

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Open Access

Risk factors of musculoskeletal symptoms in university hospital nurses

Eunkwang Ryu, Byeongjin Ye, Youngil Yi and Jungwon Kim*

Abstract

Objectives: The purpose of this study was to investigate musculoskeletal symptom prevalence in university hospital nurses and explore the relation between musculoskeletal symptom prevalence and work related factors.

Methods: A structured questionnaire was conducted with 620 nurses in a university hospital to look into the characters of responsibility and musculoskeletal symptom prevalence. The questionnaire respondents numbered 534, so the response rate was 86.1%. Among the respondents, three who gave insincere answers were excluded. The final study population was 531 respondents. ANSI Z-365 checklist was applied to look into ergonomic characteristics, and Korean Occupational Stress Scale Short Form was employed to measure job stress.

Results: In the case of the whole body, symptom prevalence amounted to 70.8%. Regarding each body region, shoulder symptom prevalence accounted for the highest, or 44.8%, waist 40.7%, and neck 33.3% in order. According to multiple logistic regression analysis, in the case of the whole body, the group with a high ANSI checklist grade had odds ratio of 3.59 (95% CI $1.48 \sim 8.76$), and the group with high job stress had $3.19 (95\% \text{ CI } 2.01 \sim 5.07)$.

Conclusion: Regarding the occupational factors related to musculoskeletal symptoms of university hospital nurses, it was found that ANSI Z-365 checklist high risk group, total job tenure, department, shiftworks, and job stress had high relation with musculoskeletal symptoms. It is necessary to find an ergonomic solution and a stress reduction plan to prevent musculoskeletal disease.

Keywords: Nurses, Musculoskeletal, Symptom, Stress, Occupations

Introduction

Work-related musculoskeletal disease is one of occupational diseases recently increased by a new industrial structure and a changed social environment. It is a health problem caused by something related to repetitive motion, improper work posture, excessive use of force, the sharp side of the body contact, and vibration and temperature factor. It refers to a disease appearing in the nerves and muscles of the neck, the shoulder, the waist, and the upper and lower limbs, and in surrounding body tissues.

In the US, it was said that musculoskeletal disease is the main cause of the absence from work induced by occupational injuries and diseases [1]. According to the 2007–2009 occupational injuries and disease study in the UK, musculoskeletal disease accounted for 53% (the highest) of all diseases, and amounted to 27% (the second largest

following mental diseases) in terms of the rate of absence from work. According to the 2010 2nd Korean working condition survey (the 2nd KWCS) with Korean workers, the absence from work induced by musculoskeletal disease accounted for 1.01% of total employees. It was the highest rate, around 53% among the rate of absence from work of total employees (1.8%) [2].

It is known that, among risk factors of work related musculoskeletal disease, ergonomic factors are important. According to National Institutes of Safety and Health (NIOSH), however, psychosocial factors, such as workload dissatisfaction, monotonous works, limited job autonomy, low job clarity, tedious work, and low social support, have something to do with a variety of work-related musculoskeletal disease [3].

The tasks of medical care workers in hospital vary. The risk factors of work-related disease are categorized into biological factors, physical factor, chemical factor, ergonomic factor, psychiatric factor, and psychological

^{*} Correspondence: hedoc68@gmail.com Department of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, Kosin University College of Medicine, Gamcheonro 262, Seogu, Pusan, South Korea



factors by task [4]. Of medical care workers, nurses, according to Occupational Safety and Health Agency (OSHA), are in the 10 major occupations which have the high risk of work-related musculoskeletal disease [5]. In Korea, they accounted for about 9%, or 106, of 1,181 health and human services workers who had been diagnosed with musculoskeletal disease from 2006 to 2009 [6].

The biggest cause of nurses' musculoskeletal disease is the character of their works requiring excessive tension and concentration, such as always lifting heavy objects, standing work, and dealing with dangerous goods [7-10]. Another one is the character of their jobs requiring the postures damaging the waist and the body, such as bending, twisting hands, and dealing with patients [11,12]. Domestic nurses do repetitive jobs mainly using their upper limbs, like writing medical records and test records, and have the character of works requiring the frequent use of their lower body part in stations, which need improper and atypical postures, such as injection and medical care for patients. Also it is known that they receive psychosocial stress because of their job character of giving assistance for patient treatment, and experience severe conflict on account of the different work scope of occupations in hospital, where there are many different occupations [13].

The previous Korean studies of musculoskeletal disease focused on relevant factors. Most of them looked into only the relation between the disease and ergonomic factors or between the disease and psycho-social factors, or investigated work-related musculoskeletal disease of operation room nurses. There is few research on the risk factors of the disease by body region. The study on medical care workers in one university hospital published in this journal in 2012, which mentioned the issue partially, is the only case. In other words, there is no study on nurses related musculoskeletal disease. Therefore these author investigated musculoskeletal symptom prevalence in nurses in one university hospital, and looked into ergonomic factors, job stress, work-related factor, and risk factors by body region.

