

Research article

HIV prevalence and high-risk sexual behaviours among MSM repeat and first-time testers in China: implications for HIV prevention

Xue Bai^{*,1,2}, Jie Xu^{*,2}, Jie Yang³, Bo Yang³, Maohe Yu⁴, Yongjun Gao⁵, Willa M Dong² and Zunyou Wu^{§,2}

[§]Corresponding author: Zunyou Wu, 155 Changbai Road, Changping District, Beijing 102206, China. Tel: +86 10 5890 0901. (wuzy@263.net; wuzunyou@ chinaaids.cn)

*These authors contributed equally to the work

Abstract

Introduction: Little is known about HIV testing, HIV infection and sexual behaviour among bathhouse patrons in China. This study aims to assess differences in HIV prevalence and high-risk sexual behaviours between repeat and first-time testers among men who have sex with men (MSM) attending bathhouse in Tianjin, China.

Methods: Between March 2011 and September 2012, a HIV voluntary counselling and testing station was established in a gay bathhouse, which provided HIV testing and conducted a survey among participants recruited through snowball sampling. Differences in demographic and high-risk sexual behaviours between repeat and first-time testers were assessed using the chi-square test. Univariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses were conducted to identify predictors for HIV infection.

Results: Of the 1642 respondents, 699 (42.6%) were repeat testers and 943 (57.4%) were first-time testers. Among repeat testers, a higher proportion were men aged 18 to 25, single, better educated, had a history of STIs and worked as male sex workers or "money boys" (MBs). Repeat testers were less likely to report having unprotected anal intercourse in the past six months. The overall HIV prevalence was 12.4% (203/1642). There was no difference in HIV prevalence between repeat (11.2%, 78/699) and first-time (13.3%, 125/943) testers. The HIV prevalence increased with age among first-time testers ($\chi^2_{trend} = 9.816$, p = 0.002). First-time MB testers had the highest HIV prevalence of 34.5%.

Conclusions: MSM attending bathhouse had an alarmingly high HIV infection rate, particularly in MB. Targeted interventions are urgently needed especially focusing on older MSM and MBs.

Keywords: MSM; repeat testing; VCT; HIV; risk behaviour; China.

Received 28 August 2013; Revised 14 April 2014; Accepted 23 April 2014; Published 2 July 2014

Copyright: © 2014 Bai X et al; licensee International AIDS Society. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported (CC BY 3.0) License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Introduction

Sexual transmission has become the primary route of HIV transmission in China, and men who have sex with men (MSM) constitute almost one-third of all cases of new HIV infections [1]. The proportion of newly reported HIV cases attributable to homosexual transmission rose from 2.5% in 2006 to 13.7% in 2011 [1]. Among MSM, HIV prevalence is estimated to be between 2.5 and 7.4% and increasing at an annual rate of 1.1% [2,3].

Voluntary counselling and testing (VCT) is an important strategy for the prevention and control of HIV and AIDS by engaging clients in risk reduction. A recent model of the epidemic in China found that a four-fold increase in VCT would avert 42,000 cases of HIV and 11,000 HIV-related deaths over the next five years [4]. Since 2007, significant resources have been directed towards scaling up MSM testing services under China's National Plan for HIV/AIDS Prevention and Control [1]. In the period after the adoption of the plan, there were significant increases in both lifetime testing rates (from 24 to 47%) and rates of testing in the past 12 months (from 21 to 38%) among MSM [5]. In 2009, the lifetime rates of testing reached 72% in Beijing among younger MSM, suggesting that repeat testing is increasing among this group [6].

Previous research has found that repeat testers and first-time testers differ in several ways. Among MSM, repeat testers are often younger, better educated and unmarried compared to first-time testers [7-9]. However, repeat testing is associated with several risk factors for HIV: a higher number of sexual partners [10], having ever had an STI [11], unprotected anal intercourse (UAI) [12,13] and working as a male sex worker [14]. Additionally, in a study among MSM in seven US cities, over 75% of repeat testers who seroconverted did so within a year after their last test [13]. Continued engagement in risk behaviour by this specific group of repeat testers may undermine the effectiveness of VCT among MSM [15]. There has not yet been a study examining differences in HIV risk between MSM repeat and first-time testers in China, despite the distinct demographic and risk behaviour characteristics of these two groups [6–9,14]. Therefore, in the context of increasing rates of testing, it is necessary to understand whether repeat testing may reduce the risk of HIV among MSM. The objective of this study was to assess the prevalence of sexual risk behaviours and HIV infection of repeat and first-time MSM testers attending a bathhouse VCT station.

Methods

Study participants and setting

This study was conducted in the city of Tianjin, which is a major Chinese city located 137 km east of Beijing. The overall HIV prevalence among MSM in Tianjin was 6.4% in 2008 [16].

In March 2011, an HIV VCT station was established in a gay bathhouse by the National Centre for AIDS/STD Control and Prevention (NCAIDS) of the Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention (Chinese CDC), Tianjin CDC, Tianjin Hongqiao District CDC and the Tianjin Dark Blue Working Group, a non-governmental organization (NGO) for MSM. The cost of entry to the bathhouse was 20 CNY (approximately US\$3.28). Between March 2011 and September 2012, 1642 men attending this bathhouse were recruited through both snowball sampling and convenient sampling. Volunteers from the Tianjin Dark Blue Working Group encouraged the patrons to seek testing, and the patrons who accepted voluntary testing then referred their friends to receive HIV testing. Eligibility criteria included being 18 years of age or older, having had oral intercourse or AI with another male in the last 12 months, and being willing and able to provide informed consent. Attendees who self-reported being diagnosed with HIV were excluded. After obtaining informed consent, participants completed rapid HIV testing and an anonymous face-to-face questionnaire administered by trained staff from the Tianjin Dark Blue Working Group.

