individuals. The next model added the interaction item of childhood SES and age to explore whether the health effects of childhood SES vary by age across the life course. To explore whether the health effects of childhood SES vary by birth cohort, the interaction item of childhood SES and birth cohort were added in the fourth model. On the basis of the above, a test of whether the health effects of childhood SES vary by both age and birth cohort across the life course were further conducted in our final model.

3. Results

3.1. Descriptive statistics

Table 1 presents descriptive statistics for all variables of the total samples in this study. A total of 45.9% of respondents rated their health as good, and 31.8% of them had high childhood SES. The average age of the total sample was 60 years old. The sample distribution of each birth cohort is also nearly similar. The sample comprised slightly more females (53.9%) than males. A total of 88.6% of the respondents had a spouse. The average number of siblings was approximately 4. The

Table 1

Definition and statistical description of variables.

Dependent variable SRHGood: 1 = excellent or good or fair, Bad:0 = bad or very bad0.4590.498Independent variable Wother's1 = primary school and above 00.1320.338education level= illiterate0.0650.247Father's1 = non-agricultural work; 0 =0.0650.247occupation type occupation typeagricultural work; 0 =0.0650.247registration1 = urban; 0 = rural0.0710.256registration1 = urban; 0 = rural0.0710.256Household1 = urban; 0 = tural0.0710.256Household1 = urban; 0 = tural0.0710.256Household1 = urban; 0 = tural0.1310.409gestration1 = outhout destricity0.3520.477Household1 = with electricity0.2130.409fuelgas, 0 = others0.6140.409Ghird cooking1 = childhood SE5 index above average; Low:0 = others0.318AgeSurvey year minus birth year59.9679.160Birth cohort0 = 1919-1936; 1 = 1937-1948; 2 = 1949-1954; 3 = 1957-1961; 4 = 1962-1966; 5 = 1967-19730.499Control variablesGender1 = marled or co-habiting, 0 =0.8860.318Medical insurance1 = with medical insurance; 0 =0.9470.223others1 = wes; 0 = no0.2670.442gender1 = yes; 0 = no0.0280.164severe physical disabitity1 = yes; 0 = no0.0260.442 </th <th>Variables</th> <th>Variable definition</th> <th>Mean/ Percent</th> <th>Standard deviation</th>	Variables	Variable definition	Mean/ Percent	Standard deviation
fair, Bad: 0 = bad or very badIndependent variable	Dependent variable SRH	Good:1 = excellent or good or	0.459	0.498
Mother's education level1 = primary school and above 00.1320.338education level= illiterate0.4410.497level= illiterate0.0650.247occupation type agricultural work00.1750.380occupation type agricultural work00.1750.380occupation type agricultural work00.0710.256registration1 = urban; 0 = rural0.0710.256Household1 = clean tap water; 0 = others0.0690.254drinking water sources-0.3520.477electricity0 = with electricity; electricity0.3520.477electricity0 = without electricity 	Independent variable	fair; $Bad:0 = bad$ or very bad		
Father's education1 = primary school and above; 00.4410.497level= illiterate0.0650.247occupation typeagricultural work; 0 =0.1750.380occupation typeagricultural work; 0 =0.1750.380registration1 = urban; 0 = rural0.0710.256Household1 = urban; 0 = rural0.0710.256drinking water1 = clean tap water; 0 = others0.0690.254sources1 = with electricity0.3520.477electricity1 = with electricity0.3180.409fuelgas; 0 = others0.3180.466above average; Low:0 = others3.800.466above average; Low:0 = others3.99679.160Birth cohort0 = 1919-1936; 1 = 1937-1948;2.6711.3742 = 1949-1954; 3 = 1957-19732Control variablesGender1 = married or co-habiting, 0 =0.8860.318othersBiological mother1 = yes; 0 = no0.2990.458died1 = yes; 0 = no0.2860.164severe mentaldiseasedisabilityMother has a1 = yes; 0 = no0.2670.442disabilityMother has a1 = yes; 0 = no0.2660.281for a long timeMo	Mother's education level	1 = primary school and above 0 = illiterate	0.132	0.338
Mother's occupation type Pather's1 = non-agricultural work agricultural work0.0650.247Pather's occupation type Household1 = non-agricultural work agricultural work0.0710.256Household 	Father's education level	1 = primary school and above; 0 = illiterate	0.441	0.497
Father's1 = non-agricultural work; 0 =0.1750.380occupation typeagricultural work0.0710.256registration1 = urban; 0 = rural0.0710.256Household1 = clean tap water; 0 = others0.0690.254Household1 = with electricity;0.3520.477electricity0 = without electricity0.2130.409fuelgas; 0 = others0.6690.213Childhood SESHigh:1 = childhood SES index0.3180.466above average; Low:0 = others0.6711.374AgeSurvey year minus birth year59.9679.160Birth cohort0 = 1919-1936; 1 = 1937-1948;2.6711.3742 = 1949-1934; 3 = 1955-1961;4 = 1962-1966; 5 = 1967-19730.449Gender1 = maried or co-habiting, 0 =0.8860.318others0 = fortal number of siblings4.3732.044siblings1 = yes; 0 = no0.2990.458diedprematurelyMother has a1 = yes; 0 = no0.0280.164were physicaldisability01.421.42Mother has a1 = yes; 0 = no0.02670.442diedprematurelyFather has a severe1 = yes; 0 = no0.0280.164disability0.1160.126for a long time1 = yes; 0 = no0.0280.164for a long time1 = yes; 0 = no0.0260.281for a long time1 = yes; 0 = no<	Mother's occupation type	1 = non-agricultural work; 0 = agricultural work	0.065	0.247
Household1 = urban; 0 = rural0.0710.256registration1 = clean tap water; 0 = others0.0690.254Household1 = with electricity;0.3520.477electricity0 = without electricity0.2130.409fuelgas; 0 = others0.2130.409Ghidhood SESHigh:1 = childhood SES index0.3180.466above average; Low:0 = others59.9679.160Birth cohort0 = 1919-1936; 1 = 1937-1948;2.6711.3742 = 1949-1954; 3 = 1955-1961;4 = 1962-1966; 5 = 1967-1973Control variablesGender1 = male; 0 = female0.4710.499Marriage1 = married or co-habiting, 0 =0.8860.318others0.0581 = yes; 0 = n00.2290.458Biological mother1 = yes; 0 = n00.2990.458diedprematurely00.0280.164Mother has a1 = yes; 0 = n00.0280.164severe physical1 = yes; 0 = n00.0280.164disability1 = yes; 0 = n00.0280.164Mother has a1 = yes; 0 = n00.0280.164severe mental1 = yes; 0 = n00.0280.164gical father1 = yes; 0 = n00.0280.164gical father1 = yes; 0 = n00.0280.164gical father1 = yes; 0 = n00.0280.165physical1 = yes; 0 = n00.0260.74disability1 = yes; 0 = n00.006 <t< td=""><td>Father's occupation type</td><td>1 = non-agricultural work; 0 = agricultural work</td><td>0.175</td><td>0.380</td></t<>	Father's occupation type	1 = non-agricultural work; 0 = agricultural work	0.175	0.380
