



Impact of AmpC Derepression on Fitness and Virulence: the Mechanism or the Pathway?

Marcelo Pérez-Gallego,^a Gabriel Torrens,^a Jane Castillo-Vera,^a Bartolomé Moya,^a Laura Zamorano,^a ^[6]Gabriel Cabot,^a Kjell Hultenby,^b Sebastián Albertí,^c Peter Mellroth,^d Birgitta Henriques-Normark,^d,^e Staffan Normark,^d Antonio Oliver,^a Carlos Juan^a

Servicio de Microbiología and Unidad de Investigación, Hospital Son Espases, Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria de Palma (IdISPa), Palma de Mallorca, Spain^a; Department of Laboratory Medicine, Karolinska Institutet and University Hospital, Huddinge, Sweden^b; Instituto Universitario de Investigación en Ciencias de la Salud, Universidad de las Islas Baleares, Palma de Mallorca, Spain^c; Department of Microbiology, Tumor and Cell Biology, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden^d; Department of Clinical Microbiology, Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden^e

M.P. and G.T. contributed equally to this article

ABSTRACT Understanding the interplay between antibiotic resistance and bacterial fitness and virulence is essential to guide individual treatments and improve global antibiotic policies. A paradigmatic example of a resistance mechanism is the intrinsic inducible chromosomal β -lactamase AmpC from multiple Gram-negative bacteria, including *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, a major nosocomial pathogen. The regulation of *ampC* expression is intimately linked to peptidoglycan recycling, and AmpC-mediated β -lactam resistance is frequently mediated by inactivating mutations in *ampD*, encoding an *N*-acetyl-anhydromuramyl-L-alanine amidase, affecting the levels of *ampC*-activating muropeptides. Here we dissect the impact of the multiple pathways causing AmpC hyperproduction on *P. aeruginosa* fitness and virulence. Through a detailed analysis, we demonstrate that the lack of all three *P. aeruginosa* AmpD amidases causes a dramatic effect in fitness and pathogenicity, severely compromising growth rates, motility, and cytotoxicity; the latter effect is likely achieved by repressing key virulence factors, such as protease LasA, phospholipase C, or type III secretion system components. We also show that *ampC* overexpression is required but not sufficient to confer the growth-motility-cytotoxicity impaired phenotype and that alternative pathways leading to similar levels of *ampC* hyperexpression and resistance, such as those involving PBP4, had no fitness-virulence cost. Further analysis indicated that fitness-virulence impairment is caused by overexpressing *ampC* in the absence of cell wall recycling, as reproduced by expressing *ampC* from a plasmid in an AmpG (muropeptide permease)-deficient background. Thus, our findings represent a major step in the understanding of β -lactam resistance biology and its interplay with fitness and pathogenesis.

IMPORTANCE Understanding the impact of antibiotic resistance mechanisms on bacterial pathogenesis is critical to curb the spread of antibiotic resistance. A particularly noteworthy antibiotic resistance mechanism is the β -lactamase AmpC, produced by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, a major pathogen causing hospital-acquired infections. The regulation of AmpC is linked to the cell wall recycling pathways, and frequently, resistance to β -lactams is caused by mutation of several of the components of the cell wall recycling pathways such as AmpD. Here we dissect the impact of the pathways for AmpC hyperproduction on virulence, showing that the lack of all three *P. aeruginosa* AmpD amidases causes a major effect in fitness and pathogenicity, compromising growth, motility, and cytotoxicity. Further analysis indicated that fitness-virulence impairment is specifically caused by the hyperproduction of AmpC in the absence of cell wall recycling. Our work provides valuable information for delineating future strategies for combating *P. aeruginosa* infections by simultaneously targeting virulence and antibiotic resistance.

Received 23 September 2016 Accepted 3 October 2016 Published 25 October 2016

Citation Pérez-Gallego M, Torrens G, Castillo-Vera J, Moya B, Zamorano L, Cabot G, Hultenby K, Albertí S, Mellroth P, Henriques-Normark B, Normark S, Oliver A, Juan C. 2016. Impact of AmpC derepression on fitness and virulence: the mechanism or the pathway? mBio 7(5):e01783-16. doi:10.1128/mBio.01783-16.

Editor Bruce R. Levin, Emory University

Copyright © 2016 Pérez-Gallego et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.

Address correspondence to Antonio Oliver, antonio.oliver@ssib.es, or Carlos Juan, carlos.juan@ssib.es.

This article is a direct contribution from a Fellow of the American Academy of Microbiology. External solicited reviewers: Patrice Courvalin, Institut Pasteur; Fernando Baquero, Ramón y Cajal University Hospital.

Continuously increasing antimicrobial resistance, added to the limited development of new antibiotics, is of growing concern since it severely compromises our therapeutic arsenal to fight lifethreatening bacterial infections (1). Understanding the interplay between antibiotic resistance and bacterial fitness and virulence is thus of paramount relevance for guiding individual treatments and global antibiotic policies. While there is a well-established body of evidence indicating that generally antibiotic resistance is associated with a biological cost, an enormous variability of the cost has been reported, depending on the antibiotic, pathogen, or genetic context (2, 3). Moreover, in many instances, the precise factors driving the fitness effects remain elusive. Likewise, reduced fitness is expected to directly impair bacterial virulence, but the interplay between virulence and antibiotic resistance is far more complex, including regulatory circuits controlling both traits (4, 5).

Resistance by modification of an antibiotic target such as DNA gyrase (quinolones) or the β subunit of RNA polymerase (rifampin) is frequently associated with a direct biological cost, but the basis underlying the fitness and virulence effects associated with the resistance due to detoxifying mechanisms such as efflux pumps, or antibiotic-inactivating enzymes (like β -lactamases) is far more complex and controversial (2, 6). Potential explanations range from the simple energetic cost of expressing the resistance mechanisms to direct mechanistic side effects (such as extrusion of compounds relevant for cell physiology or virulence by efflux pumps or the modification of cell components by antibiotic-inactivating enzymes) or indirect effects produced by the specific mutations (generally in regulatory genes) leading to the expression of the resistance mechanisms.

A complex example is the intrinsic inducible chromosomal β -lactamase AmpC from multiple Gram-negative rods, including most enterobacteria and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, a major nosocomial pathogen considered a paradigmatic model of antimicrobial resistance and virulence (7, 8). Mutational overexpression of *ampC* is a very frequent cause of resistance to the antipseudomonal penicillins and cephalosporins (9, 10).

Multiple genes are involved in the regulation of *ampC* expression, a process that is intimately linked to peptidoglycan recycling (11, 12). The *ampG* gene encodes an inner membrane permease for GlcNAc-1,6-anhydromuropeptides, which are peptidoglycan catabolites that, upon entry into the cytosol, are processed by the β -N-acetylglucosaminidase NagZ to generate 1,6-anhydromuropeptides (13, 14). The 1,6-anhydromuropeptide products of NagZ are thought to induce AmpC production by interacting with the LysR-type transcriptional regulator AmpR (15-17). During bacterial growth, 1,6-anhydromuropeptides are processed by the N-acetyl-anhydromuramyl-L-alanine amidase AmpD, avoiding ampC induction (18). During growth in the presence of strong β -lactamase inducers (such as carbapenems), large amounts of muropeptides are generated and accumulate in the cytoplasm which leads to AmpR-mediated induction of ampC expression (16, 17). Mutational inactivation of AmpD also leads to the accumulation of 1,6-anhydromuropeptides and to ampC hyperexpression, even in the absence of β -lactamase inducers, resulting in a constitutively derepressed resistance phenotype due to AmpC overproduction (9, 19). Further studies showed that P. aeruginosa has, in addition to the cytoplasmic AmpD, two periplasmic N-acetyl-anhydromuramyl-L-alanine amidases (AmpDh2 and AmpDh3) and that their sequential inactivation leads to a stepwise upregulation of *ampC*, reaching full derepression with very high levels (>1,000-fold with respect to the wild-type level) of *ampC* expression and clinical β -lactam resistance in the triple mutant (20). This full ampC derepression through inactivation of the three amidases has been associated with fitness and virulence impairment (21).

