SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

Received: 02 September 2016 Accepted: 01 February 2017 Published: 07 March 2017

OPEN Superconducting gap structure of **FeSe**

Lin Jiao^{1,*}, Chien-Lung Huang^{1,*,+}, Sahana Rößler¹, Cevriye Koz¹, Ulrich K. Rößler², Ulrich Schwarz¹ & Steffen Wirth¹

The microscopic mechanism governing the zero-resistance flow of current in some iron-based, hightemperature superconducting materials is not well understood up to now. A central issue concerning the investigation of these materials is their superconducting gap symmetry and structure. Here we present a combined study of low-temperature specific heat and scanning tunnelling microscopy measurements on single crystalline FeSe. The results reveal the existence of at least two superconducting gaps which can be represented by a phenomenological two-band model. The analysis of the specific heat suggests significant anisotropy in the gap magnitude with deep gap minima. The tunneling spectra display an overall "U"-shaped gap close to the Fermi level away as well as on top of twin boundaries. These results are compatible with the anisotropic nodeless models describing superconductivity in FeSe.

Soon after the discovery of the Fe-based superconductors (Fe-SC) great effort has been devoted to unveil their electron paring mechanism. Even after nearly a decade of intensive research, the symmetry of the superconducting order parameter is still under dispute^{1,2}, nonetheless most theories favor an unconventional s^{\pm} symmetry with a sign change of the order parameter between the hole and the electron Fermi sheets³⁻⁶.

Among the members of the family of Fe-SC, the binary compound FeSe has attracted considerable attention recently. This is mostly because the crystal structure of FeSe is regarded as representative of the entire family of Fe-SC. Further, the superconducting transition temperature $T_{c} \approx 8 \text{ K}^{7}$ in bulk FeSe can be enhanced up to 37 K by application of pressure⁸⁻¹¹ and even to 50-100 K by growing it as a monolayer on a SrTiO₃ substrate¹²⁻¹⁵. Another unique feature of FeSe is that it undergoes a structural phase transition from a tetragonal to an orthorhombic phase at $T_s \approx 87 \,\mathrm{K}^{16}$, which is not accompanied or followed by a long-range magnetic order. At T_s , the C_4 -rotational symmetry of the underlying electronic system is also spontaneously broken. The resulting electronic state with a C₂ symmetry is referred to as a nematic phase. It is argued that the symmetry of the superconducting order-parameter should give insight into the collective degree of freedom that governs both superconductivity as well as nematicity in the Fe-SC17.

However, the situation on the experimental front is far from being resolved. Even in the case of single crystalline FeSe with relatively simple crystal structure, different experiments indicated different superconducting gap structures. While most experiments detected two superconducting gaps¹⁸⁻²⁵, no consensus has been reached concerning the magnitude of the superconducting gap as well as on the presence or absence of nodes within the structure. The residual linear component of the thermal conductivity κ_0/T in the $T \rightarrow 0$ limit, which is particularly sensitive to nodal quasiparticles, revealed contradicting results^{24,25}. Further, surface sensitive scanning tunnelling spectroscopic (STS) measurements, performed on single crystalline²⁴ and thin film samples²⁶, detected "V"-shaped spectra in the superconducting state indicating the presence of nodes. However, STS conducted on the twin boundaries displayed a full gap²⁷, suggesting nodeless superconductivity at the twin boundaries. In order to resolve this issue, it is necessary to perform both bulk and surface sensitive experiments on FeSe. Owing to the marked dependence of the superconducting properties even for FeSe samples grown by the same method^{24,25}, concerted investigations on identical single crystals are required to establish one of its most fundamental properties, viz., the symmetry of the superconducting order parameter.

Here we report on specific heat C(T) combined with low-temperature ($T \ge 0.35$ K) scanning tunnelling microscopy (STM) measurements on a stoichiometric FeSe single crystal to establish its superconducting order parameter. As shown below, such a combination of techniques, bulk sensitive C(T) and surface sensitive STM, allows us to unequivocally resolve the superconducting gap structure of FeSe to be nodeless.

¹Max Planck Institute for Chemical Physics of Solids, Nöthnitzer Straße 40, 01187 Dresden, Germany. ²IFW Dresden, Postfach 270016, 01171 Dresden, Germany. * Present address: Department of Physics and Astronomy, Rice University, Houston Texas 77005, US. *These authors contributed equally to this work. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to S.R. (email: roessler@cpfs.mpq.de) or S.W. (email: wirth@cpfs.mpq.de)



Figure 1. Resistivity and magnetization. (a) Resistivity $\rho(T)$ of FeSe single crystal presented in inset of Fig. 2. The temperature of the structural transition is marked by T_s . (b) The same $\rho(T)$ data in (a) zoomed in for T < 40 K showing the superconducting transition. (c) Magnetization measured in a magnetic field of 20 Oe both in zero-field-cooled (ZFC) and field-cooled (FC) protocols.



Figure 2. Specific heat. Specific heat divided by temperature, C/T vs T^2 , measured at magnetic fields of zero and 9 T. The solid line represents the normal-state specific heat C_n . Upper-left inset: a photograph of tetragonal FeSe single crystal used for specific-heat measurements. Lower-right inset: zero-field C/T vs T in an enlarged scale around T_c . Lines show how T_c and $\Delta C/T_c$ were determined.

Experimental Results

For a general characterization of our single crystal, we measured the temperature dependence of resistivity $\rho(T)$ and magnetization M(T), see Fig. 1. These measurements were carried out on the same single crystal which is shown in the inset of Fig. 2. In Fig. 1(a), $\rho(T)$ measurement along the $[100]_T$ direction of the tetragonal structure is presented. The resistivities are $\rho_{300K} = 0.51 \text{ m}\Omega$ cm at 300 K and $\rho_{15K} = 0.031 \text{ m}\Omega$ cm at 15 K. These values give a residual resistivity ratio (RRR) $\rho_{300K}/\rho_{15K} = 16.4$. The RRR of our crystal is very similar to the FeSe crystal (sample B) investigated by Bourgeois-Hope *et al.* in ref. 25. A kink at $T_s = 87 \text{ K}$ marks the structural transition temperature. In the inset, Fig. 1(b), the onset of superconducting transition can be seen at $T_c^{\text{onset}} = 10.2 \text{ K}$. The sample achieves zero resistivity at $T_c = 8.5 \text{ K}$, which is the superconducting transition temperature of the bulk. In the inset Fig. 1(c), M(T) measured in a field of 20 Oe, both in the zero-field-cooled (ZFC) and field-cooled protocol (FC) are shown. The sample displays a full diamagnetic shielding in the superconducting state with $4\pi\chi = -1$.

The temperature (*T*) and magnetic field (*B*) dependence of the specific heat C(T, B) was measured on the single crystal imaged in the inset of Fig. 2. The zero-field C/T vs T^2 plot between 0.35 and 10 K presented in Fig. 2 displays two anomalies, a λ -like transition at $T_c = 8.4(1)$ K and a broad shoulder below 2 K, better seen in Fig. 3. This is a typical behaviour of a two-gap superconductor such as MgB₂²⁸, suggesting the presence of at least two superconducting gaps in FeSe. The T_c was determined via local entropy conservation, i.e., the vertical line in the inset of Fig. 2 segments equal areas in a C/T vs T plot. We describe the normal-state specific heat C_n below 10 K by $C_n(T) = \gamma_n T + C_{lat}(T)$, where $\gamma_n T$ is the normal electronic contribution and $C_{lat}(T) = \beta_3 T^3 + \beta_5 T^5$ represents the phonon contribution. The fit to C/T is shown as a solid line in Fig. 2, which yields $\gamma_n = 6.5$ mJ/mol K², $\beta_3 = 0.365$ mJ/mol K⁴, and $\beta_5 = 1.94 \times 10^{-4}$ mJ/mol K⁶. The Debye temperature θ_D calculated from β_3 is 242 K. These parameters are comparable to those reported earlier^{22,29-31}. The normalized specific-heat jump at T_c , $\Delta C/\gamma_n T_c$, is estimated to be 1.55, which is slightly larger than the weak-coupling value 1.43 of



Figure 3. Electronic part of the specific heat. Zero-field electronic specific heat (with the normal-state specific heat being subtracted) divided by temperature. The solid line represents a fit by a smaller *s*-wave plus a larger extended *s*-wave models of the form $\delta C = x\delta C_s + (1 - x)\delta C_{es}$ with x = 0.32. The inset shows the entropy conservation required for a second-order phase transition.

Bardeen-Cooper-Schrieffer (BCS) theory³². The excess electronic specific heat contribution in the superconducting state given by $\delta C(T) = C(T, B=0) - C_n(T)$ is plotted in Fig. 3. The inset illustrates the satisfaction of entropy conservation $\Delta S = \int_0^{T_c} (\delta C/T) dT$ justifying the validity of the parameters used to fit $C_n(T)$. In the $\delta C/T$ plot, the shoulder below 2 K arising due to the second superconducting gap is clearly visible. To our knowledge, such a shoulder feature has been only reported for pure, polycrystalline samples²⁹ with compositions Fe_{1.01}Se and Fe_{1.02}Se. In order to further examine the superconducting order parameter, the data in Fig. 3 were fitted to the one-band BCS equation³² given by

$$\delta C = 2N(0)\beta k_B \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \int_0^{\hbar\omega_D} \left| -\frac{\partial f}{\partial E} \left[E^2 + \frac{1}{2}\beta \frac{d\Delta^2(T,\theta)}{d\beta} \right] \right| d\varepsilon - \gamma_n T, \tag{1}$$

