

Review Article

Strategies for Diagnosis and Prevention of Venous Thromboembolism during Pregnancy

Shalini Jain Bagaria and V. B. Bagaria

Health Care Research and Innovation Division, Origyn Clinic, Indirapuram, Ghaziabad 201014, India

Correspondence should be addressed to V. B. Bagaria, vaibboy@gmail.com

Received 2 March 2011; Revised 28 April 2011; Accepted 23 May 2011

Academic Editor: David F. Lewis

Copyright © 2011 S. J. Bagaria and V. B. Bagaria. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Pregnancy and the postpartum period have an increased incidence of venous thromboembolism (VTE). The condition is unique during this period for several reasons. Primarily, because there is complexity in diagnosing this condition in view of altered physiology and preexisting edema in pregnancy and also because there are restrictions on the use of certain drugs and a need for vigilant monitoring of anticoagulant activities of drugs during the period. The problem is compounded and assumes the highest order of significance since two lives are involved and all the investigations and management done should also take into account the potential adverse effects on the foetus. In order to prevent the development of VTE during pregnancy, sound clinical evaluation for risk factors, risk stratification, and optimal use of resource both mechanical and pharmacological is necessary. This paper details strategies in preventing development of deep vein thrombosis and treatment of VTEs.

1. Introduction

Venous thromboembolism is used to denote two disease conditions: deep vein thrombosis (DVT) and pulmonary embolism (PE). The two conditions are interrelated in a manner that approximately one third of all cases of isolated PE will have DVT on diagnostic evaluation and similarly approximately half the cases of DVT of proximal veins of leg will have clinical silent or apparent PE [1–3]. VTE assumes a special significance during pregnancy due to its increased incidence, difficulty in diagnosis, and complexities in management.

The incidence of VTE in pregnancy is approximately five times the incidence in nonpregnant patients and is reported to be 0.7 to 1.2 per 10000 pregnancies [4, 5]. This risk increases to approximately 20 times in the postpartum period. DVT constitutes almost 80% of cases of VTE, and the rest are constituted by PE. It also accounts for 10% maternal deaths in the western world or roughly 1.1 deaths per 100 000 deliveries [6].

Virchow in 1856 suggested that development of PE could be attributed to stasis, endothelial injury, and hypercoagulable state [7]. Over the years, various research investigations have upheld his observation. Pregnancy is classified as a hypercoagulable state as the fibrin generation is increased,

fibrinolytic activity is decreased, levels of coagulation factors II, VII, VIII, and X are all increased, free protein S levels are decreased, and acquired resistance to activated protein C is commonly seen [8, 9]. During normal uncomplicated pregnancy, there is also an evidence of substantial haemostatic activation as indicated by increased markers of coagulation activation, such as prothrombin fragment F1+2 and D-dimer [9]. Also, there is hormonally induced decreased venous capacitance and reduced venous outflow due to mechanical obstruction from uterus [10, 11]. There is 50% decrease in the venous outflow during 26–30 weeks of gestation which continues through 6 weeks postgestation (Table 1).

Additional risk factors include the presence of inherited thrombophilias and the antiphospholipid syndrome, previous history of thrombosis, black race, heart disease, sickle cell disease, diabetes, lupus, smoking, multiple pregnancy, age greater than 35 years, obesity, and caesarean delivery (especially emergency caesarean section during labor) [12–15] (Table 2).

2. Strategies for Diagnosing VTE

2.1. Risk Stratification. It is vital that a high degree of suspicion and clinical vigilance be maintained during the

TABLE 1: Causes for increased incidence of VTE during pregnancy.

(1) Hypercoagulation state in pregnancy
(a) Increase in the levels of procoagulants
(i) Factor II, factor VII, factor X, and fibrin
(2) Anticoagulants decrease
(a) Acquired protein C resistance
(b) Decreased levels of protein S
(3) Increased venous stasis
(a) Decreased venous capacitance under hormonal influence
(b) Increased intravascular volume distends veins
(c) Inferior vena cava obstructed secondary to pressure from uterus
(4) Vascular damage
(a) Related to vaginal and caesarean delivery

pregnancy and postpartum period. It is worth noting that typical signs like leg swelling, tachycardia, tachypnea, and dyspnoea that raise the suspicion of VTE in the nonpregnant are often already present as a response to physiological changes occurring during the pregnancy [16, 17]. All cases of suspicion of VTE should be investigated expeditiously with following available modalities.