More-recent work showed that one-step clinical resistance in *P. aeruginosa* frequently results from the inactivation of *dacB* encoding the nonessential penicillin-binding protein 4 (PBP4) (22). The DD-carboxypeptidase and endopeptidase PBP4 has been shown to play a sentinel role for cell wall damage by β -lactams, producing a complex resistance response, triggering overproduction of the chromosomal β -lactamase AmpC and specific activation of the CreBC (BlrAB) two-component regulator (23–26). Moreover, the *ampC* transcriptional regulator AmpR has been shown to have global regulatory functions, including several vir-

ulence genes (27). Illustrative schemes of the complex *ampC* regulation pathways have been published previously (12, 22).

Altogether, the mentioned studies suggest that an interplay between *ampC* regulation, β -lactam resistance, cell wall recycling, fitness, and virulence might exist. However, the available information is disperse and limited, failing to clarify key aspects such as whether overexpression of *ampC* (the mechanism) or the blocking of peptidoglycan recycling (the pathway) is impacting fitness and virulence or whether it is restricted to an energy burden matter or, alternatively, to specific virulence responses. Using the two reference P. aeruginosa strains PAO1 and PA14, we demonstrate that the lack of all three AmpD amidases has a major effect on fitness and pathogenicity, severely compromising growth rates, motility, and cytotoxicity, the latter being likely due to repression of key virulence factors. Moreover, we show that *ampC* overexpression is required but not sufficient to confer the growth-motilitycytotoxicity impaired phenotype (GMC phenotype) and that alternative pathways such as those involving PBP4, leading to similar levels of *ampC* hyperexpression and β -lactam resistance avoid any defect in fitness or virulence. Finally, we demonstrate that the fitness-virulence impairment is due to overexpression of *ampC* in the absence of cell wall recycling, as reproduced when expressing *ampC* from a plasmid in an AmpG (muropeptide permease)deficient background. Thus, our results elucidate a major pathway to β -lactam resistance side effects to the bacterial host and how they could contribute to the development of strategies for combating P. aeruginosa infections.

RESULTS

Overview of ampC derepression pathways. Table 1 shows a comparative analysis of the effects on β -lactam resistance and *ampC* expression produced by single or multiple mutations in the genes ampD, ampDh2, and ampDh3 for the three peptidoglycanrecycling amidases and/or dacB for PBP4 in P. aeruginosa reference strains PAO1 and PA14. Results for strain PAO1, in agreement with previous data (20, 22, 28), confirmed a stepwise increase of β -lactam MICs and *ampC* expression by inactivation of the three amidases, reaching high-level resistance and ampCvalues approximately 1,000-fold above the wild-type values in the triple mutant. The *ampC* expression levels and β -lactam MICs were slightly higher for the *ampD dacB* double mutant (Table 1). Overall, the results for strain PA14 followed the same trend with some differences. Basal expression of *ampC* in PA14 was slightly higher than in PAO1 but was much less responsive to mutation in ampD or dacB. Double ampD ampDh3 inactivation in PA14 produced a major effect on *ampC* expression (approximately 1,000fold increase), which was not much further increased in the ampD triple mutant. The impact on β -lactam resistance and *ampC* expression of the ampD dacB double mutant was lower than that of PAO1. In summary, similar >1,000-fold increases in ampC expression levels were obtained in the ampD ampDh2 ampDh3 triple mutant and ampD dacB double mutant of PAO1 and in the ampD ampDh2 ampDh3 triple mutant and ampD ampDh3 double mutant of PA14 (Table 1).

Impact of AmpC hyperproduction on growth rate and motility: not only a matter of metabolic cost. In order to evaluate the potential fitness cost associated with AmpC hyperproduction and/or blocking of peptidoglycan recycling, the growth rates of the mutants discussed below were determined (Fig. 1). The simultaneous inactivation of the three amidases increased the doubling

Strain	MIC $(\mu g/ml)^a$								Avg level of <i>ampC</i> expression
	CAZ	FEP	PIP-TZ	ATM	IMP	MER	VAN	COL	\pm SD ^b
PAO1	1	1	4	1	1.5	0.38	512	0.75	1
ράδο	6	4	64	6	1.5	1	512	0.75	63.3 ± 7.1
PADDh2	16	12	96	16	1.5	1	512	0.75	79.5 ± 12.2
PAADDh3	48	32	>256	16	1.5	2	512	0.75	251.2 ± 51.9
PA∆DDh2Dh3	48	32	>256	32	1.5	2	512	0.75	$1,225 \pm 101$
$PA\Delta DDh2Dh3 + pUCPAD$	1.5	1.5	6	1.5	1	0.5	512	0.75	2.9 ± 0.7
PA∆dacB	32	12	96	16	1.5	0.5	512	0.75	53 ± 22.2
PAΔdacBΔD	128	64	>256	48	2	2	512	0.75	$1,770 \pm 401$
PAΔAG	1	1	3	1	0.38	0.38	512	0.75	-1.1 ± 0.4
PAO1 + pUCPAC	24	16	96	16	1.5	1.5	512	0.75	$1,925.5 \pm 514$
$PA\Delta AG + pUCPAC$	24	16	96	16	1.5	1.5	512	0.75	$1,480 \pm 359.1$
PA14	0.75	0.5	3	1	1	0.125	512	0.25	5.2 ± 2.9
PA14 ΔD	1.5	1.5	4	3	1	0.19	512	0.25	8.9 ± 1.5
PA14ΔDh2	0.75	0.5	3	1	1	0.125	512	0.25	5.1 ± 1.2
PA14ΔDh3	0.75	0.5	3	1	1	0.125	512	0.25	4.5 ± 2.2
PA14∆Dh2Dh3	1	0.5	3	1	1	0.19	512	0.25	4.7 ± 1.5
PA14∆DDh2	1	0.75	4	1.5	1	0.125	512	0.25	12 ± 2.1
PA14∆DDh3	16	8	128	16	1	1.5	512	0.25	$4,561 \pm 570$
PA14∆DDh2Dh3	16	8	>256	24	1.5	1.5	512	0.25	$5,569 \pm 928$
$PA14\Delta DDh2Dh3 + pUCPAD$	2	1.5	6	3	1	0.25	512	0.25	152.2 ± 77.1
PA14 Δ dacB	4	3	8	4	1	0.19	512	0.25	36 ± 10.2
$PA14\Delta dac B\Delta D$	8	6	96	12	1	0.25	512	0.25	114.1 ± 42.1

TABLE 1 MICs of different antibiotics and ampC expression levels for strains PAO1 and PA14 and mutants derived from these two strains

^{*a*} Abbreviations: CAZ, ceftazidime; FEP, cefepime; PIP-TZ, piperacillin-tazobactam; ATM, aztreonam; IMP, imipenem; MER, meropenem; VAN, vancomycin; COL, colistin. ^{*b*} Relative amount of *ampC* mRNA compared to the amount expressed in strain PAO1.