where N(0) is the density of states at the Fermi surface, $\beta = 1/k_BT$, $E = [\varepsilon^2 + \Delta^2(T, \theta)]^{1/2}$, $f = (1 + e^{\beta E})^{-1}$, and $\Delta(T, \theta) = \Delta_{es}^0(T)(1 + \alpha \cos 4\theta)$ an extended s-wave where α and θ represent the gap anisotropy and polar angle, respectively^{33,34}. Note that in an angle-integrated measurement, the functional forms containing $\cos 4\theta$ and $\cos 2\theta$ result in the same parameters and hence, it is not possible to distinguish between an extended s-wave and a *d*-wave symmetry. We found that a single anisotropic *s*-wave model, either with or without accidental nodes, does not fit to the $\delta C/T$ data (see Supplementary information, Figs S1–S3). Since δC indicated a signature of two superconducting gaps, we also tried a phenomenological two-gap model³⁵⁻³⁷ by taking a sum of either two s-wave-gaps (see Supplementary information, Fig. S4) or an s-wave + an extended s-wave gaps (s + es), Fig. 3 (see also Supplementary information, Fig. S5), to describe the data. In the fitting, more weight was given to the low-temperature data, i.e., the data below T = 5 K. The reason being, close to T_c the thermal fluctuations become stronger, and may result in increasing deviation of the data from the applied models which are based on a mean-field framework. We found that both models lead to satisfactory fits. Hence, the exact superconducting gap structure of FeSe cannot be unambiguously determined from analyzing the specific-heat data alone. However, as discussed later, with the help of fitting several models also to the tunnelling spectra (see Supplementary information, Figs S8–S11), we could select (s + es) model as a proper model to describe the C(T)-data, with the larger gap assigned to the extended s-wave. The goodness of fit for each model tried here is presented in the Supplementary information Table S1. The gap values in the $T \rightarrow 0$ limit estimated from the (*s* + *es*) model fitting are: a small s-wave gap of $\Delta_s(0) = 0.25(3)$ meV and a large anisotropic extended s-wave gap of $\Delta_{e_s}^0(0) = 1.67(3)$ meV with $\alpha = 0.34$. The value of $\alpha < 1$ obtained here clearly rules out the presence of accidental nodes³⁸. Since the obtained isotropic gap value $\Delta_s(0)$ is very small, a possible anisotropy of this gap would be beyond the resolution of our experiments. Further, a recent heat capacity study of FeSe single crystals by Wang et $al.^{31}$ reports a small residual value of the electronic specific heat originating from low-energy quasiparticle excitations indicating either line nodes or deep gap minima. However, their experiment did not show the shoulder in C(T) which we observe below 2 K and interpret as the mark of a second, smaller superconducting gap. In our case, this shoulder limits the analysis of the functional form of $\delta C(T)$ as $T \rightarrow 0$. Therefore, if we consider the data only below 1 K, the presence of accidental nodes can not be ruled out based on the specific heat analysis.

In order to determine the superconducting gap structure of FeSe microscopically, we performed STM measurements at 0.35 K. The topographic images, *e.g.* Fig. 4(a), revealed atomically resolved clean Se-terminated surfaces indicating good sample quality, very similar to our previous report³⁹. The Se-Se distance $a_{Se-Se} = 3.7(1)$ Å observed here is in line with the distance of 3.7702(5) Å found by x-ray diffraction on our crystals³⁰. A few protrusions (see Fig. 4(b) for line scans across the impurities) on the top of the surface likely correspond to Se-Se bound atoms left over from the top-most counter layer while cleaving, or to an impurity atom occupying the Fe-site underneath the topmost Se-layer. Alternatively, recent density functional theory (DFT) based calculations





suggested that Fe-site vacancies can perturb orbitals on neighbouring Se-sites, thereby producing atomic dumbbells⁴⁰. All these defects act as impurities and induce additional dumbbell-like unidirectional depressions in the topography, known as "electronic dimers"⁴¹, marked by the white line in Fig. 4(a). Interestingly, the unidirectional behaviour of these electronic dimers can be unveiled from the fact that the orientation of the dimers is independent of the orientation of the impurities but rotates by 90° across the twin boundary (TB) obvious from the bright stripe in the topography, Supplementary information, Fig. S6. This behaviour represents a broken C_4 symmetry in the impurity scattering. The length of the electronic dimers is $\approx 16 a_{Fe}$, where a_{Fe} is the distance of the Fe-Fe atoms in the crystal structure, which is consistent with a previous report⁴¹. We note that all electronic dimers are oriented in the same direction in Fig. 4(a) indicating the entire image consists of a single crystallographic domain.

In Fig. 4(c), a tunnelling spectrum averaged over an area marked in Fig. 4(a) is presented. Since the spectra were measured approximately in the middle of Fig. 4(a), a possible TB is at least a minimum distance of 20 nm away. The most prominent feature of the spectrum is that, as $V_b \rightarrow 0$, the spectrum attains a "U"-shape. Here, "U"-shape refers to the finite energy range within which the experimental tunnelling conductance is zero, as more clearly seen in Fig. 4(d). Due to the estimated small magnitude of the smaller gap (see above) a zero tunnelling conductance is only expected within a very narrow energy range. A tunnelling conductance of zero indicates the absence of quasiparticle excitations within the superconducting gap, thus providing strong evidence for a nodeless superconductivity in FeSe. However, the spectrum contains additional hump-like features at energies $\approx -10 \text{ mV}$ and +5.4 mV. These represent either simply the bottom of an electron band and the top of a hole band, respectively, or more complex phenomena such as a density-wave type ordering³⁹ or an electron-boson coupling⁴². In addition, there are "wing"-like features contained in the coherence peaks at energies $V_b \approx \pm 2.75 \text{ mV}$, indicated by black arrows in Fig. 4(c). These features may be related to the fine details of the band structure, such as spin-orbit coupling⁴³ induced band-hybridization^{38,44,45}, which is beyond the scope of this paper.

In an attempt to describe the tunnelling spectra, we used a Dynes gap function⁴⁶ to fit the data. Within the standard picture of the BCS model³², the tunnelling conductance $dI/dV \propto f_D(E)$, where

$$f_D = \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \operatorname{Re} \frac{eV - i\Gamma}{\sqrt{(eV - i\Gamma)^2 - [\Delta(\theta)]^2}}.$$
(2)

Here, the spectral broadening is given by the inverse quasiparticle lifetime Γ . Since the experimental spectra did not indicate the presence of nodes, we first tried a single extended *s*-wave gap function $\Delta(\theta) = \Delta_{es}^0(1 + \alpha \cos 4\theta)$ to fit the data (see Supplementary information, Fig. S11). Although the fitted curve followed the experimental data well in the applied bias voltage range 0.5 meV $< |V_b| < 0.75$ meV, below 0.5 meV, the fitted curve deviated from the experimental data as $V_b \rightarrow 0$. This suggested the presence of a small second gap as already inferred from the specific heat analysis. However, the coherence peaks corresponding to the smaller gap could not be resolved in our experiments due to its small magnitude, which is at the limits of our instrumental resolution. To take this into account, we included an energy resolution of 0.16 meV in the fit procedure, which accounts for the spectral broadening caused by thermal effects (0.35 K) as well as a finite modulation voltage (0.05 mV_{rms}). In Fig. 4(d), the best fit to the experimental spectrum is presented. This fit corresponds to an (s + es) model with an *s*-wave gap of $\Delta_s = 0.6(1)$ meV and an extended *s*-wave gap $\Delta_{es}^0 = 1.35(2)$ meV and $\alpha = 0.30(1)$. These values are slightly different than those obtained from the specific heat analysis. Here we would like to emphasize that the models considered here should not be taken exhaustive, rather it should be understood as a minimum ansatz to describe the



Figure 5. Tunneling spectra at a twin boundary. (a) $A 25 \times 12 \text{ nm}^2$ topography of FeSe with a twin boundary (TB), which is zoomed from Fig. S6 (Supplementary information). (b) A line scan along the blue line depicted in (a). (c) Ten tunnelling spectra measured laterally at equidistant positions along the white dashed line in (a). The black arrow in (c) represents the direction of measurement shown in (a). Spectra #2 and #3 are measured on the TB. Curves are equally shifted vertically for clarity. The bias voltage and the tunnelling currents were set at $V_b = 10 \text{ mV}$ and $I_{sp} = 100 \text{ pA}$, respectively.

overall behaviour of the spectrum, which agrees semi-quantitatively with the specific-heat analysis. By considering the raw data alone and leaving the models aside, the multigap nature of the superconducting gap is derived from the specific heat measurements, whereas, the nodeless nature of the gap is concluded from the tunnelling spectroscopy measurements.

Following this indication towards nodeless superconductivity in FeSe, we now show — using STM/STS — that the gap structure appears to remain nodeless on different crystallographic twin domains as well as at the TB. In Fig. 5(a), an STM topographic image over an area of $25 \times 12 \text{ nm}^2$ containing a TB is presented. This image is a part of the topography of 40×40 nm² presented in Fig. S6 (Supplementary information). A height scan across the TB is shown in Fig. 5(b). Several spectra were measured along the white line in Fig. 5(a) in such a way that the spectra were distributed on either side as well as on the TB. As can be seen in Fig. 5(c), the spectra retains an overall "U"-shape across the TB, warranting the robustness of the nodeless gap structure in our single crystal. Alternatively, Watashige et al.²⁷ observed a lifting of nodes in the vicinity of a TB and interpreted this finding in terms of time reversal symmetry breaking caused by a $\pi/2$ rotation of the crystallographic domains. They also found that the influence of the TB on the superconducting gap structure extends up to a length scale of more than 50 nm. Since the spectra shown in Fig. 5(c) were measured only up to 9 nm away from the twin boundary, our results shown in Fig. 5 do not directly contradict those of ref. 27. However, we did not find any signature of pair-breaking by observing a formation of bound states in the spectra taken on the TB, which was suggestive of a time reversal symmetry breaking. For the sake of confirming the U-shape of the tunneling spectra at small V_b as a common feature of our sample, we performed STM/STS on a second crystal. In this case we conducted our measurements on an area of $100 \times 100 \text{ nm}^2$ without any TB, see Supplementary information Fig. S7. As can be seen in Fig. S7(b), even the small gap could be resolved in some cases in the tunneling spectra. However, within a small range of V_b , the spectra retain a U-shape indicating the absence of low energy quasiparticle excitations owing to finite superconducting gap over the Fermi surface.

Discussion

In the framework of a single-band BCS theory, the zero-temperature upper critical field $H_{c2}(0)$ is proportional to $(\Delta/v_F)^2$, v_F being the Fermi velocity⁴⁷. In a phenomenological two-gap model, $H_{c2}(0)$ is set by the larger gap, and the critical field for the smaller gap $H^*(0)$ can be determined by thermodynamic, e.g., specific-heat⁴⁸ and thermal-conductivity²⁵ measurements. Shubnikov-de Haas oscillation measurements have reported comparable values of v_F for the different Fermi sheets in FeSe⁴⁹. By taking the maximum gap value $\Delta_{es}^{max} = \Delta_{es}^0(1 + \alpha)$ and $\Delta_s(0)$ obtained from the C(T) analysis, we estimate, $H^*(0)/H_{c2}(0) = [\Delta_s(0)/\Delta_{es}^{max}(0)]^2 = (0.25/2.23)^2 \approx 0.01$. This value is in good agreement with the data reported by Bourgeois-Hope *et al.*²⁵, thus further supporting the validity of the current analysis. As far as the absence of nodes is concerned, our results are also in good agreement with recent thermal conductivity²⁵, penetration depth⁵⁰, and microwave conductivity⁵¹ measurements on single crystals of similar quality.

It is worthwhile to discuss the possible origin of the discrepancy between the STM results presented here in comparison to those in refs 24,27. The nodes observed in FeSe are considered accidental, i.e., they are not imposed by symmetry^{1,2}. Theoretical investigations of multiorbital microscopic models have suggested that the nodes in the Fermi surface can be lifted by disorder⁵² or external strain⁵³. One of the ways to get a semi-quantitative estimation of the degree of disorder in a sample is to look at its RRR value. However, we would like to point out that in the particular case of FeSe, the RRR calculated by taking the resistivity values from above and below T_s contain additional contributions other than initial intrinsic disorder of the crystal which exists at room temperature. As observed by Knöner *et al.*⁵⁴, cooling the samples through T_s induces different twin states in the samples in question; which together with the finite in-plane anisotropy can produce different resistivity values below T_s . A similar observation was also made in ref. 25. Therefore, the crystal showing lower RRR likely contain more twins, and

TB are considered accountable for lifting the nodes²⁷. Nonetheless, a very recent thermal conductivity²⁵ measurement on samples grown by flux-vapour transport⁵⁵ with the RRR values similar to those used in refs 24,27, exhibited two-gap nodeless superconductivity. It is rather intriguing that such negligibly small differences in the samples appear to be sufficient to influence the superconducting gap structure in FeSe.

Our observation of two superconducting gaps Δ_s and Δ_{es} with strongly different gap magnitudes, *i.e.* $\Delta_s \ll \Delta_{es}$, indicates that superconductivity appears presumably in one band (producing a large gap Δ_{es}) and may induce a second small gap Δ_s in another band due to a proximity effect^{44,56}. Nonetheless, both gaps open at the same temperature, but may have different temperature dependencies¹. So far in FeSe, only one hole Fermi sheet and one electron Fermi pocket are detected by angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy (ARPES)⁵⁷ and quantum oscillation experiments^{49,58}. If this is correct, then an inter-band extended *s*-wave pairing with a sign reversal of the order parameter between different Fermi surface sheets might be the likely mechanism of superconductivity in FeSe³. However, there are experimental indications for more than one electron pocket crossing the Fermi energy^{59,60}. In this case, a more exotic pairing mechanism such as band-hybridization induced odd frequency pairing can be expected⁴⁴.

In summary, we have investigated the superconducting gap structure of FeSe in a combined study of scanning tunnelling microscopy and specific heat measurements. The results indicate multigap superconductivity in FeSe single crystals. Our analysis suggests that the gap is of (s + es) type. The isotropic *s*-wave gap is much smaller than the anisotropic *s*-wave gap. Additionally, the tunnelling spectroscopy indicate at a superconducting gap which remains nodeless also on twin boundaries. These experimental results are expected to provide important ingredients for a unified theory of the superconducting paring mechanism for all FeSe-related superconductors.

Note added: In the revising stage of this manuscript we became aware of a new STM study on FeSe reported very recently⁶¹. Our conclusions presented here are in excellent agreement with these complementary investigations in which the Bogoliubov quasipaticle scattering interference (BQPI) was used to determine the superconducting gap symmetry as extremely anisotropic, but nodeless with an OP changing sign between the hole and electron pockets. In addition, ref. 61 also provides evidence for an orbital-selective Cooper pairing in FeSe.

Methods

The single crystals were grown by chemical vapour transport^{30,62} of stoichiometric FeSe powder containing α -Fe of less than 300 ppm. The ratio of FeSe to the transport additive $AlCl_3$ was taken as 50:1. Typically, a mixture of 1 g of FeSe powder and 20 mg of AlCl₃ was placed in a quartz ampoule of length 10 cm and diameter 2 cm prepared inside an argon-filled glove box. The ampoule containing the mixture was evacuated, sealed, and placed horizontally inside a two-zone furnace at temperatures from $T_2 = 673$ K and $T_1 = 573$ K. The crystal growth was carried out for 2 months. Finally, the ampoule was quenched in water. The product, which contained plate-shaped single crystals with edge lengths up to $400 \,\mu m$ perpendicular to the c axis, was washed repeatedly in ethanol to remove remaining condensed gas phase, dried under vacuum and stored in the glove box. By extending the growth time to one year, larger single crystals with dimensions up to $4 \times 2 \times 0.03 \text{ mm}^3$ could be grown. The specific heat C(T, B) was measured down to 0.5 K using a thermal-relaxation method in a physical property measurement system (Quantum Design) with the magnetic field *B* applied parallel to the [001] direction of the single crystal. The scanning tunnelling microscopy/spectroscopy measurements were performed in an ultra-high vacuum $(p < 3 \times 10^{-9} \text{ Pa})$ cryogenic STM with a base temperature $T \approx 0.35$ K. The bias voltage and the tunnelling currents were set at $V_b = 10 \text{ mV}$ and $I_{sp} = 100 \text{ pA}$, respectively. The tunnelling conductance is acquired by the standard lock-in technique with a small modulation voltage of 0.05 mV_{rms} . Given the total energy resolution ΔE of the STM is limited by $\Delta E \approx \sqrt{(3.5k_{\rm B}T)^2 + (2.5eV_{\rm mod})^{263}}$, the low temperature (0.35 K) and the small modulation voltage (0.05 mV_{rms}) used here allows us to resolve the fine structure of the superconducting gap. The FeSe single crystals were cleaved in situ at 20 K before being inserted into the STM-head.

References

- 1. Hirschfeld, P. J., Korshunov, M. M. & Mazin, I. I. Gap symmetry and structure of Fe-based superconductors. *Rep. Prog. Phys.* 74, 124508 (2011).
- Hirschfeld, P. J. Using gap symmetry and structure to reveal the pairing mechanism in Fe-based superconductors. C. R. Physique 17, 197–231 (2016).
- Mazin, I. I., Singh, D. J., Johannes, M. D. & Du, M. H. Unconventional superconductivity with a sign reversal in the order parameter of LaFeAsO_{1-x}F_x. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101**, 057003 (2008).
- 4. Kuroki, K. *et al.* Unconventional pairing originating from the disconnected Fermi surfaces of superconducting LaFeAsO_{1-x}F_x. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101**, 087004 (2008).
- 5. Wang, F., Zhai, H., Ran, Y., Vishwanath, A. & Lee, D.-H. Inverse proximity effect in superconductor-ferromagnet bilayer structures. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, 047005 (2009).
- Kontani, H. & Onari, S. Orbital-fluctuation-mediated superconductivity in iron pnictides: analysis of the five-orbital Hubbard-Holstein model. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 104, 157001 (2010).
- 7. Hsu, F.-C. *et al.* Superconductivity in the PbO-type structure α -FeSe. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **105**, 14262–14264 (2008).
- Mizuguchi, Y., Tomioka, F., Tsuda, S., Yamaguchi, T. & Takano, Y. Superconductivity at 27 K in tetragonal FeSe under high pressure. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 93, 152505 (2008).

 Medium of all Electronic and mean ratio phase diagram of a Eq. So with superconductivity at 26.7 K up doe pressure. Nature
- Medvedev, S. *et al.* Electronic and magnetic phase diagram of β-Fe_{1.01}Se with superconductivity at 36.7 K under pressure. *Nature Mater.* 8, 630–633 (2009).
- 10. Margadonna, S. *et al.* Pressure evolution of the low-temperature crystal structure and bonding of the superconductor FeSe ($T_c = 37$ K). *Phys. Rev. B* **80**, 064506 (2009).
- Imai, T., Ahilan, K., Ning, F. L., McQueen, T. M. & Cava, R. J. Why does undoped FeSe become a high-T_c superconductor under pressure? *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 102, 177005 (2009).
- 12. Wang, Q.-Y. *et al.* Interface-induced high-temperature superconductivity in single unit-cell FeSe films on SrTiO₃. *Chin. Phys. Lett.* **29**, 037402 (2012).
- 13. Ge, J.-F. et al. Superconductivity above 100 K in single-layer FeSe films on doped SrTiO₃. Nature Mater. **14**, 285–289 (2015).
- Fan, Q. *et al.* Plain s-wave superconductivity in single-layer FeSe on SrTiO₃ probed by scanning tunnelling microscopy. *Nature Phys.* 11, 946–952 (2015).

- 15. Zhang, Y. et al. Superconducting gap anisotropy in monolayer FeSe thin film. Phys. Rev. Lett. 117, 117001 (2016).
- McQueen, T. M. *et al.* Tetragonal-to-orthorhombic structural phase transition at 90 K in the superconductor Fe_{1.01}Se. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 103, 057002 (2009).
- 17. Fernandes, R. M., Chubukov, A. V. & Schmalian, J. What drives nematic order in iron-based superconductors? *Nature Phys.* 10, 97–104 (2014).
- 18. Khasanov, R. *et al.* Evidence of nodeless superconductivity in FeSe_{0.85} from a muon-spin-rotation study of the in-plane magnetic penetration depth. *Phys. Rev. B* **78**, 220510(R) (2008).
- 19. Dong, J. K. *et al.* Multigap nodeless superconductivity in FeSe_x: Evidence from quasiparticle heat transport. *Phys. Rev. B* **80**, 024518 (2009).
- 20. Khasanov, R. *et al.* Evolution of two-gap behavior of the superconductor FeSe_{1-x} *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104**, 087004 (2010).
- Ponomarev, Ya. G. *et al.* Andreev spectroscopy of FeSe: Evidence for two-gap superconductivity. *J. Exp. Theor. Phys.* 113, 459–467 (2011).
 Lin, J.-Y. *et al.* Coexistence of isotropic and extended *s*-wave order parameters in FeSe as revealed by low-temperature specific heat.
- *Phys. Rev. B* **84**, 220507(R) (2011). 23. Abdel-Hafiez, M. *et al.* Temperature dependence of lower critical field $H_{c1}(T)$ shows nodeless superconductivity in FeSe. *Phys. Rev.*
- B 88, 174512 (2013).
 24. Kasahara, S. et al. Field-induced superconducting phase of FeSe in the BCS-BEC cross-over. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 111, 16309–16313 (2014).
- 25. Bourgeois-Hope, P. et al. Thermal conductivity of the iron-based superconductor FeSe: nodeless gap with strong two-band character. Phys. Rev. Lett. 117, 097003 (2016).
- 26. Song, C.-L. et al. Direct observation of nodes and twofold symmetry in FeSe superconductor. Science 332, 1410–1413 (2011).
- 27. Watashige, T. *et al.* Evidence for time-reversal symmetry breaking of the superconducting state near twin-boundary interfaces in FeSe revealed by scanning tunneling spectroscopy. *Phys. Rev. X* **5**, 031022 (2015).
- Bouquet, F., Fisher, R. A., Phillips, N. E., Hinks, D. G. & Jorgensen, J. D. Specific heat of Mg¹¹B₂: Evidence for a second energy gap. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 87, 047001 (2001).
- 29. McQueen, T. M. *et al.* Extreme sensitivity of superconductivity to stoichiometry in Fe_{1+ δ}Se. *Phys. Rev. B* **79**, 014522 (2009).
- 30. Koz, C. *et al.* Synthesis and crystal growth of tetragonal β -Fe_{1.00}Se. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. **640**, 1600 (2014).
- 31. Wang, L. et al. Physica Status Solidi B, doi: 10.1002/pssb.201600153 (2016).
- 32. Bardeen, J., Cooper, L. N. & Schrieffer, J. R. Theory of superconductivity. Phys. Rev. 108, 1175 (1957).
- 33. Maier, T. A., Graser, S., Scalapino, D. J. & Hirschfeld, P. J. Origin of gap anisotropy in spin fluctuation models of the iron pnictides *Phys. Rev. B* **79**, 224510 (2009).
- 34. Chubukov, A. V., Vavilov, M. G. & Vorontsov, A. B. Momentum dependence and nodes of the superconducting gap in the iron pnictides. *Phys. Rev. B* 80, 140515(R) (2009).
- 35. Suhl, H., Matthias, B. T. & Walker, L. R. Bardeen-Cooper-Schrieffer theory of superconductivity in the case of overlapping bands. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **3**, 552 (1959).
- Moskalenko, V. A. Superconductivity of metals taking into account the overlapping of energy bands. *Phys. Met. Metallogr*+ 8, 25–36 (1958).
- Zehetmayer, M. A review of two-band superconductivity: materials and effects on the thermodynamic and reversible mixed-state properties. Supercond. Sci. Technol. 26, 043001 (2013).
- Hinojosa, A. & Chubukov, A. V. Gap structure in Fe-based superconductors with accidental nodes: The role of hybridization. *Phys. Rev. B* 91, 224502 (2015).
- 39. Rößler, S. et al. Emergence of an incipient ordering mode in FeSe. Phys. Rev. B 92, 060505(R) (2015).
- 40. Huang, D. *et al.* Dumbell defects in FeSe films: A scanning tunneling microscopy and First-Principle investigations. *Nano Lett.* **16**, 4224–4229 (2016).
- 41. Song, C. -L. et al. Suppression of superconductivity by twin boundaries in FeSe. Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 137004 (2012).
- 42. Song, C.-L. *et al.* Imaging the electron-boson coupling in superconducting FeSe films using a scanning tunneling microscope. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112**, 057002 (2014).
- 43. Borisenko, S. V. et al. Direct observation of spin-orbit coupling in iron-based superconductors. Nature Phys. 12, 311-317, 3594 (2015).
- 44. Black-Schaffer, A. M. & Balatsky, A. V. Odd-frequency superconducting pairing in multiband superconductors. *Phys. Rev. B* 88, 104514 (2013).
- Komendová, L., Balatsky, A. V. & Black-Schaffer, A. M. Experimentally observable signatures of odd-frequency pairing in multiband superconductors. *Phys. Rev. B* 92, 094517 (2015).
- Dynes, R. C., Narayanamurti, V. & Garno, J. P. Direct measurement of quasiparticle-lifetime broadening in a strong-coupled superconductor. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 41, 1509 (1978).
- Shulga, S. V. & Drechsler, S. -L. Low temperature value of the upper critical field H_{c2}(0) of isotropic single band metals. J. Low Temp. Phys. 129, 93–102 (2002).
- 48. Bouquet, F. *et al.* Specific heat of single crystal MgB₂: A two-band superconductor with two different anisotropies. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **89**, 257001 (2002).
- Terashima, T. et al. Anomalous Fermi surface in FeSe seen by Shubnikov-de Haas oscillation measurements. Phys. Rev. B 90, 144517 (2014).
- 50. Teknowijoyo, S. et al. Enhancement of T_c by point-like disorder and anisotropic gap in FeSe. Phys. Rev. B 94, 064521 (2016).
- 51. Li, M. et al. Superfluid density and microwave conductivity of FeSe superconductor: ultra-long-lived quasiparticles and extended s-wave energy gap. New J. Phys. 18, 082001 (2016).
- 52. Mishra, V. *et al.* Lifting of nodes by disorder in extended-s-state superconductors: Application to ferropnictides *Phys. Rev. B* 79, 094512 (2009).
- 53. Kang, J., Kemper, A. F. & Fernandes, R. M. Manipulation of gap nodes by uniaxial strain in iron-based superconductors. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113**, 217001 (2014).
- 54. Knöner, S. et al. Resistivity and magnetoresistance of FeSe single crystals under helium gas-pressure. Phys. Rev. B 91, 174510 (2015).
- 55. Böhmer, A. E. *et al.* Lack of coupling between superconductivity and orthorhombic distortion in stoichiometric single-crystalline FeSe. *Phys. Rev. B* 87, 180505(R) (2013).
- 56. McMillan, W. L. Tunneling model of the superconducting proximity effect. Phys. Rev. 175, 537 (1968).
- 57. Maletz, J. et al. Unusual band renormalization in the simplest iron-based superconductor FeSe_{1-x}. Phys. Rev. B 89, 220506(R) (2014).
- Watson, M. D. *et al.* Dichotomy between the hole and electron behavior in multiband superconductor FeSe probed by ultrahigh magnetic fields. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 115, 027006 (2015).
- 59. Watson, M. D. et al. Emergence of the nematic electronic state in FeSe. Phys. Rev. B 91, 155106 (2015).
- 60. Huynh, K. K. *et al.* Electric transport of a single-crystal iron chalcogenide FeSe superconductor: Evidence of symmetry-breakdown nematicity and additional ultrafast Dirac cone-like carriers. *Phys. Rev. B* **90**, 144516 (2014).
- 61. Sprau, P. O. et al. Discovery of orbital-selective Cooper pairing in FeSe. arXiv:1611.02134 (2016).
- 62. Rößler, S., Koz, C., Wirth, S. & Schwarz, U. Synthesis, phase stability, structural, and physical properties of 11-type iron chalcogenides. *Phys. Status Solidi B*, doi: 10.1002/pssb.201600149 (2016).
- Lauhon, L. J. & Ho, W. Effects of temperature and other experimental variables on single molecule vibrational spectroscopy with the scanning tunneling microscope. *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* 72, 216–213 (2001).

Acknowledgements

Financial support from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) within the Schwerpunktprogramm SPP1458 is gratefully acknowledged. L.J. thanks the Alexander-von-Humboldt foundation for financial support. C.L.H. acknowledges technical support from C. Klausnitzer. We thank A. Akbari, S. Borisenko, Y. Grin, M. Haverkort, P. J. Hirschfeld, P. Thalmeier, and L. H. Tjeng for discussions.

Author Contributions

S.R. and S.W. conceived the experiments, C.K. and U.S. prepared the samples, L.J. and C.-L.H. conducted the experiments, L.J., C.-L.H., and U.K.R. analysed the results. S.R., L.J., and C.-L.H. wrote the paper. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

Additional Information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at http://www.nature.com/srep

Competing Interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Jiao, L. *et al.* Superconducting gap structure of FeSe. *Sci. Rep.* 7, 44024; doi: 10.1038/ srep44024 (2017).

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

© The Author(s) 2017