Materials and methods

Study population

This study conducted a structured questionnaire survey in a self-record type with 620 nurses in a university hospital located in Busan in 2011. The study subjects were those who heard the purpose of this study before their participation and agreed on the survey. The questionnaire respondents numbered 534, and the response rate was 86.1%. Among them, three respondents gave insincere answers. As a result, 531 respondents were selected as a study population.

Study methods

The questionnaire includes personal history information, such as age, martial status, and house, and work-related factors, including occupation, department, position, total job tenure, works types, and character of works. Their age is classified into 20 something, 30 something, 40 something, and over 50 something. Their work department is classified into station, operation room, ICU, and other departments. Their work position is divided into temporary position and permanent position, and their work type into day works and shiftworks. Their position is classified into general nurse, responsibility nurse, and head nurse and over. Operation room includes operation room nurses. ICU room includes internal medicine part, surgery part, neurology part, and Intensive care units. Other departments include referral center, proper care center, clinical trials centre, outpatient, occupational health centers, infection control room, recovery room, artificial endoscopy laboratory, emergency department, supply chamber, cardiac laboratory, and nursery.

For the questionnaire about musculoskeletal symptom prevalence, this study employed the burden of musculoskeletal research work instructions of Korea occupation safety health agency (KOSHA code H-30-2008). With the tools, whether the study subjects experienced any pains on the neck, the shoulder, the arms/elbow, the hands/wrists/finger, the waist, knee, and the foot/ankle over the last one year was surveyed [14].

In reference to the data of National Institute of Occupational Safety & Health, the criterium of musculoskeletal symptom prevalence in the musculoskeletal questionnaire was defined as 'the case where a symptom lasted more than one week or happened more than once every month over the last one year'.

For ergonomic factor, ANSI Z-365 Quick checklist of American National Standards Institute (ANSI) was employed [15]. The checklist is comprised of repeated exposure levels and repeat operation, the average weight at heavy work and exposure time, the push/pull operations when working strength, weight, and when moving heavy exposure, risk factors of posture (neck/ shoulder, hand stretch, elbow hand/wrist bending, twisting of the waist, knee: curled or use group work), using power tools, pressure body parts, a fixed work postures, work environment, keyboard work, and incentive/work speed control. Based on the sum of the points of each item, those with $0 \sim 9$ points were categorized in low risk group; those with $10 \sim 15$ points in moderate risk group; and those with more than 16 points in high risk group.

For job stress measurement, Korean Occupational Stress Scale-24 (KOSS-24) was employed. The KOSS-24 consists of such sub-elements as job demands, job autonomy, interpersonal conflict, job insecurity, inadequate compensation, organizational structure, and workplace

culture. Job stress sub part and total job stress score were based on the 50th percentile reference value suggested by Chang et al. [16]. The job stress was measured in the categories of the group with low total job stress and the group with high stress.

This study was reviewed for private information protection and approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Kosin university hospital.

Statistical analysis

Analysis of frequency was applied to the general characters, work-related characters, and psycho-social factor of all study subjects. And analysis of frequency was conducted on symptom prevalence in the categories of the whole body and body regions.

To investigate whether there are any differences in musculoskeletal symptom prevalence in the whole body and in body regions regarding general characters, workrelated characters, and job stress, this study conducted Chi-square test and Fisher's exact test.

Ergonomic characters, work related characters, and job stress were set to independent variables, and musculoskeletal symptom prevalence and positive symptom according to the whole body and each body region were set to dependent variables. With these variables, linear logistic regression analysis was performed. With significant independent variables, age was adjusted first and then multiple logistic regression analysis was conducted. The assumptions of multiple regression analysis were verified. As a result, tolerance was less than 1.0; and variation inflation factor and VIF didn't exceed 10. Confidence interval was set to 95%, and significance level to less than 0.05. For statistical analysis, 18.0 SPSS 18.0 Statistics program was used.

Results

Characters of study population characters

Regarding the age distribution of study subjects, those in their 20s accounted for the highest or 56.1%, followed by those in their 30s, 40s, and 50s in order. 68.0% of the study subjects were 'unmarried'. Regarding house-working hours, those with 'less than 3' house-working hours accounted for 75.7%, followed by those with '3 to 5 hours' and those with 'more than 6 hours' in order. Regarding department, those working at 'station' accounted for 65.9%, those working at 'operation room' 6.6%, those working at 'ICU' 13.0%, and those working at 'others' 14.5%. With regard to total job tenure, those with 'less than 5 years', those with '5 to 10 years, and those with 'more than 10 years accounted for 50.3% (the largest), 24.7%, and 25.0%, respectively. Regarding position, 'general nurses' accounted for the highest percentage. Regarding work character, those with 'shiftworks' accounted for the highest, or 77.6 %. With regard to ergonomic characteristics based on ANSI Z-365 evaluation classification, those with 'low risk grade', those with 'moderate risk grade', and those 'high-risk garde' accounted for 71.4% (the largest), 17.1%, and 11.5%, respectively (Table 1). Regarding total job stress, they were in 25th to 50th percentile.