times of both PAO1 (49.9 min versus 30.1 min in the wild type) and PA14 (54.7 min versus 36.4 min in the wild type). Growth curves of the relevant strains are shown in Fig. S1 in the supplemental material. AmpC overexpression was required for the growth impairment, since knocking out *ampC* in the triple *ampD* mutant fully restored the wild-type growth rate for both PAO1 and PA14 strains. Likewise, *trans*-complementation with wild-type *ampD* also restored the wild-type growth rate. However, AmpC overexpression *per se* did not have a major impact on growth rates, since the *ampD* dacB and *ampD* ampDh3 double

mutants with similar *ampC* hyperexpression levels had the same generation times as strains PAO1 and PA14, respectively (Fig. 1). It can be deduced from these results that impaired peptidoglycan recycling and accumulation of muropeptides caused by the lack of amidase activity in the *ampD* triple mutant do not have a significant effect on growth rates. We next examined whether AmpC hyperproduction and/or blocking of peptidoglycan recycling affected bacterial motility. As for growth rates, inactivation of the three amidases had a substantial effect on the three types of motility, including twitching (Fig. 2), swimming (Fig. 3), and swarm-



FIG 1 Duplication times of *P. aeruginosa* strains. Duplication times (in minutes) of exponentially growing cells of *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 (A) and PA14 (B) strains and derivatives in LB broth. Values are means plus standard deviations (SDs) (error bars) from three independent experiments. The values that are significantly different (P < 0.0001 by Student's *t* test) from the value for the wild-type strain are shown by bars and an asterisk. The rest of the single and double *ampD* mutants of both PAO1 and PA14 strains showed wild-type doubling times (data not shown).



FIG 2 Twitching motility of strain PA14 and derived mutants. Colonies were inoculated as described in Materials and Methods. The diameters of the motility areas of 10 different colonies per strain were measured and plotted. Each symbol represents the value for one colony. Mean values for the 10 colonies are indicated by the short black bars for the strains. The values (means) that are significantly different (P < 0.0001 by Student's *t* test) from the mean value for the wild-type strain are shown by a bar and asterisk. The rest of the single and double *ampD* mutants of strain PA14 showed wild-type twitching motility levels (not shown). The pictures above the graph show representative images of the corresponding strains.

ing (Fig. 4). The results were identical for PAO1 and PA14 derivatives; the only exception was that twitching could not be evaluated in PAO1 derivatives since the wild-type strain is defective in this type of motility. Again, *ampC* overexpression was required for the motility impairment, as knocking out *ampC* in the *ampD* triple mutant restored wild-type motility. Likewise, *trans*complementation with wild-type *ampD* also restored the wildtype motility. However, *ampC* overexpression did not have a major effect on bacterial motility since the *ampC* hyperexpressing *ampD dacB* and *ampD ampDh3* double mutants had wild-type twitching, swimming, and swarming. Again, these results indicated that impaired peptidoglycan recycling and accumulation of muropeptides caused by lack of amidase activity in the *ampD* triple mutant do not have a significant effect on bacterial motility *per se*.

Thus, the very high-level *ampC* overexpression (>1,000-fold compared to the wild-type value) has a major impact on growth rate and motility, but only if it is associated with an amidase-deficient background. In other words, *ampC* overexpression is necessary but not sufficient for the observed growth- and motility-impaired phenotype, indicating a capital role of the pathway involved.

Pathway-dependent effect of *ampC* derepression on virulence. We next analyzed whether AmpC hyperproduction and/or

blocking of peptidoglycan recycling affects bacterial virulence in the Galleria mellonella killing assay (Fig. 5). The 50% lethal dose (LD_{50}) of strain PA14 (ca. 2 CFU) is lower than that of strain PAO1 (ca. 18 CFU) due to the higher virulence of the former (29, 30). Inactivation of the three amidases produced a major increase (approximately 12-fold) of the LD₅₀ of strain PAO1 (Fig. 5A), and the increase was much higher (approximately 110-fold) for the triple mutant of strain PA14. Interestingly, despite the major virulence difference of the wild-type strains, the PAO1 and PA14 triple amidase mutants had similar LD₅₀s. As for growth rate and motility, wild-type virulence was restored upon inactivation of *ampC* and through *trans*-complementation with wild-type *ampD*. Thus, the effect was specific to the triple amidase mutants since the ampD dacB or ampD ampDh3 mutants showed wild-type virulence, except for a very modest increase in the LD_{50} of the PA14 ampD-ampDh3 mutant (Fig. 5B).

ampC derepression does not cause major changes in cell envelope physiology. To examine whether the effects on growth rates, motility, and virulence of the inactivation of the three *P. aeruginosa* amidases was a consequence of a modification of the cell envelope or related structures, wild-type PAO1 and its triple amidase mutant were analyzed by electron microscopy (see Fig. S2 in the supplemental material). Negative staining (Fig. S2A and B) showed no differences in the presence and width of flagella, whereas scanning electron microscopy (SEM) (Fig. S2C and D) did not reveal differences in cell shape or size. Moreover, the bacterial sections obtained for transmission electron microscopy (TEM) analysis did not show alterations in the morphology and width of the bacterial envelopes (Fig. S2E and F).

Additionally, we analyzed whether inactivation of the three amidases could modify the susceptibility to colistin, which targets the bacterial surface, or vancomycin, which targets the cell wall with an activity highly affected by the level of peptidoglycan crosslinking (23). Nevertheless, as shown in Table 1, colistin and vancomycin MICs were identical against mutant and wild-type strains. The only difference was that strain PAO1 (and derivatives) showed higher colistin MICs than strain PA14 did.

We next examined whether inactivation of the three amidases weakens the peptidoglycan, making the host more susceptible to killing by immune system components targeting the bacterial surface, such as the complement or neutrophils. To evaluate complement-mediating killing, strains PAO1 and PA14 and their respective triple amidase mutants were incubated with nonimmune human serum (NHS) and heat-inactivated nonimmune human serum (HI-NHS). Results shown in Fig. S3 in the supplemental material evidenced that strain PAO1 is more resistant to complement-mediated killing than strain PA14 is but revealed no differences between mutant and wild-type strains. To evaluate neutrophil killing, the percentages of bacterial survival after coincubation with freshly purified neutrophils was assessed, and the results are also shown in Fig. S3. However, no significant differences were found when comparing each wild-type strain with its corresponding triple amidase mutant, reaching in all cases a high rate of neutrophil-mediated bacterial death, close to 95%. Finally, when using HI-NHS instead of NHS, a general decrease in neutrophil bactericidal activity was observed as expected, given the almost complete reduction in opsonization capacity that heat inactivation causes in the complement cascade, but once more, no differences between wild-type and mutant strains were found.



FIG 3 Swimming motility of strains PAO1 (A) and PA14 (B) and mutants derived from the two strains. Colonies were inoculated as described in Materials and Methods. The diameters of the motility areas of 10 different colonies per strain were measured. Each symbol represents the value for one colony, and the mean value for the 10 colonies is indicated by the short black bar. The values (means) that are significantly different (P < 0.0001 by Student's *t* test) from the mean value for the wild-type strain are shown by a bar and asterisk. The rest of the single and double *ampD* mutants of both PAO1 and PA14 showed wild-type swimming motility levels (not shown). The pictures above the graph show representative images of the corresponding strains.