Household $1 = clean tap water; 0 = others 0.069 0.254 drinking water sources Household 2 = with out electricity 0.352 0.477electricity 0 = without electricity 0.352 0.477 electricity 0 = without electricity 0.213 0.409 gas; 0 = others 0.318 0.466 above average; Low:0 = others 5.967 9.160 Birth cohort 0 = 1919-1936; 1 = 1937-1948; 2.671 1.374 2 = 1949-1954; 3 = 1955-1961;4 = 1962-1966; 5 = 1967-1973Control variablesGender 1 = male; 0 = female 0.471 0.499Marriage 1 = married or co-habiting, 0 = 0.886 0.318others 0.318Medical insurance 1 = with medical insurance; 0 = 0.947 0.223others 0.299 0.458died 0prematurelyMother bedridden 1 = yes; 0 = n0 0.131 0.338for a long timeMother has a 1 = yes; 0 = n0 0.028 0.164severe physicaldisability 1 = yes; 0 = n0 0.028 0.164severe metaldisease 1 = yes; 0 = n0 0.028 0.164severe metaldisease 1 = yes; 0 = n0 0.028 0.164severe metaldisease 1 = yes; 0 = n0 0.028 0.164severe metaldisease 1 = yes; 0 = n0 0.028 0.164severe metaldisease 1 = yes; 0 = n0 0.028 0.164severe metaldisease 1 = yes; 0 = n0 0.028 0.164severe metaldisease 1 = yes; 0 = n0 0.028 0.164severe metaldisease 1 = yes; 0 = n0 0.028 0.164severe metaldisease 1 = yes; 0 = n0 0.028 0.164severe metaldisease 1 = yes; 0 = n0 0.028 0.164severe metaldisease 1 = yes; 0 = n0 0.028 0.164severe metaldisease 1 = yes; 0 = n0 0.028 0.165physical 0 = 0 = 0.01; 1 = 2013; 0.294 0.638the survey 2 = 2015; 3 = 2018Whether died 1 = yes; 0 = n0 0.006 0.074during thesurveyEducation level in Years of education 5.465 4.119adulthoodCurrent household The logarithm of average 5.924 1.770mothy household expenditureCurrent household The logarithm of average 5.924 1.770mothy household expenditureCurrent household The logarithm of average 5.924 1.770$	Household registration	1 = urban; $0 = $ rural	0.071	0.256
Household1 = with electricity; 0 = without electricity0.352 0.4770.477 0 = without electricityHousehold cooking fuelre coal, electricity or natural above average; Low:0 = others0.2130.409AgeHigh:1 = childhood SES index above average; Low:0 = others0.3180.466AgeSurvey year minus birth year59.9679.160Birth cohort0 = 1919-1936; 1 = 1937-1948; 2 = 1949-1956; 3 = 1955-1961; 4 = 1962-1966; 5 = 1967-19732.6711.374Control variablesGender1 = male; 0 = female0.4710.499Marriage1 = married or co-habiting, 0 = others0.8860.318Medical insurance1 = with medical insurance; 0 = others0.9470.223Biological mother died1 = yes; 0 = no0.1310.338for a long time for a long time1 = yes; 0 = no0.0280.164Mother bas a severe mental died prematurely1 = yes; 0 = no0.0280.164Mother has a severe mental disability1 = yes; 0 = no0.0280.164Her bedridden for a long time1 = yes; 0 = no0.0280.164Father bedridden for a long time1 = yes; 0 = no0.0280.164Father bedridden for a long time1 = yes; 0 = no0.0280.165Father has a severe physical disability1 = yes; 0 = no0.0280.165Father has a severe the survey2 = 2015; 3 = 20180.0060.074He survey Survey2 = 2015; 3 = 2018	Household drinking water sources	1 = clean tap water; $0 = $ others	0.069	0.254
Household cooking fuel1 = coal, electricity or natural gas; 0 = others0.2130.409fuelgas; 0 = others0.3180.466above average; Low:0 = others0.3180.466above average; Low:0 = others29.9679.160Birth cohort0 = 1919-1936; 1 = 1937-1948; 2 = 1949-1954; 3 = 1955-1961; 4 = 1962-1966; 5 = 1967-19731.374Control variablesGender1 = male; 0 = female0.4710.499Marriage1 = married or co-habiting, 0 = others0.8860.318The number of siblingsTotal number of siblings4.3732.044Medical insurance1 = with medical insurance; 0 = others0.9470.223Biological mother died prematurely1 = yes; 0 = no0.1310.338Mother bedridden disability1 = yes; 0 = no0.0280.164Nother bas a severe mysical disability1 = yes; 0 = no0.0280.164Mother has a prematurely1 = yes; 0 = no0.0280.164Biological father for a long time1 = yes; 0 = no0.0280.164Biological father for a long time1 = yes; 0 = no0.0280.164Father has a severe physical disability1 = yes; 0 = no0.0280.164Father has a severe physical disability1 = yes; 0 = no0.0280.165Physical disability1 = yes; 0 = no0.0280.165Physical disability1 = yes; 0 = no0.0280.165Physical disability <t< td=""><td>Household electricity</td><td>1 = with electricity; 0 = without electricity</td><td>0.352</td><td>0.477</td></t<>	Household electricity	1 = with electricity; 0 = without electricity	0.352	0.477
Childhood SES above average; Low: 0 = others0.3180.466 above average; Low: 0 = othersAge Birth cohortSurvey year minus birth year 59.967 9.160 Birth cohort0 = 1919-1936; 1 = 1937-1948; 2 = 1949-1954; 3 = 1955-1961; 4 = 1962-1966; 5 = 1967-1973 1.374 Control variables Gender1 = male; 0 = female 0.471 0.499 Marriage1 = married or co-habiting, 0 = others 0.886 0.318 othersThe number of siblingsTotal number of siblings 4.373 2.044 Medical insurance died1 = with medical insurance; 0 = others 0.947 0.223 othersBiological mother died1 = yes; 0 = no 0.299 0.458 Mother bedridden disability1 = yes; 0 = no 0.028 0.164 Mother has a severe mental disease1 = yes; 0 = no 0.028 0.164 Biological father died1 = yes; 0 = no 0.028 0.164 Father has a or a long time1 = yes; 0 = no 0.028 0.164 Great died1 = yes; 0 = no 0.0267 0.442 died prematurely1 = yes; 0 = no 0.026 0.165 Physical disease1 = yes; 0 = no 0.028 0.164 Father has a severe physical disease1 = yes; 0 = no 0.028 0.165 Physical disease1 = yes; 0 = no 0.028 0.165 Physical disease1 = yes; 0 = no 0.028 0.165 Physical disebility1 = yes; 0 = no 0	Household cooking fuel	1 = coal, electricity or natural gas; $0 = $ others	0.213	0.409
AgeSurvey year minus birth year 59.967 9.160 Birth cohort $0 = 1919-1936; 1 = 1937-1948;2 = 1949-1954; 3 = 1955-1961;4 = 1962-1966; 5 = 1967-19732.6711.374Control variablesGender1 = male; 0 = female0.4710.499Marriage1 = married or co-habiting, 0 = 0.8860.318others0.318The number ofsiblingsTotal number of siblings4.3732.044Medical insurance1 = with medical insurance; 0 = 0.9470.223othersBiological mother1 = yes; 0 = no0.1310.338for a long time1 = yes; 0 = no0.1310.338Mother has a1 = yes; 0 = no0.0280.164severe physicaldisability1 = yes; 0 = no0.0280.164Mother has a1 = yes; 0 = no0.0280.164severe mentaldisease1 = yes; 0 = no0.0280.164Biological father1 = yes; 0 = no0.0280.164rematurely0.0280.1640.165physicaldisability0.0280.1650.99Father has a severe1 = yes; 0 = no0.0280.165physicaldisability0.2911; 1 = 2013;0.2940.638The year entered0 = 2011; 1 = 2013;0.2940.638The year entered0 = 2011; 1 = 2013;0.2940.638The year entered0 = 2011; 1 = 2013;0.2940.638The year e$	Childhood SES	High:1 = childhood SES index above average; Low:0 = others	0.318	0.466