Musculoskeletal symptom prevalence of the study population

Based on the criterium that symptom prevalence is defined as 'the case where a symptom lasted more than one week or happened more than once every month over the last one year', in the case of the whole body, symptom prevalence amounted to 70.8%, and in the case of each body region, shoulder symptom prevalence amounted to the highest, or 44.8%; waist symptom

Table 1 Characteristics of study population

Variables		Numbe	er of subjects(%)
		N	%
Age(year)	20 ~ 29	298	56.1
	30 ~ 39	144	27.1
	40 ~ 49	74	13.9
	≧50	15	2.8
Martial status	Unmarried	361	68.0
	Married	168	31.6
	other	2	0.4
House work hour per day	0 ~ 2	402	75.7
	3~5	91	17.1
	≧6	38	7.2
Department	Ward	350	65.9
	Operation room	35	6.6
	Intensive care unit	69	13.0
	Other	77	14.5
Shiftwork	No	121	22.4
	Yes	410	77.6
Tenure(years)	0 ~ 4	267	50.3
	5 ~ 10	131	24.7
	>10	133	25.0
Position	General duty nurse	428	80.6
	Responsibility nurse	56	10.5
	Head nurse	47	8.9
Job satisfaction	Very satisfied	37	7.0
	Satisfied	343	64.6
	Unsatisfied	143	26.9
	Very unsatisfied	8	1.5
ANSI-Z 365 checklist	Low grade	379	71.4
	Moderate grade	91	17.1
	High grade	61	11.5

prevalence 40.7%; neck symptom prevalence 33.3%; and knee symptom prevalence 30.1%; hands/wrists/finger symptom prevalence 17.5%; foot/ankle symptom prevalence 14.9%; and arm/elbow symptom prevalence 5.5%. In the case of age, those in their 30s had the highest percentage of symptom prevalence, and those in their 50s the lowest. Unmarried study subjects had a higher percentage of symptom prevalence than married ones. Regarding house-working hours per day, those with more than six hours had the highest percentage of symptom prevalence. With regard to department, operation room nurses had the highest (74.3%) of symptom prevalence. Regarding total job tenure, those with less than four years had the highest percentage (71.5%) of symptom prevalence. Regarding position, general nurses had the highest (71.7%) of symptom prevalence. According to ANSI Z-365 evaluation table, those with high risk grade had 7 symptom prevalence 90.2% (the highest) of symptom prevalence. The group with high total job stress had a higher percentage of symptom prevalence than the group with low job stress (Table 2).

The relation between work related characters and musculoskeletal symptom prevalence

According to linear logistic regression analysis, ANSI checklist grade, department, total job tenure, shiftworks, and total job stress had relation. According to multiple logistic regression analysis, in the case of the whole body, the group with high ANSI checklist grade had odds ratio of 3.59 (95% CI $1.48 \sim 8.76$), and those with job stress had odds ratio of 3.19(95% CI $2.01 \sim 5.07$). In the case of the neck, those with 5 to 10 work years had odds ratio of 1.72 (95% CI $1.05 \sim 2.85$). In the case of the shoulder, those with more than 10 work years had odds ratio of 1.77(95% CI $1.09 \sim 2.88$). In the cases of the arm/elbow, the hands/ wrists/finger, and the knee, operation room nurses had odds ratio of 4.10(95% CI 1.21 ~ 13.90), of 2.66(95% CI $1.10 \sim 4.90$), and of 3.87(95% CI $1.67 \sim 8.81$), respectively. In the case of the foot/ankle, those with shiftwork had odds ratio of $4.28(95\% \text{ CI } 1.70 \sim 4.86)$. Those with high job stress had odds ratio of $3.19(95\% \text{ CI } 2.01 \sim 5.07)$ in the whole body, of $1.93(95\% \text{ CI } 1.32 \sim 2.83)$ in the neck, 1.98(95% CI 1.37 ~ 2.85) in the shoulder, of 3.30(95% CI $1.46 \sim 7.50$) in the arm/elbow, of $2.66(95\% \text{ CI } 1.63 \sim 4.32)$ in the hands/wrists/fingers, of $2.37(95\% \text{ CI } 1.64 \sim 3.43)$ in the waist, and of 2.00(95% CI 1.35 \sim 2.96) in the knee (Table 3). Other body part had odds ratio of $2.33(95\% \text{ CI } 1.11 \sim 4.91)$ in the hand/wrist/finger, of 1.99(95% CI 1.01 ~ 3.94) in the waist, of 5.22(95% CI 2.02 ~ 13.52) in the foot/ankle.

