



Are neurofibromatosis type 1 (NF1) patients at increased risk of meningioma and in particular malignant meningioma?

D. Gareth R. Evans[^], Lauren Lunt

Manchester Centre for Genomic Medicine, Manchester Academic Health Science Centre, Division of Evolution and Genomic Medicine, University of Manchester, St Mary's Hospital, Manchester Universities NHS Foundation Trust, Manchester, UK

Correspondence to: Prof. D. Gareth R. Evans. Manchester Centre for Genomic Medicine, Manchester Academic Health Science Centre, Division of Evolution and Genomic Medicine, University of Manchester, St Mary's Hospital, Manchester Universities NHS Foundation Trust, Manchester, M13 9WL, UK. Email: Gareth.Evans@mft.nhs.uk.

Comment on: AlAnsari GA, Bukhari N, Abdulkader MM, *et al.* Malignant anaplastic meningioma in neurofibromatosis type 1 patient: a rare case report. *AME Case Rep* 2022. doi: 10.21037/acr-22-8.

Received: 24 August 2022; Accepted: 24 September 2022; Published: 30 October 2022.

doi: 10.21037/acr-22-54

View this article at: <https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/acr-22-54>

In the current edition of the journal AlAnsari *et al.* report a case of a young woman with neurofibromatosis type 1 (NF1) who had developed an anaplastic meningioma (1). Around 1 in 67 people are diagnosed with a brain tumour in their lifetime (<https://www.cancerresearchuk.org/health-professional/cancer-statistics/statistics-by-cancer-type/brain-other-cns-and-intracranial-tumours/risk-factors>). Meningiomas make up around 1/3 (2) of these inferring that around 1 in 200 people will be diagnosed with meningioma in their lifetime. This rises further to 1 in 110 people who have asymptomatic meningiomas on MRI at an average age of 63 years (3). There is a higher incidence in adult women, with only 1–3% falling into the malignant forms (4). As such between 1 in 6,700 and 1 in 20,000 people would be expected to develop a malignant meningioma. NF1 has a birth incidence of between 1 in 2,000 and 1 in 2,800 (5,6). Therefore, these are two rare diseases and a country the size of Italy (population 60 million) would only be expected to have one person alive today who would have NF1 and develop a malignant meningioma if the lower estimates of incidence were used. It is important that rare disease associations are reported such as this so that these can be explored further by subsequent reports or large NF1 or meningioma cohort studies. In reality even whole population studies in countries like Finland with over 1,400 NF1 patients identified (7) would not be large enough to

validate any association unless the odds ratio was more than 10-fold population levels. The reporting of just two cases of an association in a small country like Finland would be sufficient to validate a likely association, but only one malignant meningioma was included in the Finnish series (7,8) (Peltonen J personal communication). A seminal review of central nervous system (CNS) tumours in the neurofibromatoses did not report any association between NF1 and meningioma let alone malignant meningioma (9). Indeed, cohort studies should be able to easily assess any link with meningioma as a whole. One cohort study from the UK reported only one meningioma in 523 NF1 patients (10). A total of seven meningiomas have been diagnosed in the Finnish cohort of 1,482 individuals (0.47%–Peltonen J personal communication) with NF1 (7,8). An update from our UK study has only found 8 NF1 patients with a single meningioma (0.27%) out of 2,909 total equivalent to 1 in 363 individuals. Given that about 30% of our NF1 patients have received a brain MRI this does not seem likely to represent an increased risk. Using the search term ‘meningioma risk and NF1’ in PubMed only two other cohort study have been reported with 1/69 NF1 patients having a single meningioma in a Taiwanese series (11). The contentious series was reported from the USA where a 65-year history of paediatric meningiomas was reported from a single institution (12). The authors reported that

[^] ORCID: 0000-0002-8482-5784.

eight of 39 patients had neurofibromatosis with 4 being classified as NF1. However, on closer inspection the diagnosis of NF1 is highly debatable in the four cases. Firstly, these cases dated between 1963 and 1975 well before the formal separation of NF1 and NF2 by the National Institutes of Health consensus conference in the USA in 1986. Before that time many series included individuals with bilateral acoustic neuromas (vestibular schwannomas) as having von Recklinghausen neurofibromatosis the classical descriptor for NF1. This is exemplified by the series from Frank Crowe from 1956 (13), in which 5% of patients had bilateral 8th cranial nerve tumours. Secondly the description in the case series (12) of associated tumours is much more in keeping with NF2 with the exception of optic glioma “Three patients—and an unconfirmed fourth case—had NF1 one of whom also had multiple intracranial meningiomas. In these patients, optic gliomas, cervical neurofibroma, cerebellopontine angle schwannoma, cauda equina schwannoma, schwannoma of appendix and pericardium, and neurofibromas of the oculomotor nerves were also observed”. Although neurofibromas are a feature of NF1 not NF2 the pathologies are often intermingled (14,15) and the presence of multiple meningiomas and cerebello-pontine angle schwannoma (most likely vestibular schwannoma) in a child with meningioma would be vastly more likely to be NF2 than NF1. Indeed, the paper does not make a good case for any definite confirmed case of NF1. In the absence of a clear association between meningiomas as a whole it seems unlikely that NF1 will be associated with malignant meningioma, but only by combining large series of NF1 patients will any lack of association be confirmed.