4 = 1962 - 1966; 5 = 1967 - 1973Control variables1 = male; 0 = female0.4710.499Marriage1 = married or co-habiting, 0 =0.8860.318others000.2330.044SiblingsMedical insurance1 = with medical insurance; 0 =0.9470.223Medical insurance1 = with medical insurance; 0 =0.9470.223Biological mother1 = yes; 0 = no0.1310.338for a long time1 = yes; 0 = no0.0280.164Mother has a1 = yes; 0 = no0.0280.164severe physicaldisease0.02670.442diedprematurely00.02670.442Mother has a1 = yes; 0 = no0.02670.442diedprematurely1 = yes; 0 = no0.02670.442diedprematurely1 = yes; 0 = no0.0280.165physicaldisability00.0280.165father has a severe1 = yes; 0 = no0.0280.165for a long time1 = yes; 0 = no0.0160.126Father has a severe1 = yes; 0 = no0.0160.126mental disease1 = yes; 0 = no0.0160.126The year entered0 = 2011; 1 = 2013;0.2940.638the survey2 = 2015; 3 = 2018Whether died1 = yes; 0 = no0.0060.074during thesurvey22015; 3 = 2018Whether died1 = yes; 0 = no0.0060.074duing the	Age Birth cohort	Survey year minus birth year 0 = 1919–1936; 1 = 1937–1948; 2 = 1949–1954; 3 = 1955–1961;	59.967 2.671	9.160 1.374
Gender $1 = male; 0 = female$ 0.471 0.499 Marriage $1 = married or co-habiting, 0 =$ 0.886 0.318 Medical insurance othersTotal number of siblings 4.373 2.044 Siblings $1 = with medical insurance; 0 =$ 0.947 0.223 Biological mother $1 = yes; 0 = no$ 0.299 0.458 died $prematurely$ Mother bedridden $1 = yes; 0 = no$ 0.131 0.338 for a long time $1 = yes; 0 = no$ 0.028 0.164 severe physical $disability$ $Mother has a$ $1 = yes; 0 = no$ 0.028 0.164 severe mental $disease$ $Biological father$ $1 = yes; 0 = no$ 0.028 0.164 grematurelyFather has a $1 = yes; 0 = no$ 0.028 0.164 grematurelyFather has a severe $1 = yes; 0 = no$ 0.028 0.164 grematurelyFather has a severe $1 = yes; 0 = no$ 0.028 0.165 physical $disability$ V V V Father has a severe $1 = yes; 0 = no$ 0.016 0.126 mental disease $1 = yes; 0 = no$ 0.006 0.074 M there died $1 = yes; 0 = no$ 0.006 0.074 $dirdidense1 = yes; 0 = no0.0060.074dirdidense1 = yes; 0 = no0.0060.074disabilityVVVFather has a severe1 = yes; 0 = no0.0060.074dutindod1 = $	Control variables	4 = 1962–1966; 5 = 1967–1973		
The number of the matrice of the ma	Gender Marriage	1 = male; $0 = $ female 1 = married or co-habiting $0 =$	0.471 0.886	0.499 0.318
The number of siblingsTotal number of siblings4.3732.044Medical insurance1 = with medical insurance; 0 =0.9470.223 othersBiological mother died1 = yes; 0 = no0.2990.458died prematurely1 = yes; 0 = no0.1310.338Mother bedridden for a long time1 = yes; 0 = no0.0280.164Mother has a severe physical disability1 = yes; 0 = no0.0280.164Mother has a severe mental disease1 = yes; 0 = no0.0280.164Biological father died1 = yes; 0 = no0.02670.442died prematurely1 = yes; 0 = no0.02670.442Father bedridden died1 = yes; 0 = no0.0280.165physical disability1 = yes; 0 = no0.0280.165physical disability1 = yes; 0 = no0.0280.165Physical disability1 = yes; 0 = no0.0160.126Father has a severe mental disease1 = yes; 0 = no0.0060.074Whether died during the survey2 = 2015; 3 = 20180.6380.638Whether died uring the survey1 = yes; 0 = no0.0060.074duithoodThe logarithm of average monthly household expenditure5.9241.770Current household income levelThe logarithm of average monthly household expenditure0.1930.394registration status1 = urban; 0 = rural0.1930.394	Marriage	others	0.000	0.510
Medical insurance1 = with medical insurance; 0 =0.9470.223 othersBiological mother1 = yes; 0 = no0.2990.458diedprematurely00.1310.338for a long time1 = yes; 0 = no0.1310.338Mother bedridden1 = yes; 0 = no0.0280.164severe physical disability1 = yes; 0 = no0.0280.164Mother has a severe mental disease1 = yes; 0 = no0.02670.442diedprematurely1yes; 0 = no0.2670.442for a long time1 = yes; 0 = no0.0860.2810.281for a long time1 = yes; 0 = no0.0280.1650.165Physical disability1 = yes; 0 = no0.0160.126Father has a severe physical disability1 = yes; 0 = no0.0160.126Father has a severe physical disability1 = yes; 0 = no0.0160.126The year entered urey0 = 2011; 1 = 2013; 2 = 2015; 3 = 20180.2940.638Whether died during the survey1 = yes; 0 = no0.0060.074during the survey22015; 3 = 20180.0060.074Current household income level monthly household expenditure5.9241.770Current household registration1 = urban; 0 = rural0.1930.394registration status1 = urban; 0 = rural0.1930.394	The number of siblings	Total number of siblings	4.373	2.044
Biological mother $1 = yes; 0 = no$ 0.299 0.458 diedprematurelyMother bedridden $1 = yes; 0 = no$ 0.131 0.338 for a long time 0.028 0.164 Mother has a $1 = yes; 0 = no$ 0.028 0.164 severe physicaldisability 0.028 0.164 Mother has a $1 = yes; 0 = no$ 0.028 0.164 severe mentaldisease 0.028 0.164 Biological father $1 = yes; 0 = no$ 0.267 0.442 diedprematurely 0.166 0.281 Father bedridden $1 = yes; 0 = no$ 0.028 0.165 physicaldisability 0.028 0.165 Father has a severe $1 = yes; 0 = no$ 0.016 0.126 mental disease $1 = yes; 0 = no$ 0.016 0.126 The year entered $0 = 2011; 1 = 2013;$ 0.294 0.638 the survey $2 = 2015; 3 = 2018$ 0.006 0.074 Whether died $1 = yes; 0 = no$ 0.006 0.074 during thesurvey 0.106 0.174 surveyEducation level inYears of education 5.465 4.119 Current householdThe logarithm of average 5.924 1.770 income levelmonthly household expenditure 0.193 0.394 registrationstatus 0.193 0.394	Medical insurance	1 = with medical insurance; $0 =$ others	0.947	0.223
prematurelyMother bedridden1 = yes; 0 = no0.1310.338for a long time0000Mother has a1 = yes; 0 = no0.0280.164severe physical disability0000Mother has a1 = yes; 0 = no0.0280.164severe mental disease0000Biological father1 = yes; 0 = no0.2670.442died prematurely0000Father bedridden1 = yes; 0 = no0.0280.165physical 	Biological mother died	1 = yes; $0 = $ no	0.299	0.458