Discussion

This study tried to investigate the relation with ergonomic risk factors, job stress, and work-related factor of nurses according to body regions. In the case of the whole

Table 2 Whole body musculoskeletal symptoms of study population

		Symptoms				
Variables		Negative		Positive		p-
		N	%	N	%	value
Age	20 ~ 29	86	28.9	212	71.1	0.225
	30 ~ 39	40	27.8	104	72.2	
	40 ~ 49	21	28.4	53	71.6	
	≧50	8	53.3	7	46.7	
Martial status	Unmarried	101	28.0	260	72.0	0.459
	Married	54	32.1	114	67.9	
Shiftwork	No	42	32.1	89	67.9	0.036
	Yes	113	28.3	287	71.8	
House work hour per day	0~2	117	29.1	285	70.9	0.994
	3~5	27	29.7	64	70.3	
	≧6	11	28.9	27	71.1	
Department	Ward	103	29.4	247	70.6	0.962
	Operation room	9	25.7	26	74.3	
	Intensive care unit	21	30.4	48	69.6	
	Others	22	28.6	55	71.4	
Tenure	0 ~ 4	70	28.5	176	71.5	0.089
	5 ~ 10	41	28.9	101	71.1	
	>10	44	30.8	99	69.2	
Position	General duty nurse	121	28.3	307	71.7	0.375
	Responsibility nurse	16	28.6	40	71.4	
	Head nurse	18	38.3	29	61.7	
ANSI Z-365 checklist	Low grade	127	33.5	252	66.5	0.000
	Moderate grade	22	24.2	69	75.8	
	High grade	6	9.8	55	90.2	
Total job stress	Low	125	37.9	205	62.1	0.000
	High	30	14.9	171	85.1	

body, ANSI checklist grade had relation. In the case of each body region, work tenure, department, shiftworks, and job stress had relation.

According to the study on workers' musculoskeletal symptom prevalence based on the same criterium as this study, the musculoskeletal symptom prevalence of electronic component assembly female workers was 80.9%; that of food manufacturing workers 64.2%, and that of textile sewing up women workers is. The results were similar to the prevalence 70.8% in this study. According to the study of male and female workers in the main shipbuilding industry by bakjeongseon, the musculoskeletal symptom prevalence of male manufacturing workers was 64.3%, and that of female ones was 69.2%. The results were not higher than the musculoskeletal symptom prevalence of university hospital nurses in this study.

Table 3 Odds ratios of work-related factors for

musculoskeletal symptoms by multivariate analysis Unadjusted Adjusted OR* OR OR **Variables** 95% CI OR 95% CI Whole body ANSI-Z 365 checklist Low 1.00 1.00 Moderate 1.58 0.94-2.67 1.28 0.74-2.27 High 4.62 1.94-11.01 3.59 1.48-8.76 Tenure(year) 0~4 5 ~ 10 0.98 0.62-1.55 0.80 0.47-1.37 >10 0.89 0.57-1.40 0.86 0.35-2.11 Department Ward 1.08 0.43-2.77 Operation room 1.20 0.55-2.67 ICU^{\dagger} 0.95 0.54-1.68 0.92 0.50-1.66 Other 0.60-1.80 1.22 0.60-2.49 Shiftwork No Yes 1.20 0.78-1.84 1.30 0.68-2.50 Total job stress Low High 3.48 2.22-5.44 3.19 2.01-5.07 Neck Tenure(year) 0~4 5 ~ 10 1.20-2.90 1.72 1.05-2.85 1.74 1.12-2.70 1.94 0.85-4.41 >10 Department Ward Operation room 1.84 0.91-3.71 2.06 0.91-4.63 ICU[†] 0.43-1.38 0.80 0.44-1.46 Other 1.80 0.93-3.50 1.63 0.99-2.71 Shiftwork No Yes 0.85-1.30 1.50 0.81-2.79 Total job stress Low High 1.96 1.36-2.84 1.93 1.32-2.83 Shoulder Tenure(year) 0~4 5 ~ 10 1.30 0.85-1.97 1.20 0.78-1.84 >10 1.74 1.15-2.64 1.77 1.09-2.88 Department Ward Operation room 1.51 0.75-3.03 1.40 0.64-3.03 ICU^\dagger 0.72 0.42-1.23 0.77 0.46-1.33 Other 0.84-2.26 1.17 0.62-2.19 Shiftwork No Yes 0.50-1.10 1.06 0.61-1.86

Total job stress

Low High

1.91 1.34-2.72 1.98 1.37-2.85

Table 3 Odds ratios of work-related factors for musculoskeletal symptoms by multivariate analysis (Continued)

