# Identification of Gene Variants Associated with Melanocyte Stem Cell Differentiation in Mice Predisposed for Hair Graying

Allison C. Fialkowski,<sup>\*,1</sup> Denise J. Levy,<sup>†</sup> Dawn E. Watkins-Chow,<sup>†</sup> Joseph W. Palmer,<sup>‡</sup> Roshan Darji,<sup>‡,2</sup> Hemant K. Tiwari,<sup>\*</sup> William J. Pavan,<sup>†</sup> and Melissa L. Harris<sup>‡,3</sup>

\*Department of Biostatistics, <sup>‡</sup>Department of Biology University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL, and <sup>†</sup>Genetic Disease Research Branch, National Human Genome Research Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD

ORCID ID: 0000-0001-6223-1611 (M.L.H.)

**ABSTRACT** Age-related hair graying is caused by malfunction in the regenerative potential of the adult pigmentation system. The retention of hair color over the life of an organism is dependent on the ability of the melanocyte stem cells and their progeny to produce pigment each time a new hair grows. Age-related hair graying is variable in association with genetic background suggesting that quantitative trait loci influencing this trait can be identified. Identification of these quantitative trait loci may lead to the discovery of novel and interesting genes involved in stem cell biology and/or melanogenesis. With this in mind we developed previously a sensitized, mouse modifier screen and discovered that the DBA/1J background is particularly resistant to melanocyte stem cell differentiation in comparison to the C57BL/6J background. Melanocyte stem cell differentiation generally precedes hair graying and is observed in melanocyte stem cells with age. Using quantitative trait loci analysis, we have now identified three quantitative trait loci on mouse chromosomes 7, 13, and X that are associated with DBA/1J-mediated variability in melanocyte stem cell differentiation. Taking advantage of publicly-available mouse sequence and variant data, in silico protein prediction programs, and whole genome gene expression results we describe a short list of potential candidate genes that we anticipate to be involved in melanocyte stem cell biology in mice.

KEYWORDS

hair graying melanocyte stem cell QTL modifier

In humans, the visible phenotype of graying scalp hair is associated primarily with aging and varies among people of different ethnic or ancestral geographic origin. In people 45-65 years of age, the intensity (percent per individual) and frequency (percent of individuals) of gray

<sup>2</sup>Present address, Hudson Alpha Institute for Biotechnology, Huntsville, AL

hair ranges from 15 to 42% and 43–93%, respectively (Panhard *et al.* 2012). The fact that these differences are associated with ancestry, or genetic background, suggest that hair graying is, in part, a genetic trait. The quantitative nature of hair graying further suggests that this trait is influenced by the combination of effects at multiple genetic loci. Gray hair is easy to dismiss as a purely cosmetic phenotype, however, research into the molecular etiology of this phenotype has contributed to our basic understanding of stem cell biology, tissue regeneration and homeostasis, melanocyte-related diseases like vitiligo and melanoma, and the role of stem cells in aging phenotypes. Accordingly, we are interested in identifying the genetic modifications that contribute to hair graying variation in the hopes of discovering novel genes that participate in these processes.

Unfortunately, genetic studies of gray hair in humans can be difficult to perform due to the confounding variable associated with hair dyeing. Accurate phenotyping can only be performed in individuals and regions of the scalp (near the roots) that maintain natural hair color. Not surprisingly, to date there is only one reported genome-wide association



Copyright © 2019 Fialkowski *et al.* 

doi: https://doi.org/10.1534/g3.118.200965

Manuscript received September 15, 2018; accepted for publication January 11, 2019; published Early Online January 16, 2019.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Supplemental material available at Figshare: https://doi.org/10.25387/ g3.7489625.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>Present address, Department of Psychology, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Corresponding author: UAB Department of Biology, 1300 University Blvd, CH464, Birmingham, AL 35294, E-mail: harrisml@uab.edu

model system has long been used to investigate genetic and molecular mechanisms related to melanocyte biology (Jackson 1994, 1997; Steingrímsson et al. 2006). Thus, we sought to assess whether mice, as an alternate to humans, could help in the identification of genetic variants that contribute the phenotypic diversity of hair graying. Previously we reported the development of a sensitized screen to evaluate genetically diverse inbred mouse strains for their ability to influence hair graying (Harris et al. 2015). In this screen we employed the Tg(DctSox10) transgenic mouse line to predispose mice to hair graying. The cellular mechanism responsible for hair graying in these mice is melanocyte stem cell (McSC) differentiation. This phenomenon precedes hair graying and is positively associated with hair graying severity (Harris et al. 2013). Differentiated McSCs have also been observed in human hairs and their number increases with age (Nishimura et al. 2005), which makes McSC differentation a relevant cellular phenotype to evaluate for genetic loci that may modify the extent of age-related hair graying in both mouse and humans. Mechanistically we predict that modifier genes that effect McSC differentiation may directly regulate the process of melanogenesis, but could also be involved in initial McSC establishment, proliferation or migration of McSC progenitors. McSCs that are undergoing differentiation produce visible ectopic pigmentation when viewed by light microscopy and the number of hairs that contain these ectopically pigmented McSCs (EPMs) varies in animals of different genetic backgrounds (Harris et al.

study that has successfully identified a genetic locus, IRF4, that is in-

volved in age-related hair graving in humans (Adhikari et al. 2016).

As an alternate to studying pigmentation in humans, the mouse

varies in animals of different genetic backgrounds (Harris *et al.* 2015). Mice that are hemizygous for a conditional, *Sox10*-expressing transgene, designated C57BL/6J-Tg(DctSox10)/0, are extremely susceptible to McSC differentiation. In contrast, progeny derived from mating C57BL/6J-Tg(DctSox10)/0 mice to other inbred genetic backgrounds (C3H/HeJ, 129SvEvTac, FVB/NTac, DBA/1J, BALB/CJ) produced F1 hybrids that all exhibit reduced numbers of hairs with EPMs in response to the transgene (Harris *et al.* 2015). Tg(DctSox10)/0 hybrid animals produced by mating C57BL/6J-Tg(DctSox10)/0 mice to DBA/1J mice exhibit a particularly low level of transgene-mediated EPMs. Reduction of EPMs in these F1 hybrids suggests a dominant mode of inheritance for EPM resistance and we sought to identify these DBA/1J-associated resistance loci using QTL linkage mapping.

## **METHODS**

#### Mice

C57BL/6J and DBA/1J mice were obtained from the Jackson Laboratory. The Tg(Dct-Sox10) transgenic line Tg(Dct-Sox10)CF1-10Pav (Hakami *et al.* 2006)) was generated previously, established on the FVB/N background and maintained through a combination of backcrossing to C57BL/6J and by intercross. All (DBA/1J x C57BL/6J-Tg(DctSox10)/0) F1-Tg(DctSox10)/0 animals (abbreviated as D1B6F1-Tg(DctSox10)/0) used in this study were generated by mating one C57BL/6J-Tg(DctSox10)/0 male to several DBA/1J females. D1B6F1-Tg(DctSox10)/0 females were then mated to individual C57BL/ 6J males to generate N2 backcross progeny.

#### **Phenotype Analysis**

Assessment of hairs with EPMs was performed as described previously (Harris *et al.* 2015). Briefly, between 9-11 weeks of age, hair along a 2x2 cm region of the lower back was plucked by hand to synchronize and initiate hair regrowth. Hairs in the plucked region were allowed to

regrow for seven days (equivalent to hair cycle stage anagen III/IV). Skin from these animals was dissected and processed for cryosectioning. Using light microscopy, approximately four 10 µm sections were analyzed in total skipping at least three sections between those analyzed to prevent counting the same hair twice. EPMs occur in the hair bulge at the insertion point of the arrector pili muscle, thus only sectioned hair follicles that spanned the entire region from the sebaceous gland and past the junction of the dermal/subcutis were counted. Between 50-100 hairs were examined for the presence or absence of EPMs. The percentage of hairs with EPMs was calculated by dividing the number of hairs with EPMs by the total number of hairs analyzed. 122 N2 mice were phenotyped. For QTL analysis, selective genotyping was performed on 79 of the N2 mice exhibiting the highest (> 50%, n = 39) and lowest (<20%, n = 40) percentage of hairs exhibiting EPMs. The EPM phenotype was converted to a binary trait with the high EPM phenotype scored as 1 and referred to as 'affected', while the low EPM phenotype was scored as 0 and referred to as 'unaffected'. Graphing was performed using Graphpad Prism (Graphpad Software). Brightfield microscopy was performed on a Zeiss Observer.D1 compound microscope. Images were obtained with an Axiocam Hrc camera (Zeiss) using the ZEN software (Zeiss) and processed with Adobe Photoshop (Adobe).