Initial and the formation of a long timeMother has a $1 = yes; 0 = no$ 0.028 0.164 severe physicaldisabilityMother has a $1 = yes; 0 = no$ 0.028 0.164 severe mentaldisease 0.028 0.164 Biological father $1 = yes; 0 = no$ 0.267 0.442 diedprematurely 0.028 0.165 Father bedridden $1 = yes; 0 = no$ 0.086 0.281 for a long time $1 = yes; 0 = no$ 0.028 0.165 Physicaldisability 0.028 0.165 Father has a severe $1 = yes; 0 = no$ 0.016 0.126 mental disease $0 = 2011; 1 = 2013;$ 0.294 0.638 The year entered $0 = 2011; 1 = 2013;$ 0.294 0.638 Whether died $1 = yes; 0 = no$ 0.006 0.074 during thesurvey $2 = 2015; 3 = 2018$ 0.006 0.074 Whether died $1 = yes; 0 = no$ 0.006 0.074 during thesurvey $2 = 2015; 3 = 2018$ 0.006 0.074 Current householdThe logarithm of average 5.924 1.770 income levelmonthly household expenditure 0.193 0.394 registrationstatus 0.193 0.394	Mother bedridden	1 = yes; 0 = no	0.131	0.338
Solution provide disabilityMother has a1 = yes; 0 = no0.0280.164severe mental disease1 = yes; 0 = no0.2670.442diedprematurelyPather bedridden1 = yes; 0 = no0.0860.281for a long time1 = yes; 0 = no0.0280.165Pather bedridden1 = yes; 0 = no0.0280.165physical disability0000Father has a severe1 = yes; 0 = no0.0160.126mental disease0000The year entered0 = 2011; 1 = 2013;0.2940.638the survey2 = 2015; 3 = 201800Whether died1 = yes; 0 = no0.0060.074during the 	Mother has a	1 = yes; 0 = no	0.028	0.164
Mother has a $1 = yes; 0 = no$ 0.028 0.164 severe mental diseaseBiological father $1 = yes; 0 = no$ 0.267 0.442 died prematurely $1 = yes; 0 = no$ 0.086 0.281 Father bedridden $1 = yes; 0 = no$ 0.086 0.281 for a long time $1 = yes; 0 = no$ 0.028 0.165 Physical disability $1 = yes; 0 = no$ 0.016 0.126 Father has a severe $1 = yes; 0 = no$ 0.016 0.126 mental disease $1 = yes; 0 = no$ 0.006 0.074 The year entered $0 = 2011; 1 = 2013;$ 0.294 0.638 the survey $2 = 2015; 3 = 2018$ 0.006 0.074 Whether died $1 = yes; 0 = no$ 0.006 0.074 during the survey $1 = yes; 0 = no$ 0.006 0.074 Current householdThe logarithm of average 5.924 1.770 income levelmonthly household expenditure 0.193 0.394 registration status $1 = urban; 0 = rural$ 0.193 0.394	disability			
Biological father $1 = yes; 0 = no$ 0.267 0.442 died prematurely Father bedridden $1 = yes; 0 = no$ 0.086 0.281 for a long time Father has a severe $1 = yes; 0 = no$ 0.028 0.165 physical disability Father has a severe $1 = yes; 0 = no$ 0.016 0.126 mental disease The year entered $0 = 2011; 1 = 2013;$ 0.294 0.638 the survey $2 = 2015; 3 = 2018$ Whether died $1 = yes; 0 = no$ 0.006 0.074 during the survey Education level in Years of education 5.465 4.119 adulthood Current household The logarithm of average 5.924 1.770 income level monthly household expenditure Current household $1 = urban; 0 = rural$ 0.193 0.394 registration status	Mother has a severe mental	1 = yes; 0 = no	0.028	0.164
Inter prematurelyFather bedridden1 = yes; 0 = no0.0860.281for a long time	Biological father	1 = yes; 0 = no	0.267	0.442
Father has a severe $1 = yes; 0 = no$ 0.028 0.165 physical disability $1 = yes; 0 = no$ 0.028 0.165 Pather has a severe $1 = yes; 0 = no$ 0.016 0.126 mental disease $1 = yes; 0 = no$ 0.016 0.126 The year entered $0 = 2011; 1 = 2013;$ 0.294 0.638 the survey $2 = 2015; 3 = 2018$ 0.006 0.074 Whether died $1 = yes; 0 = no$ 0.006 0.074 during the survey 2 $2015; 3 = 2018$ 0.006 Education level in adulthoodYears of education 5.465 4.119 Current household income levelThe logarithm of average 5.924 1.770 Current household registration $1 = urban; 0 = rural$ 0.193 0.394 registration status $1 = urban; 0 = rural$ 0.193 0.394	prematurely Father bedridden	1 = ves: 0 = no	0.086	0.281
Father has a severe $1 = yes; 0 = no$ 0.028 0.165 physical disabilityFather has a severe $1 = yes; 0 = no$ 0.016 0.126 Father has a severe $1 = yes; 0 = no$ 0.016 0.126 mental disease $0 = 2011; 1 = 2013;$ 0.294 0.638 The year entered $0 = 2011; 1 = 2013;$ 0.294 0.638 the survey $2 = 2015; 3 = 2018$ 0.006 0.074 Whether died $1 = yes; 0 = no$ 0.006 0.074 during the surveyEducation level in adulthoodYears of education 5.465 4.119 Current householdThe logarithm of average 5.924 1.770 income level registrationmonthly household expenditure 0.193 0.394 registration status $1 = urban; 0 = rural$ 0.193 0.394	for a long time	1	0.000	0.165
Father has a severe mental disease $1 = yes; 0 = no$ 0.016 0.126 The year entered the survey $0 = 2011; 1 = 2013;$ $2 = 2015; 3 = 2018$ 0.294 0.638 Whether died during the survey $1 = yes; 0 = no$ 0.006 0.074 Education level in adulthoodYears of education 5.465 4.119 Current household income levelThe logarithm of average monthly household expenditure 5.924 1.770 Current household registration status $1 = urban; 0 = rural$ 0.193 0.394	Pather has a severe physical disability	1 = yes; $0 = $ no	0.028	0.165
The year entered $0 = 2011; 1 = 2013;$ 0.294 0.638 the survey $2 = 2015; 3 = 2018$ 0.006 0.074 Whether died $1 = yes; 0 = no$ 0.006 0.074 during the survey 0.006 0.074 Education level in adulthoodYears of education 5.465 4.119 Current household income levelThe logarithm of average 5.924 1.770 Current household registration $1 = urban; 0 = rural$ 0.193 0.394 registration status	Father has a severe mental disease	1 = yes; 0 = no	0.016	0.126
Whether died during the survey 1 = yes; 0 = no 0.006 0.074 Education level in adulthood Years of education 5.465 4.119 Current household income level The logarithm of average monthly household expenditure 5.924 1.770 Current household income level 1 = urban; 0 = rural 0.193 0.394 registration status status 5 5	The year entered the survey	0 = 2011; 1 = 2013; 2 = 2015; 3 = 2018	0.294	0.638
Education level in adulthood Years of education 5.465 4.119 Current household income level The logarithm of average monthly household expenditure 5.924 1.770 Current household registration status 1 = urban; 0 = rural 0.193 0.394	Whether died during the survey	1 = yes; $0 = $ no	0.006	0.074
Current household income levelThe logarithm of average monthly household expenditure 5.924 1.770 Current household registration status $1 = urban; 0 = rural$ 0.193 0.394	Education level in adulthood	Years of education	5.465	4.119
Current household 1 = urban; 0 = rural 0.193 0.394 registration status	Current household income level	The logarithm of average monthly household expenditure	5.924	1.770
	Current household registration status	1 = urban; $0 = $ rural	0.193	0.394

