

Case Rep Dermatol 2012;4:19–26 DOI: 10.1159/000336205

Published online: January 30, 2012

© 2012 S. Karger AG, Basel ISSN 1662–6567 www.karger.com/cde

This is an Open Access article licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 3.0 License (<a href="www.karger.com/OA-license">www.karger.com/OA-license</a>), applicable to the online version of the article only. Distribution for non-commercial purposes only.

# Omalizumab for Chronic Urticaria: A Case Series and Overview of the Literature

Ilya Ivyanskiy Carsten Sand Simon Francis Thomsen

Department of Dermatology, Bispebjerg Hospital, Copenhagen, Denmark

# **Key Words**

Omalizumab · Anti-IgE · Chronic urticaria · Biologics

### **Abstract**

Omalizumab is a recombinant humanized monoclonal antibody that blocks the high-affinity Fc receptor of IgE. Omalizumab has been approved for the treatment of moderate to severe asthma; however, there is currently more and more data showing promising results in the management also of chronic urticaria. We present a case series of 19 patients with chronic urticaria treated in a university department with omalizumab and give an overview of the existing literature comprising an additional 59 cases as well as a total of 139 patients enrolled in two randomized controlled trials comparing omalizumab with placebo. The collective evidence points to omalizumab as a safe and effective treatment option for patients with chronic urticaria who do not sufficiently respond to standard therapy as recommended by existing guidelines.

# Introduction

Urticaria is a condition characterized by localized or widespread pruritic wheals that typically exist for no more than 24 h. By definition acute urticaria lasts no longer than six weeks, whereas chronic urticaria lasts longer, often several years. Chronic urticaria can be classified into several subtypes but these may have overlapping features [1]. Chronic urticaria that has no detectable cause is termed chronic idiopathic urticaria. Autoimmune urticaria is not a well-defined term but it is generally recognized that those with autoimmune urticaria have anti-IgG antibodies against the high-affinity IgE receptor (Fc $\epsilon$ RI) on mast cells and basophils or directly to IgE antibodies. These can be documented with the urticaria histamine release (HR) test. Autoimmune urticaria affects about one third of all patients with chronic urticaria [2].



H1 antihistamines are recommended as first-line therapy for chronic urticaria; leukotriene receptor antagonists are indicated as second-line therapy, whereas immunosuppressive drugs such as corticosteroids, azathioprine or cyclosporine A should be reserved for severe recalcitrant disease [3].

Omalizumab is a recombinant humanized monoclonal antibody that blocks the high-affinity Fc receptor of IgE. Omalizumab has been approved for the treatment of moderate to severe asthma. However, there is currently more and more data showing promising results in the management of patients suffering from other allergic conditions such as chronic urticaria [4]. Omalizumab is usually recommended when other systemic therapies have failed [3].

Here we present a case series of chronic urticaria patients in a university department treated with omalizumab and give an overview of the existing literature concerning omalizumab treatment of therapy-resistant chronic urticaria.

### Methods

The cases reported herein were selected consecutively from the Department of Dermatology at Bispebjerg Hospital in Copenhagen. All patients were initially referred to the department with a diagnosis of urticaria and were considered eligible for this report if they began treatment with omalizumab for urticaria during the one-year period from November 2010 to October 2011. For each case, the type and duration of urticaria was recorded as well as any previous medical treatment. If available, the results of relevant serological markers including serum total IgE and the urticaria HR test were noted. A histamine release >16.5% was regarded as positive (Reflab, Copenhagen, Denmark). All patients were treated with omalizumab at an initial dose of 150 mg once every two weeks, which was the department's standard dosing regimen. The clinical response to treatment with omalizumab was recorded and for each patient it was possible to score the individual response to treatment as: no response, partial response, or almost complete/complete resolution of symptoms during treatment. Furthermore, the duration and any side effects of omalizumab were recorded.

The response to treatment in our case series was compared with reports from the existing English language literature retrieved from PubMed using the search terms: 'urticaria', 'omalizumab' and 'anti-IgE'. Cross-references were retrieved but this did not identify additional studies. Studies published by December 2011 were included. Three non-English case reports were identified but these were not further considered.

# **Results**

A total of 19 patients (14 females) began treatment with omalizumab during the observation period (table 1). The mean age at the time of omalizumab initiation was 36 years for females and 49 for males. The mean duration of disease at initiation of omalizumab in the sample was 21 months for females and 24 months for males (one male patient had a duration of nine years). A total of 12 patients (63%) were classified as having chronic idiopathic urticaria, six patients (32%) had chronic autoimmune urticaria demonstrated by a positive urticaria HR test, whereas one patient had delayed pressure urticaria.

All patients had antihistamine-resistant disease and all but two had been treated with other systemic drugs; 14 (74%) with prednisolone, 7 (37%) with azathioprine, cyclosporine A and/or mycophenolate mofetil, and 6 (32%) with TNF- $\alpha$  inhibitors.



