scientific reports

Check for updates

OPEN Mechanical power is associated with weaning outcome in critically ill mechanically ventilated patients

Yao Yan^{1,2}, Yongpeng Xie¹, Xiaobing Chen¹, Yan Sun¹, Zhiqiang Du², Yanli Wang¹ Xiaomin Li¹

Several single-center studies have evaluated the predictive performance of mechanical power (MP) on weaning outcomes in prolonged invasive mechanical ventilation (IMV) patients. The relationship between MP and weaning outcomes in all IMV patients has rarely been studied. A retrospective study was conducted on MIMIC-IV patients with IMV for more than 24 h to investigate the correlation between MP and weaning outcome using logistic regression model and subgroup analysis. The discriminative ability of MP, MP normalized to dynamic lung compliance (C_{dyn}-MP) and MP normalized to predicted body weight (PBW-MP) on weaning outcome were evaluated by analyzing the area under the receiver-operating characteristic (AUROC). Following adjustment for confounding factors, compared with the reference group, the Odds Ratio of weaning failure in the maximum MP, C_{dvn}-MP, and PBW-MP groups increased to 3.33 [95%CI (2.04-4.53), P<0.001], 3.58 [95%CI (2.27-5.56), P<0.001] and 5.15 [95%CI (3.58–7.41), P<0.001], respectively. The discriminative abilities of C_{dyn}-MP (AUROC 0.760 [95%CI 0.745–0.776]) and PBW-MP (AUROC 0.761 [95%CI 0.744–0.779]) were higher than MP (AUROC 0.745 [95%CI 0.730-0.761]) (P < 0.05). MP is associated with weaning outcomes in IMV patients and is an independent predictor of the risk of weaning failure. C_{dyn} -MP and PBW-MP showed higher ability in weaning failure prediction than MP.

Mechanical ventilation is an important life-saving support measure for Intensive Care Unit (ICU) patients; however, prolonged mechanical ventilation may lead to complications such as pneumonia, barotrauma, diaphragmatic insufficiency and ventilator atrophy¹. Simultaneously, delays in weaning are associated with increased risk of morbidity, mortality and prolonged hospital stay². Therefore, accurate assessment of weaning timing, following etiology improvement is of paramount importance in the management of patients on invasive mechanical ventilation. Intensive care professionals are expected to find a fine balance between delayed and premature weaning to avoid increased risks of ventilator-related complications, extubation failure and artificial airway reconstruction³.

Mechanical power (MP) is the energy delivered by the ventilator to the entire respiratory system per time unit and combines all factors affecting the energy load of the respiratory system, including pressure, tidal volume, flow rate and respiratory rate⁴. MP is primarily calculated as the product of the applied airway pressure and minute ventilation and can be used as an estimate of the workload exerted on the respiratory muscles during spontaneous breathing⁵. MP is a major determinant to ensure adequate gas exchange in the body and a key factor in assessing the ability of a patient to successfully wean from mechanical ventilation⁶.

Recent studies concluded that MP and MP normalized to dynamic lung compliance (C_{dyn}-MP) before the first spontaneous breathing trial (SBT) were independently associated with weaning outcomes in tracheotomized patients on prolonged mechanical ventilation and were identification markers for weaning failure in high-risk patients⁵⁻⁷. However, the number of tracheotomized patients involved in the study was low, came from a single center and no patients with tracheal intubation were included. Therefore, a larger scale clinical study is needed to further verify the relationship between MP and weaning outcomes in all critically ill mechanically ventilated patients. The present study aims to investigate the association between MP and weaning outcomes in mechanically ventilated patients based on the MIMIC-IV v1.0 database.

¹Department of Emergency Medicine, Lianyungang Clinical College of Nanjing Medical University, The First People's Hospital of Lianyungang City, Lianyungang 222000, Jiangsu, China. ²Department of Critical Care Medicine, The Second People's Hospital of Lianyungang City, Lianyungang 222000, Jiangsu, China. [™]email: wylwjc123456@163.com; lyglxm1@163.com

Methods

This is a retrospective study based on the Medical Information Mart for Intensive Care IV v1.0 (MIMIC-IV), which contains comprehensive, high-quality data on 76,540 ICU admissions at Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center in Boston (BIDMC), Massachusetts, from 2008 to 2019. The researcher (YY) completed the online course "Protecting Human Research Participants" offered by the National Institutes of Health, gained access to the data-base and was responsible for data extraction (Certification Number: 41699414). This study was an analysis of a third-party anonymous public database. All methods were carried out in accordance with the relevant guidelines and regulations. The database was approved by the BIDMC and the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT) Institutional Review Board (IRB) with an informed consent waiver.

Patient selection. All patients in the MIMIC-IV database were screened and the following inclusion criteria were used: (i) age \geq 18 years at the time of ICU admission; (ii) invasive mechanical ventilation time of at least 24 h; (iii) first time ICU admission. Exclusion criteria included: (i) lack of SBT records, non-first SBT records at ICU admission, and non-first extubation records; (ii) patients with incomplete ventilation data required to calculate MP; (iii) patients without T-tube test for SBT weaning. T-tube test refers to a trial of spontaneous breathing where the patient was disconnected from the ventilator and allowed to breathe spontaneously through a T-tube circuit for up to 30 min or up to 120 min with the FiO₂ set at the same level as that used during mechanical ventilation. The patients were divided into weaning success group and weaning failure group, according to their weaning outcomes. Further division according to the time from the first SBT to extubation was performed, with the weaning failure group divided into group 1 (\leq 24 h), group 2 (2–7 days), and group 3 (>7 days).

Data collection. Data was extracted from the database using SQL using Navicat Premium version 15.0.9 (PremiumSoft, Navicat, CN). Age, gender, body mass index (BMI), smoking history, simplified acute physiology score II (SAPS II), sequential organ failure assessment (SOFA), sources of admission, comorbidities, intubation time, mechanical ventilation start time, start and end time of the first SBT, end time of mechanical ventilation, time of first extubation and second intubation, and time of the first non-invasive ventilation after extubation were recorded. Comorbidities such as hypertension, diabetes, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), congestive heart failure, coronary artery disease, chronic kidney disease, and stroke were collected according to the ICD-9 codes recorded in the MIMIC-IV database. The average value of each 4-h time frame of respiratory mechanics parameters within 24 h before the first SBT was recorded, including tidal volume (V_T), respiratory rate (RR), peak inspiratory pressure (P_{peak}), plateau pressure (P_{plat}), positive end expiratory pressure (PEEP) and minute ventilation (MV). The patient's rapid shallow breathing index (RSBI) was recorded at the bedside before the first SBT. RSBI was calculated by dividing respiratory rate by tidal volume, that is RSBI = RR /V_T. The mean values of physiological parameters, including vital signs and arterial blood gas analysis, were recorded during SBT. At the same time, laboratory indicators such as white blood cell count (WBC), platelets (PLT), hemoglobin (Hb), albumin, serum creatinine (SCr) and Urine output rate (Uorate) before the start of SBT were recorded.

Calculation of MP. Total respiratory rate (RR) in MIMIC-IV database was used for current analysis. Positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP) as recorded was the external or applied PEEP, not the total PEEP, or intrinsic PEEP. The plateau pressure (P_{plat}) was measured during an inspiratory pause on the ventilator. Peak inspiratory pressure (P_{peak}) should be obtained while the patient is relaxed, not coughing or moving in bed. MP was calculated according to Gattinoni's simplified mechanical power equation as follows⁴:

$$MP(J/min) = 0.098 \times V_{T} \times RR \times (P_{peak} - 0.5 \times \Delta P).$$

Driving pressure (ΔP) in the ventilation mode was calculated using P_{plat} and PEEP:

$$\Delta P (cmH_2O) = P_{plat} - PEEP.$$

Dynamic lung compliance (C_{dyn}) refers to the change in lung volume caused by a unit pressure change, reflecting the compliance of the overall respiratory system⁷ and was calculated using V_T and ΔP_{aw} :

$$C_{dvn} = V_T / \Delta P_{aw}$$

Dynamic driving pressure (ΔP_{aw}) in the ventilation mode was calculated using P_{peak} and PEEP⁸:

$$\Delta P_{aw}(cmH_2O) = P_{peak} - PEEP$$

MP normalized to dynamic lung compliance (C_{dyn} -MP) was calculated using MP and C_{dyn} ³:

$$C_{dyn}$$
-MP $(J/min \times cmH_2O/ml \times 10^{-3}) = MP / C_{dyn}$.

MP normalized to predicted body weight (PBW-MP) was calculated using MP and predicted body weight (PBW)⁹:

$$PBW-MP (J/min/kg) = MP/PBW.$$

Calculation of PBW⁹:

PBW (male) = 50 + 0.91 [height (cm) - 152.4],

PBW (female) = 45.5 + 0.91 [height (cm) - 152.4].

In the study, C_{dyn}-MP and PBW-MP are collectively referred to as normalized MP (norMP).

Definitions and outcomes. SBT test refers to the use of T-tube or low-level pressure support in the spontaneous breathing mode in patients receiving invasive mechanical ventilation. The SBT test is performed to evaluate the ability of the patient to fully tolerate spontaneous breathing, and to assess whether the machine can be weaned, through a short-term dynamic observation¹⁰. Only patients on T-tube ventilation during weaning were included in this study to reduce the influence and bias of different SBT modalities on weaning outcomes. Weaning failure was defined as a patient who did not pass SBT or required re-intubation or non-invasive ventilation within 48 h of cessation of mechanical ventilation or died within 48 h of extubation¹¹. In MIMIC-IV database, premature termination of SBT was assigned as follows: respiratory rate > 35 beats/min > 5 min; heart rate > 140 beats/min; blood pressure > 180 or <90 mmHg; new-onset arrhythmia; pulse oximetry (SpO₂) < 90% > 2 min; with use of accessory respiratory muscles. SBT was discontinued when the clinicians at the bedside observed that the patient's vital signs exceed the above indicators. The primary outcome of this process was the grouping of weaning success and failure patients, and secondary outcomes included duration of ventilation, length of stay in ICU, length of stay in hospital, 28-day re-intubation rate, 28-day mortality, and 28-day ventilator-free days.

Statistical analysis. The normally distributed continuous variables were expressed as mean ± standard deviation and compared using Student's t-test. Variables that were not normally distributed were expressed as median (interquartile range, IQR) and compared using the Mann-Whitney U test or the Kruskal-Wallis H test. The categorical variables were expressed as numbers (percentages) and compared using the chi-square test. The calculations for ΔP , ΔP_{aw} , C_{dyn} , MP, C_{dyn} -MP, PBW-MP were performed according to the parameters collected every 4 h time frame 24 h before the start of the first SBT. The respiratory mechanics parameters closest to weaning (i.e., the last 4 h time frame 24 h before SBT) were used for comparisons between the weaning success group and the weaning failure group. The possible confounding factors were identified through univariate logistic regression analysis, and MP, C_{dyn}-MP, and PBW-MP were included as study variables into logistic regression models A, B, and C, respectively. The relationship between study variables and weaning outcomes was verified after adjusting for confounding factors. The results are expressed as odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (95% CI). Taking the normal range of MP, C_{dyn}-MP, and PBW-MP as the reference group, the logistic regression models of six subgroups of each variable were established, and the fitting curves were drawn to evaluate the correlation between C_{dyn}, MP, C_{dyn}-MP, PBW-MP and relative weaning failure risk (OR value). The variance inflation factor (VIF) was employed to detect potential multicollinearity in the model, with VIF≥5 indicating the existence of multicollinearity. Receiver operating characteristic curves (ROC curves) were used to assess the discriminative power of the selected variables for weaning outcomes, and the diagnostic accuracy was expressed as the area under the receiver operating characteristic (AUROC). All tests were two-tailed, and P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Data analysis was performed using Stata V16.0 (StataCorp LLC, Texas, USA) software and graphs were designed in GraphPad Prism 8.0 (GraphPad Prism Software).

Ethics approval and consent to participate. This study was an analysis of a third-party anonymous public database. The database was approved by the Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center (BIDMC) and the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT) Institutional Review Board (IRB) with an informed consent waiver.

Results

The MIMIC-IV database contains data from 76,540 patients admitted to the ICU from 2008 to 2019. A total of 3,695 patients who underwent invasive mechanical ventilation for more than 24 h and had a complete first SBT record were included in the study, according to the inclusion criteria established previously. The research flow chart is shown in Fig. 1. The average age of all patients was 66.8 (55.4–77.5) years old, 2102 (56.9%) were male, and the failure rate of weaning after the first SBT was 38.5% (1421/3695). The incidence of re-intubation, non-invasive mechanical ventilation or death within 48 h after the first successful SBT was 11.1% (283/2557). Significant differences were detected in BMI, SAPS II, SOFA, source from surgical intensive care unit (SICU), WBC, albumin, SCr, Uorate and physiological parameters during weaning between the successful weaning group and the failed weaning group (Table 1). Except for tidal volume, significant differences were observed in ventilation variables, MP, and norMP between the successful and failed weaning groups (Table 1). All prognostic indicators were significantly different between the two groups of patients (Table 2).

Results of univariate logistic regression analyses related to weaning outcomes are presented in Supplementary Table S2. After adjustment for confounders, MP (OR 1.03 [95%CI (1.00–1.06), P=0.037]), C_{dyn}-MP (OR 1.18 per 100 J/min×cmH₂O/ml×10⁻³ [95%CI (1.11–1.24), P<0.001]), PBW-MP (OR 1.03 per 0.01 J/min/kg [95%CI (1.00–1.05), P<0.001]) were independently associated with the outcome of weaning failure from three independent logistic regression models A, B, and C for ICU patients with invasive mechanical ventilation (Fig. 2).

Significant differences between the weaning success group and the weaning failure group were detected in PEEP, MP, C_{dyn} -MP, and PBW-MP (P < 0.001) (Fig. 3). Significant differences for the same variables were also detected between the weaning failure groups (P < 0.05). However, ΔP_{aw} and C_{dyn} were significantly different between the weaning success group and the weaning failure group (P < 0.001), and there was no statistical difference between the groups of the weaning failure groups (Fig. 3). A comparison of the clinical characteristics of each group for weaning failure is shown in Supplementary Table S1.

Compared with the first 4 h time frame, PEEP, ΔP_{aw} , MP, C_{dyn} -MP and PBW-MP of the last 4 h time frame within 24 h before SBT decreased significantly in the weaning success group, and C_{dyn} increased significantly



Figure 1. Flow chart of the study.

compared with the first 4 h time frame. All were statistically significant (P < 0.0001) (Fig. 4). In the weaning failure group, except for ΔP_{aw} , no statistical difference was detected in the dynamic comparison of respiratory mechanics indexes in each time frame (Fig. 4). Additionally, significant differences were detected in respiratory mechanics indexes between the successful weaning group and the weaning failure group in every 4 h time frame (P < 0.001) (Supplementary Table S3).

The variables C_{dyn} , MP, C_{dyn} -MP, PBW-MP were divided into six groups, with C_{dyn} (50–60 ml/cmH₂O), MP (5–10 J/min), C_{dyn} -MP (100–300 J/min × cmH₂O/ml × 10⁻³), PBW-MP (0.10–0.15 J/min/kg) level used as the reference group, and logistic regression models were established for six subgroups of each variable. Figure 5 shows that decreasing C_{dyn} and increasing MP, C_{dyn} -MP, and PBW-MP were all associated with an increased risk of weaning failure, after adjustment for confounders. Compared with the reference group, the OR value of weaning failure in the lowest C_{dyn} group increased significantly to 3.70 [95%CI (2.37–5.27), P<0.001]. The OR values of weaning failure in the maximal MP, C_{dyn} -MP, and PBW-MP groups increased to 3.33 [95%CI (2.04–4.53), P<0.001], 3.58 [95%CI (2.27–5.56), P<0.001], 5.15 [95%CI (3.58–7.41), P<0.001], respectively (Fig. 5).

The AUROCs of RSBI, C_{dyn} , MP, C_{dyn} -MP, and PBW-MP for discriminating weaning failure were 0.578 [95%CI (0.560–0.597), P < 0.001], 0.689 [95%CI (0.672–0.706), P < 0.001], 0.745 [95%CI (0.730–0.761), P < 0.001], 0.760 [95%CI (0.745–0.776), P < 0.001] and 0.761 [95%CI (0.744–0.779), P < 0.001], respectively (Fig. 6). The discriminative performance of MP and norMP on the weaning outcome was significantly better than that of RSBI and C_{dyn} , and the difference was statistically significant (P < 0.001). The discriminative performance of C_{dyn} -MP and PBW-MP on the weaning outcome was stronger than that of MP, and the difference was statistically significant (P = 0.001, P = 0.017, respectively) (Fig. 6). Threshold ranges for MP, C_{dyn} -MP, PBW-MP and other parameters for predicting weaning outcome were derived from ROC curves which is shown in Supplementary Table S4.

Discussion

The results of this study showed that MP, MP normalized to dynamic lung compliance and MP normalized to PBW were independently associated with weaning outcomes in critically ill mechanically ventilated patients, and higher MP and norMP indicated an increased risk of weaning failure. In addition, the discriminative performance of norMP for weaning failure was better than that of MP. This is the first large-scale study to investigate the relationship between MP and weaning outcomes in mechanically ventilated patients based on the MIMIC-IV database.

MP is a unifying concept proposed by Gattinoni in the context of acute respiratory distress syndrome. MP integrates multiple factors of mechanical ventilation, and the total energy delivered by the ventilator to the lung parenchyma can be calculated from a combination of the following parameters tidal volume, PEEP, plateau pressure, peak inspiratory pressure, and respiratory rate^{4,12}. The measurement of MP is simple and non-invasive, and

	All	Weaning success	Weaning failure				
Variables	(n=3695)	(n=2274)	(n=1421)	<i>p</i> value			
Age (years)	66.8 (55.4-77.5)	67.3 (55.7–77.9)	66.5 (54.6-76.4)	0.052			
Gender (male)	2102 (56.9)	1275 (56.1)	827 (58.2)	0.203			
BMI (kg/m ²)	28.0 (24.2-33.3)	27.6 (24.1-32.5)	28.5 (24.5-34.0)	< 0.001			
Smoking history	315 (8.5)	187 (8.2)	128 (9.0)	0.406			
SAPS II	43 (34–53)	42 (33-52)	44 (36-55)	< 0.001			
SOFA	7 (4–10)	6 (4-9)	8 (5-11)	< 0.001			
Sources of admission							
MICU	871 (23.6)	546 (24.0)	325 (22.9)	0.427			
SICU	609 (16.5)	404 (17.8)	205 (14.4)	0.008			
TSICU	620 (16.8)	371 (16.3)	249 (17.5)	0.339			
MICU/SICU	547 (14.8)	328 (14.4)	219 (15.4)	0.411			
CVICU	465 (12.6)	267 (11.7)	198 (13.9)	0.051			
CCU	359 (9.7)	216 (9.5)	143 (10.1)	0.573			
NSICU	203 (5.5)	129 (5.7)	74 (5.2)	0.546			
Others	21 (0.6)	13 (0.6)	8 (0.6)	0.973			
Comorbidities			·				
Hypertension	1479 (40.0)	902 (39.7)	577 (40.6)	0.571			
Diabetes mellitus	1138 (30.8)	685 (30.1)	453 (31.9)	0.261			
COPD	256 (6.9)	157 (6.9)	99 (7.0)	0.942			
Congestive heart failure	1107 (30.0)	675 (30.0)	432 (30.4)	0.643			
Coronary artery disease	1015 (27.5)	620 (27.3)	395 (27.8)	0.724			
Chronic kidney disease	838 (22.7)	513 (22.6)	325 (22.9)	0.826			
Stroke	733 (19.8)	439 (19.3)	294 (20.7)	0.305			
Parameters before SBT 4 h							
V _T (ml)	451 (395–512)	452 (392–519)	451 (398–507)	0.498			
PEEP (cmH ₂ O)	5.0 (5.0-8.0)	5.0 (5.0-5.3)	6.0 (5.0–10.0)	< 0.001			
P_{plat} (cmH ₂ O)	17.5 (15.0–20.5)	17.0 (14.0–20.0)	19.0 (16.0-22.0)	< 0.001			
$\Delta P (cmH_2O)$	11.3 (9.0–14.0)	11.5 (9.0–14.0)	11.0 (9.0–14.0)	0.271			
P _{peak} (cmH ₂ O)	19.0 (15.0-24.0)	17.0 (12.8–21.0)	23.0 (19.0–27.0)	< 0.001			
$\Delta P_{aw} (cmH_2O)$	13.0 (9.4–16.5)	11.7 (8.0–15.5)	15.0 (12.0-18.0)	< 0.001			
RR (bpm)	19 (16–22)	18 (16–22)	20 (17–24)	< 0.001			
MV (l/min)	8.2 (6.9–9.9)	7.9 (6.7–9.5)	8.8 (7.3–10.6)	< 0.001			
FiO ₂ (%)	40 (40-50)	40 (40-50)	40 (40-50)	< 0.001			
MP (J/min)	11.1 (7.4–16.2)	9.2 (6.0–13.2)	14.6 (10.6–20.2)	< 0.001			
C _{dyn} (ml/cmH ₂ O)	33.9 (26.2–49.2)	39.0 (28.5-62.0)	29.6 (24.2-36.7)	< 0.001			
C_{dyn} -MP (J/min×cmH ₂ O/ml×10 ⁻³)	331.5 (163.9–563.6)	231.5 (101.7-421.6)	501.3 (323.4-744.8)	< 0.001			
PBW-MP (J/min/kg)	0.1881 (0.1234-0.2696)	0.1520 (0.0995-0.2200)	0.2452 (0.1837-0.3331)	< 0.001			
RSBI (bpm/L)	41.7 (32.6–53.7)	40.2 (31.0-51.7)	44.3 (35.1-56.5)	< 0.001			
Laboratory data at the start of SBT							
WBC (k/µl)	11.5 (8.5–15.8)	11.2 (8.3–15.3)	11.9 (8.8–16.9)	< 0.001			
PLT (k/µl)	163 (109–228)	162 (111-224)	164 (108–233)	0.502			
Hb (g/dl)	10.0 (8.6–11.5)	9.9 (8.6–11.4)	10.0 (8.6–11.6)	0.282			
Albumiun (g/dl)	2.9 (2.5-3.4)	3.0 (2.6–3.4)	2.9 (2.4-3.4)	0.013			
SCr (mg/dl)	1.1 (0.7–1.8)	1.0 (0.7–1.7)	1.2 (0.8–2.0)	< 0.001			
Uorate before SBT (ml/kg/h)	0.6 (0.4–1.1)	0.7 (0.4–1.2)	0.6 (0.3–1.1)	< 0.001			
Physiological variables during SBT							
HR (bpm)	83 (72–97)	82 (71-95)	86 (73–99)	< 0.001			
BF (bpm)	19 (16-22)	18 (15-21)	20 (17–24)	< 0.001			
MBP (mmHg)	75 (68-84)	76 (68–85)	74 (67-83)	< 0.001			
SPO ₂ (%)	98 (97–100)	99 (97–100)	98 (96–100)	< 0.001			
Temperature (°C)	37.1 (36.8–37.5)	37.1 (36.8–37.4)	37.1 (36.8–37.6)	0.024			
PH (mmHg)	7.39 (7.34–7.44)	7.40 (7.35–7.44)	7.38 (7.33–7.43)	< 0.001			
PaO ₂ (mmHg)	105 (84–130)	106 (86–132)	105 (83–129)	0.331			
PaCO ₂ (mmHg)	39 (34–45)	39 (34-44)	39 (34–45)	0.926			
PF (mmHg)	240 (180-323)	253 (193-333)	226 (163-305)	< 0.001			

Table 1. Comparison of baseline characteristics between weaning success and weaning failure. Data are median (interquartile range) or no./total (%). BMI, body mass index; SAPS II, simplified acute physiology score II; SOFA, sequential organ failure assessment; MICU, Medical Intensive Care Unit; SICU, Surgical Intensive Care Unit; TSICU, Trauma SICU; MICU/SICU, Medical/ Surgical Intensive Care Unit; CVICU, Cardiac Vascular Intensive Care Unit; CCU, Coronary Care Unit; NSICU, Neuro Surgical Intensive Care Unit; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; SBT, spontaneous breathing trial; V_T, tidal volume; PEEP, positive end expiratory pressure; P_{plat} plateau pressure; ΔP_{awo} dynamic driving pressure (defined as P_{peak} – PEEP); RR, respiratory rate; MV, minute ventilation; MP, mechanical power; C_{dyn}, dynamic lung compliance; C_{dyn}-MP, MP normalized to dynamic lung compliance; PBW-MP, MP normalized to predicted body weight; RSBI, rapid shallow breathing index; WBC, white blood cell; PLT, platelet count; Hb, hemoglobin; SCr, serum creatinine; Uorate, Urine output rate; HR, heart rate; BF, breathing frequency; MBP, mean blood pressure; SPO₂, pulse oximetry; PF, arterial partial pressure of oxygen (PaO₂) divided by the inspired oxygen concentration (FiO₂).

.....

	All	Weaning success	Weaning failure	
Weaning outcome	(n=3695)	(n=2274)	(n=1421)	p value
Weaning success	2274 (61.5)	2274 (100.0)	-	-
Weaning failure	1421 (38.5)	-	1421 (100.0)	-
Duration of ventilation (days)	3.7 (1.8-8.1)	2.5 (1.5-4.8)	7.3 (4.0–11.8)	< 0.001
ICU LOS (days)	6.8 (4.0-11.8)	5.2 (3.4-8.6)	10.2 (6.6–15.6)	< 0.001
Hospital LOS (days)	14.8 (9.0-23.3)	13.0 (8.3–21.0)	17.8 (11.2–27.0)	< 0.001
28-day reintubation	531 (14.4)	118 (5.2)	413 (29.1)	< 0.001
28-day mortality	693 (18.8)	282 (12.4)	411 (28.9)	< 0.001
28-day ventilator-free days	23.2 (14.3-26.0)	25.3 (21.5-26.5)	17.2 (1.5–22.6)	< 0.001

Table 2. Main outcomes in the weaning success and weaning failure groups. Data are median (interquartilerange) or no./total (%). LOS, length of stay.

the workload required to maintain optimal alveolar ventilation acting on the respiratory muscles per unit time can be obtained without disconnecting the ventilator at the bedside. Consequently, MP has also become a predictor to guide clinical weaning in recent years^{5,6}. Studies have pointed out that MP is related to the size of functional lung volume, and MP standardized as a surrogate for lung size (such as well-inflated lung tissue determined by CT analysis, lung compliance, or predicted body weight) has higher diagnostic accuracy^{4,13,14}. Therefore, while studying the correlation between MP and weaning outcomes, this paper also investigated whether norMP have higher discrimination ability for weaning failure than MP.

Among the 3695 mechanically ventilated patients in this study, 61.5% were successful in weaning after the first SBT, while 38.5% failed in weaning. The 28-day mortality rate of patients with weaning success was 12.4%, and the 28-day mortality rate of patients with weaning failure was 28.9%. A total of 11.1% of patients required reintubation 48 h after successful SBT weaning, which is consistent with the results of a multicenter observational study by Jaber et al.¹⁵. Compared with successful weaning patients, the duration of ventilation, length of stay in ICU, length of stay in hospital, 28-day reintubation rate, 28-day mortality were significantly longer in patients with weaning failure, while the 28-day ventilator-free days were significantly shortened, which is consistent with the findings of Hayashi et al.¹⁶. Multiple factors related to weaning failure were identified in the study, including disease severity (SAPS II, SOFA), sources of admission (SICU), respiratory load indicators (PEEP, P_{plat}, P_{peak}, ΔP_{aw}, RR, MV, FiO₂, MP, C_{dyn}, C_{dyn}-MP, PBW-MP), inflammatory markers (WBC), organ function and nutritional status (Albumiun, SCr), fluid management (Uorate), physiological status during weaning (HR, BF, MBP, SPO₂, PH, PF), etc. (Table S2). The results showed that dynamic driving pressure (ΔP_{aw}) was associated with weaning outcomes, in contrast to driving pressure (ΔP), which may be related to peak inspiratory pressure being a more conservative measure of elasticity than plateau pressure in nature¹⁷. Logistic regression analysis showed that MP, C_{dvn}-MP, and PBW-MP were independently associated with weaning outcomes, which was consistent with the results of an observational study by Ghiani et al.⁷. This indicates that the pathophysiological mechanism of weaning failure is complex. Although the reversible factors leading to weaning failure have been actively treated, the imbalance between respiratory load and respiratory muscle performance remains the main reason for weaning failure¹⁸. MP includes multiple factors related to respiratory load, such as resistance, driving pressure, lung compliance and PEEP. A multitude of studies suggest that MP is more valuable for prognosis than a single parameter index^{19,20}. The present study analyzed the respiratory mechanics indexes of ICU mechanically ventilated patients before weaning, and evaluated the relationship between MP, Cdvn-MP, PBW-MP and weaning outcomes respectively, to further optimize the weaning decision. The results of this study showed that compared with the weaning success group, the C_{dyn} of the patients in the weaning failure group was lower, while the PEEP, ΔP_{aw} , MP, C_{dyn} -MP and PBW-MP were higher. Moreover, with the increasing difficulty of weaning, MP, C_{dyn} -MP and PBW-MP increased significantly. Comparison of MP and norMP as comprehensive mechanical indicators



Figure 2. Forest plot of odds ratios for weaning failure to the population average after adjustment for the concomitant variable (age, BMI, SOFA, sources of admission (SICU), PEEP, $P_{plat} \Delta P_{aw}$, RR, MV, C_{dyn} , FiO₂ and HR, MBP, SPO₂, PH, PF during SBT, and WBC, albumin, SCr, uorate at the start of SBT), respectively. Model A: MP as included in the regression model as an independent variable. Model B: C_{dyn} -MP was included in the regression model as an independent variable. Model C: PBW-MP was included in the regression model as an independent variable. PEEP: positive end expiratory pressure; C_{dyn} : dynamic lung compliance; MP: mechanical power; FiO₂: inspired oxygen concentration; C_{dyn} -MP: MP normalized to dynamic lung compliance; PBW-MP: MP normalized to predicted body weight.

with individual parameters such as C_{dyn} and ΔP_{aw} , can not only reflect the weaning outcome of mechanically ventilated patients, but also reflect the severity of weaning difficulties.

The study also concluded that the dynamic comparison of respiratory mechanics indexes (including PEEP, C_{dvn}, MP, C_{dvn}-MP, and PBW-MP) 24 h before weaning in the weaning success group had significant differences, while there was no dynamic change in the weaning failure group. This shows that the dynamic reduction of respiratory load such as MP 24 h before weaning is a potent predictor of weaning success. In the process of mechanical ventilation, the power system of the ventilator overcomes the airway resistance of the patient and the elastic resistance of the respiratory system, thereby driving the gas into the alveoli to participate in the respiratory mechanical process of gas exchange^{21,22}. Although PEEP can indicate the degree of stress inhomogeneity when recruiting lung tissue to a certain extent, it is only related to the static strain related to PEEP and cannot express the dynamic strain acting on the lung tissue during tidal ventilation²³. In the majority of the cases, the level of ΔP_{aw} is simultaneously affected by the chest wall compliance and lung compliance of the patient. Simply using the driving pressure cannot accurately describe the compliance state of the lung²⁴. MP integrates multiple respiratory mechanics parameters and can assess the impact of a mechanical breath on dynamic and static global lung strain and energy load²⁵. In addition, based on ROC curve analysis, the discriminative performance of MP on the weaning outcome was stronger than that of traditional pre-weaning evaluation index RSBI and respiratory mechanics index C_{dvn}. This shows that MP, which is a comprehensive respiratory mechanics index, can better reflect the workload exerted on the respiratory muscles before weaning.

The size of the lung volume that is ventilated determines the order of magnitude of energy per unit of lung tissue. Due to the different volume of the "baby lung", the different thoracic compliance, and the different ranges of strain and stress that the lung tissue can withstand in different regions, the conversion of MP normalized to ventilable lung volume may be necessary^{9,13}. C_{dyn}-MP, PBW-MP refer to the interaction between different lung pathophysiological features (including size, homogeneity and recruitability), reflecting the actual energy applied per unit of ventilated lung volume^{4,26,27}. The results of this study showed that MP, C_{dyn}-MP, and PBW-MP were all associated with an increased risk of weaning failure, higher MP or norMP values predicted greater risk of weaning failure. This indicates that the MP is related to the severity of lung disease before weaning and patients in the weaning failure group with "sicker" lungs require more intensive ventilation²⁸. Several studies



Figure 3. Comparison of respiratory mechanical parameters between weaning success and subgroups of weaning failure. (**A**) PEEP. (**B**) Dynamic driving pressure. (**C**) Dynamic lung compliance. (**D**) Mechanical power. (**E**) MP normalized to dynamic lung compliance. (**F**) MP normalized to predicted body weight (PBW). ****P < 0.001; ***P < 0.001; ***P < 0.001; **P < 0.00; so, P > 0.05.

have linked MP > 12 J/min with the occurrence of ventilator-associated lung injury (VILI)^{19,29} and poor clinical prognosis^{12,30-32}. Here, the MP cutoff value for predicting weaning failure was > 11.3 J/min (sensitivity 71%, specificity 65%), and the corresponding area under the curve was 0.745 (95% CI 0.730–0.761). The discriminative performance of C_{dyn} -MP (AUROC 0.760 [95%CI 0.745–0.776]) and PBW-MP (AUROC 0.761 [95%CI 0.744–0.779]) was higher than that of MP (P<0.05). This indicates that C_{dyn} -MP and PBW-MP can better reflect the functional lung size and the pathophysiological state of the lungs during weaning in mechanical ventilation patients and are better representatives of the actual energy delivered by the ventilator to the lungs, thus having a higher predictive value for weaning outcomes.

There are some limitations to this study. First, we extracted data and calculated MP according to the simplified MP equation proposed by Gattinoni in the volume-controlled ventilation mode in our study. During our



Figure 4. Comparison of dynamic respiratory mechanical parameters in weaning success and weaning failure group 24 h before SBT. (**A**) Positive and expiratory pressure. (**B**) Dynamic driving pressure. (**C**) Dynamic lung compliance. (**D**) Mechanical power. (**E**) MP normalized to dynamic lung compliance. (**F**) MP normalized to predicted body weight. ****P<0.0001; ns, P>0.05.

screening of the study population in the database, patients with missing all the required variables for the MP calculation were excluded, including all patients with missing P_{plat}, that was, patients who did not have a P_{plat} measurement under volume-controlled ventilation before SBT. Because this study was a secondary analysis of the data set in MIMIC-IV for the purpose of clinical use, and there was no guarantee that the parameters were collected under standard conditions without spontaneous breathing and sufficient sedation level. Nevertheless, the average value of each parameter in every 4 h time frame in the present study was recorded for 24 h before weaning, and the dynamic changes of each respiratory mechanics index were monitored. Second, functional lung size was assessed primarily by lung compliance and predicted body weight, but computed tomography of the lung may be a more accurate method for the amount of remaining functional aerated lung, lung inhomogeneity, or recruitability estimation^{9,33}. However, few data sets that include this kind of imaging exist at present, and further verification in large-scale clinical studies is needed. Finally, studies have shown that T-tube ventilation and pressure support strategies during spontaneous breathing trials may have an impact on weaning outcomes¹⁰. Therefore, only patients who used T-tube ventilation during weaning were included in this study, and further research on the predictive ability of MP in different SBT modes on the weaning outcome is needed.



Figure 5. Modeled odds ratio for weaning failure according to dynamic lung compliance (C_{dyn}) , mechanical power (MP), MP normalized to dynamic lung compliance $(C_{dyn}-MP)$ and MP normalized to predicted body weight (PBW-MP) after multivariable adjustment for the covariates. The shaded areas represent the 95% confidence interval. (A) C_{dyn} adjusted for age, BMI, SOFA and MP and the mean VIF was 2.20. (B) MP adjusted for age, BMI, SOFA and C_{dyn} and the mean VIF was 1.98. (C) C_{dyn} -MP adjusted for age, BMI, SOFA and C_{dyn} and the mean VIF was 1.66. (D) PBW-MP adjusted for age, BMI, SOFA and C_{dyn} and the mean VIF was 1.68. BMI, soFA and c_{dyn} and the mean VIF was 1.68.



Figure 6. Comparison of receiver operating characteristic curves for RSBI, C_{dyn}, MP, C_{dyn}-MP, PBW-MP diagnosing weaning failure in all patients. RSBI: rapid shallow breathing index; C_{dyn}: dynamic lung compliance; MP: mechanical power; C_{dyn}-MP: MP normalized to dynamic lung compliance; PBW-MP: MP normalized to predicted body weight.

Conclusions

Mechanical power (MP) is associated with weaning outcomes in critically ill mechanically ventilated patients and independently predicts the risk of weaning failure. MP normalized to dynamic lung compliance and MP normalized to predicted body weight (PBW) produced better results than MP in discriminating weaning failure.

Data availability

The datasets analysed during the current study are available in the MIMIC-IV repository, https://physionet.org/ content/mimiciv/1.0/.

Received: 24 June 2022; Accepted: 29 September 2022 Published online: 16 November 2022

References

- 1. Fernandez-Zamora, M. D. *et al.* Prolonged mechanical ventilation as a predictor of mortality after cardiac surgery. *Respir. Care.* **63**(5), 550–557 (2018).
- 2. Fadila, M., Rajasurya, V. & Regunath, H. Ventilator Weaning. StatPearls (StatPearls Publishing, 2022).
- 3. Baptistella, A. R. *et al.* Prediction of extubation outcome in mechanically ventilated patients: Development and validation of the Extubation Predictive Score (ExPreS). *PLoS ONE* **16**(3), e0248868 (2021).
- Gattinoni, L. *et al.* Ventilator-related causes of lung injury: The mechanical power. *Intensive Care Med.* 42(10), 1567–1575 (2016).
 Ghiani, A. *et al.* Mechanical power normalized to lung-thorax compliance predicts prolonged ventilation weaning failure: A
- prospective study. BMC Pulm. Med. 21(1), 202 (2021).
 6. Ghiani, A. et al. Variables predicting weaning outcome in prolonged mechanically ventilated tracheotomized patients: A retrospective study. J. Intensive Care. 2020(8), 19 (2020).
- 7. Ghiani, A. et al. Mechanical power normalized to lung-thorax compliance indicates weaning readiness in prolonged ventilated patients. Sci. Rep. 12(1), 6 (2022).
- 8. Chiu, L. C. *et al*. Mechanical power during extracorporeal membrane oxygenation and hospital mortality in patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome. *Crit. Care.* **25**(1), 13 (2021).
- 9. Zhang, Z. H. et al. Mechanical power normalized to predicted body weight as a predictor of mortality in patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome. Intensive Care Med. 45(6), 856–864 (2019).
- Subirà, C. *et al.* Effect of pressure support vs T-piece ventilation strategies during spontaneous breathing trials on successful extubation among patients receiving mechanical ventilation: A randomized clinical trial. *JAMA* 321(22), 2175–2182 (2019).
- 11. Ruan, S. Y. *et al.* Durability of weaning success for liberation from invasive mechanical ventilation: An analysis of a nationwide database. *Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med.* **196**(6), 792–795 (2017).
- 12. Serpa Neto, A. *et al*. Mechanical power of ventilation is associated with mortality in critically ill patients: An analysis of patients in two observational cohorts. *Intensive Care Med.* **44**(11), 1914–1922 (2018).
- 13. Tonetti, T. et al. Driving pressure and mechanical power: New targets for VILI prevention. Ann. Transl. Med. 5(14), 286 (2017).
- 14. Coppola, S. et al. Effect of mechanical power on intensive care mortality in ARDS patients. Crit. Care. 24(1), 246 (2020).
- 15. Jaber, S. *et al.* Risk factors and outcomes for airway failure versus non-airway failure in the intensive care unit: A multicenter observational study of 1514 extubation procedures. *Crit. Care.* 22(1), 236 (2018).
- 16. Hayashi, L. Y. *et al.* Incidence, indication and complications of postoperative reintubation after elective intracranial surgery. *Sao Paulo Med. J.* **131**(3), 158–165 (2013).
- Yehya, N. & Thomas, N. J. Disassociating lung mechanics and oxygenation in pediatric acute respiratory distress syndrome. Crit. Care Med. 45(7), 1232–1239 (2017).
- 18. Gong, J. et al. Product of driving pressure and rate predicting weaning outcomes. J. Int. Med. Res. 49(5), 3000605211010045 (2021).
- 19. Cressoni, M. et al. Mechanical powe and development of ventilator-induced lung injury. Anesthesiology 124(5), 1100-1108 (2016).
- 20. Das, A. et al. What links ventilator driving pressure with survival in the acute respiratory distress syndrome? A computational study. Respir. Res. 20(1), 29 (2019).
- 21. Silva, P. L. *et al.* Power to mechanical power to minimize ventilator-induced lung injury?. *Intensive Care Med. Exp.* 7(Suppl 1), 38 (2019).
- 22. Marini, J. J. Evolving concepts for safer ventilation. Crit. Care. 23(Suppl 1), 114 (2019).
- 23. Hubmayr, R. D. & Kallet, R. H. Understanding pulmonray stress-strain relationships in severe ARDS and its implications for designing a safer apporach to setting the ventilator. *Respir. Care.* **63**(2), 219–226 (2018).
- 24. Talmor, D. *et al.* Mechanical ventilation guided by esophageal pressure in acute lung injury. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **359**(20), 2095–2104 (2008).
- 25. Nieman, G. F. *et al.* Lung stress, strain, and energy load: Engineering concepts to understand the mechanism of ventilator-induced lung injury (VILI). *Intensive Care Med. Exp.* 4(1), 16 (2016).
- Marini, J. J., Rocco, P. R. M. & Gattinoni, L. Static and dynamic contributors to ventilator-induced lung injury in clinical practice. Pressure, energy, and power. Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med. 201(7), 767–774 (2020).
- Marini, J. J. & Gattinoni, L. Time course of evolving ventilator-induced lung injury: The "shrinking baby lung. *Crit Care Med.* 48(8), 1203–1209 (2020).
- Xie, Y. et al. Correlation analysis between mechanical power and lung ultrasound score and their evaluation of prognosis in patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome. Zhonghua Wei Zhong Bing Ji Jiu Yi Xue. 31(6), 704–708 (2019).
- 29. Moraes, L. *et al.* Impact of different tidal volume levels at low mechanical power on ventilator-induced lung injury in rats. *Front. Physiol.* **9**, 318 (2018).
- 30. Serpa Neto, A. *et al.* Associations between ventilator settings during extracorporeal membrane oxygenation for refractory hypoxemia and outcome in patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome: A pooled individual patient data analysis: mechanical ventilation during ECMO. *Intensive Care Med.* **42**(11), 1672–1684 (2016).
- Parhar, K. K. S. et al. Epidemiology, mechanical power, and 3-year outcomes in acute respiratory distress syndrome patients using standardized screening. An observational cohort study. Ann. Am. Thorac. Soc. 16(10), 1263–1272 (2019).
- 32. Schuijt, M. T. U. *et al.* Association of intensity of ventilation with 28-day mortality in COVID-19 patients with acute respiratory failure: Insights from the PRoVENT-COVID study. *Crit. Care.* **25**(1), 283 (2021).
- 33. Maiolo, G. et al. Reclassifying acute respiratory distress syndrome. Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med. 197(12), 1586–1595 (2018).

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank all the individuals at the MIT Computational Physiology Laboratory and the Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center who designed, built, and maintained the MIMIC-IV database for open access.

Author contributions

Y.Y. and Y.X. contributed equally to this work. Study concept and design: Y.Y. and Y.X. Acquisition of data: Y.Y. Analysis, and interpretation of data: Y.Y., Y.X., X.C., S.S., Z.D. First draft of the manuscript: Y.Y., Y.X., Y.W. and X.L. Statistical analysis: Y.Y. and Y.X. Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: Y.W. and X.L. All authors have read and approved the fnal version of the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-21609-2.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Y.W. or X.L.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2022