2.2. Duplex Ultrasonography. The preferred modality for investigation of DVT is duplex ultrasonography which has a sensitivity of approximately 97% and specificity of approximately 94% in general population for proximal venous thromboembolism [18–20, 19]. It is usually done with a 5 Hz probe and incorporates two elements: gray-scale ultrasound and colour Doppler study. Gray-scale ultrasound is used to visualize the structure or the architecture of the body part. Colour Doppler is used to visualize the flow in the vessel. Normal venous flow produces a low pitched sound that is absent in case of venous occlusion. The ultrasound examination not only helps to determine the anatomy and patency but also can be used to evaluate the augmentation (increased flow with calf compression) and compression (elimination of the residual lumen by firm pressure of the hand-held transducer probe).

Limitations of duplex ultrasound: duplex ultrasound is not very effective in diagnosing asymptomatic and calf vein DVT with only a reported sensitivity of 36% of calf vein VTE [21]. Similarly, for more proximal thrombus like those involving the iliac veins, it may be necessary to perform an MRI direct thrombus imaging as it does not involve radiation and harmful effects on the foetus [22].

2.3. D-Dimer. D-dimer is another test which when used with other investigations may help in reaching conclusive diagnosis although the D-dimer level is normally elevated during the pregnancy [23, 24]. This hampers the use of normal reference values [25] to rule out VTE or to monitor antithrombotic treatment when used alone. However, when used in conjunction with other modalities, it can help rule out the condition when in doubt. Nishii et al. in a large prospective study showed that the test had positive predictive value of 7.4% and negative predictive value of 95.5% for

TABLE 2: Risk factor for development of VTE.

(1) Previous history of thrombosis
(2) Primary thrombophilia (e.g., factor V Leiden)
(3) Caesarean section delivery esp. emergency section during labour
(4) Sickle cell disease
(5) Mechanical heart valve
(6) Smoking
(7) SLE
(8) Atrial fibrillation
(9) Inflammatory bowel disease
(10) Nephrotic syndrome
(11) Antiphospholipid syndrome
(12) Prolonged immobilization (e.g., bed rest)
(13) Recent major surgery or trauma
(14) Age over 35 years
(15) Obesity (BMI > 30 kg/m ²)
(16) Multiparity over 4 deliveries
(17) Preeclampsia
(18) Current infection
(19) Complications of pregnancy such as antepartum or postpartum hemorrhage, hyperemesis gravidarum, condition requiring blood transfusion, and fluid-electrolyte imbalance

ultrasonographically positive women when D-dimer was set at 3.2 microg/mL [26]. Table 3 below gives an indicative range of D-dimer during pregnancy.

2.4. Diagnosing Pulmonary Embolism. In case of suspicion of pulmonary embolism (PE), additional investigations need to be performed. An X-ray of chest helps to exclude other causes that lead to dyspnoea and tachycardia. Other investigations of choice are ventilation-perfusion scan and CT pulmonary angiography [27, 28]. Both these tests though routinely used for diagnosing PE in normal population are used with a caution in this group owing to the fact that their use involves radiation exposure to both mother and foetus and have been reported to be associated with increased incidence of childhood cancers and maternal breast cancers.

2.5. Ventilation-Perfusion Scan. Ventilation-perfusion scan used to be the more frequently employed modality of investigation in these cases [29]. In pregnant women, the radiation dose can be minimized by using a half-dose perfusion scan and only proceeding to ventilation imaging once an abnormal defect is obtained during the perfusion scan. This test if negative can help confidently exclude the presence of PE; however, the test can indicate an indeterminate results in large number of patients in this group with up to 20% who have been shown to have high probability for the condition not actually having PE.

2.6. CT Pulmonary Angiography (CTPA). CTPA has gradually taken over as the investigation of choice for investigating the cases with suspicion of PE. This is due to the fact

TABLE 3: Plasma D-dimer levels during pregnancy.

Units	Adult	D-dimer (plasma)		
		First trimester	Second trimester	Third trimester
$\mu\text{g/mL}$	<0.5	0.05–0.95	0.32–1.29	0.13–1.7
$\mu\text{g/L}$ ng/mL	<500	50–950	320–1290	130–1700
nmol/L	<2.7	0.3–5.2	1.8–7.1	0.7–9.3

that it has high sensitivity (around 94%), high specificity (around 100%), and an excellent negative predictive value of 99% [30, 31]. Unlike V/Q scan, in CTPA, the thrombus can be directly visualised and other alternative cause for the symptoms may also be detected. Another advantage of CTPA over the V/Q scan is the fact that the radiation exposure in CTPA is almost half that of those received during V/q scan. In a typical CTPA, the radiation exposure to foetus is around 3.3 uGy to 130 uGy depending on the trimester the baby is in.

The risk increases with each passing week as the surface area of the fetus increases leading to an enhanced absorption [32]. Although the fact remains that any radiation exposure to fetus does carry a risk, it is important that, in an indicated case, the investigations are performed expeditiously because the risk of fetal death is high in an untreated case of PE. Apart from the radiation risk to fetus, the risk of radiation exposure to female breast must also be considered. The female breast is extremely radiosensitive, and it has been shown that a sufficiently large radiation dose can cause breast cancer [33, 34]. However, as mentioned above, it should not preclude its use in situations where there is suspicion of PE as the risks involved in terms of radiation exposure are extremely small compared to benefits of the early diagnosis and prompt management of PE.

3. Prevention Strategies

3.1. Mechanical Prophylaxis. These measures increase the venous blood flow in the lower limbs and prevent venous stasis, an important component of Virchow's triad [35]. Mechanical prophylaxis includes measures such as physiotherapy and exercises, use of graduated compression stockings, foot pumps, and intermittent pneumatic compression devices.

3.2. Physiotherapy and Exercises. All patients should have a plan for active and passive lower extremity activity unless contraindicated including flexion and extension of the ankle, knees, and hips. Involve physical therapy as appropriate. Provide written instructions, with pictures as well as a demonstration. Early and aggressive ambulation for all patients if not contraindicated is an important measure to prevent development of VTE.

3.3. Graduated Compression Stockings (GCSs). Encourage patients to wear GCSs at all times except when they are removed for skin care or bathing. Proper size should be instructed, and it should ideally be thigh high to have effect

on proximal veins. Nursing staff should ensure that stockings are not leading to a garter effect at the thigh or calf.

3.4. Intermittent Pneumatic Compression (IPC). These should be used in inactive patients requiring passive movements. These devices also exert fibrinolytic effect by stimulating endogenous fibrinolytic mechanism, reducing plasminogen activator inhibitor activity, and increasing the levels of tissue plasminogen activator [36].

3.5. IVC Filters. Inferior vena cava filter placement may be indicated in high-risk cases where the use of thromboprophylaxis is contraindicated due to certain coexisting morbidities.

3.6. Thromboprophylaxis. Ideally, the evaluation of whether a patient requires thromboprophylaxis should be done before conception or at least early in the pregnancy. Despite a long list of risk factors for development of VTE, most women do not require anticoagulation during pregnancy (Table 4).

The risk of complications from anticoagulation, such as bleeding, can be as high as 2%. Hence, the use of anticoagulants is limited to cases where the benefits of its use are greater than potential adverse effect (Table 5) [37–44]. In general, pregnant women who have had previous episodes of VTE, those having thrombophilia especially those with antithrombin deficiency, antiphospholipid syndrome, compound heterozygosity for prothrombin G20210A variant or factor V Leiden are candidates for thromboprophylaxis.

3.7. Heparin for Thromboprophylaxis. Heparin both unfractionated and low molecular weight heparin are agents of choice for thromboprophylaxis during pregnancy. Neither of them cross placenta making it safe for use in pregnancy. Heparin both UFH and LMWH act by binding to antithrombin to catalyse the molecule binding to and altering the activity of serine protease procoagulants thus interrupting the coagulation pathway [41–44]. UFH enhances the activity of antithrombin for factor Xa and thrombin, whereas the predominant effect of LMWH is via antithrombin-mediated antifactor Xa activity. The dosage and monitoring strategy for UFH is mentioned in Table 6.

Although there are few direct studies comparing the use of UFH to LMWH during pregnancy, it is widely agreed that the use of LMWH is associated with lower incidence of complications [45, 46]. UFH has complex pharmacokinetics that potentially leads to a somewhat unpredictable anticoagulant response. Also, the bioavailability of the UFH after subcutaneous (SC) injection is reduced compared with intravenous infusion. LMWH, in contrast, is less likely to bind nonspecifically to various circulating protein or cell surfaces and so has improved pharmacokinetics and bioavailability when given SC [47–49]. Other potential advantages include ease of administration, less bleeding, less incidences of heparin-induced thrombocytopenia (HIT), and a predictable response although the therapy is relatively more expensive. Various types of LMWH and their dosage are mentioned in Table 7. One important aspect that should be taken care of during the last trimester of pregnancy is to

TABLE 4: Indications for thromboprophylaxis during pregnancy.