proportion of the sample covered by medical insurance exceeded 94%. The overall health of respondents' parents was better during the respondents' childhood. However, the proportion of biological parents who died prematurely was relatively high. In terms of respondents' adulthood SES, the length of education of respondents was approximately 5.47 years. The average logarithm of respondents' current household income was 5.924. Currently, only 19.3% of the samples have urban household registration.

3.2. The effect of childhood SES on health in middle and old age

A mixed-effects regression analysis was conducted to explore the trajectories of health inequalities induced by childhood SES across the life course. First, the relationship the relationship between age, birth cohorts and the health of middle-aged and elderly individuals were explored in Model 1 in Table 2. The results show that age and health are not a linear relationship across the life course. At the same time, the birth cohort has a significant positive effect on the health of middle-aged

Table 2

Mixed-effects regression for childhood SES and adulthood health.

and elderly individuals. Those born later have better health outcomes in that they are relatively younger than those born earlier. In Model 2, we added the childhood SES variable. The coefficient of childhood SES is 0.160 and is statistically significant. From the coefficient of childhood SES, it can be seen that the effect of childhood SES is still significant until middle and old age. To analyze whether the health effect of childhood SES varies by age, the interaction term of childhood SES and age in Model 3 was added. In Model 3, the coefficient of the interaction term of childhood SES and age is small and not statistically significant. After adding the interaction terms of childhood and birth cohort on the basis of Model 3, the coefficients of all interaction terms are significant. To more intuitively test whether the effect of childhood SES on the health of middle-aged and elderly individuals is simultaneously moderated by age and birth cohort, the interaction terms of childhood SES and age and

Fixed effects	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4	Model 5
Gender	0.090***	0.099***	0.099***	0.099***	0.101***
	(0.030)	(0.030)	(0.031)	(0.030)	(0.030)
Marriage	0.020	0.018	0.018	0.020	0.025
0	(0.046)	(0.046)	(0.046)	(0.046)	(0.046)
The number of siblings	-0.021***	-0.018***	-0.018***	-0.018**	-0.018***
0	(0.007)	(0.007)	(0.007)	(0.007)	(0.007)
Medical insurance	0.304***	0.304***	0.305***	0.303***	0.303***
	(0.059)	(0.060)	(0.060)	(0.060)	(0.060)
Biological mother died prematurely	-0.116***	-0.117***	-0.117***	-0.115***	-0.115***
	(0.032)	(0.032)	(0.032)	(0.032)	(0.032)
Mother bedridden for a long time	-0.265***	-0.262***	-0.262***	-0.262***	-0.264***
U U	(0.044)	(0.044)	(0.044)	(0.045)	(0.045)
Mother has a severe physical disability	-0.334***	-0.334***	-0.333***	-0.326***	-0.325***
	(0.091)	(0.091)	(0.091)	(0.091)	(0.092)
Mother has a severe mental disease	-0.216**	-0.214**	-0.214**	-0.216**	-0.220**
	(0.101)	(0.101)	(0.101)	(0.101)	(0.101)
Biological father died prematurely	-0.022	-0.021	-0.021	-0.021	-0.021
0 1 5	(0.032)	(0.032)	(0.032)	(0.032)	(0.032)
Father bedridden for a long time	-0.288***	-0.277***	-0.277***	-0.276***	-0.277***
U U	(0.053)	(0.053)	(0.053)	(0.053)	(0.053)
Father has a severe physical disability	-0.235***	-0.237***	-0.237***	-0.237***	-0.237***
	(0.089)	(0.089)	(0.089)	(0.089)	(0.089)
Father has a severe mental disease	-0.097	-0.102	-0.101	-0.090	-0.092
	(0.131)	(0.131)	(0.131)	(0.131)	(0.131)
The year entered the survey	0.194***	0.189***	0.188***	0.174***	0.191***
	(0.025)	(0.025)	(0.025)	(0.026)	(0.026)
Whether died during the survey	-0.352*	-0.352*	-0.352*	-0.361*	-0.369*
	(0.192)	(0.192)	(0.191)	(0.192)	(0.192)
Education level in adulthood	0.033***	0.030***	0.030***	0.029***	0.029***
	(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.004)
Current household income level	-0.002	-0.003	-0.003	-0.004	-0.004
	(0.008)	(0.008)	(0.008)	(0.008)	(0.008)
Current household registration status	0.149***	0.105***	0.106***	0.113***	0.110***
	(0.039)	(0.040)	(0.040)	(0.040)	(0.040)
Age	0.281***	0.285***	0.286***	0.265***	0.215***
	(0.021)	(0.021)	(0.021)	(0.021)	(0.024)
Age squared	-0.001***	-0.001***	-0.001***	-0.001^{***}	-0.001***
	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)
Birth cohort	0.803***	0.794***	0.794***	0.694***	0.663***
	(0.031)	(0.031)	(0.031)	(0.035)	(0.036)
Childhood high SES	1	0.160***	0.158***	0.141***	0.265***
	1	(0.034)	(0.034)	(0.034)	(0.045)
Childhood high SES x Age	1	1	-0.001	0.046***	0.062***
	/	/	(0.003)	(0.009)	(0.010)
Childhood high SES x Birth cohort	/	/	/	0.336***	0.445***
	/	/	/	(0.059)	(0.065)
Childhood high SES x Age x Birth cohort	/	/	/	/	0.011***
	/	/	/	/	(0.003)
Random effects					
Variance (intercept)	0.455***	0.453***	0.453	0.457	0.461
	(0.038)	(0.038)	(0.038)	(0.038)	(0.039)
Goodness of fit					
Freedom degree	22	23	24	25	26
Log likelihood	-20007.683	-19996.274	-19996.214	-19980.099	-19970.659
AIC	40059.37	40038.55	40040.43	40010.20	39993.32
BIC	40242.44	40229.94	40240.14	40218.23	40209.67