Study design

The questionnaire collected information on socio-demographics, AIDS knowledge, sexual risk behaviours, HIV risk awareness and HIV testing history. Socio-demographics included date of birth, marital status, residence, ethnicity, length of stay in Tianjin, educational level, STI history and money boy (MB) status. Participants reported whether or not they had been diagnosed with STIs in the past year. MBs who sell sex to men were defined as those who self-disclosed their status during the questionnaire survey. Additionally, participants were assessed on their knowledge of HIV and AIDS prevention and transmission through eight questions adapted from the Chinese national surveillance survey. The eight questions included the following: (1) whether people can look healthy when infected with HIV; (2) whether mosquito bites can transmit HIV; (3) whether HIV can be transmitted through sharing meals; (4) whether HIV can transmit through blood transfusion and using blood products; (5) whether the use of non-sterile needles can transmit HIV; (6) whether HIV can be spread from mother to child; (7) whether condom use can reduce HIV transmission; and (8) whether having sex with only one person will prevent HIV infection. Participants were considered knowledgeable about HIV and AIDS if six or more questions for each area were answered correctly. HIV risk awareness was defined as the perception of the likelihood of being infected with HIV, and was divided into five levels of very high, high, moderate, low and none. For HIV testing history, participants were considered first-time testers if they had never received HIV testing before and repeat testers if they had ever received HIV testing and were not diagnosed with HIV.

HIV testing and counselling

All participants were presented to pre-testing HIV counselling and then testing. It took half an hour to receive the results, during which the informed consent and the questionnaire were completed. HIV testing was performed initially using a rapid saliva test (AWARE, Beijing, China) for screening. If the initial result was negative, the subject was considered HIV negative and was given a post-test counselling following national guideline. If the initial screening test was positive, then an immediate repeated rapid saliva HIV test with the same brand of test kit and a rapid HIV blood test (ACON, Hangzhou, Zhejiang province, China) would be performed. If the results from either or both tests were positive or indeterminate, the participant was considered HIV positive and was referred to treatment services. NGO staff who received extensive VCT training provided pre-test counselling and post-test counselling in a routine manner as a risk reduction strategy.

Statistical analysis

Data were double entered into a database constructed using EpiData 3.0 (EpiData Association, Odense, Denmark). Age was recoded categorically for analysis. Analyses of differences in the demographics and behavioural characteristics between first-time and repeat testers were conducted using the chi-square test. To identify predictors for HIV infection, we conducted univariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses among the overall MSM group, first-time tester and repeat tester groups, respectively. All variables with p < 0.1 in the univariate logistic regression model were then entered into a multivariate regression model using forward stepwise selection with the significance level set at p = 0.05. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS version 13.0 software (IBM Corporation, Chicago, IL).

Ethic statement

The study protocol has been reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Board of NCAIDS of Chinese CDC (IRB approval number: X130205267). A written informed consent was obtained from all study participants. Incentives of 50 CNY in cash (equivalent to US\$8.19) and a pack of three condoms as a gift were provided for subjects who participated in the study.

Results

Study participants

All participants who were approached and received testing between March 2011 and September 2012 consented to participating in the study. The mean age of the 1642 MSM who completed the survey was 36 years (with a range of 18 to 76 years). Most were aged 35 to 44 years (33.7%), followed by men aged 25 to 34 years (33.6%), older than 45 years (19.3%) and younger than 25 years (13.4%). Most were

married (57.4%), ethnically Han (97.4%) and did not have a Tianjin household registration (56.2%). However, the majority had resided in Tianjin for more than two years (62.3%). Roughly three quarters of the participants had completed middle school education. In the past year, 75 participants (4.6%) were diagnosed with STIs and 88 (5.4%) worked as MBs.

Demographic characteristics of repeat and first-time testers Of the 1642 respondents, 699 men (42.6%) had received HIV test once or more in the past and 943 men (57.4%) were first-time testers. Among first-time testers, a higher proportion consisted of men older than 35 years (58.2%) compared to repeat testers (45.9%) ($\chi^2 = 24.428$, p < 0.001). A higher percentage of first-time testers were married or cohabitating with a female partner (62.3%) than repeat testers (50.8%) ($\chi^2 = 21.971$, p < 0.001). Though the majority of first-time testers had attended at least some high school (71.5%), repeat testers had a slightly higher proportion of those with this level of education (77.4%) ($\chi^2 = 7.317$, p = 0.007).

Among repeat testers, the proportion of participants who had STIs in the past year were 6.4%, which was significantly

higher than that of first-time testers (3.2%) ($\chi^2 = 9.766$, p = 0.002). The percentage of respondents who worked as sex workers was 8.4% and 3.1% in repeat testers and first-time testers, respectively, which differed significantly ($\chi^2 = 22.784$, p < 0.001) (Table 1).

Differences in HIV and AIDS knowledge and high-risk sexual behaviours between repeat and first-time testers

Compared with first-time testers, repeat testers reported a higher awareness rate of HIV and AIDS knowledge (95.1% vs. 88.2%; $\chi^2 = 23.787$, p < 0.001), and were significantly more likely to practice AI (91.1% vs. 84.7%; $\chi^2 = 14.989$, p < 0.001) and commercial male sex (13.0% vs. 7.6%; $\chi^2 = 13.011$, p < 0.001). A majority of both repeat testers (64.2%) and first-time testers (88.0%) reported having engaged in UAI with men and this difference was statistically significant ($\chi^2 = 33.216$, p < 0.001). First-time testers were also more likely to ever practice UAI during group sex (73.0% vs. 57.0%; $\chi^2 = 5.260$, p = 0.022), have UAI with their last male commercial sex partner (36.1% vs. 19.8%; $\chi^2 = 5.440$, p = 0.02) and unprotected vaginal sex with a female partner