(1) Mechanical heart valve
(2) Rheumatic heart disease
(3) Atrial fibrillation
(4) Antithrombin III deficiency
(5) Antiphospholipid syndrome
(6) Prior anticoagulation therapy
(7) Factor V Leiden defect
(8) Prothrombin G20210A mutation

TABLE 5: Unique aspects that need to be considered for thromboprophylaxis during pregnancy.

(1) Transplacental transfer
(2) Expanded blood volume up to 50%
(3) Increase in volume of distribution
(4) Increase in GFR leading to enhanced excretion of heparin
(5) Enhanced protein binding of heparin
(6) Shorter half lives of UFH and LMWH—higher and frequent dose requirement
(7) Risk of heparin-induced thrombocytopenia (also known as HIT)

shift the patients on LMWH to UFH at 36–37 weeks. This is important to prevent development of epidural hematoma, in case an epidural analgesia is planned. In cases of planned caesarean sections, LMWH can be continued even up to 6–12 hrs before surgery. UFH on the other hand has short half life and can be stopped four hours before the epidural insertion with minimal risks and hence is favored over LMWH by many obstetricians towards the term.

Another newer oral anticoagulant that is being increasingly used is oral anti-Xa inhibitor Rivaroxaban (Trade name: Xarelto). However, there are no adequate data of its use in pregnant women. In fact, studies in animals have shown reproductive toxicity secondary to trans-placental transmission. Due to this potential risk, its use is contraindicated in the pregnancy.

3.8. Treatment of VTE Using Heparins in Pregnancy. For managing VTE during pregnancy, two alternative approaches are employed: (1) IV UFH followed by at least 3 months of SC LMWH or adjusted-dose SC UFH or (2) adjusted-dose SC UFH or LMWH can be used both for initial and long-term treatment. With UFH, doses should be adjusted to prolong a midinterval aPTT into the therapeutic range (adjusted-dose SC heparin). As mentioned above, LMWH is the preferred agent amongst the two because of various reasons including better safety profile, ease of administration, and easier monitoring. Since the half life of LMWH is decreased in pregnancy, twice-daily regimens are probably preferable to once-daily dosing and as the pregnancy progresses (and most women gain weight), the potential volume of distribution for LMWH changes requiring change in the dose and pattern of administration. Apart from the usual weight-based regime,

TABLE 6: Thromboprophylaxis using UFH—dosage and monitoring.

(1) Low-dose prophylaxis
(a) First trimester: 5000 to 7000 Units q12 hours
(b) Second trimester: 7500 to 10,000 Units q12 hours
(c) Third trimester: 10,000 Units q12 hours
(i) Unless aPTT elevated
(2) Adjusted dose prophylaxis to aPTT of 1.5 to 2.5
(a) Dose: 10,000 q8–12 hours
(b) Goal aPTT: 1.5 to 2.5 times normal

some clinicians prefer to perform regular antifactor Xa levels 3 to 4 h after the morning dose and adjust the dose of LMWH to achieve an anti-Xa level of approximately 0.5 to 1.2 U/mL [50, 51].

3.9. Use of Warfarin in Pregnancy. Since warfarin crosses placenta, its use is associated with fetal hazards and thus is not recommended during pregnancy. It is associated with high risk of miscarriages with reports indicating rates as high as 56% if taken during first trimester. It has also shown to have 30% risk of congenital anomalies. Warfarin embryopathy is characterized by midface hypoplasia, stippled chondral calcification, scoliosis, short proximal limbs, and short phalanges; it affects 5% of fetuses that are exposed to the drug between 6 and 9 weeks of gestation [52]. The use of warfarin in the second trimester and early in the third trimester is associated with fetal intracranial haemorrhage and schizencephaly [53, 54]. Long-term sequelae includes risk of adverse neurological outcome and up to 4% lower IQ.

There are few conditions in which warfarin may be used preferentially over heparins by certain clinicians. Certain reports have shown that the LMWH may not be as effective as warfarin in protecting mothers from thrombosis of prosthetic valves [55]. Viteale et al. [56] recommend that patient with prosthetic valves whose warfarin intake is 5 mg with an international normalized ratio (INR) within therapeutic range may continue to take warfarin during the entire pregnancy under strict medical surveillance and consider a programmed caesarean section at the 38th week of gestation while briefly interrupting warfarin therapy. On the other hand, those patients whose warfarin doses are >5 mg should be made fully aware of a likely much higher risk of fetal complications during pregnancy. If they decide to carry on pregnancy with warfarin and have a bileaflet or aortic valve prosthesis, the INR range may be lowered to 2.0–2.5 with the aim of bringing the warfarin intake down to 5 mg while still reaching a satisfactory antithrombotic effect. In those women who choose not to take warfarin and are at higher thrombotic risk (mitral prostheses, atrial fibrillation, first-generation valves, and previous thromboembolism), in-hospital heparin treatment, at least between weeks 6 and 12 and 2 weeks before delivery, seems justified. Warfarin for thromboprophylaxis during the postpartum period may be considered where the adverse effect to fetus is not a concern. Warfarin is not secreted in breast milk and thus can be safely given during this phase.

TABLE 7: Dose of LMWH as per body weight.

LMWH type	Body weight < 50 Kg	50–69 Kg	70–90 kg	>90 kg
Enoxaparin	20 mg daily	60 mg daily	40 mg twice daily	40 mg twice daily
Dalteparin	5000 U daily	6000 U daily	8000 U daily	10,000 U daily
Tinzaparin	175 U/kg once daily	175 U/kg once daily	175 U/kg once daily	175 U/kg once daily
Rivaroxaban (oral)	Contra indicated			

3.10. Management in Case of Suspicion of VTE. In cases where the VTE is suspected, the management depends on the degree of clinical suspicion and the stage of pregnancy. The management will also change if certain anticoagulants are contraindicated and whether DVT, PE, or both are suspected. In cases where there is a strong suspicion of an acute episode of pulmonary embolism, it is advisable to start anticoagulant therapy even before the diagnostic evaluation. It may be discontinued if the diagnostic evaluation refutes the diagnosis. In cases of low to moderate degree of suspicion of PE, it is better to evaluate the patient clinically and diagnostically before the anticoagulant therapy is instituted.

In cases where there is a strong suspicion of PE and anticoagulant therapy is contraindicated, a diagnostic evaluation is warranted without any waste of time. The basic management include supportive management and timely delivery of the fetus. Once the PE is confirmed, other measures especially like IVC filter may be needed. In case of concern for isolated DVT without PE, it is better to diagnostically evaluate the patient before anticoagulant therapy is instituted.

4. Conclusion

For patients with risk factors, general thromboprophylaxis, such as lower extremity exercise on a bed; GCS, Intermittent Pneumatic compression (IPC), and adequate hydration postpartum, are recommended. Early ambulation should be encouraged even after a normal delivery in low-risk patients. In addition, pharmacologic thromboprophylaxis with LMWH or warfarin should be considered in patients with risk factors other than caesarean section. For high-risk pregnancies with documented thrombophilia such as positive antiphospholipid antibody or previous VTE, pharmacologic thromboprophylaxis with LMWH is recommended. Warfarin is contraindicated during pregnancy (category X). However, warfarin can replace LMWH after delivery and be used for 6 weeks to 3 months for continued postpartum thromboprophylaxis.

References

- [1] M. Meignan, J. Rosso, H. Gauthier et al., "Systematic lung scans reveal a high frequency of silent pulmonary embolism in patients with proximal deep venous thrombosis," *Archives of Internal Medicine*, vol. 160, no. 2, pp. 159–164, 2000.
- [2] P. E. Marik and L. A. Plante, "Venous thromboembolic disease and pregnancy," *The New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 359, no. 19, pp. 2025–2033, 2008.
- [3] F. Turkstra, P. M. M. Kuijter, E. J. R. van Beek, D. P. M. Brandjes, J. W. Ten Cate, and H. R. Büller, "Diagnostic utility of

ultrasonography of leg veins in patients suspected of having pulmonary embolism," *Annals of Internal Medicine*, vol. 126, no. 10, pp. 775–781, 1997.

- [4] A. H. James, "Venous thromboembolism in pregnancy," *Arteriosclerosis, Thrombosis, and Vascular Biology*, vol. 29, no. 3, pp. 326–331, 2009.
- [5] J. A. Heit, C. E. Kobbervig, A. H. James, T. M. Petterson, K. R. Bailey, and L. J. Melton, "Trends in the incidence of venous thromboembolism during pregnancy or postpartum: a 30-year population-based study," *Annals of Internal Medicine*, vol. 143, no. 10, pp. 697–706, 2005.
- [6] A. H. James, M. G. Jamison, L. R. Brancazio, and E. R. Myers, "Venous thromboembolism during pregnancy and the postpartum period: incidence, risk factors, and mortality," *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*, vol. 194, no. 5, pp. 1311–1315, 2006.
- [7] I. Chung and G. Y. H. Lip, "Virchow's triad revisited: blood constituents," *Pathophysiology of Haemostasis and Thrombosis*, vol. 33, no. 5-6, pp. 449–454, 2003.
- [8] B. Brenner, "Haemostatic changes in pregnancy," *Thrombosis Research*, vol. 114, no. 5-6, pp. 409–414, 2004.
- [9] S. Eichinger, A. Weltermann, K. Philipp et al., "Prospective evaluation of hemostatic system activation and thrombin potential in healthy pregnant women with and without factor V Leiden," *Thrombosis and Haemostasis*, vol. 82, no. 4, pp. 1232–1236, 1999.
- [10] N. Macklon, I. A. Greer, and A. W. Bowman, "An ultrasound study of gestational and postural changes in the deep venous system of the leg in pregnancy," *British Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology*, vol. 104, no. 2, pp. 191–197, 1997.
- [11] N. S. Macklon and I. A. Greer, "The deep venous system in the puerperium: an ultrasound study," *British Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology*, vol. 104, no. 2, pp. 198–200, 1997.
- [12] N. S. Macklon and I. A. Greer, "Venous thromboembolic disease in obstetrics and gynaecology: the Scottish experience," *Scottish Medical Journal*, vol. 41, no. 3, pp. 83–86, 1996.
- [13] P. Lindqvist, B. Dahlbäck, and K. Maršál, "Thrombotic risk during pregnancy: a population study," *Obstetrics and Gynecology*, vol. 94, no. 4, pp. 595–599, 1999.
- [14] M. Knight, "Antenatal pulmonary embolism: risk factors, management and outcomes," *An International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology*, vol. 115, no. 4, pp. 453–461, 2008.
- [15] T. B. Larsen, H. T. Sørensen, M. Gislum, and S. P. Johnsen, "Maternal smoking, obesity, and risk of venous thromboembolism during pregnancy and the puerperium: a population-based nested case-control study," *Thrombosis Research*, vol. 120, no. 4, pp. 505–509, 2007.
- [16] P. Wells, D. R. Anderson, J. Bormanis et al., "Value of assessment of pretest probability of deep-vein thrombosis in clinical management," *The Lancet*, vol. 350, no. 9094, pp. 1795–1798, 1997.
- [17] S. M. Bates, I. A. Greer, I. Pabinger, S. Sofaer, and J. Hirsh, "Venous thromboembolism, thrombophilia, antithrombotic therapy, and pregnancy: American college of chest physicians

- evidence-based clinical practice guidelines (8th edition)," *Chest*, vol. 133, no. 6, pp. 844S–886S, 2008.
- [18] J. S. Ginsberg, "Noninvasive diagnosis of deep venous thrombosis: McMaster diagnostic imaging practice guidelines initiative," *Annals of Internal Medicine*, vol. 128, no. 8, pp. 663–677, 1998.
- [19] J. S. Ginsberg, "Erratum: noninvasive diagnosis of deep venous thrombosis: McMaster diagnostic imaging practice guidelines initiative," *Annals of Internal Medicine*, vol. 129, no. 5, p. 425, 1998.
- [20] M. K. Eskandari, H. Sugimoto, T. Richardson, M. W. Webster, and M. S. Makaroun, "Is color-flow duplex a good diagnostic test for detection of isolated calf vein thrombosis in high-risk patients?" *Angiology*, vol. 51, no. 9, pp. 705–710, 2000.
- [21] D. G. W. Fraser, A. R. Moody, P. S. Morgan, A. L. Martel, and I. Davidson, "Diagnosis of lower-limb deep venous thrombosis: a prospective blinded study of magnetic resonance direct thrombus imaging," *Annals of Internal Medicine*, vol. 136, no. 2, pp. 89–98, 2002.
- [22] J. F. Polak and D. L. Wilkinson, "Ultrasonographic diagnosis of symptomatic deep venous thrombosis in pregnancy," *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*, vol. 165, no. 3, pp. 625–629, 1991.
- [23] M. Nijkeuter and M. V. Huisman, "Diagnosing pulmonary embolism in pregnancy: is there a role for D-dimer as a stand-alone test?" *Critical Care Medicine*, vol. 34, no. 10, pp. 2701–2702, 2006.
- [24] M. S. To, B. J. Hunt, and C. Nelson-Piercy, "A negative D-dimer does not exclude venous thromboembolism (VTE) in pregnancy," *Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology*, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 222–223, 2008.
- [25] M. Abbassi-Ghanavati, L. G. Greer, and F. G. Cunningham, "Pregnancy and laboratory studies: a reference table for clinicians," *Obstetrics and Gynecology*, vol. 114, no. 6, pp. 1326–1331, 2009.
- [26] A. Nishii, Y. Noda, R. Nemoto et al., "Evaluation of D-dimer during pregnancy," *Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Research*, vol. 35, no. 4, pp. 689–693, 2009.
- [27] A. M. Groves, S. J. Yates, T. Win et al., "CT pulmonary angiography versus ventilation-perfusion scintigraphy in pregnancy: implications from a UK survey of doctors' knowledge of radiation exposure," *Radiology*, vol. 240, no. 3, pp. 765–770, 2006.
- [28] H. T. Winer-Muram, J. M. Boone, H. L. Brown, S. G. Jennings, W. C. Mabie, and G. T. Lombardo, "Pulmonary embolism in pregnant patients: fetal radiation dose with helical CT," *Radiology*, vol. 224, no. 2, pp. 487–492, 2002.
- [29] P. M. Boiselle, S. S. Reddy, P. A. Villas, A. Liu, and J. P. Seibyl, "Pulmonary embolus in pregnant patients: survey of ventilation-perfusion imaging policies and practices," *Radiology*, vol. 207, no. 1, pp. 201–206, 1998.
- [30] H. Blachere, V. Latrabe, M. Montaudon et al., "Pulmonary embolism revealed on helical CT angiography: comparison with ventilation-perfusion radionuclide lung scanning," *American Journal of Roentgenology*, vol. 174, no. 4, pp. 1041–1047, 2000.
- [31] R. Quiroz, N. Kucher, K. H. Zou et al., "Clinical validity of a negative computed tomography scan in patients with suspected pulmonary embolism: a systematic review," *Journal of the American Medical Association*, vol. 293, no. 16, pp. 2012–2017, 2005.
- [32] H. T. Winer-Muram, J. M. Boone, H. L. Brown, S. G. Jennings, W. C. Mabie, and G. T. Lombardo, "Pulmonary embolism in pregnant patients: fetal radiation dose with helical CT," *Radiology*, vol. 224, no. 2, pp. 487–492, 2002.
- [33] M. S. Parker, F. K. Hui, M. A. Camacho, J. K. Chung, D. W. Broga, and N. N. Sethi, "Female breast radiation exposure during CT pulmonary angiography," *American Journal of Roentgenology*, vol. 185, no. 5, pp. 1228–1233, 2005.
- [34] K. Scott, N. Rutherford, N. Fagermo, and K. Lust, "Use of imaging for investigation of suspected pulmonary embolism during pregnancy and the postpartum period," *Obstetric Medicine*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 20–23, 2011.
- [35] National Experts' Consensus Panels for Clinical Excellence in Thrombosis Management, "Prophylaxis of venous thromboembolism (VTE) in the hospitalized medical patient," Hospital Medicine Consensus Reports, 2003.
- [36] A. J. Comerota, V. Chouhan, R. N. Harada et al., "The fibrinolytic effects of intermittent pneumatic compression mechanism of enhanced fibrinolysis," *Annals of Surgery*, vol. 226, no. 3, pp. 306–313, 1997.
- [37] B. J. Sanson, A. W. A. Lensing, M. H. Prins et al., "Safety of low-molecular-weight heparin in pregnancy: a systematic review," *Thrombosis and Haemostasis*, vol. 81, no. 5, pp. 668–672, 1999.
- [38] J. Lepercq, J. Conard, A. Borel-Derlon et al., "Venous thromboembolism during pregnancy: a retrospective study of enoxaparin safety in 624 pregnancies," *British Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology*, vol. 108, no. 11, pp. 1134–1140, 2001.
- [39] J. S. Ginsberg, G. Kowalchuk, J. Hirsh, P. Brill-Edwards, and R. Burrows, "Heparin therapy during pregnancy. Risks to the fetus and mother," *Archives of Internal Medicine*, vol. 149, no. 10, pp. 2233–2236, 1989.
- [40] I. A. Greer and C. Nelson-Piercy, "Low-molecular-weight heparins for thromboprophylaxis and treatment of venous thromboembolism in pregnancy: a systematic review of safety and efficacy," *Blood*, vol. 106, no. 2, pp. 401–407, 2005.
- [41] H. Carp, M. Dolitzky, and A. Inbal, "Thromboprophylaxis improves the live birth rate in women with consecutive recurrent miscarriages and hereditary thrombophilia," *Journal of Thrombosis and Haemostasis*, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 433–438, 2003.
- [42] F. Forestier, F. Daffos, and M. Capella-Pavlovsky, "Low molecular weight heparin (PK 10169) does not cross the placenta during the second trimester of pregnancy study by direct fetal blood sampling under ultrasound," *Thrombosis Research*, vol. 34, no. 6, pp. 557–560, 1984.
- [43] I. A. Greer and C. Nelson-Piercy, "Low-molecular-weight heparins for thromboprophylaxis and treatment of venous thromboembolism in pregnancy: a systematic review of safety and efficacy," *Blood*, vol. 106, no. 2, pp. 401–407, 2005.
- [44] A. E. M. Verdonkschot, W. L. E. Vasmel, S. Middeldorp, and J. T. M. van der Schoot, "Skin reactions due to low molecular weight heparin in pregnancy: a strategic dilemma," *Archives of Gynecology and Obstetrics*, vol. 271, no. 2, pp. 163–165, 2005.
- [45] A. Gerhardt, R. B. Zotz, M. Stocksclaeder, and R. E. Scharf, "Fondaparinux is an effective alternative anticoagulant in pregnant women with high risk of venous thromboembolism and intolerance to low-molecular-weight heparins and heparinoids," *Thrombosis and Haemostasis*, vol. 97, no. 3, pp. 496–497, 2007.
- [46] F. Lagrange, C. Vergnes, J. L. Brun et al., "Absence of placental transfer of pentasaccharide (fondaparinux, arixtra®) in the dually perfused human cotyledon in vitro," *Thrombosis and Haemostasis*, vol. 87, no. 5, pp. 831–835, 2002.
- [47] C. E. H. Dempfle, "Minor transplacental passage of fondaparinux in vivo," *The New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 350, no. 18, pp. 1914–1915, 2004.
- [48] I. D. Walker, J. L. Kujovich, I. A. Greer et al., "The use of LMWH in pregnancies at risk: new evidence or perception?"

- Journal of Thrombosis and Haemostasis*, vol. 3, no. 4, pp. 778–793, 2005.
- [49] P. G. Lindqvist and J. Merlo, “Low molecular weight heparin for repeated pregnancy loss: is it based on solid evidence?” *Journal of Thrombosis and Haemostasis*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 221–223, 2005.
- [50] V. A. Rodie, A. J. Thomson, F. M. Stewart, A. J. Quinn, I. D. Walker, and I. A. Greer, “Low molecular weight heparin for the treatment of venous thromboembolism in pregnancy: a case series,” *An International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology*, vol. 109, no. 9, pp. 1020–1024, 2002.
- [51] A. J. Thomson and I. A. Greer, *Thromboembolic disease in pregnancy and the puerperium: acute management*, Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists, London, UK, 2001, Guideline No 20.
- [52] J. Wesseling, D. van Driel, H. S. A. Heymans et al., “Coumarins during pregnancy: long-term effects on growth and development of school-age children,” *Thrombosis and Haemostasis*, vol. 85, no. 4, pp. 609–613, 2001.
- [53] H. C. Lee, S. Y. Cho, H. J. Lee, C. J. Kim, J. S. Park, and J. G. Chi, “Warfarin-associated fetal intracranial hemorrhage: a case report,” *Journal of Korean Medical Science*, vol. 18, no. 5, pp. 764–767, 2003.
- [54] S. Pati and G. D. Helmbrecht, “Congenital schizencephaly associated with in utero warfarin exposure,” *Reproductive Toxicology*, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 115–120, 1994.
- [55] R. G. Leyh, S. Fischer, A. Ruhparwar, and A. Haverich, “Anticoagulation for prosthetic heart valves during pregnancy: is low-molecular-weight heparin an alternative?” *European Journal of Cardio-thoracic Surgery*, vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 577–579, 2002.
- [56] N. Vitale, M. De Feo, L. S. De Santo, A. Pollice, N. Tedesco, and M. Cotrufo, “Dose-dependent fetal complications of warfarin in pregnant women with mechanical heart valves,” *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*, vol. 33, no. 6, pp. 1637–1641, 1999.