Notes: *p < 0.1, **p < 0.05, ***p < 0.01. Standard error in parentheses. Age and birth cohort variables were centered.

birth cohort were added. According to the Akaike information criterion (AIC) and Bayesian information criterion (BIC) values of each model, it was found that the goodness of fit of Model 5 was significantly better than that of the other models. The findings in Model 5 indicate that the effect of childhood SES on the health of middle-aged and elderly individuals varies significantly by age and birth cohort. Therefore, the health effect of childhood SES not only persists into middle and old age but also varies by age and birth cohort.

3.3. The overall trajectories of health inequalities induced by childhood SES across the life course

In this study, the size of the health effect of childhood SES indicates the degree of health inequalities. Although findings in the above models show that health inequalities induced by childhood SES exist, these findings fail to directly reveal specific trajectories of health inequalities. In this section, the trajectories of health inequalities induced by childhood SES across the life course are graphically presented based on Model 5.

From Fig. 1, it can be seen that the health effect of childhood SES presents an inverted U-shape in middle and old age. Specifically, the effect of childhood SES on health shows a gradual upward trend between 45 and 60 years old, then the effect gradually weakens after 60 and approaches zero by age 95. Correspondingly, health inequalities induced by childhood SES gradually widen before 60 years of age, which supports the cumulative disadvantage theory. This inequity gradually converges after 60 years of age, supporting the age-neutral effect theory. As a result, 60 years old is a turning point of the trajectories of health inequalities induced by childhood SES across the life course. This finding also confirms that cumulative disadvantage and age-neutral effects play a role in different life stages, rather than one single effect across the life course. In addition, it is worth noting that the finding in Fig. 1 only shows the overall trajectories of health inequalities based on the total samples, while it includes differences in both age and birth cohorts. Against this backdrop, it is necessary to identify the age and cohort effects in the trajectories of health inequalities across the life course.

3.4. The age effect of health inequalities induced by childhood SES

To explore the age effect of health inequalities induced by childhood SES across the life course, the trajectories of health effects of childhood SES were analyzed in the same birth cohort during the surveyed period based on Model 5. From Fig. 2, it can be seen that the effect of childhood SES on adulthood health tends to first increase and then decrease with



Fig. 2. Overall trajectories of health inequities induced by childhood SES across the life course.

age in most birth cohorts. In the 1960 birth cohort, the health effects of childhood SES are greatest, which is consistent with the trajectory inflection point of 60 years old in Fig. 1. For the 1970 birth cohort, the health effects of childhood SES tended to decline slightly with age but remained at a high level compared with other cohort groups. Overall, the trajectories of health inequalities induced by childhood SES also present an inverted U shape with age in the same birth cohort. In other words, before a significant decrease in physiological functioning, personal health is greatly affected by childhood SES, and health inequalities between groups have gradually increased. However, the accelerated physiological decline with age further reduces health inequalities since it offsets the effect of childhood SES on current health.

3.5. The cohort effect of health inequalities induced by childhood SES

To explore the cohort effect of health inequalities induced by childhood SES across the life course, the effect of childhood SES on adulthood health was analyzed at the same age from different birth cohorts according to Model 5. To include the birth year of the sample as far as possible in the birth cohort grouped in this study, samples aged 50, 60, 70 and 80 were selected. The results in Fig. 3 show that the health effects of childhood SES increase as the birth cohort approaches at each age group. In other words, the marginal effect of childhood SES on the



Fig. 1. Flow chart on how the final analytical sample was derived.



Fig. 3. The age effect of health inequities in the same birth cohort.

health of later-born cohorts is relatively higher than that of earlier-born cohorts at the same age, which provides further evidence that health inequalities are more pronounced in the later birth cohorts (see Fig. 4).

4. Discussion

Health inequalities have become an important feature of unbalanced and inadequate development in the health field and bring barriers to healthy aging in China. To reduce health inequalities, it is necessary to explore their origins and trajectories across the life course. In the framework of cumulative disadvantage and age-neutral theory, using CHARLS data from 2011 to 2018, this study analyzed the content from three aspects. First, whether childhood SES has a significant effect on the health of middle-aged and elderly people and whether this effect varies by age and birth cohorts were analyzed. Second, the overall trajectories of health inequalities induced by childhood SES across the life course are graphically presented by using a joint model involving the interaction of age and cross-cohort effects. Third, the age and cohort effects among the overall trajectories of health inequalities were identified.

In conclusion, the results of this study did not fully support the cumulative disadvantage theory or the age-neutral theory. Although it was found that childhood SES still has a significant effect on health when the respondents entered old age, the overall health effect of childhood SES presented an inverted U-shaped relationship with time. Specifically, health gaps induced by childhood SES gradually increased before age 60, supporting the notion of cumulative disadvantage. This gap gradually decreased after age 60, supporting the notion of age neutrality. The age effect shows that, in the same birth cohort, health gaps also first increased and then decreased during the survey time. The cohort effect shows that, at the same age, health gaps were more pronounced in successive birth cohorts.

The trajectory before the age of 60 was in line with the studies from some developed countries. A notable difference, however, emerged in old age. Studies from the United States and the United Kingdom reported that health gaps widened with older age (Sacker et al., 2005; Dupre, 2007; Kim & Durden, 2007). At the same time, evidence from Sweden showed that the rise in health inequalities was limited to earlier and middle stages of the life course, while health gaps remained constant after the age of 55 (Leopold, 2016). However, in this study, health inequalities induced by childhood SES showed a convergence state after the age of 60.

The disparities in findings in old age between this study and previous studies can be explained from two aspects. One is that most previous studies have analyzed the trajectories of health inequalities induced by

Fig. 4. The cohort effect of health inequities at the same age.

adulthood SES without considering their common origins, that is, childhood SES. Childhood SES affects both adulthood SES and adulthood health. Although improvements in adulthood SES will partially offset the adverse effect of childhood SES disadvantages on adulthood health, this adverse effect will persist throughout the life course. Therefore, only exploring the health inequalities caused by adulthood SES will underestimate the extent of health inequalities across the life course.