FIG 4 Swarming motility of strains PAO1 (A) and PA14 (B) and mutants derived from the two strains. Plates were inoculated as described in Materials and Methods. The diameters of the motility areas of 10 different colonies per strain were measured. Each symbol represents the value for one colony, and the mean value for the 10 colonies is indicated by the short black bar. The values (means) that are significantly different (P < 0.0001 by Student's *t* test) from the mean value for the wild-type strain are shown by a bar and asterisk. The rest of the single and double *ampD* mutants of both PAO1 and PA14 showed wild-type swarming motility levels (not shown). The pictures above the graph show representative images of the corresponding strains.



FIG 5 *Galleria mellonella* killing assays with strains derived from *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 (A) and PA14 (B). *G. mellonella* larvae were infected as described in Materials and Methods. $LD_{50}s$ were determined using a Probit model as previously described (53). Values are means (boxes) \pm SD (error bars) from at least three independent experiments, using 10 larvae per strain in each experiment. To analyze the differences between the obtained $LD_{50}s$, a Student's *t* test was performed with the values of the three independently obtained $LD_{50}s$. The values (means) that are significantly different (*P* < 0.005 by Student's *t* test) from the mean value for the wild-type strain are shown by a bar and asterisk. The rest of the single and double *ampD* mutants of both PAO1 and PA14 strains showed wild-type virulence levels (data not shown).

Cytotoxicity is specifically impaired by pathway-dependent *ampC* **derepression.** We next analyzed whether the observed effect on virulence could also be caused by a decreased invasiveness or cytotoxicity mediated by *ampC* overexpression and/or blocking of peptidoglycan recycling. For this purpose, wild-type strains PAO1 (model invasive strain) and PA14 (model cytotoxic strain) and mutant derivatives were tested.

To evaluate invasion capacity, A549 human type II alveolar epithelial cells were challenged with wild-type strain PAO1 or its triple amidase mutant, and the numbers of intracellular bacteria were assessed after 3 h of coincubation. However, as shown in Fig. 6A, no differences on intracellular bacteria numbers were found between strain PAO1 and the mutant. Invasion capacity could not be assessed for strain PA14, since fast cell lysis produced by this highly cytotoxic strain precluded the accurate quantification of intracellular bacteria.

On the other hand, cytotoxicity was evaluated in strain PA14 and derivatives through the quantification of lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) release in culture supernatants (Fig. 6B). Interestingly, cytotoxicity was nearly fully abolished in the triple amidase mutant. Moreover, once more, cytotoxicity was restored upon *ampC* inactivation or *trans*-complementation with wild-type *ampD*. Likewise, the effect was specific to the triple amidase mutant, since the *ampD dacB* mutant showed wild-type cytotoxicity



FIG 6 A549 cell invasion and cytotoxicity assays of strains PAO1 and PA14 and mutants derived from the two strains. (A) PAO1 invasion assay. The number of invasive CFU per well of A549 cells (multiplicity of infection [MOI] of 100) after 3 h of infection is shown. Values are means plus SD for at least three wells from three independent plates. (B and C) Cytotoxicity results after 3 h of infection (MOI of 100) of strains PA14 (B) and PAO1 (C). Values are means plus SD for at least three wells from three independent plates. The values that are significantly different by Student's *t* test are indicated by bars and asterisks as follows: *, P < 0.005; **, P < 0.0001. The rest of the single and double *ampD* mutants of both PAO1 and PA14 showed wild-type levels of invasiveness and/or cytotoxicity (not shown).

and the *ampD ampDh3* mutant displayed only a slight reduction (Fig. 6B). To rule out the possibility that the abolishment of cytotoxicity could be a consequence of the mentioned reduced motility, the assays were repeated after adding a plate centrifugation step to ensure close contact between bacterial and epithelial cells, but as can be observed in Fig. 6B, the same results were obtained. Additionally, the LDH assays were also performed with PAO1 derivatives and despite the very low cytotoxicity of this strain, the same trend was evidenced (Fig. 6C).

Finally, to address whether the triple amidase inactivation could trigger effects changing the proinflammatory capacity of the peptidoglycan, we analyzed the interleukin 8 (IL-8) release in A549 cell cultures during coincubation with different stimuli. However, IL-8 release was not modified in the mutant strains compared to the wild-type strain (PAO1 or PA14) when using either intact cells (see Fig. S4A in the supplemental material), cell-free bacterial culture supernatants (Fig. S4B), or purified peptidoglycans (Fig. S4C). The only remarkable difference was that IL-8 release was higher when using PAO1 purified peptidoglycan compared to PA14 and the other way around for cell-free culture supernatants.

Global transcriptomics reveals major specific effects of pathway-dependent *ampC* derepression on virulence gene expression. We next analyzed whether the observed fitness and virulence effects could be consequences of modified gene expression. For this purpose, we performed a global transcriptomic analysis of the triple ampD mutant compared to strain PAO1 using the Affymetrix gene chips. Up to 81 genes showed a modified (\geq 2-fold) expression; 47 genes were upregulated, and 34 were downregulated (see Table S1 in the supplemental material). Upregulated genes obviously included *ampC*, but most other genes fell within the energy metabolism category and thus could be related to the lower growth rate of the *ampD* triple mutant. On the other hand, downregulated genes were significantly enriched in virulence genes. Indeed, downregulated genes included, among others, lasA (protease), *plcB* (phospholipase C), the *pilM-pilQ* operon (type IV pili), wbpL and wbpH (lipopolysaccharide [LPS] biosynthesis), and seven genes (pcrV, pcrH, popB, popD, pscE, pscH, and pscI) related to the type III secretion system (TTSS). Moreover, the level of expression of exoS, encoding a key cytotoxin secreted by the TTSS, was 1.9-fold lower in the triple ampD mutant than in wildtype PAO1.

To confirm and expand these results, we next analyzed the expression of six key selected virulence genes (*lasA*, *plcB*, *pilM*, *pcrV*, *pscH*, and *exoS* or *exoU*) through reverse transcription-PCR (RT-PCR) in wild-type strains PAO1 (Fig. 7) and PA14 (see Fig. S5 in the supplemental material) and their respective mutant derivatives. As can be observed, downregulation of all these genes was confirmed for the PAO1 *ampD* triple mutant (Fig. 7) and also evidenced for the PA14 *ampD* triple mutant using in this case *exoU* instead of *exoS* (Fig. S5). Moreover, as mentioned above, inactivation of *ampC* and *trans*-complementation with a wild-type *ampD* gene restored wild-type expression. Likewise, overexpression of *ampC* caused by *ampD* dacB inactivation did not modify virulence gene expression, while the *ampD* ampDh3 double amidase mutant had only a modest effect on expression of some of the virulence genes (Fig. 7; Fig. S5).

Dissection of the underlying basis for the pathwaydependent impact of *ampC* derepression on fitness and virulence. Results obtained so far clearly indicated that very high-level *ampC* expression (>1,000-fold compared to the wild-type value) has a major impact on fitness and virulence, but only if occurring in an amidase-deficient background. Since amidase activity is required for peptidoglycan turnover, we hypothesized that the observed effect could be caused by overexpressing *ampC* in the absence of cell wall recycling. To test this hypothesis, we first analyzed the individual effect of blocking of peptidoglycan recycling by using an *ampG* mutant of strain PAO1 and the individual effect of *ampC* overexpression by introducing into PAO1 a plasmid-encoded *ampC* gene (pUCPAC), providing expression levels similar to that of the *ampD* triple mutant (approximately 1,500-fold increased expression [Table 1]). Indeed, as can be observed in Fig. 8, neither inactivation of *ampG* nor overexpression of a plasmid-mediated *ampC* significantly modified fitness (doubling time) or virulence (G. mellonella lethality) of strain PAO1. However, when both features were gathered together in the PAO1 ampG mutant expressing the plasmid-mediated ampC gene, a major effect on fitness and virulence was evidenced (Fig. 8), proving the established hypothesis.