Arm/elbow					
Tenure(year)	0 ~ 4				
	5 ~ 10	1.38	0.78-2.43	0.90	0.46-1.76
	>10	2.09	1.23-3.55	0.93	0.34-2.55
Department	Ward				
	Operation room	3.96	1.42-11.06	4.10	1.21-13.90
	ICU^{\dagger}	0.61	0.14-2.76	0.81	0.17-3.75
	Other	1.02	0.23-4.68	1.52	0.46-4.92
Shiftwork	No				
	Yes	0.44	0.20-0.95	0.96	0.33-2.76
Total job stress	Low				
	High	2.85	1.32-6.17	3.30	1.46-7.50
Hand/wrist/finger					
Tenure(year)	0 ~ 4				
	5 ~ 10	1.38	0.78-2.43	0.90	0.45-1.76
	>10	2.10	1.23-3.56	0.93	0.34-2.56
Department	Ward				
	Operation room	3.06	1.43-6.53	2.66	1.10-4.90
	ICU^{\dagger}	0.49	0.18-1.20	0.46	0.17-1.21
	Other	2.81	1.61-4.94	2.33	1.11-4.91
	High				
Shiftwork	No				
	Yes	0.44	0.27-0.71	0.92	0.46-1.86
Total job stress	Low				
	High	2.33	1.49-3.67	2.66	1.63-4.32
Waist					
Tenure(year)	0 ~ 4				
	5 ~ 10	1.01	0.66-1.52	0.86	0.53-1.40
	>10	0.64	0.41-0.98	0.83	0.37-1.85
Department	Ward				
	Operation room	1.05	0.52-2.12	1.41	0.61-3.24
	ICU [†]	0.70	0.41-1.20	0.66	0.38-1.17
	Other	1.00	0.60-1.64	1.99	1.01-3.94
Shiftwork	No				
	Yes	1.56	1.03-2.36	1.83	0.97-3.43
Total job stress	Low				
	High	2.47	1.72-3.54	2.37	1.64-3.43
Knee					
Tenure(year)	0 ~ 4				
	5 ~ 10	1.03	0.66-1.62	0.77	0.45-1.30
	>10	1.05	0.67-1.65	0.74	0.32-1.73

Table 3 Odds ratios of work-related factors for musculoskeletal symptoms by multivariate analysis (Continued)

Ward Operation room ICU [†] Other	3.91 0.79	1.91-8.00 0.43-1.44	3.87	1.67-8.81
ICU [†]	0.79			1.67-8.81
		0.43-1.44	0.70	
Other			0.78	0.42-1.44
	1.33	0.79-2.25	1.45	0.73-2.88
No				
Yes	0.74	0.48-1.12	1.06	0.57-1.99
Low				
High	1.99	1.36-2.90	2.00	1.35-2.96
0 ~ 4				
5 ~ 10	0.70	0.40-1.28	0.49	0.24-1.00
>10	0.74	0.41-1.33	0.61	0.20-1.83
Ward				
Operation room	1.21	0.48-3.06	2.34	0.80-6.90
ICU [†]	0.56	0.23-1.36	0.53	0.22-13.5
Other	1.53	0.83-2.87	5.22	2.02-13.52
No				
Yes	1.78	1.23-3.37	4.28	1.70-4.86
Low				
Hiah	2.84	1.74-4.64	1.16	0.30-4.41
	Yes Low High 0 ~ 4 5 ~ 10 >10 Ward Operation room ICU [†] Other No Yes Low	Yes 0.74 Low High 1.99 0 ~ 4 5 ~ 10 0.70 >10 0.74 Ward Operation room 1.21 ICU [†] 0.56 Other 1.53 No Yes 1.78 Low	Yes 0.74 0.48-1.12 Low High 1.99 1.36-2.90 0 ~ 4 5 ~ 10 0.70 0.40-1.28 >10 0.74 0.41-1.33 Ward Operation room 1.21 0.48-3.06 ICU [†] 0.56 0.23-1.36 Other 1.53 0.83-2.87 No Yes 1.78 1.23-3.37 Low	Yes 0.74 0.48-1.12 1.06 Low 1.99 1.36-2.90 2.00 High 1.99 1.36-2.90 2.00 0 ~ 4 2.70 0.40-1.28 0.49 >10 0.74 0.41-1.33 0.61 Ward 0.74 0.48-3.06 2.34 ICU [†] 0.56 0.23-1.36 0.53 Other 1.53 0.83-2.87 5.22 No Yes 1.78 1.23-3.37 4.28 Low

*odds ratio adjusted for age, ANSI Z-365 checklist, tenure, department, shiftwork, total job stress.

This study was compared with previous studies on hospital nurses. In the study by Woh [17] et al., the whole body symptom prevalence was 66.8%; in the study by Park [18] et al., it was 79.0%. In the study by Woh et al., symptom prevalence was high in the order of the knee, the shoulder, and the waist, whereas in the study by Park et al., it was high in the order of the shoulder, the knee, the foot/ankle, and the waist. In this study, symptom prevalence was high in the order of the shoulder, the waist, the neck, and the knee. Depending on studies, there were differences in the order of body regions and symptom prevalence. It is considered that the causes are different working conditions and different labor grades. Another critical cause is the difference in the definition of symptom prevalence and diagnosis method depending on surveyors. Nevertheless, the result that each study showed symptom prevalence in the almost same body regions indicates that the work types of nurses are reflected well.