An alternative interpretation is that self-selection driven by death may lead to the convergence of health gaps in old age. In other words, childhood SES disadvantage will impose a negative impact on health. If people with poor health die prematurely with age, then the elderly in the survey are relatively healthy people who left after death selection. In this case, health gaps were less pronounced in later life, and the actual health decline induced by childhood SES may be underestimated (Leopold, 2016; Lynch, 2003; Zheng & Zeng, 2016). To control estimation bias due to death selection, referring to previous studies (Beckett, 2000; Herd, 2006), the variable of whether respondents died during the longitudinal survey to the model was added. Therefore, self-selection driven by death did not play a major role in this study.

A major benefit of the data used in this study is that it allowed for detailed cross-cohort analysis. The birth cohort generally reflects the position of the individual in social history. Therefore, a detailed crosscohort analysis also reflects the trends of social history. In this study, it was found that childhood SES had a greater impact on the health of later-born groups, indicating that health inequalities induced by childhood SES were more pronounced in successive birth cohorts. The findings of the cross-cohort analysis are consistent with the conclusions of previous studies from developed countries (Leopold, 2016; Lynch, 2003; Mirowsky & Ross, 2008). In addition, this finding also directs attention to the special historical processes and social institutional arrangements in China. To prevent and control infectious diseases and epidemics, China launched a Patriotic Health Movement in the 1950s. Health gaps induced by childhood SES in the elderly were also reduced by the Patriotic Health Movement during this period. At the same time, the disease spectrum has also shifted from acute infectious diseases to chronic non-communicable diseases in China (Omran., 1971). Compared with biological factors, socioeconomic factors play a major role in chronic disease. With the reform and opening up in China, the disparities in people's SES have further widened. As a result, the health status of those born later is more affected by SES, and the health inequalities induced by childhood SES are also widening among them.

The findings of this study are of great significance for healthy aging. Given that the health effects of childhood SES persist into old age and that health inequalities in adulthood have taken root in peoples' early life. Interventions for healthy aging cannot be limited to elderly individuals. At the same time, the health status of the later birth cohorts is more affected by childhood SES. In this case, effective interventions on health starting from childhood are necessary to achieve healthy aging. Social policy compensation for SES disadvantages can improve people's early health and affect their labor market returns in the long term, thus improving their health status in middle and old age. Therefore, the government can provide disadvantaged adolescents with material resources to maintain and improve their health through social policies. In addition to material resources, the government also needs to guarantee disadvantaged adolescents' educational opportunities and improve their education level.

It is important to bear in mind that this study has some limitations. First, the trajectories of health inequalities with age in the same birth cohort were limited by time horizon since the tracking time of the CHARLS data is relatively short. Second, there might be recall bias for childhood SES in that life course information comes from retrospective data. In addition, improvement in adulthood SES can also compensate for the long-term adverse health effects of early life disadvantage, which may also narrow the health gaps in old age (Jiao & Bao, 2020). However, we added respondents' adulthood SES to all models in this study to control for its effect on the results as much as possible.

Ethics approval

This study was exempt from human subjects' approval.

Statement of ethics approval

Ethics approval is not required since this study used public available secondary dataset that contains de-identifiable information.

Credit author statement

CYC conceptualized the study, directed the data analysis, and wrote most of the manuscript. ZYX performed the statistical analysis, wrote portions of the draft, and supervised the manuscript.

Data availability

Data will be made available on request.

References

Angelini, V., Daniel, D. H. H., & Jochen, O. M. (2018). Childhood socioeconomic status and late-adulthood mental health: Results from the survey on health, ageing and retirement in Europe. *Journals of Gerontology Series B: Psychological Sciences and Social Sciences*, 74(1), 95–104. https://doi.org/10.1093/geronb/gby028

Antonovsky, A. (1967). Social class, life expectancy and overall mortality. Milbank Memorial Fund Quarterly, 45(2), 31–73. https://doi.org/10.2307/3348839

- Barker, D. J. P., Eriksson, J. G., Tom, F., & Osmond, C. (2003). Fetal origins of adult disease: Strength of effects and biological basis. *International Journal of Epidemiology*, 31(6), 1235–1239. https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/31.6.1235
- Beckett, M. (2000). Converging health inequalities in later life an artifact of mortality selection? *Journal of Health and Social Behavior*, 41(1), 106–119. https://doi.org/10. 2307/2676363.

Berkman, C. S., & Gurland, B. J. (1998). The relationship among income, other socioeconomic indicators, and functional level in older persons. *Journal of Aging and Health*. https://doi.org/10.1177/089826439801000105.

Chen, F., Yang, Y., & Liu, G. Y. (2010). Socioeconomic disparities in health over the life course in China: A cohort analysis. *American Sociological Review*, *75*(1), 126–150.

Christenson, A., & Johnson, N. E. (1995). Educational inequality in adult mortality: An assessment with death certificate data from Michigan. *Demography*, 32(2), 215–229. https://doi.org/10.2307/2061741

Cohen, S., Janicki-Deverts, D., Chen, E., & Matthews, K. A. (2010). Childhood socioeconomic status and adult health. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 1186, 37–55. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-6632.2009.05334.x

Dupre, M. E. (2007). Educational differences in age-related patterns of disease: Reconsidering the cumulative disadvantage and age-as-leveler hypotheses. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior*, 48(1), 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1177/ 002214650704800101

Elder, G. H. (1974). Children of the great depression. Chicago: University of Chicago Press. Elder, G. H., Johnson, M. K., & Crosnoe, R. (2003). The emergence and development of life course theory. In J. T. Mortimer, & M. Shanahan (Eds.), Handbook of the life course (pp. p3–p19). New York.

- Emily, A., Greenfield, Addam, Reynolds, Sara, M., & Moorman. (2021). Life course linkages between enriching early-life activities and later life cognition: Evidence from the Wisconsin Longitudinal Study. *Social Science & Medicine*, 12(294), Article 114673. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2021.114673
- Fan, H. L., Wang, Y. C., & Qi, R. (2021). An empirical study on urban-rural integrated basic medical insurance and health equity: Striding over the health poverty trap in rural China. *Chinese Rural Economy*, (4), 69–84 (In Chinese).
- Fox, A. J., Goldblatt, P. O., & Jones, D. R. (1985). Social class mortality differentials: Artefact, selection or life circumstances? *Journal of Epidemiology & Community Health*, 39, 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1136/jech.39.1.1
- Gensowski, M., Nielsen, T. H., & Nielsen, N. M. (2019). Childhood health shocks, comparative advantage, and long-term outcomes: Evidence from the Last Danish Polio Epidemic. *Journal of Health Economics*, 66, 27–36. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jhealeco.2019.03.010

Grossman, M. (1972). On the concept of health capital and the demand for health. *Journal of Political Economy*, *80*(2), 223–255.