Moreover, other potential hypotheses that could have eventually explained the different behavior of the *ampD* triple mutant compared to other mutants showing similar levels of AmpC expression (such as the *ampD dacB* double mutant) were ruled out. These hypotheses included a potential differential effect on *ampR* expression, which was ruled out since the transcription of this gene was not modified in any of the mutants (see Fig. S6 in the supplemental material). Besides, as expected, knocking down ampG or ampR in the triple amidase mutant abolished ampCoverexpression and consequently restored wild-type fitness and virulence phenotype (Fig. 8). Finally, we also ruled out the possibility that the preservation of fitness and virulence of the dacB mutants was driven by the previously documented activation of the creBC system (28), since knocking down this two-component regulatory system did not produce a significant effect on fitness and virulence (Fig. 8).

DISCUSSION

In this work, we show that the lack of the three P. aeruginosa N-acetyl-anhydromuramyl-L-alanine amidases causes a dramatic effect in fitness, severely compromising growth rates and bacterial motility (twitching, swimming, and swarming). Moreover, cytotoxicity, but not invasiveness, is specifically impaired in the triple amidase mutant, likely due to the repression of key virulence factors such as the protease LasA, phospholipase C, or TTSS components. Moreover, the inactivation of the three amidases in both PAO1 and PA14 strains significantly increased LD₅₀s in the G. mellonella model. It is noteworthy that the higher pathogenicity of strain PA14 compared to the pathogenicity of strain PAO1 (30) was fully abolished upon disruption of N-acetyl-anhydromuramyl-L-alanine amidase activity; in other words, while LD₅₀s were much lower for strain PA14 than for strain PAO1, the LD₅₀s of the corresponding amidase triple mutants were much higher but nearly identical in both mutants. This is likely explained by the fact that the higher virulence of PA14 mainly relies on its potent cytotoxicity (related to ExoU production and an elevated TTSS activity [30, 31]), and cytotoxicity is specifically impaired in the triple amidase mutant. In other words, although the reduced growth rates may largely explain the reduced pathogenicity, the specific impairment of cytotoxicity is also very relevant for the virulence-attenuated phenotype, especially in the case of PA14.



FIG 7 Expression of selected virulence genes in strains derived from *P. aeruginosa* PAO1, determined through RT-PCR following the protocols explained in Materials and Methods. The virulence genes studied were *exoS* (A), *lasA* (B), *pcrV* (C), *plcB* (D), *pilM* (E), and *pscH* (F). The results of the virulence genes are always shown compared to the expression of strain PAO1 (set at 1). Values are means plus SD from independent experiments performed as described in Materials and Methods. The values that are significantly different (P < 0.05 by Student's *t* test) are indicated by a bar and asterisk.

Likely, the most simple explanation for the observed GMC phenotype would be that the very high level of *ampC* expression determines a major energetic burden compromising cell physiology and, consequently, fitness and virulence. Indeed, this hypothesis would be supported by the fact that knocking out *ampC* in the ampD triple mutant fully restored the wild-type phenotype. However, the level of *ampC* overexpression by itself does not explain the GMC phenotype, since similar levels are also produced through alternative pathways, such as the double inactivation of *ampD* and *dacB* genes, which does not affect fitness or virulence. Thus, so far we could conclude that the very high level of ampCoverexpression was required but not sufficient for the observed GMC phenotype. Alternatively to the hypothesis of energetic burden of *ampC* expression, the activity of AmpC itself could have an effect on cell physiology. Indeed, AmpC and other β -lactamases may modify the structure of the peptidoglycan, due to residual DD-peptidase activity reminiscent of their PBP ascendance (32, 33). In any case, activity of AmpC by itself would still be necessary but not sufficient to produce the GMC phenotype, since as discussed above, alternative paths leading to similar levels of *ampC* overexpression do not show altered fitness or virulence. Thus, our data suggest that *ampC* overexpression and the peptidoglycan alterations produced by the specific mutations should act in concert to confer the observed GMC phenotype.

We have previously shown that *ampC* overexpression driven by both *ampD* and *dacB* inactivation requires a functional AmpG and an activated AmpR (22, 34). Thus, although there is evidence supporting the hypothesis that AmpG or AmpR may play a role in virulence (4, 27, 35), they do not affect differentially both *ampC* overexpression pathways. Moreover, transcription of *ampR* was not affected in any of the mutants.

AmpD, AmpDh2, and AmpDh3 are the three *N*-acetylanhydromuramyl-L-alanine amidases of *P. aeruginosa*. AmpD is the cytoplasmic enzyme processing soluble 1,6-anhydromuropeptides for the recycling of peptidoglycan catabolites and avoiding *ampC* induction (36). AmpDh2 and AmpDh3 are periplasmic enzymes mainly acting directly on the insoluble polymeric sacculus of the peptidoglycan for cell wall remodeling (36,



FIG 8 (A) Duplication times of *P. aeruginosa* strains. Duplication times (in minutes) of exponentially growing cells of strain PAO1 and strains derived from strain PAO1 in LB broth are shown. Values are means \pm SD from three independent experiments performed for each strain. The values that are significantly different (*P* < 0.0001 by Student's *t* test) from the value for the wild-type strain are indicated by a bar and asterisk. (B) *Galleria mellonella* killing assays with PAO1-derived strains. *G. mellonella* larvae were infected as described in Materials and Methods. LD₅₀s were determined as previously described (53). To analyze the differences between the obtained LD₅₀s, Student's *t* test) from the value for the wild-type strain are indicated by a bar and asterisk.

37). However, they can also process soluble 1,6-anhydromuropeptides but with a lower efficiency than that for AmpD (36–38). Thus, candidates for triggers of the fitness and virulence impairment in the amidase triple mutant would be the accumulation of soluble 1,6-anhydromuropeptides and/or the alteration of cell wall remodeling itself. Indeed, cell wall remodeling has been shown to be relevant for the assembly of flagella and for type III and type VI secretion systems (39–42). Moreover, although our results related to membrane physiology (susceptibility to serum, neutrophil killing, and electron microscopy) failed to reflect any differences between the triple AmpD mutant and wild-type, the possibility of AmpDh2 and/or AmpDh3 deletion affecting to a certain degree the assembly and anchoring of bacterial surface structures cannot be completely ruled out and should be explored further, given the existing evidences in this context (43–45).

As mentioned above, a similar (around 1,000-fold) ampC expression level in the *dacB* ampD double mutant does not produce a significant fitness or virulence effect. Accumulation of soluble 1,6-anhydromuropeptides should however also be high and similar to the amidase triple mutant, since cytosolic AmpD is, as commented above, the main factor. Moreover, the absence of *dacB*-encoded PBP4 should also further increase muropentapeptide levels (25). Still, an obvious qualitative difference between the *ampD* triple mutant and the other mutants is the lack of *N*-acetyl-anhydromuramyl-L-alanine amidase activity in the former, leading to a complete blocking of peptidoglycan recycling. Indeed, our experiments using an *ampG* mutant of strain PAO1 and a plasmid-mediated *ampC* overexpression.

Finally, we also ruled out the alternative hypothesis that the preservation of fitness and virulence of the *dacB* mutants was driven by the previously documented activation of the *creBC* system (shown to play a major role in fitness and response to β -lactam challenge [22, 26]), since knocking out this two-component regulatory system did not produce a significant effect on fitness and virulence.

Regardless of the underlying mechanisms, our results are helpful to understand the natural occurrence of AmpC-hyperproducing mutants among clinical strains, since while *ampD dacB* double mutants have been well documented (22, 34), *ampD* triple mutants have not been reported so far. Another practical lesson from this work is that blocking peptidoglycan recycling (e.g., using AmpG inhibitors) might not only be a useful strategy for combating inducible (AmpR-dependent) β -lactam resistance (46, 47) but also AmpR-independent plasmid-mediated AmpCs, frequently seen in members of the family *Enterobacteriaceae* (48).

In summary, altogether, our results determine a major step forward for understanding bacterial β -lactam resistance responses and should be helpful for guiding the development of future strategies for combating *P. aeruginosa* infections.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial strains and plasmids. A list and description of the bacterial strains and plasmids used in this work are shown in Table S2 in the supplemental material. *P. aeruginosa* single or combined knockout *ampD*, *ampDh2*, *ampDh3*, *ampC*, or *dacB* mutants were constructed according to previously described procedures (20, 22) based on the Cre-lox system for gene deletion in *P. aeruginosa* (49) and confirmed by DNA sequencing. Since *ampR* is contiguous to *ampC*, the absence of altered *ampR* sequence and expression in the *ampC* mutants was confirmed through DNA sequencing and transcriptional analysis through real-time reverse transcription-PCR (RT-PCR), respectively. The previously constructed plasmid pUCPAD was used for the complementation of selected knockout mutants through electroporation followed by selection in Müller-Hinton agar plates containing 50 mg/liter gentamicin (9).

Global transcriptome analysis. Three independent replicates of *P. aeruginosa* strains PAO1 and PA Δ DDh2Dh3 (PAO1 with *ampD ampDh2 ampDh3* knocked out) were grown at 37°C to an optical density at 600 nm (OD₆₀₀) of 1 in vigorously shaken 50-ml flasks containing 10 ml of LB broth. The total RNA was isolated using the RNeasy minikit (Qiagen), according to the manufacturer's instructions. RNA was treated with 2 U of Turbo DNase (Ambion) to remove DNA. Ten micrograms of total RNA was used for cDNA synthesis, fragmentation, labeling, and hybridization according to the Affymetrix GeneChip *P. aeruginosa* genome array expression analysis protocol. Briefly, random hexamers (Invitrogen) were added

to the 10 μ g of total RNA, and *in vitro*-synthesized, polyadenylated transcripts for *Bacillus subtilis* genes were used as a control as recommended. cDNA was synthesized using Superscript II (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The reaction was inactivated at 70°C for 10 min, and after hydrolysis with NaOH and neutralization with HCl, cDNA was purified by using a High Pure PCR product purification kit (Roche). The cDNA was fragmented by DNase I (Thermo Fisher Scientific), and the final 3' end labeling of the fragmentation products was performed with the GeneChip DNA labeling reagent (Affymetrix) following the recommended Affymetrix protocol.

Arrays were hybridized following the manufacturer's (Affymetrix) instructions. Signal values were generated by the Affymetrix Expression Console 1.4.1 software program, and normalization of the signal values was performed using the Robust Multichip Analysis (RMA) algorithm (50). Statistical analysis was executed by the Transcriptome Analysis Console 3.0 software (Affymetrix) as described previously (22). Only transcripts showing \geq 2-fold increases or decreases and one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) *P* values less than 0.05 were considered to be differentially expressed. In all cases, the posterior probability for differential expression (PPDE) was between 0.999 and 1. The expression of selected representative genes was further analyzed using real-time RT-PCR.

Analysis of gene expression. The relative mRNA levels of selected genes (*ampC*, *exoU*, *exoS*, *lasA*, *pcrV*, *plcB*, *pilM*, and *pscH*) were determined by real-time RT-PCR, according to previously described protocols (10, 20). Total RNA was obtained with the RNeasy minikit (Qiagen). Fifty nanograms of purified RNA was used for one-step reverse transcription and real-time PCR using an Illumina Eco real-time PCR system as previously described (20). The *rpsL* housekeeping gene was used to normalize the expression levels, and the results were always referred to PAO1 or PA14. All RT-PCRs were performed in duplicate, and the mean values of expression from three independent experiments were considered. The primers used are listed in Table S3 in the supplemental material.

Motility assays. The swimming, swarming, and twitching motilities were determined in the selected strains as described previously (51) in plates containing different media. (i) To determine swimming motility, 10 g/liter tryptone, 5 g/liter NaCl, and 0.3% (wt/vol) mid-resolution agarose was used. The plates were inoculated with an isolated colony from an overnight culture in LB agar at 37°C, using a sterile toothpick. (ii) To determine swarming motility, 1× M8 minimal medium supplemented with 1 mM MgSO₄, 0.2% glucose, 0.5% Bacto Casamino Acids, and 0.5% agar. Aliquots (2.5 µl) were taken from overnight cultures to inoculate the surface of the plate. (iii) To determine twitching motility, isolated colonies were inoculated with a sterile toothpick inserted in the bottom of LB agar plates. In all cases, the plates were wrapped with film to prevent dehydration and incubated at 37°C for 16 h. After incubation, the diameter of the motility zone was measured. In the plates used to determine twitching motility, the medium was taken off the plate, and the print over the dish bottom was measured. If the area was irregular, two perpendicular diameters were measured, and the result was expressed as the mean. Ten determinations for each strain and motility type were recorded.

Invertebrate infection model. The wax moth *Galleria mellonella* was used as infection model following previously described protocols (29, 30, 52). Exponentially growing cultures were pelleted, washed, and resuspended in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). Different serial dilutions (depending on the strain) were made in PBS. A Hamilton syringe was used to inject 10- μ l aliquots into individual fifth-instar *G. mellonella* larvae via the hindmost left proleg. Ten larvae were injected for each dilution and strain, and larvae were scored as live or dead after 24 h at 37°C. An approximate 50% lethal dose (LD₅₀) was initially determined in a pilot screening of wide bacterial load intervals (logarithmic scale). When an approximate LD₅₀ was obtained, three final experiments with already adjusted bacterial loads were performed. In all cases, 10 larvae were inoculated with 10 μ l of PBS as controls. The percentage of larvae that had died at each bacterial dose was then analyzed by Probit analysis (53), and the LD₅₀ \pm standard deviation was finally determined using R software, version 3.2.2.

Data analysis. With the exception of $LD_{50}s$ (see above), GraphPad Prism 5 software was used for graphical representation and statistical analysis. Quantitative variables were compared using Student's *t* test or Mann-Whitney U test as appropriate. A *P* value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

An extended Materials and Methods section is available in the supplemental material (Text S1 in the supplemental material).

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Supplemental material for this article may be found at http://mbio.asm.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1128/mBio.01783-16/-/DCSupplemental.

Text S1, DOCX file, 0.02 MB. Figure S1, TIF file, 0.2 MB. Figure S2, TIF file, 1.5 MB. Figure S3, TIF file, 0.3 MB. Figure S4, TIF file, 0.4 MB. Figure S5, TIF file, 0.5 MB. Figure S6, TIF file, 0.2 MB. Table S1, DOCX file, 0.02 MB. Table S2, DOCX file, 0.02 MB. Table S3, DOCX file, 0.02 MB.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Mikael Rhen's group (Speranta Puiac, Naeem Anwar, and Syed Fazle Rouf) for help with the experiments performed at MTC (Karolinska Institutet) and people at Smittskyddsinstitutet at the time of experimental work also (Tiziana Spadafina, Stefan Fälker, and Sandra Muschiol). We are also thankful to Victor Asensio from IdISPa for help with global transcriptomic assays.

This work was supported by the Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad of Spain and Instituto de Salud Carlos III, which were cofinanced by European Regional Development Fund "A way to achieve Europe" ERDF through the Spanish Network for the Research in Infectious Diseases (RD12/0015) and grants CP12/03324, SAF2012-38539, PI12/00103, PI15/00088, and PI15/02212.

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and interpretation or the decision to submit the work for publication.

REFERENCES

- Livermore DM. 2009. Has the era of untreatable infections arrived? J Antimicrob Chemother 64(Suppl 1):i29–i36. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/ jac/dkp255.
- Melnyk AH, Wong A, Kassen R. 2015. The fitness costs of antibiotic resistance mutations. Evol Appl 8:273–283. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/ eva.12196.
- Martínez JL, Baquero F, Andersson DI. 2007. Predicting antibiotic resistance. Nat Rev Microbiol 5:958–965. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ nrmicro1796.
- Balasubramanian D, Schneper L, Kumari H, Mathee K. 2013. A dynamic and intricate regulatory network determines *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* virulence. Nucleic Acids Res 41:1–20. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/ gks1039.
- Gooderham WJ, Hancock RE. 2009. Regulation of virulence and antibiotic resistance by two-component regulatory systems in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. FEMS Microbiol Rev 33:279–294. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/ j.1574-6976.2008.00135.x.
- Olivares J, Alvarez-Ortega C, Linares JF, Rojo F, Köhler T, Martínez JL. 2012. Overproduction of the multidrug efflux pump MexEF-OprN does not impair *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* fitness in competition tests, but produces specific changes in bacterial regulatory networks. Environ Microbiol 14:1968–1981. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2012.02727.x.
- Gellatly SL, Hancock RE. 2013. Pseudomonas aeruginosa: new insights into pathogenesis and host defenses. Pathog Dis 67:159–173. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1111/2049-632X.12033.
- Breidenstein EB, de la Fuente-Núñez C, Hancock RE. 2011. Pseudomonas aeruginosa: all roads lead to resistance. Trends Microbiol 19:419–426. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2011.04.005.
- Juan C, Maciá MD, Gutiérrez O, Vidal C, Pérez JL, Oliver A. 2005. Molecular mechanisms of beta-lactam resistance mediated by AmpC hy-

perproduction in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* clinical strains. Antimicrob Agents Chemother **49:**4733–4738. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.49.11.4733-4738.2005.

- Cabot G, Ocampo-Sosa AA, Tubau F, Macia MD, Rodríguez C, Moya B, Zamorano L, Suárez C, Peña C, Martínez-Martínez L, Oliver A, Spanish Network for Research in Infectious Diseases (REIPI). 2011. Overexpression of AmpC and efflux pumps in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolates from bloodstream infections: prevalence and impact on resistance in a Spanish multicenter study. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 55: 1906–1911. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01645-10.
- Normark S. 1995. Beta-lactamase induction in Gram-negative bacteria is intimately linked to peptidoglycan recycling. Microb Drug Resist 1:111–114. http://dx.doi.org/10.1089/mdr.1995.1.111.
- 12. Johnson JW, Fisher JF, Mobashery S. 2013. Bacterial cell-wall recycling. Ann N Y Acad Sci 1277:54–75. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1749 -6632.2012.06813.x.
- Zhang Y, Bao Q, Gagnon LA, Huletsky A, Oliver A, Jin S, Langaee T. 2010. *ampG* gene of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and its role in β-lactamase expression. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 54:4772–4779. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00009-10.
- Asgarali A, Stubbs KA, Oliver A, Vocadlo DJ, Mark BL. 2009. Inactivation of the glycoside hydrolase NagZ attenuates antipseudomonal betalactam resistance in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 53:2274–2282. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01617-08.
- Balcewich MD, Reeve TM, Orlikow EA, Donald LJ, Vocadlo DJ, Mark BL. 2010. Crystal structure of the AmpR effector binding domain provides insight into the molecular regulation of inducible AmpC beta-lactamase. J Mol Biol 400:998–1010. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2010.05.040.
- Jacobs C, Huang LJ, Bartowsky E, Normark S, Park JT. 1994. Bacterial cell wall recycling provides cytosolic muropeptides as effectors for betalactamase induction. EMBO J 13:4684–4694.
- Lindberg F, Westman L, Normark S. 1985. Regulatory components in *Citrobacter freundii ampC* beta-lactamase induction. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 82:4620–4624. http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.82.14.4620.
- Lee M, Zhang W, Hesek D, Noll BC, Boggess B, Mobashery S. 2009. Bacterial AmpD at the crossroads of peptidoglycan recycling and manifestation of antibiotic resistance. J Am Chem Soc 131:8742–8743. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja9025566.
- 19. Lindberg F, Lindquist S, Normark S. 1987. Inactivation of the *ampD* gene causes semiconstitutive overproduction of the inducible *Citrobacter freundii* beta-lactamase. J Bacteriol **169**:1923–1928.
- Juan C, Moyá B, Pérez JL, Oliver A. 2006. Stepwise upregulation of the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* chromosomal cephalosporinase conferring highlevel beta-lactam resistance involves three AmpD homologues. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 50:1780–1787. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/ AAC.50.5.1780-1787.2006.
- Moya B, Juan C, Albertí S, Pérez JL, Oliver A. 2008. Benefit of having multiple *ampD* genes for acquiring beta-lactam resistance without losing fitness and virulence in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 52:3694–3700. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00172-08.
- Moya B, Dötsch A, Juan C, Blázquez J, Zamorano L, Haussler S, Oliver A. 2009. Beta-lactam resistance response triggered by inactivation of a nonessential penicillin-binding protein. PLoS Pathog 5:e1000353. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1000353.
- 23. Ropy A, Cabot G, Sánchez-Diener I, Aguilera C, Moya B, Ayala JA, Oliver A. 2015. Role of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* low-molecular-mass penicillin-binding proteins in AmpC expression, β-lactam resistance, and peptidoglycan structure. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 59:3925–3934. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.05150-14.
- 24. Cavallari JF, Lamers RP, Scheurwater EM, Matos AL, Burrows LL. 2013. Changes to its peptidoglycan-remodeling enzyme repertoire modulate β-lactam resistance in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 57:3078–3084. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00268-13.
- 25. Lee M, Hesek D, Blázquez B, Lastochkin E, Boggess B, Fisher JF, Mobashery S. 2015. Catalytic spectrum of the penicillin-binding protein 4 of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, a nexus for the induction of β-lactam antibiotic resistance. J Am Chem Soc 137:190–200. http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ ja5111706.
- Zamorano L, Moyà B, Juan C, Mulet X, Blázquez J, Oliver A. 2014. The *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* CreBC two-component system plays a major role in the response to β-lactams, fitness, biofilm growth, and global regulation. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 58:5084–5095. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1128/AAC.02556-14.

- Balasubramanian D, Schneper L, Merighi M, Smith R, Narasimhan G, Lory S, Mathee K. 2012. The regulatory repertoire of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* AmpC β-lactamase regulator AmpR includes virulence genes. PLoS One 7:e34067. http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0034067.
- Zamorano L, Moyá B, Juan C, Oliver A. 2010. Differential beta-lactam resistance response driven by *ampD* or *dacB* (PBP4) inactivation in genetically diverse *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* strains. J Antimicrob Chemother 65:1540–1542. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkq142.
- Jander G, Rahme LG, Ausubel FM. 2000. Positive correlation between virulence of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* mutants in mice and insects. J Bacteriol 182:3843–3845. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JB.182.13.3843 -3845.2000.
- Choi JY, Sifri CD, Goumnerov BC, Rahme LG, Ausubel FM, Calderwood SB. 2002. Identification of virulence genes in a pathogenic strain of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* by representational difference analysis. J Bacteriol 184:952–961. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/jb.184.4.952-961.2002.
- Mikkelsen H, McMullan R, Filloux A. 2011. The Pseudomonas aeruginosa reference strain PA14 displays increased virulence due to a mutation in ladS. PLoS One 6:e29113. http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal .pone.0029113.
- Morosini MI, Ayala JA, Baquero F, Martínez JL, Blázquez J. 2000. Biological cost of AmpC production for *Salmonella enterica* serotype Typhimurium. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 44:3137–3143. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.44.11.3137-3143.2000.
- 33. Sauvage E, Kerff F, Terrak M, Ayala JA, Charlier P. 2008. The penicillinbinding proteins: structure and role in peptidoglycan biosynthesis. FEMS Microbiol Rev 32:234–258. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1574 -6976.2008.00105.x. (Erratum, 32:556.)
- 34. Zamorano L, Reeve TM, Juan C, Moyá B, Cabot G, Vocadlo DJ, Mark BL, Oliver A. 2011. AmpG inactivation restores susceptibility of pan-beta-lactam-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* clinical strains. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 55:1990–1996. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1128/AAC.01688-10.
- 35. Folkesson A, Eriksson S, Andersson M, Park JT, Normark S. 2005. Components of the peptidoglycan-recycling pathway modulate invasion and intracellular survival of *Salmonella enterica* serovar *Typhimurium*. Cell Microbiol 7:147–155. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1462 -5822.2004.00443.x.
- Zhang W, Lee M, Hesek D, Lastochkin E, Boggess B, Mobashery S. 2013. Reactions of the three AmpD enzymes of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. J Am Chem Soc 135:4950–4953. http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja400970n.
- 37. Lee M, Artola-Recolons C, Carrasco-López C, Martínez-Caballero S, Hesek D, Spink E, Lastochkin E, Zhang W, Hellman LM, Boggess B, Hermoso JA, Mobashery S. 2013. Cell-wall remodeling by the zincprotease AmpDh3 from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. J Am Chem Soc 135: 12604–12607. http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja407445x.
- Martínez-Caballero S, Lee M, Artola-Recolons C, Carrasco-López C, Hesek D, Spink E, Lastochkin E, Zhang W, Hellman LM, Boggess B, Mobashery S, Hermoso JA. 2013. Reaction products and the X-ray structure of AmpDh2, a virulence determinant of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. J Am Chem Soc 135:10318–10321. http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja405464b.
- Burkinshaw BJ, Deng W, Lameignère E, Wasney GA, Zhu H, Worrall LJ, Finlay BB, Strynadka NC. 2015. Structural analysis of a specialized type III secretion system peptidoglycan-cleaving enzyme. J Biol Chem 290:10406–10417. http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M115.639013.
- Zahrl D, Wagner M, Bischof K, Bayer M, Zavecz B, Beranek A, Ruckenstuhl C, Zarfel GE, Koraimann G. 2005. Peptidoglycan degradation by specialized lytic transglycosylases associated with type III and type IV secretion systems. Microbiology 151:3455–3467. http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/ mic.0.28141-0.
- Roure S, Bonis M, Chaput C, Ecobichon C, Mattox A, Barrière C, Geldmacher N, Guadagnini S, Schmitt C, Prévost MC, Labigne A, Backert S, Ferrero RL, Boneca IG. 2012. Peptidoglycan maturation enzymes affect flagellar functionality in bacteria. Mol Microbiol 86:845–856. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/mmi.12019. (Erratum, 88:456–457, 2013.)
- Aschtgen MS, Thomas MS, Cascales E. 2010. Anchoring the type VI secretion system to the peptidoglycan: TssL, TagL, TagP . . . what else? Virulence 1:535–540. http://dx.doi.org/10.4161/viru.1.6.13732.
- 43. Koebnik R. 1995. Proposal for a peptidoglycan-associating alpha-helical motif in the C-terminal regions of some bacterial cell-surface proteins. Mol Microbiol 16:1269–1270. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365 -2958.1995.tb02348.x.
- 44. Bouveret E, Bénédetti H, Rigal A, Loret E, Lazdunski C. 1999. In

vitro characterization of peptidoglycan-associated lipoprotein (PAL)-peptidoglycan and PAL-TolB interactions. J Bacteriol 181: 6306-6311.

- Wehbi H, Portillo E, Harvey H, Shimkoff AE, Scheurwater EM, Howell PL, Burrows LL. 2011. The peptidoglycan-binding protein FimV promotes assembly of the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* type IV pilus secretin. J Bacteriol 193:540–550. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JB.01048-10.
- 46. Mark BL, Vocadlo DJ, Oliver A. 2011. Providing β-lactams a helping hand: targeting the AmpC β-lactamase induction pathway. Future Microbiol 6:1415–1427. http://dx.doi.org/10.2217/fmb.11.128. (Erratum, 7:306, 2012.)
- 47. Lamers RP, Burrows LL. 2016. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: targeting cell-wall metabolism for new antibacterial discovery and development. Future Med Chem 8:975–992. http://dx.doi.org/10.4155/fmc-2016 -0017.
- Jacoby GA. 2009. AmpC beta-lactamases. Clin Microbiol Rev 22:161–182. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/CMR.00036-08.
- Quénée L, Lamotte D, Polack B. 2005. Combined sacB-based negative selection and cre-lox antibiotic marker recycling for efficient gene deletion

in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. BioTechniques 38:63–67. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.2144/05381ST01.

- Irizarry RA, Hobbs B, Collin F, Beazer-Barclay YD, Antonellis KJ, Scherf U, Speed TP. 2003. Exploration, normalization, and summaries of high density oligonucleotide array probe level data. Biostatistics 4:249–264. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/biostatistics/4.2.249.
- Mulet X, Cabot G, Ocampo-Sosa AA, Domínguez MA, Zamorano L, Juan C, Tubau F, Rodríguez C, Moyà B, Peña C, Martínez-Martínez L, Oliver A, Spanish Network for Research in Infectious Diseases (REIPI). 2013. Biological markers of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* epidemic high-risk clones. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 57:5527–5535. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1128/AAC.01481-13.
- 52. Miyata S, Casey M, Frank DW, Ausubel FM, Drenkard E. 2003. Use of the *Galleria mellonella* caterpillar as a model host to study the role of the type III secretion system in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* pathogenesis. Infect Immun 71:2404–2413. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/IAI.71.5.2404 -2413.2003. 12704110.
- 53. Finney DJ. 1967. Probit analysis, 2nd ed. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom.