Ionizing Radiation Potentiates Dihydroartemisinin-Induced Apoptosis of A549 Cells via a Caspase-8-Dependent Pathway

Tongsheng Chen*, Min Chen, Jingqin Chen

MOE Key Laboratory of Laser Life Science and SATCM Third Grade Laboratory of Chinese Medicine and Photonics Technology, College of Biophotonics, South China Normal University, Guangzhou, China

Abstract

This report is designed to explore the molecular mechanism by which dihydroartemisinin (DHA) and ionizing radiation (IR) induce apoptosis in human lung adenocarcinoma A549 cells. DHA treatment induced a concentration- and time-dependent reactive oxygen species (ROS)-mediated cell death with typical apoptotic characteristics such as breakdown of mitochondrial membrane potential ($\Delta \psi_m$), caspases activation, DNA fragmentation and phosphatidylserine (PS) externalization. Inhibition of caspase-8 or -9 significantly blocked DHA-induced decrease of cell viability and activation of caspase-3, suggesting the dominant roles of caspase-8 and -9 in DHA-induced apoptosis. Silencing of proapoptotic protein Bax but not Bak significantly inhibited DHA-induced apoptosis in which Bax but not Bak was activated. In contrast to DHA treatment, low-dose (2 or 4 Gy) IR induced a long-playing generation of ROS. Interestingly, IR treatment for 24 h induced G2/M cell cycle arrest that disappeared at 36 h after treatment. More importantly, IR synergistically potentiated DHA-induced loss of $\Delta \psi_m$ and activation of caspase-9. Taken together, our results strongly demonstrate the remarkable synergistic efficacy of combination treatment with DHA and low-dose IR for A549 cells in which IR potentiates DHA-induced apoptosis largely by enhancing the caspase-8-mediated extrinsic pathway.

Citation: Chen T, Chen M, Chen J (2013) Ionizing Radiation Potentiates Dihydroartemisinin-Induced Apoptosis of A549 Cells via a Caspase-8-Dependent Pathway. PLoS ONE 8(3): e59827. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0059827

Editor: Prasun Datta, Temple University, United States of America

Received October 22, 2012; Accepted February 19, 2013; Published March 25, 2013

Copyright: © 2013 Chen et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: This research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China; Contract grant number: 31071218 and 61178078, and by the Key Project of the Department of Education and Finance of Guangdong Province; Contract grant number: cxzd115. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

* E-mail: chentsh@scnu.edu.cn

Introduction

Lung cancer is the leading cause of cancer related deaths worldwide in recent years [1]. It has been estimated that more than one million people die of it annually and over 1.4 millions are diagnosed every year [2]. Ionizing radiation (IR) therapy is widely considered as an effective therapeutic method for the control of lung cancer in the clinical applications, and considerably improves the length of survival for patients [3]. In response to IR, cells may undergo either cell cycle arrest and DNA damage repair or even apoptosis if the damage is beyond repaired [4,5]. The major mechanism involves the increase of DNA damage and decrease of DNA mismatch repair, in which reactive oxygen species (ROS) may modulate the signaling pathway through a wide variety of responses. Furthermore, some investigations demonstrate that, after IR treatment, transcription factors such as NF-KB and p53 upregulate the antiapoptotic proteins Bcl-2 and Bcl-x_L through the intrinsic apoptosis pathway [6]. Although it is the most effective modality in cancer treatment, IR, especially the high-dose IR, also provokes lots of side effects on normal tissues such as undesirable inflammatory reactions [6,7]. Therefore, natural products with lower side effects combined with low-dose IR have received increasing attention in recent years for the discovery of novel cancer therapeutic methods.

Artemisinin (ART), a natural product isolated from Chinese medicinal herb Artemisia annua L. (qinghao), and its derivatives (ARTs) such as dihydroartemisinin (DHA) and artesunate (ARTE) have been shown to have anticancer effects by induction of apoptosis without obvious side effects [8-10]. ROS from the reaction of endoperoxide bridge in ARTs plays important roles in ARTs-induced apoptosis [11-14]. DHA is widely considered as one of the most effective ARTs in terms of apoptosis induction, although the detailed mechanisms are still needed to be further elucidated [15,16]. Many recent studies, including these from our laboratory, have shown that cell apoptosis induced by DHA is implicated in the extrinsic (death receptor) and intrinsic (mitochondrial) apoptosis pathways or either of them, which may be companied by the generation of ROS, activation of caspases and decrease of Bcl-2/Bax expression ratio [17-19]. Specifically, Handrick et al (2010) [20] have demonstrated that DHA induces apoptosis by a Bak-dependent intrinsic pathway without the activation of caspase-8 in Jurkat cells. It has been reported that DHA treatment alone or in combination with other therapies such as gemcitabine activates transcription factor NF- κB or p53 to start intrinsic apoptosis pathway in vitro and in vivo [15,17]. Our recent studies have demonstrated that caspase-8, -9 and -3 play important roles in DHA-induced apoptosis of human lung adenocarcinoma ASTC-a-1 cells [18,19].

This report is designed to explore the molecular mechanism by which low-dose IR enhances DHA-induced apoptosis in another human lung adenocarcinoma A549 cells and provide a molecular basis for the use of combination therapy with DHA and IR to human lung adenocarcinoma. Our data show for the first time that low-dose IR remarkably potentiates the cytotoxic action of DHA in a synergistic manner through a caspase-8-dependent apoptosis pathway.

Results

DHA Induces Apoptosis of A549 Cells

We first characterized the cytotoxic action of DHA to A549 cells. After exposure of the cells to different concentrations of DHA (0–30 µg/ml) for 48 h (Fig. 1A) and to 20 µg/ml of DHA for different time (0–48 h), the Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8) assay was used to assess the cell viability. Our data demonstrated that DHA effectively induced concentration- and time-dependent cytotoxicity (Figs. 1A and 1B). After treatment with 10, 20, or 30 µg/ml of DHA, microscopic imaging of living cells stained with propidium iodide (PI) showed that the treatment using 30 µg/ml of DHA induced significantly necrosis (data not shown), while the treatment using 20 µg/ml of DHA induced the maximum level of apoptosis. Therefore, the concentration of 20 µg/ml of DHA was adopted in the following experiments.

To determine whether DHA induced cell death in apoptosis fashion, Hoechst 33258, PI staining and Annexin V/PI staining assay were used to examine the characteristics of apoptosis. Microscopic imaging of living cells stained with Hoechst 33258 showed apoptosis-related chromatin condensation and margination in DHA-treated cells (24 h) (Fig. 1C). Flow cytometry (FCM) analysis of the DNA content of cells stained with PI demonstrated that the proportion of cells with Sub-G₁ DNA content indicative of apoptotic cells increased from about 1.0% (control) to 6.5%, 13.9% and 32.6% at 12, 24 and 48 h after DHA treatment (Fig. 1D). Annexin V/PI staining assay was used to examine the integrity of cell membrane and the externalization of phosphatidylserine (PS), the typical characteristics of apoptosis. Staurosporine (STS)-treated cells were used as positive control. DHA treatment induced a marked increase in the percentage of cells with PS externalization and loss of the cell membrane integrity from 4.2% (control) to 33.4% (24 h) and 59.8% (48 h) (Fig. 1E), further demonstrating the notion that DHA treatment induced a time-dependent apoptosis.

Low-dose IR Dramatically Enhances DHA-elicited ROS Production

Confocal imaging of living cells incubated with 20 μ M 2',7'-Dichlorodihydrofluorescein diacetate (DCFH-DA), an oxidationsensitive fluorescent probe, showed that DHA treatment induced a rapid generation of ROS within 10 min (Figs. 2A and 2B). As shown in Figure 2C, treatment with DHA and low-dose IR (4 Gy) respectively induced a considerable ROS production, and IR treatment remarkably enhanced DHA-elicited ROS production at 30 min after treatment in a synergistic manner. However, we only detected the IR-induced ROS at 120 min after treatment (Fig. 2D), indicating that ROS elicited rapidly from DHA leaked out the cells, whereas IR treatment induced a long-playing generation of ROS.

FCM analysis showed that treatment with DHA and IR respectively induced a significant G2/M cell cycle arrest that was remarkably attenuated by N-acetyl cysteine (NAC) (one of scavengers of ROS) pretreatment (Figs. 2E and 2F), implying that

ROS played important roles in both IR- and DHA-induced G_2/M arrest.

Both the Intrinsic and Extrinsic Apoptosis Pathways Play Important Roles in DHA-induced Apoptosis

To verify whether caspase-8 and -9 are involved in DHAinduced apoptosis, fluorometric substrate assay was used to detect caspases activity. STS-treated cells were used as positive control. DHA treatment for 36 h substantially induced activation of caspase-8, -9 and -3 (Figs. 3A and 3B), and pretreatment with zIETD-fink or zLEHD-fink, the inhibitors of caspase-8 or -9, dramatically prevented the DHA-induced caspase-3 activation (Fig. 3B), indicating that caspase-8 and -9 acted as the upstream regulators of caspase-3 activation. CCK-8 assay showed that inhibition of caspase-8 or -9 by pretreatment with zIETD-fink or zLEHD-fink significantly prevented the cytotoxicity of DHA treatment for 48 h (Fig. 3C), demonstrating the important roles of caspase-8 and -9 in DHA-induced apoptosis. Moreover, compared with zLEHD-fink, zIETD-fink pretreatment led to a more potent prevention on the cytotoxicity of DHA (Fig. 3C).

We also found that NAC pretreatment potently prevented the cytotoxicity of DHA (Fig. 3C), indicating that ROS played an important role in DHA-induced apoptosis, which was further verified by the prevention of NAC pretreatment on DHA-induced Sub-G₁ cell cycle arrest (Fig. 3D). Moreover, NAC pretreatment remarkably prevented DHA-induced activation of caspase-8 (Fig. 3E) and loss of the mitochondrial membrane potential $(\Delta \psi_m)$ (Fig. 3F), indicating that ROS elicited from DHA mediated the intrinsic and extrinsic apoptosis pathways. Moreover, zIETDfmk pretreatment significantly inhibited the DHA-induced loss of $\Delta \psi_{\rm m}$ (Fig. 3F) and activation of caspase-9 (Fig. 3G), demonstrating that the extrinsic pathway participated in enhancing the intrinsic pathway to accelerate DHA-induced apoptosis. To evaluate whether BH3-only protein Bid bridges the extrinsic and intrinsic pathway, we used confocal imaging to monitor the spatial distribution of Bid inside living cells co-expressing CFP-Bid and DsRed-Mito, and found that DHA treatment for 36 h induced a significant translocation of tBid to mitochondria (Fig. 3H), indicating that caspase-8 cleaved Bid to tBid that translocated to mitochondria to potentiate the intrinsic apoptosis pathway.

Bax and Bcl-x_L are Involved in DHA-induced Apoptosis

To further substantiate the detailed molecular mechanism of the intrinsic apoptosis pathway, we determined the roles of Bax and Bak during DHA-induced apoptosis by using RNA Interference (RNAi). Western blotting analysis demonstrated that shBax- or shBak-transfected cells showed a significant down-regulation of the expression of Bax or Bak (data not shown). CCK-8 assay showed that silencing of Bax but not Bak significantly attenuated the decrease of cell viability after DHA treatment for 36 h (Fig. 4A), demonstrating that Bax rather than Bak was involved in the DHAinduced apoptosis. Bax/Bak activation experiences an N-terminal conformational transform which can be measured by antibodies that specifically recognize the active conformer of Bax (6A7) and Bak (Ab-2). FCM analysis showed that DHA treatment for 36 h induced a marked activation of Bax but not Bak (Fig. 4B). Confocal fluorescence microscopy imaging of single living cells coexpressing GFP-Bax and DsRed-Mito showed that GFP-Bax colocalized with DsRed-Mito in response to DHA treatment for 24 and 36 h (Fig. 4C), and statistical results from 200 cells in three independent experiments showed that the percentage of cells showing Bax translocation increased from 2.59% (control) to 30.95% for 24 h and to 53.95% for 36 h after DHA treatment





Figure 1. DHA induces apoptosis. (A) DHA-induced concentration-dependent reduction of cell viability assessed by CCK-8 assay. Cells were incubated with various concentrations of DHA (0, 5, 10, 20, 30 µg/ml) for 48 h, *P < 0.05, compared with control; **P < 0.01, compared with control. (B) DHA-induced time-dependent reduction of cell viability by CCK-8 assay. Cells were incubated with 20 µg/ml of DHA for different time (0, 12, 24, 36, 48 h). **P < 0.01, compared with control. (C) DHA-induced nuclear condensation of cells stained by Hoechst 33258 after treatment for 24 h. Images were recorded using a digital camera with 1280×1280 pixels resolution. Magnification 400. (D) DHA-induced Sub-G₁ cell cycle arrest. Cells were cultured with 20 µg/ml of DHA for 0, 12, 24 or 48 h and then stained with 5 µg/ml of Pl before being analyzed by FCM. (E) DHA-induced apoptosis assessed by FCM analysis. Cells were treated with 20 µg/ml of DHA for 0, 24 and 48 h, and then analyzed by FCM after staining with Annexin V-FITC/ Pl.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0059827.g001



Figure 2. ROS-dependent G2/M cell cycle arrest by DHA and IR respectively. (A) Dynamical fluorescence images of ROS generation in living cells after DHA treatment. Cells were incubated with 20 μ M DCFH-DA, an oxidation-sensitive fluorescent probe, for 30 min in the dark and then treated with DHA. The levels of intracellular ROS were monitored by a confocal microscope. Scale bar: 20 μ m. (B) Dynamics of DHA-induced ROS generation corresponding to Figure 2 (A). (C and D) FCM assay of ROS generation at 30 min (C) and 120 min (D) after IR, DHA and combination treatment, respectively. (E and F) ROS-dependent G₂/M arrest induced by IR (E) and DHA (F) respectively analyzed by FCM. Cells were irradiated with 1R or DHA in the presence or absence of NAC, and then stained with 5 μ g/ml of Pl before being analyzed by FCM. **P<0.01, compared with control; ##P<0.01, compared with DHA treatment alone (E) and ##P<0.01 and ^{&&}P<0.01, compared with IR treatment alone (F). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0059827.q002

(Fig. 4D), further demonstrating the important roles of Bax in DHA-induced apoptosis.

It has been demonstrated that some of the antiapoptotic members of Bcl-2 family could inhibit the activation of Bax during apoptosis [21]. Here, we wonder whether Bcl-x_L, a strong antiapoptotic member of Bcl-2 family, was implicated in DHA-induced apoptosis. Western blotting analysis demonstrated that DHA treatment markedly declined the expression level of Bcl-x_L (Fig. 4E), and pretreatment with HA14-1, an inhibitor of Bcl-x_L, significantly enhanced the DHA-induced cytotoxicity (Fig. 4F) and loss of $\Delta \psi_{\rm m}$ (Fig. 4G), suggesting that Bcl-x_L participated in DHA-induced apoptosis.

Collectively, our data demonstrate that Bax and Bcl- x_L act as upstream regulators to trigger the intrinsic pathway in DHA-induced apoptosis.

IR Enhances DHA-induced G₂/M Arrest and Apoptosis

FCM was used to evaluate the effects of IR treatment, DHA treatment and combination treatment with both IR and DHA on cell cycle arrest. Figure 5A showed a typical FCM analysis, and Figures 5 B and 5C showed the statistical results from three independent experiments. As shown in Figure 5A, the cells at 24 h after treatment with low-dose (2 and 4 Gy) IR showed a significant G₂/M cell cycle arrest that, however, disappeared at 36 h after treatment. Moreover, the IR treatment did not induce Sub-G1 cell cycle arrest, indicating that IR treatment did not induce apoptosis (Fig. 5A). DHA treatment for 24 and 36 h significantly induced a time-dependent irreversible G₂/M and Sub-G1 cell cycle arrest (Fig. 5A, low panel). Moreover, compared with DHA treatment alone, combination treatment with DHA and low-dose (2 and 4 Gy) IR showed a synergistic increase of G₂/M and Sub-G1 cell cycle arrest (Fig. 5A, low panel). From Figure 5B, we found that IR treatment significantly induced a dose (0-10 Gy)-dependent $G_2/$ M cell cycle arrest, and that DHA co-treatment remarkably enhanced the 2 and 4 Gy of IR-induced G₂/M cell cycle arrest, but significantly decreased the 6 and 10 Gy of IR-induced G_2/M cell cycle arrest, indicating the synergistic action of the combination treatment with DHA and low-dose (2 and 4 Gy) IR in G_2/M cell arrest. Moreover, we also found that low-dose IR (2 and 4 Gy) remarkably enhanced DHA-induced Sub-G₁ arrest (Fig. 5C), further indicating the synergistic action of the combination treatment in apoptosis.

The Extrinsic Apoptosis Pathway Plays Key Roles in the Synergistic Action of the Combination Treatment with IR and DHA

To investigate whether the intrinsic apoptosis pathway is involved in the synergistic effect of the combination treatment with low-dose IR and DHA, FCM analysis was firstly used to assess the effect of IR treatment on DHA-induced loss of $\Delta \psi_m$. We found that IR (2–10 Gy) treatment alone did not induced significant loss of $\Delta \psi_m$ compared with control (Figs. 6 A and 6B), and IR (2–10 Gy) treatment also did not potentiate the loss of $\Delta \psi_m$ induced by DHA treatment for 24 and 36 h (Figs. 6A and 6B), suggesting that the intrinsic apoptosis pathway was not involved in the synergistic effect of the combination treatment, which was further verified by the data that IR treatment did not increase the DHA-induced activation level of caspase-9 (Fig. 6C). However, fluorometric substrate assay showed that low-dose (2 or 4Gy) IR substantially enhanced the DHA-induced activation of caspase-8 and -3 (Figs. 6D and 6E), suggesting the important role of caspase-8 and -3 in the synergistic cytotoxicity of the combination treatment. Collectively, these data implicate that the extrinsic apoptosis pathway plays key roles in the synergistic action of the combination treatment with DHA and low-dose IR for A549 cells.

Discussion

Recent studies suggest a potential use of DHA as an anticancer agent [15,18] and combination treatment with DHA and low-dose IR as a promising therapeutic strategy of cancer therapy [20]. However, the detailed molecular mechanisms by which combination treatment induces apoptosis remain unclear [12,20]. Here, we show that DHA induces apoptosis of A549 cells via the extrinsic and intrinsic apoptosis pathways. Moreover, we demonstrate for the first time that low-dose (2 or 4 Gy) IR potentiates DHAinduced apoptosis in a synergistic fashion in which the extrinsic apoptosis pathway plays a key role.

Of note, treatment with IR alone seems to work better than with DHA on G2/M cell cycle arrest (Fig. 5B). Cells in G2/M arrest decreased from about 90% induced by treatment with 10 Gy IR alone to about 60% induced by the combination treatment with IR and DHA (Fig. 5B). It may be due to the DHA-induced cell death which changes cell cycle from G2/M phase to Sub-G1 phase. In combination with our data that compared with DHA treatment alone, the combination treatment does not significantly enhance the decrease of mitochondrial membrane potential (Figs 6A and 6B) and the activation of caspase-9(Fig. 6C). We infer that the intrinsic pathway does not play a significant role in the synergistic action of the combination treatment. In addition, compared with 2Gy, 4-10 Gy of IR treatment did not significantly enhance the DHA-induced G2/M arrest, Sub-G1 arrest (Figs. 5B and 5C) or decrease of mitochondrial membrane potential (Figs. 6A and 6B). Therefore, to explore the synergistic action of the combination treatment, we focus on the effect of the combination treatment with low-dose (2 and 4 Gy) IR and DHA.

Our findings that combination treatment with DHA and lowdose (2 or 4 Gy) IR augments the induction of G2/M cell cycle arrest at 24 h (Fig. 5B) and apoptosis at 36 h (Fig. 5C) in a synergistic manner suggest that the combination therapy tend to effectively improve the cytotoxic action of DHA. These findings strongly corroborate recent *in vitro* data on increased efficacy of DHA in Molt-4, glioma and Jurkat T-lymphoma cells when combined with IR [20,22]. Many kinds of tumor cells have been found to be resistant to IR, hence requiring increased IR dose for cancer treatment, which leads to higher side effects [7]. Our observations that the G2/M arrest induced by treatment with lowdose (2 and 4 Gy) IR for 24 h disappeared at 36 h after treatment (Fig. 5A) indicate that low-dose IR does not induce serious side effects. More interestingly, low-dose IR synergistically potentiates



Figure 3. DHA induces apoptosis via both extrinsic and intrinsic apoptosis pathways. (A) DHA induced activation of caspase-8 and -9 assessed by fluorometric assay. Cells were treated with DHA for 36 h. **P<0.01, compared with control. (B) DHA induced caspase-8- and -9-dependent caspase-3 activation by fluorometric assay. Cells were treated with DHA for 48 h in the presence or absence of zIETD-fmk and zLEHD-fmk, respectively. **P<0.01, compared with control; ##P<0.01, compared with DHA for 24 and 48 h in the presence or absence of zIETD-fmk and zLEHD-fmk, respectively. *8P<0.01, compared with control; $^{\#}P$ <0.05, ^{S5}P <0.01 and ^{8}P <0.05, compared with DHA treatment alone. (C) DHA induced caspase-8- and -9-dependent cytotoxicity assessed by CCK-8 assay. Cells were treated with DHA for 24 and 48 h in the presence or absence of zIETD-fmk and zLEHD-fmk, respectively. *8P<0.01, compared with control; $^{\$}P$ <0.05, ^{S5}P <0.01 and ^{8}P <0.05, compared with DHA treatment alone. (D) DHA ROS-mediated apoptosis assessed by FCM. **P<0.01, compared with control; ##P<0.01, compared with DHA treatment alone. (E) DHA induced ROS-dependent caspase-8 activation. **P<0.01, compared with control; ##P<0.01, compared with DHA treatment alone. (F) DHA induced ROS- and caspase-8-dependent loss of $\Delta \psi_m$ determined by FCM analysis. **P<0.01, compared with control; ##P<0.01, compared with DHA treatment alone. (G) DHA induced caspase-8-dependent caspase-8-dependent caspase-9 activation. *P<0.05 and **P<0.01, compared with control; #P<0.05, compared with DHA treatment alone. (H) Typical fluorescence images of Bid translocation to mitochondria inside single living cell after DHA treatment for 36 h. Control cells show the uniform distribution of Bid, while DHA-treated cells show the co-localization between Bid and mitochondria. Scale Bar: 5 μ m. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0059827.g003

the cytotoxic action of DHA (Figs. 5C, 6D and 6E), reducing the side effects of IR treatment and enhancing the efficacies of both DHA and IR. These data provide a guideline to the clinical works for cancer therapy by combining DHA and low-dose IR.

In contrast to the majority of previous reports, it is the extrinsic but not intrinsic apoptosis pathway that mediates the synergistic action of the combination treatment with DHA and IR. Recently, numerous studies have shown that stimuli such as gemcitabine or IR enhance the effects of DHA by intrinsic apoptosis pathway, participated by Bcl-2 family members, p53, c-myc, and NF-KB [15,17]. Our data show that NAC pretreatment remarkably prevents DHA-induced activation of capase-8 (Fig. 3E) and loss of $\Delta \psi_{\rm m}$ (Fig. 3F), demonstrating that ROS elicited rapidly from DHA triggers both extrinsic and intrinsic pathways to mediate DHAinduced apoptosis. Although IR treatment remarkably enhances DHA-elicited ROS production (Fig. 2C), the fact that IR treatment does not enhance DHA-induced loss of $\Delta \psi_{\rm m}$ (Figs. 6A and 6B) and activation of caspase-9 (Fig. 6C) demonstrate that the intrinsic apoptosis pathway is not involved in the synergistic action of the combination treatment. In combination with the remarkable synergistic efficacy of the combination treatment in apoptosis, our findings that IR treatment significantly accelerates DHA-induced activation of caspase-8 (Fig. 6D) and -3 (Fig. 6E) suggest that the extrinsic apoptosis pathway may play a key role in the synergistic action of the combination treatment.

However, the detailed mechanisms by which low-dose IR synergistically enhance DHA-induced apoptosis via a caspase-8dependent pathway is unclear. Low-dose IR induces a long lasting production of ROS (Figs. 2A and 2B) which induce G₂/M cell cycle arrest but not apoptosis (Fig. 5). It is generally considered that the cellular effects of low-dose IR identify mitochondria as the direct target for the generation of ROS [23]. However, our data show that IR neither induces apoptosis (Figs. 5A and 5C) nor enhances DHA-induced intrinsic apoptosis pathway (Figs. 6A, 6B and 6C), indicating that ROS induced by low-dose IR is not generated from mitochondria. More interestingly, low-dose IR remarkably enhances DHA-elicited ROS generation (Figs. 2A and 2B), but does not potentiate DHA-triggered intrinsic apoptosis pathway (Fig. 6). Low-dose IR may act as a catalyzer to break the endoperoxide bridge contained in DHA. However, it is unreasonable to infer that increasing ROS from DHA by IR potentiates the extrinsic but not intrinsic apoptosis pathway due to the fact that DHA activates ROS-dependent extrinsic and intrinsic apoptosis pathways (Fig. 3). The notion that IR is a well-known physical factor that can generate ·OH radicals due to radiolysis of water molecules [22,24] provides an explanation. IR induces the photolysis of substances such as water molecules to generate ROS that lessen the activation threshold of caspase-8. Another explanation is that the cells arrested in G2/M phase by low-dose IR are more caspase-8-sensitive to DHA.

Although the extrinsic and intrinsic pathways play important roles in DHA-induced apoptosis (Fig. 3), our observations show that inhibitory effects of zIETD-fmk on the cytotoxicity of DHA are much larger than zLEHD-fmk at both 24 and 48 h after DHA treatment (Fig. 3C), demonstrating that the extrinsic pathway plays a more important role in DHA-induced apoptosis. Moreover, this assertion is further demonstrated by our finding that inhibitory effects of zIETD-fmk on DHA-induced caspase-3 activation are far higher than that of zLEHD-fmk (Fig. 3D). In addition, the fact that inhibition of caspase-8 significantly prevents DHA-induced loss of $\Delta \Psi_m$ (Fig. 3F) and activation of caspase-8 in DHA-induced apoptosis of A549 cells.

In conclusion, low-dose IR remarkably enhances DHA-induced ROS generation and G_2/M arrest as well as apoptosis in a synergistic manner via a ROS-mediated extrinsic apoptosis pathway, which provides a potential therapeutic strategy for the clinical treatment of human lung adenocarcinoma.

Materials and Methods

Reagents

DHA was obtained from Bide Pharmaceutical Corporation (Guangzhou, Guangdong Province, China). Working solutions were prepared by dissolving the compound in dimethyl sulphoxide (DMSO) before experiments. The final concentration of DMSO was less than 1% in all experiments. N-acetyl cysteine (NAC), Hoechst 33258, RNase A and propidium iodide (PI) were obtained from Sigma (St.Louis, USA). Mouse monoclonal anti-Bcl-x_L and anti- β -actin antibodies were obtained from Cell Signaling (Beverly, Massachusetts). All the secondary antibodies were supplied by Molecular Probes (Eugene, Oregon).

Cell Culture and Transfection

A549 cell line obtained from the Department of Medicine, Jinan University (Guangzhou, China) was cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM, Gibco, Grand Island, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum. Cell cultures were maintained at 37°C in a humidified 5% CO₂ incubator. For fluorescence studies, cells were transiently transfected with plasmids using TurbofectTM in vitro transfection reagent (Ferments, USA).

RNA Interference (RNAi)

The Bax/Bak/Mcl-1 suppression was accomplished using Bax/ Bak/Mcl-1 shRNA constructs as described previously [12]. The oligonucleotides for shRNA were synthesized as follows. shBax: 5'-GGGACGAACTGGACAGTAACATTCAAGAGATGT-TACTGTCCAGTTCGTC CCTT-3'. shBak: 5'-GCCTGTTTGAGAGTGGCATCATTCAAGAGATGATGC-CACTCTCAAACA GGCTT-3'. shNC: 5'-



Rhod amin e 123 Flu orescen ce

Figure 4. Bax and Bcl-xL are involved in the DHA-induced apoptosis. (A) Silencing of Bax but not Bak prevented DHA-induced decreasing of cell ability assessed by CCK-8. Cells with shBax or shBak were treated with DHA for 36 h. ShNC was a negative control for shRNA. **P<0.01, compared with control; #P<0.05, compared with DHA treatment alone. (B) DHA induced the activation of Bax but not Bak analyzed by FCM analysis. (C) Typical fluorescence images of cells showing Bax translocation to mitochondria inside single living cell after DHA treatment for 24 h and 36 h. Scale Bar: 5 µm. (D) Quantification of cells showing GFP-Bax translocation from about 200 cells per treatment in 15 to 20 randomly selected image frames from three independent experiments. **P<0.01, compared with control. (E) DHA induced a decrease expression of Bcl-xL assessed by Western blot analysis. Bcl-xL expressions in control, DHA-treated cells were detected by Western blot using antibodies against Bcl-xL and β -actin. (F) HA14-1 pretreatment enhanced DHA-induced cytotoxicity. Cells were cultured with DHA for 0 or 36 h with or without the addition of 10 µM HA14-1. **P<0.01, compared with DHA for 0, 12 and 24 h in the presence or absence of 10 µM HA14-1, and stained with 1 µM Rho123 before being analyzed by FCM. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0059827.q004

GTTCTCCGAACGTGTCACGTCAAGAGATTACGTGA-

CACGTTCGGAGA ATT-3'. The shRNA sequences were transfected into cells using TurbofectTM siRNA transfection reagent (Ferments, USA) according to the manufacturer's protocol.

Cell Viability and Apoptosis Assay

Cell viability was assessed by Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8, Dojindo, Japan) assay as described previously [25,26]. All experiments were performed in quadruple occasions. Morphological

examination for apoptosis was detected by Hoechst 33258 staining. The images of Hoechst 33258 were recorded using a digital camera (Nikon, Tokyo, Japan) with 1280×1280 pixels resolution. Cell apoptosis detection was also performed by flow cytometry (FCM) analysis using Annexin V-FITC/PI apoptosis detection kit (Bender Medsystems, Vienna, Austria) as previously described, and for each FCM analysis 10,000 events were recorded.





Figure 5. IR synergistically enhances DHA-induced G₂/M arrest and apoptosis. (A) FCM analysis of cells cycle after low-dose IR treatment for 24 h and 36 h in the presence or absence of DHA. (B and C): IR potentiated the DHA-induced G₂/M arrest at 24 h (B) and apoptosis at 36 h (C) analyzed by FCM. **P<0.01, compared with treatment with control; ##P<0.01, compared with DHA treatment alone, ^{\$\$}P<0.01 compared with 2 Gy IR treatment; ^{&&}P<0.01, compared with 4 Gy IR treatment. Cells treated with different doses of IR were cultured with 20 µg/ml of DHA for indicated time and then stained with 5 µg/ml of PI before being analyzed by FCM. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0059827.g005



Figure 6. IR potentiates DHA-induced extrinsic apoptosis pathway. (A–B): IR did not accelerate the DHA-induced loss of $\Delta \psi_m$ at 24 h (A) and 36 h (B) after treatment assessed by FCM. ***P*<0.01, compared with control. (C) IR did not accelerate DHA-induced caspase-9 activation. ***P*<0.01, compared with control. (D and E) IR accelerated DHA-induced activation of caspase-8 (D) and -3 (E). Cells treated with IR were then cultured with DHA for 36 h. Caspase-8, -9 and -3 activities were measured by the fluorescence substrate Ac-IETD-AFC, Ac-LEHD-AFC and Ac-DEVD-AFC, respectively. ***P*<0.01, compared with control, ##*P*<0.01, compared with treatment with DHA alone. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0059827.g006

Measurement of Mitochondrial Membrane Potential $(\Delta \psi_m)$

Rhodamine 123 (Rho 123, Sigma, St.Louis, USA) was used to analyze $\Delta \psi_m$ by flow cytometric (FCM) assay as previously described [18]. Briefly, cells were harvested and stained with 10 μ M Rho 123 for 30 min at 37°C in the dark, and then washed with PBS twice and subsequently assayed by FCM. Results were

expressed as the proportion of cells with low Rho123 fluorescence indicating the loss of $\Delta \psi_m$.

Cell Cycle Analysis

The proportions of cells in Sub-G₁ (apoptosis), G₀/G₁, G₂/M, and S phases were determined by FCM analysis of DNA content as described previously [18]. To evaluate the cell cycle profile, cells (about 1×10^6 cells) were harvested, washed twice with PBS and

fixed in ice-cold 70% (v/v) ethanol for 1 h at 4°C. Prior to analysis, samples were washed again and incubated in PBS containing 10 μ g/ml RNase A for 30 min, and then incubated with 5 μ g/ml PI at 37°C in the dark for 30 min. DNA content was determined using a FCM (FACS, Arla BD, San Jose, California), and data were analyzed by ModFit LT software. For each analysis, 30,000 events were recorded.

Confocal Microscopy Imaging of Bid and Bax Distribution Inside Living Cells

Fluorescence imaging was performed on a confocal microscope (LSM510/ConfoCor2, Zeiss, Jena, Germany). For the imaging of CFP-Bid, GFP-Bax and DsRed-mito, CFP was excited with 458 nm laser and emission was recorded through a 470–500 nm band pass filter; GFP was excited with 488 nm laser and emission was recorded through a 500–550 nm band pass filter; DsRed was excited with 543 nm laser and emission was recorded through a 565–615 nm long pass filter.

FCM Analysis of the Activation of Bak and Bax

Cells were grown in 6-well dishes and treated with different conditions, then were harvested and fixed with 4% formaldehyde in PBS for 10 min at 37°C. Afterwards, the cells were treated with ice-cold 100% methanol for 10 min to permeabilize the cells. Fixed cells were blocked in PBS solution containing 1% Bovine serum for 10 min at room temperature and then were incubated with either anti-Bax (6A7) or anti-Bak (Ab-2) (1:50) at room temperature for 60 min and then incubated with FITC-conjugated goat1anti1mouse IgG (1:200) for 30 min in the dark. After washing, the samples were analyzed by FCM. The results for each condition were calibrated by values for untreated controls were arbitrarily set to 100%. In parallel, cells for each condition were stained with antibodies to total Bax or Bak for comparison as described previously [12].

Fluorometric Determination of Caspase Enzymatic Activation

Activities of caspase-3, -8, and -9 were measured using Ac-DEVD-AFC, Ac-IETD-AFC and Ac-LEHD-AFC (Alexis, Switzerland), respectively, according to the manufacturer's instructions [11]. Collected cells were washed twice with cold PBS, and were lysed in lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 1% Triton-100, 1 mM PMSF and protease inhibitor cocktail set I). The extract was transferred to a microlon ELISA plate with 98.5 µl/well. Proluminescence caspase-3, -8, or -9 substrates were added to extract in each well of a microtiter ELISA plate at a 100 µM final concentration at room temperature. Caspases activity was measured continuously by monitoring the release of fluorogenic AFC at 37°C. In the presence of caspase-3, -8, or -9, aminoluciferin was liberated from the proluminescence substance and utilized as a substrate for the luciferase reaction. The resultant luminescence in relative light units was measured by using auto microplate reader (infinite M200, Tecan, Austria). The excitation wavelength of AFC was 405 nm and the emission detection channel was 478-535 nm. The reaction mixture without protein was referred to the background and was subtracted from samples, and the caspase activation level in control cells was normalized to 1.0.

References

DHA and IR (X-ray) Treatment

Cells were treated with 20 $\mu g/mL$ of DHA for different time without specially explanation. IR (X-ray) was done at room temperature with 6 MV photons from a linear accelerator (Siemens, Germany) at a dose rate of 6 to 15 Gy/min. For combined treatment, DHA was added 30 min before IR treatment. Pretreatment with the caspase-8 and -9 as well as ROS inhibitors zIETD-fmk, zLEHD-fmk (San Francisco, USA) and NAC were done 1 h before further treatment, respectively.

Measurement of Intracellular ROS Generation

ROS generation inside living cells was measured by FCM with 2',7'-Dichlorodihydrofluorescein diacetate (DCFH-DA) (Wako Ltd, Osaka, Japan), an oxidation-sensitive probe, which is cleaved by nonspecific esterases and turns to highly fluorescent DCF upon oxidation by ROS. Untreated or treated cells were stained with 20 μ M DCFH-DA for 30 min in the dark and subsequently assayed by FCM.

Western Blotting Analysis

Cells were lysed in lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 1% Triton-100, 1 mM PMSF and protease inhibitor cocktail set I). After removing insoluble material by centrifugation for 5 min at 12,000×g, the protein concentration was estimated in the supernatant using the Bio-Rad protein assay (Bio-Rad, Munich, Germany) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Protein was separated by SDS-PAGE under reducing conditions before transferring onto nitrocellulose membranes (Millipore, Billerica, USA). Blots were blocked in TBST buffer containing 5% non-fat dry milk for 1 h at room temperature. The membrane was incubated overnight at 4°C with the respective primary antibodies. After repeated washings with TBST, the membranes were incubated with the secondary antibody for 1 h at room temperature before continuing to wash with TBST. Detection was performed using the Odyssey Infrared Imaging System (LI-COR Biosciences, Nebraska, USA). Equal loading was verified by antibody against β -Actin.

Statistical Analysis

Data were presented as means \pm SD. Data were analyzed by repeated-measures ANOVA with parametric methods and LSD multiple comparison using the statistical software SPSS 10.0 (SPSS, Chicago). Two-tailed Student's t-test was also performed where appropriate. Throughout the work, *P* values less than 0.05 were considered to be statistically significant. All experiments were performed in a minimum of three times.

Acknowledgments

We thank Professor R. J. Youle for providing us with the GFP-Bax plasmid, Professor K. Taira for providing us with the CFP-Bid plasmid and Professor Y. Gotoh for providing us with the DsRed-Mito plasmid.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: TSC. Performed the experiments: MC JQC. Analyzed the data: TSC MC JQC. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: MC. Wrote the paper: TSC.

 Field JK, Duffy SW (2008) Lung cancer screening: the way forward. Brit J Cancer 99: 557–562.

Pore MM, Hiltermann TJN, Kruyt FAE (2012). Targeting apoptosis pathways in lung cancer. Cancer Lett doi:10.1016/j.canlet.2010.09.012.

- Huang G, Wang H, Yang LX(2010). Enhancement of Radiation-induced DNA Damage and Inhibition of Its Repair by a Novel Camptothecin Analog. Anticancer Res 30: 937–944.
- Jiang H, Luo S, Li H (2005) Cdk5 Activator-binding Protein C53 Regulates Apoptosis Induced by Genotoxic Stress via Modulating the G₂/M DNA Damage Checkpoint. J Biol Chem 280: 20651–20659.
- Denissova NG, Nasello CM, Yeung PL, Tischfield JA, Brenneman MA(2011) Resveratrol protects mouse embryonic stem cells from ionizing radiation by accelerating recovery from DNA strand breakage. Carcinogenesis 33: 149–155.
- Dey S, Spring PM, Arnold S, Valentino J, Chendil D, et al. (2003) Low-Dose Fractionated Radiation Potentiates the Effects of Paclitaxel in Wild-type and Mutant p53 Head and Neck Tumor Cell Lines. Clin Cancer Res 9: 1557–1565.
- Thompson JS, Asmis R, Tapp AA, Nelson B, Chu Y, et al. (2010) Pyrrolidine dithiocarbamate (PDTC) blocks apoptosis and promotes ionizing radiationinduced necrosis of freshly-isolated normal mouse spleen cells. Apoptosis 15: 705–714.
- Lu JJ, Meng LH, Shankavaramb UT, Zhu CH, Tong LJ, et al. (2010) Dihydroartemisinin accelerates c-MYC oncoprotein degradation and induces apoptosis in c-MYC-overexpressing tumor cells. Biochem Pharmacol 80: 22–30.
- Disbrow GL, Baege AC, Kierpiec KA, Yuan H, Centeno JA (2005) Thibodeaux Clare A., Hartmann Dan, Schlegel Richard. Dihydroartemisinin Is Cytotoxic to Papillomavirus-Expressing Epithelial Cells In vitro and In vivo. Cancer Res 65: 10854–10861.
- Zhou HJ, Zhang JL, Li A, Wang Z, Lou XE (2010) Dihydroartemisinin improves the efficiency of chemotherapeutics in lung carcinomas in vivo and inhibits murine Lewis lung carcinoma cell line growth in vitro. Cancer Chemoth Pharm 66: 21–29.
- Xiao FL, Gao WJ, Wang XP, Chen TS (2012). Amplification activation loop between caspase-8 and -9 dominates artemisinin-induced apoptosis of ASTC-a-1 cells. Apoptosis 17: 600–611.
- Zhou CJ, Pan WL, Wang XP, Chen TS (2012). Artesunate induces apoptosis via a Bak-mediated caspase-independent intrinsic pathway in human lung adenocarcinoma cells. J Cell Physiol 227(12): 3778–3786.
- Mercer AE, Maggs JL, Sun XM, Cohen GM, Chadwick J, et al. (2007) Evidence for the Involvement of Carbon-centered Radicals in the Induction of Apoptotic Cell Death by Artemisinin Compounds. J Biol Chem 282: 9372–9382.
- Popowich DA, Vavra AK, Walsh CP, Bhikhapurwala HA, Rossi NB, et al. (2010) Regulation of reactive oxygen species by p53: implications for nitric oxide-mediated apoptosis. Am. J. Physiol. Heart Circ Physiol 298: H2192– H2200.

- Synergistic Extrinsic Apoptosis by DHA and IR
- Hou J, Wang D, Zhang R, Wang H (2008) Experimental Therapy of Hepatoma with Artemisinin and Its Derivatives: In vitro and In vivo Activity, Chemosensitization, and Mechanisms of Action. Clin. Cancer Res 14: 5519– 5530.
- Chen T, Li M, Zhang R, Wang H (2009) Dihydroartemisinin induces apoptosis and sensitizes human ovarian cancer cells to carboplatin therapy. J Cell Mol Med 13: 1358–1370.
- Wang SJ, Gao Y, Chen H, Kong R, Jiang HC, et al. (2010) Dihydroartemisinin inactivates NF-κB and potentiates the anti-tumor effect of gemcitabine on pancreatic cancer both in vitro and in vivo. Cancer Lett 293: 99–108.
- Lu YY, Chen TS, Wang XP, Qu JL, Chen M (2010) The JNK inhibitor SP600125 enhances dihydroartemisinin-induced apoptosis by accelerating Bax translocation into mitochondria in human lung adenocarcinoma cells, FEBS Lett 584: 4019–4026.
- Lu YY, Chen TS, Wang XP, Li L (2010). Single-cell analysis of dihydroartemisinin-induced apoptosis through reactive oxygen species-mediated caspase-8 activation and mitochondrial pathway in ASTC-a-1 cells using fluorescence imaging techniques. J Biomed Opt 15: 046028.
- Handrick R, Ontikatze T, Bauer KD, Freier F, Rübel A, et al. (2010) Dihydroartemisinin Induces Apoptosis by a Bak-Dependent Intrinsic Pathway. Mol Cancer Ther 9: 2497–2510.
- Gillissen B, Essmann F, Hemmati PG, Richter A, Richter A, et al. (2007). Mcl-1 determines the Bax dependency of Nbk/Bik-induced apoptosis. J Cell Biol 179: 701–715.
- Kim SJ, Kim MS, Lee JW, Lee CH, Yoo H, et al. (2006) Dihydroartemisinin enhances radiosensitivity of human glioma cells in vitro. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol 132: 129–135.
- Kolesnick R, and Fuks Z (2003). Radiation and ceramide-induced apoptosis. Oncogene 22: 5897–5906.
- Tribble DL, Barcellos-Hoff MH, Chu BM, Gong ELL (1999) Ionizing Radiation Accelerates Aortic Lesion Formation in Fat-Fed Mice via SOD-Inhibitable Processes. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 19: 1387–1392.
- Zhang LL, Zhang YJ, Xing D (2010) LPLI Inhibits Apoptosis Upstream of Bax Translocation via a GSK-3b-Inactivation Mechanism. J Cell Physiol 224: 218– 228.
- Zhang YJ, Xing D, Liu L (2009) PUMA Promotes Bax Translocation by Both Directly Interacting with Bax and by Competitive Binding to Bcl-XL during UV-induced Apoptosis. Mol Biol Cell 20: 3077–3087.