- Günther, F., Atheendar, S., Venkataramani, & Arianna, Z. (2021). Early life adversity, biological adaptation, and human capital: Evidence from an interrupted malaria control program in Zambia. *Journal of Health Economics*, 80, Article 102532. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.jhealeco.2021.102532
- Haas, S. (2008). Trajectories of functional health: The 'long arm' of childhood health and socioeconomic factors. Social Science & Medicine, 66(4), 849–861. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.socscimed.2007.11.004
- Herd, P. (2006). Do functional health inequalities decrease in old age? Educational status and functional decline among the 1931-1941 birth cohort. *Research on Aging*, 28(3), 375–392.
- He, W., & Shen, S. G. (2021). Does the integration of urban and rural medical insurance policy alleviate health inequality? Evidence from a quasi-natural experiment in prefecture-level cities of China. *China Rural Survey*, (3), 67–85 (In Chinese).

Hollingsworth, T. (1965). The demography of the British peerage. Population Investigation Committee. London: London School of Economics.

- House, J. S., James, M., Lepkowski, A. M., Kinney, R. P., Mero, R. C., Kessler, A., & Regula, H. (1994). The social stratification of aging and health. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior*, 35(9), 213–234. https://doi.org/10.2307/2137277
- House, J. S., Lantz, P. M., & Herd, P. (2005). Continuity and change in the social stratification of aging and health over the life course: Evidence from a nationally representative longitudinal study from 1986 to 2001/2002 (Americans' Changing Lives Study). Journals of Gerontology Series B: Psychological Sciences and Social Sciences, 60(2), 15–26.
- Hox, J. J., Moerbeek, M., Schoot, & van de, R. (2010). Multilevel analysis: Techniques and Applications (2nd ed.). Routledge.
- Huang, W., & Zhou, Y. (2013). Effects of education on cognition at older ages: Evidence from China's Great Famine. Social Science & Medicine, 98, 54–62. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.socscimed.2013.08.021
- Huisman, M., Kuns, t A. E., & Mackenbach, J. P. (2003). Socioeconomic inequalities in morbidity among the elderly; a European overview. *Social Science & Medicine*, 57(5), 861–873. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0277-9536(02)00454-9
- Jiao, K. S., & Bao, Z. M. (2020). Social change, life course and health status in later life. Sociology Study, 35(1), 149–169. https://doi.org/10.19934/j.cnki.shxyj.2020.01.007 (In Chinese).
- Kim, J., & Durden, E. (2007). Socioeconomic status and age trajectories of health. Social Science & Medicine, 65(12), 2489–2502. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. socscimed.2007.07.022
- Leopold, L. (2016). Cumulative advantage in an egalitarian country? Socioeconomic health disparities over the life course in Sweden. Journal of Health and Social Behavior, 57(2), 257–273. https://doi.org/10.1177/0022146516645926
- Lynch, S. M. (2003). Cohort and life-course patterns in the relationship between education and health: A hierarchical approach. *Demography*, 40(2), 309–331. https://doi.org/10.1353/dem.2003.0016
- Marmot, M. G., & Shipley, M. J. (1996). Do socioeconomic differences in mortality persist after retirement? 25 Year follow up of civil servants from the first whitehall study. *British Medical Journal*, 313(7066), 1170–1180. https://doi.org/10.1136/ bmj.313.7066.1177
- Mirowsky, J., & Ross, C. E. (2008). Education and self-rated health: Cumulative advantage and its rising importance. *Research on Ageing*, 30(1), 93–122.
- Omran, A. R. (1971). Epidemiologic transition: Theory of epidemiology of population change. Milbank Memorial Fund Quarterly, 49(4), 509–538. https://doi.org/10.2307/ 3349375

O'Rand, A. M. (1996). The precious and the precocious: Understanding cumulative disadvantage and cumulative advantage over the life course. *The Gerontologist*, 36(2), 230–238. https://doi.org/10.1093/geront/36.2.230

- O'Rand, Angela, M., Jenifer, & Hamil-Luker. (2005). Processes of cumulative adversity: Childhood disadvantage and increased risk of heart attack across the life course. *Journals of Gerontology Series B: Psychological Sciences and Social Sciences*, 60(Special Issue 2), 117–124. https://doi.org/10.1093/geronb/60.Special Issue 2.S117
- Ross, C. E., & Wu, C. L. (1996). Education, age, and the cumulative advantage in health. Journal of Health and Social Behavior, 37(1), 104–120. https://doi.org/10.2307/ 2137234
- Ryder, N. B. (1965). The cohort as a concept in the study of social change. American Sociological Review, 30(6), 843–861. https://doi.org/10.2307/2090964
 Sacker, A., Clarke, P., & Bartley, M. (2005). Social dynamics of health inequalities: A
- Sacker, A., Clarke, P., & Bartley, M. (2005). Social dynamics of health inequalities: A growth curve analysis of aging and self-assessed health in the British household panel survey 1991–2001. *Journal of Epidemiology & Community Health*, 59(6), 495–501. https://doi.org/10.1136/jech.2004.026278
- Schafer, M. H., Wilkinson, L. R., & Ferraro, K. F. (2013). Childhood misfortune, educational attainment, and adult health: Contingent benefits of a college degree. *Social Forces*, 91(3), 1007–1034. https://doi.org/10.1093/sf/sos192
- Schnittker, J. (2005). Cognitive abilities and self-rated health: Is there a relationship? Is it growing? Does it explain disparities? *Social Science Research*, 34(4), 821–842. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssresearch.2005.01.003
- Shi, Z. L., Gu, J. X., & Fu, Q. (2020). Social change and health inequalities evidence from the fifth phase of the epidemiologic transition in urban China. *Sociology Study*, 35(6), 160–185. https://doi.org/10.19934/j.cnki.shxyj.2018.03.008 (In Chinese).
- Shonkoff, J. P., Garner, A. S., & Siegel, B. S. (2012). The lifelong effects of early childhood adversity and toxic stress. *Pediatrics*, 129(1), 232–246. https://doi.org/ 10.1542/peds.2011-2663
- Tetyana, P., & Benedicta, A. (2014). Early-life socioeconomic status and mortality in later life: An integration of four life-course mechanisms. *Journals of Gerontology Series B: Psychological Sciences and Social Sciences, 3*, 451–460. https://doi.org/10.1093/ geronb/gbt122
- Wang, F. Q., & Ma, Y. Y. (2020). Socioeconomic status, social capital and health inequality. Journal of Huazhong University of Science and Technology (Nature Science Edition), 34(6), 59–66. https://doi.org/10.19648/j.cnki.jhustss1980.2020.06.07 (In Chinese).
- Yukako, T., Takeo, F., Naoki, K., Hisashi, N., Yuri, S., & Katsunori, K. (2016). Childhood socioeconomic status and onset of depression among Japanese older adults: The JAGES prospective cohort study. *American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 24(9), 717–726. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jagp.2016.06.001
- Zheng, L., & Zeng, X. H. (2016). Gender differences in the SES and health gradient in China: A life course longitudinal study, 36 pp. 209–237). https://doi.org/10.15992/j.cnki.31-1123/c.2016.06.009, 6, (In Chinese).
- Zhou, Y. Y., & Song, X. M. (2017). Age pattern of socioeconomic differentials in activities of daily living among the elderly in urban China. *Population & Development*, 23(4), 80–85 (In Chinese).