

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

Wallerstein I. History and the social sciences: the longue durée. *Review* 2009; 32: 171–203.

best possible outcomes for patients.

the area of work commented on here.

john.teerlink@ucsf.edu

Mitchell A Psotka, *John R Teerlink

2 Ponikowski P, Voors AA, Anker SD, et al. 2016 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure: The Task Force for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) developed with the special contribution of the Heart Failure Association (HFA) of the ESC. Eur Heart J 2016; 37: 2129-200.

clinicians, health systems, and payers to encourage the

MAP reports personal fees from Amgen, Cytokinetics, and Windtree Therapeutics

and grants from the US Food and Drug Administration, outside the area of work

commented on here. IRT reports personal fees and non-financial support from

Abbott, Bayer, Boehringer-Ingelheim, EBR Systems, Medtronic, Merck, and

Windtree Therapeutics; personal fees from AbbVie and AstraZeneca; grants,

personal fees, and non-financial support from Amgen, Bristol-Myers Squibb,

and Cytokinetics; and grants from the Department of Veterans Affairs, outside

Inova Heart and Vascular Institute, Falls Church, VA, USA (MAP); San Francisco

Veterans Affairs Medical Center, San Francisco, CA 94121-1545, USA (JRT);

University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA (JRT)

3 Yancy CW, Jessup M, Bozkurt B, et al. 2013 ACCF/AHA guideline for the management of heart failure: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. J Am Coll Cardiol 2013; **62**: e147–239.

- Yancy CW, Jessup M, Bozkurt B, et al. 2017 ACC/AHA/HFSA focused update of the 2013 ACCF/AHA guideline for the management of heart failure: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines and the Heart Failure Society of America. J Am Coll Cardiol 2017; **70**: 776–803.
- 5 Greene SJ, Butler J, Albert NM, et al. Medical therapy for heart failure with reduced ejection fraction: the CHAMP-HF registry. J Am Coll Cardiol 2018; 72: 351–66.
- 6 Vaduganathan M, Claggett BL, Jhund PS, et al. Estimating lifetime benefits of comprehensive disease-modifying pharmacological therapies in patients with heart failure with reduced ejection fraction: a comparative analysis of three randomised controlled trials. *Lancet* 2020; published online May 21. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30748-0.
- 7 Zannad F, McMurray JJ, Krum H, et al. Eplerenone in patients with systolic heart failure and mild symptoms. N Engl J Med 2011; 364: 11–21.
- 8 McMurray JJ, Packer M, Desai AS, et al. Angiotensin-neprilysin inhibition versus enalapril in heart failure. N Engl J Med 2014; **371**: 993–1004.
- 9 McMurray JJV, Solomon SD, Inzucchi SE, et al. dapagliflozin in patients with heart failure and reduced ejection fraction. N Engl J Med 2019; 381: 1995–2008.
- Rupp T, Zuckerman D. quality of life, overall survival, and costs of cancer drugs approved based on surrogate endpoints. JAMA Intern Med 2017; 177: 276–77.
- 11 Armstrong PW, Pieske B, Anstrom KJ, et al. Vericiguat in patients with heart failure and reduced ejection fraction. N Engl J Med 2020; **382:** 1883–93.

Challenges to medical education at a time of physical distancing

Practice-based learning is the backbone of the education of physicians. Hospitals, clinics, and community services are where future doctors learn, forge professional identities, and develop an orientation to patient-focused care that shape their practice.¹ The COVID-19 pandemic has had profound impacts on medical education globally. For almost all medical students clinical placements stopped as health-care settings focused on the care of patients with COVID-19 and teaching in classrooms and laboratories was cancelled, leaving students to continue their studies remotely.² Across Europe and parts of North America, thousands of senior medical students have been graduated early to provide a vital extra resource to health-care teams as part of the response to COVID-19.3 Globally, doctors in training have had rotations modified or cancelled to maximise the capacity of health-care systems to cope with pressures from cases of COVID-19.245 As a result, entire cohorts of students and doctors in training have missed months of educational experiences that would normally be considered fundamental in their education, training, and progression.6,7

As we move forwards, a "COVID-19 generation" of students and doctors must continue their education in health-care settings that are continuously adapting and responding to virus management in a world transformed by physical distancing. Although not the first infectious diseases outbreak to disrupt health-care education,



Published Online June 11, 2020 https://doi.org/10.1016/ S0140-6736(20)31368-4



or likely the last,⁸ the global scale of the COVID-19 pandemic means there are many shared implications across the global medical education community.

Education has been affected in different ways for medical students during the pandemic. For example, in the UK and parts of Canada, there has been a coordinated effort to mobilise senior students in the workforce as paid practitioners or volunteers.^{2,9} Yet most students worldwide have been confined to their homes, where they are away from university learning resources, educators, and peers and are attempting to learn medicine remotely through textbooks or online resources. Although some educators believe this removal of potentially useful contributors from clinical settings is counterintuitive, particularly in low-income and middle-income countries (LMICs), concerns for the safety of students and their potential role as vectors in the transmission of the virus have generally shaped decision making.7,10

Many clinical teachers have been diverted to clinical services for months and as they return to their teaching roles are faced with changing and unfamiliar requirements, such as learning to incorporate unfamiliar e-learning or virtual classrooms into their teaching and attempting to instruct in clinics and wards transformed by new infectious disease control measures.¹¹ As medical schools carefully reconfigure teaching, educators will need to find creative ways to deal with the challenges of missed learning and ongoing disruption while addressing the myriad issues of delivering effective education safely.^{2,6} Some activities will need to be stopped or adapted, for example, lecture theatres and other crowded settings are sources of unnecessary risk and most medical schools, including our own at University College London, UK, the University of Toronto, Canada, and Addis Ababa University, Ethiopia, are switching to remote delivery of most of the large class teaching in the next academic year. However, replacing these activities with remote alternatives relies on digital resources and accessibility. In resource-poor settings and in low-income households in high-income countries (HICs), this approach could prove to be a barrier for learners and concerted efforts will be needed to ensure parity of learning opportunities for all medical students. The success of these hastily assembled and converted alternative learning activities remains to be seen.2,11

Beyond the university, placement-based activities will need to be adapted. In the UK, Australia, and North America, there is the growing realisation that short clerkships, joining work groups for single events, frequent movements between different health-care settings, and undertaking multiple group tasks will need to be replaced with learning in much smaller and stable social bubbles.^{2,11} Longer attachments to smaller groups of health-care teams may reduce both the infection risk to, and the vector risk of, learners in the workplace. Hospital-based teaching in small, stable teams of consultants and students-what was traditionally known as "firms" in the UK—and longitudinal clerkships models may need to replace the constant rotation and movement of students through services in the pursuit of varied experiences until COVID-19 is under control.¹²

While there will be pressure to keep students away from unnecessary exposure to patients with COVID-19 and consideration will be needed about use of precious personal protective equipment, the care of these patients will probably be an important part of more senior medical students' early months and years of work. Global pandemics also remind medical schools of the importance of infectious diseases, epidemiology, public health, and health promotion in the curriculum in both HIC and LMIC settings where there has been an increasing focus on non-communicable diseases.¹³ With more limited opportunities to travel and engage with educators through courses and conferences, e-learning resources and learning opportunities are currently being shared nationally and internationally in ways never previously imagined and, provided learners have sufficient internet access, this sort of engagement could have an beneficial impact on learning opportunities, particularly in low-income countries.⁵

Doctors in training have experienced a different sort of disruption to their educational opportunities, with a near total focus on service rather than learning during the peak of the pandemic. A halt to rotations and the consequent difficulties and losses for learning, career progression, and home life have been felt across all continents and will have a lasting impact on this cohort of doctors. Although involvement in caring for COVID-19 patients will have created many beneficial learning opportunities, there will also be missed learning opportunities for trainees in their chosen specialty that will need to be addressed during what will probably be a considerable period of ongoing disruption to workplaces where that learning happens.^{4,5,7}

Consideration must also be given to how risk of physical harm at work through potential exposure to the virus has been, and continues to be, a daily lived reality and that psychological distress and moral injury are likely consequences of caring for patients during the pandemic¹⁴—perhaps more likely for doctors still in training than for more experienced and seasoned colleagues. Interventions such as debriefing, supportive counselling, and a focus on self-care aimed at working through the consequences of these experiences and making meaning from them are being discussed and planned in Europe, North America, and Australia and could prove more important to the long-term ability and sustainability of the medical workforce than looking for opportunities to catch up on learning.15

For medical students and doctors in training the pandemic has exposed some learners to unplanned learning opportunities and a greater sense of purpose within health-care teams. Where volunteering has been possible, some medical students have become embedded and welcomed participants in health-care settings.¹⁶ However, the premature interruption of training experienced by the majority has conversely deprived medical students of the opportunity to become embedded in service teams tackling the crisis, with health-care institutions losing necessary human resources they might need as the pandemic advances, particularly in LMICs. Moving forwards, students could be part of the solution in these settings when COVID-19 vaccines become available and testing and contact tracing increases and by serving as a vital public health education resource.

Importantly, the COVID-19 pandemic has shone a spotlight on health inequities and how systemic issues of access and resources in health care impact patient outcomes.¹⁷ There is no doubt that the senior doctors of tomorrow will be more mindful of the interplay of medicine and politics and see their role extend to more assertive health advocacy. Exposure to infectious disease management, intensive care medicine, and a growing awareness of public health and the consequences of health inequities could also alter the career trajectory of a generation of new doctors. As COVID-19 continues to

impact on the way we live, work, and learn, it is becoming increasingly clear that medical schools and postgraduate training organisations will need to be flexible, responsive, and creative in how they adapt to educating this next generation of doctors at a time of physical distancing.

DG is Director of University College London Medical School. CW is Director of the Wilson Centre, University of Toronto. DW is Chief Executive Director of the College of Health Sciences, Addis Ababa University, Ethiopia. We have all worked on research and medical education capacity building projects funded by the University of Toronto or University College London as part of the Toronto Addis Ababa Academic Collaboration (TAAAC) or the University of Ioronto Joint Collaborative Projects and Exchange Activities.

*Deborah Gill, Cynthia Whitehead, Dawit Wondimagegn deborah.gill@ucl.ac.uk

University College London Medical School, University College London, London WC1E 6BT, UK (DG); Wilson Centre, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada (CW); and College of Health Sciences, Addis Ababa University, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia (DW)

- Dornan T, Pearson E, Carson P, Helmich E, Bundy C. Emotions and identity in the figured world of becoming a doctor. *Med Educ* 2015; 49: 174185.
- 2 Kachra R, Brown A. The new normal: medical education during and beyond the COVID-19 pandemic. *Canadian Med Educ J* 2020; published online May 15. https://doi.org/10.36834/cmej.70317.
- 3 DeWitt DE. Enabling graduating students to start internship early. Ann Intern Med 2020; published online April 7. DOI:10.7326/M20-1262.
- 4 Kituno N. Junior doctors' rotations paused amid COVID-19 pandemic. Health Serv J, March 16, 2020. https://www.hsj.co.uk/workforce/juniordoctors-rotations-paused-amid-covid-19-pandemic/7027136.article (accessed June 4, 2020).
- 5 Kanmounye US, Esene IN. COVID-19 and neurosurgical education in Africa: making lemonade from lemons. World Neurosurg 2020; published online May 13. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wneu.2020.05.126.
- Grønnebæk Tolsgaard M, Cleland J, Wilkinson T, Ellaway RH. How we make choices and sacrifices in medical education during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Med Teach* 2020; published online May 22. DOI:10.1080/014215 9X.2020.1767769.
- Mann U, Nayak JG. The potential impact of COVID-19 on the Canadian resident matching service: unique future challenges faced by urology residency programs and applicants. *Can Urol* Assoc J 2020; **14:** e167–68.
- 8 Lassen HC. The epidemic of poliomyelitis in Copenhagen, 1952. Proc R Soc Med 1954: 47: 67–61.
- Representatives of the STARSurg Collaborative, EuroSurg Collaborative, and TASMAN Collaborative. Medical student involvement in the COVID-19 response. Lancet 2020; 395: 1254.
- 10 Gallagher TH, Schleyer AM. "We Signed Up for This!"—student and trainee responses to the COVID-19 pandemic. N Engl J Med 2020; published online April 8. DOI:10.1056/NEJMp2005234.
- 11 Rose S. Medical student education in the time of COVID-19. JAMA 2020; 323: 2131–32.
- 12 Norris TE, Schaad DC, DeWitt D, Ogur B, Hunt DD, Consortium of Longitudinal Integrated Clerkships. Longitudinal integrated clerkships for medical students: an innovation adopted by medical schools in Australia, Canada, South Africa, and the United States. Acad Med 2009; 84: 902–07.
- 13 Celletti F, Reynolds TA, Wright A, Stoertz A, Dayrit M. Educating a new generation of doctors to improve the health of populations in low- and middle-income countries. PLoS Med 2011; 8: e1001108.
- 14 Lai J, Ma S, Wang Y, et al. Factors associated with mental health outcomes among health care workers exposed to coronavirus disease 2019. JAMA Netw Open 2019; **3:** e203976.
- 15 Wald HS. Optimizing resilience and wellbeing for healthcare professions trainees and healthcare professionals during public health crises—practical tips for an "integrative resilience" approach. *Med Teach* 2020; published online May 25. DOI:10.1080/0142159X.2020.1768230.
- 16 Lave J, Wenger E. Situated learning: legitimate peripheral participation. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1991.
- I7 Hopman J, Allegranzi B, Mehtar S. Managing COVID-19 in low- and middle-income countries. JAMA 2020; 323: 1549–50.