A salient point when searching for associations between rare conditions and rare tumour types is to make sure of the source of any reported association (16). In a report of 173 cases of childhood medulloblastoma we reported a single case of NF1 (16). However, the literature reported an increased risk of medulloblastoma in NF1 that all related to a single case (17). This would clearly not have been sufficient to verify an association even with a second report. Since then, a recent paper reported a medulloblastoma-NF1 association including 9 further cases from the literature (18), although they missed the association reported by ourselves (16). Nonetheless, given that there are now 11 reported cases of NF1 and paediatric medulloblastoma with a childhood risk of around 1 in 10,000 for medulloblastoma in childhood (19) a link between NF1 and childhood medulloblastoma seems more likely than with malignant meningioma at any age given that there has thus far been only one report albeit that

a further case was identified in the Finnish series (1,7).

Acknowledgments

Funding: The study was supported by the NIHR Manchester Biomedical Research Centre (No. IS-BRC-1215-20007 to Gareth R. Evans).

Footnote

Provenance and Peer Review: This article was commissioned by the editorial office, *AME Case Reports*. The article did not undergo external peer review.

Conflicts of Interest: Both authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at <https://acr.amegroups.com/article/view/10.21037/acr-22-54/coif>). DGRE receives consultancy payments from AstraZeneca. The other author has no conflicts of interest to declare.

Ethical Statement: The authors are accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Open Access Statement: This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-commercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>.

References

1. AlAnsari GA, Bukhari N, Abdulkader MM, et al. Malignant anaplastic meningioma in neurofibromatosis type 1 patient: a rare case report. *AME Case Rep* 2022. doi: 10.21037/acr-22-8.
2. Wiemels J, Wrensch M, Claus EB. Epidemiology and etiology of meningioma. *J Neurooncol* 2010;99:307-14.
3. Wilson TA, Huang L, Ramanathan D, et al. Review of Atypical and Anaplastic Meningiomas: Classification, Molecular Biology, and Management. *Front Oncol* 2020;10:565582.
4. Vernooij MW, Ikram MA, Tanghe HL, et al. Incidental

- findings on brain MRI in the general population. *N Engl J Med* 2007;357:1821-8.
5. Uusitalo E, Leppävirta J, Koffert A, et al. Incidence and mortality of neurofibromatosis: a total population study in Finland. *J Invest Dermatol* 2015;135:904-6.
 6. Evans DG, Howard E, Giblin C, et al. Birth incidence and prevalence of tumor-prone syndromes: estimates from a UK family genetic register service. *Am J Med Genet A* 2010;152A:327-32.
 7. Uusitalo E, Rantanen M, Kallionpää RA, et al. Distinctive Cancer Associations in Patients With Neurofibromatosis Type 1. *J Clin Oncol* 2016;34:1978-86.
 8. Peltonen S, Kallionpää RA, Rantanen M, et al. Pediatric malignancies in neurofibromatosis type 1: A population-based cohort study. *Int J Cancer* 2019;145:2926-32.
 9. Campian J, Gutmann DH. CNS Tumors in Neurofibromatosis. *J Clin Oncol* 2017;35:2378-85.
 10. McGaughran JM, Harris DI, Donnai D, et al. A clinical study of type 1 neurofibromatosis in north west England. *J Med Genet* 1999;36:197-203.
 11. Hsieh HY, Wu T, Wang CJ, et al. Neurological complications involving the central nervous system in neurofibromatosis type 1. *Acta Neurol Taiwan* 2007;16:68-73.
 12. Grossbach AJ, Mahaney KB, Menezes AH. Pediatric meningiomas: 65-year experience at a single institution. *J Neurosurg Pediatr* 2017;20:42-50.
 13. Crowe F. A clinical, pathological and genetic study of multiple neurofibromatosis. Springfield: Charles C. Thomas Publisher, Ltd., 1956.
 14. Evans DG, Huson SM, Donnai D, et al. A clinical study of type 2 neurofibromatosis. *Q J Med* 1992;84:603-18.
 15. Plotkin SR, Messiaen L, Legius E, et al. Updated diagnostic criteria and nomenclature for neurofibromatosis type 2 and schwannomatosis: An international consensus recommendation. *Genet Med* 2022;24:1967-77.
 16. Evans G, Burnell L, Campbell R, et al. Congenital anomalies and genetic syndromes in 173 cases of medulloblastoma. *Med Pediatr Oncol* 1993;21:433-4.
 17. Corkill AG, Ross CF. A case of neurofibromatosis complicated by medulloblastoma, neurogenic sarcoma, and radiation-induced carcinoma of thyroid. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 1969;32:43-7.
 18. Ranalli M, Boni A, Caroleo AM, et al. Molecular Characterization of Medulloblastoma in a Patient with Neurofibromatosis Type 1: Case Report and Literature Review. *Diagnostics (Basel)* 2021;11:647.
 19. Guerrini-Rousseau L, Smith MJ, Kratz CP, et al. Current recommendations for cancer surveillance in Gorlin syndrome: a report from the SIOPE host genome working group (SIOPE HGWG). *Fam Cancer* 2021;20:317-25.

doi: 10.21037/acr-22-54

Cite this article as: Evans DGR, Lunt L. Are neurofibromatosis type 1 (NF1) patients at increased risk of meningioma and in particular malignant meningioma? *AME Case Rep* 2022;6:31.