The relation between the body symptom prevalence and wok-related factor relation was analyzed. As a result, in the case of the whole body, as an ANSI checklist grade went up, odds ratio of symptom prevalence significantly increased to 3.59(95% CI $1.48 \sim 8.76$). A previous

study observed neck symptom according to the total score, and revealed that high risk group increased relative risk of musculoskeletal symptom more than low risk group [19]. According to the study of Choi et al. on the medical staff of a university hospital, the higher male and female medical staff had an ANSI checklist grade, the higher their relative risk became [20]. The result is presumed to be related to the characteristics of hospital work described earlier, and supports the conclusion that ergonomic work environment improvement is necessary.

In the cases of the neck and the shoulder, a rise in total job tenure had significant relation with odds ratio of symptom prevalence. According to Kurumatani, the longer the tenure was, the higher the perception symptom prevalence for body regions, such as the shoulder, the neck, and the arms became [21]. The study by Park revealed that the group of workers with more than five work years had statistically significant higher musculoskeletal symptom prevalence than the group with less than five work years [22]. The pains on the neck and the shoulder, and lethargy of the arms had relation with tenure, and the pain on the waist had significant relation with a rise in tenure [22]. Kourinka and Forcier proved that a level of exposure per day or in lifetime increased the strength of the relation between exposure and work-related musculoskeletal disease [23]. This study also revealed that in the cases of the neck, the shoulder, and the arms/elbows, tenure had significant relation with symptom prevalence.

In the cases of the arms/elbows, the hands/wrists, and the knees, odds ratio of symptom prevalence in operation room nurses was 4.10(95% CI $1.21 \sim 13.90)$, $2.66(95\% \text{ CI } 1.10 \sim 4.90)$, and $3.87(95\% \text{ CI } 1.67 \sim 8.81)$, respectively. Operation room nurses need to make a quick and accurate judgment, repeatedly use one arm, lift or move a heavy object, and take a fixed posture and tension for a long time in the process of surgical operation. Therefore, they have a lower level of health conditions than other workers [24]. The scrub work of operation room nurses is to prepare operation tools and hand over the prepared tools to operating surgeons. It requires a fixed standing posture for a long time and a posture of keeping a certain distance. As a result, it causes unnatural postures. It was reported that the nurses take a fixed posture for a long time and bend their neck in operation, use repeatedly their wrists and fingers to access operation tools, and intermittently give excessive power (to use mosquito, kelly, etc.) [25,26]. In other studies, REBA evaluation analysis showed that among their works, preoperative disinfection of goods and utensils ready, push disinfection article; related to supply of goods surgery; machinery, equipment delivered to the surgical team during surgery", and 'preparing surgical equipment, connect and

[†]Intensive care unit.

disconnect operation 'had a high level of risk [27]. Since operation room nurses continuously work for a long time, their body fatigue can be accumulated. Therefore, it is necessary to provide appropriate shiftworks for them, give work-based stretching education to them before and after operation and during rest time, and come up with an ergonomic improvement plan to reduce the burden of a posture.

In the case of the foot/ankle, odds ratio, when shiftworks were provided, was $4.28~(95\%~CI~1.70\sim4.86)$, significantly high. According to the study on Iranian nurses, symptom prevalence in the ankles and other body regions than non-shift workers [28]. It was reported that the rise of musculoskeletal disease of medical care workers had relation with shiftworks, and had relations with an increase in work hours and a decrease in rest time, caused by shiftworks [29]. The result shows that it is necessary to manage the works of shiftworkers for their health. In other words, it is necessary to reduce shiftworks, work the way forward, and provide enough rest time to them after work hours.

Other departments had significantly higher odds ratio of symptom prevalence in the hands/wrists/fingers, waist and the foot/ankle than general station. According to Lagerström et al., musculoskeletal symptom prevalence was different depending on station [30]. In this study, other departments mean small-sized departments excluding general station, operation room, and ICU, and they have different types of jobs and various characteristics. Therefore, it is difficult to make an analysis according to the hands/wrists/fingers, the ankles, and the waist. If more study objects are collected, it will be possible to perform an additional study and make a discussion.

Regarding job stress, in most body regions except for the foot/ankle, such as the neck, the shoulder, the arm/ elbow, the hands/wrists/fingers, the back/waist, and the knees, the group with high job stress had significantly high odds ratio. According to said Bongers et al., the mechanism of musculoskeletal disease is attributable to the continuance of physical working factors induced musculoskeletal inflammation by stress, or the tension of muscles or muscular reduction of the pain threshold caused by job stress [31]. The domestic study by Woh et al. showed that job stress statistically significantly affected the shoulder [32]. The study by Kim et al. revealed that nurses' job stress statistically significantly influenced the shoulder, the arms, the hands, the waist, and other body regions [33]. The study by Park et al. reported that job stress has greatly significant relation with musculoskeletal symptom in the elbows and the knees among body regions. It is considered that it will be necessary to survey the works which can trigger a lot of job stress, and suggest a solution tailored to each work.