### Genotyping

Presence of the Tg(Dct-Sox10) transgene was determined by PCR using primers that generate an amplicon spanning the Dct promoter and the Sox10 cDNA: 5'-AGCAGTATGGCTGGAGCACT-3'; 5'-TCCAGTCGTAGCCGCTGAGCA-3'. PCR cycling was performed as published previously (Harris et al. 2013). SNP genotyping was performed on a custom panel of 1449 SNPs (equivalent to the Mouse Medium Density Linkage Panel, Illumina) using the GoldenGate Genotyping Universal-32 Assay Kit with UDG (Illumina). Complete SNP genotyping data are available in Supplemental File 1 (sheet name-Original Sample Genotypes). In preparation for QTL analysis using R/qtl, 559 non-informative SNPs and SNPs with a high number of no call (NC) values or were omitted. Sample genotypes were also recoded such that homozygote genotypes matching the parental C57BL/6J genotype were designated AA, and heterozygote genotypes were designated AB. SNPs with unknown chromosomal coordinates in the original genotyping data (listed as chr 0) were identified in the genome. The chromosomal coordinates of each SNP were then converted to Sex-Averaged cM-G2F1 centimorgan positions using Mouse Map Converter (http://cgd.jax.org/mousemapconverter/). The final data matrix used for QTL analysis is included in Supplemental File 1 (sheet name- Converted Sample Genotypes).

#### Statistical analysis

A total of 79 mice were initially evaluated, and 3 removed for low-quality genotyping results. QTL linkage analysis of 76 mice (36 with the high and 40 with the low EPM phenotype, 37 males and 39 females) and 890 markers using EPM as a binary trait was performed using the R/qtl software (Rv.3.4.4, qtl v.1.42-8). One-dimensional scans were conducted without and with sex as an additive covariate using logistic regression with the EM algorithm (Dempster *et al.* 1976; Lander and Botstein 1989). Separate LOD significance thresholds were obtained from 1,000 permutations for autosomal SNPs and 18,850 permutations for X chromosome SNPs (see R/QTL documentation for explanation of the permutation counts applied within the scanone function). Two-dimensional scans were conducted with sex as an additive covariate using logistic regression with the EM algorithm for explanation of the permutation stare applied within the scanone function). Two-dimensional scans were conducted with sex as an additive covariate using logistic regression with the EM algorithm. Separate LOD significance thresholds were obtained from



**Figure 1** Distribution of hairs with EPMs in N2 mice suggest a quantitative trait. (A) Brightfield image of a histological section of a hair exhibiting EPMs within the stem cell compartment of the hair follicle (arrowheads). Dotted line indicates the position of the hair follicle. (B) Distribution of EPMs in the parental lines (B6 and D1B6F1) and their N2 progeny. N2 progeny are shown together (column 3), separated by sex (column 4 and 5), and only those included for genotyping also separated by sex (column 6 and 7). EPMs, ectopically pigmented melanocyte stem cells; B6, C57BL/6J-Tg(DctSox10)/0; D1B6F1, (DBA/1J x C57BL/6J-Tg(DctSox10)/0)F1-Tg(DctSox10)/0; SG, selective genotyping.

1,000 permutations for autosomal SNP pairs, 355,289 permutations for X chromosome SNP pairs, and 9,425 permutations for autosomal:X chromosome SNP pairs (see R/QTL documentation for explanation of the permutation counts applied within the scantwo function). For the QTL found significant at the 0.05 level in the two-QTL analyses, multiple-QTL analyses were performed with sex as an additive covariate using logistic regression with multiple imputation (Sen and Churchill 2001). The locations of the QTL were updated based on maximum likelihood (Zeng *et al.* 1999) using the refineqtl function within R/QTL.

#### Identification of candidate genes

Whole skin RNAseq data comparing C57BL/6J to DBA/1J was retrieved at NCBI GEO using the accession # GSE86315. This dataset included read counts previously generated by aligning RNAseq reads to the mouse genome (GRCm38/mm10) using TopHat2, assessment of mapping quality using RSeQC and RNA-SeQC, and read counting using HTSeq (Swindell et al. 2017). HTSeq reads from the C57BL/6J and DBA/1J control skin samples (2 males and 2 females per strain all treated with a non-toxic lanolin-derived occlusion cream) were used to generate normalized read counts (median ratio method) and compared to obtain differential expression values using DESeq2. The complete differential expression data including base mean (mean of the normalized counts), log2 fold change, and adjusted p values is provided in Supplemental File 2. Wildtype C57BL/6J McSC RNAseq data were retrieved at NCBI GEO using the accession # GSE102271. RNAseq reads were aligned to the Ensembl GRCm38.p5 primary DNA assembly using STAR (v2.5.2b) and normalized read counts (median ratio method) determined using DESeq2. Normalized read counts with a value of 0 were omitted from further analysis. The complete McSC expression data are provided in Supplemental File 3. Variants between C57BL/6J and DBA/ 1J were obtained from the Mouse Genome Project (REL-1505; ftp://ftpmouse.sanger.ac.uk/). The 'genome variants' function of PROVEAN (v1.1.3 and GRCm38 Ensembl 74; http://provean.jcvi.org) was used to score variants based on predicted protein function. PROVEAN summary and detailed results are provided in Supplemental File 4.

#### **Reagent and Data Availability**

All data associated with this manuscript is available within the manuscript or as supplemental files. Supplemental Files 1-4 are available via the GSA Figshare portal. Supplemental File 1 provides the SNP genotyping data of the N2 animals. Supplemental File 2 provides the differential mRNA expression data comparing DBA/1J and C57BL/6J skin from the reanalysis of GSE86315. Supplemental File 3 provides the mRNA expression data of wildtype C57BL/6J McSCs from the reanalysis of GSE102271. Supplemental File 4 provides the summary and detailed output from PROVEAN. Supplemental material available at Figshare: https://doi.org/10.25387/g3.7489625.

#### RESULTS

### Distribution of EPM susceptibility in progeny derived from backcrossing D1B6F1-Tg(DctSox10)/ 0 to C57BL/6J

To identify the genetic determinants from DBA/1J that promote EPM resistance we set out to map loci that modify the production of EPMs in progeny produced from backcrossing D1B6F1-Tg(DctSox10)/0 females to C57BL/6J males (these progeny are hereafter referred to as N2 mice). At approximately eight weeks of age, the hair was plucked along the lower back of N2 mice to induce and synchronize hair growth. One week later, skin from these mice was obtained from the plucked region and assessed for EPMs using histological methods (Figure 1a). 122 N2 mice that carry the Tg(DctSox10) transgene were evaluated phenotypically, and exhibited a range of EPM measurements extending between the C57BL/6J-Tg(DctSox10)/0 and D1B6F1-Tg(DctSox10)/0 parental phenotypes (Figure 1b). A statistically significant gender effect (t-test, p-value = 0.009) is also observed in N2 mice with the phenotypic mean of the female N2 animals skewed toward more resistant to EPMs suggesting the need for including sex as covariate during QTL mapping.

