Neth Heart J (2020) 28 (Suppl 1):S88–S92 https://doi.org/10.1007/s12471-020-01457-3



Recent developments in diagnosis and risk stratification of non-ST-elevation acute coronary syndrome

G. W. A. Aarts · J. Q. Mol · C. Camaro · J. Lemkes · N. van Royen · P. Damman 💿

© The Author(s) 2020

Abstract In the past year, a number of important papers have been published on non-ST-elevation acute coronary syndrome, highlighting progress in clinical care. The current review focuses on early diagnosis and risk stratification using biomarkers and advances in intracoronary imaging.

Keywords NSTE-ACS \cdot Intracoronary imaging \cdot Troponin

Introduction

In addition to some universal clinical markers of risk, such as advanced age, diabetes and renal insufficiency, the initial clinical presentation is highly predictive of early prognosis in patients with non-ST-elevation acute coronary syndrome (NSTE-ACS). Guidelines recommend the quantitative assessment of ischaemic risk by means of risk scores such as the GRACE score, which is superior to clinical assessment alone. The GRACE risk score includes age, systolic blood pressure, pulse rate, serum creatinine, Killip class at presentation, cardiac arrest at admission, elevated cardiac biomarkers and ST deviation on the electrocardiogram. A number of important papers have been published in the past year highlighting progress in early diagnosis and risk stratification. The current review focuses on the use of biomark-

G. W. A. Aarts · J. Q. Mol · C. Camaro · N. van Royen · P. Damman (⊠) Department of Cardiology, Radboud University Medical Centre, Nijmegen, The Netherlands peter.damman@radboudumc.nl

J. Lemkes Department of Cardiology, Amsterdam UMC, location VUMC, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands ers and intracoronary imaging. As a celebration of the European Society of Cardiology 2020 congress we will discuss important upcoming or published Dutch studies related to these subjects.

Biomarkers in diagnosis and risk stratification of NSTE-ACS

In patients with chest pain, one of the main diagnostic concerns is whether the chest pain is due to an acute coronary syndrome (ACS). In suspected ACS, the diagnostic foundation is a combination of a 12lead electrocardiogram (ECG), clinical evaluation and cardiac troponin measurements [1]. After obtaining an ECG, the diagnosis is relatively straightforward in patients with an acute ST-segment-elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI). However, when ST-segment elevations are absent on the ECG, further evaluation is required in order to rule in or rule out an NSTE-ACS. Although only 10-20% of chest pain patients have an ACS, chest pain is one of the main complaints in the emergency department (ED), accounting for up to 10% of all ED visits [2-7]. Moreover, ED overcrowding, which is associated with worse patient outcomes, is a growing phenomenon [8, 9]. Therefore, early risk stratification and a shorter time to diagnosis in chest pain patients is important.

0h/1h algorithm

Measuring cardiac troponin with sensitive or highsensitivity cardiac troponin (hs-cTn) assays to rule in or rule out NSTE-ACS is recommended by the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) [1]. If hs-cTn assays are available, using a 0h/1h algorithm as an alternative to the 0h/3h algorithm is also recommended. Using a 1-hour algorithm may reduce the delay to diagnosis, leading to shorter stays in the ED, less over-



crowding and lower costs [10–15]. The applicability and validity has recently been investigated in several studies.

Boeddinghaus et al. evaluated the validity of the 0h/1h algorithm by prospectively enrolling patients presenting to the ED with symptoms suggestive of acute myocardial infarction in three diagnostic studies according to age (<55 years, \geq 55 to <70 years, and ≥70 years). Hs-cTn T and hs-cTn I plasma concentrations were measured at presentation and after 1 h. In all age groups, the rule-out safety was very high, with a sensitivity of >99.3%.[16] However, with increasing age, the overall specificity and the accuracy of rulein significantly decreased. Therefore, Boeddinghaus et al. suggest that alternative slightly higher cut-off concentrations may be considered for older patients. The authors confirmed their findings in two validation cohorts. However, when age is incorporated in the algorithm in order to improve the specificity, adding other confounders such as chronic kidney disease, chronic heart failure and atrial fibrillation should also be considered [17]. Twerenbold et al. evaluated the real-world performance of the 0h/1h algorithm and confirmed its excellent applicability [18]. The routine use of the 0h/1h algorithm resulted in very low 30day major adverse cardiac event (MACE) rates in the rule-out group and in outpatients (0.2% and 0.1%, respectively). Moreover, routine use of the 0h/1h algorithm resulted in an average short ED stay of 2.5 h, suggesting that implementation of the 0h/1h algorithm might help to prevent ED overcrowding. Chew et al. evaluated the 0h/1h algorithm in a randomised setting in the RAPID-TnT trial.[19] In this multicentre trial, patients with suspected ACS were randomised to either the 0h/1h hs-cTn algorithm or the 0h/3h standard algorithm in which the troponin T assay's highsensitivity performance characteristics were masked. Patients randomised to the 0h/1h arm were more likely to be discharged from the ED, had a shorter length of stay in the ED and underwent less functional cardiac testing. The negative predictive value of the 0h/1h algorithm was 99.6% for MACE.

To summarise, several studies have shown that the 0h/1h algorithm recommended by the ESC is an excellent fast rule-in and rule-out tool enabling more rapid discharge and less additional testing in suspected ACS patients.

Pre-hospital rule out

Ruling out ACS in the pre-hospital setting could have major medical and economic value. Schols et al. conducted a nationwide flash-mob study in the Netherlands to evaluate the safety of the Marburg Heart Score (MHS) to rule out ACS [20]. The MHS is a clinical decision rule based on five signs and symptoms, which was designed to identify patients with a low probability of ACS as the underlying cause of chest pain in the primary care population [21, 22]. Although ruling out ACS in the primary care setting without additional tests, such as the ECG and cardiac troponin measurements, sounds attractive, Schols et al. showed that ACS could not be safely ruled out using the MHS. The FamouS Triage study group has shown that it is possible to identify low-risk patients in the pre-hospital setting by assessing the modified History, Electrocardiogram, Age, Risk factors and Troponin (HEART) score [23, 24]. Moreover, they have shown that incorporating a point-of-care (POC) troponin T test into the HEART score enables ambulance paramedics to assess the complete HEART score and rule out ACS in lowrisk patients at home [25]. In order to further evaluate the possibilities of ruling out ACS at home, the ARTICA (Acute Rule out of non-ST elevation acute coronary syndrome in the pre-hospital setting by HEART score assessment and a single poInt-of-CAre troponin) trial is currently being conducted [26]. The ARTICA trial is a randomised trial with a primary objective to evaluate the cost-effectiveness of ruling out ACS at home. Lowrisk patients are identified by assessment of the H, E, A and R components of the HEART score and then randomised to either transfer to the ED (standard care) versus POC troponin T measurement at home. If the POC troponin T value is below the limit of detection (40 ng/l), an ACS is considered ruled out and the care of the patient is transferred to the general practitioner.

Intracoronary optical coherence tomography for diagnosis and risk stratification

Intracoronary imaging, using intravascular ultrasound (IVUS) or optical coherence tomography (OCT) has become widely implemented in the cathlab. Recently a consensus document for the clinical use of intracoronary imaging in ACS was published, highlighting several indications for OCT [27]. One of the key roles for OCT in ACS is its ability to assist in identifying a culprit lesion, based on the presence of thrombus. In the absence of significant coronary artery disease (CAD), OCT may assist in the evaluation of nonatherosclerotic aetiologies. In addition to determining the cause of an ACS, OCT enables in vivo assessment of plaque composition. Determining the morphological make-up of atherosclerotic lesions might help with risk stratification by identifying high-risk plaques or patients.

Dutch contribution to the field

- PECTUS trial on intracoronary imaging of vulnerable plaque.
- ARTICA trial on prehospital triage.

OCT-derived vulnerable plaque features

Several characteristics of morphological lesions have been associated with increased vulnerability to plaque rupture in retrospective studies [28]. In general these vulnerable lesions have a large necrotic core with a thin, inflamed overlying fibrous cap, and are referred to as thin-cap fibroatheromas. In the prospective observational CLIMA study, OCT-derived vulnerable plaque features of lesions in the left anterior descending artery were correlated with a composite endpoint of cardiac death and target segment myocardial infarction at 12-month follow-up [29]. It was shown that lesions with the combination of four high-risk features (a minimal lumen area of <3.5 mm², a fibrous cap thickness of <75 µm, a lipid arc of >180°, and macrophage infiltration) were associated with the endpoint with a hazard ratio of 7.54. CLIMA was the first OCT study to prospectively link plaque vulnerability to clinical endpoints. However, because OCT imaging in this study was performed on a single predefined segment, the question remains whether 'targeted' OCT imaging of angiographic lesions can improve risk stratification, and potentially guide treatment decisions. This gap in knowledge is currently being addressed by the COMBINE (NCT02989740), and PECTUS-obs study (NCT03857971).

Vulnerable plaque treatment

The ability to identify vulnerable coronary lesions might have therapeutic consequences. In addition, intracoronary imaging could be used to quantify vulnerability of the complete epicardial coronary system, thereby identifying 'vulnerable patients'. Aggressive systemic therapy might be beneficial for vulnerable plaques or patients. In addition, vulnerable plaques might be a target for focal therapy by means of percutaneous coronary intervention. Both systemic and local therapies are the subject of multiple active stud-For instance, The HUYGENS (NCT03570697) ies. and PACMAN-AMI (NCT03067844) trials aim to see if treatment with PCSK9 inhibition results in stabilisation of plaque morphology and fewer clinical events, whereas the PROSPECT-ABSORB (NCT02171065) and PREVENT (NCT02316886) studies focus on preventive stenting of vulnerable plaques.

Healed plaques

Healed plaques are atherosclerotic lesions with a layered OCT appearance. This phenotype is believed to be the result of repair processes of ruptured or eroded plaques [30]. Identification of these lesions with OCT has recently been validated against histology and has gained considerable attention in the last year [31]. In an observational cohort study of 105 patients who had undergone coronary angiography with OCT imaging of non-culprit lesions, patients were divided into two groups based on the two extremes of the clinical spectrum of CAD [32]. The first group contained patients who had long-standing stable CAD, and the second group contained patients with a history of multiple recurrent ACS. In the long-term stable CAD group, healed plaques were seen in about one-third of patients, whereas in the recurrent ACS group hardly any healed plaques were observed. The authors argued that plaque healing takes place after plaque rupture or erosion if thrombosis-resisting factors prevail, whereas a clinically manifest ACS occurs in a more pro-thrombotic environment, and that detection of healed plaques could therefore help identify patients who are relatively protected from developing acute occlusive thrombosis. A different OCT study of pre-intervention ACS culprit lesions showed a layered phenotype in 29% of lesions [33]. In contrast to the previous study, they found that patients with healed culprit plaques were more often associated with a history of myocardial infarction. Additionally, plaque rupture, lipid plaques, and thin-cap fibroatheromas were more prevalent in healed culprit plaques and the prevalence of healed plaques increased with an increasing degree of percent area stenosis. The authors therefore concluded that the associations with plaque vulnerability may outweigh the protective mechanism of plaque healing and might actually predispose patients to future acute coronary events. In a following study of the same cohort of ACS patients, it was shown that this association with vulnerable plaque characteristics also extended to healed non-culprit lesions [34].

Future perspective

We have discussed recent developments in the diagnosis and risk stratification of NSTE-ACS. With regards to the early diagnosis, multiple reports have shown the diagnostic accuracy of the 0h/1h algorithm enabling earlier ruling in and ruling out of NSTEMI. We expect improvements in the POC assays, resulting in an even higher accuracy in the pre-hospital triage in the ambulance or even by the general practitioner. NSTE-ACS is associated with long-term recurrent ischaemic events. The concept of identifying vulnerable plaques or patients based on the characteristics of morphological lesions seems promising, and might further improve risk stratification if added to existing risk models. However, more prospective studies with clinical outcome data are needed to validate this strategy.

Conflict of interest G.W.A. Aarts, J.Q. Mol, C. Camaro, J. Lemkes, N. van Royen and P. Damman declare that they have no competing interests.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to



the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

- 1. Roffi M, Patrono C, Collet JP, et al. 2015 ESC guidelines for the management of acute coronary syndromes in patients presenting without persistent ST-segment elevation. Rev Esp Cardiol. 2015;68:1125.
- 2. Mahler SA, Hiestand BC, Goff DC Jr., Hoekstra JW, Miller CD. Can the HEART score safely reduce stress testing and cardiac imaging in patients at low risk for major adverse cardiac events? Crit Pathw Cardiol. 2011;10:128–33.
- 3. Poldervaart JM, Reitsma JB, Backus BE, et al. Effect of using the HEART score in patients with chest pain in the emergency department: a stepped-wedge, cluster randomized trial. Ann Intern Med. 2017;166:689–97.
- 4. Nasrallah N, Steiner H, Hasin Y. The challenge of chest pain in the emergency room: now and the future. Eur Heart J. 2011;32:656.
- 5. Mockel M, Searle J, Muller R, et al. Chief complaints in medical emergencies: do they relate to underlying disease and outcome? The Charite emergency medicine study (CHARITEM). Eur J Emerg Med. 2013;20:103–8.
- 6. Langlo NM, Orvik AB, Dale J, Uleberg O, Bjornsen LP. The acute sick and injured patients: an overview of the emergency department patient population at a Norwegian university hospital emergency department. Eur J Emerg Med. 2014;21:175–80.
- 7. Pitts SR, Niska RW, Xu J, Burt CW. National hospital ambulatory medical care survey: 2006 emergency department summary. Natl Health Stat Report. 2008;7:1–38.
- 8. Sun BC, Hsia RY, Weiss RE, et al. Effect of emergency department crowding on outcomes of admitted patients. Ann Emerg Med. 2013;61:605–611.e6.
- 9. Rasouli HR, Esfahani AA, Nobakht M, et al. Outcomes of crowding in emergency departments; a systematic review. Arch Acad Emerg Med. 2019;7:e52.
- 10. Rubini Gimenez M, Hoeller R, Reichlin T, et al. Rapid rule out of acute myocardial infarction using undetectable levels of high-sensitivity cardiac troponin. Int J Cardiol. 2013;168:3896–901.
- 11. Rubini Gimenez M, Twerenbold R, Jaeger C, et al. Onehour rule-in and rule-out of acute myocardial infarction using high-sensitivity cardiac troponin I. Am J Med. 2015;128:861–70.e4.
- 12. Reichlin T, Schindler C, Drexler B, et al. One-hour rule-out and rule-in of acute myocardial infarction using high-sensitivity cardiac troponin T. Arch Intern Med. 2012;172:1211–8.
- 13. Reichlin T, Twerenbold R, Wildi K, et al. Prospective validation of a 1-hour algorithm to rule-out and rule-in acute myocardial infarction using a high-sensitivity cardiac troponin T assay. CMAJ. 2015;187:E243–E52.
- 14. Body R, Carley S, McDowell G, et al. Rapid exclusion of acute myocardial infarction in patients with undetectable troponin using a high-sensitivity assay. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2011;58:1332–9.
- 15. Ambavane A, Lindahl B, Giannitsis E, et al. Economic evaluation of the one-hour rule-out and rule-in algorithm for acute myocardial infarction using the high-sensitivity

cardiac troponin T assay in the emergency department. PLoSONE.2017;12:e187662.

- 16. Boeddinghaus J, Nestelberger T, Twerenbold R, et al. Impact of age on the performance of the ESC 0/1h-algorithms for early diagnosis of myocardial infarction. Eur Heart J. 2018;39:3780–94.
- 17. Banning AP, Crea F, Luscher TF. The year in cardiology: acute coronary syndromes. Eur Heart J. 2020;41:821–32.
- 18. Twerenbold R, Costabel JP, Nestelberger T, et al. Outcome of applying the ESC 0/1-hour algorithm in patients with suspected myocardial infarction. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2019;74:483–94.
- 19. Chew DP, Lambrakis K, Blyth A, et al. A randomized trial of a 1-hour troponin T protocol in suspected acute coronary syndromes: the rapid assessment of possible acute coronary syndrome in the emergency department with high-sensitivity troponin T study (RAPID-TnT). Circulation. 2019;140:1543–56.
- 20. Schols AMR, Willemsen RTA, Bonten TN, et al. A nationwide flash-mob study for suspected acute coronary syndrome. Ann Fam Med. 2019;17:296–303.
- 21. Haasenritter J, Bosner S, Vaucher P, et al. Ruling out coronary heart disease in primary care: external validation of a clinical prediction rule. Br J Gen Pract. 2012;62:e415–21.
- 22. Haasenritter J, Donner-Banzhoff N, Bosner S. Chest pain for coronary heart disease in general practice: clinical judgement and a clinical decision rule. Br J Gen Pract. 2015;65:e748–53.
- 23. Ishak M, Ali D, Fokkert MJ, et al. Fast assessment and management of chest pain without ST-elevation in the prehospital gateway: rationale and design. Eur Heart J Acute Cardiovasc Care. 2015;4:129–36.
- 24. Ishak M, Ali D, Fokkert MJ, et al. Fast assessment and management of chest pain patients without ST-elevation in the pre-hospital gateway (FamouS Triage): ruling out a myocardial infarction at home with the modified HEART score. Eur Heart J Acute Cardiovasc Care. 2018;7:102–10.
- 25. van Dongen DN, Tolsma RT, Fokkert MJ, et al. Pre-hospital risk assessment in suspected non-ST-elevation acute coronary syndrome: a prospective observational study. Eur Heart J Acute Cardiovasc Care. 2020;9(1):5–12.
- 26. Aarts GWA, Camaro C, van Geuns RJ, et al. Acute rule-out of non-ST-segment elevation acute coronary syndrome in the (pre)hospital setting by HEART score assessment and a single point-of-care troponin: rationale and design of the ARTICA randomised trial. BMJ Open. 2020;10(2):e34403.
- 27. Johnson TW, Räber L, di Mario C, et al. Clinical use of intracoronary imaging. Part 2: acute coronary syndromes, ambiguous coronary angiography findings, and guiding interventional decision-making: an expert consensus document of the European association of percutaneous cardiovascular interventions: endorsed by the Chinese society of cardiology, the Hong Kong society of transcatheter endocardiovascular therapeutics (HKSTENT) and the cardiac society of Australia and New Zealand. Eur Heart J. 2019;40:2566–84.
- 28. Bom MJ, van der Heijden DJ, Kedhi E, et al. Early detection and treatment of the vulnerable coronary plaque: can we prevent acute coronary syndromes? Circ Cardiovasc Imaging. 2017;10(5):e5973.
- 29. Prati F, Romagnoli E, Gatto L, et al. Relationship between coronaryplaquemorphologyoftheleftanterior descending artery and 12 months clinical outcome: the CLIMA study. Eur Heart J. 2020;41:383–91.
- 30. Otsuka F, Joner M, Prati F, et al. Clinical classification of plaque morphology in coronary disease. Nat Rev Cardiol. 2014;11:379–89.

- 31. Shimokado A, Matsuo Y, Kubo T, et al. In vivo optical coherence tomography imaging and histopathology of healed coronary plaques. Atherosclerosis. 2018;275:35–42.
- 32. Vergallo R, Porto I, D'Amario D, et al. Coronary atherosclerotic phenotype and plaque healing in patients with recurrent acute coronary syndromes compared with patients with long-term clinical stability: an in vivo optical coherence tomography study. JAMA Cardiol. 2019;4:321–9.
- 33. Fracassi F, Crea F, Sugiyama T, et al. Healed culprit plaques in patients with acute coronary syndromes. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2019;73:2253–63.
- 34. Russo M, Kim HO, Kurihara O, et al. Characteristics of nonculprit plaques in acute coronary syndrome patients with layered culprit plaque. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging. 2019; https://doi.org/10.1093/ehjci/jez308.