To manage nurses' musculoskeletal disease, it will be essential to analyze approved industrial accident data, evaluate risk factors exposure, additionally survey and study work environment improvement cases, and make nurses who have musculoskeletal symptom and managers involved in finding a solution. When harmful factors and risk factors are analyzed, it is necessary to manage and improve musculoskeletal disease and job stress in the participation of those concerned [6].

This study has the following limitations:

It used the data of one university hospital so that it is hard to generalize the study results. Therefore, it will be necessary to survey various medical care centers and reconfirm the results of this study. The self-report typed questionnaire was applied to look into musculoskeletal symptom. Therefore, there is the possibility that individuals' subjective judgment would be involved, and there would be difference with clinical diagnosis. Since this is cross-sectional study, there are limitations in investigating the accurate causal relation between musculoskeletal symptom and relevant factors [34]. Therefore, it will be necessary to conduct an additional study to overcome the limitations.

Occupational musculoskeletal disease breaks out in a complex way by various factors. So it is very important to find and prevent risk factors early. The occurrence frequency of musculoskeletal symptom, and the relation between symptom prevalence and risk factors according to body regions, mentioned in this study, will be conducive to understanding the musculoskeletal disease and job stress of medical care nurses, and will help employers, workers, health and safety managers, and policy chairs to suggest relevant policies and musculoskeletal disease prevention projects.

Conclusion

Regarding the occupational factors related to musculoskeletal symptoms of university hospital nurses, it was found that ANSI Z-365 checklist high risk group, total job tenure, department, shiftworks, and job stress had high relation with musculoskeletal symptoms. It is necessary to find an ergonomic solution and a stress reduction plan to prevent musculoskeletal disease.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

REK: The first author of this article. He designed the study, collected and interpreted the data, and drafted the manuscript. KJW: Corresponding author of this article. And he suggested the study design, interpreted the data, and revised the manuscript. YBJ: He suggested the study design, interpreted the data, and revised the manuscript. YYI: He revised the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Received: 27 September 2014 Accepted: 1 October 2014 Published online: 04 November 2014

Reference

- Marras WS, Cutlip RG, Burt SE, Waters TR: National occupational research agenda (NORA) future directions in occupational musculoskeletal disorder health research. Appl Ergon 2009, 40(1):15–22.
- Hong K, Kim D, Kwon O, Kim E: The prevalence of work related sickness absences according to the second Korean working condition survey. Korean J Occup Environ Med 2011, 23(4):428–438. Korean.
- Bernard BP: Musculoskeletal disorders and workplace factors: A critical review of epidemiologity, and low back. (NIOSH publication No. 97–141). Cincinnati, OH: US Department of health and human services; 1997. 7(1)-7(10).c evidence for work-related musculoskeletal disorders of the neck, upper extremi.
- Barbanel CS: Medical Center Occupational Health and Safety. In Medical Center Occupational Health and Safety. Edited by McCunney RJ. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams and Wilkins; 1999:1–14.
- Occupational Safety and Health Administration. Ergonomics: The study of work. Available: http://www.osha.gov/Publications/osha3125.pdf [cited May 21. 2013].
- Park JK, KIM DS, Jang SH, Heo KH, Joh JH, Woh HS, Shin YM: Risk factors of occupational stress and musculoskeletal disease of nursing worker (translated by Ryu EK). Incheon: Korea Occupational Safety and Health Agency; 2010:1–157.
- Brulin C, Gerdle B, Hoog J, Ksutson A, Sundelin G: Physical and psychosocial work-related risk factors associated with musculoskeletal symptoms among home care personnel. Scand J Caring Sci 1998, 12(2):104–110.
- Collin JW, Owen BD: NIOSH research initiatives to prevent back injuries to nursing assistants, aides, and orderlies in nursing home. Am J Ind Med 1996. 29(4):421–424.
- Allen A: On-the-job injury: A costly problem. J Post Anesth Nurs 1990, 5(5):367–368.
- 10. Sosnowitz BG, Hriceniak JP: **Neonatal intensive care units can be** hazardous to nurse'health. *J Perinatol* 1998, **8**(3):253–257.
- Trinkoff AM, Storr CL, Lipscomb JA: Physically demanding work and inadequate sleep, pain medication use, and absenteeism in registered nurses. J Occup Environ Med 2001, 43(4):355–363.
- Kant IJ, Jong JC, Van RM, Borm PJ: A survey of static and dynamic work postures of operating room staff. Int Arch Occup Environ Health 1992, 63(6):423–428.
- Park J, Kang MK, Lee YS, Kang SD, Kim CH: The prevalence of Musculoskeletal symptom and its related factors among nurses in a university hospital. J of chosun univ 2003, 2(28):81–89.
- Kim DS, Park JK, Kim KS: Korea Occupational Safety and Health Agency. Guideline of harmful factors survey for musculoskeletal overloading works; Available: http://www.kosha.or.kr/content.do?menuld=1751 [cited 8 August 2007]
- Park KS, Kang DM, Lee YH, Woo JH, Shin YC: Development of self administered questionnaire and validity evaluation for American National Standards Z-365 checklist. J Korean Soc Occup Environ Hyg 2006, 16(2):172–182. Korean.
- Chang S.J: Standardization of job stress measurement scale for korean employee. Incheon. Korea: OSHRI, Korean Occupational Safety and Health Agency; 2004:17–42. p 171 (Korean).
- 17. Woo NH, Kim SY: Job stress and work related musculoskeletal symptoms of general hospital nurses. *Korean J of Occupational health nursing* 2009, 18(2):270–280.
- Park JK, Jang SH, Hur KH, Lee HY, Choi EY, Cho JH, Woo HS: Musculoskeletal disorders and job stress risk factors in general hospital nurses: nursing tasks and musculoskeletal disorder symptoms. J of the Ergonomics Society of Korea 2010, 9–86.
- Sul JG, Kang DM, Lee SI, Kim YK: Dose–response relationships between work-related musculoskeletal neck symptom and physical risk factors (2 year followup study. Korean J Occup Environ Med 2007, 19(2):145–155.
- Choi YB, Lee SJ, Song JC, Bae KJ, Park HJ: Association between job-related factors and musculoskeletal symptoms in university hospital Healthcare Workers. Korean J Occup Environ Med 2012, 24(3):217–228.
- Kurumatani N, Iki K, Katagi K: Occupational cervicobrachial disorder of nursery school teachers based on subjective symptoms related to OCD. Saynyo Ihaku 1984, 26(5):389–396.