| | | Repeat testers | First-time testers | | |
|-------------------------------|-------------|----------------|--------------------|--------|---------|
| Variable | Total | n = 699 | n = 943 | χ² | р |
| Age (years) | | | | 24.428 | < 0.001 |
| <25 | 220 (13.4) | 109 (15.6) | 111 (11.8) | | |
| 25–34 | 552 (33.6) | 269 (38.5) | 283 (30.0) | | |
| 35–44 | 553 (33.7) | 203 (29.0) | 350 (37.1) | | |
| ≥45 | 317 (19.3) | 118 (16.9) | 199 (21.1) | | |
| Marital status | | | | 21.971 | < 0.001 |
| Unmarried/divorced or widowed | 699 (42.6) | 344 (49.2) | 355 (37.6) | | |
| Married/cohabitated | 943 (57.4) | 355 (50.8) | 588 (62.3) | | |
| Residence | | | | 0.427 | 0.514 |
| Tianjin | 720 (43.8) | 313 (44.8) | 407 (43.2) | | |
| Other province | 922 (56.2) | 386 (55.2) | 536 (56.8) | | |
| Ethnicity | | | | 0.001 | 0.97 |
| Han Chinese | 1600 (97.4) | 681 (97.4) | 919 (97.5) | | |
| Other | 42 (2.6) | 18 (2.6) | 24 (2.5) | | |
| Length of stay in Tianjin | | | | 0.762 | 0.683 |
| Less than 3 months | 457 (27.8) | 191 (27.3) | 266 (28.2) | | |
| Between 3 months and 2 years | 162 (9.9) | 74 (10.6) | 88 (9.3) | | |
| More than 2 years | 1023 (62.3) | 434 (62.1) | 589 (62.5) | | |
| Educational level | | | | 7.317 | 0.007 |
| Completed middle school | 427 (26.0) | 158 (22.6) | 269 (28.5) | | |
| High school or above | 1216 (74.0) | 541 (77.4) | 674 (71.5) | | |
| Had STIs in the past year | | | | 9.766 | 0.002 |
| Yes | 75 (4.6) | 45 (6.4) | 30 (3.2) | | |
| No | 1567 (95.4) | 654 (93.6) | 913 (96.8) | | |
| Male sex worker (MB) | | | | 22.784 | < 0.001 |
| Yes | 88 (5.4) | 59 (8.4) | 29 (3.1) | | |
| No | 1554 (94.6) | 640 (91.6) | 914 (96.9) | | |

within the past six months (87.5% vs. 74.9%; $\chi^2 = 14.627$, p < 0.001) (Table 2).

HIV prevalence and associated risk factors among overall MSM population, repeat and first-time testers

A total of 203 MSM were tested HIV positive with an overall prevalence of 12.4% (203/1642). Seventy-eight men among the 699 repeat testers (11.2%) and 125 of the 943 first-time testers (13.3%) were found to be positive for HIV. There was no significant difference in HIV prevalence between first-time testers and repeat testers ($\chi^2 = 1.629$, p = 0.202) (Table 3).

In the overall univariate analysis of the MSM group (Table 3), non-local residents (OR = 1.5, 95% Cl = 1.1–2.0, p = 0.016) were found to be significantly associated with an increased likelihood of being HIV infected. MSM having an STI diagnosis within the past year (OR = 1.4, 95% Cl = 1.0–1.9, p = 0.038) and MB (OR = 3.1, 95% Cl = 1.9–5.0, p < 0.001) were more likely to be HIV positive. Protective factors included educational level above middle school (OR = 0.7, 95% Cl = 0.5–1.0, p = 0.038) and not having UAI during group sex (OR = 0.7, 95% Cl = 0.6–0.9, p = 0.012).

In the overall multivariate analysis of the MSM group (Table 3), men older than 35 years (aOR = 2.2, 95% CI = 1.3– 3.8, p = 0.005) and men older than 45 years (aOR = 2.9, 95% CI = 1.6-5.3, p < 0.001) were more likely to be infected with HIV than men younger than 25. MSM without a local residence remained at an increased likelihood of being HIV infected (aOR = 1.6, 95% CI = 1.1-2.2, p = 0.007). For those having had an STI in the past year, the likelihood of HIV infection was two times higher (aOR = 2.1, 95% CI = 1.1-3.7, p = 0.008).

Compared with non-sex workers, MBs (aOR = 4.4, 95% CI = 2.6-7.7, p < 0.001) were 4.4 times to be infected with HIV.

Among repeat testers, in the univariate analysis (Table 4), non-local residents were two times more likely to be HIV positive (OR = 2.0, 95% CI = 1.2–3.2, p = 0.009). Having lived in Tianjin for more than two years were less likely to be infected with HIV (OR = 0.5, 95% CI = 0.3–0.9, p = 0.012). Working as an MB also significantly increased the likelihood for HIV infection (OR = 3.1, 95% CI = 1.6–5.9, p = 0.001). In the multivariate analysis, likelihood of infection was associated with non-local residents (aOR = 1.7, 95% CI = 1.0–2.9, p = 0.044), having had STIs in the past year (aOR = 2.3, 95% CI = 1.0–5.0, p = 0.038) and working as an MB (aOR = 2.8, 95% CI = 1.4–5.4, p = 0.003). Age was not significantly associated with HIV prevalence.

For first-time testers, MSM being over 45 years of age (OR = 2.4, 95% CI = 1.1–5.2, p = 0.025) and MB (OR = 3.7, 95% CI = 1.7–8.1, p = 0.001) remained at an increased likelihood of being HIV infected. Not having UAI during group sex was a protective factor (OR = 0.5, 95% CI = 0.3–0.9, p = 0.010) (Table 4). In the multivariate model, being aged 35 to 45 years (aOR = 2.9, 95% CI = 1.3–6.4, p = 0.010) and over 45 years (aOR = 3.6, 95% CI = 1.6–8.3, p = 0.003), being a male sex worker (aOR = 6.3, 95% CI = 2.6–14.9, p < 0.001) and not having UAI during group sex (aOR = 0.5, 95% CI = 0.3–0.9, p = 0.018) remained significant (Table 4). There was a significant positive trend in HIV prevalence with age among first-time testers ($\chi^2_{trend} = 9.816$, p = 0.002), but no trend was detected across age groups among repeat testers ($\chi^2_{trend} = 0.076$, p = 0.783).

Table 2. HIV and AIDS knowledge and high-risk sexual behaviours among repeat testers and first-time testers attending bathhouse in Tianjin, China

| | Rep | eat testers | First- | time testers | | |
|--|-----|-------------|--------|--------------|------------|---------|
| Variable | N | n (%) | N | n (%) | χ ² | p |
| AIDS knowledge | 699 | 665 (95.1) | 943 | 832 (88.2) | 23.787 | < 0.001 |
| HIV risk awareness | 699 | | 943 | | 11.755 | 0.003 |
| High (reference) | | 39 (5.6) | | 31 (3.3) | | |
| Moderate | | 232 (33.2) | | 379 (40.2) | | |
| Low or none | | 428 (61.2) | | 533 (56.5) | | |
| Had anal intercourse(AI) | 699 | 637 (91.1) | 943 | 799 (84.7) | 14.989 | < 0.001 |
| Frequency of AI in last week | 637 | | 799 | | 0.179 | 0.673 |
| ≤2 | | 501 (78.6) | | 621 (77.7) | | |
| >2 | | 136 (21.4) | | 178 (22.3) | | |
| UAI with recent male sex partner | 637 | 224 (35.2) | 799 | 421 (52.7) | 44.002 | < 0.001 |
| UAI in the past 6 months | 637 | 409 (64.2) | 799 | 623 (88.0) | 33.216 | < 0.001 |
| Had group sex | 699 | 86 (12.3) | 943 | 100 (10.6) | 1.153 | 0.283 |
| UAI in group sex | 86 | 49 (57.0) | 100 | 73 (73.0) | 5.26 | 0.022 |
| Had AI with commercial male sex partner(s) | 699 | 91 (13.0) | 943 | 72 (7.6) | 13.011 | < 0.001 |
| UAI during last commercial male sex | 91 | 18 (19.8) | 72 | 26 (36.1) | 5.44 | 0.020 |
| UAI during commercial male sex (past 6 months) | 91 | 38 (41.8) | 72 | 37 (51.4) | 1.501 | 0.221 |
| Had sex with any female partner(s) | 699 | 219 (31.3) | 943 | 336 (35.6) | 3.318 | 0.069 |
| Unprotected sexual intercourse with last female partner | 219 | 164 (74.9) | 336 | 294 (87.5) | 14.627 | < 0.001 |
| Unprotected sexual intercourse with any female partner (past 6 months) | 219 | 143 (65.3) | 335 | 262 (78.2) | 11.229 | 0.001 |

Table 3. HIV prevalence and risk factors among MSM attending bathhouse in Tianjin, China

| | | Univaria | ate | Multivar | iate |
|--|---------------------------------------|---------------|---------|---------------|---------|
| Variable | HIV prevalence | OR (95% CI) | p | OR (95% CI) | р |
| Had HIV testing | | | | | |
| Yes | 11.2 (78/699) | 1.0 | | | |
| No | 13.3 (125/943) | 1.2 (0.9–1.6) | 0.202 | | |
| Age (years) | | | | | |
| <25 | 10.5 (23/220) | 1.0 | | 1.0 | |
| 25 | 9.8 (54/552) | 0.9 (0.6–1.6) | 0.779 | 1.2 (0.7–2.0) | 0.53 |
| 35 | 13.6 (75/553) | 1.3 (0.8–2.2) | 0.243 | 2.2 (1.3–3.8) | 0.00 |
| >45 | 16.1 (51/317) | 1.6 (1.0-2.8) | 0.064 | 2.9 (1.6–5.3) | < 0.002 |
| Marital status | | | | | |
| Unmarried/divorced or widowed | 12.7 (89/699) | 1.0 | | | |
| Married/cohabitated | 12.1 (114/943) | 0.9 (0.7–1.3) | 0.695 | | |
| Residence | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | , , | | | |
| Tianjin | 10.1 (73/720) | 1.0 | | 1.0 | |
| Other province | 14.1 (130/922) | 1.5 (1.1–2.0) | 0.016 | 1.6 (1.1–2.2) | 0.00 |
| Ethnicity | 1.11 (100/022) | 1.0 (1.1 1.0) | 0.010 | 1.0 (1.1 2.2) | 0.000 |
| Han Chinese | 12.4 (198/1600) | 1.0 | | | |
| Other | 11.9 (5/42) | 1.0 (0.4–2.5) | 0.927 | | |
| Length of stay in Tianjin | 11.5 (5/42) | 1.0 (0.4 2.5) | 0.527 | | |
| Length of stay in Hanjin Less than 3 months | 14 4 (66/457) | 1.0 | | | |
| Between 3 months and 2 years | 14.4 (66/457) | 1.0 | 0 1 4 2 | | |
| • | 9.9 (16/162) | 0.6 (0.4–1.2) | 0.143 | | |
| More than 2 years | 11.8 (121/1023) | 0.8 (0.6–1.1) | 0.163 | | |
| Educational Level | | 1.0 | | | |
| Completed middle school | 15.2 (65/427) | 1.0 | 0.000 | | |
| Some high school or above | 11.4 (138/1215) | 0.7 (0.5–1.0) | 0.038 | | |
| Had STIs in the past year | | | | | |
| Yes | 21.3 (16/75) | 2.0 (1.1–3.6) | 0.018 | 2.1 (1.1–3.7) | 0.00 |
| No | 11.9 (187/1567) | 1.0 | | 1.0 | |
| Male sex worker (or money boy "MB") | | | | | |
| Yes | 28.4 (25/88) | 3.1 (1.9–5.0) | < 0.001 | 4.4 (2.6–7.7) | < 0.002 |
| No | 11.5 (178/1554) | 1.0 | | 1.0 | |
| AIDS knowledge | | | | | |
| Knowledgeable | 12.3 (184/1497) | 1.0 | | | |
| Not knowledgeable | 13.1 (19/145) | 1.1 (0.6–1.8) | 0.777 | | |
| HIV risk awareness | | | | | |
| High | 12.9 (9/70) | 1.0 | | | |
| Moderate | 11.8 (72/611) | 0.9 (0.4–1.9) | 0.793 | | |
| Low or none | 12.7 (122/961) | 1.0 (0.5–2.0) | 0.969 | | |
| Had anal intercourse (AI) | | | | | |
| Yes | 12.5 (179/1436) | 1.0 | | | |
| No | 11.7 (24/206) | 0.9 (0.6–1.5) | 0.740 | | |
| Number of AI in last week | | | | | |
| ≤2 | 11.8 (157/1328) | 1.0 | | | |
| >2 | 14.6 (46/314) | 1.3 (0.9–1.8) | 0.172 | | |
| UAI with recent male sex partner | | | | | |
| Yes | 13.8 (89/645) | 1.0 | | | |
| No | 11.4 (114/997) | 0.8 (0.6–1.1) | 0.156 | | |
| UAI in the past 6 months | , | . , | | | |
| Yes | 13.2 (136/1032) | 1.0 | | | |
| | , , , , , , | | | | |

Table 3 (Continued)

| | | Univaria | te | Multivaria | ate |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------|-------|-------------|-----|
| Variable | HIV prevalence | OR (95% CI) | p | OR (95% CI) | p |
| Had group sex | | | | | |
| Yes | 14.5 (27/186) | 1.0 | | | |
| No | 12.1 (176/1456) | 0.8 (0.5–1.3) | 0.344 | | |
| UAI in group sex | | | | | |
| Yes | 19.7 (24/122) | 1.0 | | | |
| No | 11.8 (179/1520) | 0.7 (0.6–0.9) | 0.012 | | |
| Had AI with commercial male sex p | artner(s) | | | | |
| Yes | 12.9 (21/163) | 1.0 | | | |
| No | 12.3 (182/1479) | 0.9 (0.6–1.5) | 0.832 | | |
| UAI in the last commercial male set | (| | | | |
| Yes | 15.9 (7/44) | 1.0 | | | |
| No | 12.3 (196/1598) | 0.7 (0.3–1.7) | 0.470 | | |
| UAI in commercial male sex (past 6 | months) | | | | |
| Yes | 16.0 (12/75) | 1.0 | | | |
| No | 12.2 (191/1567) | 0.9 (0.6–1.2) | 0.329 | | |
| Had sex with any female partner(s) | | | | | |
| Yes | 11.4 (63/555) | 1.0 | | | |
| No | 12.9 (140/1087) | 1.2 (0.8–1.6) | 0.374 | | |
| Unprotected sexual intercourse with | n last female partner | | | | |
| Yes | 12.3 (50/405) | 1.0 | | | |
| No | 12.4 (153/1237) | 1.0 (0.7–1.4) | 0.990 | | |
| Unprotected sexual intercourse with | n any female partner (past 6 r | months) | | | |
| Yes | 12.0 (55/458) | 1.0 | | | |
| No | 12.5 (148/1184) | 1.0 (0.9-1.2) | 0.786 | | |

Discussion

Our study confirmed that MSM repeat testers and first-time testers differed in HIV-related risk sexual behaviour and HIV prevalence. Almost half of the MSM attending the bathhouse VCT station had previously received HIV testing. HIV prevalence significantly increased with age among first-time MSM testers, but no differences were found among repeat testers. First-time testers over 25 years of age had 1.5 to 3.6 times HIV prevalence as those below 25 years. Among MSM older than 45 years in this group, HIV prevalence was found to be as high as 17.6%. These results corroborate findings from previous studies in China of increased HIV risk among older MSM [17,18]. Data from a systematic review of HIV testing studies among Chinese MSM found evidence to support the relationship between age and repeat testing, where repeat testers tend to be younger men who visit MSM venues such as bathhouses more frequently [19]. Thus, younger MSM may have more access to venue-based HIV prevention interventions such as VCT. The difference in HIV prevalence by age in first-time testers but not in repeat testers suggests that introducing testing may detect more HIV cases in older MSM. Also, testing among all MSM should be promoted as a way to detect HIV cases earlier and decrease the transmission of HIV infection.

There was no difference in HIV prevalence between repeat testers and first-time testers. However, the context of HIV risk differed for repeat testers and first-time testers. Unknown serostatus persons were more likely than HIV-negative persons to engage in UAI with male partners, including during group sex and commercial sex. A higher proportion of first-time testers also reported practicing unsafe sex with their female partners. For first-time testers, VCT should be tailored to include basic HIV and AIDS knowledge as well as safer sex practices with both men and women. Given the high rate of both unprotected sex with female partners and marriage among first-time testers, comprehensive knowledge of safer sex practices is important to limit the transmission of HIV to otherwise low-risk female partners [20]. Our findings suggest that previous findings among Chinese MSM where married men were less likely to practice risk behaviours in order to protect their partners may not be applicable to this population [7].

In comparison, repeat testers were more likely than first-time testers to be not only knowledgeable about AIDS but also to have had an STI in the past year. Because risk behaviours for STIs are similar to those of HIV, it is likely that a substantial minority of MSM continue to engage in high-risk sexual behaviours [10]. As the risk of acquiring and transmitting HIV is amplified in the presences

| | | Repeat te | sters ($n = 69$ | 99) | | | First-time | testers (n = | 943) | |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|------------------|----------------------|---------|--------------------------------|----------------------|--------------|-----------------------|---------|
| | | Univaria | ate | Multivar | iate | | Univaria | ate | Multivar | iate |
| Variable | HIV prevalence | OR (95% CI) | P value | OR (95% CI) | P value | HIV prevalence | OR (95% CI) | P value | OR (95% CI) | P value |
| Age(years) | | | | | | | | | | |
| < 25 | 12.8 (14/109) | 1.0 | | | | 8.1 (9/111) | 1.0 | | 1.0 | |
| 25–34 | 10.0 (27/269) | 0.8 (0.4-1.4) | 0.428 | | | 9.5 (27/283) | 1.2 (0.5–2.6) | 0.657 | 1.5 (0.7–3.5) | 0.330 |
| 35–45 | 10.3 (21/203) | 0.8 (0.4-1.6) | 0.506 | | | 15.4 (54/350) | 2.1 (1.0-4.3) | 0.055 | 2.9 (1.3–6.4) | 0.010 |
| > 45 | 13.6 (16/118) | 1.1 (0.5–2.3) | 0.874 | | | 17.6 (35/199) | 2.4 (1.1–5.2) | 0.025 | 3.6 (1.6–8.3) | 0.003 |
| Marital status | | | | | | | | | | |
| Unmarried/divorced or widowed | 11.9 (41/344) | 1.0 | | | | 13.5 (48/355) | 1.0 | | | |
| Married/cohabitated | 10.4 (37/355) | 0.9 (0.5–1.4) | 0.530 | | | 13.1 (77/588) | 1.0 (0.7–1.4) | 0.852 | | |
| Residence | | | | | | | | | | |
| Tianjin | 7.7 (24/313) | 1.0 | | 1.0 | | 12.0 (49/407) | 1.0 | | | |
| Other province | 14.0 (54/386) | 2.0 (1.2-3.2) | 0.009 | 1.7 (1.0–2.9) | 0.044 | 14.2 (76/536) | 1.2 (0.8–1.8) | 0.338 | | |
| Ethnicity | | | | | | | | | | |
| Han Chinese | 11.0 (75/681) | 1.0 | | | | 13.4 (123/919) | 1.0 | | | |
| Other | 16.7 (3/18) | 1.6 (0.5–5.7) | 0.456 | | | 8.3 (2/24) | 0.6 (0.1–2.5) | 0.476 | | |
| Length of stay in Tianjin | | | | | | | | | | |
| Less than 3 months | 16.2 (31/191) | 1.0 | | | | 13.2 (35/266) | 1.0 | | | |
| Between 3 months and 2 years | 9.5 (7/74) | 0.5 (0.2–1.3) | 0.163 | | | 10.2 (9/88) | 0.8 (0.3–1.6) | 0.471 | | |
| More than 2 years | 9.2 (40/434) | 0.5 (0.3–0.9) | 0.012 | | | 13.8 (81/589) | 1.1 (0.7–1.6) | 0.814 | | |
| Educational Level | | | | | | | | | | |
| Completed middle school | 15.2 (24/158) | 1.0 | | | | 15.2 (41/269) | 1.0 | | | |
| High school or above | 10.0 (54/541) | 0.6 (0.4–1.0) | 0.069 | | | 12.5 (84/674) | 0.8 (0.5–1.2) | 0.257 | | |
| Had STIs in the past year | 1010 (0 1/0 11/ | 0.0 (0.1. 2.0) | 0.000 | | | 12.0 (0., 07.1) | 0.0 (0.0 1.12) | 0.207 | | |
| Yes | 20.0 (9/45) | 2.1 (1.0–4.6) | 0.056 | 2.3 (1.0–5.0) | 0.038 | 23.3 (7/30) | 2.1 (0.9–4.9) | 0.105 | | |
| No | 10.6 (69/654)) | 1.0 | 0.050 | 1.0 | 0.050 | 12.9 (118/913) | 1. 0 | 0.105 | | |
| Male sex worker (MB) | 10.0 (05/054)) | 1.0 | | 1.0 | | 12.9 (110,913) | 1.0 | | | |
| Yes | 25.4 (15/59) | 3.1 (1.6–5.9) | 0.001 | 2.8 (1.4–5.4) | 0.003 | 34.5 (10/29) | 3.7 (1.7–8.1) | 0.001 | 6.3 (2.6–14.9) | < 0.001 |
| No | | 3.1 (1.6–5.9) 1.0 | 0.001 | 2.8 (1.4–5.4) 1.0 | 0.005 | 34.5 (10/29) 12.6 (115/914) | 3.7 (1.7-8.1) 1.0 | 0.001 | 6.3 (2.6–14.9) 1.0 | < 0.001 |
| | 9.8 (13/640) | 1.0 | | 1.0 | | 12.0 (115/914) | 1.0 | | 1.0 | |
| AIDS knowledge | 44 4 (76 (665) | 1.0 | | | | 42.0 (400 (000) | 1.0 | | | |
| Knowledgeable | 11.4 (76/665) | 1.0 | | | | 13.0 (108/832) | 1.0 | o | | |
| Not knowledgeable | 5.9 (2/34) | 0.5 (0.1–2.1) | 0.327 | | | 15.3 (17/111) | 1.2 (0.7–2.1) | 0.496 | | |

Table 4. HIV prevalence and risk factors among repeat testers and first-time testers attending bathhouse in Tianjin, China

Table 4 (Continued)

| | | Repeat te | sters ($n = 69$ | 99) | | | First-time | testers (n = | 943) | |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|---------------|------------------|-------------|---------|----------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|---------|
| | | Univaria | ate | Multivar | iate | | Univaria | ate | Multivari | ate |
| Variable | HIV prevalence | OR (95% CI) | P value | OR (95% CI) | P value | HIV prevalence | OR (95% CI) | P value | OR (95% CI) | P value |
| HIV risk awareness | | | | | | | | | | |
| High | 15.4 (6/39) | 1.0 | | | | 9.7 (3/31) | 1.0 | | | |
| Moderate | 9.9 (23/232) | 0.6 (0.2–1.6) | 0.311 | | | 12.9 (49/379) | 1.4 (0.4–4.7) | 0.602 | | |
| Low or none | 11.4 (49/428) | 0.7 (0.3–1.8) | 0.467 | | | 13.7 (73/533) | 1.5 (0.4–5.0) | 0.527 | | |
| Had anal intercourse (AI) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Yes | 11.0 (70/637) | 1.0 | | | | 13.6 (109/799) | 1.0 | | | |
| No | 12.9 (8/62) | 1.2 (0.5–2.6) | 0.648 | | | 11.1 (16/144) | 0.8 (0.5–1.4) | 0.411 | | |
| Frequency of AI in last week | | | | | | | | | | |
| ≤ 2 | 10.3 (58/563) | 1.0 | | | | 12.9 (99/765) | 1.0 | | | |
| > 2 | 14.7 (20/136) | 1.5 (0.9–2.6) | 0.145 | | | 14.6 (26/178) | 1.2 (0.7–1.8) | 0.555 | | |
| UAI with recent male sex partner | | | | | | | | | | |
| Yes | 12.1 (27/224) | 1.0 | | | | 14.7 (62/421) | 1.0 | | | |
| No | 10.7 (51/475) | 0.9 (0.5–1.4) | 0.606 | | | 12.1 (63/522) | 0.8 (0.5–1.2) | 0.232 | | |
| UAI in the past 6 months | | | | | | | | | | |
| Yes | 11.0 (45/409) | 1.0 | | | | 14.6 (91/623) | 1.0 | | | |
| No | 11.4 (33/290) | 1.0 (0.8–1.3) | 0.876 | | | 10.6 (34/320) | 0.8 (0.7–1.0) | 0.089 | | |
| Had group sex | | | | | | | | | | |
| Yes | 10.5 (9/86) | 1.0 | | | | 18.0 (18/100) | 1.0 | | | |
| No | 11.3 (69/613) | 1.1 (0.5–2.3) | 0.827 | | | 12.7 (107/843) | 0.7 (0.4–1.1) | 0.141 | | |
| UAI in group sex | | | | | | | | | | |
| Yes | 10.5 (9/86) | 1.0 | | | | 23.3 (17/73) | 1.0 | | 1.0 | |
| No | 11.3 (69/613) | 0.9 (0.6–1.3) | 0.473 | | | 12.4 (108/870) | 0.5 (0.3–0.9) | 0.010 | 0.5 (0.3–0.9) | 0.018 |
| Had AI with commercial male sex | partner(s) | | | | | | | | | |
| Yes | 14.3 (7/49) | 1.0 | | | | 12.5 (9/72) | 1.0 | | | |
| No | 10.9 (71/650) | 0.8 (0.4–1.5) | 0.511 | | | 13.3 (116/871) | 1.1 (0.5–2.2) | 0.844 | | |
| UAI in the last commercial male s | ex | | | | | | | | | |
| Yes | 16.7 (3/18) | 1.0 | | | | 15.4 (4/26) | 1.0 | | | |
| No | 11.0 (75/681) | 0.6 (0.2–2.2) | 0.456 | | | 13.2 (121/917) | 0.8 (0.3–2.5) | 0.746 | | |
| UAI in commercial male sex (past | | . , | | | | | . , | | | |
| Yes | 13.2 (5/38) | 1.0 | | | | 18.9 (7/37) | 1.0 | | | |
| No | 11.0 (73/661) | 0.9 (0.6–1.5) | 0.688 | | | 13.0 (118/906) | 0.8 (0.5–1.2) | 0.304 | | |

| | | Repeat tes | Repeat testers ($n = 699$) | (6 | | | First-time t | First-time testers ($n = 943$) | 943) | |
|--|----------------------|-----------------|------------------------------|---------------------|----------------|----------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|--------------|---------|
| | | Univariate | te | Multivariate | ate | | Univariate | Ite | Multivariate | ate |
| Variable | HIV prevalence | OR (95% CI) | P value | OR (95% CI) P value | <i>P</i> value | HIV prevalence | OR (95% CI) P value | P value | OR (95% CI) | P value |
| Had sex with any female partner(s) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Yes | 8.7 (19/219) | 1.0 | | | | 13.1 (44/336) | 1.0 | | | |
| No | 12.3 (59/480) | 1.5 (0.9–2.5) | 0.161 | | | 13.3 (81/607) | 1.0 (0.7–1.5) | 0.914 | | |
| Unprotected sexual intercourse with last female partner | last female partner | | | | | | | | | |
| Yes | 9.1 (13/143) | 1.0 | | | | 14.1 (37/262) | 1.0 | | | |
| No | 11.7 (85/556) | 1.3 (0.7–2.5) | 0.380 | | | 12.9 (88/681) | 0.9 (0.6–1.4) | 0.627 | | |
| Unprotected sexual intercourse with any female partner (past 6 r | any female partner (| (past 6 months) | | | | | | | | |
| Yes | 7.9 (13/164) | 1.0 | | | | 14.3 (42/294) | 1.0 | | | |
| No | 12.1 (65/535) | 1.3 (0.9–1.7) | 0.136 | | | 12.8 (83/649) | 0.9 (0.8–1.1) | 0.530 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

of STIs, it is necessary that post-test counselling addresses risk reduction for those chronically engaging in sexual risk behaviours [21].

This study also confirmed that male sex workers bore a disproportionate burden of HIV infection among both repeat and first-time testers. In this study population, MBs were three times more likely to be infected with HIV compared with non-sex workers. Among first-time testers, over one-third of all male sex workers were infected with HIV, and the risk of HIV infection for male sex workers was six times higher. These disparities are comparable to those reported in a study among MSM in Chengdu, China [22]. In our study, first-time MB testers were more likely to practice UAI during the past six months (82.8% vs. 54.2%; $\chi^2 = 6.835$, p = 0.009). This result further supported the need to increase interventions targeting this group, including introducing VCT to MBs and increasing condom use not only with male clients but also with male casual sex partners and boyfriends [23,24].

Over half of the patrons were non-local residents, among which almost half of the non-local residents had lived in Tianjin less than three years. Non-local residents were 1.6 times more likely to be HIV positive. Risk behaviours were more common among floating population than local residents because they were more likely to transmit HIV to people from/to other areas [25]. Health interventions which targeted the floating population should be carried out, such as rapid HIV screening.

There are limitations to the present study. First, this study was conducted in one MSM bathhouse, which may hinder generalizing our findings to the larger MSM population. Ethnographic data on MSM in China have found that sexual practices and risks vary across venues, so findings such as HIV risk from this bathhouse population may be substantially higher than lower risk venues of bars and teahouses [7,26,27]. Additionally, because our study was conducted at a venue rather than in the larger community, we were not able to reach MSM who did not frequent venues. However, given that widespread stigma against homosexuality is a significant barrier to participation in HIV prevention activities, it would have been difficult to conduct this study outside of a dedicated MSM venue [28]. Second, lack of response rate further undermines the generalizability of the study results. It is difficult to estimate how many and what proportion of the total number of customers has taken up the testing because hundreds of customers come in to the bathhouse every day and are constantly coming and going. Also, the fact that consent was collected might have influenced the composition of the sample. Men who did not want to be identified or documented as HIV positive may have been unwilling to take part in the study. However, we believe that the impact was minimal because all participants who were approached consented to participating in the study. Third, this study was cross-sectional, so causation cannot be established. Future longitudinal studies are needed to establish causation between repeat testing and changes in HIV risk. Finally, our study took a convenience sample. Snowball sampling might have influenced the ratio of previously tested because participants referred those they knew, who were likely to be guite similar to them. These results may not be applicable for MSM in regions with different HIV transmission dynamics or in rural areas.

Conclusions

HIV prevalence differs significantly between repeat and first-time MSM testers. For MSM who have never been tested, VCT may be an important strategy to detect more HIV cases, especially among older MSM. For both repeat and first-time testers, VCT should be tailored to meet the specific needs of these groups. Given the higher proportion of repeat testers who are sex workers compared to first-time testers, VCT may be a viable channel to provide multiple opportunities for risk reduction consultations for this hidden population. Future studies should examine differences in HIV risk behaviours and social networks for older versus younger MSM, and how VCT should be implemented to reach subgroups such as older MSM to mitigate disparities within this population.

Authors' affiliations

¹School of Public Health, Anhui Medical University, Hefei, China; ²National Centre for AIDS/STD Control and Prevention, Chinese Centre for Disease Control and Prevention, Beijing, China; ³Tianjin Dark Blue Working Group, Tianjin, China; ⁴Tianjin Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Tianjin, China; ⁵Tianjin Hongqiao District Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Tianjin, China

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Authors' contributions

ZW and JX designed the study, MY and YG coordinated the study, JY and BY collected the data, XB did the primary data analysis and JX contributed to part of the analysis. XB, JX and WD drafted the paper. All authors interpreted the data, revised the article and approved the final version.

Acknowledgements

We especially thank the project staff of the Tianjin Dark Blue Working Group. We also thank Zhijun Li and Guodong Mi from the U.S. CDC Global AIDS Project Office in China for their comments on the study design, collection and analysis of data.

Funding: This study was supported by the China National Health and Family Planning Commission (Grant# 131-13-0001-050), United States Global AIDS Program of US CDC (Grant#U2GPS001188) and the United States National Institutes of Health (Grant # U2RTW06918, funded by the Fogarty International Center and National Institute on Drug Abuse).

Disclaimer: The opinions expressed herein reflect the collective views of the co-authors and do not necessarily represent the official position of the National Center for AIDS/STD Control and Prevention, Chinese Center for Diseases Control and Prevention. Funding organizations had no role in the design and conduct of this study; collection, management, analysis and interpretation of the data; and preparation, review or approval of the manuscript.

References

1. Ministry of Health of the People's Republic of China. 2012 China AIDS response progress report. Beijing: UNAIDS; 2012.

 Gao L, Zhang L, Jin Q. Meta-analysis: prevalence of HIV infection and syphilis among MSM in China. Sex Transm Infect. 2009;85(5):354–8.

3. Meng X, Zou H, Beck J, Xu Y, Zhang X, Miao X, et al. Trends in HIV prevalence among men who have sex with men in China 2003–09: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Sex Health. 2013;10(3):211–9.

 Zhang L, Gray RT, Wilson DP. Modelling the epidemiological impact of scaling up HIV testing and antiretroviral treatment in China. Sex Health. 2012;9(3):261–71.

5. Zou H, Hu N, Xin Q, Beck J. HIV testing among men who have sex with men in China: a systematic review and meta-analysis. AIDS Behav. 2012;16(7): 1717–28.

 Song Y, Li X, Zhang L, Fang X, Lin X, Liu Y, et al. HIV-testing behavior among young migrant men who have sex with men (MSM) in Beijing, China. AIDS Care. 2011;23(2):179–86.

7. Wei C, Ruan S, Zhao J, Yang H, Zhu Y, Raymond HF. Which Chinese men who have sex with men miss out on HIV testing? Sex Transm Infect. 2011;87(3): 225–8.

8. Li X, Lu H, Raymond HF, Sun Y, Jia Y, He X, et al. Untested and undiagnosed: barriers to HIV testing among men who have sex with men, Beijing, China. Sex Transm Infect. 2012;88(3):187–93.

9. Choi K, Lui H, Guo Y. Lack of HIV testing and awareness of HIV infection among men who have sex with men, Beijing, China. AIDS Educ Prev. 2006; 18(1):33–43.

10. Matovu JKB, Gray RH, Kiwanuka N, Kigozi G, Wabwire-Mangen F, Nalugoda F, et al. Repeat voluntary HIV counseling and testing (VCT), sexual risk behavior and HIV incidence in Rakai, Uganda. AIDS Behav. 2007;11(1): 71–8.

11. Fernández MI, Perrino T, Bowen GS, Royal S, Varga L. Repeat HIV testing among Hispanic men who have sex with men – a sign of risk, prevention, or reassurance? AIDS Educ Prev. 2003;15(Suppl A):105–16.

12. Leaity S, Sherr L, Wells H, Evans A. Repeat HIV testing: high-risk behaviour or risk reduction strategy? AIDS. 2000;14(5):547–52.

13. MacKellar D, Valleroy L. Repeat HIV testing, risk behaviors, and HIV seroconversion among young men who have sex with men: a call to monitor and improve the practice of prevention. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2002;29(1):76–85.

14. Huang ZJ, He N, Nehl EJ, Zheng T, Smith BD, Zhang J, et al. Social network and other correlates of HIV testing: findings from male sex workers and other MSM in Shanghai, China. AIDS Behav. 2012;16(4):858–71.

15. Fisher J, DelGado B. The dynamics of repeat HIV testing, and interventions for repeat HIV testers. AIDS Behav. 2002;6(2):183–97.

16. Bao Y, Zhang Y, Zhao J, Sun J, Tan H. [HIV infection and KAP status among men who have sex with men in 14 Chinese cities]. Zhonghua Yu Fang Yi Xue Za Zhi. 2009;43(11):981–3.

17. Feng L, Ding X, Lu R, Liu J. High HIV prevalence detected in 2006 and 2007 among men who have sex with men in China's largest municipality: an alarming epidemic in Chongqing, China. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2009;52(1):79–85.

18. Xiao Y, Sun J, Li C, Lu F. Prevalence and correlates of HIV and syphilis infections among men who have sex with men in seven provinces in China with historically low HIV prevalence. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2010; 53(Suppl 1):S66–73.

19. Chow E, Wilson D, Zhang L. The rate of HIV testing is increasing among men who have sex with men in China. HIV Med. 2012;13(5):255–63.

20. Parish WL. Population-based study of chlamydial infection in China: a hidden epidemic. JAMA. 2003;289:1265–73.

21. Fleming DT, Wasserheit JN. From epidemiological synergy to public health policy and practice: the contribution of other sexually transmitted diseases to sexual transmission of HIV infection. Sex Transm Infect. 1999; 75(1):3–17.

22. Feng Y, Wu Z, Detels R, Qin G. HIV/STD prevalence among MSM in Chengdu, China and associated risk factors for HIV infection. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2010;53(Suppl 1):S74–80.

23. Liu S, Zhao J, Rou K, Chen L, Cai W, Li L, et al. A survey of condom use behaviors and HIV/STI prevalence among venue-based money boys in Shenzhen, China. AIDS Behav. 2012;16(4):835–46.

24. Liu S, Chen L, Li L, Zhao J, Cai W, Rou K, et al. Condom use with various types of sex partners by money boys in China[J]. AIDS Educ Prev. 2012;24(2): 163–78.

Shi TX, Zhang BC, Li XF, Xu JX, Wang N, Yu ZZ, et al. Study on the high risk behaviors related to AIDS among men who having sex with men in the floating population]. Zhonghua Liu Xing Bing Xue Za Zhi. 2009;30(7):668–71.
Choi K, Diehl E, Yaqi G, Qu S, Mandel J. High HIV risk but inadequate prevention services for men in China who have sex with men: an ethnographic

study. AIDS Behav. 2002;6(3):255–66. 27. Li H, Lau JTF, Holroyd E, Yi H. Sociocultural facilitators and barriers to condom use during anal sex among men who have sex with men in

Guangzhou, China: an ethnographic study. AIDS Care. 2010;22(12):1481–6. 28. Feng Y, Wu Z, Detels R. Evolution of MSM community and experienced stigma among MSM in Chengdu, China. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr.

2010:53(Suppl 1):S98-103.