#### **RESEARCH PAPER**

Taylor & Francis Taylor & Francis Group

OPEN ACCESS OPEN ACCESS

## Production and purification of endogenously modified tRNA-derived small RNAs

Aleksej Drino<sup>a\*</sup>, Vera Oberbauer<sup>a\*</sup>, Conor Troger<sup>a</sup>, Eva Janisiw **D**<sup>a</sup>, Dorothea Anrather **D**<sup>b</sup>, Markus Hartl **D**<sup>b</sup>, Steffen Kaiser<sup>c</sup>, Stefanie Kellner **D**<sup>c</sup>, and Matthias R. Schaefer **D**<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Division of Cell and Developmental Biology, Center for Anatomy and Cell Biology, Medical University Vienna, Vienna, Austria; <sup>b</sup>Mass Spectrometry Facility, Max Perutz Laboratories (MPL), Vienna Biocenter (VBC), Vienna, Austria; <sup>c</sup>Department of Chemistry, LMU Munich, Munich, Germany

#### ABSTRACT

During particular stress conditions, transfer RNAs (tRNAs) become substrates of stress-induced endonucleases, resulting in the production of distinct tRNA-derived small RNAs (tsRNAs). These small RNAs have been implicated in a wide range of biological processes, but how isoacceptor and even isodecoder-specific tsRNAs act at the molecular level is still poorly understood. Importantly, stress-induced tRNA cleavage affects only a few tRNAs of a given isoacceptor or isodecoder, raising the question as to how such limited molecule numbers could exert measurable biological impact. While the molecular function of individual tsRNAs is likely mediated through association with other molecules, addressing the interactome of specific tsRNAs has only been attempted by using synthetic RNA sequences. Since tRNAs carry post-transcriptional modifications, tsRNAs are likely modified but the extent of their modifications remains largely unknown. Here, we developed a biochemical framework for the production and purification of specific tsRNAs using human cells. Preparative scale purification of tsRNAs from biological sources should facilitate experimentally addressing as to how exactly these small RNAs mediate the multitude of reported molecular functions. **ARTICLE HISTORY** 

Received 25 October 2019 Revised 12 February 2020 Accepted 18 February 2020

#### KEYWORDS

tRNA; tRNA fragments; RNA modifications; stress

## Introduction

Transfer RNAs (tRNAs) are crucial adaptor molecules for the decoding of messenger RNA (mRNA) during protein synthesis. Besides fulfiling this canonical function, tRNAs are also the source of a heterogeneous class of small RNAs, often called tRNA-derived small RNAs (tsRNAs), which have been the subject of intense scrutiny in recent years. An increasing body of work has assigned functional relevance to various tsRNAs because their detection and occurrence are associated with cellular stress and immune responses, cell proliferation and differentiation [1,2], but also ill-understood phenomena such as RNAbased inheritance of extra-chromosomal information between generations [3-6]. tsRNAs have been extensively sequenced resulting in efforts to correctly map and annotate the copy number and sequence identity ofs these small RNAs [7]. However, since tRNAs carry various post-transcriptional modifications, RNA modification-related biases largely disqualify sequencing-based methods from quantifying tRNA and tsRNA abundance [8-12] and, therefore, the full extent of the tsRNA pool in a given biological sample remains largely unknown. Importantly, northern blotting on total RNAs indicated that only 0.1-5% of a given tRNA isoacceptor becomes processed into tsRNAs [13], raising the question as to how such low small RNA quantities could be biologically effective in various and seemingly diverse biological processes.

The biogenesis of different tsRNA species can be attributed to endonucleolytic activities targeting pre-tRNAs or matured tRNAs in the tRNA loop structures (D-, anticodon-, variable-, and T-loops). The best understood mechanism of tsRNA production is stress-induced tRNA fragmentation by anticodon nucleases (ACNases), which is a conserved hallmark of the eukaryotic stress response. Two eukaryotic ACNase protein families (RNase A and T2) specifically cleave matured and fulllength tRNAs in response to stress. Mammalian cells express Angiogenin (ANG) [14–16], an RNase A-family enzyme that is kept inactive by binding to its inhibitor, RNH1. Upon stress exposure, RNH1 becomes phosphorylated and releases ANG, which trans-locates from the nucleus to the cytoplasm where the enzyme targets single-stranded RNA sequences in tRNAs with a preference for pyrimidine-purine dinucleotides [17]. ANG cleavage of RNA substrates results in 5' tsRNAs containing a 2'-3'-cyclic phosphate at their 3'-end and 3' tsRNAs containing a 5'-OH moiety. The reproducible production of distinct stressinduced tsRNAs has been reported after starvation [18], oxidative stress [13,19,20], nutritional deficiency [21], hypoxia and hypothermia [22,23], heat shock or irradiation [13,24,25]. While many tRNAs could be ANG substrates, stress-induced ANG activity only affects a fraction of a particular tRNA isoacceptor and isodecoder pool [10,26]. How such limitation is achieved remains unclear. Importantly, tRNAs are the most heavily modified RNAs in any cell type [27,28]. While modifications in the anticodon loop contribute to the optimization of mRNA decoding, modifications that occur outside the anticodon loop (also called core modifications) serve largely structural roles during

**CONTACT** Matthias R. Schaefer 🔯 matthias.schaefer@meduniwien.ac.at 🖬 Division of Cell and Developmental Biology, Medical University Vienna, Center for Anatomy and Cell Biology, Schwarzspanierstraße 17, ViennaA-1090, Austria

\*These authors contributed equally to this work.

© 2020 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

This article was originally published with errors, which have now been corrected in the online version. Please see Correction (http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/15476286.2020.1749438) Supplemental data for this article can be accessed here.

tRNA processing and maturation [29], but have also been implicated in modulating access of endonucleases. Since stressinduced tsRNAs are likely derived from modified tRNAs, they likely also carry chemical modifications. However, the modification status of individual tsRNAs has not been determined yet. In addition, tsRNA functionality has largely been addressed after re-introducing mostly synthetic RNA sequences into various biological systems, thereby ignoring the potential impact of RNA modifications on tsRNA-mediated silencing of complementary RNA reporters [30], on tsRNA-mediated modulation of embryonic stem cells and early mammalian embryonic development [31,32], on tsRNA-mediated regulation of heterochromatin [33], on tsRNA-mediated suppression of retrotransposons [34,35], on tsRNA-mediated translational enhancement of specific proteins [36] or when probing for protein and RNA binders to specific tsRNA sequences [20,32,37-40]. Predictably, various RNA modifications can affect the hybridization behaviour of RNAs [29,41] or their interactions with proteins [42] and therefore, it would be advisable to utilize modified tsRNAs, rather than synthetic sequences, when addressing and testing their potential for biological impact.

Here, we set out to develop a biochemical framework to purify large amounts of specific tsRNAs, which might allow addressing the biological function of endogenous tsRNAs. As proof of concept, a scalable purification protocol for specific tsRNAs carrying post-transcriptional modifications was established. To this end, two 5' tsRNA species derived from tRNA-Gly<sup>GCC</sup> and tRNA-Glu<sup>CUC</sup>, which are dominantly featured in tsRNA-related literature, were purified from human cells using chromatographic and hybridization-based methods. The posttranscriptional modification status of purified tsRNAs was determined using LC-MS/MS. To show the applicability of this approach, purified tsRNAs were used for RNA affinity capture experiments and for the approximation of actual copy numbers of specific and stress-induced 5' tsRNAs in a human cell line.

### Results

## Inorganic arsenite-induced tRNA fragmentation coincides with increased cell death

5' tsRNA-Gly<sup>GCC</sup> and 5' tsRNA-Glu<sup>CUC</sup> are two tsRNA species representing tRNA halves that are often detected in biological samples as very abundant, especially during stress conditions. To produce these tsRNAs, HEK293 cells were treated with inorganic sodium arsenite (iAs), whose impact on cellular stress responses can be monitored by the amount of phosphorylated eukaryotic initiation factor 2a (Fig. 1A). Northern blotting for tRNA-GlyGCC and tRNA-GluCUC showed tRNA cleavage during the acute stress response to iAs with increased tsRNA levels being detectable 24 h after removing the stressor (Fig. 1B). Of note, dose-response measurements taken 24 h after exposure to acute iAs exposure revealed reduced cell viability exactly at iAs concentrations (between 250 and 500 µM, supplementary Figures 1A and B) that are commonly used as a conduit for the reproducible induction of stress granule formation and tRNA fragmentation. These results indicated limits to using the iAs-mediated

oxidative stress response for producing large numbers of tsRNAs without affecting cell viability.

## In vivo tRNA fragmentation using an overexpression system for human ANG

In an attempt to increase tsRNA production, while avoiding excessive stress-induced cell death and overcoming the inhibitory regulation of endogenous ANG, an inducible ANGexpression system was established. Using the Flp-In<sup>™</sup>-T-REx<sup>™</sup> system, human ANG-HA-FLAG (ecANG) was stably inserted into the genome of HEK293 cells. Addition of doxycycline (Dox) induced ecANG expression (Fig. 1C) and resulted in the production of 5' tsRNAs that were comparable in size distribution to iAs-induced 5' tsRNAs (Fig. 1D, E). Importantly, while ecANG localized to visible granules (supplementary Figure 1C) without causing lethality, expression of ecANG affected cell proliferation in a statistically significant manner between 2 and 3 days after constant Dox induction (supplementary Figure 1D). These observations indicated that ectopic expression of ANG could be used for tsRNA production independent of using a stress paradigm. The data also showed that extended ecANG expression, while negatively affecting cell proliferation, was insufficient to fragment the tested tRNA isoacceptor (tRNA-Gly<sup>GCC</sup>) to completion, suggesting effective cellular control mechanisms limiting excessive ANG activity.

## In vitro tRNA fragmentation using secreted ecANG

Recombinant ANG has been used to fragment purified tRNA in vitro [22,43]. Since ANG is secreted from cells [44,45], cell culture supernatants from Flp-In<sup>m</sup> T-Rex<sup>m</sup> 293 cells expressing ecANG were collected and ecANG was immuno-precipitated via its FLAG-tag (supplementary Figure 1E). Precipitated ecANG was used for tsRNA production on gel-purified total tRNAs. Northern blotting on the cleavage reactions using probes against the 5' half of tRNA-Gly<sup>GCC</sup> showed that secreted and immuno-precipitated human Angiogenin can be used to produce scalable quantities of specific tsRNAs *in vitro* (Fig. 1F and supplementary Figure 1F).

### In vitro tRNA fragmentation using DNAzymes

DNAzymes are short deoxyribonucleic acids displaying RNA hydrolysing activity, which can be designed to cleave RNAs with site-directed specificity. As an alternative to ANG-mediated cleavage of tRNAs, DNAzymes of the 10–23 variant [46–48] were designed to target human tRNA-Gly<sup>GCC</sup> between G34-C35 (variant 1, v1) or A37-C38 (variant 2, v2), respectively (Fig. 1G). Small RNAs were purified from HEK293 cells using ion-exchange chromatography (anIEX) followed by RNA affinity capture using 5' covalently immobilized amino-modified DNA oligonucleotides complementary to the 5' end of tRNA-Gly<sup>GCC</sup>. Purified tRNA-Gly<sup>GCC</sup> was subjected to DNAzyme activity *in vitro*. Northern blotting using probes against the 5' half of tRNA-Gly<sup>GCC</sup> showed almost quantitative hydrolysis into tsRNAs when using v1 while v2 did not hydrolyse tRNA-Gly<sup>GCC</sup> to an appreciable extent (Fig. 1H). Of note, previous attempts



Figure 1. In vivo and in vitro production of tsRNAs.

(A) Western blotting on HEK293 cell protein extract before and after exposure to inorganic arsenite (iAs) using antibodies against phosphorylated elF2α and β-Actin as a loading control. Cells were treated at 70% confluency for 1 h with 0.1 or 0.5 mM iAs followed by wash-out of iAs and recovery for up to 24 h. (B) Northern blotting of total RNA (3 µg) extracted from HEK293 cells exposed to 0.5 mM iAs using 5' probes against tRNA-Gly<sup>GCC</sup> and tRNA-Glu<sup>CUC</sup> (annealing of probe in full-length tRNA according to cartoon). Black arrowheads: full-length tRNAs; red arrowheads: tsRNAs.(C) Western blotting on Flp-In™ T-Rex™ 293-ecANG cell protein extracts obtained from cells that were exposed to Doxycycline (Dox) for three consecutive days before wash-out (grey arrowhead) and further incubation for 2 days. In addition, HEK293 cells transiently transfected with a Doxinducible ANG-containing plasmid were induced with Dox (T). Membranes were probed with antibodies against the epitope-tag (HA), against ANG (hANG) and β-Actin as a loading control. (D) Northern blotting of total RNA (3 µg) extracted from Flp-In<sup>™</sup> T-Rex<sup>™</sup> 293-ecANG cells exposed to Dox and cultured without Dox (grey arrowhead: wash-out) using a 5' probe against tRNA-Gly<sup>GCC</sup> as described in (B). Black arrowheads: full-length tRNAs; red arrowheads: tsRNAs.(E) Northern blotting of total RNA (2.5 µg) extracted from HEK293 cells exposed to iAs for different times and Flp-In<sup>™</sup> T-Rex<sup>™</sup> 293-ecANG cells exposed to Dox for 24 h and using a 5' probe against tRNA-Gly<sup>GCC</sup> as described in (B). Lower image (high gain) represents a digitally enhanced region of the upper image (low gain) for better visualization of tsRNAs. Black arrowhead: full-length tRNAs; red arrowhead: tsRNAs.(F) Northern blotting of total tRNA fraction (500 ng) subjected to recombinant ANG (100 ng) or ecANG precipitated from Flp-In<sup>™</sup> T-Rex<sup>™</sup> 293-ecANG cell culture supernatant. Different amounts of precipitated ecANG on beads were exposed to tRNAs followed by probing against tRNA-GlyGCC as described in (B). Black arrowhead: full-length tRNAs; red arrowhead: tsRNAs.(G) Cartoon summarizing the design of two DNAzymes (10-23 variant), which address the phosphodiester bonds between G34-C35 (v1, red dots) or A37-C38 (v2, green dots) in tRNA-GlyGCC, respectively. Black dots depict the anticodon triplet, while grey shadows indicate 3' CCA-addition in full-length tRNAs.(H) Northern blotting of purified tRNA-Gly<sup>GCC</sup> (500 ng) subjected to 30x melting and DNAzyme activity cycles followed by probing against tRNA-Gly<sup>GCC</sup> as described in (B). Black arrowhead: fulllength tRNAs; red arrowhead: 5' tsRNAs resulting from DNAzyme (v1); green arrowhead: position of expected 5' tsRNAs resulting from DNAzyme (v2) activity. (I) Northern blotting of purified tRNA-Gly<sup>GCC</sup> (500 ng) subjected to recombinant ANG (100 ng), ecANG precipitated from Flp-In<sup>TM</sup> T-Rex<sup>TM</sup> 293-ecANG cell culture supernatant or DNAzyme activity (v1, 30x melting cycles) using a probe against tRNA-GlyGCC as described in (B). Black arrowhead: full-length tRNAs; red arrowhead: 5' tsRNAs common to all approaches; grey arrowhead: additional 5' tsRNA species only detectable using recombinant ANG.

targeting the phosphodiester bond between positions 37-38 in a different tRNA (Drosophila melanogaster tRNA-Asp<sup>GUC</sup>) vielded also limited cleavage [48] indicating a common interference with DNAzyme efficiency at exactly this position. While the purine-pyrimidine context at this position in both human tRNA-Gly<sup>GCC</sup> and *Drosophila* tRNA-Asp<sup>GUC</sup> is ApC, this dinucleotide is the least addressable context for DNAzymes according to (46). In addition, C38 in both tRNAs is modified by Dnmt2/TRDMT1 proteins, which might negatively affect cleavage yield as has been observed for other RNA modifications [49]. These observations indicated that while DNAzymes could be used as tools for tRNA fragmentation, the existence of particular RNA modifications in tRNAs purified from endogenous sources might impact DNAzyme reaction efficiency, which necessitates the informed design of DNAzymes that target tRNAs at unmodified positions.

## Comparison of approaches used for in vitro tRNA fragmentation

When comparing the tRNA fragmentation efficiencies mediated by recombinant ANG, immuno-purified ecANG or by DNAzyme v1, ecANG was able to quantitatively fragment tRNA-Gly<sup>GCC</sup> (Fig. 1I). Of note, while DNAzyme v1 produced 5' tsRNAs migrating with expected mobility (34 nts), in vitrotargeting of recombinant ANG or immunoprecipitated ecANG to tRNA-Gly<sup>GCC</sup> resulted in 5' tsRNAs migrating with slightly different mobility (Fig. 1I). ANG hydrolyses preferentially CpA in single-stranded RNA, but also CpC and CpG dinucleotides can be targeted [17,50,51]. Human tRNA-Gly<sup>GCC</sup> contains C35-C36, C36-A37 and a C38-G39 in the anticodon and ANGmediated creation of two closely migrating 5' tsRNAs could be the result of structural changes caused by in vitro melting and refolding of tRNAs or by differences in the activities of recANG and ecANG. In addition, ANG cleavage creates 5' tsRNAs containing a 2'-3'-cyclic phosphate (cP) at their 3' ends, which increases their migration behaviour. Importantly, two distinct 5' tsRNA species could sometimes be observed also in vivo, especially during the stress recovery or when exposing cells to exceedingly high iAs concentrations (Fig. 1, supplementary Figure 1B and Figures below), which could have resulted in stochastic cP-ring opening since cP is susceptible to background hydrolysis in aqueous solutions [52]. These observations indicated that the outcomes of applying in vitro methodology for the production of specific tsRNAs can differ in respect to efficiency and identity of the resulting tsRNAs.

## Purification of specific stress-induced and ectopic ANG-produced tsRNAs

To produce tsRNAs in scalable quantities from endogenous sources, 5' tsRNA-Gly<sup>GCC</sup> and 5' tsRNA-Glu<sup>CUC</sup> were purified from iAs-treated or ecANG-expressing Flp-In<sup>m</sup> T-Rex<sup>m</sup> 293 cells by anIEX and affinity capture using 5' amino-modified DNA oligonucleotides (complementary to the target RNA) covalently immobilized on NHS-linked sepharose columns (Fig. 2A). Denaturing PAGE and northern blotting for target tRNAs (i.e., tRNA-Gly<sup>GCC</sup>) showed clear enrichment of 5' tsRNAs after the affinity capture step (Fig. 2B). To separate

5' tsRNAs from residual co-purified parental tRNAs, preparative SEC (prepSEC), RNaseH-mediated RNA removal or urea-PAGE gel elution was used (Fig. 2C, D). Both prepSEC and gel elution followed by RNA precipitation resulted in very reproducible tsRNA content. The calculated yield of such an RNA purification indicated that about 1–2  $\mu$ g of a particular 5' tsRNA species (about 90–180 pmoles) can be purified from the small RNA fraction (ca. 200  $\mu$ g RNA) obtained from about 100 million HEK293 cells (ca. 4 mg of total RNA) after exposure to iAs or expression of ecANG.

### Determining the identity of purified 5' tsRNAs

Northern blotting of purified 5' tsRNA-Gly<sup>GCC</sup> for other tRNA sequences showed very low cross-reactivity with 3' tsRNA-Gly<sup>GCC</sup> or 5' tsRNA-Glu<sup>CUC</sup> sequences indicating low contamination of the affinity capture eluate with other tRNA sequences (supplementary Figure 2A). Triplicate small RNA sequencing of ecANG-produced 5' tsRNA-Gly<sup>GCC</sup> revealed that 84.9% of all reads mapped to 5' tsRNA-Gly<sup>GCC</sup> while 14.3% were derived from the 5' end of the isoacceptor tRNA-Gly<sup>CCC</sup> (particularly from tRNA-Gly<sup>CCC-1.1</sup> and tRNA- $Gly^{CCC-1.2}$ , which share 100% sequence identity with the 5' half of tRNA-Gly<sup>GCC</sup>, Fig. 2E and supplementary Figure 2B). Similarly, after purification of 5' tsRNA-Glu<sup>CUC</sup> from ecANG cells, 83.5% of all reads mapped to 5' tsRNA-Glu<sup>CUC</sup> while 15.9% were derived from the 5' end of the isoacceptor tRNA-Glu<sup>UUC</sup>, which shares 96% sequence identity with the 5' half of tRNA-Glu<sup>CUC</sup> (Fig. 2E and supplementary Figure 2B). These results indicated that purification of particular 5' tsRNAs can be achieved with only minor contamination from other RNAs including other tRNA-derived sequences although we cannot absolutely exclude the co-purification of additional RNAs since sequencing-based RNA identification approaches suffer from amplification biases caused by particular RNA modifications (such as m<sup>1</sup>A), which often interfere with reverse transcription [12], thereby leading to an underrepresentation of particular sequencing reads and therefore an underestimation of RNA existence in the biological sample. Since the hybridization-based purification of particular tRNA-Gly and tRNA-Glu isoacceptors produced about 15% copurified tRNA isoacceptor sequences (Gly<sup>CCC</sup> and Glu<sup>UUC</sup>, respectively), we will, from here on, label tRNA-derived sequences as tRNA or 5' tsRNA for  $\mathrm{Gly}^{\mathrm{GCC/CCC}}$  and Glu<sup>CUC/UUC</sup>.

## Determining the modification status of purified tsRNAs

LC-MS/MS analyses can reproducibly quantify individual RNA modifications in purified tRNAs as shown by analysing six LC-MS/MS measurements on commercially available tRNA-Phe from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (supplementary Figure 3A and B confirming the analysis of *S.c.* tRNA-Phe published in [53]). 5' tsRNA-Gly<sup>GCC/CCC</sup> and 5' tsRNA-Glu<sup>CUC/UUC</sup> along with their remaining parental full-length tRNAs were purified from biological triplicate experiments after either iAs exposure or ecANG expression followed by LC-MS/MS analyses (Fig. 3, supplementary Tables 1 and 2). Absolute quantification of a subset of modifications in full-length tRNAs and 5' tsRNAs





#### Figure 2. Purification of specific tsRNAs.

(A) Flowchart summarizing the purification of specific tsRNAs from HEK293 cells exposed to acute stress conditions (iAs) or Flp-In<sup>TM</sup> T-Rex<sup>TM</sup> 293-ecANG cells induced with Dox for 48 h. (B) Northern blotting of total RNA (3  $\mu$ g) after small RNA enrichment using anion-exchange chromatography (post-IEX) and affinity capture of tRNA-Gly<sup>GCC</sup> -derived sequences from the small RNA pool using a 5' probe against tRNA-Gly<sup>GCC</sup> (annealing of probe in full-length tRNA according to cartoon). Black arrowhead: full-length tRNAs; red arrowhead: tsRNAs.(C) Northern blotting of RNA fractions obtained from size exclusion chromatography after affinity capture of tRNA-Gly<sup>GCC</sup> -derived sequences as described in (A) using a 5' probe against tRNA-Gly<sup>GCC</sup>. Lower panel shows the SYBR-stained PAGE-resolved RNA fractions containing both tRNAs and tsRNAs. Black arrowheads: full-length tRNAs; red arrowhead: tsRNAs.(D) Northern blotting of RNA suing a 5' probe against tRNA-Gly<sup>GCC</sup> derived sequences as described in (A), reverse transcription (RT) with a primer annealing to the 3'-end of tRNA-Gly<sup>GCC</sup> derived sequences as described in (A), reverse transcription (RT) with a primer annealing to the 3'-end of tRNA-Gly<sup>GCC</sup> followed by RNase H-digestion of full-length but not 5' tsRNAs. Black arrowhead: full-length tRNAs; red arrowhead: tsRNAs.(E) Bar-chart depicting the distribution of all small RNA sequencing reads (in %) from affinity-capture and SEC-purified tRNA-Gly<sup>GCC</sup> - and tRNA-Glu<sup>CUC</sup>-derived sequences.

revealed modifications predicted to reside in the 5' halves of parental tRNAs (N2'-methylguanosine, m<sup>2</sup> G in both 5' tsRNAs and 2'-O-methyluridine, Um in 5' tsRNA-GlyGCC/CCC) [27] albeit consistently with low stoichiometry indicating that both modified and unmodified tRNAs and their 5' tsRNA-derivatives were purified. Importantly, RNA modifications not reported in these tRNAs were either not present or detected at very low levels (i.e., m<sup>7</sup> G, m<sup>1</sup> G, m<sup>22</sup> G, Am, m<sup>6</sup>A) in targeted RNA species (Fig. 3C-G, supplementary Table 2). Furthermore, levels of tRNA modifications predicted to reside in the 3' halves of full-length tRNAs (3' of the ANG cleavage sites in the anticodon loop) such as  $m^5$  C,  $m^1$ A or  $m^5$  U(m) were very low to non-existent in purified tsRNAs (Fig. 3C-G, supplementary Table 2). Of note, quantification of dihydrouridine (D) was not possible due to the presence of D in the digestion cocktail introduced through the deaminase inhibitor tetrahydrouridine. These combined results indicated that tsRNA species purified from cells after iAsexposure or expression of ecANG consisted of a mix of RNA molecules with and without particular RNA modifications.

## Identification of proteins associating with endogenous 5' tsRNAs

RNA affinity capture of interacting proteins from cell extracts was performed using 5' tsRNA-Glu<sup>CUCUUC</sup> purified from Flp-In<sup>™</sup> T-Rex<sup>™</sup> 293-ecANG cells. To this end, 5' tsRNA-Glu<sup>CUC/UUC</sup> was 5' biotinylated and coupled to streptavidin-coated sepharose beads. Since virtually all iAs-induced 5' tsRNA-GlyGCC/CCC and 5' tsRNA-Glu<sup>CUC/UUC</sup> resided in the cytoplasm (Fig. 4A, B), fractionated cytoplasmic protein extracts (CPEs) from HEK293 cells growing under steady-state conditions or from cells subjected to acute iAs exposure were used for RNA affinity capture experiments. Mass spectrometry analysis of tryptic digests and label-free quantification (LFQ) of peptides was performed against empty streptavidin matrix (noRNA control) and an unrelated synthetic and unmodified RNA control (scrambled). The latter was introduced to differentiate between proteins that were associating specifically with 5' tsRNA-Glu  $^{\tilde{C}UC/UUC}$  and those that were general RNA binders without specificity to 5' tsRNA-Glu<sup>CUC/UUC</sup>. Label-free MS yielded a total of 1107 quantified proteins with at least one razor and unique peptide and a minimum of three LFQ values out of 12 experiments (supplementary Table 3). Two replicate experiments probing CPEs collected during steady-state conditions yielded 171 proteins with a positive fold change (log<sub>2</sub> ratio  $\ge 0$ ) in both, and at least a twofold change ( $\log_2$  ratio  $\ge 1$ ) in one of the replicates when normalized to noRNA control, and 168 proteins when normalized to the scrambled RNA control experiments (Fig. 4C, D, supplementary Figures 4A and B, supplementary Table 3). Furthermore, LFQ analysis of peptides obtained from probing CPEs collected after iAs-exposure yielded 104 proteins when normalized to noRNA control, and 56 proteins when normalized to the scrambled RNA control experiments again with a fold change  $\geq 1$  in both (log<sub>2</sub> ratio  $\geq 0$ ), and at least a twofold change  $(\log_2 \text{ ratio} \ge 1)$  in one of the replicates (Fig. 4E, F, supplementary Figure 4A and B, supplementary Table 3). These data revealed specific protein associations with 5' tsRNA-Glu^{\rm CUC/UUC} but not with a generic RNA sequence and suggest that stress conditions might lead to changes in tsRNA-associated proteins.

# Semi-quantification of tsRNA copy numbers per cell using purified tsRNAs

RNA modification-related biases in efficient reverse-transcription of tRNA-derived sequences, including various mapping issues, largely disqualifying sequencing-based methods from quantifying tRNA and tsRNA abundance [8-11]. Hence, the actual copy number of individual tRNAs or specific tsRNAs in any cell type under specific growth or stress conditions remains largely unknown. Microscale thermophoresis has been recently used to measure copy numbers of endogenous tRNAs [54]. As for tsRNAs, copy number estimates for particular tsRNA species have been attempted based on textbook calculations (for instance, in supplementary data in [20]), but these estimates remain experimentally unproven. In an attempt to approximate the copy number of specific tsRNAs in iAs-exposed HEK293 cells, 5' tsRNA-Gly<sup>GCC/CCC</sup> and 5' tsRNA-Glu<sup>CUC/UUC</sup> levels were determined semi-quantitatively using northern blotting. To this end, total RNA extracted from a defined number of stressed cells was probed along with a dilution series of purified endogenously modified tsRNAs. Radiographic signals from serial tsRNA dilutions were plotted as standard curve and quantified to calculate the relative mass of individual stress-induced tsRNAs species per cell. When measuring the average yield of total RNA after Trizol extraction, the calculation indicated that this extraction method yielded about 4.75 picograms RNA from one million HEK293 cells (according to NanoDrop quantification). Blotting 4.5 µg of total RNA from HEK293 cells before and after iAs exposure against a dilution of purified 5' tsRNAs resulted in signals that could be semiquantitatively and comparatively quantified. Ensuing calculations indicated that the copy number of tsRNAs in a single HEK293 cell after iAs-induced stress was about 14.000 for 5' tsRNA-Gly<sup>GCC/CCC</sup> (Fig. 5A, B) and about 12.000 for 5' tsRNA-Glu<sup>CUC/UUC</sup> Fig. 5C, D).

## Discussion

tRNA-derived small RNAs (tsRNAs) can be extracted from many small RNA sequencing data sets. The biological significance of these small RNAs has been ignored until recently because their varying abundance and heterogeneity made them likely remnants of tRNA maturation or tRNA degradation intermediates. The potential for biological impact of specific tsRNAs has only been recognized after discovering that stress-induced tRNA fragmentation resulting in tRNA halves is a conserved part of the eukaryotic stress response with particular effects on protein translation and cellular survival [13,19,20,55]. Ever since, an increasing number of reports has been assigning particular functions to specific tsRNAs suggesting rather defined molecular impact on all kinds of cellular processes, which are not necessarily limited to the cellular stress response. However, actual data on the mechanistic details as to how tsRNAs impact specific cellular processes remain scarce. For instance, it is unclear whether the few per cent of isoacceptor-specific tsRNAs act as single entities or rather in bulk with other tsRNAs. Furthermore, many of the current reviews re-iterate mere correlations by connecting tsRNA detection and abundance (mostly obtained from RNA sequencing data that have not been controlled for





(A-B) Cartoon depicting known RNA modifications in tRNA-Gly<sup>GCC-1.1</sup>/tRNA-Gly<sup>CCC-2.2</sup> and tRNA-Glu<sup>CUC-1.1</sup> (according to http://gtrnadb.ucsc.edu/), which can be captured by NHS-coupled complementary oligonucleotides (see supplementary Figure 2). Arrows and numbers show colour-coded modifications at specific positions. (C-D) Results from triplicate LC-MS/MS analysis of tRNA-Gly<sup>GCC/CCC</sup>-derived sequences purified from HEK293 cells that were exposed to acute stress conditions (iAs) or Flp-In<sup>TM</sup> T-Rex<sup>TM</sup> 293-ecANG cells that were induced with Dox for 48 h before RNA extraction and affinity capture of tRNA-derived sequences. tsRNAs and remaining parental tRNAs were co-purified from the same small RNA pool. (E-F) Results from triplicate LC-MS/MS of tRNA-Glu<sup>CUUC</sup>-derived sequences purified from HEK293 cells that were exposed to acute stress conditions (iAs) or Flp-In<sup>TM</sup> T-Rex<sup>TM</sup> 293-ecANG cells that were induced with Dox for 48 h before processing as in (C-D).Tables below charts depict expected and detected levels of RNA modifications per tRNA and tsRNA according to [27]. From three replicate measurements, plotted as single data points with mean and standard deviation. Asterisk denotes that signal in 1–3 replicates was below lower limit of quantification.

RNA modification-specific biases) with a wide range of cellular pathways, often without mentioning that the mechanistic underpinnings of tsRNA function have not yet been addressed (critically discussed in [1]). To better understand the mechanistic details of tsRNA function, improved methodology needs to be developed, which would allow measuring actual tsRNA copy numbers, localizing specific tsRNAs *in situ*, mapping tsRNA





(A) Western blotting on fractionated HEK293 cell protein extracts obtained from control cells and cells that were exposed to 0.5 mM iAs for 1 h followed by no or a recovery period of 24 h before cell harvesting. Membranes were probed with antibodies against phosphorylated eIF2α and β-Actin as a loading control. (B) Northern blotting of total RNA (3  $\mu$ g) extracted from fractionated HEK293 cell protein extract as described in (A) using 5' probes against tRNA-Gly<sup>GCC</sup> and tRNA-Glu<sup>CUC</sup> (annealing of probe in full-length tRNA according to cartoon). Lower image for tRNA-Glu<sup>CUC</sup> blot (high gain) represents a digitally enhanced region of the upper image (low gain) for better visualization of tsRNAs. Black arrowheads: full-length tRNAs; red arrowheads: tsRNAs.(C-F) Label-free quantitative comparison of protein enrichment in RNA affinity capture experiments using purified tRNAs. (C) Scatter plot of protein ratios of replicate control HEK293 CPEs (steady state C1, C2) with 5' tsRNA-Glu<sup>CUC/UUC</sup> (purified from Flp-In<sup>™</sup> T-Rex<sup>™</sup> 293-ecANG cells) versus no RNA controls. (D) Scatter plot of protein ratios of replicate control HEK293 CPEs (steady state C1, C2) with 5' tsRNA-Glu<sup>CUC/UUC</sup> (purified from Flp-In™ T-Rex™ 293-ecANG cells) versus scrambled RNA controls. (E) Scatter plot of protein ratios of replicates of iAs-exposed HEK293 CPEs (stress S1, S2) with 5' tsRNA-Glu<sup>CUC/UUC</sup> (purified from Flp-In<sup>™</sup> T-Rex<sup>™</sup> 293-ecANG cells) versus no RNA controls. (F) Scatter plot of protein ratios of replicates of iAsexposed HEK293 CPEs (stress S1, S2) with 5' tsRNA-Glu<sup>CUC/UUC</sup> (purified from Flp-In<sup>™</sup> T-Rex<sup>™</sup> 293-ecANG cells) versus scrambled RNA controls. A positive fold change (log<sub>2</sub> ratio [] 1) when normalized to control (no or scrambled RNA) is indicated in red.



#### Figure 5. Semi-quantification of tsRNA numbers using purified tsRNAs.

(A) Northern blotting of total RNA (4.5  $\mu$ g) extracted from HEK293 cells under steady state conditions and after exposure to iAs along with a dilution series of purified 5' tsRNA-Gly<sup>GCC/CCC</sup> obtained from Flp-In<sup>TM</sup> T-Rex<sup>TM</sup> 293-ecANG cells (72 h constant Dox induction) using a 5' probe against tRNA-Gly<sup>GCC</sup> (annealing of probe in full-length tRNA according to cartoon). Lower image (high gain) represents a digitally enhanced region of the upper image (low gain) for better visualization of tsRNAs. Black arrowhead: full-length tRNAs; red arrowheads: tsRNAs.(B) Plotting of radiographic intensity values (arbitrary units, AU) derived from northern blotting of 5' tsRNA-Gly<sup>GCC/CCC</sup> as described in (A). tsRNA mass was logarithmically plotted on the x-axis (picograms, pg). Trend line was used to derive mass values for radiographic intensities measured at the migration level of tsRNAs in control and iAs-exposed HEK293 cells (see A, high gain image). Black arrowhead: measured tsRNA mass without subtraction of control value; red arrowhead: measured tsRNA mass deter subtraction of control value. (C) Northern blotting of total RNA (4.5  $\mu$ g) extracted from HEK293 cells under steady state conditions and after exposure to iAs as described in (A) but probed with a 5' probe against tRNA-Glu<sup>CUC</sup> Lower image (high gain) represents a digitally enhanced region of the upper image (low gain) for better visualization of tsRNAs. Black arrowhead: termage (low gain) represents a digitally enhanced region of the upper image (low gain) for better visualization of tsRNAs. Black arrowhead: termage (low gain) represented to the value; (arbitrary units, AU) for 5' tsRNA-Glu<sup>CUC/UUC</sup> as described in (A). A trend line was used to derive mass values for radiographic intensity values (arbitrary units, AU) for 5' tsRNA-Glu<sup>CUC/UUC</sup> as described in (A). A trend line was used to derive mass values for radiographic intensity values (arbitrary units, AU) for 5' tsRNA-Glu<sup>CUC/UUC</sup> as described in (A). A trend line was used to derive mass values for

modification patterns and calculating their stoichiometry, as well as determining the molecular interactions of specific tsRNAs. The experimental basis for addressing most of these questions should be the availability of pure tsRNA sequences, preferably from cellular sources and conditions where and under which tsRNAs are being produced. This is important because tRNAs are the most highly modified tRNAs in any cell type, making it likely, but largely untested, that the functionality of specific tsRNAs depends on their modification state. Biochemical attempts for enriching small RNAs including tsRNA have been published [56]. However, reports that aimed at addressing molecular function or interactions of specific tsRNAs have almost exclusively used synthetic RNA sequences (with published exceptions [57]) thereby largely ignoring the possibility that tsRNA structure and function could be determined by RNA modifications. Theoretically, chemical RNA synthesis allows introducing modified nucleotides at specific positions given that these positions are known once such modification patterns have been determined.

However, practically, many modified nucleotides remain commercially unavailable, which necessitates (often) complicated chemical synthesis by expert laboratories. Furthermore, commercially available (unmodified) RNAs appear to contain trace amounts of modified nucleotides [58], which might affect experimental outcomes when testing synthetic or synthetically modified tsRNAs for structure–function relationships.

A potentially viable alternative is the purification of tsRNAs from endogenous sources, especially under *in vivo* conditions that promote tRNA fragmentation or after *in vitro* processing of purified tRNAs. This alternative is rooted in the previous success to purify individual tRNAs and systematically determine their modification patterns after hybridization-based affinity capture of target RNAs from complex samples followed by LC-MS/MS [59].

Here, we report on different *in vitro* and *in vivo* strategies for the production of tsRNAs carrying RNA modifications. While some of the *in vitro* approaches would allow quantitative fragmentation of input RNAs into tsRNAs, use of resulting tsRNAs obtained from these approaches needs to be matched with planned downstream applications. This might become important for planning specific experiments since denaturing and re-folding of tRNAs (serving as substrates for DNAzymes or purified ANG) might result in tsRNA identities that are different from stressinduced tsRNAs produced in a cellular environment and thereby affect experimental outcomes. Furthermore, our findings that particular tRNA positions carrying RNA modifications are not addressable by DNAzymes are informative since use of such DNAzymes would result in tsRNAs with particular modification patterns that are different from the once produced in a cellular context through the activity of particular endonucleases.

On the other hand, the presented *in vivo* approaches, which employ either endogenous or ectopic ANG activity in living cells, resulted in tsRNAs that were homogeneous in sequence identity but rather heterogeneous in RNA modification content. Quantitative RNA mass spectrometry for a select number of modifications in the HEK293 cells revealed that both purified tsRNAs and co-purified tRNAs were not quantitatively modified (expected mods/detected mods). These results could be explained by biological context but could also be rooted in technical bias introduced during the presented workflow for the purification of tRNA-derived sequences. For example, while database entries list particular mature tRNAs as modified at particular positions [27], the extent and stoichiometry of particular modifications in a given tRNA-isoacceptor or tRNA-isodecoder pool purified from different cell types has not been systematically determined. Of note, fast dividing cells such as cancer-derived cell lines showed upregulation of tRNA expression and deregulation of various tRNA modification enzymes [60,61], yet some tRNAs in cancer cells exhibited hypo-modification at specific positions [62] indicating that rapidly dividing cells might not always quantitatively introduce all RNA modifications or that absence of particular RNA modifications might be advantageous depending on the cell type and growth conditions. The latter point is supported by the existence of tRNAdemethylating activities [63,64] indicating that specific positions in individual tRNAs can be variably modified. Importantly, partial tRNA modification has been suggested to play a role in stressinduced reprogramming of protein translation [65,66]. Alternatively, overexpression of ANG outside stress response circuitry might cause ectopic tRNA fragmentation thereby resulting in tsRNAs originating from incompletely modified tRNAs.

In addition, also technical bias could have resulted in the affinity purification of a mix of modified, hypo-modified and non-modified tRNA-derived sequences. Since we employed hybridization-based affinity capture methodology with the aim of purifying specific tsRNAs from human cells exposed to stress conditions or after ectopically expressing the mammalian anticodon nuclease ANG, the use of DNA oligonucleotides matching an unmodified and not a modified tRNA-derived sequence might have affected the output of the purification procedure because of different hybridization efficiencies, which can be determined by RNA modifications.

In summary, the presented methods provide a modular framework for the systematic purification of specific tsRNAs from endogenous sources which could be used when addressing various unresolved aspects in tsRNA biology. As laid out in some of our follow-up experiments, purified tsRNAs containing quantifiable modification patterns in particular stoichiometry can be used for in vitro protein capture experiments, which, in combination with experiments using synthetic tsRNA sequences, could help addressing the impact of modifications on protein binding. Furthermore, purified tsRNAs could be used for the development of amplification-independent tsRNA quantification methods, which, in combination with using synthetic tsRNA sequences, might allow determining the effects of RNA modifications on hybridization-based read-outs. The values that we have calculated after northern blotting as to how many individual stress-induced tsRNAs might exist in a single cell fit well to previously obtained values (supplemental information in [20]) although such quantifications are inherently dependent on the initial RNA concentration measurements, the outcome of which can differ by magnitudes depending on the measurement method used [67,68]. In addition, the recently reported possibility of intraand intermolecular cross-hybridization between 5' tsRNA-Gly<sup>GCC</sup> and 5' tsRNA-Glu<sup>CUC</sup> in extracellular space [69] could be tested for modification-dependence using purified tsRNA species from extra-cellular fluids. Furthermore, reported tsRNA activities as bona fide small RNA entities with posttranscriptional gene regulatory functions akin to siRNAs or miRNAs [30,35] will need to be tested using endogenously produced tsRNAs since various RNA modifications affect the basepairing capabilities and therefore might modulate the activities that were originally reported for synthetic tsRNAs. Lastly, the recently reported effect of RNA modifications on the efficiency of sperm-borne small RNAs (including tsRNAs) for intergenerational transmission of paternally acquired metabolic disorders [70,71] raises the question as to which RNAs exactly contribute to these processes. The present consensus points towards a role for sperm-carried tsRNAs but the identity, exact modification status of individual tsRNA species and their abundance has not been addressed in molecular detail.

Taken together, while the described approaches lay the groundwork for the reproducible purification of specific tsRNA species in scalable fashion, these strategies represent basic workflows, which will need to be optimized, adjusted and extended depending on the application and type of experiment that will utilize purified tsRNAs.

## Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Austrian Science Foundation (FWF-P29094). AD is a fellow of the ÖAW DOC graduate program. Stefanie Kellner is funded by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG), Grant number: KE1943/3-1. Proteomics analyses were performed in the framework of the Vienna Life Science Instruments (VLSI) initiative at the Max Perutz Laboratories Mass Spectrometry Facility using the VBCF instrument pool.

## Contributions

MS and AD conceived experiments and wrote the manuscript. AD established and characterized Flp-In<sup>™</sup> T-Rex<sup>™</sup> 293-ecANG cells, established the methods for chromatography and affinity capture, performed northern and western blotting on ecANG experiments. AD and VO performed tRNA and tsRNA purifications. VO performed northern blotting for iAs-induced tRNA fragmentation and RNA affinity pull-down experiments. S. Kellner and S. Kaiser processed tRNA and tsRNA samples for LC-MS/MS, performed mass spectrometry and analyzed the data. CT and EJ performed ecANG purification and ribozyme-mediated *in vitro* transcription of synthetic RNAs. CT performed ecANG on beads fragmentation of tRNAs, 1114 👄 A. DRINO ET AL.

designed DNAzymes, performed *in vitro* cleavage assays and measured cell viability and tsRNA abundance. DA and MH performed analysis of protein mass spectrometry data and provided visualization of data.

## **Disclosure Statement**

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the author(s).

## Funding

This work was supported by the Austrian Science Fund [P 29094]; Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft [KE1943/3-1]; SFB "RNA Deco" (F8014-B) Österreichische Akademie der Wissenschaften [PhD Fellowship 24788].

### **Accession numbers**

Small RNA sequencing has been deposited at NCBI GEO database under accession number GSE139124.

## ORCID

Eva Janisiw () http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3306-1910 Dorothea Anrather () http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1677-2403 Markus Hartl () http://orcid.org/0000-0002-4970-7336 Stefanie Kellner () http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3224-7502 Matthias R. Schaefer () http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1952-8115

### References

- Oberbauer V, Schaefer MR. tRNA-derived small RNAs: biogenesis, modification, function and potential impact on human disease development. Genes (Basel). 2018;9:pii:E607.
- [2] Anderson P, Ivanov P. tRNA fragments in human health and disease. FEBS Lett. 2014;588:4297–4304.
- [3] Soares AR, Santos M. Discovery and function of transfer RNA-derived fragments and their role in disease. Wiley Interdiscip Rev RNA. 2017;8:e1423.
- [4] Lyons SM, Fay MM, Ivanov P. The role of RNA modifications in the regulation of tRNA cleavage. FEBS Lett. 2018;592:2828–2844.
- [5] Yan M, Zhai Q. Sperm tsRNAs and acquired metabolic disorders. J Endocrinol. 2016;230:F13–8.
- [6] Zhang Y, Shi J, Rassoulzadegan M, et al. Sperm RNA code programmes the metabolic health of offspring. Nat Rev Endocrinol. 2019;15:489–498.
- [7] Loher P, Telonis AG, Rigoutsos I. Accurate profiling and quantification of tRNA fragments from RNA-seq data: A Vade Mecum for MINTmap. Methods Mol Biol. 2018;1680:237–255.
- [8] Cozen AE, Quartley E, Holmes AD, et al. ARM-seq: alkB-facilitated RNA methylation sequencing reveals a complex landscape of modified tRNA fragments. Nat Methods. 2015;12:879–884.
- [9] Zheng G, Qin Y, Clark WC, et al. Efficient and quantitative high-throughput tRNA sequencing. Nat Methods. 2015;12:835–837.
- [10] Telonis AG, Loher P, Kirino Y, et al. Consequential considerations when mapping tRNA fragments. BMC Bioinformatics. 2016;17:123.
- [11] Helm M, Motorin Y. Detecting RNA modifications in the epitranscriptome: predict and validate. Nat Rev Genet. 2017;18:275–291.
- [12] Motorin Y, Muller S, Behm-Ansmant I, et al. Identification of modified residues in RNAs by reverse transcription-based methods. Meth Enzymol. 2007;425:21–53.
- [13] Yamasaki S, Ivanov P, Hu G-F, et al. Angiogenin cleaves tRNA and promotes stress-induced translational repression. J Cell Biol. 2009;185:35–42.

- [14] Saxena SK, Rybak SM, Davey RT, et al. Angiogenin is a cytotoxic, tRNA-specific ribonuclease in the RNase A superfamily. J Biol Chem. 1992;267:21982–21986.
- [15] Saxena SK, Sirdeshmukh R, Ardelt W, et al. Entry into cells and selective degradation of tRNAs by a cytotoxic member of the RNase A family. J Biol Chem. 2002;277:15142–15146.
- [16] Singh UP, Ardelt W, Saxena SK, et al. Enzymatic and structural characterisation of amphinase, a novel cytotoxic ribonuclease from Rana pipiens oocytes. J Mol Biol. 2007;371:93–111.
- [17] Rybak SM, Vallee BL. Base cleavage specificity of angiogenin with Saccharomyces cerevisiae and Escherichia coli 5S RNAs. Biochemistry. 1988;27:2288–2294.
- [18] Lee SR, Collins K. Starvation-induced cleavage of the tRNA anticodon loop in Tetrahymena thermophila. J Biol Chem. 2005;280:42744-42749.
- [19] Thompson DM, Lu C, Green PJ, et al. tRNA cleavage is a conserved response to oxidative stress in eukaryotes. RNA. 2008;14:2095–2103.
- [20] Ivanov P, Emara MM, Villen J, et al. Angiogenin-induced tRNA fragments inhibit translation initiation. Mol Cell. 2011;43:613–623.
- [21] Haussecker D, Huang Y, Lau A, et al. Human tRNA-derived small RNAs in the global regulation of RNA silencing. RNA. 2010;16:673–695.
- [22] Fu H, Feng J, Liu Q, et al. Stress induces tRNA cleavage by angiogenin in mammalian cells. FEBS Lett. 2009;583:437–442.
- [23] Hsieh L-C, Lin S-I, Shih A-C-C, et al. Uncovering small RNA-mediated responses to phosphate deficiency in Arabidopsis by deep sequencing. Plant Physiol. 2009;151:2120–2132.
- [24] Li Y, Luo J, Zhou H, et al. Stress-induced tRNA-derived RNAs: a novel class of small RNAs in the primitive eukaryote Giardia lamblia. Nucleic Acids Res. 2008;36:6048–6055.
- [25] Gebetsberger JJ, Zywicki MM, Künzi AA, et al. tRNA-derived fragments target the ribosome and function as regulatory noncoding RNA in Haloferax volcanii. Archaea. 2011;2012:260909–9.
- [26] Torres AG, Reina O, Attolini CSO, et al. Differential expression of human tRNA genes drives the abundance of tRNA-derived fragments. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2019;116:8451–8456.
- [27] Boccaletto P, Machnicka MA, Purta E, et al. MODOMICS: A database of RNA modification pathways. 2017 update. Nucleic Acids Res. 2018;46:D303–7.
- [28] Motorin Y, Lyko F, Helm M. 5-methylcytosine in RNA: detection, enzymatic formation and biological functions. Nucleic Acids Res. 2010;38:1415–1430.
- [29] Motorin Y, Helm M. tRNA stabilization by modified nucleotides. Biochemistry. 2010;49:4934–4944.
- [30] Maute RL, Schneider C, Sumazin P, et al. tRNA-derived microRNA modulates proliferation and the DNA damage response and is down-regulated in B cell lymphoma. Proc Nat Acad Sci. 2013;110:1404–1409.
- [31] Sharma U, Conine CC, Shea JM, et al. Biogenesis and function of tRNA fragments during sperm maturation and fertilization in mammals. Science. 2016;351:391–396.
- [32] Krishna S, Yim DG, Lakshmanan V, et al. Dynamic expression of tRNA-derived small RNAs define cellular states. EMBO Rep. 2019;20:e47789.
- [33] Boskovic A, Bing XY, Kaymak E, et al. Control of noncoding RNA production and histone levels by a 5' tRNA fragment. Genes Dev. 2019;34:1–14.
- [34] Schorn AJ, Gutbrod MJ, LeBlanc C, et al. LTR-retrotransposon control by tRNA-derived small RNAs. Cell. 2017;170:61–71. e11.
- [35] Martinez G, Choudury SG, Slotkin RK. tRNA-derived small RNAs target transposable element transcripts. Nucleic Acids Res. 2017;45:5142–5152.
- [36] Kim HK, Fuchs G, Wang S, et al. A transfer-RNA-derived small RNA regulates ribosome biogenesis. Nature. 2017;552:57–62.
- [37] Goodarzi H, Liu X, Nguyen HB, et al. Endogenous tRNA-derived fragments suppress breast cancer progression via YBX1 Displacement. Cell. 2015;161:790–802.

- [38] Guzzi N, Cieśla M, Ngoc PCT, et al. Pseudouridylation of tRNA-derived fragments steers translational control in stem cells. Cell. 2018;173:1204–1226.
- [39] Jackowiak P, Lis A, Luczak M, et al. Functional characterization of RNA fragments using high-throughput interactome screening. J Proteomics. 2019;193:173–183.
- [40] Falconi M, Giangrossi M, Elexpuru Zabaleta M, et al. A novel 3'tRNAGlu-derived fragment acts as a tumor-suppressor in breast cancer by targeting nucleolin. Faseb J. 2019;33:13228–13240.
- [41] Tanzer A, Hofacker IL, Lorenz R. RNA modifications in structure prediction – status quo and future challenges. Methods. 2019;156:32–39.
- [42] Lewis CJT, Pan T, Kalsotra A. RNA modifications and structures cooperate to guide RNA-protein interactions. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2017;18:202–210.
- [43] Schaefer M, Pollex T, Hanna K, et al. RNA methylation by Dnmt2 protects transfer RNAs against stress-induced cleavage. Genes Dev. 2010;24:1590–1595.
- [44] Fett JW, Strydom DJ, Lobb RR, et al. Isolation and characterization of angiogenin, an angiogenic protein from human carcinoma cells. Biochemistry. 1985;24:5480–5486.
- [45] Kurachi K, Davie EW, Strydom DJ, et al. Sequence of the cDNA and gene for angiogenin, a human angiogenesis factor. Biochemistry. 1985;24:5494–5499.
- [46] Santoro SW, Joyce GF. A general purpose RNA-cleaving DNA enzyme. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1997;94:4262–4266.
- [47] Cairns MJ, King A, Sun L-Q. Optimisation of the 10-23 DNAzyme-substrate pairing interactions enhanced RNA cleavage activity at purine-cytosine target sites. Nucleic Acids Res. 2003;31:2883-2889.
- [48] Hengesbach M, Meusburger M, Lyko F, et al. Use of DNAzymes for site-specific analysis of ribonucleotide modifications. RNA. 2008;14:180–187.
- [49] Buchhaupt M, Peifer C, Entian K-D. Analysis of 2'-O-methylated nucleosides and pseudouridines in ribosomal RNAs using DNAzymes. Anal Biochem. 2007;361:102–108.
- [50] Russo N, Acharya KR, Vallee BL, et al. A combined kinetic and modeling study of the catalytic center subsites of human angiogenin. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1996;93:804–808.
- [51] Shigematsu M, Morichika K, Kawamura T, et al. Genome-wide identification of short 2",3-"cyclic phosphate-containing RNAs and their regulation in aging. PLoS Genet. 2019;15:e1008469.
- [52] Honda S, Morichika K, Kirino Y. Selective amplification and sequencing of cyclic phosphate-containing RNAs by the cP-RNAseq method. Nat Protoc. 2016;11:476–489.
- [53] Barraud P, Gato A, Heiss M, et al. Time-resolved NMR monitoring of tRNA maturation. Nat Commun. 2019;10:3373–14.
- [54] Jacob D, Thüring K, Galliot A, et al. Absolute quantification of noncoding RNA by microscale thermophoresis. Angew Chem Int Ed Engl. 2019;58:9565–9569.

- [55] Ivanov P, O'Day E, Emara MM, et al. G-quadruplex structures contribute to the neuroprotective effects of angiogenin-induced tRNA fragments. Proc Nat Acad Sci. 2014;111:18201–18206.
- [56] Keam SP, Sobala A, Humphreys DT, et al. Computational analysis, biochemical purification, and detection of tRNA-derived small RNA fragments. Methods Mol Biol. 2014;1173:157–167.
- [57] Fricker R, Brogli R, Luidalepp H, et al. A tRNA half modulates translation as stress response in Trypanosoma brucei. Nat Commun. 2019;10:118–12.
- [58] Schmid K, Thüring K, Keller P, et al. Variable presence of 5-methylcytosine in commercial RNA and DNA. RNA Biol. 2015;12:0–1158.
- [59] Suzuki T, Suzuki T. A complete landscape of post-transcriptional modifications in mammalian mitochondrial tRNAs. Nucleic Acids Res. 2014;42:7346–7357.
- [60] Pavon-Eternod M, Gomes S, Geslain R, et al. tRNA over-expression in breast cancer and functional consequences. Nucleic Acids Res. 2009;37:7268–7280.
- [61] Zhang Z, Ye Y, Gong J, et al. Global analysis of tRNA and translation factor expression reveals a dynamic landscape of translational regulation in human cancers. Commun Biol. 2018;1:234.
- [62] Pathak C, Jaiswal YK, Vinayak M. Hypomodification of transfer RNA in cancer with respect to queuosine. RNA Biol. 2004;2:143-148.
- [63] Kawarada L, Suzuki T, Ohira T, et al. ALKBH1 is an RNA dioxygenase responsible for cytoplasmic and mitochondrial tRNA modifications. Nucleic Acids Res. 2017;45:7401–7415.
- [64] Liu F, Clark W, Luo G, et al. ALKBH1-mediated tRNA demethylation regulates translation. Cell. 2016;167:816–6.
- [65] Pan T. Modifications and functional genomics of human transfer RNA. Cell Res. 2018;37:7268.
- [66] Dedon PC, Begley TJ. A system of RNA modifications and biased codon use controls cellular stress response at the level of translation. Chem Res Toxicol. 2014;27:330–337.
- [67] Nakayama Y, Yamaguchi H, Einaga N, et al. Pitfalls of DNA quantification using DNA binding fluorescent dyes and suggested solutions. PLoS ONE. 2016;11:e0150528.
- [68] Garcia-Elias A, Alloza L, Puigdecanet E, et al. Defining quantification methods and optimizing protocols for microarray hybridization of circulating microRNAs. Sci Rep. 2017;7:14.
- [69] Tosar JP, Gámbaro F, Darré L, et al. Dimerization confers increased stability to nucleases in 5' halves from glycine and glutamic acid tRNAs. Nucleic Acids Res. 2018;9:654.
- [70] Chen Q, Yan M, Cao Z, et al. Sperm tsRNAs contribute to intergenerational inheritance of an acquired metabolic disorder. Science. 2016;351:397–400.
- [71] Zhang Y, Zhang X, Shi J, et al. Dnmt2 mediates intergenerational transmission of paternally acquired metabolic disorders through sperm small non-coding RNAs. Nat Cell Biol. 2018;20:535–540.