- Park CY, Cho KY, Lee SH: Cervicobrachial disorder of female international telephone operators I. subjective symptoms. Korean J of Occup med 1989, 1(2):141–150.
- 23. Kourinka I, Forcier L: Work-related musculoskeletal disorders (WMSDs): a reference book for prevention. London, England: Taylor and Francis: 1995.
- Park HH, Lee KM: The subjective musculoskeletal symptoms of operating room nurses. Korean J of occupational Health Nursing 2005, 2(14):164–170.
- Jeong EH, Koo JW: Analysis of musculoskeletal burdened work among nurses at a university hospital. J of the Ergonomics Society of Korea 2006, 25(3):97–103.
- Kim JY, Kim YM, KIM DS, IM HJ, Kim JH, Kang SK: A result of field survey for ergonomic work risk factors in general hospital. *Journal of the Ergonomics* Society of Korea 2007, 3(26):91–100.
- Lee CO, Ahn YS, Kwak WS, Won JW, Lee SY, Kim CY, Roh JH: Work related musculoskeletal disorders and rrgonomic work posture analysis of operating room nurses. J Korean Soc Occup Environ Hyg 2009, 19(3):171–181.
- Attarchi M, Raeisi S, Namvar M, Golabadi M: Association between shift working and musculoskeletal symptoms among nursing personnel. Iran J Nurs Midwifery Res 2014. 19(3):309–314.
- Caruso CC, Waters TR: A review of work schedule issues and musculoskeletal disorders with an emphasis on the healthcare sector. Ind Health 2008. 46:523–534
- Lagerström M, Wenemark M, Hagberg M, Hjelm EW: Occupational and individual factors related to musculoskeletal symptoms in five body regions among Swedish nursing personnel. Int Arch Occup Environ Health 1996, 68(1):27–35.
- Bongers PM, Winter CR, Kompier MA, Hildebrandt VH: Psychosocial factors at work and musculoskeletal disease. Scand J Work Environ Health 1993, 19(5):297–312.
- Woh NH, Kim SY: Job stress and work related musculskeletal symptoms of general hospital nurses. Korean J of Occupational health Nursing 2009, 18(2):27–80.
- Kim YS, Park JY, Park SY: Relationship between job stress and work-related musculoskeletal symptoms among hospital nurses. J Muscle Joint Health 2009, 16(1):13–25.
- Choi WJ, Sung NJ, Kang YJ, Han SH: Validity of NIOSH- and Nordic-style questionnaires in the screening and surveillance of neck and upper extremity work-related musculoskeletal disorders. Korean J Occup Environ Med 2008, 20(3):205–214.

doi:10.1186/s40557-014-0047-7

Cite this article as: Ryu et al.: Risk factors of musculoskeletal symptoms in university hospital nurses. Annals of Occupational and Environmental Medicine 2014 26:47.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit

