#### Vaccine: X 10 (2022) 100144

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

### Vaccine: X

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jvacx

# Medical factors associated with caregiver intention to vaccinate their children against COVID-19



Vaccine:ø

Thao-Ly T. Phan<sup>a,b,\*</sup>, Paul T. Enlow<sup>a,b</sup>, Michael K. Wong<sup>b</sup>, Amanda M. Lewis<sup>a</sup>, Anne E. Kazak<sup>a,b</sup>, Jonathan M. Miller<sup>b,c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Nemours Center for Healthcare Delivery Science, 1600 Rockland Rd, Wilmington, DE 19803, USA

<sup>b</sup> Sidney Kimmel Medical College at Thomas Jefferson University, 833 Chestnut St, Philadelphia, PA 19107, USA

<sup>c</sup> Nemours Value-Based Services Organization, 1600 Rockland Rd, Wilmington, DE 19803, USA

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 30 August 2021 Received in revised form 23 December 2021 Accepted 25 January 2022 Available online 31 January 2022

Keywords: COVID-19 Pediatrics Vaccine hesitancy Immunization history

#### ABSTRACT

*Objective:* To describe medical factors that are associated with caregiver intention to vaccinate their children against COVID-19.

*Methods:* We conducted a cross-sectional study of families receiving primary care in a mid-Atlantic pediatric healthcare system, linking caregiver-reported data from a survey completed March 19 to April 16, 2021 to comprehensive data from the child's EHR.

*Results:* 513 families were included (28% Black, 16% Hispanic, 44% public insurance, 21% rural, child age range 0–21 years). 44% of caregivers intended to vaccinate their children against COVID-19, while 41% were not sure and 15% would not. After adjusting for socio-demographics, the only medical factors that were associated with caregiver COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy were caregiver COVID-19 vaccination status at the time of the survey (aOR 3.0 if the caregiver did not receive the vaccine compared to those who did, 95% CI 1.7–5.3) and child seasonal influenza immunization history (aOR 3.3 if the child had not received the influenza vaccine in the 2020–2021 season compared to those who did, 95% CI 2.0–5.4). Other medical factors, including family medical experiences with COVID-19, other child immunization history, child health conditions like obesity and asthma, and family engagement with the healthcare system were not associated with caregiver intention to vaccinate their children against COVID-19.

*Conclusions:* This study highlights important factors, such as general attitudes towards vaccines and understanding of COVID-19 morbidity risk factors, that healthcare providers should address when having conversations with families about the COVID-19 vaccine.

© 2022 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND licenses (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

#### Introduction

Despite widespread access to and continued expansion of child eligibility for the COVID-19 vaccine, vaccination rates are stalling in the United States, reducing the opportunity to achieve herd immunity and fostering the development of severe and transmissible COVID-19 strains [1,2]. According to the CDC, only 68% of adults and 37% of children have received at least one dose of a COVID-19 vaccine [2], with vaccine hesitancy now playing a large role in the slowing of vaccination rates across the country. Kaiser

E-mail address: tphan@nemours.org (T.-L.T. Phan).

Family Foundation (KFF) polls have consistently demonstrated that around 20% of adults do not intend to be vaccinated against COVID-19 [1] and a recent survey from February to March 2021 suggests that less than half of parents in the US intend to vaccinate their children against COVID-19 [3]. Therefore, it is critical to employ effective strategies to promote COVID-19 vaccination among hesitant populations.

One important strategy is for healthcare providers to promote COVID-19 vaccination among their patients. Healthcare provider recommendations are important to general vaccine acceptance [4], seasonal influenza vaccine acceptance [5–7], and HPV vaccine acceptance among caregivers of children and adolescents [8,9]. Consistent with this, KFF polls report that 85% of adults trust their child's healthcare provider to provide reliable information about the COVID-19 vaccine [1]. As trusted experts who have established rapport with families, health care providers are in a unique



Abbreviations: BMI, Body Mass Index; EHR, Electronic Health Record; RUCA, Rural Urban Commuting Area; HPV, Human Papilloma Virus vaccine; MMR, Measles Mumps and Rubella vaccine.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author at: Department of Pediatrics, Nemours Children's Hospital, 1600 Rockland Road, Wilmington, DE 19803, USA.

This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

position to talk with families about the COVID-19 vaccine, leveraging their knowledge about the child's medical history to inform these discussions.

To this end, studies have examined health factors that would allow healthcare providers to better understand a family's attitudes towards vaccination, understanding of health risks, and relationship with the healthcare system that could influence decisionmaking about the COVID-19 vaccine. Studies in adults have shown a positive association between intention to vaccinate themselves against COVID-19 and self-reported influenza vaccination history [10–13], general vaccination history [14], and chronic disease [11,15–17]. Only one study has looked at medical factors associated with caregiver intention to vaccinate their children against COVID-19. This international study found that caregivers of children with no chronic disease. a recent history of influenza vaccination, and an up-to-date vaccination schedule by self-report were more likely to intend to vaccinate their children against COVID-19 [18]. While these survey-based studies have laid the groundwork for identifying medical factors associated with intention to vaccinate against COVID-19, no studies have used data from electronic health records (EHR).

The objective of this study was to describe medical factors associated with caregiver intention to vaccinate their children against COVID-19, leveraging child EHR data linked to caregiver-reported survey data, to better understand contributors to vaccine hesitancy and inform targeted counseling in the healthcare setting.

#### Methods

#### Study design and participants

A secondary analysis of cross-sectional data was conducted, linking caregiver-reported survey data to child EHR data. Families were included in the parent study if the child was 0 to 20 years of age and had an ambulatory care visit scheduled in a large mid-Atlantic pediatric healthcare system in April 2020 and if the caregiver was proficient in English or Spanish and had a mobile phone number listed in the EHR. Families were included in this secondary analysis if the child was a patient at one of twelve primary care practices within the pediatric healthcare system for which accurate vaccination and medical history data was available in the EHR. This study was approved by the institution's IRB, with caregivers of participating families signing an e-consent.

### Caregiver-reported survey about family COVID-19 vaccination and experiences

Text messages containing a hyperlink to a REDCap survey [19] were sent every three days to caregivers of all eligible families at the mobile number listed in the child's EHR from March 19 to April 16, 2021 until the caregiver completed the survey or the survey administration window ended. If multiple mobile numbers were listed in the child's EHR for different caregivers (e.g. mother and father), the survey was sent to each number. An e-mail describing the study and alerting the eligible participant to anticipate a text message with the survey link was sent at the beginning and end of the survey time period to increase response rates [20].

One item assessed caregiver intention to vaccinate their children against COVID-19, with response options being yes, no, and not sure. Two different items assessed whether the caregiver had been offered the COVID-19 vaccine (since the survey was administered prior to vaccines becoming widely available) and whether they had received the vaccine, with response options being yes or no. Five items were included from the validated COVID-19 Exposure and Family Impact Scales (CEFIS) to assess family experience with COVID-19 [21]. These items asked if someone in the family was exposed to someone with COVID-19, had symptoms or was diagnosed with COVID-19, was hospitalized for COVID-19, was in the Intensive Care Unit for COVID-19, or died from COVID-19. Response options for each item were yes or no.

#### Child medical factors from electronic health record

Data about the child's health was extracted from the child's EHR in June 2021. This included vaccination history for the following vaccines: at least one COVID-19 vaccine (if 12 years of age or older), seasonal influenza vaccine (over the past 5 years, if 6 months of age or older), at least one Human Papillomavirus vaccine (HPV, if 13 years of age or older), and at least one Measles, Mumps, and Rubella vaccine (MMR, if 18 months of age or older).

Health conditions associated with COVID-19 morbidity in children [22], including obesity, medical complexity, asthma, and mental health problems, were extracted from the child's EHR. Body Mass Index (BMI) percentile for age and sex at the healthcare system visit closest to the date of survey completion (median 42 days) was extracted and categorized as obesity (BMI > 95% based on CDC guidelines) or not. Youth were categorized as medically complex (yes/no) based on a 3 M Clinical Risk Group category of 5b or above [23]. ICD-10 diagnosis codes were also extracted, including asthma diagnoses from the child's problem list and mental health diagnoses from visits over the past two years. Mental health diagnoses were categorized as autism spectrum disorder, developmental disorder, externalizing disorder (Attention Deficit and Hyperactivity Disorder, behavior disorders), anxiety disorder, mood disorder (depression, bipolar), or trauma and stress related disorder (Post Traumatic Stress Disorder, adjustment disorders). Other diagnoses (N = 20) were excluded.

Finally, information on all visits the child had with the healthcare system in the twelve months prior to and the twelve months following the healthcare system's declaration of a state of emergency on March 25, 2020 was extracted from the child's EHR. This included all outpatient, inpatient, urgent care, emergency, and procedural visits. The number of completed visits was counted as a measure of engagement with the healthcare system over the two-year period. The number of visits was categorized into 4 or less, 5 to 7, 8 to 12, and 13 or more visits based on quartiles derived from the cohort's visit distribution.

#### Family Socio-demographics

Information on caregiver gender and preferred language and child race, ethnicity, age, insurance, and ZIP code were extracted from the child's EHR to account for family socio-demographic variables that have been associated with caregiver intention to vaccinate their child against COVID-19 in prior studies [1,24–26]. Caregiver gender was classified as male or female and preferred language as English or Spanish. Child race and ethnicity were categorized as Non-Hispanic Black, Hispanic, Non-Hispanic White, or Non-Hispanic Other. Child age was categorized as 0–1 years, 2–11 years, 12–15 years, and 16 years or older to correspond to current and anticipated COVID-19 vaccine approval stages. Insurance status was categorized as private insurance, public insurance, or self-pay. ZIP code was used to categorize child neighborhoods as rural (RUCA code  $\geq$  4) or not [27].

#### Analysis

Descriptive analyses of caregiver responses to the survey items and child health data extracted from the EHR were performed. Pearson's Chi-Square tests were conducted to describe the association between caregiver intention to vaccinate their children against COVID-19 and categorical variables related to the family's experience with COVID-19 and child medical factors. Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) was used to compare mean percent of influenza vaccinations received over the past 5 years (number of influenza vaccinations the child received divided by number of influenza vaccinations for which the child was eligible) among participants based on caregiver intention to vaccinate their children against COVID-19. Binomial logistic regression was conducted to further describe the association between caregiver intention to vaccinate their children against COVID-19 as the primary outcome variable and family experience with COVID-19 and child medical factors as predictor variables, including any variables in the model that were found to be significant with bivariate testing and adjusting for family socio-demographics. All tests were performed with SPSS v27 and at a significance level of p < 0.05.

#### Results

#### Family Socio-demographics

Of the 1008 caregivers who received the survey and whose children received care at one of twelve primary care practices within the pediatric healthcare system, 525 responded (52% response rate). The majority were female (84%) and with a preferred language of English (94%). 24 participants were caregivers for the same child and therefore 513 children were represented in this analysis. The children in this sample were diverse with regards to race/ethnicity, rurality, and child age (Table 1).

#### Association between caregiver intention to vaccinate their children against COVID-19 and family COVID-19 vaccination and experience

44% of caregivers intended to vaccinate their children against COVID-19, while 41% were not sure and 15% would not. Family experience with COVID-19 and child experience with COVID-19 were not significantly associated with caregiver intention to vaccinate their children against COVID-19; however, family COVID-19 vaccination history was (Table 2). Caregivers who reported that they were offered but did not receive or had not been offered the COVID-19 vaccine by the time of the survey were more likely to be unsure or not intend to vaccinate their children against COVID-19 than caregivers who had received the COVID-19 vaccine (73% and 61% vs. 39%, respectively). Caregivers who reported that

Table 1	
Child Characteristics	

Socio-demographic	N (%)
Total	513 (100)
Child age range	
0–1 years	78 (15)
2–11 years	246 (48)
12–15 years	109 (21)
16 years and older	80 (16)
Child race/ethnicity	
Hispanic	80 (16)
Non-Hispanic Black	144 (28)
Non-Hispanic White	225 (44)
Non-Hispanic Other	64 (12)
Child insurance	
Public	224 (44)
Private	275 (54)
Self-Pay	14 (3)
Neighborhood Rurality	
Non-Rural (RUCA < $4$ ) <sup>a</sup>	406 (79)
Rural (RUCA > 4) <sup>a</sup>	107 (21)

<sup>a</sup> USDA Rural Urban Commuting Area Code.

#### Table 2

Association between Caregiver Intention to Vaccinate their Children against COVID-19 and Caregiver-Reported Family COVID-19 Vaccination and Experience.

		ntention to Va ren against CO	
	Yes N (%)	No or Not Sure N (%)	р
Total	230 (44)	295 (56)	
Family COVID-19 Experience			
Any family member exposed to COVID			0.15
No	93 (40)	138 (60)	
Yes	137 (47)	157 (53)	
Any family member had symptoms or COVID-19	was diagnos	ed with	0.93
No	140 (44)	180 (56)	
Yes	90 (44)	114 (56)	
Any family member hospitalized for C	OVID-19		0.80
No	205 (44)	265 (56)	
Yes	25 (46)	30 (55)	
Any family member received care in th COVID-19	ne Intensive C	are Unit for	0.94
No	214 (44)	275 (56)	
Yes	16 (44)	20 (56)	
Any family member died from COVID-	-19		0.36
No	206 (43)	271 (57)	
Yes	24 (50)	24 (50)	
Child exposed to COVID-19			0.46
No	158 (44)	205 (57)	
Yes	72 (44)	90 (56)	
Child had symptoms or was diagnosed	l with COVID-	-19	0.57
No	203 (43)	265 (57)	
Yes	27 (47)	30 (53)	
Family COVID-19 Vaccination Caregiver COVID-19 vaccination status	s at time of su	irvey	0.001
Received vaccine	107 (61)	69 (39)	
Offered vaccine but did not receive it	31 (27)	83 (73)	
Not yet offered vaccine	92 (39)	143 (61)	
Any family member had received COV survey	ID-19 vaccine	e at time of	0.001
No	80 (35)	151 (65)	
Yes	150 (51)	144 (49)	
Child received at least one COVID-19 v older) <sup>a</sup>	accine (age 1	2 years and	0.001
No	30 (25)	88 (75)	
Yes	51 (72)	20 (28)	

<sup>a</sup> From child electronic health record.

no family members had received the COVID-19 vaccine also were more likely to be unsure or not intend to vaccinate their children against COVID-19 than caregivers who reported that a family member had received the COVID-19 vaccine (65% vs. 49%). COVID-19 vaccination rate was 28% among children whose caregivers were unsure or did not intend to vaccinate their children against COVID-19 compared to 72% among children whose caregivers intended to vaccinate their children against COVID-19.

## Association between caregiver intention to vaccinate their children against COVID-19 and child medical factors

Child vaccination history was associated with caregiver intention to vaccinate their children against COVID-19 (Table 3). Children of caregivers who were unsure or did not intend to vaccinate their children against COVID-19 had a lower percentage of seasonal influenza vaccinations over the past five years compared to children of caregivers who intended to vaccinate their children against COVID-19 (mean 60% vs. 81%). Caregivers of children who did not receive the influenza vaccine during the 2020– 2021 season or who had not received at least one MMR vaccine by 18 months of age were more likely to be unsure or not intend to vaccinate their children against COVID-19 than caregivers of

#### Table 3

Association between Caregiver Intention to Vaccinate their Children against COVID-19 and Child Medical Factors from Electronic Health Record.

Yes, N (%)No or Not Sure, N (%)pChild Vaccination HistoryReceived influenza vaccine in 2020-2021 if 6 months and0.001olderNo31 (21)117 (79)Yes189 (52)176 (48)Received at least or dose of HPV vaccine if 13 years and older0.23No8 (35)15 (65)Yes70 (48)75 (52)Received at least or dose of MMR vaccine if 18 months and older0.04No3 (19)13 (81)Yes212 (45)264 (56)Child Health ConditionsObesity1.00No177 (44)227 (56)Yes53 (44)68 (56)Medically complex0.05No179 (42)249 (58)Yes51 (53)46 (47)Yes3 (13)133 (56)Yes46 (55)37 (45)Autism Spectrum Disorder0.47No103 (43)133 (56)Yes7 (54)6 (46)Pevelopmental Disorder0.52No90 (43)118 (57)Yes20 (49)21 (51)Externalizing Disorter0.41
Received influenza vaccine in 2020–2021 if 6 months and older   0.001     No   31 (21)   117 (79)     Yes   189 (52)   176 (48)     Received at least ore dose of HPV vactine if 13 years and older     No   8 (35)   15 (65)     Yes   70 (48)   75 (52)     Received at least ore dose of MMR vactine if 18 months and older     No   3 (19)   13 (81)     Yes   212 (45)   264 (56)     Child Health Conditions     No   3 (19)     Yes   212 (45)   264 (56)     Child Health Conditions     No   177 (44)     Yes   53 (44)   68 (56)     Medically complex   0.05     No   179 (42)   249 (58)     Yes   51 (53)   46 (47)     Asthma   0.02     No   184 (42)   258 (58)     Yes   7 (54)   6 (46)     Pares   7 (54)   6 (46)     Pares   7 (54)   6 (46)     Poevelopmental Disoreer   0.52
Yes   189 (52)   176 (48)     Received at least one dose of HPV vaccine if 13 years and older   0.23     No   8 (35)   15 (65)     Yes   70 (48)   75 (52)     Received at least one dose of MMR vaccine if 18 months and older   0.04     No   3 (19)   13 (81)     Yes   212 (45)   264 (56)     Child Health Conditors   1.00     No   177 (44)   227 (56)     Yes   53 (44)   68 (56)     Medically complex   0.05     No   179 (42)   249 (58)     Yes   51 (53)   46 (47)     Asthma   0.02     No   184 (42)   258 (58)     Yes   46 (55)   37 (45)     Autism Spectrum Disorder   0.47     No   103 (43)   133 (56)     Yes   7 (54)   6 (46)     Pevelopmental Disorder   0.52     No   90 (43)   118 (57)     Yes   70 (49)   21 (51)     Externalizing Disorter   0.41
older   No   8 (35)   15 (65)     Yes   70 (48)   75 (52)     Received at least or dose of MMR vaccine if 18 months and older     older     No   3 (19)   13 (81)     Yes   212 (45)   264 (56)     Child Health Conditions     Doesity   1.00     No   177 (44)   227 (56)     Yes   53 (44)   68 (56)   O.05     No   179 (42)   249 (58)   O.05     No   179 (42)   249 (58)   O.02     No   179 (42)   258 (58)   O.02     No   184 (42)   258 (58)   O.02     No   184 (42)   258 (58)   O.47     No   103 (43)   133 (56)   O.47     No   103 (43)   133 (56)   O.52     No   103 (43)   133 (56)   O.52     No   90 (43)   118 (57)   O.52     No   90 (43)   118 (57) <th< td=""></th<>
Yes   70 (48)   75 (52)     Received at least one dose of MMR vaccine if 18 months and older   0.04 older     No   3 (19)   13 (81)   9     Yes   212 (45)   264 (56)   100     Child Health Conditions     Obesity   1.00     No   177 (44)   227 (56)   9     Yes   53 (44)   68 (56)   0.05     No   179 (42)   249 (58)   9     Yes   51 (53)   46 (47)   0.02     No   179 (42)   249 (58)   9     Yes   51 (53)   46 (47)   0.02     No   184 (42)   258 (58)   9     Yes   46 (55)   37 (45)   0.47     No   103 (43)   133 (56)   9     Yes   7 (54)   6 (46)   0.52     No   90 (43)   118 (57)   9     Yes   20 (49)   21 (51)   0.41
Received at least one dose of MMR vactine if 18 months and older   0.04     No   3 (19)   13 (81)     Yes   212 (45)   264 (56)     Child Health Conditions     Obesity   1.00     No   177 (44)   227 (56)     Yes   53 (44)   68 (56)     Medically complex   0.05     No   179 (42)   249 (58)     Yes   51 (53)   46 (47)     Asthma   0.02     No   184 (42)   258 (58)     Yes   46 (55)   37 (45)     Autism Spectrum Disorder   0.47     No   103 (43)   133 (56)     Yes   7 (54)   6 (46)     Developmental Disorder   0.52     No   90 (43)   118 (57)     Yes   20 (49)   21 (51)     Externalizing Disorter   0.41
older     No   3 (19)   13 (81)     Yes   212 (45)   264 (56)     Child Health Condition     Doesity   1.00     Obesity   227 (56)     Yes   53 (44)   68 (56)     Medically complex   0.05     No   179 (42)   249 (58)     Yes   51 (53)   46 (47)     Asthma   0.02     No   184 (42)   258 (58)     Yes   46 (55)   37 (45)     Autism Spectrum Disorder   0.47     No   103 (43)   133 (56)     Yes   7 (54)   6 (46)     Poevelopmental Disorder   0.52     No   90 (43)   118 (57)     Yes   20 (49)   21 (51)
No3 (19)13 (81)Yes212 (45)264 (56)Child Health ConditionsObesity1.00No177 (44)227 (56)Yes53 (44)68 (56)Medically complex0.05No179 (42)249 (58)Yes51 (53)46 (47)Asthma0.02No184 (42)258 (58)Yes46 (55)37 (45)Autism Spectrum Disorder0.47No103 (43)133 (56)Yes7 (54)6 (46)Developmental Disorder0.52No90 (43)118 (57)Yes20 (49)21 (51)Externalizing Disorder0.41
Yes212 (45)264 (56)Child Health ConditionsObesity1.00No177 (44)227 (56)Yes53 (44)68 (56)Medically complex0.05No179 (42)249 (58)Yes51 (53)46 (47)Asthma0.02No184 (42)258 (58)Yes46 (55)37 (45)Autism Spectrum Disorder0.47No103 (43)133 (56)Yes7 (54)6 (46)Developmental Disorder0.52No90 (43)118 (57)Yes20 (49)21 (51)Externalizing Disorder0.41
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c } \mbox{Child Health Conditions} & 1.00 \\ \hline \mbox{Obesity} & 1.00 \\ \hline \mbox{No} & 177 (44) & 227 (56) \\ \hline \mbox{Yes} & 53 (44) & 68 (56) \\ \hline \mbox{Medically complex} & 0.05 \\ \hline \mbox{Medically complex} & 0.05 \\ \hline \mbox{No} & 179 (42) & 249 (58) \\ \hline \mbox{Yes} & 51 (53) & 46 (47) \\ \hline \mbox{Asthma} & 0.02 \\ \hline \mbox{No} & 184 (42) & 258 (58) \\ \hline \mbox{Yes} & 46 (55) & 37 (45) \\ \hline \mbox{Autism Spectrum Disorder} & 0.47 \\ \hline \mbox{No} & 103 (43) & 133 (56) \\ \hline \mbox{Yes} & 7 (54) & 6 (46) \\ \hline \mbox{Developmental Disorder} & 0.52 \\ \hline \mbox{No} & 90 (43) & 118 (57) \\ \hline \mbox{Yes} & 20 (49) & 21 (51) \\ \hline \mbox{Externalizing Disorder} & 0.41 \\ \hline \end{array}$
$\begin{array}{c c c c c } \textbf{Obesity} & 1.00 \\ \hline \textbf{No} & 177 (44) & 227 (56) \\ \hline \textbf{Yes} & 53 (44) & 68 (56) \\ \hline \textbf{Medically complex} & 0.05 \\ \hline \textbf{Medically complex} & 0.05 \\ \hline \textbf{Mo} & 179 (42) & 249 (58) \\ \hline \textbf{Yes} & 51 (53) & 46 (47) \\ \hline \textbf{Asthma} & 0.02 \\ \hline \textbf{Asthma} & 0.02 \\ \hline \textbf{No} & 184 (42) & 258 (58) \\ \hline \textbf{Yes} & 46 (55) & 37 (45) \\ \hline \textbf{Muism Spectrum Disorder} & 0.47 \\ \hline \textbf{No} & 103 (43) & 133 (56) \\ \hline \textbf{Yes} & 7 (54) & 6 (46) \\ \hline \textbf{Developmental Disorder} & 0.52 \\ \hline \textbf{No} & 90 (43) & 118 (57) \\ \hline \textbf{Yes} & 20 (49) & 21 (51) \\ \hline \textbf{Externalizing Disorder} & 0.41 \\ \end{array}$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
Yes   53 (44)   68 (56)     Medically complex   0.05     No   179 (42)   249 (58)     Yes   51 (53)   46 (47)     Asthma   0.02     No   184 (42)   258 (58)     Yes   46 (55)   37 (45)     Autism Spectrum Disorder   0.47     No   103 (43)   133 (56)     Yes   7 (54)   6 (46)     Developmental Disorder   0.52     No   90 (43)   118 (57)     Yes   20 (49)   21 (51)     Externalizing Disorder   0.41
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c } \hline Medically complex & 0.05 \\ \hline No & 179 (42) & 249 (58) \\ \hline Yes & 51 (53) & 46 (47) \\ \hline Astima & 0.02 \\ \hline No & 184 (42) & 258 (58) \\ \hline Yes & 46 (55) & 37 (45) \\ \hline Autism Spectrum Disorder & 0.47 \\ \hline No & 103 (43) & 133 (56) \\ \hline Yes & 7 (54) & 6 (46) \\ \hline Developmental Disorder & 0.52 \\ \hline No & 90 (43) & 118 (57) \\ \hline Yes & 20 (49) & 21 (51) \\ \hline Externalizing Disorder & 0.41 \\ \hline \end{tabular}$
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
Yes51 (53)46 (47)Asthma0.02No184 (42)258 (58)Yes46 (55)37 (45)Autism Spectrum Disorder0.47No103 (43)133 (56)Yes7 (54)6 (46)Developmental Disorder0.52No90 (43)118 (57)Yes20 (49)21 (51)Externalizing Disorder0.41
Asthma     0.02       No     184 (42)     258 (58)       Yes     46 (55)     37 (45)       Autism Spectrum Disorder     0.47       No     103 (43)     133 (56)       Yes     7 (54)     6 (46)       Developmental Disorder     0.52       No     90 (43)     118 (57)       Yes     20 (49)     21 (51)       Externalizing Disorder     0.41
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
Yes 46 (55) 37 (45)   Autism Spectrum Disorder 0.47   No 103 (43) 133 (56)   Yes 7 (54) 6 (46)   Developmental Disorder 0.52   No 90 (43) 118 (57)   Yes 20 (49) 21 (51)   Externalizing Disorder 0.41
Autism Spectrum Disorder     0.47       No     103 (43)     133 (56)       Yes     7 (54)     6 (46)       Developmental Disorder     0.52       No     90 (43)     118 (57)       Yes     20 (49)     21 (51)       Externalizing Disorder     0.41
No     103 (43)     133 (56)       Yes     7 (54)     6 (46)       Developmental Disorder     0.52       No     90 (43)     118 (57)       Yes     20 (49)     21 (51)       Externalizing Disorder     0.41
Yes   7 (54)   6 (46)     Developmental Disorder   0.52     No   90 (43)   118 (57)     Yes   20 (49)   21 (51)     Externalizing Disorder   0.41
Developmental Disorder     0.52       No     90 (43)     118 (57)       Yes     20 (49)     21 (51)       Externalizing Disorder     0.41
Yes     20 (49)     21 (51)       Externalizing Disorder     0.41
Yes     20 (49)     21 (51)       Externalizing Disorder     0.41
-
No 60 (42) 83 (58)
Yes 50 (47) 56 (53)
Anxiety Disorder 0.86
No 74 (45) 92 (55)
Yes 36 (43) 47 (57)
Mood Disorder 0.49
No 83 (43) 110 (57)
Yes 27 (48) 29 (52)
Trauma and Stress Related Disorder 0.45
No 86 (43) 114 (57)
Yes 24 (49) 25 (51)
Family Engagement with Healthcare System
Number of Healthcare Visits in Year Prior to Pandemic 0.33
4 or less 58 (41) 82 (59)
5 to 7 45 (41) 65 (59)
8 to 12 49 (41) 70 (59)
13 or more 78 (50) 78 (50)
Number of Healthcare Visits during First Year of Pandemic 0.003
4 or less 72 (36) 131 (65)
5 to 7 56 (43) 75 (57)
8 to 12 56 (57) 43 (43)
13 or more 46 (50) 46 (50)

children who had received the influenza vaccine during the 2020–2021 season or who had received the MMR vaccine by 18 months of age (79% vs. 48% for influenza; 81% vs. 56% for MMR). Child HPV vaccination was not associated with caregiver intention to vaccinate their children against COVID-19.

Caregivers of children who were not medically complex or who did not have asthma were more likely to be unsure or not intend to vaccinate their children against COVID-19 than caregivers of children who were medically complex or who asthma (58% vs. 47% for medical complexity; 58% vs. 45% for asthma). Neither child obesity nor mental health diagnoses were associated with caregiver intention to vaccinate their children against COVID-19 (Table 3). Caregivers of children with fewer healthcare visits during the pandemic were more likely to be unsure or not intend to vaccinate their children against COVID-19 than caregivers of children with

more healthcare visits during the pandemic (62% vs. 47%). There was not a significant association between number of healthcare visits prior to the pandemic and caregiver intention to vaccinate their children against COVID-19 (Table 3).

### Predictors of caregiver intention to vaccinate their children against COVID-19

In binomial regression analysis, when adjusting for family socio-demographics, caregiver COVID-19 vaccination and child influenza vaccination history were the only significant predictors of caregiver intention to vaccinate their children against COVID-19. Specifically, caregivers who were offered but did not receive the vaccine (aOR 3.0, 95% CI 1.7–5.3) and caregivers who had not yet been offered the vaccine by the time of the survey (aOR 1.9, 95% CI 1.2–3.0) were more likely to be unsure or not intend to vaccinate their children against COVID-19 than caregivers who had received the COVID-19 vaccine. Caregivers of children who did not receive the influenza vaccine during the 2020–2021 season were 3.3 times more likely to be unsure or not intend to vaccinate their children against COVID-19 than caregivers whose children received the influenza vaccine (95% CI 2.0–5.4).

#### Discussion

This is the first study to use EHR data to understand medical factors that are associated with intention to vaccinate against COVID-19. It is also one of the first studies to look at how these medical factors are associated with caregiver intention to vaccinate their children against COVID-19 in the United States at a time when vaccine eligibility for children is expanding but vaccine uptake has slowed. This study confirms the strong association between child influenza vaccination history and caregiver COVID-19 vaccination history with caregiver intention to vaccinate their children against COVID-19. This study also provides insight into health conditions that infer greater risk of COVID-19 morbidity but were not associated with caregiver intention to vaccinate their children against COVID-19. Understanding the relationships between these medical factors and caregiver attitudes about COVID-19 vaccination can inform the dialogue that healthcare providers have with families and can help us understand what factors may influence vaccine hesitancy more broadly.

As this study showed, caregiver attitudes about the COVID-19 vaccine are an important area to target. Not only was the caregiver's own history of COVID-19 vaccination strongly associated with their intention to vaccinate their children, as has been demonstrated in several polls [1], but this is the first study to show that caregiver intention to vaccinate their children translates into actual COVID-19 vaccination rates among eligible children, with only 28% of children of caregivers who were unsure or did not intend to vaccinate their children having received the COVID-19 vaccine compared to 72% of children of caregivers who intended to vaccinate their children. While these statistics are sobering, the fact that 25% of children of caregivers who reported in April and May that they were unsure or did not intend to vaccinate their children for a few months later provides hope that caregiver attitudes are modifiable.

As noted earlier, one of the most effective strategies is for trusted healthcare providers to have conversations with their patients about the COVID-19 vaccine [1,4–9]. Part of this dialogue should be understanding health-related factors that influence caregiver attitudes, which healthcare providers are uniquely positioned to address. Consistent with survey studies [3,10–13,18], this study confirms the association between child influenza vaccination history and caregiver intention to vaccinate their children against

COVID-19 using objective immunization data from primary care practices and through the state vaccine registry. Inconsistent with survey studies, other vaccination history was not associated with caregiver intention to vaccinate their children against COVID-19. Therefore, caregiver hesitancy about the COVID-19 vaccine does not appear to be explained by general anti-vaccination beliefs; instead, it seems to be more nuanced and may reflect beliefs about children's risk of disease, a mistrust in a faster vaccine development process, or other non-medical factors like mistrust in the medical system. Taking time to address these beliefs as healthcare providers may therefore be important to promoting COVID-19 vaccination.

Interestingly, the child's own medical history was not associated with caregiver intention to vaccinate their children against COVID-19. Obesity, one of the most well-identified risk factors for COVID-19 morbidity in children [22], was not associated with caregiver intention to vaccinate their children. Asthma, medical complexity, and mental health conditions, three other wellidentified risk factors for COVID-19 morbidity in children [27], were also not associated with caregiver intention to vaccinate their children after adjusting for socio-demographics. These findings are counter to survey studies in adults [11,15–17], but consistent with the one survey study about caregiver intention to vaccinate their children against COVID-19 that found that caregivers of children with chronic conditions were less likely to vaccinate their children [18]. This finding highlights an area of opportunity for healthcare providers to educate families about high-risk conditions and discuss the importance of COVID-19 vaccination in these populations.

Finally, number of healthcare visits during the pandemic was associated with caregiver intention to vaccinate their children against COVID-19. However, this association no longer existed when adjusting for socio-demographics. This finding underscores the importance of developing a deeper understanding of why underserved communities might engage less with the healthcare system, especially during times of stress like the pandemic [28], and finding ways to improve access to healthcare and trust in the healthcare system among these communities so that important healthcare measures like vaccination against COVID-19 and other infectious diseases like the seasonal influenza can reach everyone.

There were some limitations to this study. This study was conducted only with families receiving care at one of twelve primary care practices in the mid-Atlantic. While the sample was diverse, it is possible that there are non-medical factors such as geographic and political differences that are not accounted for. In addition, this survey was administered in April and May of 2021 before vaccines were available for children; therefore, it is possible that caregiver intention to vaccinate their child at the time of the survey may not be reflective of current intention to vaccinate. However, our findings of a strong association between caregiver intention to vaccinate in April and May of 2021 and actual COVID-19 vaccination in children a few months later when the vaccines were available for children 16 years of age and older suggests that caregiver vaccine hesitancy was persistent. Finally, this study utilized EHR data to evaluate child medical factors. While the EHR data - especially immunization records - from this primary care network is reliable, some EHR data (for example, diagnoses) are still dependent on healthcare provider entry. Despite these limitations to using the EHR to assess child medical factors, this study highlights the value in using EHR data to evaluate the associations between medical factors with caregiver-reported beliefs to inform care delivery.

#### Conclusion

This study describes medical factors that are associated with caregiver intention to vaccinate their children against COVID-19, leveraging caregiver-reported data linked to child EHR data, at a critical time when vaccines are more available to children, but vaccination rates are stalling. This study highlights important factors, such as general attitudes towards vaccines and understanding of morbidity risk factors, that healthcare providers should address when having conversations with families about the COVID-19 vaccine and when trying to promote vaccine uptake among children more broadly.

#### Funding

All phases of this study were supported by the ACCEL/Delaware Clinical and Translational Research Program, which is funded by the NIGMS (U54 GM104941). The NIGMS had no role in the design and conduct of the study

#### **Declaration of Competing Interest**

The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests: Thao-Ly T. Phan reports financial support was provided by National Institute of General Medical Sciences.

#### References

- Hamel L, Lopes L, Kearney A, Sparks G, Stokes M, Brodie M. KFF COVID-19 Vaccine Monitor: June 2021 [updated June 30, 2021; cited 2021 August 10]. Available from: https://www.kff.org/coronavirus-covid-19/poll-finding/kffcovid-19-vaccine-monitor-june-2021/.
- [2] Center for Disease Control. COVID-19 Vaccinations in the United States; 2021 [updated August 10, 2021; cited 2021 August 10]. Available from: https://covid.cdc.gov/covid-data-tracker/#vaccinations.
- [3] Szilagyi PG, Shah MD, Delgado JR, et al. Parents' intentions and perceptions about COVID-19 vaccination for their children: results from a national survey [published online ahead of print, 2021 Aug 3]. Pediatrics 2021. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1542/peds.2021-052335</u>.
- [4] Gargano LM, Herbert NL, Painter JE, Sales JM, Morfaw C, Rask K, et al. Impact of a physician recommendation and parental immunization attitudes on receipt or intention to receive adolescent vaccines. Vaccines 2013;9(12):2627–33.
- [5] Kahn KE, Santibanez TA, Zhai Y, Bridges CB. Association between provider recommendation and influenza vaccination status among children. Vaccine 2018;36(24):3486–97. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2018.04.077</u>.
- [6] Daley MF, Crane LA, Chandramouli V, Beaty BL, Barrow J, Allred N, et al. Influenza among healthy young children: changes in parental attitudes and predictors of immunization during the 2003 to 2004 influenza season. Pediatrics 2006;117(2):e268–77. <u>https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2005-1752</u>.
- [7] Nowalk MP, Lin CJ, Zimmerman RK, et al. Changes in parents' perceptions of infant influenza vaccination over two years. J Natl Med Assoc 2007;99 (6):636–41.
- [8] Guerry SL, De Rosa CJ, Markowitz LE, Walker S, Liddon N, Kerndt PR, et al. Human papillomavirus vaccine initiation among adolescent girls in high-risk communities. Vaccine 2011;29(12):2235–41. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2011.01.052</u>.
- [9] Brewer NT, Gottlieb SL, Reiter PL, McRee A-L, Liddon N, Markowitz L, et al. Longitudinal predictors of human papillomavirus vaccine initiation among adolescent girls in a high-risk geographic area. Sex Transm Dis 2011;38 (3):197–204. <u>https://doi.org/10.1097/OLQ.0b013e3181f12dbf</u>.
- [10] Sherman SM, Smith LE, Sim J, Amlôt R, Cutts M, Dasch H, et al. COVID-19 vaccination intention in the UK: results from the COVID-19 vaccination acceptability study (CoVAccS), a nationally representative cross-sectional survey. Hum Vaccin Immunother 2021;17(6):1612–21. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/21645515.2020.1846397</u>.
- [11] Wang K, Wong ELY, Ho KF, Cheung AWL, Chan EYY, Yeoh EK, et al. Intention of nurses to accept coronavirus disease 2019 vaccination and change of intention to accept seasonal influenza vaccination during the coronavirus disease 2019 pandemic: a cross-sectional survey. Vaccine 2020;38(45):7049–56. <u>https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2020.09.021</u>.
- [12] Alqudeimat Y, Alenezi D, AlHajri B, Alfouzan H, Almokhaizeem Z, Altamimi S, et al. Acceptance of a COVID-19 vaccine and its related determinants among the general adult population in Kuwait. Med Princ Pract 2021;30(3):262–71. https://doi.org/10.1159/000514636.
- [13] Alfageeh EI, Alshareef N, Angawi K, Alhazmi F, Chirwa GC. Acceptability of a COVID-19 vaccine among the Saudi population. Vaccines (Basel) 2021;9 (3):226. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/vaccines9030226</u>.
- [14] Kuter BJ, Browne S, Momplaisir FM, Feemster KA, Shen AK, Green-McKenzie J, et al. Perspectives on the receipt of a COVID-19 vaccine: a survey of employees in two large hospitals in Philadelphia. Vaccine 2021;39(12):1693–700. <u>https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2021.02.029</u>.

- [15] Seale H, Heywood AE, Leask J, Sheel M, Durrheim DN, Bolsewicz K, et al. Examining Australian public perceptions and behaviors towards a future COVID-19 vaccine. BMC Infect Dis 2021;21(1). <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/</u> <u>s12879-021-05833-1</u>.
- [16] Shmueli L. Predicting intention to receive COVID-19 vaccine among the general population using the health belief model and the theory of planned behavior model. BMC Public Health 2021;21(1). <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/ s12889-021-10816-7</u>.
- [17] Wong MCS, Wong ELY, Huang J, Cheung AWL, Law K, Chong MKC, et al. Acceptance of the COVID-19 vaccine based on the health belief model: a population-based survey in Hong Kong. Vaccine 2021;39(7):1148–56. <u>https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2020.12.083</u>.
- [18] Goldman RD, Yan TD, Seiler M, Parra Cotanda C, Brown JC, Klein EJ, et al. Caregiver willingness to vaccinate their children against COVID-19: cross sectional survey. Vaccine 2020;38(48):7668–73. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/ ivaccine.2020.09.084</u>.
- [19] Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, Payne J, Gonzalez N, Conde JG. Research electronic data capture (REDCap) – a metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing translational research informatics support. J Biomed Inform 2009;42(2):377–81.
- [20] Fan W, Yan Z. Factors affecting response rates of the web survey: a systematic review. Comput Hum Behav 2010;26(2):132–9.
- [21] Kazak AE, Alderfer M, Enlow PT, et al. COVID-19 Exposure and family impact scales: factor structure and initial psychometrics [published online ahead of print, 2021 Mar 22]. J Pediatr Psychol 2021;jsab026. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/ jpepsy/jsab026</u>.

- [22] Kompaniyets L, Agathis NT, Nelson JM, Preston LE, Ko JY, Belay B, et al. Underlying medical conditions associated with severe COVID-19 illness among children. JAMA Netw Open 2021;4(6):e2111182. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.11182</u>.
- [23] Hughes JS, Averill RF, Eisenhandler J, Goldfield NI, Muldoon J, Neff JM, et al. Clinical Risk Groups (CRGs): a classification system for risk-adjusted capitation-based payment and health care management. Med Care 2004;42 (1):81–90.
- [24] Quinn S, Jamison A, Musa D, Hilyard K, Freimuth V. Exploring the continuum of vaccine hesitancy between African American and white adults: results of a qualitative study ecurrents.outbreaks.3e4a5ea39d8620494e2a2c874a3c4201. Published 2016 Dec 29. PLoS Curr 2016;8. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/currents.outbreaks.3e4a5ea39d8620494e2a2c874a3c4201</u>.
- [25] Fisher KA, Bloomstone SJ, Walder J, Crawford S, Fouayzi H, Mazor KM. Attitudes toward a potential SARS-CoV-2 vaccine: a survey of US adults. Ann Intern Med 2020;173(12):964–73.
- [26] Callaghan T, Moghtaderi A, Lueck JA, Hotez P, Strych U, Dor A, Fowler EF, Motta M. Correlates and disparities of intention to vaccinate against COVID-19. Soc Sci Med (1982) 2020.
- [27] Agriculture USDA. Rural-Urban Commuting Area Codes [updated August 17, 2020; cited 2021 April 19]. Available from: https://www.ers.usda.gov/dataproducts/rural-urban-commuting-area-codes/.
- [28] Feagin J, Bennefield Z. Systemic racism and U.S. health care. Soc Sci Med 2014;103:7–14. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2013.09.006</u>.