In total, 11 patients (58%) experienced almost complete or complete resolution of symptoms during treatment with omalizumab, whereas five (26%) experienced partial resolution; three patients (16%) had no benefit of the treatment and of these, one had to discontinue treatment due to side effects (nausea, headache and flu-like symptoms). However, in general, treatment was tolerated very well and only three patients (16%) reported side effects. These three patients were all females and among the youngest in the sample (15, 19 and 29 years of age).

### Discussion

The present case series included 19 patients with therapy-resistant chronic urticaria. On an initial dose of omalizumab of 150 mg once every two weeks, a total of 84% of the patients experienced resolution of symptoms to a degree that exceeded the effect of previous treatments. The symptomatic effect of the treatment occurred in many of the patients after one or just a few days and no serious side effects were reported.

These data add to the growing body of evidence supporting the use of omalizumab as a safe and effective treatment option for chronic urticaria. Particularly, by December 2011, to our knowledge, a total of 59 cases of chronic urticaria treated with omalizumab have been reported in the literature comprising five cases of solar urticaria, two cases of heat urticaria, two cases of cold urticaria, three cases of delayed pressure urticaria, three cases of urticaria factitia, three cases of cholinergic urticaria, 40 cases of chronic idiopathic urticaria, and 16 cases of chronic autoimmune urticaria. Furthermore, a total of 139 patients have been enrolled in two randomized controlled trials comparing omalizumab with placebo (table 2) [5-30]. The first randomized trial was restricted to patients with IgE against thyroperoxidase; 27 patients were randomized to omalizumab and 22 to placebo [29]. The absolute mean decrease in the urticaria activity score (UAS) during the 24 weeks of treatment was 17.8 points in the experimental group and 7.9 points in the placebo group. According to the investigator's global assessment, as many as 67% of the patients in the experimental group were assessed as having achieved complete resolution of symptoms during the study compared with only 4% in the placebo group. The second trial included 90 patients with chronic idiopathic urticaria randomized to one of three different doses of omalizumab (75, 300 or 600 mg) or to placebo [30]. The absolute mean decrease in the UAS four weeks after a single dose of omalizumab was 14.6 points in the group receiving 600 mg omalizumab, 19.9 points in the group receiving 300 mg omalizumab, 9.8 points in the group receiving 75 mg omalizumab, and 6.9 points in the group receiving placebo. The mean decrease in the groups receiving 300 and 600 mg omalizumab was statistically significantly greater than in the placebo group. A total of 28.6, 36.0, and 4.4% of the patients had complete resolution of urticaria symptoms after receiving a single dose of 600, 300 and 75 mg omalizumab, respectively. No patients in the placebo group had complete resolution of symptoms. Both randomized trials reported mild but rather frequent side effects such as diarrhoea, headache, dysmenorrhoea and upper respiratory tract infections that, however, did not differ in prevalence between the groups receiving active treatment and placebo.



The rapid improvement of urticaria symptoms reported in almost all previous cases treated with omalizumab indicates that IgE plays an important role in chronic urticaria. However, although anti-IgE mechanisms are thought to be the principal mode of action for omalizumab, several of the published cases support a role of other mechanisms such as induction of eosinophil apoptosis, downregulation of the inflammatory cytokines IL-2, IL-4, IL-13 and TNF- $\alpha$ , increase in the activity of CD4+ cells by ATP release, decrease in basophil releasability as well as a marked decrease in the expression of Fc $\alpha$ RI [18, 20, 21, 25]. These observations offer an explanation for the successful results also among patients with low levels of IgE.

The dosing of omalizumab for chronic urticaria is in many cases based on the recommendations for asthma, i.e. based on serum total IgE levels and the weight of the patient [4]. We saw a marked effect in most of our patients of treatment with 150 mg once every two weeks. In comparison, most of the previous cases were treated with a higher dose, predominantly with an initial dose of 300 mg, which was also the dose that produced the most marked clinical response in the experimental trials [30]. On the contrary, earlier published case reports, in general, overestimated the effect of omalizumab compared with the controlled trials, and also did not report side effects or scored them as negligible or absent.

Despite an undeniable value of omalizumab for chronic urticaria, it is still not clear why the drug is effective in some patients and less so in others. Nevertheless, the collective evidence points to omalizumab as a safe and effective treatment option for patients with chronic urticaria who do not sufficiently respond to standard therapy as recommended by existing guidelines [3].

