

Commentary

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

The Lancet Regional Health - Europe



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/lanepe

Effects of non-pharmaceutical interventions against COVID-19 on the incidence of other diseases

Olaf Müller^{a,1}, Oliver Razum^{b,1}, Albrecht Jahn^{a,1,*}

^a Institute of Global Health, Ruprecht-Karls-University Heidelberg, Germany^b School of Public Health, Bielefeld University, Germany

ARTICLE INFO

Article History: Received 26 April 2021 Accepted 27 April 2021 Available online 27 June 2021

The COVID-19 pandemic has resulted in unprecedented challenges to health systems globally. Most countries – including Germany – have implemented mitigation strategies, comprising nonpharmaceutical interventions (NPIs) (e.g. face masking, physical distancing, restrictions of movement and social gatherings). They were combined with testing, contact tracing and isolation/quarantine interventions as well as repeated lockdowns with varying intensity and resolve [1-4].

Besides their benefit for pandemic control, NPIs can also have harmful direct and indirect effects [5]. These include interrupted or delayed access to health care, which has consequences for prevention and treatment of acute and chronic diseases, even more so in lowand middle-income countries with weak health systems than in high-income countries [6]. Hospital admissions for heart diseases, for example, decreased sharply during the pandemic waves in Europe and the USA, while it is feared that the burden of major infectious diseases such as HIV/AIDS, tuberculosis and malaria may dramatically increase in endemic countries [7-10]. The psycho-social effects of prolonged NPIs leads to an increase in mental diseases and of domestic violence [11,12]. Negative effects on the economy are associated with increasing unemployment, inequity, poverty and social disruption [6], all determinants of poor health.

The pandemic response may also elicit positive health effects, however. They have so far been attributed to reduced environmental pollution, fewer road traffic accidents, and a lower incidence of acute respiratory infection (ARI) [13-16].

In this issue of *The Lancet Regional Health Europe*, Djin-Ye Oh and colleagues [17] as well as Alexander Ullrich and colleagues [18] present findings on the effects of NPIs against COVID-19 on the incidence of other diseases in Germany in 2020, using data from sources such as the German national ARI Sentinel and notifiable disease registration. Oh et al. [17] compared ARI incidence in the time before, during

and after the implementation of NPIs and in the three previous years. They showed a strong and sustained decline in the incidence of respiratory diseases, in particular influenza and measles. The only exception were rhinoviruses, which rebounded mainly in children after the reopening of schools beyond pre- COVID levels. Still, the overall effect of COVID-related NPIs on viral ARIs was overwhelmingly beneficial. Based on the analysis of notifiable infectious diseases in Germany during the week 2020-10 to 2020-32 compared to the same time period in the previous four years, Ullrich and colleagues [18] confirmed significant reduction in ARIs but also in a wide range of other infectious diseases such as gastrointestinal, vector-borne, as well as health-care associated and sexually transmitted diseases. Out of 32 notifiable diseases, only tick-borne encephalitis increased, reflecting an upward trend since the year 2000 [19]. The authors of these two studies conclude that NPIs substantially reduce overall infectious disease incidence, and that such public health interventions might even be beneficial for non-COVID-19 control strategies in the future, e.g. during seasons with known high ARI incidence.

Oh et al. [17] and Ullrich et al. [18] make substantial additions to the growing evidence that NPIs are a powerful public health tool. Their studies are based on different data sets, which increases methodological strength. Both studies have outliers such as rhinovirus infections and tick-borne encephalitis that are not fully plausible and warrant further investigations. Although NPIs have been shown to primarily produce harmful collateral effects on health [7, 20-22], the studies highlight that the assessment of the health impacts needs to include also indirect positive effects. Current public debates (as well as demagogic provocations of right-wing parties) call for a "return to normality", i.e., stopping NPIs as soon as possible. The findings of Oh et al. [17] and Ullrich et al. [18] convey a substantially different message: Even in non-pandemic time periods, elements from the NPI portfolio such as face masks and distance keeping in crowded public settings, as well as improved hand hygiene, will not only help to reduce the risk of future pandemics. They will also convey immediate benefits in terms of health and well-being for populations worldwide. These benefits should not be overlooked in the very understandable call for ending NPIs.

Contributors

E-mail address: albrecht.jahn@urz.uni-heidelberg.de (A. Jahn). ¹ All authors contributed equally to the paper All authors contributed equally to the conceptualization, the writing, reviewing and the approval of the final version of the paper.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lanepe.2021.100139

2666-7762/© 2021 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

Declaration of interests

Authors declare no competing interests

References

- Chu DK, Akl EA, Duda S, et al. Physical distancing, face masks, and eye protection to prevent person-to-person transmission of SARS-CoV-2 and COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis. The Lancet 2020;395:1973–87.
- [2] Kucharski AJ, Klepac P, Con AJK, et al. Effectiveness of isolation, testing, contact tracing, and physical distancing on reducing transmission of SARS-CoV-2 in different settings: a mathematical modelling study. The Lancet 2020;20:1151–60.
- [3] Brauner JM, Mindermann S, Sharma M, et al. Inferring the effectiveness of governmental interventions against COVID-19. Science 2021;371:802.
- [4] Lu G, Razum O, Jahn A, Zhang Y, Sutton B, Sridhar D, et al. Health Policy: COVID-19 in Germany and China: mitigation versus elimination strategy. Glob Health Action 2021;14:1.
- [5] Kampf G, Kulldorff M. Calling for benefit-risk evaluations of COVID-19 control measures. The Lancet 2021;397:576–7.
- [6] Bavli I, Sutton B, Galea S. Harms of public health interventions against covid-19 must not be ignored. BMJ 2020;371:m4074.
- [7] Solomon MD, McNulty EJ, Rana JS, et al. The covid-19 pandemic and the incidence of acute myocardial infarction. N Engl J Med 2020;383:691–3.
- [8] Campo G, Fortuna D, Berti E, et al. In- and out-of-hospital mortality for myocardial infarction during the first wave of the COVID-19 pandemic in Emilia-Romagna, Italy: a population-based observational study. Lancet Region Health-Eur 2021;100055.
- [9] De Filippo O, D'Ascenzo F, Angelini F, et al. Reduced rate of hospital admissions for ACS during Covid-19 outbreak in northern Italy. N Engl J Med 2020;383:88–9.
- [10] Anonymous. AIDS, malaria and tuberculosis are surging. Nature 2020;584:169.

- [11] Brooks SK, Webster RK, Smith LE, et al. The psychological impact of quarantine and how to reduce it: rapid review of the evidence. The Lancet 2020;395:912–20.
- [12] Boserup B, McKenny M, Elkbuli A. Alarming trends in US domestic violence during the COVID-19 pandemic. Am J Emerg Med 2020;38(12):2753–5.
- [13] Muhammad S, Long X, Muhammad S. COVID-19 pandemic and environmental pollution: a blessing in disguise? Sci Total Environ 2020;728:138820.
- [14] Saladie O, Bustamante E, Gutierrez A. COVID-19 lockdown and reduction of traffic accidents in Tarragona province, Spain. Transp Res Interdiscip Perspect 2020;8:100218.
- [15] Soo RJJ, Chiew CJ, Ma S, Pung R, Lee V. Decreased Influenca Incidence under COVID-19 Control Measures, Singapore. Emerg Infect Dis 2020;26:1933–5.
- [16] Hills T, Kearns N, Kearns C, Beasley R. Influenca control during the COVID-19 pandemic. The Lancet 2020;396:1633–4.
- [17] Oh DY, Buda S, Biere B, et al. Rapid, pronounced decline in respiratory virus circulation following COVID-19-targeted nonpharmaceutical interventions in Germany. Lancet Region Health – Europe 2021.
- [18] Ullrich A, Schranz M, Rexroth U, et al. The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic and associated non-pharmaceutical interventions on other notifiable infectious diseases in Germany, week 1-2016 – week 32-2020: an analysis of national surveillance data. Lancet Region Health – Europe 2021.
- [19] Kreusch T, Vygen-Bonnet S, Koch J, Medizinreport Wichmann O. Frühsommer-Meningoenzephalitis: Risikogebiete weiten sich aus. Deutsches Ärzteblatt 2019;116(39) A-1722 /B-1422 / C-1394.
- [20] Roberton T, Carter ED, Chou VB, et al. Early estimates of the indirect effects of the COVID-19 pandemic on maternal and child mortality in low-income and middleincome countries: a modelling study. Lancet Glob Health 2021 March 31.
- [21] Jewell BI, Mudimu E, Stover J, et al. HIV Modelling Consortium. Potential effects of disruption to HIV programmes in sub-Saharan Africa caused by COVID-19: results from multiple mathematical models. Lancet HIV 2020;7:e629–40.
- [22] Forchini G, Lochen A, Hallett T, et al. Report 28. Excess non-covid-19 deaths in England and wales between 29th February and 5th June 2020. Imperial College London; 2020.