# QTL analysis provides evidence for three QTL loci associated EPM variability

To map genetic modifiers that affect resistance to McSC differentiation, we used a selective genotyping approach where only the animals with the most extreme phenotypes are genotyped for linkage analysis (based on (Lander and Botstein 1989)). A total of 79 N2 mice were genotyped and represent those animals with the highest (> 50%, n = 39) and lowest (<20%, n = 40) percentage of hairs exhibiting EPMs (Figure 1b). These

chrchrposred312657130.99red312657130.99red312657279.43red312657279.43red312657991338.57red312657991438.57red30461750.16red3089420657.63red3089420728.39red3089420728.39red3089420728.39red308940910728.39red10140728.39red3089409103.37c11.loc151118.55red1001401321.79red110c151118.55red1569081431.24red1569081639.39red1569081639.39red156083301321.79red156083481635.68red1569081639.39red156083481637.34red156083481637.34red156083481637.34red2363481637.34red2363481730.06red2363481730.68red2365733279.43red236586733368.08red236586733368.08red2365979143red2365979143red2365979144red2365979144red2365979167red388720657.63red	lod 1.42 1.42 1.45 1.46 1.46 1.46 1.12 1.12 1.12 1.12 1.12 1.12 1.12 1.1	pval 1.00 0.93 0.81 0.95		chr	sod	lod	pval
rsd312657130.99rsd312657 $2$ 79.43rs13477487 $3$ 68.08rs13477487 $3$ 68.08rs3659791 $4$ $38.57$ rs365875 $6$ $57.63$ rs364617 $6$ $57.63$ rs366475 $6$ $57.63$ rs56875 $8$ $29.32$ rs6160140 $7$ $28.39$ rs556875 $8$ $29.32$ rs13480345 $9$ $42.94$ rs3699409 $10$ $7$ rs13480345 $10$ $3.37$ c11.loc15 $11$ $18.55$ rs3706330 $12$ $6.60$ c11.loc38 $17$ $31.24$ rs6156908 $14$ $31.24$ rs6156908 $16$ $6.60$ c13.loc23 $c17.loc317rs62363481968.08rs623658738279.43rs62885431790.68rs62885431790.68rs62885431790.68rs63859791438.57rs6389720657.63$	0.60 1.42 1.45 1.46 1.46 2.60 1.70 2.40 1.70 2.40 0.46 0.38 0.30 0.30	1.00 0.93 0.81 0.95					
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1.42 1.45 0.45 1.12 2.60 1.12 0.46 0.98 0.30 0.28 0.30 0.28 0.30 0.30	0.93 0.81 0.95	rs6312657	-	30.99	0.48	1.00
	1.63 0.45 1.70 2.60 2.40 0.98 0.98 0.98 0.23 0.28 0.28 0.28 0.28	0.81 0.95	rs6376291	2	69.07	1.53	0.84
rs3659791438.57rs366461750.16rs38420657.63rs6160140728.39rs6160140728.39rs656875829.32rs13480345942.94rs13480345103.37c11.loc151118.55rs370633012 $6.60$ c11.loc151118.55rs371181412 $6.60$ c13.loc201337.24rs3711814153.00rs3711814153.03rs373118141637.39c16.loc3817 $0.68$ c17.loc3317 $0.68$ rs374818 $6.53$ rs2365913rs6286738 $2.779$ rs6285431 $30.68$ rs6285431 $30.68$ rs62885431 $30.68$ rs6365799 $4$ $38.57$ rs6288543 $5$ $57.63$ rs6389420 $6$ $57.63$	1.37 0.45 2.60 1.70 2.40 0.98 0.98 0.28 0.28 0.28 0.28 0.28 0.28	0.95	rs13477487	с	68.08	2.40	0.25
rs36646175 $0.16$ rs389420657.63rs6160140728.39rs6160140728.39rs31656875829.32rs13480345942.94rs13480345103.37rs13480345103.37rs134803451118.55rs370633012 $6.60$ c11.loc151118.55rs370633012 $6.60$ c13.loc201321.79rs371181415 $3.03$ rs371181415 $3.03$ rs371181416 $39.39$ c17.loc3317 $3.03$ rs37331181416 $3.33$ rs3744418 $6.53$ rs236534819 $0.68$ rs236534819 $0.68$ rs236593817 $30.08$ rs23659791279.43rs385431 $30.68$ rs385431 $30.68$ rs62365738279.43rs62365738368.08rs62365738523.15rs6389420657.63	0.45 1.46 2.60 1.70 2.40 0.98 0.98 0.30 0.28 0.28 0.28		rs3659791	4	38.57	1.57	0.81
rs6389420657.63rs6160140728.39rs6160140728.39rs3456875829.32rs13480345942.94rs35699409103.37c11.loc151118.55rs370633012 $6.60$ c13.loc201321.79rs51569081431.24rs51569081431.24rs511569081437.24rs51181415 $3.939$ c13.loc23817 $3.58$ c14.loc3816 $39.39$ c17.loc317 $3.00$ rs1348324416 $3.068$ c17.loc318 $6.53$ rs623634819 $0.68$ rs623634819 $0.68$ rs623634819 $0.68$ rs623634819 $0.68$ rs623634819 $0.68$ rs623634819 $0.68$ rs62365732 $79.43$ rs62365732 $79.43$ rs62885431 $38.57$ rs62885431 $38.57$ rs62885432 $79.43$ rs62885433 $6.008$ rs62885435 $23.15$ rs63894206 $57.63$	1.46 2.60 1.70 2.40 0.98 0.98 0.30 0.30 0.30	1.00	c5.loc23	£	23.15	0.51	1.00
rs6160140728.39rs6160140728.39rs3456875829.32rs3469409103.37rs13480345103.37rs35694091118.55rs370633012 $6.60$ c11.loc151118.55rs370633012 $6.60$ c13.loc201321.79rs61569081431.24rs61569081431.24rs71181415 $3.30$ rs71181415 $3.39$ c16.loc3817 $6.53$ rs1348324416 $3.39$ rs1348324418 $6.53$ rs623634819 $0.68$ all animals with sex as an interactive covars62285431 $30.68$ cEL-2_168586738279.43rs62885431 $38.57$ rs62885431 $38.57$ rs62885431 $38.57$ rs62885431 $38.57$ rs62885431 $38.57$ rs62885435 $57.63$ rs6389420657.63	2.60 2.01 2.1.12 0.98 0.98 0.28 0.28 0.28 0.28 0.28	0.91	mhcCD8b4	9	28.46	1.38	0.92
rs3656875829.32rs345940910 $3.37$ rs13480345942.94rs340940910 $3.37$ c11.loc151118.55rs370633012 $6.60$ c13.loc201321.79rs615690814 $31.24$ rs615690814 $31.24$ rs615690814 $31.24$ rs615690814 $33.39$ c13.loc2013 $21.79$ rs615690814 $33.24$ rs615690814 $33.39$ c16.loc3817 $39.39$ c17.loc317 $30.06$ rs1348324419 $0.68$ rs623634819 $0.68$ all animals with sex as an interactive covars62885431 $30.68$ cEL-2_168586738279.43rs62885431 $38.57$ rs62885431 $38.57$ rs62885431 $38.57$ rs62885435 $23.15$ rs6389420657.63	2.01 1.70 2.40 0.98 0.98 0.236 0.28 0.28	0.18	rs6160140	7	28.39	2.91	0.09
rs13480345942.94rs1348034510 $3.37$ rs369940911 $18.55$ rs30633012 $6.60$ rs370633013 $21.79$ rs370633013 $21.79$ rs615690814 $31.24$ rs615690814 $31.24$ rs615690814 $31.24$ rs71181415 $35.8$ c16.loc3817 $0.68$ c17.loc317 $3.00$ rs1348324418 $6.53$ rs623634819 $0.68$ all animals with sex as an interactive cova $6.53$ rs62885431 $30.68$ rs62885432 $79.43$ rs63897914 $38.57$ rs63894206 $57.63$	1.70 2.40 0.98 0.98 0.28 0.28 0.20 0.30	0.47	c8.loc41	8	44.68	2.33	0.28
rs369940910 $3.37$ c11.loc151118.55rs370633012 $6.60$ c13.loc2013 $21.79$ rs615690814 $31.24$ rs615690814 $31.24$ rs615690814 $31.24$ rs615690814 $33.39$ c16.loc3817 $35.8$ c16.loc3817 $3.00$ rs1348324418 $6.53$ rs623634819 $0.68$ all animals with sex as an interactive covars62885431 $30.68$ cEL-2_1685867382 $79.43$ rs134774873 $68.08$ rs385797914 $38.57$ rs63894206 $57.63$	1.29 2.40 0.98 0.46 0.28 0.28 0.30	0.73	rs13480345	6	42.94	1.27	0.97
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2.40 <b>3.56</b> 0.98 0.28 0.28 0.28 0.30	0.97	rs3699409	10	3.37	1.14	1.00
rs370633012 $6.60$ rs370633013 $21.79$ rs371630814 $31.24$ rs371181415 $3.58$ rs371181415 $3.39$ rs371181415 $3.39$ rs371181415 $3.30$ rs371181416 $39.39$ c16.loc3817 $3.00$ rs1348324418 $6.53$ rs23634819 $0.68$ all animals with sex as an interactive covars62885431 $30.68$ rs62885431 $30.68$ rs6389791 $4$ $38.57$ rs6389420 $6$ $57.63$	1.12 <b>3.56</b> 0.98 0.28 0.28 0.30	0.25	c11.loc15	11	18.55	2.78	0.11
c13.loc201321.79rs615690814 $31.24$ rs371181415 $3.58$ c16.loc3816 $39.39$ c17.loc317 $3.00$ rs1348324418 $6.53$ rs623634819 $0.68$ all animals with sex as an interactive cova $chr$ $pos$ rs62885431 $30.68$ rs62885432 $79.43$ rs62885433 $68.08$ rs63897914 $38.57$ rs63894206 $57.63$	<b>3.56</b> 0.98 0.28 0.28 0.30	1.00	rs3706330	12	6.60	1.32	0.95
rs6156908 14 31.24 rs3711814 15 3.58 c16.loc38 16 39.39 c17.loc3 17 0.68 rs13483244 18 6.53 rs6236348 19 0.68 all animals with sex as an interactive cova chr pos rs6288543 1 30.68 rs6288543 1 30.68 rs6288543 1 30.68 rs6288543 1 30.68 rs6288543 3 68.08 rs6288543 5 23.15 rs6389420 6 57.63	0.98 0.46 0.28 0.30 0.30	0.02	c13.loc20	13	21.79	3.08	0.06
rs3711814 15 3.58 c16.loc38 16 39.39 c17.loc3 17 3.00 rs13483244 18 6.53 rs6236348 19 0.68 all animals with sex as an interactive cova chr pos rs6288543 1 30.68 rs6288543 1 30.68 rs6288543 1 30.68 rs6288543 3 68.08 rs6288543 3 68.08 rs6288543 5 23.15 rs6389420 6 57.63	0.46 2.36 0.28 0.30	1.00	rs6156908	14	31.24	0.93	1.00
c16.loc38 16 39.39 c17.loc3 17 3.00 rs13483244 18 6.53 rs6236348 19 0.68 all animals with sex as an interactive cova chr pos rs6288543 1 30.68 rs6288543 1 30.68 rs6288543 1 30.68 rs6288543 3 68.08 rs62885738 2 79.43 rs62885738 5 2 79.43 rs6389420 6 57.63	2.36 0.28 0.30	1.00	rs3711814	15	3.58	0.46	1.00
c17.loc3       17       3.00         rs13483244       18       6.53         rs6236348       19       0.68         all animals with sex as an interactive cova       6.53         rs6288543       1       30.68         rs6288543       2       79.43         rs6389791       4       38.57         rs5389420       6       57.63	0.28 0.30	0.27	c16.loc38	16	39.39	2.19	0.37
rs13483244 18 6.53 rs6236348 19 0.68 all animals with sex as an interactive cova chr pos rs6288543 1 30.68 rs6288543 1 30.68 rs6288543 1 30.68 rs6288543 3 68.08 rs6285738 2 79.43 rs13477487 3 68.08 rs355791 4 338.57 c5.loc23 5 23.15 rs6389420 6 57.63	0.30	1.00	rs3706382	17	57.03	0.29	1.00
rs6236348     19     0.68       all animals with sex as an interactive coval     0.68       rs6288543     chr     pos       rs6288543     1     30.68       rs62895791     4     38.57       rs651oc23     5     23.15       rs6389420     6     57.63		1.00	rs6358426	18	5.46	0.40	1.00
all animals with sex as an interactive coval           chr         pos           rs6288543         1         30.68           rs13477487         3         68.08           rs3659791         4         38.57           c5.loc23         5         23.15           rs6389420         6         57.63	0.40	1.00	rs6293693	19	14.23	0.48	1.00
chr         pos           rs6288543         1         30.68           rs6288543         1         30.68           rs6288543         2         79.43           rs13477487         3         68.08           rs3659791         4         38.57           c5.loc23         5         23.15           rs6389420         6         57.63	covariate (lod.full)						
rs6288543 1 30.68 CEL-2_168586738 2 79.43 rs13477487 3 68.08 rs3659791 4 38.57 c51oc23 5 23.15 rs6389420 6 57.63	lod	pval					
CEL-2_168586738 2 79.43 rs13477487 3 68.08 rs3659791 4 38.57 c5.loc23 5 23.15 rs6389420 6 57.63	1.14	1.00					
rs13477487 3 68.08 rs3659791 4 38.57 c5.loc23 5 23.15 rs6389420 6 57.63	1.65	0.99					
rs3659791 4 38.57 c5.loc23 5 23.15 rs6389420 6 57.63	2.45	0.67					
c5.loc23 5 23.15 rs6389420 6 57.63	1.82	0.98					
rs6389420 6 57.63	0.54	1.00					
	1.43	1.00					
rs6160140 7 28.39	3.81	0.07					
c8.loc41 8 44.68	2.83	0.41					
c9.loc57 9 57.03	2.24	0.82					
CEL-10_58149652 10 23.51	3.15	0.24					
c11.loc15 11 18.55	2.83	0.41					
rs3706330 12 6.60	1.91	0.96					
c13.loc20 13 21.79	3.14	0.25					
rs6156908 14 31.24	0.94	1.00					
CEL-15_8331158 15 1.67	1.23	1.00					
c16.loc38 16 39.39	2.20	0.84					
c17.loc18 17 18.00	0.62	1.00					
rs13483244 18 6.53	1.35	1.00					
rs6236348 19 0.68	1.50	1.00					

Table 2 Sex-stratified single-QTL analysis for QTL loci linked to EPM variability<sup>2</sup>

males with	out sex as	a covariate (	lod.male)		females wit	hout sex as	a covariate (l	od.female)	
position	chr	pos	lod	pval	position	chr	pos	lod	pval
rs6312657	1	30.99	1.13	0.99	rs13475706	1	0.48	0.59	1.00
CEL-2_135876979	2	60.99	0.94	1.00	rs3726974	2	80.78	1.40	0.94
rs13477487	3	68.08	1.51	0.86	c3.loc44	3	44.22	1.20	0.98
rs3659791	4	38.57	1.56	0.78	rs13477643	4	14.92	0.42	1.00
mCV23386455	5	27.75	0.33	1.00	rs3660964	5	23.12	0.27	1.00
rs6389420	6	57.63	1.15	0.98	rs6238771	6	19.90	1.12	0.99
rs3700068	7	0.26	0.39	1.00	c7.loc29	7	29.26	3.54	0.03
CEL-8_33812776	8	16.34	1.31	0.95	c8.loc44	8	47.68	2.55	0.20
rs13480271	9	35.75	1.04	1.00	c9.loc57	9	57.03	2.23	0.40
CEL-10_58149652	10	23.51	0.46	1.00	CEL-10_58149652	10	23.51	2.69	0.15
rs6197743	11	38.94	1.98	0.44	c11.loc15	11	18.55	1.77	0.68
rs3706330	12	6.60	1.81	0.62	rs3023711	12	59.74	0.28	1.00
rs6288319	13	40.58	2.29	0.27	c13.loc19	13	20.79	1.98	0.55
gnf14.055.608	14	23.52	0.39	1.00	c14.loc33	14	31.87	0.58	1.00
rs3715857	15	4.87	0.95	1.00	rs6188239	15	10.26	0.33	1.00
rs4201998	16	35.46	1.52	0.80	c16.loc36	16	37.39	1.09	0.99
rs3706382	17	57.03	0.43	1.00	c17.loc3	17	3.00	0.30	1.00
rs13483244	18	6.53	1.27	0.96	c18.loc25	18	24.93	0.47	1.00
rs6236348	19	0.68	1.31	0.93	rs13483525	19	3.11	0.19	1.00

<sup>2</sup>Results from the single-QTL analysis stratified by sex. Cells shaded in gray highlight loci described in the Results. chr, chromosome; pos, centimorgan position; lod, LOD value; pval, p-value.

mice were genotyped using a panel of 1449, evenly-dispersed, mousespecific SNP loci assays (Illumina, Supplemental File 1). Among the 1449 SNPs evaluated, 890 were found to be reliable and informative between C57BL/6J and DBA/1J. 3 of the original 79 mice had lowquality genotyping scores and were removed prior to QTL analysis.