Case Reports in **Dermatology** 

<u>Table 1</u>. Characteristics of 19 consecutive patients with urticaria treated with omalizumab

Patient characteristics			Urtica	ria cha	racteristics		Omalizumab treatment					
No.	sex	age	type	AO	duration	HR test	IgE	previous treatment	duration	dose	effect	side effects
1	M	42	CIU	yes	2 m	negative		H1, Pred	2 m	150 mg/2 w	$\uparrow \uparrow$	none
2	F	30	CIU	yes	2 m	negative		H1, Pred	4 m	150 mg/2 w	$\uparrow \uparrow$	none
3	F	46	DPU	no	3 y	negative	98	H1, Aza, CsA, TNF-α	12 m	150 mg/2w	1	none
4	F	29	CAU	yes	6 m	positive		H1, Pred	1 m	150 mg/2w	$\rightarrow$	none
5	M	66	CIU	no	5 m	negative		H1	6 m	150 mg/2 w	$\uparrow \uparrow$	none
6	F	51	CAU	no	2 m	positive		H1, Pred	7 m	150 mg/2w	$\uparrow \uparrow$	none
7	F	19	CIU	yes	2 m	negative		H1, Pred	1 m	150 mg/2w	$\rightarrow$	nausea
8	M	50	CAU	yes	2 m	positive	7	H1, Pred	4 m	150 mg/2w	1	none
9	F	24	CIU	no	2 y	negative		H1, Pred, Mont, CsA	9 m	150 mg/2 w	$\uparrow \uparrow$	headache
10	F	29	CIU	no	3 y	negative		H1	2 m	150 mg/2 w	$\uparrow \uparrow$	none
11	F	37	CIU	no	2 y	negative	165	H1, Pred, TNF-α	7 m	150 mg/2 w	$\uparrow \uparrow$	none
12	F	46	CIU	no	8 m	negative		H1, H2, Pred, CsA	7 m	150 mg/2 w	$\uparrow \uparrow$	none
13	M	23	CIU	no	6 m	negative		H1, H2, Pred	2 m	150 mg/2 w	$\uparrow \uparrow$	none
14	M	62	CAU	no	9 y	positive	29	H1, CsA, TNF-α	9 m	150 mg/2 w	$\uparrow \uparrow$	none
15	F	32	CIU	yes	2 y	negative	159	H1, Pred, Aza, TNF-α	15 m	150 mg/2 w	1	none
16	F	35	CIU	yes	3 m	negative		H1, Pred, CsA	12 m	150 mg/2 w	1	none
17	F	47	CIU	no	5 m	negative		H1, Pred	2 m	150 mg/2w	$\uparrow \uparrow$	none
18	F	62	CAU	no	8 y	positive	105	H1, Aza, CsA, MMF, TNF-α	4 m	150 mg/2 w	1	none
19	F	15	CAU	no	2 y	positive		H1, Pred, TNF-α	2 w	150 mg/2 w	$\rightarrow$	nausea, headache*

Type: CIU = chronic idiopathic urticaria; DPU = delayed pressure urticaria; CAU = chronic autoimmune urticaria. AO = Angioedema. Duration: time from debut of symptoms until initiation of omalizumab (m = months; y = years). Dose: initial dose of omalizumab (w = weeks). Previous treatment: H1/H2 = antihistamines; Pred = prednisolone; Mont = montelukast; Aza = azathioprine; CsA = cyclosporine A; MMF = mycophenolate mofetil; TNF- $\alpha$  = TNF- $\alpha$  inhibitor. Effect: overall change in clinical symptoms after treatment with omalizumab; no response ( $\rightarrow$ ), partial ( $\uparrow$ ), almost complete/complete ( $\uparrow\uparrow$ ) resolution of symptoms. \* Patient had to discontinue omalizumab due to side effects.

Case Reports in **Dermatology** 

Cizzebey   7   2008   1	Study characteristics		Nur	Number of patients								Omalizumab treatment			
Boyce     5	1st author	year	SU	HU	CU	DPU	UF	ChU	CIU	CAU		side effects	dose	comment	
Spector         2   1	Case studies														
Carbelley   7   2008   1	Boyce [5]	2006			1						$\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow$	unknown	375 mg/2 w	the patient was 12 years of age	
Metz   9   2008	Spector [6]	2007							2	1	111	unknown	varying	serum total IgE was between 9 and 2,557 kU/l	
Metz   9   2008	Güzelbey [7]	2008	1								$\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow$	unknown	150 mg/4 w	baseline serum total IgE was 22 kU/l	
Otto [10]   2009	Godse [8]	2008							1		$\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow$	unknown	300 mg/4 w	baseline serum total IgE was 778 kU/l	
Magerl   11   2010	Metz [9]	2008						1			$\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow$	none	150 mg/4 w	complete resolution after 5 w of treatment	
Vestergaard   2010   2		2009						1			1	unknown	300 mg/4 w	- · · · · ·	
Table	Magerl [11]	2010				1			7		<b>↑</b> ↑	few	varying		
Waibel [14]   2010   1	[12]	2010							2		<b>↑</b> ↑↑	some	varying	one patient had abdominal side effects	
Note	Krause [13]	2010					1				111	none	C,		
Bullerkotte 2010 1	Waibel [14]	2010	1								1	unknown	400 mg/2 w		
Idal	Romano [15]	2010							2		$\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow$	unknown	400 mg/2 w	SPT positivity maintained during treatment	
Bindslev- 2010		2010		1							$\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow$	none	450 mg/2 w	3 1	
Emsen [18]     Al-Ahmad [19] 2010	Sabroe [17]	2010						1			$\rightarrow$	none	300 mg/2 w	baseline serum total IgE was 1,523 kU/ml	
Al-Ahmad [19] 2010 3 ↑↑↑ none 300 mg/4 w no symptoms 12 w after discontinuation lemoli [20] 2010 1 ↑↑↑ unknown 300 mg/2 w ↓ in TNF-α and IL-4; ↑ in IFN-γ Saavedra [21] 2011 1 ↑↑↑ none 300 mg/2 w 80% decrease in the expression of FceRI Groffik [22] 2011 9 ↑↑↑ none 300 mg/2 w effect is the average across all patients Metz [23] 2011 2 1 1 1 2 ↑↑↑ none varying effect is the average across all patients followed complet Godse [24] 2011 5 ↑↑↑ none varying five of seven patients responded complet Godse [24] 2011 5 ↑↑↑ none 300 mg/2 - 4 w the urticaria activity score (IJAS) was use Sánchez 2011 1 ↑↑↑↑ none 300 mg/2 w increase in activity of CD4+ by ATP releases and the expression of FceRI of the varying five of seven patients responded complet of the urticaria activity score (IJAS) was use Sánchez 2011 1 ↑↑↑↑ none 300 mg/2 w increase in activity of CD4+ by ATP releases and the expression of FceRI of the urticaria activity of CD4+ by ATP releases and the urticaria activity of CD4+ by ATP releases and the expression of FceRI of the urticaria activity of CD4+ by ATP releases and the urticaria activity of CD4+ by ATP releases and the urticaria activity of CD4+ by ATP releases and the expression of FceRI of the urticaria activity of CD4+ by ATP releases and the urticaria activity of CD4+ by ATP releases and the urticaria activity of CD4+ by ATP releases and the urticaria activity of CD4+ by ATP releases and the urticaria activity of CD4+ by ATP releases and the urticaria activity of CD4+ by ATP releases and the urticaria activity of CD4+ by ATP releases and the urticaria activity of CD4+ by ATP releases and the urticaria activity of CD4+ by ATP releases and the urticaria activity of CD4+ by ATP releases and the urticaria activity of CD4+ by ATP releases and the urticaria activity of CD4+ by ATP releases and the urticaria activity of CD4+ by ATP releases and the urticaria activity of CD4+ by ATP releases and the urticaria activity of CD4+ by ATP releases and the urticaria activity of CD4+ by ATP releases and the urticaria a		2010				1					$\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow$	none	150 mg/2 w	marked decrease in basophil releasability	
Iemoli [20]       2010       1       ↑↑↑ unknown       300 mg/2 w       ↓ in TNF-α and IL-4; ↑ in IFN-γ         Saavedra [21]       2011       1       ↑↑ none       300 mg/2 w       80% decrease in the expression of FccRI few varying effect is the average across all patients         Metz [23]       2011       2       1       1       2       ↑↑ few varying five of seven patients responded complete         Metz [23]       2011       2       1       1       2       1       1       ↑↑ few varying five of seven patients responded complete         Godse [24]       2011       5       ↑↑ few 300 mg/2 - 4 w the urticaria activity score (UAS) was use some patients activity of CD4+ by ATP released to the patient was a notivity of CD4+ by ATP released machine [25]         Duchini [26]       2011       1       ↑↑ none varying unknown       150 mg/4 w reactive to UVA and visible light but not UVB         Observational studies         Kaplan [27]       2008       12       ↑↑ none varying one patient was a nonresponder to treatment         Ferrer [28]       2011       9       ↑↑ unknown       300 mg       basophil counts did not change significant         Controlled trials         Maurer [29]       2011       9       ↑↑ in 81.5%       varying       UAS decreased 17.8 points during treatm		10040											200 //	40 0 11 11	
Saavedra [21] 2011		•								3					
Groffik [22] 2011 9 ↑↑ few varying effect is the average across all patients  Metz [23] 2011 2 1 1 1 2 ↑↑ none varying five of seven patients responded complet  Godse [24] 2011 5 ↑↑ few 300 mg/2-4 w the urticaria activity score (UAS) was use  Sánchez- 2011 1 ↑↑↑ none 300 mg/2 w increase in activity of CD4+ by ATP release  Machín [25]  Duchini [26] 2011 1 → unknown 150 mg/4 w reactive to UVA and visible light but not  UVB  Observational studies  Kaplan [27] 2008 12 ↑↑ none varying one patient was a nonresponder to treatment  Ferrer [28] 2011 9 ↑↑ unknown 300 mg basophil counts did not change significant  Controlled trials  Maurer [29] 2011 2 ↑↑ in 81.5% varying UAS decreased 17.8 points during treatment  Saini [30] 2011 7 ↑ in 47.6% 600 mg UAS decreased 14.6 points during treatment  21 ↑↑ in 48.0% 300 mg UAS decreased 19.9 points during treatment  23 ↑↑ in 48.0% 300 mg UAS decreased 19.9 points during treatment  25 ↑↑ in 48.0% 300 mg UAS decreased 19.9 points during treatment  26 ↑↑ in 48.0% 300 mg UAS decreased 19.9 points during treatment  27 ↑↑ in 48.0% 300 mg UAS decreased 19.9 points during treatment  28 ↑↑ in 48.0% 300 mg UAS decreased 19.9 points during treatment  29 ↑↑ in 48.0% 300 mg UAS decreased 19.9 points during treatment  29 ↑↑ in 48.0% 300 mg UAS decreased 19.9 points during treatment  20 ↑↑ in 48.0% 300 mg UAS decreased 19.9 points during treatment  29 ↑↑ in 48.0% 300 mg UAS decreased 19.9 points during treatment  20 ↑↑ in 48.0% 300 mg UAS decreased 19.9 points during treatment															
Metz [23]       2011       2       1       1       2       ↑↑ none       varying       five of seven patients responded complete         Godse [24]       2011       5       ↑↑ few       300 mg/2-4 w the urticaria activity score (UAS) was used some same standing activity of CD4+ by ATP released some same standing activity of CD4+ by ATP released some standing s														_	
Godse [24] 2011 5 ↑↑ few 300 mg/2-4 w the urticaria activity score (UAS) was use Sánchez-2011 1 ↑↑↑ none 300 mg/2 w increase in activity of CD4+ by ATP release Machín [25]  Duchini [26] 2011 1 → unknown 150 mg/4 w reactive to UVA and visible light but not UVB  Observational studies  Kaplan [27] 2008 12 ↑↑ none varying one patient was a nonresponder to treatment  Ferrer [28] 2011 9 ↑↑ unknown 300 mg basophil counts did not change significant  Controlled trials  Maurer [29] 2011 patients had IgE against thyroperoxidase 22 ↑ in 81.5% varying UAS decreased 17.8 points during treatment  Saini [30] 2011 ↑↑ in 47.6% 600 mg UAS decreased 14.6 points during treatment 25 ↑↑ in 48.0% 300 mg UAS decreased 19.9 points during treatment 25 ↑↑ in 48.0% 300 mg UAS decreased 9.8 points during treatment 25 ↑↑ in 34.8% 75 mg UAS decreased 9.8 points during treatment 23 ↑ in 34.8% 75 mg UAS decreased 9.8 points during treatment 23 ↑ in 34.8% 75 mg UAS decreased 9.8 points during treatment 23 ↑ in 34.8% 75 mg UAS decreased 9.8 points during treatment 23 ↑ in 34.8% 75 mg UAS decreased 9.8 points during treatment 25 ↑↑ in 34.8% 75 mg UAS decreased 9.8 points during treatment 25 ↑↑ in 34.8% 75 mg UAS decreased 9.8 points during treatment 25 ↑↑ in 34.8% 75 mg UAS decreased 9.8 points during treatment 25 ↑↑ in 34.8% 75 mg UAS decreased 9.8 points during treatment 25 ↑↑ in 34.8% 75 mg UAS decreased 9.8 points during treatment 25 ↑↑ in 34.8% 75 mg UAS decreased 9.8 points during treatment 25 ↑↑ in 34.8% 75 mg UAS decreased 9.8 points during treatment 25 ↑↑ in 34.8% 75 mg UAS decreased 9.8 points during treatment 25 ↑↑ in 34.8% 75 mg UAS decreased 9.8 points during treatment 25 ↑↑ in 34.8% 75 mg UAS decreased 9.8 points during treatment 25 ↑↑ in 34.8% 75 mg UAS decreased 9.8 points during treatment 25 ↑↑ in 34.8% 75 mg UAS decreased 9.8 points during treatment 25 ↑↑ in 34.8% 75 mg UAS decreased 9.8 points during treatment 25 ↑↑ in 34.8% 75 mg UAS decreased 9.8 points during treatment 25 ↑↑ in 34.8% 75 mg UAS decreased 9.8 points during tre			2	1	1	1	2		9					<u> </u>	
Sánchez- 2011 1 ↑↑↑ none 300 mg/2 w increase in activity of CD4+ by ATP release Machín [25]  Duchini [26] 2011 1 → unknown 150 mg/4 w reactive to UVA and visible light but not UVB  Observational studies  Kaplan [27] 2008 12 ↑↑ none varying one patient was a nonresponder to treatment  Ferrer [28] 2011 9 ↑↑ unknown 300 mg basophil counts did not change significant  Controlled trials  Maurer [29] 2011				1	1	1			_				, ,		
Machín [25]       Duchini [26]       2011       1       → unknown       150 mg/4 w       reactive to UVA and visible light but not UVB         Observational studies         Kaplan [27]       2008       12       ↑↑ none       varying       one patient was a nonresponder to treatment         Ferrer [28]       2011       9       ↑↑ unknown       300 mg       basophil counts did not change significant         Controlled trials         Maurer [29]       2011       patients had IgE against thyroperoxidase         27       ↑↑ in 81.5%       varying       UAS decreased 17.8 points during treatment         Saini [30]       2011       75 mg omalizumab not better than placeton         21       ↑↑ in 47.6%       600 mg       UAS decreased 14.6 points during treatment         25       ↑↑ in 48.0%       300 mg       UAS decreased 19.9 points during treatment         23       ↑ in 34.8%       75 mg       UAS decreased 9.8 points during treatment															
Duchini [26] 2011 1		2011							1		111	none	300 mg/2 w	increase in activity of CD4+ by ATP release	
Kaplan [27]       2008       12       ↑↑       none       varying treatment       one patient was a nonresponder to treatment         Ferrer [28]       2011       9       ↑↑       unknown       300 mg       basophil counts did not change significant         Controlled trials         Maurer [29]       2011       patients had IgE against thyroperoxidase         27       ↑↑       in 81.5%       varying       UAS decreased 17.8 points during treatment         Saini [30]       2011       75 mg omalizumab not better than placet         21       ↑↑       in 47.6%       600 mg       UAS decreased 14.6 points during treatment         25       ↑↑       in 48.0%       300 mg       UAS decreased 19.9 points during treatment         23       ↑       in 34.8%       75 mg       UAS decreased 9.8 points during treatment		2011	1								$\rightarrow$	unknown	150 mg/4 w		
Kaplan [27] 2008 12 ↑↑ none varying one patient was a nonresponder to treatment  Ferrer [28] 2011 9 ↑↑ unknown 300 mg basophil counts did not change significant controlled trials  Maurer [29] 2011 patients had IgE against thyroperoxidase 27 ↑↑ in 81.5% varying UAS decreased 17.8 points during treatment 22 ↑ in 86.4% placebo UAS decreased 5.8 points during treatment 25 ↑↑ in 47.6% 600 mg UAS decreased 14.6 points during treatment 25 ↑↑ in 48.0% 300 mg UAS decreased 19.9 points during treatment 23 ↑↑ in 34.8% 75 mg UAS decreased 9.8 points during treatment 23 ↑↑ in 34.8% 75 mg UAS decreased 9.8 points during treatment 23 ↑↑ in 34.8% 75 mg UAS decreased 9.8 points during treatment 23 ↑↑ in 34.8% 75 mg UAS decreased 9.8 points during treatment 23 ↑↑ in 34.8% 75 mg UAS decreased 9.8 points during treatment 25 ↑↑ in 34.8% 75 mg UAS decreased 9	Observational	studies													
Ferrer [28] 2011 9 ↑↑ unknown 300 mg basophil counts did not change significant   Controlled trials  Maurer [29] 2011 patients had IgE against thyroperoxidase  27 ↑↑ in 81.5% varying UAS decreased 17.8 points during treatment in 18.5% placebo UAS decreased 5.8 points during treatment in 18.5% placebo UAS decreased 5.8 points during treatment in 18.5% placebo UAS decreased 18.6 points during treatment in 18.5% placebo UAS decreased 18.6 points during treatment in 18.5% placebo UAS decreased 18.6 points during treatment in 18.5% placebo UAS decreased 19.9 points during treatment in 18.6% placebo UAS d										12	$\uparrow \uparrow$	none	varying		
Maurer [29] 2011  27 ↑↑ in 81.5% varying UAS decreased 17.8 points during treatment of the same of the	Ferrer [28]	2011							9		<b>↑</b> ↑	unknown	300 mg	basophil counts did not change significantly	
Maurer [29] 2011  27 ↑↑ in 81.5% varying UAS decreased 17.8 points during treatments and IgE against thyroperoxidase  27 ↑↑ in 81.5% varying UAS decreased 17.8 points during treatments and IgE against thyroperoxidase  28 ↑ in 86.4% placebo UAS decreased 5.8 points during treatments and IgE against thyroperoxidase  29 ↑ in 86.4% placebo UAS decreased 5.8 points during treatments and IgE against thyroperoxidase  20 ↑ in 81.5% varying UAS decreased 17.8 points during treatments and IgE against thyroperoxidase  21 ↑ in 81.5% varying UAS decreased 17.8 points during treatments and IgE against thyroperoxidase  22 ↑ in 81.5% varying UAS decreased 17.8 points during treatments and IgE against thyroperoxidase  23 ↑ in 81.5% varying UAS decreased 17.8 points during treatments and IgE against thyroperoxidase	Controlled tric	ıls													
Saini [30]     2011       21     ↑↑     in 86.4%     placebo     UAS decreased 5.8 points during treatments of placebo       21     ↑↑     in 47.6%     600 mg     UAS decreased 14.6 points during treatments during treatments of points during treatments of placebo       25     ↑↑     in 48.0%     300 mg     UAS decreased 19.9 points during treatments of points during treatments of placebo       23     ↑     in 34.8%     75 mg     UAS decreased 9.8 points during treatments during treatments of placebo												. 04 =0:			
Saini [30] 2011 75 mg omalizumab not better than placed 21 ↑↑ in 47.6% 600 mg UAS decreased 14.6 points during treatm 25 ↑↑ in 48.0% 300 mg UAS decreased 19.9 points during treatm 23 ↑ in 34.8% 75 mg UAS decreased 9.8 points during treatment															
21 ↑↑ in 47.6% 600 mg UAS decreased 14.6 points during treatm 25 ↑↑ in 48.0% 300 mg UAS decreased 19.9 points during treatm 23 ↑ in 34.8% 75 mg UAS decreased 9.8 points during treatment									22		1	in 86.4%	placebo		
25 ↑↑ in 48.0% 300 mg UAS decreased 19.9 points during treatm 23 ↑ in 34.8% 75 mg UAS decreased 9.8 points during treatment	Saini [30]	2011													
$\uparrow$ in 34.8% 75 mg UAS decreased 9.8 points during treatment															
														UAS decreased 19.9 points during treatment	
21 ↑ in 47.6% placebo UAS decreased 6.9 points during treatment													-		
									21		1	in 47.6%	placebo	UAS decreased 6.9 points during treatment	

SU = Solar urticaria; HU = heat urticaria; CU = cold urticaria; DPU = delayed pressure urticaria; UF = urticaria factitia; ChU = cholinergic urticaria; CIU = chronic idiopathic urticaria; CAU = chronic autoimmune urticaria. Effect is overall change in clinical symptoms after treatment with omalizumab; no response ( $\rightarrow$ ), partial ( $\uparrow$ ), almost complete ( $\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow$ ) or complete ( $\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow$ ) resolution of symptoms. w = Weeks.

## References

- 1 Zuberbier T, Asero R, Bindslev-Jensen C, Walter Canonica G, Church MK, Giménez-Arnau A, Grattan CE, Kapp A, Merk HF, Rogala B, Saini S, Sánchez-Borges M, Schmid-Grendelmeier P, Schünemann H, Staubach P, Vena GA, Wedi B, Maurer M; Dermatology Section of the European Academy of Allergology and Clinical Immunology; Global Allergy and Asthma European Network; European Dermatology Forum; World Allergy Organization: EAACI/GA(2)LEN/EDF/WAO guideline: definition, classification and diagnosis of urticaria. Allergy 2009;64:1417–1426.
- 2 Brunetti L, Francavilla R, Miniello VL, Platzer MH, Rizzi D, Lospalluti ML, Poulsen LK, Armenio L, Skov PS: High prevalence of autoimmune urticaria in children with chronic urticaria. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2004;114:922–927.
- 3 Zuberbier T, Asero R, Bindslev-Jensen C, Walter Canonica G, Church MK, Giménez-Arnau AM, Grattan CE, Kapp A, Maurer M, Merk HF, Rogala B, Saini S, Sánchez-Borges M, Schmid-Grendelmeier P, Schünemann H, Staubach P, Vena GA, Wedi B; Dermatology Section of the European Academy of Allergology and Clinical Immunology; Global Allergy and Asthma European Network; European Dermatology Forum; World Allergy Organization: EAACI/GA(2)LEN/EDF/WAO guideline: management of urticaria. Allergy 2009;64:1427-1443.
- 4 Vichyanond P: Omalizumab in allergic diseases, a recent review. Asian Pac J Allergy Immunol 2011;29:209–219.
- 5 Boyce JA: Successful treatment of cold-induced urticaria/anaphylaxis with anti-IgE. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2006;117:1415–1418.
- 6 Spector SL, Tan RA: Effect of omalizumab on patients with chronic urticaria. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol 2007;99:190–193.
- 7 Güzelbey O, Ardelean E, Magerl M, Zuberbier T, Maurer M, Metz M: Successful treatment of solar urticaria with anti-immunoglobulin E therapy. Allergy 2008;63:1563–1565.
- 8 Godse KV: Omalizumab in severe chronic urticaria. Indian | Dermatol Venereol Leprol 2008;74:157-158.
- 9 Metz M, Bergmann P, Zuberbier T, Maurer M: Successful treatment of cholinergic urticaria with antiimmunoglobulin E therapy. Allergy 2008;63:247–249.
- 10 Otto HF, Calabria CW: A case of severe refractory chronic urticaria: a novel method for evaluation and treatment. Allergy Asthma Proc 2009;30:333–337.
- 11 Magerl M, Staubach P, Altrichter S, Ardelean E, Krause K, Metz M, Weller K, Maurer M: Effective treatment of therapy-resistant chronic spontaneous urticaria with omalizumab. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2010:126:665–666.
- 12 Vestergaard C, Deleuran M: Two cases of severe refractory chronic idiopathic urticaria treated with omalizumab. Acta Derm Venereol 2010;90:443–444.
- 13 Krause K, Ardelean E, Kessler B, Magerl M, Metz M, Siebenhaar F, Weller K, Worm M, Zuberbier T, Maurer M: Antihistamine-resistant urticaria factitia successfully treated with anti-immunoglobulin E therapy. Allergy 2010;65:1494–1495.
- 14 Waibel KH, Reese DA, Hamilton RG, Devillez RL: Partial improvement of solar urticaria after omalizumab. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2010;125:490–491.
- 15 Romano C, Sellitto A, De Fanis U, Esposito G, Arbo P, Giunta R, Lucivero G: Maintenance of remission with low-dose omalizumab in long-lasting, refractory chronic urticaria. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol 2010;104:95–97.
- 16 Bullerkotte U, Wieczorek D, Kapp A, Wedi B: Effective treatment of refractory severe heat urticaria with omalizumab. Allergy 2010;65:931–932.
- 17 Sabroe RA: Failure of omalizumab in cholinergic urticaria. Clin Exp Dermatol 2010;35:e127-e129.
- 18 Bindslev-Jensen C, Skov PS: Efficacy of omalizumab in delayed pressure urticaria: a case report. Allergy 2010:65:138–139.
- 19 Al-Ahmad M: Omalizumab therapy in three patients with chronic autoimmune urticaria. Ann Saudi Me 2010;30:478–481.
- 20 Iemoli E, Piconi S, Fusi A, Borgonovo L, Borelli M, Trabattoni D: Immunological effects of omalizumab in chronic urticaria: a case report. J Investig Allergol Clin Immunol 2010;20:252–254.
- 21 Saavedra MC, Sur S: Down regulation of the high-affinity IgE receptor associated with successful treatment of chronic idiopathic urticaria with omalizumab. Clin Mol Allergy 2011;9:2.
- 22 Groffik A, Mitzel-Kaoukhov H, Magerl M, Maurer M, Staubach P: Omalizumab an effective and safe treatment of therapy-resistant chronic spontaneous urticaria. Allergy 2011;66:303–305.



- 23 Metz M, Altrichter S, Ardelean E, Kessler B, Krause K, Magerl M, Siebenhaar F, Weller K, Zuberbier T, Maurer M: Anti-immunoglobulin E treatment of patients with recalcitrant physical urticaria. Int Arch Allergy Immunol 2011;154:177-180.
- 24 Godse KV: Omalizumab in treatment-resistant chronic spontaneous urticaria. Indian J Dermatol 2011;56:444.

Case Rep Dermatol 2012;4:19-26

DOI: 10.1159/000336205

- 25 Sánchez-Machín I, Iglesias-Souto J, Franco A, Barrios Y, Gonzalez R, Matheu V: T cell activity in successful treatment of chronic urticaria with omalizumab. Clin Mol Allergy 2011;9:11.
- 26 Duchini G, Bäumler W, Bircher AJ, Scherer K: Failure of omalizumab (Xolair®) in the treatment of a case of solar urticaria caused by ultraviolet A and visible light. Photodermatol Photoimmunol Photomed 2011;27:336-337.
- 27 Kaplan AP, Joseph K, Maykut RJ, Geba GP, Zeldin RK: Treatment of chronic autoimmune urticaria with omalizumab. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2008;122:569-573.
- Ferrer M, Gamboa P, Sanz ML, Goikoetxea MJ, Cabrera-Freitag P, Javaloyes G, Berroa F, Kaplan AP: Omalizumab is effective in nonautoimmune urticaria. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2011;127:1300-1302.
- Maurer M, Altrichter S, Bieber T, Biedermann T, Bräutigam M, Seyfried S, Brehler R, Grabbe J, Hunzelmann N, Jakob T, Jung A, Kleine-Tebbe J, Mempel M, Meurer M, Reich K, Ruëff F, Schäkel K, Sengupta K, Sieder C, Simon JC, Wedi B, Zuberbier T, Mahler V, Staubach P: Efficacy and safety of omalizumab in patients with chronic urticaria who exhibit IgE against thyroperoxidase. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2011;128:202-209.e5.
- 30 Saini S, Rosen KE, Hsieh HJ, Wong DA, Conner E, Kaplan A, Spector S, Maurer M: A randomized, placebocontrolled, dose-ranging study of single-dose omalizumab in patients with H1-antihistamine-refractory chronic idiopathic urticaria. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2011;128:567–573.e1.