Identification of individual QTL was first performed using a single-QTL genome scan approach (R/qtl; Broman et al. 2003). Only the genotyped animals mentioned above were included in these analyses and thus the high and low EPM percentage were treated as a binary trait. Results from the single-QTL analysis, without sex as a covariate and a 5% significance threshold, indicates the presence of one QTL on chr 13 (lod- 3.56, p-value- 0.02; Table 1). Using a 10% significance threshold, there is also weak support for an additional QTL on chr 7 when sex is included as an additive covariate (lod.add- 2.91, p-value- 0.09; Table 1). Seeing as there is a sex-dependent difference in the phenotypic mean of the N2 animals (Figure 1b) we also evaluated for an interaction between QTL and sex and find that the LOD score for the chr 7 QTL increases if an interaction is allowed (lod.full- 3.81, p-value 0.07; Table 1). Stratifying the data by analyzing males and females separately suggests that the chr 7 QTL is female-specific (lod.male- 0.39, p-value- 1.00; lod.female- 3.54, p-value- 0.03; Table 2), however, the interaction between sex and the chr 7 QTL is not significant (lod.int- 0.90, p-value- 0.40). The effects of each QTL were visualized by plotting the proportion affected as a function of genotype at the SNP markers nearest the chromosomal location with the highest LOD score (Figure 2). The effects at the chr 7 QTL are consistent with the DBA/1J allele conferring resistance to McSC differentiation with a low proportion of animals exhibiting the affected, high EPM phenotype in association with heterozygosity (AB) for the C57BL/6J and DBA/1J alleles (Figure 2a). In addition, females exhibit a noticeably larger effect than males, matching the above evidence suggesting that the chr 7 QTL is influenced by sex. The chr 13 QTL, on the other hand, exhibits an effect that suggests that this QTL may be involved in DBA/1J-mediated susceptibility to McSC differentiation. In this case, a high proportion of animals exhibit the affected,

high EPM phenotype in association with heterozygosity for the C57BL/6J and DBA/1J alleles (Figure 2b). Single-QTL analysis for the chr X was also performed and no loci were identified that met the 10% significance threshold (results not shown).

In order to look for additional QTL that may contribute to DBA/1Jmediated EPM resistance, a two-QTL genome scan approach was performed. Results from the two-QTL analyses focusing on pairs of autosomal QTL (with or without sex as an additive covariate) does not identify any pairs of that significantly improve the two-QTL model (lod. full or lod.add) above that of a single QTL (lod.fv1 or lod.av1) when using a 5% significance threshold (Table 3). However, when assessing for pairs of QTL between the autosomes and the X chromosome (with sex as an additive covariate), there is significant evidence for a pair on chr 7 and the X chromosome (Table 3). The full model (lod.full) containing QTL at chr 7 and the X chromosome provides a better fit to the data than both the best single-QTL model (lod.fv1) and the additive model (lod. add). Interaction between these QTL is also significant (lod.int) suggesting that these QTL are epistasic. When the chr 7 and the X chromosome genotypes are considered together (Figure 2c), the effect of the chr 7 QTL in females is the same; a low proportion of individuals exhibit the affected, high EPM phenotype when heterozygous for the chr 7 QTL, independent of genotype at the X chromosome QTL. In males, however, the effect of the chr 7 QTL is opposite depending on whether the X chromosome is C57BL/6J-derived (A allele) or DBA/1Jderived (B allele). Specifically, the effect of the chr 7 QTL switches from EPM resistance in combination with the X chromosome B allele to EPM susceptibility in males with a the X chromosome A allele. No pairs of QTL on the X chromosome approach the criteria for significance (results not shown).

In summary, single-QTL and two-QTL analysis of the genotype and phenotype data of N2 backcross animals identifies three QTL loci that contribute to the EPM phenotype. These QTL reside on chr 7, 13 and X. The chr 7 QTL is associated with resistance to EPMs, but this effect can be modified in males by an additional epistatic QTL located on the X chromosome. The interaction of these two QTL help explain the sexspecific difference observed in the N2 distribution (Figure 1a). The chr



Figure 2 Effect graphs of QTL on chr 7, 13 and the X chromosome. Proportion affected refers to the proportion of animals exhibiting the affected, high EPM binary phenotype. 'A' corresponds to the C57BL/6J allele and 'B' corresponds to the DBA/1J allele. The genotype is provided at the SNP marker nearest the linkage position with the highest LOD score. (A, B) Proportion of affected individuals as a function of genotype at QTL loci on chr 7 (A) or chr 13 (B). Males and females are represented in blue and red, respectively. (C) Proportion of affected individuals as a function of genotype considering two QTL loci simultaneously. The QTL at chr 7 is indicated by the red and blue lines and the X chromosome QTL is represented on the (x-axis). AA and AB represent the females and AY and BY represent the males. chr, chromosome.

13 QTL, on the other hand, has an effect opposite to that of the QTL at chr 7 and is associated with EPM susceptibility.

#### Identification of candidate genes that influence the EPM phenotype

As the first step to prioritizing candidate genes for the QTL identified above, we used 1.5-LOD support intervals (the chromosomal region where the LOD score is within 1.5 of its maximum) to determine the bounds of the QTL linkage region (Figure 3, Table 4). In order to consider the chr 7 and chr X QTL simultaneously we performed multiple-QTL analysis to fit these QTL into one model, refine the QTL locations, and used these LOD estimates to define the 1.5-LOD support intervals (Figure 3a). The chr 13 intervals were derived from the single-QTL analysis (Figure 3b). For these QTL, the 1.5-LOD support intervals were relatively large and encompassed a significant number of genes; the chr 7 QTL interval covered 22 Mbp with 444 genes, the chr 13 QTL covered 58 Mbp with 603 genes, and the X chromosome QTL interval covered 44 Mbps with 377 genes.

As an approach to stratify the genes represented in these intervals we characterized them using publicly-available gene expression data, mouse resequencing data, an online program for predicting the effects of coding variants on protein function, and a curated list of genes known to be involved in pigmentation. First, any genes that are differentially expressed in skin from DBA/1J and C57BL/6J animals highlights cell-specific or systemic changes that may impact McSC function. For instance, genes that are upregulated in DBA/1J animals may be dominant drivers of EPM resistance that promote McSC stemness over differentiation, while those that are downregulated in DBA/1J may highlight proteins responsible for heightened melanogenesis in C57BL/6J animals. Using NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/) we identified a study that compared the transcriptomes of skin derived from 11-week-old DBA/1J and C57BL/6J animals using RNAseq. This study evaluated strain-specific effects of Imiquimod on skin, and included expression data from control subjects (two males and two females for each strain) that were treated only with a non-toxic lanolin-derived occlusion cream (Swindell et al. 2017). Using DESeq2 to compare these DBA/1J and C57BL/6J control subjects we find a number of genes that exhibit a significant twofold difference in expression (p-adjusted < 0.05; Supplemental File 2).

Second, we were also interested to capture genes that are expressed at detectable levels in McSCs that are not up or downregulated in DBA/1J and C57BL/6J skins. We anticipate these genes represent candidates that may alter intrinsic McSC dynamics at the protein level, either through differential transcript expression or as the consequence of genetic variation in their coding sequence. Using

Table 3 Two-QTL ana	ysis identifies additional	QTL loci linked to EPM variabili	ty³
---------------------	----------------------------	----------------------------------	-----

		Autos	ome:autosome	QTL pairs with	out sex as an	additive covaria	ate		
Full model									
chr1	chr2	pos1f	pos2f	lod.full	р	lod.fv1	р	lod.int	р
7	13	28.255	21.790	6.085	0.090	2.527	1.000	0.063	1.000
8	9	29.681	38.027	4.995	0.496	3.103	0.943	0.102	1.000
9	11	42.027	39.553	5.814	0.150	3.445	0.780	1.014	1.000
Additive mod	del								
chr1	chr2	pos1a	pos2a	lod.add	р	lod.av1	р		
7	13	28.255	21.790	6.022	0.006	2.464	0.143		
8	9	29.681	56.027	4.893	0.076	3.001	0.028		
9	11	42.027	39.553	4.800	0.091	2.430	0.164		
Autosome:au	itosome QTL	pairs with sex a	is an additive c	ovariate					
Full model									
chr1	chr2	pos1f	pos2f	lod.full	р	lod.fv1	р	lod.int	р
3	7	68.219	28.255	5.373	0.337	2.527	1.000	0.042	1.000
3	8	68.219	43.681	5.639	0.233	3.257	0.919	0.757	1.000
7	13	28.255	21.790	5.834	0.167	2.753	0.999	0.001	1.000
Additive mod	del								
chr1	chr2	pos1a	pos2a	lod.add	р	lod.av1	р		
3	7	68.219	28.255	5.331	0.036	2.485	0.158		
3	8	68.219	53.681	4.882	0.096	2.501	0.154		
7	13	28.255	21.790	5.833	0.011	2.752	0.075		
Autosome:X	QTL pairs with	h sex as an ado	ditive covariate						
Full model									
chr1	chr2	pos1f	pos2f	lod.full	pval	lod.fv1	pval.1	lod.int	pval.2
7	Х	28.255	46.169	8.472	0.004	5.626	0.044	5.143	0.038
Additive mod	del								
chr1	chr2	pos1a	pos2a	lod.add	pval	lod.av1	pval.1		
7	Х	28.255	64.169	3.329	0.414	0.483	0.992		

<sup>3</sup>Results from the two-QTL analysis. The LOD scores and associated p values (p) are as follows: lod.full- max LOD score for the full model for the chromosome pair; lod.fv1- difference between the full LOD and the max single-QTL LOD for the chromosome pair; lod.av1- difference between the additive LOD and the max single-QTL LOD for the chromosome pair; lod.av1- difference between the additive LOD and the max single-QTL LOD for the chromosome pair; lod.int- difference between the additive LOD and the max single-QTL LOD for the chromosome pair; lod.int- difference between the max full LOD and the max additive LOD for the chromosome pair (taken from r/qtl package documentation; see Broman *et al.*, 2003 for additional details). Cells shaded in gray highlight models described in the Results. chr, chromosome; pos, centimorgan position.

a previously published RNAseq dataset (Harris *et al.* 2018), we generated a list of expression values from C57BL/6J wildtype McSCs isolated from dormant (telogen-stage) hairs. These gene expression values represent the read counts per gene after normalization using the median ratio method (DESeq2). Genes that were not expressed (value = 0) were excluded and the remaining genes ranked by percent (Supplemental File 3).

Third, we evaluated the genes within the linkage intervals for those that exhibit genetic variation between C57BL/6J and DBA/1J as well as those that are known to participate in pigmentation. Mouse resequencing and associated variant call data were used to identify genes that contain coding variants between C57BL/6J and DBA/1J (Mouse Genomes Project, Sanger; GRCm38)(Yalcin *et al.* 2011; Keane *et al.* 2011). These include missense, insertion and deletion mutations that are predicted to have deleterious protein consequences by PROVEAN (Choi and Chan 2015) as well as nonsense and frameshift mutations (Supplemental File 4). Genes known to be involved in pigmentation were derived from a comprehensive and curated gene list (Baxter *et al.* 2018)

Using the four criteria described above, we developed a short list of potential candidate genes. This short list includes any genes that exhibit a statistically-significant, twofold difference in gene expression between DBA/1J and C57BL/6J skins, any genes with a percent rank of expression greater than 50% in C57BL/6J McSCs that also contain a deleterious coding mutation, and any known pigmentation genes. While this approach may selectively filter out some candidate genes that are expressed nonautonomous to the McSC and those genes that are under the influence of differential transcript regulation or post-translational modifications, this abridged list provides a reasonable starting point for future follow-up (Table 5).

#### DISCUSSION

Modifier genes are recognized for their contribution to phenotypic variation observed in disease and it's been suggested that their identification may help predict "sensitive pathways and nodes for therapeutic intervention (Hamilton and Yu 2012)." With this in mind, we were interested in identifying naturally-occurring genetic variants in mouse that could contribute to variability in the phenotype of hair graying. Hair graying is the consequence of disrupting the regenerative activity of McSCs within the hair follicle or the function of their progeny. We developed a sensitized screen using mouse inbred lines as an unbiased approach to identify novel genes that participate in these mechanisms. Using the Tg(DctSox10) transgene as a condition to predispose mice to



**Figure 3** QTL linkage intervals. (A) LOD profiles at the chr 7 and X chromosome QTL after multiple-QTL analysis to fit the two QTL into one model and refine the QTL locations. (B) LOD profile at the chr 13 QTL after single-QTL analysis. 1.5-LOD linkage intervals are marked by the vertical dotted red lines. The chromosomal position (in cM and bp) with the highest LOD score is indicated for each chromosome. chr, chromosome.

hair graying via the mechanism of McSC differentiation, we found that the DBA/1J genetic background provides resistance to this cellular phenotype (Harris *et al.* 2015). In search of modifier genes that could mitigate McSC differentiation we performed QTL analysis on progeny derived from backcrossing (C57BL/6J x DBA/1J)F1-Tg(DctSox10)/ 0 animals to C57BL/6J. In brief, we identified three linkage regions across three chromosomes: chr 7, 13 and the X chromosome.

While we were particularly interested in identifying QTL that could explain reduced McSC differentiation (the low EPM phenotype) associated with heterozygosity for DBA/1J, the chr 7 and 13 QTL have opposing effects consistent with promoting EPM resistance and EPM susceptibility, respectively (Figure 2a, b). Two-QTL analysis also revealed a novel, epistatic interaction between the QTL on chr 7 and the X chromosome. The effect of the X chromosome QTL is only observed in males and functions to toggle the effects of the chr 7 QTL from one of EPM resistance to EPM susceptibly when the allele at the X chromosome QTL is C57BL/6J-derived (Figure 2c). This unique interaction helps to explain the reduced effects of the DBA/1J allele at the chr 7 QTL in males (Figure 2a), as well as sex-specific skewing of the EPM phenotype in the N2 population as a whole (Figure 1).

Likely due to the relatively small number of N2 progeny evaluated for linkage, the 1.5-LOD intervals for these QTL were large and encompassed a number of genes. These were selectively filtered to include only those with differential gene expression in whole skin between C57BL/6J and DBA/1J, those with evidence to support expression within McSCs but with altered protein function, and genes associated with pigmentation phenotypes (Table 5). In considering the function of these candidate genes, we anticipated that all three of the QTL could influence McSC behavior at any number of timepoints, and include both autonomous and non-autonomous mechanisms. In general, McSCs reside in a specialized niche within the hair follicle and are activated in coordination with hair follicle stem cells. At each new hair cycle McSCs proliferate and produce progeny that will colonize the hair bulb. These progeny cells will differentiate into melanocytes and initiate the process of melanogenesis, which includes the synthesis of melanin within melanosome and the trafficking of these melanosomes for deposition into keratinocytes of the growing hair shaft (Osawa 2009). EPMs, like those in Tg(DctSox10) mice, are generated when McSCs within the stem cell niche do not self-renew properly and instead differentiate prematurely (Nishimura et al. 2005; Harris et al. 2013). Thus, resistance or susceptibility to EPMs could be the result of mitigating or exacerbating this process as early as when McSCs are deciding their fate or as late as the final steps of pigment production. In addition, because the initial EPM phenotype is driven by the Tg(DctSox10) transgene as a consequence of Sox10 overexpression, these QTL could also act as regulators of SOX10.

As one example, there are a number of genes within the linkage intervals for chr 7, 13 and the X chromosome that have known roles in cellular mechanisms associated with the function of the melanosome organelle. These include *Oca2*, *Trpm1*, *Bloc1s5*, *Dtnbp1*, *Kif13a*, and *Atp7a*. Since our phenotypic assessment of EPMs is dependent on the production of visual pigmentation, variability in the EPM phenotype may reflect changes in melanosome biogenesis or maturation. In particular, *Trpm1* encodes a protein called transient receptor potential cation channel, subfamily M, member 1 (also known as melastatin) and is highly expressed in C57BL/6J McSCs (Table 5). TRPM1 localizes to non-melanosomal vesicles and its activity is associated with increased intracellular melanin content (Oancea *et al.* 2009). Analysis of mouse resequencing data demonstrates that the *Trpm1* gene contains DBA/1J-related missense and frameshift coding mutations that

chr	Marker (nearest SNP)	pos (cM)	pos (bp)	lod
7	c7.loc22 (CEL-7_36725559)	22.255	49625007	6.59
7	c7.loc29 (rs6160140)	28.255	66060155	8.57
7	c7.loc33 (rs3676254)	33.255	71221998	6.98
13	rs3707097	10.799	34176778	2.05
13	c13.loc20 (rs6411274)	21.790	48544161	3.56
13	rs6316213	41.589	92107548	1.90
Х	cX.loc35 (gnfX.076.619)	39.169	96113472	4.28
Х	cX.loc43 (rs13483929)	46.169	106916191	5.78
Х	cX.loc51 (rs3697198)	56.169	139862370	4.17

Table 4 1.5-LOD support intervals for the QTL identified by single-QTL and two-QTL analysis<sup>4</sup>

<sup>4</sup>Coordinates of 1.5 LOD intervals by chromosome (chr) provided in centimorgan position (pos (cM)) and GRCm38 chromosomal coordinate (pos (bp)) with their associated LOD score (lod).

	PIGMENT GENE	yes	yes				Selv	2026		yes			yes		yes	yes	yes	yes	ves							yes	yes												yes	yes	yes	Ň			yes	(continued)
	DELETERIOUS MUTATION (PROVEAN)			missense			missense	frameshift			missense	insertion									missense		missense						deletion	missense	missense	missense	frameshift	missense		missense,	frameshift	missense					nonsense	missense		
	B6 McSC %RANK	NA	57.1	74.9	62.2 5 4	4.2C	97 F		68.5	97.2	92.6	96.9	69.7	43.3	87.4	65.6	78.2	96.3	71.8	56.3	90.5	94.2	17.8	57.6	75.8	81	93.3	74.7	59.2	60.2	58.1	60.7	51	70.8	70.2	56.4		65.8	84.7	93.3	60.9	60.4	82.7	83.8	89.9	
	B6 McSC BASE MEAN	NA	86.28	921.66	201.43	27.24 1.1.26	911073		478.52	8348.55	4258.52	7788.35	552.71	11.42	2590.07	331.90	1226.71	6899.94	695.89	76.41	3470.11	5089.12	1.02	92.77	1001.33	1547.58	4577.16	911.45	120.96	144.65	100.52	158.68	32.06	620.83	581.19	78.06		338.59	2065.30	4604.11	162.99	149.82	1774.22	1923.19	3271.05	
	DBA/B6 PADJ	AN	NA	0.3831	0.0485	0.00427	0.2737	0.12.0	0.0118	0.2594	0.6855	0.8773	0.8225	0.0260	0.6246	0.4820	0.9163	0.4269	0.2038	0.0000	0.7791	0.0358	0.0106	0.0054	0.0320	0.0000	0.7222	0.0003	0.3316	0.0920	0.3666	0.7008	0.9526	0.8147	0.0001	0.0732		0.1708	0.1872	0.9659	0.7629	0.0020	0.5492	0.4661	0.6329	
	DBA/B6 LOG2FC	0.85	-2.02	-0.34	1.20	1.17	0 1 7 9		1.48	0.32	-0.14	-0.06	-0.08	-1.40	0.18	0.39	0.04	-0.23	0.40	-2.55	0.11	-1.08	-2.74	1.23	-1.39	-1.10	0.22	1.06	0.46	-0.57	09.0	0.20	-0.04	-0.11	1.14	-1.22		0.37	-0.46	0.02	0.35	1.20	-0.17	0.31	-0.20	
	DBA/B6 BASE MEAN	0.41	0.76	355.93	48./9 22 EO	31 31	31.66		74.38	3137.77	4872.29	1615.04	498.19	20.68	2043.05	315.69	1142.13	2042.58	553.23	70.35	971.76	231.28	9.93	221.79	53.74	276.86	425.90	123.77	144.19	84.64	39.51	128.05	103.41	368.20	616.94	38.04		1121.55	882.46	1889.44	18.14	5719.60	697.60	766.25	3516.98	
13 and X $^5$	GENE SYMBOL	Slc17a6	Oca2	Atp10a	Snhg14		Trom1	<u> </u>	Apba2	Tjp1	Synm	lgf1r	Bloc1s5	Ofcc1	Tfap2a	Edn1	Dtnbp1	Kif13a	lapk	Unc5a	Uimc1	Fafr4	Gm10782	Slc25a48	Gm16907	Fancc	Ptch1	Zfp369	Zfp759	Rsl1	Zfp458	Zfp429	Zfp459	Zfp874a	Zfp729a	Zfp493		Srd5a1	lrx1	lrx2	Tert	Glrx	Rhobtb3	Ttc37	Mef2c	
QTL on chr 7,	TX START	51622005	56239759	58658245	59307923	62401070 6344750	64153834		64501705	65296164	67730159	67952826	38602708	40001881	40715674	42301269	44922078	46749086	49421310	54949410	55027879	55152639	56362900	56438354	63289600	63304708	63508327	65278813	67128227	67173206	67254917	67389308	67405721	67424548	67617000	67779753		69573448	71957920	72628819	73627000	75839867	75869536	76098733	83504033	
5 Candidate genes for the	ENS GENE ID	ENSMUSG0000030500	ENSMUSG00000030450	ENSMUSG00000025324					ENSMUSG0000030519	ENSMUSG0000030516	ENSMUSG0000030554	ENSMUSG0000005533	ENSMUSG0000038982	ENSMUSG0000047094	ENSMUSG0000021359	ENSMUSG0000021367	ENSMUSG0000057531	ENSMUSG0000021375	ENSMUSG0000021385	ENSMUSG0000025876	ENSMUSG0000025878	ENSMUSG0000005320	ENSMUSG0000074885	ENSMUSG0000021509	ENSMUSG0000007242	ENSMUSG0000021461	ENSMUSG0000021466	ENSMUSG0000021514	ENSMUSG0000057396	ENSMUSG0000058900	ENSMUSG0000055480	ENSMUSG0000078994	ENSMUSG0000055560	ENSMUSG0000069206	ENSMUSG0000021510	ENSMUSG0000090659		ENSMUSG0000021594	ENSMUSG0000060969	ENSMUSG0000001504	ENSMUSG0000021611	ENSMUSG0000021591	ENSMUSG0000021589	ENSMUSG0000033991	ENSMUSG0000005583	
📕 Table 🗄	CHR	2	7		- r				7	7	7	7	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13		13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	

se values in bold	/6J and DBA/1J whole skin. Th RNAseq data from C57BL/6J N	omparing C57BL er to the ranked	om RNAseq data co McSC columns refe	al expression fr ection. The B6	er to differentia on in either dire	DBA/B6 columns ref change in expressi	iromosome. The E .05 and a twofold	13, and the X c <sup>+</sup> ed p-value of <0	te gene list for the QTL on chr 7, t genes that have both an adiust.	5 Candida1 represen
	missense	61.1	168.31	0.9784	0.04	32.08	Mum111	139210041	ENSMUSG0000042515	×
	missense	23.9	1.69	0.0015	-2.30	18.58	Tmsb15b1	136974021	ENSMUSG0000089768	×
	frameshift	67.3	419.10	0.4724	-0.60	23.56	BC065397	136742975	ENSMUSG0000087368	×
		60.5	152.16	0.0050	-1.19	45.61	Tceal3	136666374	ENSMUSG0000044550	×
		9.9	0.35	0.0001	2.20	29.28	Kir3dl2	136448106	ENSMUSG0000057439	×
		AN	NA	0.0000	-1.71	172.12	Tceal7	136224040	ENSMUSG0000079428	×
		41	8.77	0.0201	-1.04	66.39	Zcchc5	106837081	ENSMUSG00000047686	×
		43.1	11.25	0.0040	1.39	265.61	TIr13	106143228	ENSMUSG0000033777	×
yes		86	2306.47	0.0044	0.61	1791.99	Atp7a	106027275	ENSMUSG0000033792	×
yes		82.9	1795.94	0.6104	-0.21	586.42	Slc16a2	103697413	ENSMUSG0000033965	×
yes		69.6	544.11	0.0982	-2.07	7.43	Cited1	102247380	ENSMUSG0000051159	×
yes		97.9	10169.50	0.7437	0.12	1154.22	Med12	101274029	ENSMUSG0000079487	×
yes		71.2	650.87	0.6797	-0.20	255.81	Eda	99975605	ENSMUSG0000059327	×
yes		84.2	1988.49	0.8114	-0.10	583.13	Ophn1	98554276	ENSMUSG0000031214	×
GENE	<b>MUTATION (PROVEAN)</b>	%RANK	<b>BASE MEAN</b>	PADJ	LOG2FC	<b>BASE MEAN</b>	SYMBOL	TX START	ENS GENE ID	CHR
PIGMENT	DELETERIOUS	B6 McSC	B6 McSC	DBA/B6	DBA/B6	DBA/B6	GENE			

are predicted to alter protein function (Table 5). Thus it follows that the low EPM phenotype associated with the DBA/1J allele at the chr 7 QTL could be a consequence of malfunctional TRPM1 protein, and a subsequent reduction in pigment production in Tg(DctSox10) McSCs. Interestingly, *Trpm1* knockout in mouse produces no apparent congenital pigmentation defect on its own (Koike *et al.* 2010) but is consistent with our hypothesis that, as a modifier gene, it may only act to modify previously existing coat color phenotypes in mouse.

As a second example, quite a few of the candidates identified are involved in transcriptional regulation and could play a role in shaping McSC self-renewal or differentiation. These include *Irx1*, *Irx2*, *Mef2c*, *Rsl1*, *Tfap2a*, *Zfp369*, *Cited1* and *Med12*. *Mef2c* and *Tfap2a* are contained within the chr 13 QTL interval, are expressed at relatively high levels in C57BL/6J McSCs (Table 5), and both have known function in promoting melanocyte differentiation (Agarwal *et al.* 2011; Seberg *et al.* 2017). The DBA/1J allele at the chr 13 QTL is associated with EPM susceptibility making *Mef2c* and *Tfap2a* plausible candidates for enhancing premature differentiation of Tg(DctSox10) McSCs.

As this study highlights, one benefit to using the natural variation of inbred mouse lines to evaluate QTL is that many of the lines have now been sequenced (Keane et al. 2011). In addition, the structural variation between these lines and the reference genome is known and searchable (Yalcin et al. 2011). While additional fine mapping or the use of chromosome substitution mouse lines are helpful to shrink large linkage intervals, genomic tools, like computational prediction of protein function and whole genome gene expression data are now more readily available and can be applied to the identification of promising candidates. This is particularly helpful when limited resources prohibit the generation of additional crosses to refine the linkage region. With this approach we identified a list of gene candidates that may contribute to DBA/1J-specific changes in McSC differentiation and each will have to be tested individually for causality. DBA/1J and DBA/2J share sufficient homology that BAC transgenesis using available DBA/2J BAC clones (MM\_DBa) may be a good way to begin confirming these candidates. For those genes that contain missense mutations of varying predicted consequence, assessing each for its effects on protein secondary structure in silico (e.g., using the RCSB Protein Data Bank), and its effects on stability and subcellular localization in vitro can help in the selection of those that are more likely to be detrimental to cell function in vivo. In addition, EPM phenotyping has been performed on other genetic backgrounds (Harris et al. 2015), and any variants that are common between strains that exhibit the low EPM phenotype and distinct between the high EPM strains could provide additional support for specific candidates. Finally, with the versatility of CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genome editing, creating mice with specific candidate gene defects will help to finalize the identification of genes involved in the variation of McSC differentiation, a cellular pathology associated with hair graying.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Arturo Incao for indispensable help with mouse colony maintenance and the remaining members of the Pavan Lab for their general support. In addition, we recognize Marypat Jones and Ursula Harper in the NHGRI Genomics Core for assistance with SNP genotyping.

#### LITERATURE CITED

dataset.

Adhikari, K., T. Fontanil, S. Cal, J. Mendoza-Revilla, M. Fuentes-Guajardo et al., 2016 A genome-wide association scan in admixed Latin Americans identifies loci influencing facial and scalp hair features. Nat. Commun. 7: 10815. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms10815

**Table 5,** continued

Agarwal, P., M. P. Verzi, T. Nguyen, J. Hu, M. L. Ehlers *et al.*, 2011 The MADS box transcription factor MEF2C regulates melanocyte development and is a direct transcriptional target and partner of SOX10. Development 138: 2555–2565. https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.056804

Baxter, L. L., D. E. Watkins-Chow, W. J. Pavan, and S. K. Loftus, 2018 A curated gene list for expanding the horizons of pigmentation biology. Pigment Cell Melanoma Res. (epub ahead of print) https://doi.org/ 10.1111/pcmr.12743

Broman, K. W., H. Wu, S. Sen, and G. A. Churchill, 2003 R/qtl: QTL mapping in experimental crosses. Bioinformatics 19: 889–890. https://doi. org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btg112

Choi, Y., and A. P. Chan, 2015 PROVEAN web server: a tool to predict the functional effect of amino acid substitutions and indels. Bioinformatics 31: 2745–2747. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btv195

Dempster, A. P., N. M. Laird, and D. B. Rubin, 1976 Maximum Likelihood from Incomplete Data via the EM Algorithm.

Hakami, R. M., L. Hou, L. L. Baxter, S. K. Loftus, E. M. Southard-Smith *et al.*,
2006 Genetic evidence does not support direct regulation of EDNRB by
SOX10 in migratory neural crest and the melanocyte lineage. Mech. Dev.
123: 124–134. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mod.2005.11.004

Hamilton, B. A., and B. D. Yu, 2012 Modifier genes and the plasticity of genetic networks in mice. PLoS Genet. 8: e1002644. https://doi.org/ 10.1371/journal.pgen.1002644

Harris, M. L., K. Buac, O. Shakhova, R. M. Hakami, M. Wegner *et al.*, 2013 A dual role for SOX10 in the maintenance of the postnatal melanocyte lineage and the differentiation of melanocyte stem cell progenitors. PLoS Genet. 9: e1003644. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pgen.1003644

Harris, M. L., T. D. Fufa, J. W. Palmer, S. S. Joshi, D. M. Larson *et al.*,
2018 A direct link between MITF, innate immunity, and hair graying.
PLoS Biol. 16: e2003648. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.2003648

Harris, M. L., D. J. Levy, D. E. Watkins-Chow, and W. J. Pavan, 2015 Ectopic differentiation of melanocyte stem cells is influenced by genetic background. Pigment Cell Melanoma Res. 28: 223–228. https:// doi.org/10.1111/pcmr.12344

Jackson, I. J., 1997 Homologous Pigmentation Mutations in Human, Mouse and Other Model Organisms. Hum. Mol. Genet. 6: 1613–1624. https:// doi.org/10.1093/hmg/6.10.1613

Jackson, I. J., 1994 Molecular and Developmental Genetics of Mouse Coat Color. Annu. Rev. Genet. 28: 189–217. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev. ge.28.120194.001201 Keane, T. M., L. Goodstadt, P. Danecek, M. A. White, K. Wong *et al.*, 2011 Mouse genomic variation and its effect on phenotypes and gene regulation. Nature 477: 289–294. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10413

Koike, C., T. Obara, Y. Uriu, T. Numata, R. Sanuki et al., 2010 TRPM1 is a component of the retinal ON bipolar cell transduction channel in the mGluR6 cascade. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 107: 332–337. https://doi. org/10.1073/pnas.0912730107

Lander, E. S., and D. Botstein, 1989 Mapping mendelian factors underlying quantitative traits using RFLP linkage maps. Genetics 121: 185–199.

Nishimura, E. K., S. R. Granter, and D. E. Fisher, 2005 Mechanisms of Hair Graying: Incomplete Melanocyte Stem Cell Maintenance in the Niche. Science 307: 720–724. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1099593

Oancea, E., J. Vriens, S. Brauchi, J. Jun, I. Splawski *et al.*, 2009 TRPM1 forms ion channels associated with melanin content in melanocytes. Sci. Signal. 2: ra21. https://doi.org/10.1126/scisignal.2000146

Osawa, 2009 Melanocyte stem cells. StemBook.

Panhard, S., I. Lozano, and G. Loussouarn, 2012 Greying of the human hair: a worldwide survey, revisiting the '50' rule of thumb. Br. J. Dermatol. 167: 865–873. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2133.2012.11095.x

Seberg, H. E., E. V. Otterloo, S. K. Loftus, H. Liu, G. Bonde *et al.*, 2017 TFAP2 paralogs regulate melanocyte differentiation in parallel with MITF. PLoS Genet. 13: e1006636. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pgen.1006636

Sen, S., and G. A. Churchill, 2001 A statistical framework for quantitative trait mapping. Genetics 159: 371–387.

Steingrímsson, E., N. G. Copeland, and N. A. Jenkins, 2006 Mouse coat color mutations: from fancy mice to functional genomics. Dev. Dyn. Off. Publ. Am. Assoc. Anat. 235: 2401–2411.

Swindell, W. R., K. A. Michaels, A. J. Sutter, D. Diaconu, Y. Fritz et al., 2017 Imiquimod has strain-dependent effects in mice and does not uniquely model human psoriasis. Genome Med. 9: 24. https://doi.org/ 10.1186/s13073-017-0415-3

Yalcin, B., K. Wong, A. Agam, M. Goodson, T. M. Keane *et al.*, 2011 Sequence-based characterization of structural variation in the mouse genome. Nature 477: 326–329. https://doi.org/10.1038/ nature10432

Zeng, Z. B., C. H. Kao, and C. J. Basten, 1999 Estimating the genetic architecture of quantitative traits. Genet. Res. 74: 279–289. https://doi. org/10.1017/S0016672399004255

Communicating editor: F. Pardo-Manuel de Villena